

FILE NO. 141290

Petitions and Communications received from December 1, 2014, through December 8, 2014, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on December 16, 2014.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From Mayor, designating Supervisor Malia Cohen as Acting-Mayor from December 6 to December 9, 2014. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From Mayor, regarding appointment to the Retirement Board: (2)
Wendy Paskin-Jordan - term ending February 20, 2019

From Controller, regarding comprehensive annual financial report, FY2013-2014. (3)

From concerned citizens, regarding Happy Vape. 13 letters. (4)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle. 600 signatures. (5)

From San Francisco Unified School District, regarding 2014 Williams Settlement report. (6)

From Neighborhood Emergency Response Team, regarding upcoming training programs. (7)

From Caltrain, regarding Final Environmental Impact Report for the Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project. (8)

From Public Health, regarding Urban Agricultural Incentive Zone Contract. (9)

From Pacific Gas & Electric, regarding energy savings assistance and California alternative rates for energy programs. (10)

From California Public Utilities Commission, regarding notification letter for Mission & Beale. (11)

From Bayview Hill Neighborhood Association, regarding demolition/implosion of Candlestick Park Stadium. (12)

From Chamber of Commerce, regarding Legacy Business Registry legislation. File No. 141038. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Tim James, regarding CleanPowerSF. (14)

From John Fitch, regarding various concerns with San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency. (15)

From Karl Nakamura, regarding hearing for approval of a Tentative Map for a Mixed-Use New Construction Condominiums Project located at 3032, and 3038-3040 Clement Street. File No. 141248. (16)

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



BOS 11 - COB, Leg Dep
EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR
Dep
City
Atty
ac Fall

December 5, 2014

Ms. Angela Calvillo
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 DEC -5 AM 11:35

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Malia Cohen as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the State of California on Saturday, December 6, 2014 at 10:15 p.m., until I return on Tuesday, December 9, 2014 at 9:46 a.m.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Cohen to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

Leg Clerks, COB,
Leg Dep Dir, City Att
Rates Clerk, c page
ac file

Notice of Appointment

December 8, 2014

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Section 3.100 (18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Wendy Paskin-Jordan, to the Retirement Board, for a term ending February 20, 2019

I am confident that Wendy Paskin-Jordan, elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are her qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Edwin M. Lee".

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

RECORDED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
JAN 08 - 8 AM 10:11

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

December 8, 2014

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Wendy Paskin-Jordan, to the Retirement Board, for a term ending February 20, 2019

I am confident that Wendy Paskin-Jordan, elector of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are her qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Edwin M. Lee".

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
11/14/14
11/10/14

Wendy Paskin-Jordan

Ms. Wendy Paskin-Jordan serves as the Managing Director of Paskin & Kahr Capital Management. Ms. Paskin-Jordan began her career as Legal Counsel to Bechtel Corporation in 1981, and later was recruited by the Vice Chairman of Wells Fargo Bank where she began a successful career as a financial executive. In 1994, she was hired by the Chairman of Montgomery Securities to serve as Managing Director & Partner of Montgomery Asset Management. Ms. Paskin-Jordan serves as a Director for the holding company of AAA Northern California, Nevada, and Utah (the club). She also serves on the Corporate Board of Real Property Fund II, Real Property Fund IV, and Real Property Fund V, sub-advised by Buchanan Street Partners. She has been a Member of the Advisory Board at The Wiki Group, Inc. since December 16, 2010. She serves as an Independent Trustee of BGI Government Money Market Fund - Institutional Shares and BGI Treasury Money Market Fund - Institutional Shares. She serves as a Trustee of various funds of BlackRock Funds (includes Master Investment Portfolios). She served as a Director of Valley Media, Inc. since December 1998 and DigitalVentures, Inc. Ms. Paskin-Jordan served on the Board of Barclays Global Investors until it was acquired by Blackrock, in late 2009. She served Member of the Advisory Board at Source Financial, Inc., since December 16, 2010. In 2010, she was appointed by San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom as Commissioner of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System. She was also recognized as one of the top 100 businesswomen in Northern California by San Francisco Business Times in 2005. Ms. Paskin-Jordan is a graduate of Stanford University, University of California Hastings School of Law, and the University of Pennsylvania Wharton School of Business.

Ben Rosenfield
ControllerTodd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller**MEMORANDUM**

TO: Mayor Edwin Lee
Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Ben Rosenfield, Controller *BR*

DATE: December 1st, 2014

SUBJECT: **Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, Fiscal Year 2013-14**

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 DEC - 8 AM 9:45

In accordance with Charter Section 3.105, attached is the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for fiscal year (FY) 2013-14 that ended June 30, 2014. These statements have a considerable amount of information reported in various ways. Below are some key points regarding the City's General Fund position, which is typically the focus of much of our attention.

Key Points


- The City's ending available budget-basis fund balance improved versus the prior year, rising by \$54.3 million to \$294.7 million. The majority of this ending balance has been appropriated as a source in the City's adopted two-year budget for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16. The balance of \$21.7 million is available for appropriation, either in the current or future fiscal years.
- As discussed in our periodic budget status reports throughout the year, the local economy expanded more rapidly in FY 2013-14 than anticipated at the time of budget adoption. This fueled final growth in General Fund resources of \$102.1 million versus the adopted budget, primarily due to strong property, business, hotel, and transfer tax results. Final expenditures were \$82.0 million under budgeted levels, driven predominantly by lower than required transfers to support San Francisco General Hospital and operating savings in many departments. Both revenues and expenditures are improved versus our most recent projections, contributing to the improvement in ending fund balance noted above.

- The City's economic stabilization reserve position, eroded heavily during the last recession, continues to improve. The combined balance of the Rainy Day Economic Stabilization Reserve and the Budget Stabilization Reserve grew by \$48 million to \$193 million in FY 2013-14, an improvement of \$21 million from our most recent projections in June. These reserve levels equate to approximately 4.9% of General Fund revenues, still far below the established target level of 10%.
- Other key indicators of the General Fund's financial health show improvement and strength. Total fund balance calculated using General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) totaled \$836 million as of June 30th, an improvement of \$295 million versus the prior year. This balance includes restricted, committed, and assigned reserves for capital encumbrances, and other reserves. Ending cash balance in the General Fund grew to \$1.05 billion, an improvement of \$333 million versus the prior year.
- A larger than anticipated final distribution of revenues through the Disproportionate Share Hospital program is likely to trigger a reduction in realignment funds assumed in the adopted budget, under funding formulae adopted by the State. Budgetary fund balance presented above are net of an allowance of \$32 million created in anticipation of these losses, as authorized by Section 12.6 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.
- Better than anticipated strength in several key local tax revenues in fiscal year 2013-14 are likely to improve current year revenue results. The Controller's Office is currently working with the Mayor's Budget Office and Board's Budget and Legislative Analyst to finalize the City's five-year financial plan projections. This joint forecast will incorporate these year-end results for fiscal year 2013-14, updated revenue forecasts for the current and future years, and the impacts of measures approved by the voters in the most recent election. We anticipate this projection will be complete within the next two weeks.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (415) 554-7500.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT



FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, **2014**



COVER



Photo: *Pulgas Water Temple*

IN CELEBRATION OF A NEW WATER LIFELINE


This is the Pulgas Water Temple, located at the head of City and County of San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Crystal Springs Reservoir. This Beaux Arts style temple, reflecting architecture of ancient Greeks and Romans, has been in operation since 1934 when Hetch Hetchy water first flowed into it, crossing 167 miles of California from its source high in the Sierra Nevada Mountains.

Hetch Hetchy water has flowed into it ever since, some eighty years. In October 2014, for the first time, waters flowed into this historic temple via PUC's new water lifeline—the seismically resistant Bay Tunnel. As one of the last Water System Improvement Program (WSIP) projects, this new (and first!) tunnel under the San Francisco Bay replaces the two original and aging pipelines that rest on the Bay floor.

WSIP, launched in response to the 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake, prepares the City for the next major emergency. Like the pipelines it replaces, the new, more reliable tunnel connects Hetch Hetchy and East Bay water supplies with customers on the Peninsula and in San Francisco.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
Year ended June 30, 2014



Prepared by:
Office of the Controller

Ben Rosenfield
Controller

BOARD RECEIVED
SUNSHINE
JAN 9 9 AM 9:40



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Year Ended June 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Controller's Letter of Transmittal	i
Certificate of Achievement - Government Finance Officers Association	ix
City and County of San Francisco Organization Chart	x
List of Principal Officials	xi

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)	3

Basic Financial Statements:

Government-wide Financial Statements:

Statement of Net Position	22
Statement of Activities	24

Fund Financial Statements:

Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	25
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	26
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	27
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	28
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	29
Statement of Net Position - Proprietary Funds	32
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position - Proprietary Funds	34
Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Funds	35
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds	37
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds	38

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements:

(1) The Financial Reporting Entity	39
(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	41
(3) Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements	57
(4) Budgetary Results Reconciled to Results in Accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles	62
(5) Deposits and Investments	63
(6) Property Taxes	78
(7) Capital Assets	79
(8) Bonds, Loans, Capital Leases and Other Payables	86
(9) Employee Benefit Programs	110
(10) San Francisco County Transportation Authority	118
(11) Detailed Information for Enterprise Funds	119

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Year Ended June 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
(12) Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco.....	137
(13) Treasure Island Development Authority.....	142
(14) Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers.....	144
(15) Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.....	146
(16) Risk Management.....	149
(17) Subsequent Events.....	151
Required Supplementary Information – Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions (unaudited).....	156
Combining Financial Statements and Schedules:	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds.....	159
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds.....	162
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds.....	163
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Special Revenue Funds.....	164
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Special Revenue Funds.....	168
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Budget Basis - Special Revenue Funds.....	172
Schedule of Expenditures by Department - Budget and Actual - Budget Basis - Special Revenue Funds.....	184
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Debt Service Funds.....	189
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Debt Service Funds.....	190
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Budget Basis - Debt Service Funds.....	191
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Capital Projects Funds.....	192
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Capital Projects Funds.....	194
Internal Service Funds.....	196
Combining Statement of Net Position - Internal Service Funds.....	197
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position - Internal Service Funds.....	198
Combining Statement of Cash Flows - Internal Service Funds.....	199
Fiduciary Funds.....	200
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds.....	201
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds.....	202
Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds.....	203

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Year Ended June 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page


STATISTICAL SECTION

Net Position by Component – Last Ten Fiscal Years	207
Changes in Net Position – Last Ten Fiscal Years	208
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds – Last Ten Fiscal Years	210
Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds – Last Ten Fiscal Years	211
Assessed Value of Taxable Property – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	213
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates – Last Ten Fiscal Years	214
Principal Property Assesseees – Current Fiscal Year and Nine Fiscal Years Ago	215
Property Tax Levies and Collections – Last Ten Fiscal Years	216
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type – Last Ten Fiscal Years	217
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding – Last Ten Fiscal Years	218
Legal Debt Margin Information – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	219
Direct and Overlapping Debt.....	220
Pledged-Revenue Coverage – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	221
Demographic and Economic Statistics – Last Ten Fiscal Years	223
Principal Employers – Current Year and Nine Years Ago	224
Full-Time Equivalent City Government Employees by Function – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	225
Operating Indicators by Function – Last Ten Fiscal Years	226
Capital Asset Statistics by Function – Last Ten Fiscal Years.....	227



This page has been intentionally left blank.

Introductory Section



Earthmoving for construction of the new seismically resistant Calaveras Dam located in Sunol, Ca. This is the largest local reservoir of the Hetch Hetchy Regional Water System.

- Controller's Letter of Transmittal
- Certificate of Achievement – Government Finance Officers Association
- City and County of San Francisco Organization Chart
- List of Principal Officials



November 28, 2014

The Honorable Mayor Edwin Lee
The Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors
Residents of the City and County of San Francisco
San Francisco, California

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City and County of San Francisco, California (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2014, with the independent auditor's report. The report is submitted in compliance with City Charter sections 2.115 and 3.105, and California Government Code Sections 25250 and 25253. The Office of the Controller prepared the CAFR in conformance with the principles and standards for accounting and financial reporting set forth by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The City is responsible for the accuracy of the data and for the completeness and fairness of its presentation. The existing comprehensive structure of internal accounting controls in the City provides reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements. Because the cost of internal control should not exceed the anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatements. I believe that the reported data is accurate in all material respects and that its presentation fairly depicts the City's financial position and changes in its financial position as measured by the financial activity of its various funds. I am confident that the included disclosures provide the reader with an understanding of the City's financial affairs.

The City's Charter requires an annual audit of the Controller's records. The records have been audited by Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP and are presented in the Basic Financial Statements in this CAFR. The CAFR also incorporates financial statements of various City enterprise funds and component units, including the San Francisco International Airport, the San Francisco Water Enterprise, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power, the Municipal Transportation Agency, the San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise, the Port of San Francisco, the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation, the San Francisco County Transportation Authority, the City and County of San Francisco Health Service System, the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, and the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.

This letter of transmittal is designed to complement the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section of the CAFR. The MD&A provides a narrative overview and analysis of the Basic Financial Statements and is presented after the independent auditor's report.

KEY FINANCIAL REPORT SECTIONS:

The **Introductory Section** includes information about the organizational structure of the City, the City's economy, major initiatives, status of City services, and cash management.

The **Financial Section** includes the MD&A, Basic Financial Statements, notes to the Basic Financial Statements, and required supplementary information. The Basic Financial Statements include the government-wide financial statements that report on all City financial operations, and also include fund financial statements that present information for all City funds. The independent auditor's report on the Basic Financial Statements is also included.

The financial statements of several enterprise activities and of all component units of government are included in this CAFR. Some component units' financial statements are blended with the City's, such as the San Francisco County Transportation Authority and the San Francisco Finance Corporation. The reason for this is that the primary government is financially accountable for the operations of these agencies. In other instances, namely, for the Treasure Island Development Authority, financial reporting is shown separately. Supplemental combining statements and schedules for nonmajor governmental funds, internal service funds and fiduciary funds are also presented in the financial section.

The **Statistical Section** includes up to ten years of historical financial data and miscellaneous social and economic information that conforms to GASB standards for reporting statistical information. This section may be of special interest to citizens and prospective investors in our bonds.

SAN FRANCISCO'S ECONOMY:

Overview of Recent Trends

An educated workforce and easy access to transit and financial capital continue to drive business investment in the City. San Francisco's economy has fully recovered losses from the most recent recession, and growth continues to outpace that of the state and national economies. The City's unemployment rate in fiscal year 2013-14 declined to a rate of 5.2%, a drop of 1.3% from the prior fiscal year's rate of 6.5%. In comparison, average unemployment rates for California and the nation for fiscal year 2013-14 stood at 8.3% and 6.8%, respectively. Most importantly, this fall in unemployment rate is due to a strengthening labor market as opposed to people dropping out of the labor force. Nonfarm employment in the San Francisco Metropolitan Division, of which San Francisco is the largest jurisdiction, grew by 3.0% in fiscal year 2013-14, versus 1.9% growth for the state overall.

The resident population also continued to grow, reaching a new historical high of 837,442 in 2013 according to the U.S. Census Bureau. This represents a 1.2% increase versus the prior year, and cumulative growth of 71,282 or 9.3% over the last decade.

Several local economic indicators have shown marked improvement over the past fiscal year. Housing prices, residential and commercial rents, hotel room and occupancy rates, and retail sales have all shown significant growth. San Francisco's taxable sales grew by 5.6% during fiscal year 2013-14, accelerating from the 4.6% growth rate for the prior fiscal year. Average annual hotel occupancy grew to 85.8%, a new historical high, while average room rates grew by 11.8% over the prior year.

Several key indicators of the City's real estate market exhibited similar strength in fiscal year 2013-14. Commercial and residential rents and median home prices all increased to new historical highs. The average asking monthly rent for apartments in San Francisco rose to \$3,110 in fiscal year 2013-14, an increase of 10.6%. Monthly per square foot rental rates for Class A commercial space jumped to \$59.7 in fiscal year 2013-14, an 8% increase versus the prior fiscal year. The average median home price in the fiscal year grew to a new annual high of approximately \$884,000 up 15.5% from the previous fiscal year.

San Francisco's economic recovery has stimulated the demand for new residential and commercial space. A large amount of private construction was completed or underway during the last fiscal year, with 3,185 housing units completed and approximately six thousand additional units under construction at the end of the fiscal year. Building permits for over 12 million square feet of construction were issued during the year. Much of this development is shaped by major area planning efforts that the City has completed in recent years, including in the Eastern Neighborhoods, Market-Octavia, and the Transit Center District. The City has also adopted or approved large-scale development projects in Candlestick Point/Hunters Point Shipyard, Treasure Island, and Park Merced.

SAN FRANCISCO GOVERNMENT:**Profile of San Francisco Government**

The City and County of San Francisco was established by Charter in 1850, and is the only legal subdivision of the State of California with the governmental powers of both a city and a county. The City's legislative power is exercised through a Board of Supervisors, while its executive power is vested upon a Mayor and other appointed and elected officials. Key public services provided by the City include public safety and protection, public transportation, water and sewer, parks and recreation, public health, social services and land-use and planning regulation. The heads of most of these departments are appointed by the Mayor and advised by commissions and boards appointed by City elected officials.

Elected officials include the Mayor, Members of the Board of Supervisors, Assessor-Recorder, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, Superior Court Judges, and Treasurer. Since November 2000, the eleven-member Board of Supervisors has been elected through district elections. The eleven district elections are staggered for five and six seats at a time, and held in even-numbered years. Board members serve four-year terms and vacancies are filled by Mayoral appointment.

San Francisco's Budgetary Process

The budget is adopted at the character level of expenditure within each department, and the department level and fund is the legal level of budgetary control. Note 2(c) to the Basic Financial Statements summarizes the budgetary roles of City officials and the timetable for their various budgetary actions according to the City Charter.

The City has historically adopted annual budgets for all governmental funds and typically adopts project-length budgets for capital projects and certain debt service funds. The voters adopted amendments to the Charter in November 2009 designed to further strengthen the City's long-range financial planning. As a result of these changes, the City for the first time adopted a two-year budget for all funds for the two upcoming fiscal years in July 2012. The Charter requires that the City adopt a "rolling" two-year budget each year unless the Board of Supervisors authorizes a "fixed" two-year budget appropriation for a given fund, in which case authorization occurs every two years.

As further required by these amendments, the Board of Supervisors and Mayor adopt a five-year financial plan every two years. The most recent plan was adopted in April 2013. Additionally, these Charter changes provided a mechanism for the Controller to propose, and the Board to adopt, various binding financial policies, which can only be suspended by a supermajority of the Board. Financial policies have now been adopted under these provisions governing the City's budget reserve practices, the use of non-recurring revenues, and limits on the use of debt paid from the General Fund.

Internal and Budgetary Controls

In developing and evaluating the City's accounting system, consideration is given to the adequacy of internal accounting controls. Internal accounting controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance regarding: (1) the safeguarding of assets against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and (2) the reliability of financial records for preparing financial statements and maintaining accountability for assets. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that: (1) the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived, and (2) the evaluation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management. All internal control evaluations occur within the above framework. We believe that the City's internal accounting controls adequately safeguard assets and provide reasonable assurance of proper recording of financial transactions.

The City maintains budgetary controls to ensure that legal provisions of the annual budget are in compliance and expenditures do not exceed budgeted amounts. Controls are exercised by integrating the budgetary accounts in fund ledgers for all budgeted funds. An encumbrance system is also used to account for purchase orders and other contractual commitments. Encumbered balances of appropriations at year-end are carried forward and are not reappropriated in the following year's budget.

Pension and Retiree Health Trust Fund Operations

The City has a defined benefit retirement plan in which a substantial majority of full-time employees participate. The plan's most recent actuarial calculations, as of July 1, 2013, estimate the plan is 80.6% funded, down from 82.6% as of that date in 2012. This decrease is primarily due to continued recognition of losses during fiscal year 2008-09 that are being recognized over a five year basis. The plan's unfunded liability based on the market value of assets decreased by \$887 million versus the prior year, predominantly given higher than expected returns – 13.65% actual return versus the expected return of 7.58%. Member contributions to the plan increased 11.7% from the prior year primarily as a result of the employee cost-sharing provisions of Proposition C, which went into effect on July 1, 2012.

The City's retiree health benefit liability has been calculated at \$3.98 billion as of July 1, 2012. In 2009, the City and employees began to pre-fund prospective obligations through contributions of 3% of salary for employees hired on or after January 10, 2009. These contributions are held in an irrevocable trust, the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund. Beginning July 1, 2016, employees hired before January 10, 2009 will also start contributing to the Trust Fund with an employer match, starting at a combined 0.5% of salary, and rising to 2% of salary on July 1, 2019. As of June 30, 2014, the Trust Fund had a balance of \$49.0 million, an increase of 57% versus the prior year. Given increasing pay-as-you-go and prefunding contributions and reductions in the benefit level for recently-hired employees, the City expects to fund the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) by fiscal year 2019-20.

General Fund Financial Position Highlights

The City's General Fund financial position continued to post significant improvement during this most recent fiscal year, continuing trends from recent years.

Total GAAP-basis General Fund balance, which includes funds reserved for continuing appropriations and reserves, ended fiscal year 2013-14 at \$836 million, up \$295 million from the prior year and exceeding the prior peak of \$541 million as of June 30, 2007.

The General Fund's cash position also reflects a strong improvement in fiscal year 2013-14, rising to a new year-end peak of \$1.05 billion, up \$0.33 billion from June 30, 2013.

Strong revenue growth and the City's reserve policies have caused General Fund rainy day and budget stabilization reserves to grow to \$215 million as of June 30, 2014, a \$67 million increase from the prior year ending balance of \$148 million.

The majority of fund balance available for appropriation on a budgetary basis totaled \$420 million, or \$22 million more than had been previously projected and appropriated by the Mayor and Board as a source in the adopted two-year budget for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16.

Key Government Initiatives

San Francisco's economy depends on investments in infrastructure and services that benefit City residents, workers, visitors, and businesses. These economic foundations range from housing and commercial development, to transportation infrastructure, investments in health and human services, and the City's quality of life. The City is taking steps to strengthen this infrastructure, to support San Francisco's economic recovery and long-term prosperity. Some important initiatives are described below:

Improving the City's Public Transportation Systems

San Francisco is ideally situated to serve the Bay Area's need to rapidly bring a large numbers of workers into a transit-accessible employment center, and efficiently navigate the dense City on foot, mass transit, taxi or bicycle.

Plans for a multi-modal transit hub located in the City's core – the Transbay Transit Center – are targeted to meet this regional need. The center is designed to provide expanded bus, commuter train, and ultimately

high-speed rail connections into the City from within the region and state, and to provide pedestrian connections to nearby subway, surface rail, and bus services within the City. The former terminal at the site has been demolished with completion of the new center targeted for fiscal year 2017-18. The \$1.9 billion transit center, managed by a financially independent authority, is funded through a host of revenue sources, including federal stimulus funding, tax increment, local sales tax, and other revenues generated from planned dense, mixed-use development adjacent to the site.

The City is currently constructing the Central Subway project, the second phase of a program designed to create a light-rail line running from Chinatown, under the heart of downtown, and connecting to the most-recent extension of the light-rail system to the Southeast portion of the City. The subway will connect to Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) and Caltrain, the region's two largest regional commuter rail services. The Central Subway project, with an estimated budget of \$1.6 billion and a targeted completion date of 2018, is estimated to provide approximately 35,000 daily boardings at four stations along the new 1.7 mile line. Once in active service in 2019, the project will reduce travel times and congestion along some of the most congested vehicular and public transit routes in California.

The City is also implementing a street repair and improvement program, funded with a \$248 million general obligation bond, as well as state and local revenue sources. Under this program, over 2,500 blocks are expected to be repaved or preserved, 1,900 curb ramps for disabled access will be constructed, and over 125,000 square feet of public sidewalk will be repaired. In commercial corridors, and along busy routes, the program is enabling the City to build complete streets that enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety and enhance the vibrancy of urban neighborhoods. The program also provides funds to rehabilitate existing traffic signal infrastructure and allow transit signal priority along key transit routes, improving transit efficiency and relieving traffic congestion. During the last two years, the City has repaved or maintained more than 1,700 blocks, built 1,400 curb ramps, repaired 21 street structures, inspected and repaired more than 300,000 square feet of sidewalk.

These improvements to the City's transportation infrastructure will be accelerated given voter approval of a \$500 million general obligation bond in November 2014, the first of four funding measures recommended by a Mayoral taskforce convened during fiscal year 2013-14 to prioritize critical transportation infrastructure projects and recommend funding strategies to meet these needs. Projects planned for the bond include investments designed to improve reliability and travel time on mass transit, improve pedestrian safety, improve accessibility, and address priority deferred maintenance needs.

The City continued to invest in improvements at San Francisco International Airport (SFO) in fiscal year 2013-14 as part of an approved capital plan of \$2.5 billion over the next five years. Completed projects during the fiscal year include runway safety area improvements and a new cargo facility, with work to construct a new air traffic control tower and renovations to Terminal 3 in construction. The plan also includes funds for programming, planning, and construction of the initial phases of the Terminal 1 Renovation Program, which has a projected cost of \$2.2 billion and anticipated phased completion dates through 2023. These projects are necessitated by the continued growth in passenger volumes at SFO, which accounts for 95% of international air travel and 71% of all air travel into the Bay Area.

Completing Critical Infrastructure Upgrades for Water, Power, and Sewer Services

Service reliability and disaster preparedness are also priorities of the City's Public Utilities Commission (PUC), as evidenced in the historic levels of infrastructure investment being deployed and planned in all three enterprises the PUC operates.

As of the end of fiscal year 2013-14, the City was over 81% complete on a \$4.8 billion multi-year capital program to upgrade local and regional water systems, known as the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP). The WSIP program consists of both local and regional projects spread over seven counties from the Sierra foothills to San Francisco. The WSIP delivers capital improvements that enhance the system's ability to provide reliable, affordable, high-quality drinking water in an environmentally sustainable manner to its 27 wholesale and regional retail customers in Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco counties, collectively serving some 2.6 million people. The program is structured to cost effectively meet

water quality requirements, improve seismic and delivery reliability, and meet long-term water supply objectives.

The PUC is also underway with a \$6.9 billion, three-phased 20-year program to upgrade of the City's wastewater infrastructure, the Sewer System Improvement Program (SSIP). The first phase, totaling \$2.7 billion, includes \$1.7 billion in improvements to the Southeast Treatment Plant and funding for sustainable, green infrastructure and urban watershed assessment projects to minimize stormwater impact on the sewer system. The SSIP will upgrade the City's combined sewer system, which was predominantly built out over the past century. Although significant investment occurred in the mid-1970s through the mid-1990s to comply with the Clean Water Act, today many of the existing facilities are in need of upgrade and major improvement to prepare San Francisco for the future.

Hetch Hetchy Water and Power, which includes upcountry water operations and the City's power enterprise, is in the midst of an upcountry rehabilitation program for its aging reservoirs, powerhouses, switchyards, pipelines, tunnels and in-city power assets. Upcountry water and power facilities are being assessed and rehabilitated where needed, including investments in reservoirs, powerhouses, switchyards, and substations, 170 miles of pipelines and tunnels, 160 miles of transmission lines, watershed land, and right-of-way property. Improvements in San Francisco include piloted replacement of old, outdated streetlight fixtures and poles with modern, energy-efficient ones. These new fixtures will have wireless controls, enabling the City to achieve cost-efficiency and higher performance through the ability to monitor and control them remotely. Over the next ten years, \$1.2 billion of critical infrastructure investment is planned.

Expanding Access to Healthcare

Public health and human services are important to the long-term health and well-being of City residents, and to the overall productivity of the City's workforce. The City offers a host of health and safety net services, including operation of two public hospitals, the administration of federal, state, and local entitlement programs, and a vast array of community-based health and human services.

January 2014 marked the beginning of full-scale implementation of the Affordable Care Act (ACA), including the launch of Covered California and the Medi-Cal expansion. In preparation, the City conducted extensive outreach through various agencies, and the Department of Public Health (DPH) created the San Francisco Health Network, consolidating the department's full continuum of direct health care services. The San Francisco Health Network is an integrated health care delivery system that improves the department's ability to provide and manage care for insured patients that select our network, organize the elements of the delivery system, improve system efficiency, and improve the patient experience.

Over 40,000 San Franciscans purchased insurance on Covered California during its inaugural open enrollment period, and approximately 50,000 have newly enrolled in Medi-Cal as of September 2014. Although the effect of the ACA on the City's uninsured will not be clearly quantifiable until next year, enrollment in Healthy San Francisco, the City's health access program for the uninsured, has declined from nearly 58,000 prior to ACA implementation to 21,000 in September 2014. However, Healthy San Francisco does not account for all uninsured San Franciscans, and the City estimates that at least 30,000 people will remain without insurance. The residually uninsured will include those ineligible for the insurance expansions offered under the ACA and those who are eligible but who, for a variety of reasons, do not enroll. The City will continue to be a key provider of safety net services for these individuals.

Amidst these changes, the City is on schedule to replace and modernize the City's two public hospitals. The voters approved a general obligation bond measure to fund the replacement of San Francisco General Hospital in November 2008. This \$887 million project is required given changes to state law governing seismic requirements for hospitals. It will replace the current facility with a new nine-story building on the existing hospital campus. The hospital is the only trauma center in San Francisco, and also acts as the safety net hospital for our residents. Construction of the project is underway, with completion expected in fiscal year 2015-16. This project follows substantial completion of the reconstruction of the City's skilled nursing facility, Laguna Honda Hospital, in fiscal year 2011-12.

Modernizing the City's Parks and Libraries

San Francisco voters have approved a number of bond measures to fund capital improvements to the City's parks and libraries during the past decade, including the most recent approval in November 2012 of a \$195 million general obligation bond for improvements to neighborhood parks. Once implemented, the City will have completed substantial renovations of 13 recreation centers, 52 playgrounds, and 9 swimming pools during a ten year period.

The City substantially completed a comprehensive branch library improvement program in fiscal year 2013-14 that renovated 16 branch libraries, replaced seven branches with new buildings, and constructed a new branch library in Mission Bay. The \$196 million program, funded with a mix of general obligation and lease-revenue bonds, state funds, and other local sources, focused on seismic safety, accessibility, and modernization for current uses.

