File Number:	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

## **Grant Resolution Information Form**

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolution authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. [Grant Title]: Bayview Connections: Harney Way BRT and Cycletrack Project

2. Department: San Francisco Public Works (SFPW)

3. Contact Person: Sylvia Ho Email: sylvia.ho@sfdpw.org

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency [7] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$20,000,000 Approved (\$25,000,000 Applied For)

Grant Contract ID	Project	Amount
TBD	Bayview Connections: Harney Way BRT and Cycletrack	\$20,000,000
	Project	

**6.** a. Matching Funds Required:

Not Applicable

- Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):
   Private funds provided by CP Development Co., LLC ("Developer"), the developer of the Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Phase 2 Project ("CP/HPS2 Project").
- **7.** a. Grant Source Agency: United States Department of Transportation
  - b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Not Applicable
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

In furtherance of the CP/HPS2 Project, the subject grant funds, along with the Developer's private funds, will be used to construct transit and active transportation improvements in the Bayview neighborhood that are part of the CP/HPS2 Project and are specified in the project's Infrastructure Plan and Transportation Plan including the following components: 1) widening of Harney Way between Arelious Walker Drive and Executive Park East; 2) extension of Harney Avenue right-of-way to Ingerson Avenue; 3) extension of Arelious Walker Drive to connect to Gilman Avenue; 4) adding bus/mass transit priority lanes, both dedicated and shared infrastructure; 5) implementing cycle tracks and bike lane infrastructure; and 6) expanding the pedestrian network.

**9.** Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: FHWA-PW Grant Agreement Executed: Q2 2025 (anticipated), Construction Start: Q1 2026

(anticipated)

End-Date: Construction Substantial Completion: 12/31/2031, Budget Period End Date: 8/31/2032

<b>10.</b> a.	Amount budgeted for contractual services:
	SFPW: \$20,000,000

- Will contractual services be put out to bid?
   Yes
- If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements?
   SFPW will work with DOT to reach a 50% goal of local hire per terms of Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) for Candlestick Point.
- d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time.
- **11.** a. Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No
  - b. 1. If yes, how much?
  - b. 2. How was the amount calculated?
  - c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
  - [] Not allowed by granting agency [X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain):
  - If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?
     Indirect costs allowable under 2 CFR § 200.414 include design and construction management.
- **12.** Any other significant grant requirements or comments: Not applicable

**Disability Access Checklist***(Departme	ent must forward a copy of	of all completed Grant	Information
Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)			

13. This Grant is intended for	r activities at (check all that apply)	):
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [X] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	<ul><li>[X] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)</li><li>[X] New Program(s) or Service(s)</li></ul>
concluded that the project as other Federal, State and loca	s proposed will be in compliance w	on Disability have reviewed the proposal and with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all tions and will allow the full inclusion of persons ed to:
1. Having staff trained in h	ow to provide reasonable modification	ations in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids an	d services available in a timely m	anner in order to ensure communication access;
	approved by the DPW Access Cor	n to the public are architecturally accessible and impliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on
If such access would be tech	nnically infeasible, this is described	d in the comments section below:
commencement of construct  Departmental ADA Coordina  Karina Lairet		/ DAC for review and approval prior to Reviewer:
(Name)		
Civil Engineer - Disability Aco (Title)	cess Coordinator Office	
` ,	11:41:37 PM PST	CocuSigned by:  Kasina Laiset
Date Reviewed:		(Signature Required)
		(eighains hequiles)
Department Head or Desig	nee Approval of Grant Informat	ion Form:
Carla Short		
(Name)		
Director, San Francisco Publ	ic Works	
(Title) 1/8/2025   Date Reviewed:	8:52:03 AM PST	DocuSigned by:
		(Signature Required)