

**From:** [Laura Mulcrevy](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:34:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Laura Mulcrevy  
Email                              lauralou.sf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Frank Zepeda](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 9:43:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Frank Zepeda

Email                              zepedaf@attglobal.net

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Evelyn Graham](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:13:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Evelyn Graham  
Email                              dundeel@mail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Mark Macy](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:18:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      **Mark Macy**  
Email                              [markm@macyarchitecture.com](mailto:markm@macyarchitecture.com)  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [mari eliza](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:24:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      mari eliza  
Email                              zrants@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Ann Marie Porter](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:12 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Ann Marie Porter  
Email                              porterssf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Jamie O'Keefe](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 9:42:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      **Jamie O'Keefe**  
Email                              [jokeefe415@gmail.com](mailto:jokeefe415@gmail.com)  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Liz Le](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 9:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Liz Le  
Email                              elizle@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Geraldine Grelli](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 9:48:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Geraldine Grelli  
Email                              gmslattery@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Michael Juan](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:00:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Michael Juan

Email                                  michaelvic05@yahoo.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                                  Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Karen Mcdonald](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:06:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Karen Mcdonald  
Email                              karenmcdonald03@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Janet McGee](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Janet McGee

Email                              janetmcgee@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Luke Perkocha](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Luke Perkocha  
Email                              luke3580@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Elsie McGee](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:09:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Elsie McGee  
Email                              elsiemcgee@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Tara Burke](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Tara Burke  
Email                              taraburke13@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Angela Tickler](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Angela Tickler  
Email                              angela.tickler@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Carmel Tickler](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:12:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Carmel Tickler  
Email                              carmeltickler@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Charlotte Worcester](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:15:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Charlotte Worcester  
Email                              beaubarlotte@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [MICHELLE ASIANO](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      MICHELLE ASIANO  
Email                              michelle.asiano@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Joe ASIANO](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Joe ASIANO  
Email                              joeharp123@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Alan Burradell](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:21:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Alan Burradell  
Email                              alanburradell@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Stephen Martin-Pinto](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:30:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Stephen Martin-Pinto  
Email                              stephen@stephenmartinpinto.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Bilques smith](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:30:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Bilques smith

Email                              bilquessmith@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Jennie Lyons](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:33:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Jennie Lyons

Email                              jlyonsaef@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Mary Minogue-Reidy](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:33:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Mary Minogue-Reidy  
Email                              minoguereidy@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Chrissy McGoldrick](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Chrissy McGoldrick  
Email                              chrissy.d.mcgoldrick@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Carla Kozak](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:36:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Carla Kozak  
Email                              carlak\_56@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to the people you serve.

---

**From:** [JAMES McGuigan](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      JAMES McGuigan  
Email                              mcguiganjim@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Daniel Paredes](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Daniel Paredes  
Email                              danielaparedes716@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Yvette Williams-Dubriwny](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:39:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Yvette Williams-Dubriwny

Email                                      yvettedubsf@comcast.net

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                                      Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Lolita Churchill](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:28 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Lolita Churchill  
Email                              1lolachurch@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Bruce Engle](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Bruce Engle  
Email                              Bruce.Engle@outlook.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Eddie Huang](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:48:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Eddie Huang

Email                              edhuang@yahoo.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [victoire.reynal](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:51:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      victoire reynal  
Email                              victoirereynal@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Michael Murano](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 10:57:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Michael Murano  
Email                              mmurano@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Lynne Schaadt](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Lynne Schaadt  
Email                              lynnneschaadt@hotmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Gavin McGoldrick](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:00:48 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Gavin McGoldrick  
Email                              gavs415@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Brendan Martinez](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      **Brendan Martinez**  
Email                              [brendan.martinez92@gmail.com](mailto:brendan.martinez92@gmail.com)  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Randa Ghnaim](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:03:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Randa Ghnaim  
Email                              randaghnaim@comcast.net  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Derio Dito](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Derio Dito  
Email                              djsffd@comcast.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Lynne Sloan](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:06:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Lynne Sloan  
Email                              lynnnesloan@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Mitchell Smith](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Mitchell Smith

Email                              htimsm1@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:09:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Teresa Shaw  
Email                              tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Brad McMillan](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Brad McMillan  
Email                              mcmillan@viselect.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Marie Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Friday, June 14, 2024 11:12:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Marie Hurabiell  
Email                              mhurabie@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---