Delivering Public and Private Waterfront Improvements

The Port of San Francisco, a department of the City, is custodian to seven and one-half miles of maritime industrial and urban waterfront property. The City utilizes public-private partnerships to marshal private sector creativity and financial resources to rehabilitate historic Port assets or develop new facilities for maximum public benefit. Current public-private partnership projects include the rehabilitation of the Pier 70 area which contemplates continued ship repair, historic preservation, new waterfront parks, housing, and up to two million square feet of new commercial and office space; a state of the art multi-purpose venue for the Golden State Warriors basketball organization in the Mission Bay redevelopment area; and a new mixed-use neighborhood with waterfront parks and a rehabilitated Pier 48 adjacent to the Giants baseball stadium. Public-private partnerships complement the City's public works project-delivery mechanism, which were recently used to deliver parks and open space projects along the waterfront and the new James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27, which opened in September 2014.

Other Long-Term Challenges Remain

Notwithstanding the City's strong economic and financial performance during the recent recovery and despite significant initiatives outlined above, several long-term financial challenges and risks remain unresolved.

While significant investments are proposed in the City's adopted ten-year capital plan, identified resources remain below those necessary to maintain and enhance the City's physical infrastructure. As a result, over \$10 billion in capital needs are deferred from the plan's horizon. Over two-thirds of these unfunded needs are for the City's transportation and waterfront infrastructure, where core maintenance investments have lagged for decades.

The City has taken significant steps to address long-term unfunded liabilities for employee pension and other postemployment benefits, including retiree health obligations, yet significant liabilities remain. The most recent actuarial analyses estimate unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities of almost \$8 billion for these benefits, comprised of \$3.98 billion for retiree health obligations and \$3.92 billion for employee pension benefits. In recent years, the City and voters have adopted significant changes that should mitigate these unfunded liabilities over time, including adoption of lower-cost benefit tiers, increases to employee and employer contribution requirements, and establishment of a trust fund to set-aside funding for future retiree health costs. The financial benefit from these changes will phase in over time, however, leaving ongoing financial challenges for the City in the shorter term.

Lastly, while the City has adopted a number of measures to better position the City's operating budget for future economic downturns, further progress is still needed. Economic stabilization reserves have grown significantly during the last three fiscal years and now exceed pre-recession peaks, but remain below adopted target levels of 10% of discretionary General Fund revenues. Further progress towards targeted level in future fiscal years will allow the City to better weather inevitable negative variances that will be driven by future economic volatility.

OTHER INFORMATION:

Independent Audit

The City's Charter requires an annual audit of the Controller's records. These records, represented in the basic financial statements included in the CAFR have been audited by the nationally recognized certified public accounting firm, Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP. The various enterprise funds, the Health Service System, the Employees' Retirement System, the San Francisco County Transportation Authority, the San Francisco Finance Corporation, and the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency have been separately audited. The Independent Auditor's Report on our current year's financial statements is presented in the Financial Section.

Award for Financial Reporting

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. This was the 32nd consecutive year, beginning with the fiscal year ended June 30, 1982, that the City has achieved this prestigious award. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR. The CAFR must satisfy both Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and applicable legal requirements.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my appreciation to the entire staff of the Controller's Office whose professionalism, dedication, and efficiency are responsible for the preparation of this report. I would also like to thank Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP for their invaluable professional support in the preparation of the CAFR. Finally, I want to thank the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors for their interest and support in planning and conducting the City's financial operations.

Respectfully submitted,



Ben Rosenfield
Controller



Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**City and County of San Francisco
California**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2013


Executive Director/CEO



This page has been intentionally left blank.

City and County of San Francisco Organization Chart

(As of June 30, 2014)



A = Appointed by Mayor and confirmed by Board of Supervisors / E = Elected / S = Shared—appointed by various elected officials.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

List of Principal Officials
As of June 30, 2014

ELECTED OFFICIALS

Mayor	Edwin M. Lee
Board of Supervisors:	
President	David Chiu
Supervisor.....	Eric L. Mar
Supervisor.....	Mark Farrell
Supervisor.....	Katy Tang
Supervisor.....	London Breed
Supervisor.....	Jane Kim
Supervisor.....	Norman Yee
Supervisor.....	Scott Wiener
Supervisor.....	David Campos
Supervisor.....	Malia Cohen
Supervisor.....	John Avalos
Assessor/Recorder.....	Carmen Chu
City Attorney.....	Dennis J. Herrera
District Attorney.....	George Gascón
Public Defender.....	Jeff Adachi
Sheriff.....	Ross Mirkarimi
Superior Courts	
Presiding Judge	Judge Cynthia Lee
Treasurer/Tax Collector	José Cisneros

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

City Administrator.....	Naomi Kelly
Controller.....	Benjamin Rosenfield

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/ADMINISTRATORS

Airport.....	John L. Martin
Appeals Board.....	Cynthia Goldstein
Arts Commission	Tom DeCaigny
Asian Art Museum	Jay Xu
Board of Supervisors	Angela Calvillo
Assessment Appeals Board	Dawn Duran
County Transportation Authority.....	Tilly Chang
Building Inspection.....	Tom Hui
California Academy of Sciences	Gregory C. Farrington, Ph.D.
Child Support Services.....	Karen M. Roye
Children, Youth and Their Families.....	Maria Su
Civil Service	Jennifer Johnston
Economic and Workforce Development.....	Todd Rufo
Elections.....	John Arntz
Emergency Management	Anne Kronenberg
Entertainment.....	Jocelyn Kane
Environment.....	Deborah Raphael
Ethics.....	John St. Croix
Fine Arts Museums	Colin B. Bailey
Fire	Joanne Hayes-White

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

List of Principal Officials
As of June 30, 2014

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/ADMINISTRATORS (Continued)

General Services Agency	
Animal Care and Control	Rebecca Katz
Convention Facilities Management	John Noguchi
County Clerk	Karen Hong Yee
Medical Examiner	Amy P. Hart, M.D.
Public Works	Mohammed Nuru
Purchaser/Contract Administration	Jaci Fong
Real Estate	John Updike
Department of Technology	Marc Touitou
Health Service System	Catherine Dodd
Human Resources	Micki Callahan
Human Rights	Theresa Sparks
Human Services	Trent Rhorer
Aging and Adult Services	Anne Hinton
Juvenile Probation	Alan A. Nance
Law Library Board of Trustees	Marcia Bell
Library	Luis Herrera
Municipal Transportation Agency	Ed Reiskin
Planning	John Rahaim
Police	Greg Suhr
Office of Citizen Complaints	Joyce M. Hicks
Port	Monique Moyer
Public Health	Barbara A. Garcia
Public Utilities	Harlan Kelly
Recreation and Park	Phil Ginsburg
Residential Rent Board	Delene Wolf
Retirement System	Jay Huish
Small Business	Regina Dick-Endrizzi
Status of Women	Emily Murase
Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency	Tiffany Bohee
Superior Court	T. Michael Yuen
Adult Probation	Wendy S. Still
War Memorial	Elizabeth Murray


DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNIT

Treasure Island Development Authority	Mirian Saez
---------------------------------------------	-------------



This page has been intentionally left blank.

Financial Section



The Bay Tunnel, now in operation, is a vital new earthquake-resistant water lifeline.

- Independent Auditor's Report
- Management's Discussion and Analysis
- Basic Financial Statements
- Notes to the Financial Statements
- Required Supplementary Information



SAN FRANCISCO

Financial Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
 December 31, 2014

Financial Analysis

(in thousands)

Business-type Activities		Total	
2014	2013 (restated)	2014	2013 (restated)
\$ 4,680,939	\$ 4,869,555	\$ 8,008,450	\$ 7,896,093
13,997,489	12,840,891	18,460,203	16,885,539
18,678,428	17,710,446	26,468,653	24,781,632
176,314	194,204	188,015	207,832
1,884,942	2,032,078	3,276,551	3,365,393
10,934,203	10,240,045	15,002,614	14,197,655
12,819,145	12,272,123	18,279,165	17,563,048
17,737	24,307	18,012	24,307
4,832,659	4,650,574	7,032,674	6,649,991
452,465	371,958	1,259,065	959,707
732,736	585,688	67,752	(207,589)
<u>\$ 6,017,860</u>	<u>\$ 5,608,220</u>	<u>\$ 8,359,491</u>	<u>\$ 7,402,109</u>

... indicator of the government's financial position, ... 9 percent increase over the prior year. The City's ... total and \$6.02 billion stem from its business-type

... percent or \$7.03 billion in net investment in capital ... a \$382.7 million or 5.8 percent increase over the ... rental activities and increases in all business-type ... ty uses capital assets to provide services, these ... e resources required to pay the outstanding debt ... emselves cannot be liquidated to pay that liability.

... billion or 15.1 percent that represents restricted ... ing their use. The remaining portion of total net ... consists of a \$1.00 billion deficit in governmental ... ed position for the business-type activities. The ... s to business type activities, recognition of other ... n long-term bonds liabilities that fund the Laguna ... ects at the Port, improvement projects for reliable ... ad paving and street safety in SFMTA (see Note

(continued)

... ty that are principally ... other functions that are ... harges (business-type ... rks, transportation and ... ulture and recreation, ... s-type activities of the ... power operations, an

... (known as the primary ... Development Authority ... ponent unit is reported ... t. Included within the ... an Francisco County ... in the business-type ... an Francisco Parking ... blnded with the primary ... City also considers the ... the City.

... s of related accounts that ... fic activities or objectives. ... ure and demonstrate ... an be divided into the ... ry funds.

... ime functions reported ... n most of the City's basic ... on 1) how cash and other ... es left at year-end that ... e determining what financial

... nment-wide financial ... ds with similar information ... nt: By doing so, readers ... ng decisions. Both the ... es, expenditures, and ... n governmental funds

... ng to their type (special ... nted separately in the ... enues, expenditures, ... or fund. Data from the ... tic i. Individual fund data ... statements elsewhere

... ppriated budget for its ... ovided for the General

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014

Changes in Net Position
(in thousands)

	Governmental activities		Business-type activities		Total	
	2014	2013 (restated)	2014	2013 (restated)	2014	2013 (restated)
Revenues						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services.....	\$ 568,528	\$ 517,660	\$ 3,102,934	\$ 3,279,283	\$ 3,671,462	\$ 3,796,943
Operating grants and contributions.....	1,142,094	1,086,154	190,351	224,382	1,332,445	1,310,536
Capital grants and contributions.....	39,379	29,718	515,445	251,753	554,824	281,471
General revenues:						
Property taxes.....	1,521,471	1,415,068	-	-	1,521,471	1,415,068
Business taxes.....	563,406	480,131	-	-	563,406	480,131
Sales and use tax.....	227,636	208,025	-	-	227,636	208,025
Hotel room tax.....	310,052	238,782	-	-	310,052	238,782
Utility users tax.....	86,810	91,871	-	-	86,810	91,871
Other local taxes.....	391,638	359,808	-	-	391,638	359,808
Interest and investment income.....	21,887	7,862	29,843	1,009	51,730	8,871
Other.....	70,024	52,865	82,737	67,344	152,761	120,209
Total revenues.....	<u>4,942,925</u>	<u>4,487,944</u>	<u>3,921,310</u>	<u>3,823,771</u>	<u>8,864,235</u>	<u>8,311,715</u>
Expenses						
Public protection.....	1,229,591	1,236,922	-	-	1,229,591	1,236,922
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	200,712	189,124	-	-	200,712	189,124
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	1,009,190	946,562	-	-	1,009,190	946,562
Community health.....	786,761	751,491	-	-	786,761	751,491
Culture and recreation.....	357,620	338,042	-	-	357,620	338,042
General administration and finance.....	298,563	249,271	-	-	298,563	249,271
General City responsibilities.....	85,239	83,895	-	-	85,239	83,895
Unallocated Interest on long-term debt.....	115,880	109,094	-	-	115,880	109,094
Airport.....	-	-	827,658	754,553	827,658	754,553
Transportation.....	-	-	1,037,368	1,027,232	1,037,368	1,027,232
Port.....	-	-	88,551	81,404	88,551	81,404
Water.....	-	-	470,200	451,480	470,200	451,480
Power.....	-	-	137,639	129,801	137,639	129,801
Hospitals.....	-	-	1,011,452	992,608	1,011,452	992,608
Sewer.....	-	-	243,466	227,983	243,466	227,983
Market.....	-	-	120	1,231	120	1,231
Total expenses.....	<u>4,083,556</u>	<u>3,904,401</u>	<u>3,816,454</u>	<u>3,666,292</u>	<u>7,900,010</u>	<u>7,570,693</u>
Increase/(decrease) in net position before transfers and extraordinary items.....	859,369	583,543	104,856	157,479	964,225	741,022
Transfers.....	(311,627)	(483,028)	311,627	483,028	-	-
Extraordinary loss.....	-	(201,670)	(6,843)	-	(6,843)	(201,670)
Change in net position.....	<u>547,742</u>	<u>(101,155)</u>	<u>409,640</u>	<u>640,507</u>	<u>957,382</u>	<u>539,352</u>
Net position at beginning of year, as restated.....	1,793,889	1,895,044	5,608,220	4,967,713	7,402,109	6,862,757
Net position at end of year.....	<u>\$ 2,341,631</u>	<u>\$ 1,793,889</u>	<u>\$ 6,017,860</u>	<u>\$ 5,608,220</u>	<u>\$ 8,359,491</u>	<u>\$ 7,402,109</u>

Analysis of Changes in Net Position

The City's total net position increased by \$957.4 million in fiscal year 2013-14, a 12.9 percent increase over the prior fiscal year, as noted above. This was the fourth consecutive year of improvement overall, and combines increases of \$547.7 million from governmental activities and \$409.6 million from business type activities.

Among the City's business-type activities, SFMTA, General Hospital, Wastewater and the Port all contributed to this growth while the Airport, Water, Hetch Hetchy, Laguna Honda Hospital, and the Market Corporation did not. A discussion of this change is presented in the business-type activities section below.


The City's governmental activities experienced a \$455.0 million or 10.1 percent growth in total revenues. This included increases in nearly all of the general city revenues: \$55.9 million in operating grants and

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO


Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

contributions, \$106.4 million in property taxes, \$50.9 million in charges for services, \$71.3 million in hotel room tax, and \$83.3 million in business taxes. Sales and use tax and other local taxes also had a combined growth of \$51.4 million. These improvements were partly offset by a decline in utility users tax of \$5.1 million. The City's governmental activities expenses reported an increase of \$179.2 million or 4.6 percent this fiscal year. The net transfer to business-type activities decreased by \$171.4 million, a 35.5 percent improvement over the prior year. In addition, there was a one-time extraordinary loss in the prior fiscal year of \$201.7 million related to the dissolution of the former Redevelopment Agency. A discussion of these and other changes is presented in the governmental activities and business-type activities sections that follow.

Expenses and Program Revenues - Governmental Activities



Revenues By Source - Governmental Activities



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

Governmental activities. Governmental activities increased the City's total net position by approximately \$547.7 million. Key factors contributing to this change are discussed below.

Overall, total revenues from governmental activities were \$4.94 billion, a \$455.0 million or 10.1 percent increase over the prior year. For the same period, expenses totaled \$4.08 billion before transfers of \$311.6 million, resulting in a total net position increase of \$547.7 million by June 30, 2014.

Property tax revenues increased by \$106.4 million or 7.5 percent. This growth was due in large part to higher assessed values of secured real property in San Francisco, and also due to property tax in-lieu of vehicle license fee revenues tied to the year-over-year increase of the aggregate secured roll assessed value to recent tax rate increases. Further, revenues increased from property tax in-lieu of sales and use tax (also referred to as the triple-flip) tied to actual sales and use taxes. An increase in real property transfer tax by \$29.2 million made up the majority of the growth in other local taxes of \$31.8 million.

Revenues from business and sales and use taxes totaled approximately \$791.0 million, a growth of \$102.9 million over the prior year. Business taxes grew by \$83.3 million due to an increase in payroll tax revenue resulting from a 5.2 percent increase in employment and a 2.6 percent increase in average weekly wages in San Francisco. Increased business registration fee levels and gross receipts tax collection, due to Proposition E passed in November 2012, also significantly contributed to the growth in business taxes. Sales and use tax increased by \$19.6 million, reflecting strong sales growth across virtually every economic segment, with particularly strong performance in retail and food establishments such as restaurants, apparel stores, department stores, and food markets.

Hotel room tax revenues grew by \$71.3 million, or 29.8 percent, due to strong demand from all segments of the market (tourist, convention, and business) while no additions to inventory led to increased occupancy and the average daily room rate. In addition, there was a decline in the amount of hotel room tax revenue that was deferred in fiscal year 2013-14, as compared to the amount deferred in fiscal year 2012-13.

Operating grants and contributions increased \$55.9 million. This was largely due to the increases from state sources, including \$42.4 million for human welfare programs, \$25.2 million for general city responsibilities related to SB90 state funding for various programs, \$6.1 million for public works programs, and \$4.3 million for public protection. These were offset primarily by a decrease of \$25.8 million in community health program grants.

Total charges for services increased \$50.9 million, or 9.8 percent, and other revenues increased \$17.2 million. The increase in total charges for services is driven by increased fee revenues across various departments, partially due to improved economic conditions and expansion in construction activity. The more significant increases are discussed below. The Department of Building Inspection's permit revenue increased \$9.5 million due to an increase in construction permits and project completion. Fire Department charges for services increased by \$6.8 million due to ambulance billing recoveries, as well as plan check and inspection fees consistent with the expansion in construction activity. The Department of Public Works' street space permit revenue and solid waste impound fees increased by \$6.2 million, in addition to \$9.1 million more in revenues from its expedited condo conversion program. The Planning Department's revenues grew by more than \$1.6 million from increased building permits, environmental reviews, and other planning fees. Additional special events, as well as increased recreational service charge fees resulting from improved programming opportunities and implementation of a demand responsive program delivery model improved fee revenues by \$1.0 million for the Recreation and Park Department. In addition, the Department of Public Health's patient charges increased by \$7.7 million. These increases were partially offset by a reduction of \$2.5 million in cost allocation revenues stemming from a change in allocation methodology and a decrease in Human Services Agency revenue of \$1.9 million reflecting the loss of one-time waiver refunds. The increase in other revenues is related to developer exactions, which are requirements placed on developers as conditions of receiving municipal approval, for a new development and construction project.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2014

Interest and investment income revenue increased by \$14.0 million, or 178.4 percent, primarily due to the large unrealized gain compared to the prior year's unrealized loss from the City's pooled investments, which is the difference between the fair value and the book value of the City's investments. The increase in revenues was partially offset by a reduction in the interest rate on the City's pooled investments from 0.95 percent in the prior year to 0.74 percent in the current year.

Net transfers from the governmental activities to business-type activities were \$311.6 million, a 35.5 percent or \$171.4 million decrease from the prior year. This was mainly due to one-time transfers in the prior year, such as \$71.9 million in transfers of various general obligation bond series proceeds to the Water Enterprise, \$11.0 million in bond proceeds transferred to SFMTA Parking and Traffic, and \$18.2 million in bond proceeds for Port projects.

The moderate increase of total governmental expenses of \$179.2 million, or 4.6 percent, was primarily due to increased demand for the government's services in almost all functional services by \$186.5 million, which was partly offset by the decrease of expenses in public protection by \$7.3 million.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

Business-type activities increased the City's net position by \$409.6 million and key factors contributing to this increase are:

- The San Francisco International Airport's net position at fiscal year-end was \$266.8 million, a \$5.5 million or 2.0 percent decrease over the previous fiscal year. Operating revenues totaled \$770.7 million for fiscal year 2013-14, an increase of \$44.3 million or 6.1 percent over the prior year and included improvements of \$27.3 million, \$7.0 million, and \$6.8 million in aviation, concession and net sales and services revenues, respectively. For the same period, the Airport's operating expenses rose by \$66.6 million, or 11.8 percent, for a net operating income of \$145.0 million for the period. Net non-operating activities saw a deficit of \$203.6 million versus \$190.6 million deficit in the prior year, a \$13.0 million increase. The increases in both operating and non-operating expenses include a rise in depreciation charges and capital asset valuation changes due to change in useful life estimates. Capital contributions, however, improved by \$25.1 million due to federal grants for various Airport improvement programs.
- The City's Water Enterprise, the third largest such entity in California, reported a net position of \$654.2 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, a \$45.4 million or 6.5 percent decrease over the prior year. Revenues totaled \$424.1 million, expenses totaled \$470.2 million, and the net increase from capital contributions and transfers was \$0.7 million. Compared to the prior year, total revenues decreased \$337.7 million which included a \$344.6 million decrease in water service revenues and a \$3.4 million decrease in non-operating revenues. The primary reason for the decrease in water service revenues was due to the one time, early repayment in the prior year of \$356.2 million from the Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA). Within expenses, the enterprise reported a total increase of \$18.7 million in fiscal year 2013-14. This included \$13.6 million more in depreciation expenses, \$11.2 million more in local water conveyance and distribution project expenses, \$10.1 million more in claims liability based on actuarial estimate, offset by \$12.3 million in net interest expense, and \$4.6 million decrease in professional and legal services, lease payment, water assessment fees, and other operational areas.
- Hetch Hetchy Water and Power's net position was \$513.6 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, a decrease of \$4.6 million or 0.9 percent. This change consisted of a \$2.2 million increase from activities offset by an extraordinary loss of \$6.8 million sustained in the Rim Fire which began in August 2013 in the Stanislaus National Forest and Yosemite National Park. This enterprise consists of two segments: Hetchy Water upcountry operations and water system which reported a \$1.2 million net position increase offset by \$2.7 million of the extraordinary loss, and Hetchy Power (also known as the Power Enterprise) which reported \$1.0 million net position increase offset by \$4.1 million of the loss. Hetchy Water operating revenues decreased by \$1.8 million due to a \$1.8 million decrease in water assessment fee revenue from the Water Enterprise although interest and investment income increased by \$0.8 million. Total expenses increased by a slight \$0.2 million. Hetchy Power's operating revenues increased by \$2.3 million due to a \$2.3 million increase in power service revenues from City departments from the adopted power rate increase, while interest and investment income also increased by \$1.2 million primarily due to the prior year's unrealized loss compared with the current year's unrealized gain. On the operating expenses side, Hetchy Power reported an increase of \$7.8 million due primarily to a \$4.1 million rise in transmission and power costs, \$1.7 million in project costs, \$1.2 million in purchased electricity and the remaining amount in personnel, depreciation, supply and other expenses.
- The City's Wastewater Enterprise's net position was \$1.18 billion at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, an increase of \$33.1 million or 2.9 percent, over the previous year. Operating revenues increased by \$7.5 million due mostly to increased sewer service charges as a result of a one-time effect of transition from bi-monthly to monthly billing effective July 1, 2013. Under non-operating revenues, federal grants decreased by \$17.3 million due to reduction in grant revenues for flood management projects. In addition, interest and investment income increased by \$1.9 million mainly due to the current year's unrealized gain in investments. Operating expenses totaled \$216.3 million, an \$8.1 million increase over the prior year. Within expenses, increases included a \$6.1 million rise in sewer improvement project costs, \$2.1 million more in depreciation related to building and structures, \$1.1 million more in

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2014

services of other departments, \$2.2 million more in a range of contractual, personnel, and supply expenses offset by a decline in claims liability of \$3.4 million.

- The Port of San Francisco's net position was \$371.3 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, an \$8.7 million or 2.4 percent increase over the prior fiscal year. The Port is responsible for seven and one-half miles of waterfront property and its revenue is derived primarily from property rentals to commercial and industrial enterprises and a diverse mix of maritime operations. In fiscal year 2013-14, the Port's rents and concessions revenues increased \$4.5 million while parking revenues also increased by \$2.0 million. Operating expenses increased \$4.4 million over the prior year. This was due in part to a \$2.7 million positive variance in pollution remediation estimates, a \$4.1 million increase in depreciation and amortization, a \$1.9 million decrease in contractual services, a \$1.7 million decrease in the cost of services from other departments, and a net increase of \$1.3 million in personnel, administrative and other expenses.
- The Municipal Transportation Agency's (SFMTA) net position was \$2.69 billion at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, a \$421.6 million or 18.6 percent increase for the year. SFMTA's total revenues and transfers were \$1.45 billion while total expenses including interest expense reached \$1.04 billion, increases of \$260 million and \$8.6 million respectively. Most of the revenue increases are due to \$198.3 million more in capital contributions from federal, state and local grant funds for work on a range of capital transportation projects, \$16.0 million in net transfers from the City for increased subsidies, and \$18.7 million improvement from non-operations including transit impact development fees and interest income. Operating revenue increases included \$26.5 million more in other operating revenues from taxi medallion fees, a combined increase of \$10.9 million from parking and other fees and charges offset by a \$7.3 million decrease in passenger fares. A one-time payment from BART in the prior year for a fare revenue agreement accounted for most of this year's decrease in the latter. On the expenses side, increases of \$22.1 million for personnel, \$21.2 million for general and administrative costs, including judgments and claims, were offset in part by decreases of \$16.6 million in contractual services and \$17.1 million more in other operating expenses for increased cost recovery collections.
- Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH), the City's skilled nursing care hospital, had a decrease in net position of \$11.8 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14 compared to a decrease of \$9.6 million at the end of the previous year, a \$2.2 million difference. The LHH's loss before capital contributions and transfers for the year was \$50.9 million versus a loss of \$85.7 million the prior year. This change of \$34.8 million was largely due to a \$25.9 million increase in operating revenues, about \$7.4 million increase in expenses, and a \$15.3 million increase in non-operating income. This was offset by a \$35.9 million decrease in net transfers with the City this fiscal year, leading to the fiscal year 2013-14 additional decrease in net position, compared to last year, of \$2.2 million.
- General Hospital, the City's acute care hospital, ended fiscal year 2013-14 with a net position increase of \$25.3 million, compared to a \$14.8 million increase the prior year, a \$10.5 million positive change. For this year, General Hospital reported \$21.2 million in operating income due largely to a \$56.9 million operating revenue increase, mostly from net patient services revenues. This was offset by an increase in operating expense of \$12.0 million, comprised of an additional \$6.8 million in contractual services, \$3.6 million more in services of other departments, and \$1.6 million more in other operating expenses. Net non-operating income declined by about \$4.7 million due to decreases in state grants, and net transfers with the City saw a decrease of \$29.7 million this year.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds

The focus of the City's governmental funds statements is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of resources available for future spending. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, unrestricted fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year. Types of governmental funds reported by the City include the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Debt Service Funds, Capital Project Funds, and the Permanent Fund.

At the end of fiscal year 2013-14, the City governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$1.94 billion, an increase of \$268.4 million or 16.1 percent over the prior year. Of the total fund balances, \$559.6 million is assigned and \$9.3 million is unassigned. The total of \$568.9 million or 29.4 percent of the total fund balances constitutes the fund balances that are accessible to meet the City's needs. Within these fund balance classifications, the General Fund had an assigned fund balance of \$508.9 million. The remainder of the governmental funds fund balances includes \$24.5 million nonspendable for items that are not expected to be converted to cash such as inventories and long-term loans, \$1.20 billion restricted for programs at various levels and \$145.1 million committed for other reserves.

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. As a measure of liquidity, both the sum of assigned and unassigned fund balances and total fund balance can be compared to total fund expenditures. As of the end of the fiscal year, assigned and unassigned fund balances totaled \$583.2 million while total fund balance reached \$835.6 million. Combined assigned and unassigned fund balances represent 19.7 percent of total expenditures, while total fund balance represents 28.3 percent of total expenditures. For the year, the General Fund's total revenues exceeded expenditures by \$792.5 million, before transfers and other items of \$497.8 million, resulting in total fund balance increasing by \$294.7 million. Overall, the significant growth in revenues, particularly in real estate property taxes, business taxes, hotel room taxes, and charges for services were offset by an increased rate of expenditure growth due to growing demand for services and personnel costs across City functions and resulted in an increased fund balance this fiscal year.

Proprietary Funds

The City's proprietary fund statements provide the same type of information found in the business-type activities section of the government-wide financial statements but with some additional detail.

At the end of fiscal year 2013-14, the unrestricted net position for the proprietary funds was as follows: Airport: \$191.0 million, Water Enterprise: \$158.9 million, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power: \$171.8 million, SFMTA: \$254.4 million, Wastewater Enterprise: \$91.5 million, and the Port: \$42.3 million. In addition, San Francisco General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital had deficits in unrestricted net position of \$132.8 million and \$44.5 million, respectively.

The following table shows actual revenues, expenses and the results of operations for the current fiscal year in the City's proprietary funds (in thousands). This shows that the total net position for these funds increased by approximately \$409.6 million due to the current year financial activities. Reasons for this change are discussed in the previous section on the City's business-type activities.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014

	Operating Revenues	Operating Expenses	Operating Income (Loss)	Non-Operating Revenues (Expense)	Capital Contributions and Others	Interfund Transfers, Net	Extra-ordinary Loss	Change In Net Position
Airport.....	\$ 770,691	\$ 625,660	\$ 145,031	\$ (203,598)	\$ 91,024	\$ (37,994)	\$ -	\$ (5,537)
Water.....	379,882	333,555	46,327	(92,461)	-	715	-	(45,419)
Hetch Hetchy.....	134,438	136,065	(1,627)	3,513	-	330	(6,843)	(4,627)
Municipal Transportation Agency....	521,628	1,032,437	(510,809)	163,973	414,700	353,770	-	421,634
General Hospital.....	791,360	770,122	21,238	48,885	-	(44,843)	-	25,280
Wastewater Enterprise.....	260,097	216,340	43,757	(10,666)	-	19	-	33,110
Port.....	85,019	83,596	1,423	(3,007)	9,721	543	-	8,680
Laguna Honda Hospital.....	159,678	233,812	(74,134)	23,272	-	39,087	-	(11,775)
Market Corporation.....	141	120	21	(11,727)	-	-	-	(11,706)
Total.....	<u>\$ 3,102,934</u>	<u>\$ 3,431,707</u>	<u>\$ (328,773)</u>	<u>\$ (81,816)</u>	<u>\$ 515,445</u>	<u>\$ 311,627</u>	<u>\$ (6,843)</u>	<u>\$ 409,640</u>

Fiduciary Funds

The City maintains fiduciary funds for the assets of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, Health Service System and Retiree Health Care Trust, and manages the investment of monies held in trust to benefit public service employees. At the end of fiscal year 2013-14, the net position of the Retirement System, Health Services System and Retiree Health Care Trust combined totaled \$20.06 billion, representing a \$2.94 billion increase over the prior year, and 17.2 percent change. This increase is primarily a result of net appreciation in the fair value of investments. The Private Purpose Trust Fund accounts for the Successor Agency, which had a net deficit of \$439.6 million at year's end. This 6.5 percent, or \$30.8 million, decrease in the net deficit is due to increases in developer payments and Redevelopment property tax revenues. The Investment Trust Fund's net position was \$618.6 million at year's end, and the 88.6 percent increase represents the excess of contributions over distributions to external participants.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The City's final budget differs from the original budget in that it contains carry-forward appropriations for various programs and projects, and supplemental appropriations approved during the fiscal year.

During the year, actual revenues and other resources were \$102.2 million higher than the final budget. The City realized \$36.8 million, \$36.1 million, \$29.9 million, and \$24.0 million more revenue than budgeted in real property transfer tax, hotel tax, business taxes, and property taxes, respectively. These increases were partly offset by reductions of \$29.3 million, \$15.0 million, and \$14.8 million in transfers from other funds, Health and Mental Health subventions, and Social Service subventions, respectively.

Differences between the final budget and the actual (budgetary basis) expenditures resulted in \$82.0 million in expenditure savings. Major factors include:

- \$34.7 million in savings from the Department of Public Health due to savings from reduced county participation in intergovernmental transfer programs, and patient census and delays in hiring for vacant positions creating additional salary and fringe savings.
- \$23.9 million in savings from the Human Services Agency, due largely to operating savings from changes in state child care rates and allocations, and lower than expected caseload uptake levels.
- \$9.9 million in salary and benefit savings mainly in the Fire Department, Adult Probation, Superior Court, Juvenile Probation and other departments in public protection.
- \$7.9 million in salary and benefit savings mainly in Treasurer/Tax Collector, Elections, Board of Supervisors, Controller, and other departments in general administration and finance.
- The remaining lower than budgeted expenditures are savings from public works, transportation and commerce, culture and recreation, and general city responsibilities.

The net effect of substantial revenue increases, savings in expenditures and reduction in reserve balances was a budgetary fund balance available for subsequent year appropriation of \$294.7 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14. The City's fiscal year 2014-15 and 2015-16 Adopted Original Budget assumed an

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014

available balance of \$273.0 million fully appropriated in fiscal year 2014-15 and fiscal year 2015-16 leaving \$21.7 million available for future appropriations. (See also Note 4 to the Basic Financial Statements for additional fund balance details).

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

The City's capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of June 30, 2014, increased by \$1.57 billion, 9.3 percent, to \$18.46 billion (net of accumulated depreciation). Capital assets include land, buildings and improvements, machinery and equipment, park facilities, roads, streets, bridges, and intangible assets. Governmental activities contributed \$418.1 million or 26.5 percent to this total while \$1.16 billion or 73.5 percent was from business-type activities. Details are shown in the table below.

	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
	Land.....	\$ 274,163	\$ 257,089	\$ 217,518	\$ 214,992	\$ 491,681
Construction in progress.....	1,178,392	863,080	3,362,438	2,617,539	4,540,830	3,480,619
Facilities and improvements...	2,326,314	2,354,846	8,708,923	8,390,105	11,035,237	10,744,951
Machinery and equipment.....	62,392	54,532	896,508	796,341	958,900	850,873
Infrastructure.....	575,746	471,431	739,728	739,865	1,315,474	1,211,296
Intangible asset	45,707	43,670	72,374	82,049	118,081	125,719
Total.....	\$ 4,462,714	\$ 4,044,648	\$ 13,997,489	\$ 12,840,891	\$ 18,460,203	\$ 16,885,539

Major capital asset events during the current fiscal year included the following:

- Under governmental activities, net capital assets increased by \$418.1 million mainly due to the increase in construction in progress and completed assets at various park and recreational sites, branch libraries, various street improvement and traffic signal upgrades. About \$178.4 million worth of construction in progress work was substantially completed and capitalized as facilities and improvement and infrastructure. Of the completed projects, about \$12.2 million is public library improvements and approximately \$33.5 million is for various parks and recreation centers such as Cayuga Playground, Lafayette Park, Cabrillo Playground and various park improvement projects. The remaining completed projects include public works, intangible assets, and traffic signal projects.
- The Water Enterprise's net capital assets totaled \$4.33 billion at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, an increase of \$468.6 million for the year. Facilities, improvements, machinery and equipment for the Crystal Springs Pump Station and Sunol Valley Water Treatment Plan Expansion projects accounted for close to \$330.6 million of this increase, while \$136.6 million was due primarily to the construction work in progress on the Calaveras Dam Replacement and Irvington Tunnel Alternatives projects. These and other projects are part of the enterprise's multi-year Water System Improvement Program (WSIP), a capital program to upgrade the City's regional and local drinking water systems. As of June 30, 2014, this massive project is considered 81 percent completed, and consists of 35 local projects in the City itself and 48 regional projects spread over seven counties ranging from the Sierra foothills to San Francisco.
- SFMTA's net capital assets were \$2.54 billion at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, an increase of \$349.4 million for the year. Of this, \$340.3 million is for construction in progress (CIP) on New Central Subway, Central Control System Upgrade and Security Projects, and other roadway and track infrastructure upgrades. The remaining increase consists of about \$97.4 million for new buses, vans and escalator replacement and \$12.1 million in building improvements offset by additional accumulated depreciation of approximately \$100.3 million. Of the above noted construction projects, the New Central Subway has \$603.6 million or 71.1 percent of SFMTA's total CIP assets of \$849.4 million. It is a vast undertaking that will link the existing T-line at 4th and King in the City's South of Market area to Union Square and Chinatown to the north, greatly expanding the transit options on this highly traveled and populated corridor.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

- The Wastewater Enterprise net capital assets totaled \$1.8 billion at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, a \$144.7 million or 8.7 percent increase for the year. Approximately \$59.6 million of the increase was due to facility improvement and equipment purchases for the Oceanside and Southeast Plant Improvements project and the Spot Sewer Repair Project. The remaining increase of \$85.1 million was primarily in construction in progress work on various Sewer System Improvement Projects (SSIP) and sewer repair and replacement work. The enterprise is in Phase 1 of SSIP work, a three phase, 20 year, \$6.9 billion undertaking that will upgrade existing infrastructure for operational reliability and regulatory compliance, and implement innovative green infrastructure projects. Phase 1 consists of \$2.7 billion in critical repair and upgrades including rebuilding the Southeast Treatment Plant, constructing eight green infrastructure projects, and planning, design and environmental review of improvements for the Central Bayside project. Phases 2 and 3 are estimated at \$3.3 billion and \$0.9 billion respectively, for additional infrastructure upgrades, creation of redundancy to critical system components, and seismic and reliability upgrades throughout the system.
- Hetch Hetchy's net capital assets totaled \$362.5 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14, a \$13.6 or 3.9 percent increase over the prior year. Hetchy Power's net capital assets accounted for \$9.6 million of this increase which was due to increased construction work in progress for Power Distribution, Early Intake Switchyard projects, facilities, improvements, machinery and equipment. The remaining \$4.0 million net increase to capital assets was accounted for by Hetchy Water's rise in construction in progress work on infrastructure projects and the Lower Cherry Aqueduct Project.
- The Port's net capital assets increased by \$30.7 million to \$439.8 million at the end of fiscal year 2013-14. This 7.5 percent increase was largely due to completion of construction of the James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27, which became operational in September 2014.
- Laguna Honda Hospital's net capital assets decreased by almost \$13.0 million to \$550.0 million in fiscal year 2013-14. This was primarily due to a small net increase in capital assets and construction in progress of \$3.1 million, largely related to the rebuild of the new hospital which was occupied in 2010. In addition, in the current year there was an increase of \$16.1 million in accumulated depreciation.
- General Hospital's net capital assets increased by close to \$20.0 million in fiscal year 2013-14. This was due primarily to a net increase in construction projects of \$23.0 million, net increase of \$2.8 million in facilities, equipment and improvements, along with an increase of \$5.8 million in accumulated depreciation. In the current year, the hospital continued progress on its rebuild project which is financed by general obligation bonds in the amount of \$887.4 million, accounted for in the City's capital project funds. When completed, the new hospital will be contributed to the SFGH enterprise fund.
- The Airport's net capital assets increased by \$148.9 million at the end of fiscal year 2014, a 4.0 percent increase over the prior year due primarily to construction in progress on several large projects, including the Runway Safety Area Program and improvements to Terminal 3.

At the end of fiscal year 2013-14, the City's business-type activities had approximately \$951.4 million in commitments for various capital projects. Of this, Water Enterprise had \$433.6 million, SFMTA had \$283.6 million, Wastewater had \$116.3 million, Airport had \$68.3 million, Hetch Hetchy had \$23.2 million, the Port had \$17.0 million, Laguna Honda Hospital had \$4.9 million and General Hospital had \$4.7 million. In addition, there was an estimated \$147.1 million reserved in encumbrances for general government capital projects.

For government-wide financial statement presentation, all depreciable capital assets were depreciated from acquisition date to the end of fiscal year 2013-14. Governmental fund financial statements record capital asset purchases as expenditures.

Additional information about the City's capital assets can be found in Note 7 to the Basic Financial Statements.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

Debt Administration

At the end of June 30, 2014, the City had total long-term and commercial paper debt outstanding of \$13.58 billion. Of this amount, \$1.94 billion is general obligation bonds secured by ad valorem property taxes without limitation as to rate or amount upon all property subject to taxation by the City and \$11.64 billion is revenue bonds, loans, certificates of participation, capital leases, and other debts of the City secured solely by specified revenue sources.

As noted previously, the City's total long-term debt including all bonds, loans, commercial paper notes and capital leases increased by \$411.0 million or 3.1 percent during the fiscal year. The net decrease in debt obligations in the governmental activities was \$21.6 million primarily due to redemption on maturity. For the business-type activities, the net increase in debt obligations was \$432.3 million. This is due primarily to the issuance of commercial paper by the Airport, certificates of participation by the Port Commission and revenue bonds by the Airport, the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and the Port Commission for various capital projects.

The business-type activities issued a combined total of \$559.2 million revenue bonds, of which \$461.1 million was issued by the Airport to finance the construction cost of Terminal 3 East improvements, the renovation of Boarding Area E and other projects in the Airport's five-year Capital Plan. The SFMTA issued \$75.4 million of revenue bonds to finance its various transit and parking projects. The Port Commission issued \$22.7 million revenue bonds to finance capital projects to various Port facilities and \$37.7 million of Certificates of Participation, of which \$27.2 million was used to repay commercial paper. The Airport issued additional \$249.4 million commercial paper notes to finance capital improvement projects.

The City issued \$210.0 million in general obligation bonds to fund the General Hospital rebuild projects, \$47.2 million refunding certificates of participation for economic savings and drew an additional loan for \$8.7 million for the renovation of the City's west harbor marina.

The City's Charter imposes a limit on the amount of general obligation bonds the City can have outstanding at any given time. That limit is three percent of the assessed value of taxable property in the City – estimated at \$175.97 billion in value as of the close of the fiscal year. As of June 30, 2014, the City had \$2.11 billion in authorized, outstanding general obligation bonds, which is equal to approximately 1.15 percent of gross (1.2 percent of net) taxable assessed value of property. As of June 30, 2014, there were an additional \$940.7 million in bonds that were authorized but unissued. If all of these general obligation bonds were issued and outstanding in full, the total debt burden would be approximately 1.66 percent of gross (1.73 percent of net) taxable assessed value of property.

The City's underlying ratings on general obligation bonds as of June 30, 2014 were:

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.	Aa1
Standard & Poor's	AA+
Fitch Ratings	AA

During the fiscal year, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's affirmed the City's ratings of "Aa1", "AA" and "AA+", respectively, with a stable outlook on all the City's outstanding general obligation bonds.

The City's enterprise activities maintained their underlying debt ratings this fiscal year. Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings affirmed their underlying credit ratings of the Airport of "A1", "A+" and "A+" with stable rating outlooks, respectively. The Water Enterprise, Wastewater Enterprise and SFMTA all carried underlying ratings of "Aa3" and "AA-" from Moody's and Standard & Poor's, respectively as of June 30, 2014.

Additional information in the City's long-term debt can be found in Note 8 to the Basic Financial Statements.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2014

Economic factors and future budgets and rates

San Francisco has continued to experience improvement in the economy during the fiscal year. The following economic factors were considered in the preparation of the City's budget for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16. This two-year budget was adopted by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. It is a rolling budget for all departments, except for the Airport, PUC enterprises, SFMTA, and the Port, which each have a fixed two-year budget.

- Average unemployment for fiscal year 2013-14 was 5.2 percent, a 1.3 percent decrease from fiscal year 2012-13.
- Housing prices, residential and commercial rent, hotel revenues, and retail sales all continued to show strong growth. The average median home price in fiscal year 2013-14 was \$884,083, up 15.5 percent from the previous fiscal year average median home price of \$765,583. Residential and commercial rents also grew by 9.4 percent and 5.1 percent, respectively, from the prior fiscal year.
- The hotel sector saw significant growth in fiscal year 2013-14 over the prior year. Monthly average hotel room average occupancy improved slightly from 84.2 percent during fiscal year 2012-13 to 85.8 percent in fiscal year 2013-14 while average daily room rates grew by 11.7 percent to \$238 per room-night from an average of \$213 in the prior year.
- The City's taxable sales have also continued to grow, with fiscal year 2013-14 sales tax revenue up 9.4 percent over fiscal year 2012-13.

The Mayor and Board of Supervisors approved a final two-year budget for fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16 in July 2014, which assumes use of prior year fund balance from General Fund of \$135.9 million and \$137.1 million, respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited) (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the money it receives. Below are the contacts for questions about this report or requests for additional financial information.

City and County of San Francisco

Office of the Controller
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Individual Department Financial Statements

San Francisco International Airport

Office of the Airport Deputy Director
Business and Finance Division
PO Box 8097
San Francisco, CA 94128

Port of San Francisco

Public Information Officer
Pier 1, The Embarcadero
San Francisco, CA 94111

***San Francisco Water Enterprise
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power
San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise***

Chief Financial Officer
525 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Laguna Honda Hospital

Chief Financial Officer
375 Laguna Honda Blvd.
San Francisco, CA 94116

Municipal Transportation Agency

SFMTA Finance and Information Technology
Services
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Health Service System

Chief Financial Officer
1145 Market Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center

Chief Financial Officer
1001 Potrero Avenue, Suite 2A7
San Francisco, CA 94110

San Francisco Employees' Retirement System

Executive Director
1145 Market Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

***Successor Agency to the
San Francisco Redevelopment Agency***

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103


Blended Component Units Financial Statements

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

Deputy Director for Administration and Finance
1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

San Francisco Finance Corporation

Office of Public Finance
City Hall, Room 336
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102



This page has been intentionally left blank.

Basic Financial Statements

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2014

(In Thousands)

	Primary Government			Component Unit
	Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities	Total	Treasure Island Development Authority
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 2,423,548	\$ 1,944,883	\$ 4,368,431	\$ 8,868
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	68,302	13,530	81,832	-
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectible amounts of \$201,932 for the primary government):				
Property taxes and penalties.....	62,510	-	62,510	-
Other local taxes.....	236,255	-	236,255	-
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	299,361	241,515	540,876	-
Charges for services.....	58,101	211,871	269,972	490
Interest and other.....	8,677	115,782	124,459	12
Due from component units.....	1,423	200	1,623	-
Inventories.....	-	82,500	82,500	-
Other assets.....	18,660	6,598	25,258	-
Restricted assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	-	227,894	227,894	-
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	40,417	173,686	214,103	-
Grants and other receivables.....	-	71,103	71,103	-
Total current assets.....	<u>3,217,254</u>	<u>3,089,562</u>	<u>6,306,816</u>	<u>9,370</u>
Noncurrent assets:				
Loan receivables (net of allowance for uncollectible amounts of \$962,170).....	72,079	-	72,079	-
Advance to component units.....	32,276	3,227	35,503	-
Other assets.....	1,172	7,679	8,851	-
Restricted assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	-	957,616	957,616	-
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	4,730	590,343	595,073	-
Grants and other receivables.....	-	32,512	32,512	-
Capital assets:				
Land and other assets not being depreciated.....	1,458,491	3,591,999	5,050,490	-
Facilities, infrastructure and equipment, net of depreciation.....	<u>3,004,223</u>	<u>10,405,490</u>	<u>13,409,713</u>	-
Total capital assets.....	<u>4,462,714</u>	<u>13,997,489</u>	<u>18,460,203</u>	-
Total noncurrent assets.....	<u>4,572,971</u>	<u>15,588,866</u>	<u>20,161,837</u>	-
Total assets.....	<u>7,790,225</u>	<u>18,678,428</u>	<u>26,468,653</u>	<u>9,370</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	11,701	111,350	123,051	-
Deferred outflows on derivative instruments.....	-	64,964	64,964	-
Total deferred outflows of resources.....	<u>\$ 11,701</u>	<u>\$ 176,314</u>	<u>\$ 188,015</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Statement of Net Position (Continued)

June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Primary Government			Component Unit
	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities	Total	Treasure Island Development Authority
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 338,365	\$ 226,467	\$ 564,832	\$ 391
Accrued payroll.....	145,928	115,579	261,507	-
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	79,559	57,653	137,212	-
Accrued workers' compensation.....	37,467	25,774	63,241	-
Estimated claims payable.....	48,932	39,491	88,423	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	398,816	409,495	808,311	-
Capital lease payable to other governmental agency.....	870	-	870	-
Accrued interest payable.....	12,760	51,480	64,240	-
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	18,081	-	18,081	-
Due to primary government.....	-	-	-	548
Internal balances.....	5,734	(5,734)	-	-
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	305,097	441,458	746,555	1,263
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:				
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	278,147	278,147	-
Accrued interest payable.....	-	31,007	31,007	-
Other.....	-	214,125	214,125	-
Total current liabilities.....	<u>1,391,609</u>	<u>1,884,942</u>	<u>3,276,551</u>	<u>2,202</u>
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	68,721	44,039	112,760	-
Accrued workers' compensation.....	185,280	135,355	320,635	-
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	1,004,141	734,434	1,738,575	-
Estimated claims payable.....	106,919	51,717	158,636	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	2,698,590	9,791,751	12,490,341	-
Advance from primary government.....	-	-	-	13,833
Capital lease payable to other governmental agency.....	2,215	-	2,215	-
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	2,545	96,672	99,217	-
Derivative instruments liabilities.....	-	80,235	80,235	-
Total noncurrent liabilities.....	<u>4,068,411</u>	<u>10,934,203</u>	<u>15,002,614</u>	<u>13,833</u>
Total liabilities.....	<u>5,460,020</u>	<u>12,819,145</u>	<u>18,279,165</u>	<u>16,035</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unamortized gain on refunding of debt.....	275	449	724	-
Unamortized gain on leaseback transaction.....	-	17,288	17,288	-
Total deferred inflows of resources.....	<u>275</u>	<u>17,737</u>	<u>18,012</u>	<u>-</u>
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets, Note 2(k).....	2,483,086	4,832,659	7,032,674	-
Restricted for:				
Reserve for rainy day.....	83,194	-	83,194	-
Debt service.....	91,900	64,143	156,043	-
Capital projects, Note 2(k).....	110,608	363,601	418,103	-
Community development.....	200,640	-	200,640	-
Transportation Authority activities.....	12,496	-	12,496	-
Building inspection programs.....	97,928	-	97,928	-
Children and families.....	59,572	-	59,572	-
Culture and recreation.....	79,594	-	79,594	-
Grants.....	68,142	-	68,142	-
Other purposes.....	58,632	24,721	83,353	-
Total restricted.....	<u>862,706</u>	<u>452,465</u>	<u>1,259,065</u>	<u>-</u>
Unrestricted (deficit), Note 2(k).....	<u>(1,004,161)</u>	<u>732,736</u>	<u>67,752</u>	<u>(6,665)</u>
Total net position (deficit).....	<u>\$ 2,341,631</u>	<u>\$ 6,017,860</u>	<u>\$ 8,359,491</u>	<u>\$ (6,665)</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Statement of Activities
 Year Ended June 30, 2014
 (In Thousands)

Functions/Programs	Program Revenues				Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position			Component Unit Treasure Island Development Authority
	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Primary Government		Total	
					Governmental Activities	Business- Type Activities		
Primary government:								
Governmental activities:								
Public protection.....	\$ 1,229,591	\$ 69,673	\$ 187,962	\$ 570	\$ (971,386)	\$ -	\$ (971,386)	\$ -
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	200,712	135,842	48,588	34,699	18,417	-	18,417	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	1,009,190	99,848	604,711	-	(304,631)	-	(304,631)	-
Community health.....	786,761	67,680	256,282	719	(462,080)	-	(462,080)	-
Culture and recreation.....	357,620	89,969	2,213	3,391	(262,047)	-	(262,047)	-
General administration and finance.....	298,563	66,071	12,520	-	(219,972)	-	(219,972)	-
General City responsibilities.....	85,239	39,445	29,818	-	(15,976)	-	(15,976)	-
Unallocated interest on long- term debt and cost of issuance...	115,880	-	-	-	(115,880)	-	(115,880)	-
Total governmental activities.....	<u>4,083,556</u>	<u>568,528</u>	<u>1,142,094</u>	<u>39,379</u>	<u>(2,333,555)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(2,333,555)</u>	<u>-</u>
Business-type activities:								
Airport.....	827,658	770,691	-	91,024	-	34,057	34,057	-
Transportation.....	1,037,368	521,628	139,668	414,700	-	38,628	38,628	-
Port.....	88,551	85,019	165	9,721	-	6,354	6,354	-
Water.....	470,200	379,882	715	-	-	(89,603)	(89,603)	-
Power.....	137,639	134,438	156	-	-	(3,045)	(3,045)	-
Hospitals.....	1,011,452	951,038	47,867	-	-	(12,547)	(12,547)	-
Sewer.....	243,466	260,097	1,780	-	-	18,411	18,411	-
Market.....	120	141	-	-	-	21	21	-
Total business-type activities.....	<u>3,816,454</u>	<u>3,102,934</u>	<u>190,351</u>	<u>515,445</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(7,724)</u>	<u>(7,724)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total primary government.....	<u>\$ 7,900,010</u>	<u>\$ 3,671,462</u>	<u>\$ 1,332,445</u>	<u>\$ 554,824</u>	<u>(2,333,555)</u>	<u>(7,724)</u>	<u>(2,341,279)</u>	<u>-</u>
Component unit:								
Treasure Island Development Authority.....	\$ 7,963	\$ 9,605	\$ -	\$ -	-	-	-	\$ 1,642
General Revenues								
Taxes:								
Property taxes.....					1,521,471	-	1,521,471	-
Business taxes.....					563,406	-	563,406	-
Sales and use tax.....					227,636	-	227,636	-
Hotel room tax.....					310,052	-	310,052	-
Utility users tax.....					86,810	-	86,810	-
Parking tax.....					83,476	-	83,476	-
Real property transfer tax.....					261,925	-	261,925	-
Other local taxes.....					46,237	-	46,237	-
Interest and investment income.....					21,887	29,843	51,730	70
Other.....					70,024	82,737	152,761	-
Transfers - internal activities of primary government.....					(311,627)	311,627	-	-
Total general revenues and transfers.....					<u>2,881,297</u>	<u>424,207</u>	<u>3,305,504</u>	<u>70</u>
Extraordinary loss from the								
Rim Fire.....					-	(6,843)	(6,843)	-
Change in net position.....					547,742	409,640	957,382	1,712
Net position (deficit) at beginning of year, as restated.....					<u>1,793,889</u>	<u>5,608,220</u>	<u>7,402,109</u>	<u>(8,377)</u>
Net position (deficit) at end of year.....					<u>\$ 2,341,631</u>	<u>\$ 6,017,860</u>	<u>\$ 8,359,491</u>	<u>\$ (6,665)</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Balance Sheet
Governmental Funds**

June 30, 2014

(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)

(In Thousands)

	General Fund		Other Governmental Funds		Total Governmental Funds	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Assets:						
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 1,053,040	\$ 720,132	\$ 1,332,623	\$ 1,357,554	\$ 2,385,663	\$ 2,077,686
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	2,311	1,004	65,991	71,413	68,302	72,417
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectible amounts of \$163,588 in 2014; \$175,712 in 2013):						
Property taxes and penalties.....	52,282	47,791	10,228	8,980	62,510	56,771
Other local taxes.....	218,551	223,091	17,704	15,191	236,255	238,282
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	179,065	171,113	120,296	109,308	299,361	280,421
Charges for services.....	44,550	41,864	13,517	11,538	58,067	53,402
Interest and other.....	4,249	2,318	3,829	2,071	8,078	4,389
Due from other funds.....	12,511	11,753	5,873	29,460	18,384	41,213
Due from component unit.....	878	2,179	545	457	1,423	2,636
Advance to component unit.....	21,670	20,067	10,606	10,336	32,276	30,403
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible amounts of \$962,170 in 2014; \$945,031 in 2013).....	1,332	157	70,747	70,169	72,079	70,326
Other assets.....	3,458	4,473	13,638	12,404	17,096	16,877
Total assets.....	\$ 1,593,897	\$ 1,245,942	\$ 1,665,597	\$ 1,698,881	\$ 3,259,494	\$ 2,944,823
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable.....	\$ 177,241	\$ 152,649	\$ 151,808	\$ 149,246	\$ 329,049	\$ 301,895
Accrued payroll.....	118,012	107,889	25,181	23,009	143,193	130,898
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	9,748	8,186	8,333	8,025	18,081	16,211
Due to other funds.....	701	870	20,910	27,856	21,611	28,726
Due to component unit.....	-	-	-	280	-	280
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	249,566	212,732	55,412	52,532	304,978	265,264
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	-	175,760	201,546	175,760	201,546
Total liabilities.....	555,268	482,326	437,404	462,494	992,672	944,820
Deferred inflows of resources	203,067	222,745	126,776	108,697	329,843	331,442
Fund balances:						
Nonspendable.....	24,022	23,854	441	274	24,463	24,128
Restricted.....	83,194	26,339	1,115,226	1,191,189	1,198,420	1,217,528
Committed.....	145,126	137,487	-	-	145,126	137,487
Assigned.....	508,903	353,191	50,733	30,759	559,636	383,950
Unassigned.....	74,317	-	(64,983)	(94,532)	9,334	(94,532)
Total fund balances.....	835,562	540,871	1,101,417	1,127,690	1,936,979	1,668,561
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	\$ 1,593,897	\$ 1,245,942	\$ 1,665,597	\$ 1,698,881	\$ 3,259,494	\$ 2,944,823

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet
to the Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

Fund balances – total governmental funds	\$ 1,936,979
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	4,453,436
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds.	(4,190,458)
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and, therefore, are not recognized in the governmental funds	329,568
Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in the funds, but rather is recognized as an expenditure when due.	(11,182)
Deferred outflow of resources in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds	10,451
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of capital lease financing, fleet management, printing and mailing services, and information systems to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.	<u>(187,163)</u>
Net position of governmental activities	<u>\$2,341,631</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Governmental Funds**

Year Ended June 30, 2014

(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)

(In Thousands)

	General Fund		Other Governmental Funds		Total Governmental Funds	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues:						
Property taxes.....	\$ 1,178,277	\$ 1,122,008	\$ 338,984	\$ 299,756	\$ 1,517,261	\$ 1,421,764
Business taxes.....	562,896	479,627	510	504	563,406	480,131
Sales and use tax.....	133,705	122,271	93,931	85,754	227,636	208,025
Hotel room tax.....	310,052	182,396	-	56,386	310,052	238,782
Utility users tax.....	86,810	91,871	-	-	86,810	91,871
Parking tax.....	83,476	81,645	-	-	83,476	81,645
Real property transfer tax.....	261,925	232,731	-	-	261,925	232,731
Other local taxes.....	46,237	45,432	-	-	46,237	45,432
Licenses, permits and franchises.....	26,975	26,273	15,396	14,628	42,371	40,901
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	5,281	6,226	23,144	43,615	28,425	49,841
Interest and investment income.....	7,866	2,125	13,812	5,364	21,678	7,489
Rents and concessions.....	25,501	35,273	65,211	63,497	90,712	98,770
Intergovernmental:						
Federal.....	215,682	174,753	210,632	246,022	426,314	420,775
State.....	609,877	542,800	111,858	113,341	721,735	656,141
Other.....	2,191	3,072	7,217	38,717	9,408	41,789
Charges for services.....	180,850	164,391	153,054	131,668	333,904	296,059
Other.....	9,760	14,142	125,163	66,872	134,923	81,014
Total revenues.....	3,747,361	3,327,036	1,158,912	1,166,124	4,906,273	4,493,160
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Public protection.....	1,096,839	1,057,451	75,658	88,433	1,172,497	1,145,884
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	78,249	68,014	153,756	155,204	232,005	223,218
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	720,787	660,657	274,405	284,449	995,192	945,106
Community health.....	668,701	634,701	92,738	100,035	761,439	734,736
Culture and recreation.....	113,019	105,870	218,895	222,924	331,914	328,794
General administration and finance.....	190,335	186,342	43,642	24,796	233,977	211,138
General City responsibilities.....	86,968	81,657	28	118	86,996	81,775
Debt service:						
Principal retirement.....	-	-	190,266	154,542	190,266	154,542
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	-	-	119,142	108,189	119,142	108,189
Bond issuance costs.....	-	-	2,185	2,913	2,185	2,913
Capital outlay.....	-	-	449,726	410,994	449,726	410,994
Total expenditures.....	2,954,898	2,794,692	1,620,441	1,552,597	4,575,339	4,347,289
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	792,463	532,344	(461,529)	(386,473)	330,934	145,871
Other financing sources (uses):						
Transfers in.....	216,449	195,272	346,834	252,462	563,283	447,734
Transfers out.....	(720,806)	(646,912)	(154,490)	(283,881)	(875,296)	(930,793)
Issuance of bonds and loans:						
Face value of bonds issued.....	-	-	257,175	557,490	257,175	557,490
Face value of loans issued.....	-	-	8,735	5,890	8,735	5,890
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	-	-	19,773	64,469	19,773	64,469
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent.....	-	-	(49,055)	-	(49,055)	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	6,585	4,442	6,284	9,028	12,869	13,470
Total other financing sources (uses).....	(497,772)	(447,198)	435,256	605,458	(62,516)	158,260
Extraordinary loss from dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency.....						
	-	-	-	(172,651)	-	(172,651)
Net changes in fund balances.....	294,691	85,146	(26,273)	46,334	268,418	131,480
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	540,871	455,725	1,127,690	1,081,356	1,668,561	1,537,081
Fund balances at end of year.....	\$ 835,562	\$ 540,871	\$ 1,101,417	\$ 1,127,690	\$ 1,936,979	\$ 1,668,561

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities**
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

Net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 268,418
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation and loss on disposal of capital assets in the current period.	414,708
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. This is the amount by which the increase in certain liabilities reported in the statement of net position of the previous year exceeded expenses reported in the statement of activities that do not require the use of current financial resources.	(136,301)
Property tax revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.	4,210
Unavailable revenues are reported as deferred inflows of resources in the governmental funds, but are recognized as revenues in the statement of activities.	15,497
Governmental funds report revenues and expenditures primarily pertaining to long-term loan activities, which are not reported in the statement of activities. At the government-wide level, these activities are reported in the statement of net position. This is the net expenditure reported in the governmental funds, which is not reported in the statement of activities.	1,432
Lease payments on the Moscone Convention Center (including both principal and interest) are reported as expenditures in the governmental funds when paid. For the City as a whole, however, the principal portion of the payments serves to reduce the liability in the statement of net position. This is the amount of property rent payments expended in the governmental funds that were reclassified as capital lease principal and interest payments in the current period.	7,348
The issuance of long-term debt and capital leases provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt and capital leases consume the current financial resources of governmental funds. These transactions, however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which bond and other debt proceeds exceeded principal retirement in the current period.	(26,589)
Bond premiums are reported in the governmental funds when the bonds are issued, and are capitalized and amortized in the statement of net position. This is the amount of bond premiums capitalized during the current period.	(19,773)
Interest expense in the statement of activities differs from the amount reported in the governmental funds because of additional accrued and accreted interest; amortization of bond discounts, premiums and refunding losses and gains.	11,015
The activities of internal service funds are reported with governmental activities.	<u>7,777</u>
Change in net position of governmental activities	<u>\$ 547,742</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)
Budgetary Fund Balance, July 1	\$ 156,426	\$ 674,637	\$ 674,637	\$ -
Resources (Inflows):				
Property taxes.....	1,153,417	1,153,417	1,177,370	23,953
Business taxes.....	532,988	532,988	562,896	29,908
Other local taxes:				
Sales and use tax.....	125,697	125,697	133,705	8,008
Hotel room tax.....	273,930	273,930	310,052	36,122
Utility users tax.....	93,515	93,515	86,810	(6,705)
Parking tax.....	83,251	83,251	83,476	225
Real property transfer tax.....	225,150	225,150	261,925	36,775
Other local taxes.....	45,381	45,381	46,237	856
Licenses, permits and franchises:				
Licenses and permits.....	9,390	9,390	10,641	1,251
Franchise tax.....	16,143	16,143	16,334	191
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	9,097	4,994	5,281	287
Interest and investment income.....	10,946	10,946	10,132	(814)
Rents and concessions:				
Garages - Recreation and Park.....	9,761	9,761	12,366	2,605
Rents and concessions - Recreation and Park.....	11,093	11,093	11,896	803
Other rents and concessions.....	2,206	2,206	2,656	450
Intergovernmental:				
Federal grants and subventions.....	214,450	203,231	217,198	13,967
State subventions:				
Social service subventions.....	70,108	99,260	84,500	(14,760)
Health / mental health subventions.....	135,573	135,071	120,080	(14,991)
Health and welfare realignment.....	225,155	225,867	229,283	3,416
Public safety sales tax.....	86,836	86,836	87,473	637
Motor vehicle in-lieu - county.....	-	-	-	-
Other grants and subventions.....	45,251	45,264	61,628	16,364
Allowance for state revenue reduction.....	-	-	-	-
Other.....	3,563	3,659	2,191	(1,468)
Charges for services:				
General government service charges.....	56,030	56,030	56,546	516
Public safety service charges.....	26,635	26,635	33,080	6,445
Recreation charges - Recreation and Park.....	15,569	15,577	18,035	2,458
MediCal, MediCare and health service charges.....	78,814	78,839	73,618	(5,221)
Other financing sources:				
Transfers from other funds.....	217,982	242,958	213,647	(29,311)
Repayment of loan from Component Unit.....	1,105	1,105	-	(1,105)
Other resources (inflows).....	14,302	14,321	5,610	(8,711)
Subtotal - Resources (Inflows)	<u>3,793,338</u>	<u>3,832,515</u>	<u>3,934,666</u>	<u>102,151</u>
Total amounts available for appropriation.....	<u>3,949,764</u>	<u>4,507,152</u>	<u>4,609,303</u>	<u>102,151</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)
Charges to Appropriations (Outflows):				
Public Protection				
Adult Probation.....	\$ 25,493	\$ 23,410	\$ 21,867	\$ 1,543
District Attorney.....	41,170	40,816	40,430	386
Emergency Communications.....	42,778	43,432	43,204	228
Fire Department.....	304,496	305,406	301,975	3,431
Juvenile Probation.....	35,472	31,488	30,245	1,243
Police Department.....	455,186	445,443	445,443	-
Public Defender.....	28,623	28,634	27,791	843
Sheriff.....	163,108	151,560	150,742	818
Superior Court.....	32,427	32,478	31,111	1,367
Subtotal - Public Protection	<u>1,128,753</u>	<u>1,102,667</u>	<u>1,092,808</u>	<u>9,859</u>
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Board of Appeals.....	942	971	881	90
Business and Economic Development.....	26,954	24,106	22,016	2,090
General Services Agency - Public Works.....	52,901	53,267	52,984	283
Hetch Hetchy.....	-	993	993	-
Municipal Transportation Agency.....	-	298	298	-
Subtotal - Public Works, Transportation and Commerce	<u>80,797</u>	<u>79,635</u>	<u>77,172</u>	<u>2,463</u>
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Children, Youth and Their Families.....	28,966	29,695	29,695	-
Commission on the Status of Women.....	4,925	4,988	4,959	29
County Education Office.....	116	116	116	-
Environment.....	-	265	263	2
Human Rights Commission.....	1,529	1,301	938	363
Human Services.....	664,718	696,181	672,276	23,905
Mayor - Housing/Neighborhoods.....	26,366	12,731	12,540	191
Subtotal - Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development	<u>726,620</u>	<u>745,277</u>	<u>720,787</u>	<u>24,490</u>
Community Health				
Public Health.....	701,978	703,092	668,412	34,680
Culture and Recreation				
Academy of Sciences.....	4,433	4,433	4,433	-
Arts Commission.....	8,894	7,042	6,963	79
Asian Art Museum.....	8,246	8,335	8,067	268
Fine Arts Museum.....	13,783	13,351	13,250	101
Law Library.....	1,285	1,282	1,157	125
Recreation and Park Commission.....	82,938	78,181	78,181	-
Subtotal - Culture and Recreation	<u>119,579</u>	<u>112,624</u>	<u>112,051</u>	<u>573</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund (Continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2014

(In Thousands)

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual Budgetary Basis</u>	<u>Variance Positive (Negative)</u>
General Administration and Finance				
Assessor/Recorder.....	\$ 19,077	\$ 18,907	\$ 18,061	\$ 846
Board of Supervisors.....	12,705	12,963	12,566	397
City Attorney.....	14,933	11,383	10,550	833
City Planning.....	27,718	28,739	28,739	-
Civil Service.....	612	616	615	1
Controller.....	14,469	13,591	12,610	981
Elections.....	16,551	16,398	13,557	2,841
Ethics Commission.....	4,532	2,625	2,294	331
General Services Agency - Administrative Services.....	59,603	45,567	44,460	1,107
General Services Agency - Technology.....	1,885	2,085	1,934	151
Health Service System.....	173	395	395	-
Human Resources.....	11,226	13,849	13,833	16
Mayor.....	4,845	4,935	4,935	-
Retirement Services.....	1,117	1,290	1,290	-
Treasurer/Tax Collector.....	28,776	26,366	25,966	400
Subtotal - General Administration and Finance	<u>218,222</u>	<u>199,709</u>	<u>191,805</u>	<u>7,904</u>
General City Responsibilities				
General City Responsibilities.....	96,940	86,516	85,085	1,431
Other financing uses:				
Debt service.....	2,215	-	-	-
Transfers to other funds.....	804,777	720,114	719,481	633
Budgetary reserves and designations.....	69,883	-	-	-
Total charges to appropriations.....	<u>3,949,764</u>	<u>3,749,634</u>	<u>3,667,601</u>	<u>82,033</u>
Total Sources less Current Year Uses.....	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 757,518</u>	<u>\$ 941,702</u>	<u>\$ 184,184</u>
Budgetary fund balance, June 30 before reserves and designations			\$ 941,702	
Reserves and designations made from budgetary fund balance not available for appropriation			(522,062)	
Reserves for Litigation and Contingencies and General Reserves			<u>(124,971)</u>	
Net Available Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30			<u>\$ 294,669</u>	
Sources/inflows of resources				
Actual amounts (budgetary basis) "available for appropriation".....			\$ 4,609,303	
Difference - budget to GAAP:				
The fund balance at the beginning of the year is a budgetary resource but is not a current year revenue for financial reporting purposes.....			(674,637)	
Property tax revenue - Teeter Plan net change from prior year.....			906	
Change in unrealized gain/(loss) on investments.....			2,075	
Interest earnings / charges from other funds assigned to General Fund as interest adjustment.....			(4,341)	
Interest earnings from other funds assigned to General Fund as other revenues.....			1,623	
Grants, subventions and other receivables received after 90-day recognition period.....			27,495	
Prepaid lease revenue, Civic Center Garage.....			(1,416)	
Transfers from other funds are inflows of budgetary resources, but are not revenues for financial reporting purposes.....			<u>(213,647)</u>	
Total revenues as reported on the statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance - General Fund.....			<u>\$ 3,747,361</u>	
Uses/outflows of resources				
Actual amounts (budgetary basis) "total charges to appropriations".....			\$ 3,667,601	
Difference - budget to GAAP:				
Capital asset purchases funded under capital leases with Finance Corporation and other vendors.....			6,585	
Recognition of expenditures for advances and imprest cash and capital asset acquisition for internal service fund.....			193	
Transfers to other funds are outflows of budgetary resources but are not expenditures for financial reporting purposes.....			<u>(719,481)</u>	
Total expenditures as reported on the statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance - General Fund.....			<u>\$ 2,954,898</u>	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Net Position - Proprietary Funds
June 30, 2014
(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)
(In Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds										Total		Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds	
	Major Funds								Other Fund					
	San Francisco International Airport	San Francisco Water Enterprise	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power	Municipal Transportation Agency	General Hospital Medical Center	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	San Francisco Market Corporation	2014	2013	2014	2013	
ASSETS														
Current Assets:														
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 380,170	\$ 328,798	\$ 188,019	\$ 708,199	\$132,847	\$ 122,546	\$ 77,126	\$ 7,178	\$ -	\$ 1,944,883	\$ 1,806,112	\$ 37,885	\$ 32,368	
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury....	6,626	106	10	6,679	10	92	5	2	-	13,530	9,808	-	-	
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectible amounts of \$38,344 and \$44,179 in 2014 and 2013, respectively):														
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	177	-	174,064	197	19,805	6,739	40,533	-	241,515	139,951	-	-	
Charges for services.....	37,027	44,018	7,560	5,523	63,673	27,156	4,061	22,853	-	211,871	229,350	34	-	
Interest and other.....	1,391	6,408	216	6,472	85,541	1,557	141	14,056	-	115,782	110,820	599	763	
Lease receivable.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,128	22,545	
Due from other funds.....	-	260	11,417	6,286	155	110	-	5	-	18,233	20,043	-	-	
Due from component unit.....	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	200	-	-	
Inventories.....	56	7,735	344	62,069	7,750	2,472	1,010	1,064	-	82,500	78,225	-	-	
Other assets.....	681	-	5,255	515	-	-	147	-	-	6,598	6,101	225	-	
Restricted assets:														
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	141,692	-	-	-	-	-	47,598	38,604	-	227,894	160,160	-	-	
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury...	65,551	64,999	1,281	-	-	24,601	13,227	4,027	-	173,686	165,938	40,417	55,337	
Grants and other receivables.....	71,103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71,103	51,810	-	-	
Total current assets.....	704,297	452,501	214,302	969,807	290,173	198,339	150,054	128,322	-	3,107,795	2,778,518	101,288	111,013	
Noncurrent assets:														
Other assets.....	2,474	1,666	2,238	-	-	-	1,301	-	-	7,679	9,155	-	-	
Capital lease receivable.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	218,983	239,998	
Advance to component unit.....	-	-	3,227	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,227	3,427	-	-	
Restricted assets:														
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	230,817	597,887	11,062	20,808	-	97,042	-	-	-	957,616	1,449,790	-	-	
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury...	346,217	213,183	-	12,763	4,057	-	-	14,123	-	590,343	596,558	4,730	4,777	
Grants and other receivables.....	425	6,224	119	2,762	-	7,716	-	15,266	-	32,512	32,107	-	-	
Capital assets:														
Land and other assets not being depreciated.....	394,377	1,689,784	94,053	890,477	66,149	301,425	155,506	228	-	3,591,999	2,837,693	-	-	
Facilities, infrastructure, and equipment, net of depreciation.....	3,475,341	2,637,766	268,444	1,651,571	34,161	1,504,134	284,267	549,806	-	10,405,490	10,003,198	9,278	5,920	
Total capital assets.....	3,869,718	4,327,550	362,497	2,542,048	100,310	1,805,559	439,773	550,034	-	13,997,489	12,840,891	9,278	5,920	
Total noncurrent assets.....	4,449,651	5,146,510	379,143	2,578,381	104,367	1,910,317	441,074	579,423	-	15,588,866	14,931,928	232,991	250,695	
Total assets.....	5,153,948	5,599,011	593,445	3,548,188	394,540	2,108,656	591,128	707,745	-	18,696,661	17,710,446	334,279	361,708	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES														
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	92,147	17,505	-	-	-	1,698	-	-	-	111,350	129,461	1,250	1,330	
Deferred outflows on derivative instruments.....	64,964	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,964	64,743	-	-	
Total deferred outflows of resources.....	157,111	17,505	-	-	-	1,698	-	-	-	176,314	194,204	1,250	1,330	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Net Position - Proprietary Funds (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)
(In Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds										Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds		
	Major Funds								Other Fund				
	San Francisco International Airport	San Francisco Water Enterprise	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power	Municipal Transportation Agency	General Hospital Medical Center	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	San Francisco Market Corporation	Total	Total	2014	2013
LIABILITIES													
Current liabilities:													
Accounts payable.....	\$ 40,782	\$ 9,724	\$ 13,260	\$ 97,717	\$ 41,060	\$ 7,469	\$ 14,333	\$ 2,122	\$ -	\$ 226,467	\$ 212,498	\$ 9,316	\$ 5,526
Accrued payroll.....	15,285	10,392	3,441	38,730	27,471	6,900	2,269	11,091	-	115,579	103,099	2,735	2,391
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	8,728	5,932	1,948	18,475	12,565	3,380	1,252	5,373	-	57,653	55,019	1,506	1,408
Accrued workers' compensation.....	1,243	1,419	439	15,423	3,677	873	406	2,294	-	25,774	24,002	322	290
Estimated claims payable.....	1,319	6,263	788	27,345	-	2,296	1,480	-	-	39,491	24,284	-	-
Due to other funds.....	-	9	8	2,500	946	1,773	318	6,945	-	12,499	30,567	2,507	1,963
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	55,633	30,181	247	174,384	112,865	1,902	15,062	51,184	-	441,458	435,257	39,866	55,579
Accrued interest payable.....	-	37,043	223	2,064	109	8,811	1,472	1,758	-	51,480	51,380	1,578	1,650
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	145,733	213,956	1,608	5,945	2,551	31,452	2,600	5,650	-	409,495	635,557	20,440	21,144
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:													
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables..	278,147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278,147	207,708	-	-
Accrued interest payable.....	31,007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31,007	28,158	-	-
Other.....	100,933	79,180	567	1,268	-	31,489	-	688	-	214,125	224,549	-	-
Total current liabilities.....	678,810	394,099	22,529	383,851	201,244	96,345	39,192	87,105	-	1,903,175	2,032,078	78,270	89,951
Noncurrent liabilities:													
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	7,224	5,538	1,501	12,256	9,799	2,659	1,040	4,022	-	44,039	44,414	1,272	1,324
Accrued workers' compensation.....	4,427	7,316	2,028	79,728	22,881	4,225	2,368	12,382	-	135,355	124,442	1,445	1,218
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	103,783	94,762	20,123	199,205	191,610	37,152	18,091	69,708	-	734,434	658,008	19,789	17,847
Estimated claims payable.....	68	12,601	2,106	32,356	-	4,236	350	-	-	51,717	39,297	-	-
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	-	31,075	186	-	-	571	64,840	-	-	96,672	89,080	-	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	4,169,755	4,416,913	31,422	136,995	19,576	783,299	93,958	139,833	-	9,791,751	9,203,466	223,063	244,048
Derivative instruments liabilities.....	80,235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80,235	81,338	-	-
Total noncurrent liabilities.....	4,365,492	4,568,205	57,366	460,540	243,866	832,142	180,647	225,945	-	10,934,203	10,240,045	245,569	264,437
Total liabilities.....	5,044,302	4,962,304	79,895	844,391	445,110	928,487	219,839	313,050	-	12,837,378	12,272,123	323,839	354,388
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES													
Unamortized gain on refunding of debt.....	-	-	-	449	-	-	-	-	-	449	488	-	-
Unamortized gain on leaseback transaction.....	-	-	-	17,288	-	-	-	-	-	17,288	23,819	-	-
Total deferred inflows of resources.....	-	-	-	17,737	-	-	-	-	-	17,737	24,307	-	-
NET POSITION													
Net investment in capital assets.....	(149,894)	366,799	336,202	2,396,595	82,210	1,066,814	312,572	421,361	-	4,832,659	4,650,574	9,278	5,556
Restricted:													
Debt service.....	25,390	25,356	-	12,763	-	634	-	-	-	64,143	58,970	-	-
Capital projects.....	200,219	103,154	5,507	-	-	22,929	16,389	15,403	-	363,601	299,942	-	-
Other purposes.....	-	-	-	22,302	-	-	-	2,419	-	24,721	13,046	-	-
Unrestricted (deficit).....	191,042	158,903	171,841	254,400	(132,780)	91,490	42,328	(44,488)	-	732,736	585,688	2,412	3,094
Total net position (deficit).....	\$ 266,757	\$ 654,212	\$ 513,550	\$ 2,686,060	\$ (50,570)	\$ 1,181,867	\$ 371,289	\$ 394,695	\$ -	\$ 6,017,860	\$ 5,608,220	\$ 11,690	\$ 8,650

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds
 Year Ended June 30, 2014
 (With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)
 (In Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds									Total		Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds	
	Major Funds								Other Fund				
	San Francisco International Airport	San Francisco Water Enterprise	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power	Municipal Transportation Agency	General Hospital Medical Center	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	San Francisco Market Corporation	2014	2013	2014	2013
Operating revenues:													
Aviation.....	\$ 441,259	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 441,259	\$ 413,918	\$ -	\$ -
Water and power service.....	-	354,827	134,214	-	-	-	-	-	-	489,041	834,195	-	-
Passenger fees.....	-	-	-	211,684	-	-	-	-	-	211,684	218,939	-	-
Net patient service revenue.....	-	-	-	-	785,252	-	-	158,509	-	943,761	861,231	-	-
Sewer service.....	-	-	-	-	-	244,705	-	-	-	244,705	235,479	-	-
Rents and concessions.....	136,587	10,675	224	7,524	2,210	-	62,589	-	-	219,809	207,756	142	90
Parking and transportation.....	116,703	-	-	217,703	-	-	19,724	-	-	354,130	344,057	-	-
Other charges for services.....	-	-	-	17,620	-	-	-	-	141	17,761	19,592	118,424	106,682
Other revenues.....	76,142	14,380	-	67,097	3,898	15,392	2,706	1,169	-	180,784	144,116	-	-
Total operating revenues.....	770,691	379,882	134,438	521,628	791,360	260,097	85,019	159,678	141	3,102,934	3,279,283	118,566	106,772
Operating expenses:													
Personal services.....	250,088	119,849	47,105	628,849	454,909	85,114	33,489	180,811	-	1,800,214	1,761,020	47,660	44,661
Contractual services.....	65,126	10,921	4,898	93,140	191,555	14,314	4,770	9,214	-	393,938	404,612	39,965	34,854
Light, heat and power.....	20,919	-	26,215	-	-	-	1,974	-	-	49,108	42,181	-	-
Materials and supplies.....	14,536	12,154	3,427	87,332	74,054	10,830	1,635	18,831	-	222,799	218,786	18,152	19,098
Depreciation and amortization.....	222,815	89,026	15,314	120,954	5,761	48,402	20,434	16,311	120	539,137	474,393	1,957	1,547
General and administrative.....	3,334	46,749	32,318	58,284	1,099	22,406	3,988	-	-	119,657	119,657	382	509
Services provided by other departments.....	16,918	54,856	6,788	56,957	42,676	35,274	15,571	8,645	-	237,685	234,630	7,298	6,403
Other.....	31,924	-	-	(13,079)	68	-	1,735	-	-	20,648	32,640	1,405	987
Total operating expenses.....	625,660	333,555	136,065	1,032,437	770,122	216,340	83,596	233,812	120	3,431,707	3,287,919	116,819	108,059
Operating income (loss).....	145,031	46,327	(1,627)	(510,809)	21,238	43,757	1,423	(74,134)	21	(328,773)	(8,636)	1,747	(1,287)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):													
Operating grants:													
Federal.....	-	715	156	8,507	-	1,780	165	42	-	11,365	36,872	-	-
State / other.....	-	-	-	131,161	47,825	-	-	-	-	178,986	187,332	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	5,425	10,907	1,776	6,093	1,364	2,400	1,236	642	-	29,843	1,009	5,279	5,794
Interest expense.....	(201,998)	(136,645)	(1,574)	(4,931)	(304)	(27,126)	(4,955)	(7,214)	-	(384,747)	(378,373)	(5,568)	(5,983)
Other, net.....	(7,025)	32,562	3,155	23,143	-	12,280	547	29,802	(11,727)	82,737	67,344	518	337
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses).....	(203,598)	(92,461)	3,513	163,973	48,885	(10,666)	(3,007)	23,272	(11,727)	(81,816)	(85,816)	229	148
Income (loss) before capital contributions and transfers.....	(58,567)	(46,134)	1,886	(346,836)	70,123	33,091	(1,584)	(50,862)	(11,706)	(410,589)	(94,452)	1,976	(1,139)
Capital contributions.....	91,024	-	-	414,700	-	-	9,721	-	-	515,445	251,753	-	-
Transfers in.....	-	2,014	368	358,353	121,407	51	27,742	39,206	-	549,141	694,352	1,242	177
Transfers out.....	(37,994)	(1,299)	(38)	(4,583)	(166,250)	(32)	(27,199)	(119)	-	(237,514)	(211,146)	(178)	(324)
Change in net position before extraordinary loss.....	(5,537)	(45,419)	2,216	421,634	25,280	33,110	8,680	(11,775)	(11,706)	416,483	640,507	3,040	(1,286)
Extraordinary loss.....	-	-	(6,843)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,843)	-	-	-
Change in net position.....	(5,537)	(45,419)	(4,627)	421,634	25,280	33,110	8,680	(11,775)	(11,706)	409,640	640,507	3,040	(1,286)
Net position (deficit) at beginning of year, as restated...	272,294	699,631	518,177	2,264,426	(75,850)	1,148,757	362,609	406,470	11,706	5,608,220	4,967,713	8,650	9,936
Net position (deficit) at end of year.....	\$ 266,757	\$ 654,212	\$ 513,550	\$ 2,686,060	\$ (50,570)	\$ 1,181,867	\$ 371,289	\$ 394,695	\$ -	\$ 6,017,860	\$ 5,608,220	\$ 11,690	\$ 8,650

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)
(In Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds										Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds		
	Major Funds								Other Fund	Total			
	San Francisco International Airport	San Francisco Water Enterprise	Hetch Water and Power	Municipal Transportation Agency	General Hospital Medical Center	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	San Francisco Market Corporation				2014
Cash flows from operating activities:													
Cash received from customers, including cash deposits...	\$ 784,560	\$ 392,145	\$ 142,632	\$ 578,292	\$820,905	\$ 266,907	\$ 16,865	\$147,383	\$ 477	\$3,150,166	\$3,241,969	\$ 143,692	\$133,734
Cash received from tenants for rent.....	-	10,325	224	7,566	2,210	838	65,674	-	-	86,837	80,727	-	-
Cash paid to employees for services.....	(234,514)	(107,380)	(43,240)	(598,468)	(427,415)	(76,953)	(31,294)	(172,509)	(174)	(1,691,947)	(1,637,141)	(45,066)	(41,960)
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services.....	(163,472)	(97,703)	(78,263)	(312,771)	(303,353)	(80,110)	(29,900)	(37,404)	(564)	(1,103,540)	(1,062,244)	(77,186)	(79,920)
Cash paid for judgments and claims.....	-	(7,391)	(3,841)	(15,651)	-	(2,638)	-	-	-	(29,521)	(16,528)	-	-
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.....	386,574	189,996	17,512	(341,032)	92,347	108,044	21,345	(62,530)	(261)	411,995	606,783	21,440	11,854
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:													
Operating grants.....	-	2,883	298	131,834	47,737	414	1,131	42	-	184,339	219,404	-	-
Transfers in.....	-	404	-	327,114	121,407	51	720	39,206	-	488,902	601,329	1	177
Transfers out.....	(37,994)	(1,299)	(38)	(4,583)	(166,250)	(32)	-	(119)	-	(210,315)	(203,380)	(178)	(324)
Other noncapital financing increases.....	1,337	-	-	17,198	-	-	-	6,940	-	25,475	10,426	-	-
Other noncapital financing decreases.....	(52,776)	-	-	-	(253)	-	-	-	(5,476)	(58,505)	(47,352)	-	-
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities.....	(89,433)	1,988	260	471,563	2,641	433	1,851	46,069	(5,476)	429,896	580,427	(177)	(147)
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:													
Capital grants and other taxes restricted for capital purposes.....	82,047	-	-	282,444	-	-	1,734	29,550	-	395,775	301,020	-	-
Transfers in.....	-	1,300	-	31,239	-	-	27,022	-	-	59,561	90,222	1,241	-
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	(27,199)	-	-	(27,199)	(4,965)	-	-
Bond sale proceeds and loans received.....	475,847	-	-	82,243	-	-	35,735	-	-	593,825	676,627	-	11,829
Proceeds from sale/transfer of capital assets.....	-	30	11	25	-	26	10	-	-	102	3,352	-	-
Proceeds from commercial paper borrowings.....	249,350	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	261,350	255,075	-	-
Proceeds from passenger facility charges.....	86,868	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86,868	87,033	-	-
Acquisition of capital assets.....	(375,053)	(532,708)	(30,220)	(458,850)	(23,992)	(182,876)	(44,614)	(7,120)	-	(1,655,433)	(1,347,531)	(5,316)	(1,996)
Retirement of capital leases, bonds and loans.....	(343,970)	(22,860)	(1,584)	(5,896)	(2,449)	(33,343)	(3,332)	(5,447)	-	(418,881)	(705,853)	(21,143)	(22,970)
Bond issue costs paid.....	-	-	-	(1,051)	-	(210)	-	-	-	(1,261)	(3,101)	(146)	(143)
Interest paid on debt.....	(207,763)	(223,064)	(1,639)	(3,617)	(304)	(37,439)	(4,402)	(7,407)	-	(485,635)	(469,249)	(5,639)	(5,915)
Other capital financing increases.....	-	24,137	694	2,500	-	3,955	3,130	-	-	34,416	69,231	-	-
Other capital financing decreases.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,248)	989	-	(259)	(9,339)	-	-
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities.....	(32,674)	(741,165)	(32,738)	(70,963)	(26,745)	(249,887)	(13,164)	10,565	-	(1,156,771)	(1,057,478)	(31,003)	(19,195)
Cash flows from investing activities:													
Purchases of investments with trustees.....	(2,459,855)	(350,617)	(483)	-	-	(148,568)	-	-	-	(2,959,523)	(2,560,575)	(23)	(4,727)
Proceeds from sale of investments with trustees.....	2,406,640	401,272	483	-	-	137,958	-	-	-	2,946,353	2,650,123	4,870	5,042
Interest and investment income.....	9,055	8,469	1,268	5,440	1,364	1,799	1,125	318	-	28,838	17,420	291	293
Other investing activities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	189	-	189	-	(1)	(501)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities.....	(44,160)	59,124	1,268	5,440	1,364	(8,811)	1,125	507	-	15,857	106,968	5,137	107
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents.....	220,307	(490,057)	(13,698)	65,008	69,607	(150,221)	11,157	(5,389)	(5,737)	(299,023)	236,700	(4,603)	(7,381)
Cash and cash equivalents-beginning of year.....	539,647	1,574,192	212,986	683,441	67,307	374,669	126,450	55,201	5,737	3,639,630	3,402,930	82,905	90,286
Cash and cash equivalents-end of year.....	\$ 759,954	\$ 1,084,135	\$ 199,288	\$ 748,449	\$136,914	\$ 224,448	\$137,607	\$ 49,812	\$ -	\$3,340,607	\$3,639,630	\$ 78,302	\$ 82,905

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(With comparative financial information as of June 30, 2013)
(In Thousands)

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds												
	Major Funds								Other Fund		Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds		
	San Francisco International Airport	San Francisco Water Enterprise	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power	Municipal Transportation Agency	General Hospital Medical Center	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	San Francisco Market Corporation	Total			
													2014
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:													
Operating income (loss).....	\$ 145,031	\$ 46,327	\$ (1,627)	\$ (510,809)	\$ 21,238	\$ 43,757	\$ 1,423	\$ (74,134)	\$ 21	\$ (328,773)	\$ (8,636)	\$ 1,747	\$ (1,287)
Adjustments for non-cash and other activities:													
Depreciation and amortization.....	222,815	89,026	15,314	120,954	5,761	48,402	20,434	16,311	120	539,137	474,393	1,957	1,547
Provision for uncollectibles.....	163	(276)	-	64	-	(9)	17	-	-	(41)	(431)	-	-
Write-off of capital assets.....	-	6,605	(5,693)	-	-	2,324	-	-	-	3,236	42,707	-	-
Other.....	2,487	2,226	2,412	-	-	662	-	-	306	8,093	5,431	52	1
Changes in assets/liabilities:													
Receivables, net.....	(10,618)	14,665	2,024	8,573	4,953	7,240	(653)	(35,418)	30	(9,204)	(19,963)	20,828	22,591
Due from other funds.....	-	(23)	1,273	-	(156)	(22)	-	-	-	1,072	1,282	-	-
Inventories.....	31	(171)	(8)	(5,083)	(23)	730	182	67	-	(4,275)	(2,525)	-	-
Other assets.....	(5)	-	(1,529)	(182)	-	(342)	59	-	29	(1,970)	798	-	-
Accounts payable.....	7,385	3,510	2,469	(15,104)	3,377	990	(1,228)	(780)	(564)	55	1,039	3,899	(1,627)
Accrued payroll.....	1,715	971	342	4,306	2,521	1,184	171	953	-	12,163	2,628	343	68
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	353	(247)	151	1,577	704	26	(38)	(269)	-	2,257	1,247	47	(347)
Accrued workers' compensation.....	437	236	44	5,949	4,131	767	59	1,062	-	12,685	3,988	259	513
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	13,070	8,933	2,564	18,548	20,134	4,587	2,035	6,555	-	76,426	105,791	1,942	2,467
Estimated claims payable.....	-	7,979	(543)	22,064	-	(1,846)	148	-	-	27,802	6,358	-	-
Due to other funds.....	-	-	8	(33)	-	(133)	-	-	-	(158)	(3,830)	(114)	190
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	3,710	10,235	311	8,144	29,707	(273)	(1,264)	23,123	(203)	73,490	(3,494)	(9,520)	(12,262)
Total adjustments.....	241,543	143,669	19,139	169,777	71,109	64,287	19,922	11,604	(282)	740,768	615,419	19,693	13,141
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.....	\$ 386,574	\$ 189,996	\$ 17,512	\$ (341,032)	\$ 92,347	\$ 108,044	\$ 21,345	\$ (62,530)	\$ (261)	\$ 411,995	\$ 606,783	\$ 21,440	\$ 11,854
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the statement of net position:													
Deposits and investments with City Treasury:													
Unrestricted.....	\$ 380,170	\$ 328,798	\$ 188,019	\$ 708,199	\$ 132,847	\$ 122,546	\$ 77,126	\$ 7,178	\$ -	\$ 1,944,883	\$ 1,806,112	\$ 37,885	\$ 32,368
Restricted.....	372,509	597,887	11,062	20,808	-	97,042	47,598	38,604	-	1,185,510	1,609,950	-	-
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury:													
Unrestricted.....	6,626	106	10	6,679	10	92	5	2	-	13,530	9,808	-	-
Restricted.....	411,768	278,182	1,281	12,763	4,057	24,601	13,227	18,150	-	764,029	762,496	45,147	60,114
Total deposits and investments.....	1,171,073	1,204,973	200,372	748,449	136,914	244,281	137,956	63,934	-	3,907,952	4,188,366	83,032	92,482
Less: Investments outside City Treasury not meeting the definition of cash equivalents.....	(411,119)	(120,838)	(1,084)	-	-	(19,833)	(349)	(14,122)	-	(567,345)	(548,736)	(4,730)	(9,577)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year on statement of cash flows.....	\$ 759,954	\$ 1,084,135	\$ 199,288	\$ 748,449	\$ 136,914	\$ 224,448	\$ 137,607	\$ 49,812	\$ -	\$ 3,340,607	\$ 3,639,630	\$ 78,302	\$ 82,905
Non-cash capital and related financing activities:													
Acquisition of capital assets on accounts payable and capital lease.....	\$ 87,072	\$ 79,180	\$ 199	\$ -	\$ 519	\$ 31,489	\$ 11,347	\$ 375	\$ -	\$ 210,181	\$ 232,596	\$ 2,703	\$ 2,104
In-kind contribution for pier demolition.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-
Tenant improvements financed by rent credits.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,861	-	-	2,861	45,670	-	-
Net capitalized interest.....	8,357	85,940	59	790	1,135	8,934	67	-	-	105,282	88,203	-	-
Accrued fire insurance settlement.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Donated inventory.....	-	-	-	-	2,746	-	-	-	-	2,746	2,759	-	-
Capital contributions and other noncash capital items.....	-	310	368	-	-	-	696	-	-	1,374	1,803	-	-
Bond refunding.....	182,342	-	-	-	-	-	26,785	-	-	209,127	88,353	-	-
Interfund loan.....	-	9	6	-	-	1,473	-	-	-	1,488	1,613	-	-

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Pension, Other Employee and Other Post- Employment Benefit Trust Funds	Investment Trust Fund	Private- Purpose Trust Fund	Agency Funds
ASSETS				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 190,459	\$ 617,667	\$ 211,978	\$ 157,870
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury:				
Cash and deposits.....	82,283	105	-	1,170
Short-term investments.....	838,466	-	204,177	-
Debt securities.....	4,531,032	-	-	-
Equity securities.....	10,441,661	-	-	-
Real estate.....	1,582,169	-	-	-
Alternative investments.....	2,424,678	-	-	-
Foreign currency contracts, net.....	829	-	-	-
Invested in securities lending collateral.....	911,577	-	-	-
Receivables:				
Employer and employee contributions.....	90,906	-	-	66,007
Brokers, general partners and others.....	281,319	-	-	-
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	-	444	-
Interest and other.....	66,067	846	17,996	144,826
Other assets.....	-	-	-	45,538
Capital assets:				
Land and other assets not being depreciated.....	-	-	62,203	-
Facilities, infrastructure and equipment, net of depreciation.....	-	-	135,511	-
Total assets.....	<u>21,441,446</u>	<u>618,618</u>	<u>632,309</u>	<u>415,411</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	-	-	2,926	-
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable.....	32,478	28	30,661	27,644
Estimated claims payable.....	29,156	-	-	-
Due to the primary government.....	-	-	1,075	-
Agency obligations.....	-	-	-	387,767
Bond interest payable.....	-	-	21,002	-
Payable to brokers.....	356,990	-	-	-
Deferred Retirement Option Program.....	3,096	-	-	-
Payable to borrowers of securities.....	912,886	-	-	-
Other liabilities.....	44,395	-	1,288	-
Advance from primary government.....	-	-	21,670	-
Long-term obligations.....	-	-	999,176	-
Total liabilities.....	<u>1,379,001</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>1,074,872</u>	<u>\$ 415,411</u>
NET POSITION				
Held in trust for:				
Pension and other employee benefits.....	20,062,445	-	-	
External pool participants.....	-	618,590	-	
Redevelopment Agency dissolution.....	-	-	(439,637)	
Total net position (deficit).....	<u>\$ 20,062,445</u>	<u>\$ 618,590</u>	<u>\$ (439,637)</u>	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Pension, Other Employee and Other Post- Employment Benefit Trust Funds	Investment Trust Fund	Private- Purpose Trust Fund
Additions:			
Redevelopment property tax revenues.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 131,744
Charges for services.....	-	-	56,530
Contributions:			
Employees' contributions.....	419,723	-	-
Employer contributions.....	1,182,831	-	-
Contributions to pooled investments.....	-	2,956,714	-
Total contributions.....	1,602,554	2,956,714	188,274
Investment income:			
Interest.....	178,509	4,338	1,812
Dividends.....	195,503	-	-
Net appreciation in fair value of investments.....	2,844,568	-	-
Securities lending income.....	4,871	-	-
Total investment income.....	3,223,451	4,338	1,812
Less investment expenses:			
Securities lending borrower rebates and expenses.....	952	-	-
Other investment expenses.....	(47,599)	-	-
Total investment expenses.....	(46,647)	-	-
Other additions.....	-	-	4,309
Total additions, net.....	4,779,358	2,961,052	194,395
Deductions:			
Neighborhood development.....	-	-	91,222
Depreciation.....	-	-	5,499
Interest on debt.....	-	-	57,059
Benefit payments.....	1,810,793	-	-
Refunds of contributions.....	10,297	-	-
Distribution from pooled investments.....	-	2,670,438	-
Administrative expenses.....	15,905	-	9,829
Total deductions.....	1,836,995	2,670,438	163,609
Change in net position.....	2,942,363	290,614	30,786
Net position at beginning of year, as restated	17,120,082	327,976	(470,423)
Net position (deficit) at end of year.....	\$ 20,062,445	\$ 618,590	\$ (439,637)

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

(1) THE FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

San Francisco is a city and county chartered by the State of California and as such can exercise the powers as both a city and a county under state law. As required by generally accepted accounting principles, the accompanying financial statements present the City and County of San Francisco (the City or primary government) and its component units. The component units discussed below are included in the City's reporting entity because of the significance of their operations or financial relationships with the City.

As a government agency, the City is exempt from both federal income taxes and California State franchise taxes.

Blended Component Units

Following is a description of those legally separate component units for which the City is financially accountable that are blended with the primary government because of their individual governance or financial relationships to the City.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority (Transportation Authority) – The voters of the City created the Transportation Authority in 1989 to impose a voter-approved sales and use tax of one-half of one percent, for a period not to exceed 20 years, to fund essential traffic and transportation projects. In 2003, the voters approved Proposition K, extending the city-wide one-half of one percent sales tax with a new 30 year plan. A board consisting of the eleven members of the City's Board of Supervisors serving ex officio governs the Transportation Authority. The Transportation Authority is reported in a special revenue fund in the City's basic financial statements. Financial statements for the Transportation Authority can be obtained from their finance and administrative offices at 1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103.

San Francisco City and County Finance Corporation (Finance Corporation) – The Finance Corporation was created in 1990 by a vote of the electorate to allow the City to lease-purchase \$20 million (plus 5% per year growth) of equipment using tax-exempt obligations. Although legally separate from the City, the Finance Corporation is reported as if it were part of the primary government because its sole purpose is to provide lease financing to the City. The Finance Corporation is governed by a three-member board of directors approved by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. The Finance Corporation is reported as an internal service fund. Financial statements for the Finance Corporation can be obtained from their administrative offices at City Hall, Room 336, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

San Francisco Parking Authority (The Parking Authority) – The Parking Authority was created in October 1949 to provide services exclusively to the City. In accordance with Proposition D authorized by the City's electorate in November 1988, a City Charter amendment created the Parking and Traffic Commission (PTC). The PTC consists of five commissioners appointed by the Mayor. Upon creation of the PTC, the responsibility to oversee the City's off-street parking operations was transferred from the Parking Authority to the PTC. The staff and fiscal operations of the Parking Authority were also incorporated into the PTC. Beginning on July 1, 2002, the responsibility for overseeing the operations of the PTC became the responsibility of the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) pursuant to Proposition E, which was passed by the voters in November 1999. Separate financial statements are not prepared for the Parking Authority. Further information about the Parking Authority can be obtained from the MTA Chief Financial Officer at 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 8th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Discretely Presented Component Unit

Treasure Island Development Authority (The TIDA) – The TIDA is a nonprofit public benefit corporation. The TIDA was authorized in accordance with the Treasure Island Conversion Act of 1997. Seven

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

commissioners who are appointed by the Mayor, subject to confirmation by the City's Board of Supervisors, govern the TIDA. The specific purpose of the TIDA is to promote the planning, redevelopment, reconstruction, rehabilitation, reuse, and conversion of the property known as Naval Station Treasure Island for the public interest, convenience, welfare, and common benefit of the inhabitants of the City. The TIDA has adopted as its mission the creation of affordable housing and economic development opportunities on Treasure Island.

The TIDA's governing body is not substantively the same as that of the City and does not provide services entirely or almost entirely to the City. The TIDA is reported in a separate column to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City. The City is financially accountable for the TIDA through the appointment of the TIDA's Board and the ability of the City to approve the TIDA's budget. Disclosures related to the TIDA, where significant, are separately identified throughout these notes. Separate financial statements are not prepared for TIDA. Further information about TIDA can be obtained from their administrative offices at 1 Avenue of the Palms, Suite 241, Treasure Island, San Francisco, CA 94130.

Fiduciary Component Unit

Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (Successor Agency) – The Successor Agency was created on February 1, 2012 to serve as a custodian for the assets and to wind down the affairs of the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency pursuant to California Redevelopment Dissolution Law. The Successor Agency is governed by the Successor Agency Commission, commonly known as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, and is a separate public entity from the City. The Commission has five members, which serve at the pleasure of the City's Mayor and are subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. The City is financially accountable for the Successor Agency through the appointment of the Commission and a requirement that the Board of Supervisors approve the Successor Agency's annual budget.

The financial statements present the Successor Agency and its component units, entities for which the Successor Agency is considered to be financially accountable. The City and County of San Francisco Redevelopment Financing Authority (Financing Authority) is a joint powers authority formed between the former Agency and the City to facilitate the long-term financing of the former Agency activities. The Financing Authority is included as a blended component unit in the Successor Agency's financial statements because the Financing Authority provides services entirely to the Successor Agency.

Per the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, certain actions of the Successor Agency are also subject to the direction of an Oversight Board. The Oversight Board is comprised of seven-member representatives from local government bodies: four City representatives appointed by the Mayor of the City subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors of the City; the Vice Chancellor of the San Francisco Community College District; the Board member of the Bay Area Rapid Transit District; and the Executive Director of Policy and Operations of the San Francisco Unified School District.

In general, the Successor Agency's assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments). In future fiscal years, the Successor Agency will only be allocated revenues in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the former Agency until all enforceable obligations of the former Agency have been paid in full and all assets have been liquidated. Based upon the nature of the Successor Agency's custodial role, the Successor Agency is reported in a fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund). Complete financial statements can be obtained from the Successor Agency's finance department at 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Non-Disclosed Organizations

There are other governmental agencies that provide services within the City. These entities have independent governing boards and the City is not financially accountable for them. The City's basic financial statements, except for certain cash held by the City as an agent, do not reflect operations of the San Francisco Airport Improvement Corporation, San Francisco Health Authority, San Francisco Housing Authority, San Francisco Unified School District and San Francisco Community College District. The City is represented in two regional agencies, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, both of which are also excluded from the City's reporting entity.

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely, to a significant extent, on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

The basic financial statements include certain prior year summarized comparative information. This information is presented only to facilitate financial analysis.

(b) Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Agency funds, however, report only assets and liabilities and cannot be said to have a measurement focus. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The City considers property tax revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. All other revenues are considered to be available if they are generally collected within 90 days of the end of the current fiscal period. It is the City's policy to submit reimbursement and

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

claim requests for federal and state grant revenues within 30 days of the end of the program cycle and payment is generally received within the first or second quarter of the following fiscal year. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to vacation, sick leave, claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, other local taxes, grants and subventions, licenses, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when the City receives cash.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, the City adopted a new revenue recognition policy, and changed the availability period from 120 days to 90 days. The new policy more closely reflects the use of current resources to pay liabilities of the current period. The adoption of the new accounting principle resulted in a reduction in revenues by approximately \$16 million for the year ended June 30, 2014, and did not have a significant impact on the financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013.

The City reports the following major governmental fund:

- The **General Fund** is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the City except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The City reports the following major proprietary (enterprise) funds:

- The **San Francisco International Airport Fund** accounts for the activities of the City-owned commercial service airport in the San Francisco Bay Area.
- The **San Francisco Water Enterprise Fund** accounts for the activities of the San Francisco Water Enterprise (Water Enterprise). The Water Enterprise is engaged in the distribution of water to the City and certain suburban areas.
- The **Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise Fund** accounts for the activities of Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Department (Hetch Hetchy). The department is engaged in the collection and conveyance of approximately 85% of the City's water supply and in the generation and transmission of electricity.
- The **Municipal Transportation Agency Fund** accounts for the activities of the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA). The MTA was established by Proposition E, passed by the City's voters in November 1999. The MTA includes the San Francisco Municipal Railway (MUNI) and the operations of Sustainable Streets (previously named the Department of Parking and Traffic), which includes the Parking Authority. MUNI was established in 1912 and is responsible for the operations of the City's public transportation system. Sustainable Streets is responsible for proposing and implementing street and traffic changes and oversees the City's off-street parking operations. Sustainable Streets is a separate department of the MTA. The parking garages fund accounts for the activities of various non-profit corporations formed by the Parking Authority to provide financial and other assistance to the City to acquire land, construct facilities, and manage various parking facilities.
- The **San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center Fund** accounts for the activities of the San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center (SFGH), a City-owned acute care hospital.
- The **San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise Fund** was created after the San Francisco voters approved a proposition in 1976, authorizing the City to issue \$240 million in bonds for the purpose of acquiring, construction, improving, and financing improvements to the City's municipal sewage treatment and disposal system.
- The **Port of San Francisco Fund** accounts for the operation, development, and maintenance of seven and one-half miles of waterfront property of the Port of San Francisco (Port). This was established in 1969 after the San Francisco voters approved a proposition to accept the transfer of the Harbor of San Francisco from the State of California.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

- The **Laguna Honda Hospital Fund** accounts for the activities of Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH), the City-owned skilled nursing facility, which specializes in serving elderly and disabled residents.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

- The **Permanent Fund** accounts for resources that are legally restricted to the extent that only earnings, not principal, may be used for purposes that support specific programs.
- The **Internal Service Funds** account for the financing of goods or services provided by one City department to another City department on a cost-reimbursement basis. Internal Service Funds account for the activities of the equipment maintenance services, centralized printing and mailing services, centralized telecommunications and information services, and lease financing through the Finance Corporation.
- The **Pension, Other Employee and Other Postemployment Benefit Trust Funds** reflect the activities of the Employees' Retirement System (Retirement System), the Health Service System and the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund. The Retirement System accounts for employee contributions, City contributions, and the earnings and profits from investments. It also accounts for the disbursements made for employee retirement benefits, withdrawals, disability and death benefits as well as administrative expenses. The Health Service System accounts for contributions from active and retired employees and surviving spouses, City contributions, and the earnings and profits from investments. It also accounts for the disbursements to various health plans and health care providers for the medical expenses of beneficiaries. The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund currently accounts for employee contributions from active employees hired after January 9, 2009, related City contributions, and the earnings and profits from investments. No disbursements, other than to defray reasonable expenses of administering the trust, will be made until sufficient funds are set aside to pay for all future retiree health care costs, except in certain limited circumstances.
- The **Investment Trust Fund** accounts for the external portion of the Treasurer's Office investment pool. The funds of the San Francisco Community College District, San Francisco Unified School District, the Trial Courts of the State of California and the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are accounted for within the Investment Trust Fund.
- The **Private-Purpose Trust Fund** accounts for the custodial responsibilities that are assigned to the Successor Agency with the passage of the Redevelopment Dissolution Act.
- The **Agency Funds** account for the resources held by the City in a custodial capacity on behalf of: the State of California, human welfare, community health, and transportation programs.

The City applies all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements.

In general, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this rule are charges to other City departments from the General Fund, Water Enterprise and Hetch Hetchy. These charges have not been eliminated because elimination would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported in the statement of activities.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's enterprise and internal service funds are charges for customer services including: water, sewer and power charges, public transportation fees, airline fees and charges, parking fees, hospital patient service fees, commercial and industrial rents, printing services, vehicle maintenance fees, and telecommunication and information system support charges. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(c) Budgetary Data

The City adopts two-year rolling budgets annually for all governmental funds on a substantially modified accrual basis of accounting except for capital project funds and certificates of participation and other debt service funds, which substantially adopt project length budgets.

The budget of the City is a detailed operating plan, which identifies estimated costs and results in relation to estimated revenues. The budget includes (1) the programs, projects, services, and activities to be provided during the fiscal year, (2) the estimated resources (inflows) available for appropriation, and (3) the estimated charges to appropriations. The budget represents a process through which policy decisions are deliberated, implemented, and controlled. The City Charter prohibits expending funds for which there is no legal appropriation.

The Administrative Code Chapter 3 outlines the City's general budgetary procedures, with Section 3.3 detailing the budget timeline. A summary of the key budgetary steps are summarized as follows:

Original Budget

- (1) Departments and Commissions conduct hearings to obtain public comment on their proposed annual budgets beginning in December and submit their budget proposals to the Controller's Office no later than February 21.
- (2) The Controller's Office consolidates the budget estimates and transmits them to the Mayor's Office no later than the first working day of March. Staff of the Mayor's Office analyze, review and refine the budget estimates before transmitting the Mayor's Proposed Budget to the Board of Supervisors.
- (3) By the first working day of May, the Mayor submits the Proposed Budget for selected departments to the Board of Supervisors. The selected departments are determined by the Controller in consultation with the Board President and the Mayor's Budget Director. Criteria for selecting the departments include (1) that they are not supported by the City's General Fund or (2) that they do not rely on the State's budget submission in May for their revenue sources.
- (4) By the first working day of June, the Mayor submits the complete Proposed Budget to the Board of Supervisors along with a draft of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance prepared by the Controller's Office.
- (5) Within five working days of the Mayor's proposed budget transmission to the Board of Supervisors, the Controller reviews the estimated revenues and assumptions in the Mayor's Proposed Budget and provides an opinion as to their accuracy and reasonableness. The Controller also may make a recommendation regarding prudent reserves given the Mayor's proposed resources and expenditures.
- (6) The designated Committee (usually the Budget Committee) of the Board of Supervisors conducts hearings, hears public comment, and reviews the Mayor's Proposed Budget. The Committee recommends an interim budget reflecting the Mayor's budget transmittal and, by June 30, the Board of Supervisors passes an interim appropriation and salary ordinances.
- (7) Not later than the last working day of July, the Board of Supervisors adopts the budget through passage of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, the legal authority for enactment of the budget.

Final Budget

The final budgetary data presented in the basic financial statements reflects the following changes to the original budget:

- (1) Certain annual appropriations are budgeted on a project or program basis. If such projects or programs are not completed at the end of the fiscal year, unexpended appropriations, including encumbered funds, are carried forward to the following year. In certain circumstances, other programs and regular annual appropriations may be carried forward after appropriate approval.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Annually appropriated funds, not authorized to be carried forward, lapse at the end of the fiscal year. Appropriations carried forward from the prior year are included in the final budgetary data.

- (2) Appropriations may be adjusted during the year with the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, e.g. supplemental appropriations. Additionally, the Controller is authorized to make certain transfers of surplus appropriations within a department. Such adjustments are reflected in the final budgetary data.

The Annual Appropriation Ordinance adopts the budget at the character level of expenditure within departments. As described above, the Controller is authorized to make certain transfers of appropriations within departments. Accordingly, the legal level of budgetary control by the Board of Supervisors is the department level.

Budgetary data, as revised, is presented in the basic financial statements for the General Fund. Final budgetary data excludes the amount reserved for encumbrances for appropriate comparison to actual expenditures.

(d) Deposits and Investments

Investment in the Treasurer's Pool

The Treasurer invests on behalf of most funds of the City and external participants in accordance with the City's investment policy and the California State Government Code. The City Treasurer who reports on a monthly basis to the Board of Supervisors manages the Treasurer's pool. In addition, the function of the County Treasury Oversight Committee is to review and monitor the City's investment policy and to monitor compliance with the investment policy and reporting provisions of the law through an annual audit.

The Treasurer's investment pool consists of two components: 1) pooled deposits and investments and 2) dedicated investment funds. The dedicated investment funds represent restricted funds and relate to Successor Agency separately managed funds, bond issues of the Enterprise Funds, and the General Fund's cash reserve requirement. In addition to the Treasurer's investment pool, the City has other funds that are held by trustees. These funds are related to the issuance of bonds and certain loan programs of the City. The investments of the Retirement System are held by trustees (Note 5).

The San Francisco Unified School District (School District), San Francisco Community College District (Community College District), and the City are involuntary participants in the City's investment pool. As of June 30, 2014, involuntary participants accounted for approximately 98.9% of the pool. Voluntary participants accounted for 1.1% of the pool. Further, the School District, Community College District, the Trial Courts of the State of California and the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are external participants of the City's pool. At June 30, 2014, \$618.6 million was held on behalf of these external participants. The total percentage share of the City's pool that relates to these four external participants is 9.2%. Internal participants accounted for 90.8% of the pool.

Investment Valuation

Investments are carried at fair value, except for certain non-negotiable investments that are reported at cost because they are not transferable and have terms that are not affected by changes in market interest rates, such as collateralized certificates of deposits and public time deposits. The fair value of investments is determined monthly and is based on current market prices. The fair value of participants' position in the pool approximates the value of the pool shares. The method used to determine the value of participants' equity is based on the book value of the participants' percentage participation. In the event that a certain fund overdraws its share of pooled cash, the overdraft is covered by the General Fund and a payable to the General Fund is established in the City's basic financial statements.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Retirement System— Investments are reported at fair value. Securities traded on national or international exchanges are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Securities that do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair value derived from third-party pricing services. Purchases and sales of investments are recorded on a trade date basis.

The fair values of the Retirement System's real estate investments are based on net asset values provided by the investment managers. Partnership financial statements are audited annually as of December 31 and net asset values are adjusted monthly or quarterly for cash flows to/from the Retirement System, investment earnings and expenses, and changes in fair value. The Retirement System has established leverage limits for each investment style based on the risk/return profile of the underlying investments. The leverage limits for core and value-added real estate investments are 40% and 65%, respectively. The leverage limits for high return real estate investments depend on each specific offering. Outstanding mortgages for the Retirement System's real estate investments were \$964.9 million including \$93.7 million in recourse debt at June 30, 2014. The underlying real estate holdings are valued periodically based on appraisals performed by independent appraisers in accordance with Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). Such fair value estimates involve subjective judgments of unrealized gains and losses, and the actual market price of the real estate can only be determined by negotiation between independent third-parties in a purchase and sale transaction.

Alternative investments represent the Retirement System's interest in limited partnerships. The fair values of alternative investments are based on net asset values provided by the general partners. Partnership financial statements are audited annually as of December 31 and net asset values are adjusted monthly or quarterly for cash flows to/from the Retirement System, investment earnings and changes in fair value. Such fair value estimates involve subjective judgments of unrealized gains and losses, and the actual market price of the investments can only be determined by negotiation between independent third-parties in a purchase and sale transaction.

The Charter and Retirement Board policies permit the Retirement System to use investments to enter into securities lending transactions – loans of securities to broker-dealers and other entities for collateral with a simultaneous agreement to return the collateral for the same securities in the future. The collateral may consist of cash or non-cash; non-cash collateral is generally U.S. Treasuries or other U.S. government obligations. The Retirement System's securities custodian is the agent in lending the domestic securities for collateral of 102% and international securities for collateral of 105%. Contracts with the lending agent require them to indemnify the Retirement System if the borrowers fail to return the securities (and if the collateral were inadequate to replace the securities lent) or fail to pay the Retirement System for income distributions by the securities' issuers while the securities are on loan. Non-cash collateral cannot be pledged or sold unless the borrower defaults, and therefore, is not reported in the Retirement System's financial statements.

All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either the Retirement System or the borrower, although the average term of the loans as of June 30, 2014 was 96 days. All cash collateral received was invested in a separately managed account by the lending agent using investment guidelines developed and approved by the Retirement System. As of June 30, 2014, the weighted average maturity of the reinvested cash collateral account was 33 days. The term to maturity of the loaned securities is generally not matched with the term to maturity of the investment of the said collateral. Cash collateral may also be invested separately in term loans, in which case the maturity of the loaned securities matches the term of the loan.

Cash collateral invested in the separate account managed by the lending agent is reported at fair value. Payable to borrowers of securities in the statement of fiduciary net position represents the cash collateral received from borrowers. Additionally, the income and costs of securities lending transactions, such as borrower rebates and fees, are recorded respectively as revenues and expenses in the statement of changes in fiduciary net position.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

San Francisco International Airport – The Airport has entered into certain derivative instruments, which it values at fair value, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 53 – *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments*. The Airport applies hedge accounting for changes in the fair value of hedging derivative instruments, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 64 – *Derivative Instruments: Application of Hedge Accounting Termination Provisions, an amendment of GASB Statement No. 53*. Under hedge accounting, the changes in the fair value of hedging derivative instruments are reported as either deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources in the statement of net position.

Other funds – Non-pooled investments are also generally carried at fair value. However, money market investments (such as short-term, highly liquid debt instruments including commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, and U.S. Treasury and agency obligations) that have a remaining maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less and participating interest-earning investment contracts (such as negotiable certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements and guaranteed or bank investment contracts) are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The fair value of non-pooled investments is determined annually and is based on current market prices. The fair value of investments in open-end mutual funds is determined based on the fund's current share price.

Investment Income

Income from pooled investments is allocated at month-end to the individual funds or external participants based on the fund or participant's average daily cash balance in relation to total pooled investments. City management has determined that the investment income related to certain funds should be allocated to the General Fund. On a budget basis, the interest income is recorded in the General Fund. On a generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) basis, the income is reported in the fund where the related investments reside. A transfer is then recorded to transfer an amount equal to the interest earnings to the General Fund. This is the case for certain other governmental funds, Internal Service, Investment Trust and Agency Funds.

It is the City's policy to charge interest at month-end to those funds that have a negative average daily cash balance. In certain instances, City management has determined that the interest expense related to the fund should be allocated to the General Fund. On a budget basis, the interest expense is recorded in the General Fund. On a GAAP basis, the interest expense is recorded in the fund and then a transfer from the General Fund for an amount equal to the interest expense is made to the fund. This is the case for certain other funds, MTA, LHH, SFGH, and the Internal Service Funds.

Income from non-pooled investments is recorded based on the specific investments held by the fund. The interest income is recorded in the fund that earned the interest.

(e) Loans Receivable

The Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH) and the Mayor's Office of Community Development (MOCD) administer several housing and small business subsidy programs and issue loans to qualified applicants. In addition, the Department of Building Inspection manages other receivables from organizations. Management has determined through policy that many of these loans may be forgiven or renegotiated and extended long into the future if certain terms and conditions of the loans are met. At June 30, 2014, it was determined that \$962.2 million of the \$1,034.3 million loan portfolio is not expected to be ultimately collected.

For the purposes of the fund financial statements, the governmental funds expenditures relating to long-term loans arising from loan subsidy programs are charged to operations upon funding and the loans are recorded, net of an estimated allowance for potentially uncollectible loans, with an offset to a deferred inflow of resources. For purposes of the government-wide financial statements, long-term loans are not offset by deferred inflows of resources.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

(f) Inventories

Inventories recorded in the proprietary funds primarily consist of construction materials and maintenance supplies, as well as pharmaceutical supplies maintained by the hospitals. Generally, proprietary funds value inventory at cost or average cost and expense supply inventory as it is consumed. This is referred to as the consumption method of inventory accounting. The governmental fund types use the purchase method to account for supply inventories, which are not material. This method records items as expenditures when they are acquired.

(g) Property Held for Resale

Property held for resale includes both residential and commercial property and is recorded as other assets at the lower of estimated cost or estimated conveyance value. Estimated conveyance value is management's estimate of net realizable value of each property parcel based on its current intended use. Property held for sale may, during the period it is held by the City, generate rental income, which is recognized as it becomes due and is considered collectible.

(h) Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, facilities and improvements, machinery and equipment, infrastructure assets, and intangible assets, are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements and in the private-purpose trust fund. Capital assets, except for intangible assets, are defined as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$5 thousand and have an estimated life that extends beyond a single reporting period or more than a year. Intangible assets have a capitalization threshold of \$100 thousand. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation. Capital outlay is recorded as expenditures of the General Fund and other governmental funds and as assets in the government-wide financial statements to the extent the City's capitalization threshold is met. Interest incurred during the construction phase of the capital assets of business-type activities is reflected in the capitalized value of the asset constructed, net of interest earned on the invested proceeds of tax-exempt debt over the same period. Amortization of assets acquired under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization. Facilities and improvements, infrastructure, machinery and equipment, easements, and intangible assets of the primary government, as well as the component units, are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Facilities and improvements	15 to 175
Infrastructure	15 to 70
Machinery and equipment	2 to 75
Intangible assets	Varies with type

Works of art, historical treasures and zoological animals held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, rather than financial gain, are not capitalized. These items are protected, kept unencumbered, cared for, and preserved by the City. It is the City's policy to utilize proceeds from the sale of these items for the acquisition of other items for collection and display.

(i) Accrued Vacation and Sick Leave Pay

Vacation pay, which may be accumulated up to ten weeks depending on an employee's length of service, is payable upon termination. Sick leave may be accumulated up to six months. Unused amounts accumulated prior to December 6, 1978 are vested and payable upon termination of employment by retirement or disability caused by industrial accident or death.

The City accrues for all salary-related items in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements for which they are liable to make a payment directly and incrementally associated with

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

payments made for compensated absences on termination. The City includes its share of social security and Medicare payments made on behalf of the employees in the accrual for vacation and sick leave pay.

(j) Bond Issuance Costs, Premiums, Discounts, and Interest Accretion

In the government-wide financial statements, the proprietary fund type and fiduciary fund type financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, proprietary fund or fiduciary fund statement of net position. Bond issuance costs related to prepaid insurance costs, bond premiums and discounts for San Francisco International Airport, San Francisco Water Enterprise, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power, the Municipal Transportation Agency, and San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise are amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. The remaining bond prepaid issuance costs, bond premiums and discounts are calculated using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds recognize bond premiums and discounts as other financing sources and uses, respectively. Issuance costs including bond insurance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Interest accreted on capital appreciation bonds is reported as accrued interest payable in the government-wide, proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements.

(k) Fund Equity

Governmental Fund Balance

As prescribed by Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, governmental funds report fund balance in one of five classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the funds can be spent. The five fund balance classifications are as follows:

- *Nonspendable* – includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form or legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The not in spendable form criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, such as prepaid amounts, as well as certain long-term receivables that would otherwise be classified as unassigned.
- *Restricted* – includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes due to constraints imposed by external resource providers, by the City's Charter, or by enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.
- *Committed* – includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to an ordinance passed by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the City taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.
- *Assigned* – includes amounts that are not classified as nonspendable, restricted, or committed, but are intended to be used by the City for specific purposes. Intent is expressed by legislation or by action of the Board of Supervisors or the City Controller to which legislation has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.
- *Unassigned* – is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose. Other governmental funds may only report a negative unassigned balance that was created after classification in one of the other four fund balance categories.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

In circumstances when an expenditure is made for a purpose for which amounts are available in multiple fund balance classifications, fund balance is generally depleted in the order of restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned.

Fund balances for all the major and nonmajor governmental funds as of June 30, 2014, were distributed as follows:

	General Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Nonspendable			
Imprest Cash, Advances, and Long Term Receivables.	\$ 24,022	\$ 249	\$ 24,271
Gift Fund Principal.....	-	192	192
Total Nonspendable.....	<u>24,022</u>	<u>441</u>	<u>24,463</u>
Restricted			
Rainy Day.....	83,194	-	83,194
Public Protection.....	-	24,939	24,939
Public Works, Transportation & Commerce.....	-	132,625	132,625
Human Welfare & Neighborhood Development.....	-	257,054	257,054
Community Health.....	-	21,951	21,951
Culture & Recreation.....	-	120,876	120,876
General Administration & Finance.....	-	8,770	8,770
Capital Projects.....	-	422,507	422,507
Debt Service.....	-	126,504	126,504
Total Restricted.....	<u>83,194</u>	<u>1,115,226</u>	<u>1,198,420</u>
Committed			
Budget Stabilization.....	132,264	-	132,264
Recreation and Parks Expenditure Savings.....	12,862	-	12,862
Total Committed.....	<u>145,126</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>145,126</u>
Assigned			
Public Protection.....	21,290	1,804	23,094
Public Works, Transportation & Commerce.....	16,572	30,662	47,234
Human Welfare & Neighborhood Development.....	21,507	2,853	24,360
Community Health.....	44,050	-	44,050
Culture & Recreation.....	4,898	6,302	11,200
General Administration & Finance.....	47,871	9,112	56,983
General City Responsibilities.....	44,496	-	44,496
Capital Projects.....	50,930	-	50,930
Litigation and Contingencies.....	79,223	-	79,223
Subsequent Year's Budget.....	178,066	-	178,066
Total Assigned.....	<u>508,903</u>	<u>50,733</u>	<u>559,636</u>
Unassigned.....	<u>74,317</u>	<u>(64,983)</u>	<u>9,334</u>
Total.....	<u>\$ 835,562</u>	<u>\$ 1,101,417</u>	<u>\$ 1,936,979</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

General Fund Stabilization and Other Reserves

Rainy Day Reserve – The City maintains a "Rainy Day" or economic stabilization reserve under Charter Section 9.113.5. In any year when the City projects that total General Fund revenues for the upcoming budget year are going to be more than 5 percent higher than the General Fund revenues for the current year, the City automatically deposits one-half of the "excess revenues," in the Rainy Day Reserve. The total amount of money in the Rainy Day Reserve may not exceed 10 percent of the City's actual total General Fund revenues. The City may spend money from the Rainy Day Reserve for any lawful governmental purpose, but only in years when the City projects that total General Fund revenues for the upcoming year will be less than the current year's total General Fund revenues, i.e., years when the City expects to take in less money than it had taken in for the current year. In those years, the City may spend up to half the money in the Rainy Day Reserve, but no more than is necessary to bring the City's total available General Fund revenues up to the level of the current year. The City may also spend up to 25 percent of the balance of the Rainy Day Reserve to help the San Francisco Unified School District in years when certain conditions are met. The City does not expect to routinely spend money from the Rainy Day Reserve after evaluating its recent General Fund revenues trends and its Five-Year Financial Plan covering fiscal years 2014-15 through 2018-19.

Budget Stabilization Reserve – The City sets aside as an additional reserve 75 percent of (1) real estate transfer taxes in excess of the average collected over the previous five years, (2) proceeds from the sale of land and capital assets, and (3) ending unassigned General Fund balances. The City will be able to spend those funds in years in which revenues decline or grow by less than two percent, after using the amount legally available from the Rainy Day Reserve. The City, by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted by a two-thirds' vote, may temporarily suspend these provisions following a natural disaster that has caused the Mayor or the Governor to declare an emergency, or for any other purpose. The City does not expect to routinely spend money from the Budget Stabilization Reserve after evaluating its recent General Fund revenues trends and its Five-Year Financial Plan covering fiscal years 2014-15 through 2018-19.

Recreation and Parks Expenditure Savings Reserve – The City maintains a Recreation and Parks Expenditure Savings Reserve under Charter Section 16.107, which sets aside and maintains such an amount, together with any interest earned thereon, in the reserve account, and any amount unspent or uncommitted at the end of the fiscal year shall be carried forward to the next fiscal year and, subject to the budgetary and fiscal limitations of the Charter, shall be appropriated then or thereafter for capital and/or facility maintenance improvements to park and recreation facilities and other one-time expenditures of the Park and Recreation Department.

Encumbrances

The City establishes encumbrances to record the amount of purchase orders, contracts, and other obligations, which have not yet been fulfilled, cancelled, or discharged. Encumbrances outstanding at year-end are recorded as part of restricted or assigned fund balance. At June 30, 2014, encumbrances recorded in the General Fund and nonmajor governmental funds were \$92.3 million and \$310.5 million, respectively.

Restricted Net Position

The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

- *Net Investment In Capital Assets* – This category groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

- *Restricted Net Position* – This category represents net position that has external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. At June 30, 2014, the government-wide statement of net position reported restricted net position of \$862.7 million in governmental activities and \$452.5 million in business-type activities, of which \$12.2 million and \$22.3 million are restricted by enabling legislation in governmental activities and business-type activities, respectively.
- *Unrestricted Net Position* – This category represents net position of the City, not restricted for any project or other purpose.

The City issued general obligation bonds and certificates of participation for the purpose of rebuilding and improving Laguna Honda Hospital. General obligation bonds were also issued for the purpose of reconstructing and improving waterfront parks and facilities on Port property and for the retrofit and improvement work to ensure a reliable water supply (managed by the Water Enterprise) in an emergency or disaster and for certain street improvements managed by the MTA. These capital assets are reported in the City's business-type activities. However, the debt service will be paid with governmental revenues and as such these general obligation bonds and certificates of participation are reported with unrestricted net position in the City's governmental activities. In accordance with GASB guidance, the City reclassified \$339.2 million of unrestricted net position of governmental activities, of which \$283.1 million reduced net investment in capital assets and \$56.1 million reduced net position restricted for capital projects to reflect the total column of the primary government as a whole perspective.

Deficit Net Position/Fund Balances

The Senior Citizens' Program Fund and the Human Welfare Fund had deficits of \$133 and \$440, respectively, as of June 30, 2014. The deficit relates to increases of unavailable revenue in various programs, which is expected to be collected beyond 90 days of the end of fiscal year 2014.

The San Francisco County Transportation Authority Fund had a deficit of \$44.4 million as of June 30, 2014. This condition exists because the Transportation Authority uses short-term debt financing to accelerate the delivery of sales tax funded projects that are owned and operated by other agencies. The negative fund balance will be covered as future sales tax revenues are realized or when the Transportation Authority refinances the outstanding short-term debt to long-term debt.

The Moscone Convention Center Fund had a \$7.6 million deficit as of June 30, 2014. The deficit will be covered as hotel tax revenues are realized.

The Central Shops Internal Service Fund had a deficit in total net position of \$3.6 million as of June 30, 2014 mainly due to the other postemployment benefits liability accrued as per GASB Statement No. 45. The deficits are expected to be reduced in future years through anticipated rate increases or reductions in the operating expenses. The rates are reviewed and updated annually.

Prior to February 1, 2012, the California Redevelopment Law provided tax increment financing as a source of revenue to redevelopment agencies to fund redevelopment activities. Once a redevelopment area was adopted, the former Agency could only receive tax increment to the extent that it could show on an annual basis that it has incurred indebtedness that must be repaid with tax increment. Due to the nature of the redevelopment financing, the former Agency liabilities exceeded assets. Therefore, the former Agency historically carried a deficit, which was expected to be reduced as future tax increment revenues were received and used to reduce its outstanding long-term debt. This deficit was transferred to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. At June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency has a deficit of \$439.6 million, which will be eliminated with future redevelopment property tax revenues distributed from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund administered by the City's Controller.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(l) Interfund Transfers

Interfund transfers are generally recorded as transfers in (out) except for certain types of transactions that are described below.

- Charges for services are recorded as revenues of the performing fund and expenditures of the requesting fund. Unbilled costs are recognized as an asset of the performing fund and a liability of the requesting fund at the end of the fiscal year.
- Reimbursements for expenditures, initially made by one fund, which are properly applicable to another fund, are recorded as expenditures in the reimbursing fund and as a reduction of expenditures in the fund that is reimbursed.

(m) Refunding of Debt

In governmental and business-type activities, losses or gains from advance refundings are recorded as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, respectively, and amortized into expense.

(n) Pollution Remediation Obligations

Pollution remediation obligations are measured at their current value using a cost-accumulation approach, based on the pollution remediation outlays expected to be incurred to settle those obligations. Each obligation or obligating event is measured as the sum of probability-weighted amounts in a range of possible estimated amounts. Some estimates of ranges of possible cash flows may be limited to a few discrete scenarios or a single scenario, such as the amount specified in a contract for pollution remediation services.

(o) Cash Flows

Statements of cash flows are presented for proprietary fund types. Cash and cash equivalents include all unrestricted and restricted highly liquid investments with original purchase maturities of three months or less. Pooled cash and investments in the City's Treasury represent monies in a cash management pool and such accounts are similar in nature to demand deposits.

(p) Extraordinary Item

Extraordinary items are both 1) unusual in nature (possessing a high degree of abnormality and clearly unrelated to, or only incidentally related to, the ordinary and typical activities of the entity) and 2) infrequent in occurrence (not reasonably expected to recur in the foreseeable future, taking into account the environment in which the entity operates).

Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise Fund - The Rim Fire, the third largest in California history, began on August 17, 2013 and burned over 257,135 acres. This Rim Fire event was considered unusual, infrequent, material, and reported accordingly as an extraordinary item in the financial statements of the City in the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise Fund.

As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$8,289 in damages to facilities and infrastructure, and \$7,554 in emergency response, clearing of roads, slopes and bridges, and debris removal, had been incurred, totaling \$15,843. Of these expenses, \$8,331 of costs were considered as an extraordinary loss. In addition to the \$15,843 of costs incurred, Hetch Hetchy recognized an impairment loss of \$939 to building structures and construction in progress, including Holm Powerhouse mechanical and electrical equipment, and the fiber optic line. Additionally, as a result of the fire damage to creosote treated power poles, Hetch Hetchy recorded \$186 in pollution remediation obligation as debris from the poles pose a potential threat if washed into nearby waterways. Capital asset impairment loss of \$939 and purchased and banked power of \$1,026 were netted with the \$3,453 of insurance recoveries received for damages sustained. For the year ended June 30, 2014, Hetch Hetchy recorded an extraordinary loss of \$6,843, net of impairment loss and insurance recovery.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(q) Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

(r) Reclassifications

Certain amounts, presented as 2012-13 Summarized Comparative Financial Information in the basic financial statements, have been reclassified for comparative purposes, to conform to the presentation in the 2013-14 basic financial statements.

(s) Effects of New Pronouncements

During fiscal year 2014, the City implemented the following accounting standards:

In March 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, which is intended to clarify the appropriate reporting of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources to ensure consistency in financial reporting. The statement also recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. As of July 1, 2013, the City restated its net position as follows to write off unamortized bond issuance costs previously reported as assets:

	Net Position, at Beginning of Year		
	As Previously Reported	Change in Accounting Principle	As Restated
Primary Government:			
Governmental Activities.....	\$ 1,820,159	\$ (26,270)	\$ 1,793,889
Business-Type Activities:			
San Francisco International Airport.....	294,419	(22,125)	272,294
San Francisco Water Enterprise.....	732,958	(33,327)	699,631
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power.....	518,477	(300)	518,177
Municipal Transportation Agency.....	2,266,437	(2,011)	2,264,426
General Hospital Medical Center.....	(75,850)	-	(75,850)
San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise.....	1,154,912	(6,155)	1,148,757
Port of San Francisco.....	363,166	(557)	362,609
Laguna Honda Hospital.....	407,877	(1,407)	406,470
San Francisco Market Corporation.....	11,706	-	11,706
Total Business-Type Activities.....	5,674,102	(65,882)	5,608,220
Total Primary Government.....	\$ 7,494,261	\$ (92,152)	\$ 7,402,109
Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Fund.....	\$ (456,991)	\$ (13,432)	\$ (470,423)

In addition, in the government-wide statements, the City reclassified unamortized losses and gains on refunding of debt as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources (see Note 8). The City also reclassified amounts related to the Municipal Transportation Agency's Breda leaseback transaction as a deferred inflow of resources. These were previously reported as liabilities.

In the governmental fund statements, the City reclassified \$331.4 million of unavailable revenue as of June 30, 2013 as deferred inflows of resources, which was previously reported as liabilities.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

In March 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 66, *Technical Corrections – 2012 – An Amendment of GASB Statements No. 10 and No. 62*, to resolve conflicting accounting and financial reporting guidance that could diminish the consistency of financial reporting. This statement amends Statement No. 10, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting for Risk Financing and Related Insurance Issues*, by removing the provision that limits fund-based reporting of a state and local government's risk financing activities to the general fund and the internal service fund type. This statement also amends Statement No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements*, by modifying the specific guidance on accounting for (1) operating lease payments that vary from a straight-line basis, (2) the difference between the initial investment (purchase price) and the principal amount of a purchased loan or group of loans, and (3) servicing fees related to mortgage loans that are sold when the stated service fee rate differs significantly from a current servicing fee rate. Implementation of this statement did not have a significant impact on the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

In April 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 70, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Financial Guarantees*. The statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for governments that offer or receive financial guarantees that are nonexchange transactions. The new standard is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2013. Implementation of this statement did not have a significant impact on the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

In addition, the City implemented the first of three related accounting standards:

In June 2012, the GASB issued two new standards, GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 25* and GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27* to improve the guidance for accounting and reporting on the pensions that governments provide to their employees. In November 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68*, which clarifies reporting for contributions made after the measurement date of the pension liability.

Key changes include:

- Separating how the accounting and financial reporting is determined from how pensions are funded.
- Employers with defined benefit pension plans will recognize a net pension liability, as defined by the standard, in their government-wide, proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements.
- Incorporating ad hoc cost-of-living adjustments and other ad hoc postemployment benefit changes into projections of benefit payments, if an employer's past practice and future expectations of granting them indicate they are essentially automatic.
- Using a discount rate that applies (a) the expected long-term rate of return on pension plan investments for which plan assets are expected to be available to make projected benefit payments, and (b) the yield or index rate on tax-exempt 20-year general obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher to projected benefit payments for which plan assets are not expected to be available for long-term investment in a qualified trust.
- Adopting a single actuarial cost allocation method – entry age normal – rather than the current choice among six actuarial cost methods.
- Requiring more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information.

The statements relate to accounting and financial reporting and do not apply to how governments approach the funding of their pension plans. At present, there generally is a close connection between the ways many governments fund pensions and how they account for and report information about them in financial statements. The statements would separate how the accounting and financial reporting is determined from how pensions are funded. Statement No. 67 was implemented for the City's fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The total pension liability, determined in accordance with GASB

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Statement No. 67, is presented in the notes and in the required supplementary information section in the Retirement System's separately issued financial report. Application of Statements Nos. 68 and 71 must be implemented simultaneously and are effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

The City is also currently analyzing its accounting practices to determine the potential impact on the financial statements for GASB Statement No. 69, *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations*. The statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for governments that combine or dispose of their operations. The new standard is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

(t) Restricted Assets

Certain proceeds of the City's enterprise and internal service fund revenue bonds, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the statement of net position because the use of the proceeds is limited by applicable bond covenants and resolutions. Restricted assets account for the principal and interest amounts accumulated to pay debt service, unspent bond proceeds, and amounts restricted for future capital projects.

(u) Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

The City records deferred outflows or inflows of resources in its governmental and government-wide financial statements for consumption or acquisition of net position that is applicable to a future reporting period. These financial statement elements are distinct from assets and liabilities.

In governmental fund statements, deferred inflows of resources consist of revenues not collected within the availability period after fiscal year-end. The deferred inflows of resources balance consists as of June 30, 2014 of the following unavailable resources:

	General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
Grant and subvention revenues.....	\$ 65,083	\$ 43,900	\$ 108,983
Property taxes.....	48,119	9,035	57,154
Teeter Plan.....	37,303	-	37,303
California Senate Bill 90.....	25,217	-	25,217
Advances to Successor Agency.....	21,670	-	21,670
Franchise tax and other.....	4,343	3,094	7,437
Loans.....	1,332	70,747	72,079
Total.....	<u>\$ 203,067</u>	<u>\$ 126,776</u>	<u>\$ 329,843</u>

California Senate Bill 90 (SB90), was adopted in 1972 and added to the State Constitution in 1979. When the Governor or Legislature mandates a new program or higher level of service upon local agencies and school districts, SB90 requires the State to reimburse local agencies and school districts for the cost of these new programs or higher levels of service. The balance in deferred inflow of resources is the value of reimbursement claims submitted to the State which are subject to audit for unallowable costs.

As described in Note 6, under the Teeter Plan the City is allocated secured property tax revenue which has been billed but not collected. Collections which have not occurred within the availability period are included in deferred inflows of resources in the General Fund.

In government wide financial statements, deferred outflows and inflows of resources are recorded for unamortized losses and gains on refunding of debt, deferred outflows of resources on derivative instruments, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Municipal Transportation Agency's leaseback transaction.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(3) RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(a) Explanation of certain differences between the governmental funds balance sheet and the government-wide statement of net position

Total fund balances of the City's governmental funds, \$1,936,979, differs from net position of governmental activities, \$2,341,631, reported in the statement of net position. The difference primarily results from the long-term economic focus in the statement of net position versus the current financial resources focus in the governmental funds balance sheets.

	Total Governmental Funds	Long-term Assets, Liabilities ⁽¹⁾	Internal Service Funds ⁽²⁾	Reclassi- fications and Eliminations	Statement of Net Position Totals
Assets					
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 2,385,663	\$ -	\$ 37,885	\$ -	\$2,423,548
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	68,302	-	45,147	-	113,449
Receivables, net					
Property taxes and penalties.....	62,510	-	-	-	62,510
Other local taxes.....	236,255	-	-	-	236,255
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	299,361	-	-	-	299,361
Charges for services.....	58,067	-	34	-	58,101
Interest and other.....	8,078	-	599	-	8,677
Due from other funds.....	18,384	-	-	(18,384)	-
Due from component unit.....	1,423	-	-	-	1,423
Advance to component unit.....	32,276	-	-	-	32,276
Loans receivable, net.....	72,079	-	-	-	72,079
Capital assets, net.....	-	4,453,436	9,278	-	4,462,714
Other assets.....	17,096	-	2,736	-	19,832
Total assets.....	<u>3,259,494</u>	<u>4,453,436</u>	<u>95,679</u>	<u>(18,384)</u>	<u>7,790,225</u>
Deferred outflows of resources					
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	-	10,451	1,250	-	11,701
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources.....	<u>\$ 3,259,494</u>	<u>\$4,463,887</u>	<u>\$ 96,929</u>	<u>\$ (18,384)</u>	<u>\$7,801,926</u>
Liabilities					
Accounts payable.....	\$ 329,049	\$ -	\$ 9,316	\$ -	\$ 338,365
Accrued payroll.....	143,193	-	2,735	-	145,928
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	-	145,502	2,778	-	148,280
Accrued workers' compensation.....	-	220,980	1,767	-	222,747
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	-	984,352	19,789	-	1,004,141
Estimated claims payable.....	-	155,851	-	-	155,851
Accrued interest payable.....	-	11,182	1,578	-	12,760
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	18,081	-	-	-	18,081
Due to other funds.....	21,611	-	2,507	(18,384)	5,734
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	304,978	2,545	119	-	307,642
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	175,760	2,681,228	243,503	-	3,100,491
Total liabilities.....	<u>992,672</u>	<u>4,201,640</u>	<u>284,092</u>	<u>(18,384)</u>	<u>5,460,020</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	329,843	(329,568)	-	-	275
Fund balances/ net position					
Total fund balances/ net position.....	<u>1,936,979</u>	<u>591,815</u>	<u>(187,163)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,341,631</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances/ net position.....	<u>\$ 3,259,494</u>	<u>\$4,463,887</u>	<u>\$ 96,929</u>	<u>\$ (18,384)</u>	<u>\$7,801,926</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

- (1) When capital assets (land, infrastructure, buildings, equipment, and intangible assets) that are to be used in governmental activities are purchased or constructed, the costs of those assets are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. However, the statement of net position includes those capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, among the assets of the City as a whole.

Cost of capital assets	\$ 5,785,121
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,331,685)</u>
	<u>\$ 4,453,436</u>

Long-term liabilities applicable to the City's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period, and accordingly, are not reported as fund liabilities. All liabilities, both current and long-term, are reported in the statement of net position.

Accrued vacation and sick leave pay	\$ (145,502)
Accrued workers' compensation.....	(220,980)
Other postemployment benefits obligation	(984,352)
Estimated claims payable	(155,851)
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables	(2,681,228)
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	<u>(2,545)</u>
	<u>\$ (4,190,458)</u>

Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is recognized as an expenditure when due. \$ (11,182)

Deferred outflow of resources in governmental activities are not financial resources, and therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.

Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	<u>\$ 10,451</u>
--------------------------------------------	------------------

Because the focus of governmental funds is on short-term financing, some assets will not be available to pay for current period expenditures and thus are not included in fund balance.

Revenue not collected within the City's availability period and other activities related to long-term loans.....	\$ 329,843
Unamortized gain on refunding of debt	<u>(275)</u>
	<u>\$ 329,568</u>

- (2) Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as capital lease financing, equipment maintenance services, printing and mailing services, and telecommunications and information systems, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.

Net position before adjustments	\$ 11,690
Adjustments for internal balances with the San Francisco Finance Corporation:	
Capital lease receivables from other governmental and enterprise funds	(241,111)
Other assets	2,511
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	<u>39,747</u>
	<u>\$ (187,163)</u>

In addition, intrafund receivables and payables among various internal service funds of \$85 are eliminated.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(b) Explanation of certain differences between the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances and the government-wide statement of activities

The net change in fund balances for governmental funds, \$268,418, differs from the change in net position for governmental activities, \$547,742, reported in the statement of activities. The differences arise primarily from the long-term economic focus in the statement of activities versus the current financial resources focus in the governmental funds. The effect of the differences is illustrated below.

	Total Governmental Funds	Long-term Revenues/ Expenses (3)	Capital- related Items (4)	Internal Service Funds (5)	Long-term Debt Transactions (6)	Statement of Activities Totals
Revenues						
Property taxes.....	\$ 1,517,261	\$ 4,210	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,521,471
Business taxes.....	563,406	-	-	-	-	563,406
Sales and use tax.....	227,636	-	-	-	-	227,636
Hotel room tax.....	310,052	-	-	-	-	310,052
Utility users tax.....	86,810	-	-	-	-	86,810
Parking tax.....	83,476	-	-	-	-	83,476
Real property transfer tax.....	261,925	-	-	-	-	261,925
Other local taxes.....	46,237	-	-	-	-	46,237
Licenses, permits and franchises.....	42,371	124	-	-	-	42,495
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	28,425	(115)	-	-	-	28,310
Interest and investment income.....	21,678	-	-	209	-	21,887
Rents and concessions.....	90,712	1,602	-	-	-	92,314
Intergovernmental:						
Federal.....	426,314	12,921	-	-	-	439,235
State.....	721,735	4,310	-	-	-	726,045
Other.....	9,408	(3,053)	-	-	-	6,355
Charges for services.....	333,904	1,408	-	-	-	335,312
Other.....	134,923	(1,700)	16,218	518	-	149,959
Total revenues.....	<u>4,906,273</u>	<u>19,707</u>	<u>16,218</u>	<u>727</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4,942,925</u>
Expenditures/ Expenses						
Current:						
Public Protection.....	1,172,497	50,824	12,585	(6,315)	-	1,229,591
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	232,005	14,002	(43,374)	(1,921)	-	200,712
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	995,192	13,741	480	(223)	-	1,009,190
Community health.....	761,439	24,257	1,065	-	-	786,761
Culture and recreation.....	331,914	10,204	38,392	(15,542)	(7,348)	357,620
General administration and finance.....	233,977	21,841	41,410	1,335	-	298,563
General City responsibilities.....	86,996	-	-	(1,757)	-	85,239
Debt service:						
Principal retirement.....	190,266	-	-	-	(190,266)	-
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	119,142	-	-	5,568	(11,015)	113,695
Bond issuance costs.....	2,185	-	-	-	-	2,185
Capital outlay.....	449,726	-	(449,726)	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>4,575,339</u>	<u>134,869</u>	<u>(399,168)</u>	<u>(18,855)</u>	<u>(208,629)</u>	<u>4,083,556</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	330,934	(115,162)	415,386	19,582	208,629	859,369
Other financing sources (uses) / changes in net position						
Net transfers in (out).....	(312,013)	-	(678)	1,064	-	(311,627)
Issuance of bonds and loans:						
Face value of bonds issued.....	257,175	-	-	-	(257,175)	-
Face value of loans issued.....	8,735	-	-	-	(8,735)	-
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	19,773	-	-	-	(19,773)	-
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent.....	(49,055)	-	-	-	49,055	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	12,869	-	-	(12,869)	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>(62,516)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(678)</u>	<u>(11,805)</u>	<u>(236,629)</u>	<u>(311,627)</u>
Net change for the year.....	<u>\$ 268,418</u>	<u>\$ (115,162)</u>	<u>\$414,708</u>	<u>\$ 7,777</u>	<u>\$ (27,999)</u>	<u>\$ 547,742</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

- (3) Because some property taxes will not be collected for several months after the City's fiscal year ends, they are not considered as available revenues in the governmental funds. \$ 4,210

Some other revenues that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds but are recognized in the statement of activities. 15,497
\$ 19,707

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Certain long-term liabilities reported in the prior year statement of net position were paid during the current period resulting in expenditures in the governmental funds. This is the amount by which the increase in long-term liabilities exceeded expenditures in funds that do not require the use of current financial resources. \$ (136,301)

Governmental funds report revenues and expenditures primarily pertaining to long-term loan activities, which are not reported in the statement of activities. These activities are reported at the government-wide level in the statement of net position. This is the net expenditures reported in the governmental funds. 1,432
\$ (134,869)

- (4) When capital assets that are to be used in governmental activities are purchased or constructed, the resources expended for those assets are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. As a result, fund balance decreases by the amount of financial resources expended, whereas net position decreases by the amount of depreciation expense charged for the year and the loss on disposal of capital assets.

Capital expenditures.....	\$ 509,729
Depreciation expense.....	(103,089)
Loss on disposal of capital assets.....	(208)
Transfer of asset to enterprise fund	(678)
Capital assets acquired by other revenues.....	16,218
Write off construction of progress.....	<u>(7,264)</u>
Difference.....	<u>\$ 414,708</u>

- (5) Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as capital lease financing, equipment maintenance, printing and mailing services, and telecommunications, to individual funds. The adjustments for internal service funds "close" those funds by charging additional amounts to participating governmental activities to completely cover the internal service funds' costs for the year. \$ 7,777

- (6) Lease payments on the Moscone Convention Center (note 8) are reported as a culture and recreation expenditure in the governmental funds and, thus, have the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For the City as a whole, however, the principal payments reduce the liability in the statement of net position and do not result in an expense in the statement of activities. The City's capital lease obligation was reduced because principal payments were made to lessee.

Total property rent payments.....	<u>\$ 7,348</u>
-----------------------------------	-----------------

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

Bond premiums are a source of funds in the governmental funds when the bonds are issued, but are capitalized in the statement of net position. This is the amount of premiums capitalized during the current period.....\$ (19,773)

Repayment of bond principal and payment to escrow for refunding of debt are reported as expenditures in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For the City as a whole however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net position and do not result in expenses in the statement of activities. The City's bonded debt was reduced because principal payments were made to bond holders and payments were made to escrow for refunded debt.

Principal payments made	\$ 190,266
Payments to escrow for refunded debt.....	49,055
	<u>239,321</u>

Bond and loan proceeds and capital leases are reported as other financing sources in governmental funds and thus contribute to the change in fund balance. In the government-wide statements, however, issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net position and do not affect the statement of activities. Proceeds were received from:

General obligation bonds.....	\$ (209,955)
Refunding certificates of participation	(47,220)
Loans	(8,735)
	<u>(265,910)</u>
	<u>\$ (26,589)</u>

Interest expense in the statement of activities differs from the amount reported in governmental funds because (1) additional accrued and accreted interest was calculated for bonds, notes payable and capital leases, and (2) amortization of bond discounts, premiums and refunding losses and gains are not expended within the fund statements.

Increase in accrued interest	\$ (47)
Gain on refunding	(278)
Interest payment on capital lease obligations on the Moscone Convention Center	(1,056)
Amortization of bond premiums, discounts, refunding losses and gains	12,396
	<u>\$ 11,015</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

(4) BUDGETARY RESULTS RECONCILED TO RESULTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

Budgetary Results Reconciliation

The budgetary process is based upon accounting for certain transactions on a basis other than generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The results of operations are presented in the budget-to-actual comparison statement in accordance with the budgetary process (Budget basis) to provide a meaningful comparison with the budget.

The major differences between the Budget basis "actual" and GAAP basis are timing differences. Timing differences represent transactions that are accounted for in different periods for Budget basis and GAAP basis reporting. Certain revenues accrued on a Budget basis have been deferred for GAAP reporting. These primarily relate to the accounting for property tax revenues under the Teeter Plan (Note 6), revenues not meeting the 90-day availability period and other assets not available for budgetary appropriation.

The fund balance of the General Fund as of June 30, 2014 on a Budget basis is reconciled to the fund balance on a GAAP basis as follows:

Fund Balance - Budget Basis.....	\$ 941,702
Unrealized Gains/ (Losses) on Investments.....	935
Cumulative Excess Property Tax Revenues Recognized on a Budget Basis.....	(37,303)
Cumulative Excess Health, Human Services, Franchise and Other Revenues Recognized on a Budget Basis.....	(66,415)
Deferred amounts on loan receivables.....	(21,670)
Pre-paid lease revenue.....	(5,709)
Nonspendable Fund Balance (Assets Reserved for Not Available for Appropriation)....	<u>24,022</u>
Fund Balance - GAAP basis.....	<u>\$ 835,562</u>

General Fund budget basis fund balance as of June 30, 2014 is composed of the following:

Not available for appropriations:	
Restricted Fund Balance:	
Rainy Day - Economic Stabilization Reserve.....	\$ 60,289
Rainy Day - One Time Spending Account.....	22,905
Committed Fund Balance:	
Budget Stabilization Reserve.....	132,264
Recreation and Parks Expenditure Saving Reserve	12,862
Assigned Fund Balance:	
Assigned for Encumbrances.....	92,269
Assigned for Appropriation Carryforward.....	159,345
Assigned for Subsequent Years' Budgets:	
Budget Savings Incentive Program City-wide.....	32,088
Salaries and benefits costs (MOU).....	<u>10,040</u>
Subtotal.....	\$ 522,062
Available for appropriations:	
Assigned for Litigation and Contingences.....	79,223
Assigned balance subsequently appropriated as part of the General Fund budget for use in fiscal year 2014-15.....	135,938
Unassigned for General Reserve.....	45,748
Unassigned - Budget for use in fiscal year 2015-16.....	137,075
Unassigned - Available for future appropriations.....	<u>21,656</u>
Subtotal.....	<u>419,640</u>
Fund Balance, June 30, 2014 - Budget basis.....	<u>\$ 941,702</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(5) DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

(a) Cash, Deposits and Investments Presentation

Total City cash, deposits and investments, at fair value, are as follows:

	Primary Government			Total	Component Unit
	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Fiduciary Funds		
Deposits and investments with					
City Treasury.....	\$ 2,423,548	\$ 1,944,883	\$ 1,177,974	\$ 5,546,405	\$ 8,868
Deposits and investments outside					
City Treasury.....	68,302	13,530	20,106,570	20,188,402	-
Restricted assets:					
Deposits and investments with					
City Treasury.....	-	1,185,510	-	1,185,510	-
Deposits and investments outside					
City Treasury.....	45,147	764,029	-	809,176	-
Invested securities lending collateral...	-	-	911,577	911,577	-
Total deposits & investments	<u>\$ 2,536,997</u>	<u>\$ 3,907,952</u>	<u>\$ 22,196,121</u>	<u>\$28,641,070</u>	<u>\$ 8,868</u>
Cash and deposits.....				\$ 876,524	\$ -
Investments.....				27,764,546	8,868
Total deposits and investments.....				<u>\$28,641,070</u>	<u>\$ 8,868</u>

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the City will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code, the City's investment policy and the Retirement System's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits, other than the following provision. The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units not covered by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance by pledging government securities as collateral. The market value of pledged securities must equal at least 110% of the type of collateral authorized in California Government Code, Section 53651 (a) through (i) of the City's deposits. The collateral must be held at the pledging bank's trust department or another bank, acting as the pledging bank's agent, in the City's name. As of June 30, 2014, \$2.2 million of the business-type activities bank balances were exposed to custodial credit risk by not being insured or collateralized.

(b) Investment Policies

Treasurer's Pool

The City's investment policy addresses the Treasurer's safekeeping and custody practices with financial institutions in which the City deposits funds, types of permitted investment instruments, and the percentage of the portfolio which may be invested in certain instruments with longer terms to maturity. The objectives of the policy, in order of priority, are safety, liquidity, and earning a market rate of return on investments. The City has established a Treasury Oversight Committee (Oversight Committee) as defined in the City Administrative Code section 10.80-3, comprised of various City officials, representatives of agencies with large cash balances, and members of the public, to monitor and review the management of public funds maintained in the investment pool in accordance with Sections 27130 to 27137 of the California Government Code. The Treasurer prepares and submits an

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

investment report to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, members of the Oversight Committee and the investment pool participants every month. The report covers the type of investments in the pool, maturity dates, par value, actual cost, and fair value.

Although the California Government Code does not limit the amount of City funds that may be invested in federal agency instruments, the City's investment policy requires that investments in federal agencies should not exceed 85 percent of the total portfolio at the time of purchase. The investment policy also places maturity limits based on the type of security. Investments held by the Treasurer during the year did not include repurchase agreements or reverse repurchase agreements.

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized by the City's investment policy dated October 2013. The table also identifies certain provisions of the City's investment policy that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasuries	5 years	100%	100%
Federal Agencies	5 years	85% *	100%
State and Local Government Agency Obligations	5 years	20%	5% *
Public Time Deposits	13 months *	None	None
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Bankers Acceptances	180 days	40%	None
Commercial Paper	270 days	25% *	10% *
Medium Term Notes	24 months *	15% *	10%
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements / Securities Lending	45 days *	None	\$75 million *
Money Market Funds	N/A	None	N/A
State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	Statutory	None

* Represents restriction on which the City's investment policy is more restrictive than the California Government Code.

The Treasurer also holds for safekeeping bequests, trust funds, and lease deposits for other City departments. The bequests and trust funds consist of stocks and debentures. Those instruments are valued at par, cost, or fair value at the time of donation.

Other Funds

Other funds consist primarily of deposits and investments with trustees related to the issuance of bonds and to certain loan programs operated by the City. These funds are invested either in accordance with bond covenants and are pledged for payment of principal, interest, and specified capital improvements or in accordance with grant agreements and may be restricted for the issuance of loans.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Employees' Retirement System

The Retirement System's investments are invested pursuant to investment policy guidelines as established by the Retirement Board. The objective of the policy is to maximize the expected return of the fund at an acceptable level of risk. The Retirement Board has established percentage guidelines for types of investments to ensure the portfolio is diversified.

Investment managers are required to diversify by issue, maturity, sector, coupon, and geography. Investment managers retained by the Retirement System follow specific investment guidelines and are evaluated against specific market benchmarks that represent their investment style. Any exemption from general guidelines requires approval from the Retirement Board. The Retirement System invests in securities with contractual cash flows, such as asset backed securities, commercial mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. The value, liquidity and related income of these securities are sensitive to changes in economic conditions, including real estate values, delinquencies or defaults, or both, and may be affected by shifts in the market's perception of the issuers and changes in interest rates.

The investment policy permits investments in domestic and international debt and equity securities; real estate; securities lending; foreign currency contracts; derivative instruments; and alternative investments; which include investments in a variety of commingled partnership vehicles.

The Retirement Board approved the following asset allocation policy in November 2012:

Asset Class	Target Allocation
Global Equity	47.0%
Fixed Income	25.0%
Private Equity	16.0%
Real Assets	12.0%
	100.0%

The Retirement System is not directly involved in repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. However, external investment managers retained by the Retirement System may employ repurchase arrangements if the securities purchased or sold comply with the manager's investment guidelines. The Retirement System monitors the investment activity of its investment managers to ensure compliance with guidelines. In addition, the Retirement System's securities lending cash collateral separately managed account is authorized to use repurchase arrangements. As of June 30, 2014, \$235 million (or 25.8% of cash collateral) consisted of such agreements.

(c) Investment Risks

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. Information about the sensitivity to the fair values of the City's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following tables, which shows the distribution of the City's investments by maturity. The Retirement System's interest rate risk information is discussed in section (e) of this note.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

	S & P Rating	Fair Value	Investment Maturities	
			Less than 1 year	1 to 5 years
Primary Government:				
Investments in City Treasury:				
Pooled Investments:				
U.S. Treasury Notes	AA+	\$ 664,288	\$ 75,953	\$ 588,335
U.S. Agencies - Coupon	NR - AA+	4,219,872	835,173	3,384,699
Negotiable certificates of deposits	A+ - AA-	340,525	205,544	134,981
Money market mutual funds	AAA-m	75,087	75,087	-
Public time deposits	NR	480	480	-
State/Local Agencies	A - AA	78,855	29,196	49,659
Corporate notes	A+ - AAA	658,695	501,050	157,645
Less: Treasure Island Development Authority				
Investments with City Treasury	n/a	(8,868)	-	(8,868)
Less: Employees' Retirement System				
Investments with City Treasury	n/a	(5,227)	-	(5,227)
Subtotal pooled investments		6,023,707	1,722,483	4,301,224
Separately managed account:				
SFRDA South Beach Harbor Revenue Bond	n/a	3,270	-	3,270
Subtotal investments in City Treasury		6,026,977	\$ 1,722,483	\$ 4,304,494
Investments Outside City Treasury:				
(Governmental and Business - Type)				
U.S. Treasury Notes	NR/AA+	\$ 241,423	\$ 5,454	\$ 235,969
U.S. Agencies - Coupon	AA+	10,521	-	10,521
U.S. Agencies - Discount	A-1+	234,742	106,173	128,569
Money Market Mutual Funds	AAA-m/Aaa-mf	352,894	352,894	-
U.S. Treasury Money Market Funds	A-1/P-1	125,687	125,687	-
Commercial Paper	A-1	36,314	36,314	-
Certificate of Deposit	NR	349	349	-
Subtotal investments outside City Treasury		1,001,930	\$ 626,871	\$ 375,059
Employees' Retirement System investments		20,735,639		
Total Primary Government		\$ 27,764,546		
Component Unit:				
Treasure Island Development Authority:				
Investments with City Treasury	n/a	8,868	\$ -	\$ 8,868
Total Investments		\$ 27,773,414		

As of June 30, 2014, the investments in the City Treasury had a weighted average maturity of 711 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Standard & Poor's rating for each of the investment types are shown in the table above.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Custodial Credit Risk for Investments

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to transaction, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the City's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for investments; however, it is the practice of the City Treasurer that all investments are insured, registered or held by the Treasurer's custodial agent in the City's name. The governmental and business-type activities also have investments with trustees related to the issuance of bonds that are uninsured, unregistered and held by the counterparty's trust departments but not in the City's name. These amounts are included in the investments outside City Treasury shown in the table above.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The City's investment policy contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code and/or its investment policy. U.S. Treasury and agency securities explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government are not subject to single issuer limitation.

As of June 30, 2014, the City Treasurer has investments in U.S. Agencies that represent 5% or more of the total Pool in the following:

Federal Farm Credit Bank.....	19.5%
Federal Home Loan Bank.....	16.4%
Federal National Mortgage Association.....	13.7%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.....	10.9%
Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation.....	9.5%

In addition, the following major funds hold investments with trustees that represent 5% or more of the funds' investments outside City Treasury as of June 30, 2014:

Airport:	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.....	27.1%
Federal National Mortgage Association.....	10.0%
Water Enterprise:	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.....	21.1%
Hetch Hetchy:	
Federal Home Loan Bank.....	75.1%
Wastewater Enterprise:	
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.....	27.8%
Federal National Mortgage Association.....	16.3%
Federal Home Loan Bank.....	7.6%

Airport's Forward Purchase and Sale Agreements

Objective and Terms – During fiscal year 2014, the Airport's Senior Trustee invested a portion of the Airport's debt service fund in investments delivered in accordance with a ten-year Forward Purchase and Sale Agreement (FPSA) with Morgan Stanley Capital Services that expired on November 1, 2013, and was intended to produce guaranteed earnings at a rate of 4.349%. Under this FPSA, which has not been replaced, the Senior Trustee was required to purchase \$10.9 million of investment securities every month for the debt service fund for the first four months of the fiscal year.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

The Senior Trustee also invested a portion of the Airport's debt service reserve fund in investments delivered in accordance with a ten-year FPSA with Merrill Lynch Capital Services that expires in November 2014, and is intended to produce guaranteed earnings at rates of 4.329%. Under this FPSA, the Senior Trustee is required to purchase \$100.0 million of investment securities every six months, maturing on the following May 1 or November 1, as applicable, for the bond reserve fund. The final delivery of securities for purchase occurred on May 1, 2014.

The amount of unmatured investment securities purchased under the FPSA and held by the Senior Trustee as of June 30, 2014, is shown in the following table:

<u>Provider</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Fixed Rate</u>	<u>Start Date</u>	<u>End Date</u>
Merrill Lynch Capital Services	Reserve Fund ¹	\$ 100,000	4.329%	12/10/2004	11/1/2014

¹ The final delivery of securities occurred on May 1, 2014.

All investments under the FPSAs are made with the intention that securities will be held to maturity, and all are invested only in specified eligible securities pursuant to California Government Code and as defined by the Airport's 1991 Master Resolution. These investments are scheduled to mature on or before each debt service payment date on the associated bonds.

If necessary, the Airport may direct the Senior Trustee to sell the securities at any time prior to their maturity in the open market and use the proceeds of such sale for the permitted purposes of the applicable fund. The securities are recorded at their fair market value as of June 30, 2014, and not at the guaranteed rate of return of the respective FPSA under which the investments were delivered. As of June 30, 2014, the accrued interest was recorded in the interest receivable account.

The Airport accounted for and disclosed the FPSA as investment derivatives in accordance with GASB Statement No. 53 as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Fair Value – The fair value of each FPSA takes into consideration the prevailing interest rate environment and the specific terms and conditions of the FPSA. All fair values were estimated using the zero-coupon discounting method. This method calculates the future earnings under each FPSA, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve are the market's best estimate of future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve and compared to the future earnings at the guaranteed rate, also discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve.

As the Morgan Stanley Capital Services FPSA expired under its terms on November 1, 2013, and the final delivery of securities under the Airport's Merrill Lynch Capital Services FPSA occurred on May 1, 2014, the fair value of the FPSAs is zero on June 30, 2014.

Credit Risk – The provider under each FPSA sells the specified investment securities to the Senior Trustee on a "delivery-versus-payment" basis. Therefore, at any given time, the Senior Trustee holds either cash or the delivered investments. Airport has received bankruptcy opinions of counsel to the respective providers to the effect that, subject to customary qualifications, investment securities purchased by the Senior Trustee would not constitute part of the bankruptcy estate of the provider. Thus, the Airport believes that the principal amounts invested in accordance with the FPSAs are not at risk in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the respective providers. In the event a provider fails to perform, the Airport can invest its funds in alternate investments available at that time, which would likely produce a different rate of return. If an FPSA is terminated, the Airport would receive or pay a termination amount approximately equivalent to the fair value of the FPSA at that time, depending on market conditions.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

As of June 30, 2014, all delivery obligations under the FPSAs had been fulfilled or the FPSA had expired and the FPSAs had no remaining economic value to the Airport.

Termination Risk – The downgrade of an FPSA provider increases the risk to the Airport that the provider will not perform under the FPSA. As of June 30, 2014, each of the Airport’s FPSA providers fulfilled all of their required obligations under the terms of the FPSAs.

(d) Treasurer’s Pool

The following represents a condensed statement of net position and changes in net position for the Treasurer’s Pool as of June 30, 2014:

Statement of Net Position

Net position held in trust for all pool participants.....	\$6,740,783
Equity of internal pool participants.....	\$6,110,766
Equity of separately managed account participant.....	11,427
Equity of external pool participants.....	618,590
Total equity.....	<u>\$6,740,783</u>

Statement of Changes in Net Position

Net position at July 1, 2013.....	\$6,381,644
Net change in investments by pool participants.....	359,139
Net position at June 30, 2014.....	<u>\$6,740,783</u>

The following provides a summary of key investment information for the Treasurer’s Pool as of June 30, 2014:

Type of Investment	Rates	Maturities	Par Value	Carrying Value
Pooled Investments:				
US government securities.....	0.48% - 2.00%	07/31/14 - 12/31/17	\$ 660,000	\$ 664,288
US Agencies - Coupon.....	0.15% - 2.31%	08/20/14 - 06/03/19	4,202,689	4,219,872
State and local agencies.....	0.39% - 1.66%	08/01/14 - 11/01/17	77,545	78,855
Negotiable certificates of deposit....	0.12% - 0.46%	07/01/14 - 05/09/16	340,500	340,525
Public time deposits.....	0.45% - 0.46%	02/07/15 - 04/09/15	480	480
Corporate notes.....	0.14% - 0.81%	07/30/14 - 05/11/16	654,159	658,695
Money market mutual funds.....	0.01% - 0.04%	07/01/14 - 07/01/14	75,087	75,087
			<u>\$ 6,010,460</u>	6,037,802
Segregated account:				
Local agencies.....	3.50%	12/1/2016	\$ 3,270	3,270
Carrying amount of deposits with Treasurer.....				699,711
Total cash and investments with Treasurer.....				<u>\$ 6,740,783</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(e) Retirement System's Investments

The Retirement System's investments as of June 30, 2014 are summarized as follows:

Fixed Income Investments:	
Short-term bills and notes	\$ 838,466
Investments with City Treasury	5,227
Debt securities:	
U.S. Government and agencies	882,574
Other debt securities	3,648,458
Subtotal debt securities	4,531,032
Total fixed income investments	5,374,725
Equity securities:	
Domestic	5,225,847
International	5,215,814
Total equity securities	10,441,661
Real estate holdings	1,582,169
Alternative investments	2,424,678
Foreign currency contracts, net	829
Investment in lending agent's short-term investment pool	911,577
Total Retirement System Investments	\$ 20,735,639

Interest Rate Risk

The Retirement System does not have a specific policy to manage interest rate risk. Below is a table depicting the segmented time distribution for fixed income investments based upon the expected maturity (in years) as of June 30, 2014:

Investment Type	Fair Value	Maturities			
		Less than 1 year	1-5 years	6-10 years	10+ years
Asset Backed Securities	\$ 130,486	\$ -	\$ 89,708	\$ 8,216	\$ 32,562
Bank Loans	110,626	1,208	54,992	52,541	1,885
City Investment Pool	5,227	-	5,227	-	-
Collateralized Bonds	8,657	-	-	-	8,657
Commercial Mortgage-Backed	630,324	-	12,273	18,027	600,024
Commingled and Other					
Fixed Income Funds	392,560	408,339	292	-	(16,071)
Corporate Bonds	1,793,247	632,941	353,908	511,932	294,466
Corporate Convertible Bonds	309,418	23,305	145,495	52,655	87,963
Foreign Currencies and Cash Equivalents	348,374	348,374	-	-	-
Government Agencies	215,757	211,029	4,728	-	-
Government Bonds	423,874	3,285	254,355	123,323	42,911
Government Mortgage					
Backed Securities	310,260	63,379	4,389	12,897	229,595
Index Linked Government Bonds	10,215	-	3,240	4,167	2,808
Mortgages	28	6	22	-	-
Municipal/Provincial Bonds	44,886	851	1,008	1,990	41,037
Non-Government Backed					
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	154,813	953	3,878	4,084	145,898
Options	(16)	(16)	-	-	-
Short Term Investment Funds	490,092	490,092	-	-	-
Swaps	(4,103)	8	(3,996)	5	(120)
Total	\$ 5,374,725	\$ 2,183,754	\$ 929,519	\$ 789,837	\$ 1,471,615

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Credit Risk

Fixed income investment managers typically are limited within their portfolios to no more than 5% exposure in any single security, with the exception of United States Treasury and government agency securities. The Retirement System's credit risk policy is embedded in the individual investment manager agreements as prescribed and approved by the Retirement Board.

Investments are classified and rated using the lower of (1) Standard & Poor's (S&P) rating or (2) Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) rating corresponding to the equivalent S&P rating. If only a Moody's rating is available, the rating equivalent to S&P is used for the purpose of this disclosure.

The following table illustrates the Retirement System's exposure to credit risk as of June 30, 2014. Investments issued or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government of \$836.8 million as of June 30, 2014 are not considered to have credit risk and are excluded from the table below.

<u>Credit Rating</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Fair Value as a Percentage of Total</u>
AAA	\$ 241,871	5.3%
AA	172,653	3.8%
A	343,162	7.6%
BBB	656,696	14.5%
BB	271,044	6.0%
B	375,705	8.3%
CCC	147,817	3.3%
CC	2,117	0.0%
C	5,106	0.1%
D	1,517	0.0%
Not Rated	2,320,237	51.1%
Total	<u>\$ 4,537,925</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

The securities listed as "Not Rated" include short-term investment funds, government mortgage backed securities, and investments that invest primarily in rated securities, such as commingled funds and money market funds, but do not themselves have a specific credit rating. Excluding these securities, the "Not Rated" component of credit would be approximately 12.7% for 2014.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the Retirement System's investment in a single issuer. Guidelines for investment managers typically restrict a position to become no more than 5% (at fair value) of the investment manager's portfolio. Securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government or its agencies are exempt from this limit.

As of June 30, 2014, the Retirement System had no investments of a single issuer that equaled or exceeded 5% of total Retirement System's investments or net position.

Custodial Credit Risk

The Retirement System does not have a specific policy addressing custodial credit risk for investments, but investments are generally insured, registered, or held by the Retirement System or its agent in the Retirement System's name. As of June 30, 2014, \$221.7 million of the Retirement System's investments were exposed to custodial credit risk because they were not insured or registered in the name of the Retirement System, and were held by the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the Retirement System's name.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

For fiscal year 2014, cash received as securities lending collateral is invested in a separate account managed by the lending agent using investment guidelines approved by the Retirement System and held by the Retirement System's custodial bank. Securities in this separately managed account are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Foreign Currency Risk

The Retirement System's exposure to foreign currency risk derives from its positions in foreign currency denominated cash, equity, fixed income, alternative investments, real estate, and swap investments. The Retirement System's investment policy allows international managers to enter into foreign exchange contracts, which are limited to hedging currency exposure existing in the portfolio.

The Retirement System's net exposures to foreign currency risk as of June 30, 2014 are as follows:

Currency	Cash	Equities	Fixed Income	Alternative Investment	Real Estate	Foreign Currency Contracts	Total
Australian dollar	\$ 1,256	\$ 126,880	\$ 6,375	\$ 12,873	\$ -	\$ 44,103	\$ 191,487
Brazilian real	-	29,865	24,013	-	-	(15,799)	38,079
British pound sterling	7,830	702,809	22,194	-	-	(112,025)	620,808
Canadian dollar	502	89,041	6,679	-	-	(8,106)	88,116
Chilean peso	-	567	-	-	-	6,043	6,610
Colombian peso	80	-	2,792	-	-	570	3,442
Czech koruna	-	887	-	-	-	-	887
Danish krone	(4,858)	38,393	-	-	-	1,207	34,742
Euro	34,892	939,249	78,793	269,820	-	(26,178)	1,296,576
Hong Kong dollar	1,212	256,213	-	-	-	1,886	259,311
Hungarian forint	-	-	781	-	-	165	946
Indian rupee	-	-	-	-	-	540	540
Indonesian rupiah	25	15,521	4,278	-	-	270	20,094
Japanese yen	1,680	600,103	-	-	304	1,636	603,723
Malaysian ringgit	(697)	19,745	5,695	-	-	1,731	26,474
Mexican peso	550	22,857	18,257	-	-	1,392	43,056
New Israeli shekel	21	7,277	-	-	-	3,592	10,890
New Romanian leu	16	-	1,171	-	-	(158)	1,029
New Russian ruble	-	-	5,491	-	-	583	6,074
New Taiwan dollar	787	56,608	-	-	-	-	57,395
New Zealand dollar	-	621	9,497	-	-	124,375	134,493
Nigerian naira	86	-	1,251	-	-	(73)	1,264
Norwegian krone	350	25,342	-	-	-	34,681	60,373
Peruvian nuevo sol	-	-	638	-	-	(250)	388
Philippine peso	-	2,007	351	-	-	(220)	2,138
Polish zloty	-	449	7,200	-	-	783	8,432
Singapore dollar	453	40,843	-	-	-	850	42,146
South African rand	425	23,614	10,031	-	-	(3,180)	30,890
South Korean won	516	115,922	-	-	-	244	116,682
Swedish krona	758	66,256	-	-	-	(67,215)	(201)
Swiss franc	(8,295)	277,329	-	-	-	(25,203)	243,831
Thai baht	2	14,635	2,191	-	-	1,875	18,703
Turkish lira	-	15,813	3,829	-	-	2,853	22,495
Total	\$ 37,591	\$ 3,488,846	\$ 211,507	\$ 282,693	\$ 304	\$ (29,028)	\$ 3,991,913

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Derivative Instruments

As of June 30, 2014, the derivative instruments held by the Retirement System are considered investments and not hedges for accounting purposes. The gains and losses arising from this activity are recognized as incurred in the statement of changes in fiduciary net position. All investment derivatives discussed below are included within the investment risk schedules, which precede this subsection. Investment derivative instruments are disclosed separately to provide a comprehensive and distinct view of this activity and its impact on the overall investment portfolio.

The fair value of the exchange traded derivative instruments, such as futures, options, rights and warrants are based on quoted market prices. The fair values of forward foreign currency contracts are determined using a pricing service, which uses published foreign exchange rates as the primary source. The fair values of swaps are determined by the Retirement System's investment managers based on quoted market prices of the underlying investment instruments.

The table below presents the notional amounts, the fair value amounts, and the related net appreciation (depreciation) in the fair value of derivative instruments that were outstanding at June 30, 2014:

<u>Derivative Type / Contracts</u>	<u>Notional Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Net Appreciation (Depreciation) in Fair Value</u>
Forwards			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	(a)	\$ 829	\$ 829
Other Contracts	(a)	(2,123)	(2,123)
Options			
Foreign Exchange Contracts	(1,733)	(16)	2
Swaps			
Credit Contracts	105,435	(4,109)	750
Interest Rate Contracts	385	5	5
Rights/Warrants			
Equity Contracts	1,975 shares	4,746	72
Total		<u>\$ (668)</u>	<u>\$ (465)</u>

(a) The Retirement System's investment managers enter into a wide variety of forward foreign exchange and other contracts, which frequently do not involve the US dollar. As a result, a US dollar-based notional value is not included.

All investment derivatives are reported as investments at fair value in the statement of fiduciary net position. Rights and warrants are reported in equity securities. Foreign exchange contracts are reported in foreign currency contracts, which also include spot contracts that are not derivatives. All other derivative contracts are reported in other debt securities. All changes in fair value are reported as net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments in the statements of changes in fiduciary net position.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Retirement System is exposed to credit risk on derivative instruments that are in asset positions. As of June 30, 2014, the fair value of forward currency contracts (including foreign exchange contract options) to purchase and sell international currencies were \$2.2 million. The Retirement System's counterparties to these contracts held credit ratings of A or better on 99.5% of the positions as assigned by one or more of the major credit rating organizations (S&P, Moody's and/or Fitch) while 0.5% were not rated.

Custodial Credit Risk

The custodial credit risk disclosure for exchange traded derivative instruments is made in accordance with the custodial credit risk disclosure requirements of GASB Statement No. 40. At June 30, 2014, all of the Retirement System's investments in derivative instruments are held in the Retirement System's name and are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Interest Rate Risk

The table below describes the maturity periods of the derivative instruments exposed to interest rate risk at June 30, 2014.

Derivative Type / Contracts	Fair Value	Maturities			
		Less than 1 year	1-5 years	6-10 years	10+ years
Forwards					
Other Contracts	\$ (2,123)	\$ (2,123)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Swaps					
Credit Contracts	(4,109)	8	(3,997)	-	(120)
Interest Rate Contracts	5	-	-	5	-
Total	<u>\$ (6,227)</u>	<u>\$ (2,115)</u>	<u>\$ (3,997)</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ (120)</u>

The following table details the reference rate, notional amount, and fair value of interest rate swaps that are highly sensitive to changes in interest rates as of June 30, 2014:

Investment Type	Reference Rate	Notional Value	Fair Value
Interest Rate Swaps	Receiving fixed (6.3%), paying floating Mexican Interbank TIIE 28 Day rate	\$ 2,100	\$ 4
Interest Rate Swaps	Receiving fixed (6.2%), paying floating Colombian Interbank rate	\$ 420,000	\$ 1

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Foreign Currency Risk

At June 30, 2014, the Retirement System is exposed to foreign currency risk on its investments in forwards, rights, warrants, and swaps denominated in foreign currencies. Below is the derivative instruments foreign currency risk analysis as of June 30, 2014:

Currency	Forwards	Rights/ Warrants	Swaps	Total
Australian dollar	\$ 593	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 593
Brazilian real	(397)	-	-	(397)
British pound sterling	(2,778)	-	-	(2,778)
Canadian dollar	(21)	-	-	(21)
Chilean peso	16	-	-	16
Colombian peso	(8)	-	2	(6)
Danish krone	9	-	-	9
Euro	(28)	-	-	(28)
Hong Kong dollar	(1)	165	-	164
Hungarian forint	(1)	-	-	(1)
Indian rupee	10	-	-	10
Indonesian rupiah	41	-	-	41
Japanese yen	(62)	-	-	(62)
Malaysian ringgit	28	-	-	28
Mexican peso	58	-	4	62
New Israeli shekel	36	-	-	36
New Romanian leu	(1)	-	-	(1)
New Russian ruble	23	-	-	23
New Zealand dollar	4,333	-	-	4,333
Nigerian naira	(1)	-	-	(1)
Norwegian krone	(887)	-	-	(887)
Philippine peso	(7)	-	-	(7)
Singapore dollar	10	-	-	10
South Korean won	5	-	-	5
Swedish krona	100	-	-	100
Swiss franc	(267)	-	-	(267)
Thai baht	2	-	-	2
Turkish lira	25	-	-	25
Total	\$ 830	\$ 165	\$ 6	\$ 1,001

Contingent Features

At June 30, 2014, the Retirement System held no positions in derivatives containing contingent features.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Currency Management Program

The Retirement System's currency management program is managed by two investment managers. The objective of the currency management program is to produce a risk-adjusted return of approximately 100 basis points.

The Retirement System's international equity managers do not actively manage the underlying currency risk. Currency risk can be reduced through an active currency management program.

Each currency manager manages currency risk through foreign exchange spot and forward contracts, and currency options. Only international equities are subject to the currency management program. The Retirement System's international fixed income currency exposure is actively managed by four developed market bond managers and two emerging market bond managers. All four developed bond managers have discretion to invest in U.S. or international developed markets.

As of June 30, 2014, the Retirement System's allocation to international equities (including cash and other assets) was primarily denominated in foreign currencies and totaled \$5.4 billion, which represented 27.3% of the fiduciary net position. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the currency management program lost \$19.4 million in value or 0.36% of the international equity portfolio (including cash and other assets) and 0.10% of the Retirement System's average total portfolio value.

Securities Lending

The Retirement System lends U.S. government obligations, domestic and international bonds, and equities to various brokers with a simultaneous agreement to return collateral for the same securities plus a fee in the future. The securities lending agent manages the securities lending program and receives securities and cash as collateral. Cash and non-cash collateral is pledged at 102% and 105% of the fair value of domestic securities and international securities lent, respectively. There are no restrictions on the number of securities that can be lent at one time. However, starting in the year ended June 30, 2009, the Retirement System engaged in a systematic reduction of the value of securities on loan with a target of no more than ten percent (10%) of total fund assets on loan at any time. The term to maturity of the loaned securities is generally not matched with the term to maturity of the investment of the corresponding collateral.

The Retirement System does not have the ability to pledge or sell collateral securities unless a borrower defaults. The securities collateral is not reported on the statement of fiduciary net position. As of June 30, 2014, the Retirement System has no credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts the Retirement System owes them exceed the amounts they owe the Retirement System. As with other extensions of credit, the Retirement System may bear the risk of delay in recovery or of rights in the collateral should the borrower of securities fail financially. However, the lending agent indemnifies the Retirement System against all borrower defaults.

As of June 30, 2014, the Retirement System lent \$1.3 billion in securities and received collateral of \$0.9 billion and \$0.5 billion in cash and securities, respectively, from borrowers. The cash collateral is invested in a separately managed account by the lending agent using investment guidelines approved by the Retirement Board. Due to the decline in the fair value of assets held in the separately managed account, the Retirement System's invested cash collateral was valued at \$0.9 billion. The net unrealized loss of \$1.3 million is presented as part of the net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments in the statement of changes in the fiduciary net position in the year in which the unrealized gains or losses occur. The Retirement System is exposed to investment risk including the possible loss of principal value in the separately managed securities lending account due to the fluctuation in the fair value of assets held in the account.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The Retirement System's securities lending transactions as of June 30, 2014, are summarized in the following table:

<u>Security Type</u>	<u>Fair Value of Loaned Securities</u>	<u>Cash Collateral</u>	<u>Fair Value of Securities Collateral</u>
Securities Loaned for Cash Collateral			
International Corporate Fixed Income	\$ 14,810	\$ 15,502	\$ -
International Equities	49,545	52,944	-
International Government Fixed Income	5,720	6,015	-
U.S. Corporate Fixed Income	212,491	216,958	-
U.S. Equities	436,568	445,944	-
U.S. Government Fixed Income	172,104	175,523	-
Securities Loaned with Non-Cash Collateral			
International Corporate Fixed Income	4,424	-	4,591
International Equities	409,483	-	439,560
International Government Fixed Income	6,232	-	6,682
U.S. Corporate Fixed Income	1,480	-	1,511
U.S. Equities	2,569	-	2,621
U.S. Government Fixed Income	11,582	-	11,812
Total	<u>\$ 1,327,008</u>	<u>\$ 912,886</u>	<u>\$ 466,777</u>

The following table presents the segmented time distribution for the reinvested cash collateral account based upon the expected maturity (in years) as of June 30, 2014.

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Maturities less than 1 year</u>
Commercial Paper	\$ 105,023	\$ 105,023
Negotiable Certificates of Deposits	224,993	224,993
Repurchase Agreements	220,000	220,000
Short Term Investment Funds	361,561	361,561
Total	<u>\$ 911,577</u>	<u>\$ 911,577</u>

The Retirement System's exposure to credit risk in its reinvested cash collateral account as of June 30, 2014 is as follows:

<u>Credit Rating</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Fair Value as a Percentage of Total</u>
AA	\$ 491,535	53.9%
A	199,979	21.9%
Not Rated *	220,063	24.2%
Total	<u>\$ 911,577</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

* Repurchase agreements of \$220.0 million are not rated, but are held by counterparties with a S&P rating of A.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Investments in Real Estate Holdings

Real estate investments represent the Retirement System's interests in real estate limited partnerships. The changes in these investments during the year ended June 30, 2014 are summarized as follows:

Investments:	
Beginning of the year	\$ 1,430,711
Capital investments	290,767
Equity in net earnings	58,123
Net appreciation in fair value	152,836
Capital distributions	<u>(350,268)</u>
End of the year	<u>\$ 1,582,169</u>

(6) PROPERTY TAXES

The City is responsible for assessing, collecting, and distributing property taxes in accordance with enabling state law. Property taxes are levied on both real and personal property. Liens for secured property taxes attach on January 1st preceding the fiscal year for which taxes are levied. Secured property taxes are levied on the first business day of September and are payable in two equal installments: the first is due on November 1st and delinquent with penalties after December 10th; the second is due February 1st and delinquent with penalties after April 10th. Secured property taxes that are delinquent and unpaid as of June 30th are subject to redemption penalties, costs, and interest when paid. If not paid at the end of five years, the secured property may be sold at public auction and the proceeds used to pay delinquent amounts due. Any excess is remitted, if claimed, to the taxpayer. Unsecured personal property taxes do not represent a lien on real property. Those taxes are levied on January 1st and become delinquent with penalties after August 31st. Supplemental property tax assessments associated with changes in the assessed valuation due to transfer of ownership in property or upon completion of new construction are levied in two equal installments and have variable due dates based on the date the bill is mailed.

Since the passage of California's Proposition 13, beginning with fiscal year 1978-1979, general property taxes are based either on a flat 1% rate applied to the adjusted 1975-1976 value of the property and new construction value added after the 1975-1976 valuation or on a flat 1% rate of the sales price of the property for changes in ownership. Taxable values on properties (exclusive of increases related to sales and construction) can rise or be adjusted at the lesser of 2% per year or the inflation rate as determined by the Board of Equalization's California Consumer Price Index.

The Proposition 13 limitations on general property taxes do not limit taxes levied to pay the interest and redemption charges on any indebtedness approved by the voters prior to June 6, 1978 (the date of passage of Proposition 13). Proposition 13 was amended in 1986 to allow property taxes in excess of the 1% tax rate limit to fund general obligation bond debt service when such bonds are approved by two-thirds of the local voters. In 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, which set the approval threshold at 55% for school facilities-related bonds. These "override" taxes for the City's debt service amounted to approximately \$208.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Taxable valuation for the year ended June 30, 2014 (net of non-reimbursable exemptions, reimbursable exemptions, and tax increment allocations to the Successor Agency) was approximately \$159 billion, an increase of 3.9%. The secured tax rate was \$1.1880 per \$100 of assessed valuation. After adjusting for a State mandated property tax shift to schools, the tax rate is comprised of: about \$0.65 for general government, about \$0.35 for other taxing entities including the San Francisco Unified School District, San Francisco Community College District, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, and also \$0.1880 for bond debt service. Delinquencies in the current year on secured taxes and unsecured taxes amounted to 0.94% and 4.94%, respectively, of the current year tax levy, for an average delinquency rate of 1.17% of the current year tax levy.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

As established by the Teeter Plan, the Controller allocates to the City and other agencies 100% of the secured property taxes billed but not yet collected by the City; in return, as the delinquent property taxes and associated penalties and interest are collected, the City retains such tax amounts in the Agency Fund. To the extent the Agency Fund balances are higher than required; transfers may be made to benefit the City's General Fund on a budgetary basis. The balance of the tax loss reserve as of June 30, 2014 was \$19.7 million, which is included in the Agency Fund for reporting purposes. The City has funded payment of accrued and current delinquencies, together with the required reserve, from interfund borrowing.

(7) CAPITAL ASSETS

Primary Government

Capital asset activity of the primary government for the year ended June 30, 2014 was as follows:

Governmental Activities:

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases *	Decreases *	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 257,089	\$ 26,231	\$ (9,157)	\$ 274,163
Intangible assets.....	7,532	4,080	(5,676)	5,936
Construction in progress.....	863,080	484,218	(168,906)	1,178,392
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>1,127,701</u>	<u>514,529</u>	<u>(183,739)</u>	<u>1,458,491</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	3,212,534	36,050	-	3,248,584
Machinery and equipment.....	380,230	25,581	(4,981)	400,830
Infrastructure.....	561,547	125,310	-	686,857
Intangible assets.....	38,622	5,677	-	44,299
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>4,192,933</u>	<u>192,618</u>	<u>(4,981)</u>	<u>4,380,570</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	857,688	64,582	-	922,270
Machinery and equipment.....	325,698	17,425	(4,685)	338,438
Infrastructure.....	90,116	20,995	-	111,111
Intangible assets.....	2,484	2,044	-	4,528
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>1,275,986</u>	<u>105,046</u>	<u>(4,685)</u>	<u>1,376,347</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>2,916,947</u>	<u>87,572</u>	<u>(296)</u>	<u>3,004,223</u>
Governmental activities capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 4,044,648</u>	<u>\$ 602,101</u>	<u>\$ (184,035)</u>	<u>\$ 4,462,714</u>

* The increases and decreases include transfers of categories of capital assets from construction in progress to depreciable categories.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Business-type Activities:

Capital asset activity of the business enterprises for the year ended June 30, 2014, was as follows:

San Francisco International Airport

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 3,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,074
Intangible assets.....	-	6,881	-	6,881
Construction in progress.....	227,278	289,951	(132,807)	384,422
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>230,352</u>	<u>296,832</u>	<u>(132,807)</u>	<u>394,377</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	5,430,018	168,878	(133,371)	5,465,525
Machinery and equipment.....	187,100	72,142	(1,156)	258,086
Intangible assets.....	148,229	198	(6,881)	141,546
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>5,765,347</u>	<u>241,218</u>	<u>(141,408)</u>	<u>5,865,157</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	2,080,859	193,605	(106,753)	2,167,711
Machinery and equipment.....	84,496	22,030	(1,154)	105,372
Intangible assets.....	109,553	7,180	-	116,733
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>2,274,908</u>	<u>222,815</u>	<u>(107,907)</u>	<u>2,389,816</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>3,490,439</u>	<u>18,403</u>	<u>(33,501)</u>	<u>3,475,341</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 3,720,791</u>	<u>\$ 315,235</u>	<u>\$ (166,308)</u>	<u>\$ 3,869,718</u>

San Francisco Water Enterprise

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 24,307	\$ 2,504	\$ -	\$ 26,811
Intangible assets.....	679	-	-	679
Construction in progress.....	1,525,689	557,730	(421,125)	1,662,294
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>1,550,675</u>	<u>560,234</u>	<u>(421,125)*</u>	<u>1,689,784</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	2,915,004	411,437	-	3,326,441
Machinery and equipment.....	262,870	5,814	(713)	267,971
Intangible assets.....	12,358	1,288	-	13,646
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>3,190,232</u>	<u>418,539</u>	<u>(713)</u>	<u>3,608,058</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	741,800	72,329	-	814,129
Machinery and equipment.....	135,172	14,275	(713)	148,734
Intangible assets.....	5,007	2,422	-	7,429
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>881,979</u>	<u>89,026</u>	<u>(713)</u>	<u>970,292</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>2,308,253</u>	<u>329,513</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,637,766</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 3,858,928</u>	<u>\$ 889,747</u>	<u>\$ (421,125)</u>	<u>\$ 4,327,550</u>

* Decrease in construction in progress is greater than increase in capital assets being depreciated is explained by \$6.6 million in capital write-offs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Hetch Hetchy Water and Power

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 4,720	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 4,742
Intangible assets.....	1,437	-	-	1,437
Construction in progress.....	87,580	31,456	(31,162)	87,874
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>93,737</u>	<u>31,478</u>	<u>(31,162)*</u>	<u>94,053</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	498,091	15,435	(797)	512,729
Machinery and equipment.....	80,842	14,033	(238)	94,637
Intangible assets.....	45,715	-	-	45,715
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>624,648</u>	<u>29,468</u>	<u>(1,035)</u>	<u>653,081</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	305,000	10,417	(91)	315,326
Machinery and equipment.....	46,009	4,414	(61)	50,362
Intangible assets.....	18,466	483	-	18,949
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>369,475</u>	<u>15,314</u>	<u>(152)</u>	<u>384,637</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>255,173</u>	<u>14,154</u>	<u>(883)</u>	<u>268,444</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 348,910</u>	<u>\$ 45,632</u>	<u>\$ (32,045)</u>	<u>\$ 362,497</u>

* Decrease in construction in progress is greater than increase in capital assets being depreciated is explained by \$2.6 million in capital write-offs.

Municipal Transportation Agency

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 41,030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,030
Construction in progress.....	544,592	466,846	(161,991)	849,447
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>585,622</u>	<u>466,846</u>	<u>(161,991)</u>	<u>890,477</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	667,795	12,052	-	679,847
Machinery and equipment.....	1,229,299	119,288	(21,920)	1,326,667
Infrastructure.....	1,189,998	35,361	-	1,225,359
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>3,087,092</u>	<u>166,701</u>	<u>(21,920)</u>	<u>3,231,873</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	243,523	15,404	-	258,927
Machinery and equipment.....	764,580	71,384	(20,684)	815,280
Infrastructure.....	471,929	34,166	-	506,095
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>1,480,032</u>	<u>120,954</u>	<u>(20,684)</u>	<u>1,580,302</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>1,607,060</u>	<u>45,747</u>	<u>(1,236)</u>	<u>1,651,571</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 2,192,682</u>	<u>\$ 512,593</u>	<u>\$ (163,227)</u>	<u>\$ 2,542,048</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 542	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 542
Construction in progress.....	42,628	23,682	(703)	65,607
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>43,170</u>	<u>23,682</u>	<u>(703)</u>	<u>66,149</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	144,113	900	-	145,013
Machinery and equipment.....	69,310	1,847	-	71,157
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>213,423</u>	<u>2,747</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>216,170</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	117,574	3,103	-	120,677
Machinery and equipment.....	58,674	2,658	-	61,332
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>176,248</u>	<u>5,761</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>182,009</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>37,175</u>	<u>(3,014)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>34,161</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 80,345</u>	<u>\$ 20,668</u>	<u>\$ (703)</u>	<u>\$ 100,310</u>

San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 35,737	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,737
Intangible assets.....	3,046	-	-	3,046
Construction in progress.....	176,711	194,531	(108,600)	262,642
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>215,494</u>	<u>194,531</u>	<u>(108,600)*</u>	<u>301,425</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	2,405,198	94,977	-	2,500,175
Machinery and equipment.....	76,697	12,210	(507)	88,400
Intangible assets.....	3,931	-	-	3,931
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>2,485,826</u>	<u>107,187</u>	<u>(507)</u>	<u>2,592,506</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	998,861	42,595	-	1,041,456
Machinery and equipment.....	39,448	5,021	(507)	43,962
Intangible assets.....	2,168	786	-	2,954
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>1,040,477</u>	<u>48,402</u>	<u>(507)</u>	<u>1,088,372</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>1,445,349</u>	<u>58,785</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,504,134</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 1,660,843</u>	<u>\$ 253,316</u>	<u>\$ (108,600)</u>	<u>\$ 1,805,559</u>

* Decrease in construction in progress is greater than increase in capital assets being depreciated is explained by \$2.3 million in capital write-offs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Port of San Francisco

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 105,582	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,582
Construction in progress.....	9,772	50,405	(10,253)	49,924
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>115,354</u>	<u>50,405</u>	<u>(10,253)</u>	<u>155,506</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	515,472	10,225	(3,550)	522,147
Machinery and equipment.....	18,075	551	(65)	18,561
Infrastructure.....	29,056	58	-	29,114
Intangible assets.....	4,854	189	-	5,043
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>567,457</u>	<u>11,023</u>	<u>(3,615)</u>	<u>574,865</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	251,394	17,562	(3,550)	265,406
Machinery and equipment.....	11,838	1,089	(65)	12,862
Infrastructure.....	7,260	1,390	-	8,650
Intangible assets.....	3,287	393	-	3,680
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>273,779</u>	<u>20,434</u>	<u>(3,615)</u>	<u>290,598</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>293,678</u>	<u>(9,411)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>284,267</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 409,032</u>	<u>\$ 40,994</u>	<u>\$ (10,253)</u>	<u>\$ 439,773</u>

Laguna Honda Hospital

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Construction in progress.....	\$ -	\$ 2,372	\$ (2,144)	\$ 228
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>-</u>	<u>2,372</u>	<u>(2,144)</u>	<u>228</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	597,771	2,144	-	599,915
Machinery and equipment.....	26,760	984	(257)	27,487
Property held under lease.....	697	-	-	697
Intangible assets.....	431	-	-	431
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>625,659</u>	<u>3,128</u>	<u>(257)</u>	<u>628,530</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	47,408	11,829	-	59,237
Machinery and equipment.....	14,415	4,396	(257)	18,554
Property held under lease.....	697	-	-	697
Intangible assets.....	150	86	-	236
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>62,670</u>	<u>16,311</u>	<u>(257)</u>	<u>78,724</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>562,989</u>	<u>(13,183)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>549,806</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 562,989</u>	<u>\$ (10,811)</u>	<u>\$ (2,144)</u>	<u>\$ 550,034</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Other Fund - San Francisco Market Corporation

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Construction in progress.....	\$ 3,289	\$ -	\$ (3,289)	\$ -
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>3,289</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(3,289)</u>	<u>-</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	9,730	-	(9,730)	-
Machinery and equipment.....	70	-	(70)	-
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>9,800</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(9,800)</u>	<u>-</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	6,668	120	(6,788)	-
Machinery and equipment.....	50	-	(50)	-
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>6,718</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>(6,838)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>3,082</u>	<u>(120)</u>	<u>(2,962)</u>	<u>-</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 6,371</u>	<u>\$ (120)</u>	<u>\$ (6,251)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

* During the year, the San Francisco Market Corporation transferred operations of the San Francisco Wholesale Produce Market (SFWPM) to a different corporation created in 2012 by existing stakeholders separate from the City.

Total Business-type Activities

	Balance July 1, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2014
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land.....	\$ 214,992	\$ 2,526	\$ -	\$ 217,518
Intangible assets.....	5,162	6,881	-	12,043
Construction in progress.....	2,617,539	1,616,973	(872,074)	3,362,438
Total capital assets, not being depreciated.....	<u>2,837,693</u>	<u>1,626,380</u>	<u>(872,074)</u>	<u>3,591,999</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Facilities and improvements.....	13,183,192	716,048	(147,448)	13,751,792
Machinery and equipment.....	1,951,023	226,869	(24,926)	2,152,966
Infrastructure.....	1,219,054	35,419	-	1,254,473
Property held under lease.....	697	-	-	697
Intangible assets.....	215,518	1,675	(6,881)	210,312
Total capital assets, being depreciated.....	<u>16,569,484</u>	<u>980,011</u>	<u>(179,255)</u>	<u>17,370,240</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Facilities and improvements.....	4,793,087	366,964	(117,182)	5,042,869
Machinery and equipment.....	1,154,682	125,267	(23,491)	1,256,458
Infrastructure.....	479,189	35,556	-	514,745
Property held under lease.....	697	-	-	697
Intangible assets.....	138,631	11,350	-	149,981
Total accumulated depreciation.....	<u>6,566,286</u>	<u>539,137</u>	<u>(140,673)</u>	<u>6,964,750</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net.....	<u>10,003,198</u>	<u>440,874</u>	<u>(38,582)</u>	<u>10,405,490</u>
Capital assets, net.....	<u>\$ 12,840,891</u>	<u>\$ 2,067,254</u>	<u>\$ (910,656)</u>	<u>\$ 13,997,489</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the primary government as follows:

Governmental Activities:	
Public protection.....	\$ 15,329
Public works transportation and commerce.....	20,770
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	530
Community Health.....	1,167
Culture and recreation.....	44,696
General administration and finance.....	20,597
Capital assets held by the City's internal service funds charged to the various functions on a prorated basis.....	1,957
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities.....	<u>\$ 105,046</u>
Business-type activities:	
Airport.....	\$ 222,815
Water.....	89,026
Power.....	15,314
Transportation.....	120,954
Hospitals.....	22,072
Wastewater.....	48,402
Port.....	20,434
Market.....	120
Total depreciation expense - business-type activities.....	<u>\$ 539,137</u>

Equipment is generally estimated to have useful lives of 2 to 40 years, except for certain equipment of the Water Enterprise that has an estimated useful life of up to 75 years. Facilities and improvements are generally estimated to have useful lives from 15 to 50 years, except for utility type assets of the Water Enterprise, Hetch Hetchy, the Wastewater Enterprise, the SFMTA, and the Port that have estimated useful lives from 51 to 175 years. These long-lived assets include reservoirs, aqueducts, pumping stations of Hetch Hetchy, Cable Car Barn facilities and structures of SFMTA, and pier substructures of the Port, which totaled \$2.68 billion as of June 30, 2014. Hetch Hetchy Water had intangible assets of water rights having estimated useful lives from 51 to 100 years, which totaled \$45.6 million as of June 30, 2014. In addition, the Water Enterprise had utility type assets with useful lives over 100 years, which totaled \$6.8 million as of June 30, 2014.

In fiscal year 2013-14, the Airport had write-offs and loss on disposal in the amount of \$42.5 million primarily due to disposal and write-off of immaterial items that should have been expensed in prior years. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Water Enterprise, Hetch Hetchy, and the Wastewater Enterprise expensed \$6.6 million, \$2.6 million, and \$2.3 million, respectively, related to capitalized design and planning costs on certain projects that were discontinued.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the City's enterprise funds incurred total interest expense and interest income of approximately \$490.0 million and \$29.8 million, respectively. Of these amounts, interest expense of approximately \$105.3 million was capitalized.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(8) BONDS, LOANS, CAPITAL LEASES AND OTHER PAYABLES

Changes in Short-Term Obligations

The changes in short-term obligations for governmental and business-type activities for the year ended June 30, 2014, are as follows:

Type of Obligation	July 1, 2013	Additional Obligation	Current Maturities	June 30, 2014
Governmental activities:				
Commercial paper				
San Francisco County Transportation Authority	\$ 150,006	\$ -	\$ (15,006)	\$ 135,000
Multiple Capital Projects	51,540	247,492	(258,272)	40,760
Governmental activities short-term obligations	<u>\$ 201,546</u>	<u>\$ 247,492</u>	<u>\$ (273,278)</u>	<u>\$ 175,760</u>
Business-type activities:				
Commercial paper				
San Francisco International Airport	\$ 180,525	\$ 249,350	\$ (180,875)	\$ 249,000
San Francisco Water Enterprise	174,000	186,000	(174,000)	186,000
Business-type activities short-term obligations	<u>\$ 354,525</u>	<u>\$ 435,350</u>	<u>\$ (354,875)</u>	<u>\$ 435,000</u>

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

In April 2004, the Transportation Authority issued an initial tranche of \$50.0 million and in September 2004, the Transportation Authority issued the second tranche of \$100.0 million of a programmed \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of commercial paper notes (CP) (Limited Tax Bonds), Series A and B. The CP were issued to provide a source of financing for the Transportation Authority's voter-approved Proposition K Expenditure Plan. Under this program, the Transportation Authority is able to issue CP at prevailing interest rates not to exceed 12% per annum. The maximum maturity of the CP is 270 days. In July 2012, the Transportation Authority entered into a new three-year credit and liquidity facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, in an amount equal to \$217.8 million. The credit facility will expire on July 10, 2015 and has a fee of 45 basis points of the annual maximum debt service amount. The CP are secured by a first lien gross pledge of the Transportation Authority's sales tax. The principal and interest on the CP are payable at each maturity.

As of June 30, 2014, \$135.0 million in CP was outstanding with weighted average maturity of 104 to 139 days after year-end with interest rates at 0.08% and 0.09%, respectively. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Transportation Authority paid \$1.0 million to Wells Fargo Bank in line of credit (LOC) fees.

City and County of San Francisco Lease Revenue Commercial Paper Certificates of Participation

In March 2009, the Board of Supervisors authorized the issuance of tax-exempt and taxable lease revenue commercial paper certificates of participation (CP) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$150.0 million to provide short term financing to 1) pay for acquisition, construction and rehabilitation of certain capital improvements within the City and the financing of vehicles and equipment; 2) fund capitalized interest with respect to the CP; 3) fund capitalized fees and expenses as defined in the trust agreement; and 4) pay for costs incurred in connection with the sale and delivery of the CP. In June 2010, the City obtained irrevocable LOC issued by JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association with a maximum available amount of \$50.0 million and U.S. Bank, National Association with a maximum available amount of \$50.0 million. Both LOC expire on June 10, 2016.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The City issued CP totaling \$247.5 million and retired commercial paper notes totaling \$258.3 million in fiscal year 2014 to provide interim financing for capital projects and capital equipment acquisitions, with each project receiving prior approval from the Board of Supervisors: the Department of Public Works equipment purchase, the War Memorial Veterans Building project, the Port Facilities Improvement project and HOPE SF, a project of rebuilding severely distressed housing sites to increase affordable housing and improve the quality of life for existing residents and the surrounding communities.

As of June 30, 2014, the outstanding principal amount of tax exempt and taxable CP was \$28.2 million and \$12.6 million, respectively. The tax exempt CP with LOC issued by JP Morgan and U.S. Bank N.A. bear interest rate of 0.08% and 0.07%, respectively and the taxable CP bear interest rates of 0.15%. The taxable and non-taxable CP matured on July 2, 2014.

San Francisco International Airport

In May 1997, the Airport adopted Resolution No. 97-0146, (the Note Resolution) as amended and supplemented, authorizing the issuance of subordinate commercial paper notes (CP) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the lesser of \$400.0 million or the stated amount of the letter(s) of credit securing the CP. The Airport issues CP in three series that are subdivided into nine subseries according to tax status and that are secured by three direct-pay letters of credit (LOC). Two \$100.0 million direct-pay LOC are issued by State Street Bank and Trust Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, with expiration dates of May 2, 2019, and June 17, 2016, respectively. The third LOC issued by Royal Bank of Canada was amended and restated June 18, 2014, to increase the principal component thereof from \$100.0 million to \$200.0 million and extend the expiration date to May 19, 2017. Each of these LOC supports separate subseries of CP and permits the Airport to issue CP up to a combined maximum principal amount of \$400.0 million as of June 30, 2014.

In addition to the applicable LOC, the CP notes are further secured by a pledge of the Net Revenues of the Airport, subject to the prior payment of the Airports' Second Series Revenue Bonds (the Senior Bonds) outstanding from time to time under Resolution No. 91-0210, adopted by the Airport on December 3, 1991, as amended and supplemented (the Senior Bond Resolution).

Net Revenues are generally defined in the Note Resolution as all revenues earned by the Airport from or with respect to its possession, management, supervision, operation and control of the Airport (not including certain specified amounts), less Operation and Maintenance Expenses (as defined in the Note Resolution).

The CP notes are special, limited obligations of the Airport, and the payment of the principal of and interest on the CP notes is secured by a pledge of, lien on and security interest in the Net Revenues and amounts in the funds and accounts provided in the Note Resolution, subject to the prior payment of principal of and interest on the Senior Bonds. The CP notes are secured on parity with any other bonds or other obligations from time to time outstanding under the Note Resolution. As of June 30, 2014, there were no obligations other than the CP notes outstanding under the Note Resolution.

During fiscal year 2014, the Airport issued \$248.0 million of new money CP (AMT and Non-AMT) to fund capital improvement projects and \$1.4 million of taxable CP to fund costs of issuance. A portion of the taxable CP was retired with Airport operating funds during the fiscal year. As of June 30, 2014, the interest rates on taxable CP, AMT CP and Non-AMT CP were 0.10%, 0.08% to 0.15% and 0.07% respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

San Francisco Water Enterprise

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and the Board of Supervisors have authorized the issuance of up to \$500.0 million in CP pursuant to the voter-approved 2002 Proposition E. (Prior to June 2014, the \$500.0 million CP authorization was comprised of \$250.0 million pursuant to the voter-approved 2002 Proposition A, and \$250.0 million pursuant to the voter-approved Proposition E). As of June 30, 2014, no CP was outstanding under Proposition A and \$186.0 million in CP was outstanding under Proposition E. The CP interest rates ranged from 0.1% to 0.2%.

With maturities up to 270 days, the Water Enterprise intends to maintain the program by remarketing the CP upon maturity over the near-to-medium term, at which time outstanding CP will likely be refunded with revenue bonds. This is being done to take advantage of the continued low interest rate environment. If the CP interest rates rise to a level that exceeds these benefits, the Water Enterprise will refinance the CP with long-term, fixed rate debt.

San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise

Under the voter-approved 2002 Proposition E, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and Board of Supervisors authorized the issuance of up to \$300.0 million in CP for the purpose of reconstructing, expanding, repairing, or improving the Wastewater Enterprise's facilities. The Wastewater Enterprise had no CP outstanding as of June 30, 2014.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

In June 2013, pursuant to the City Charter Section 8A.102 (b) 13, the Board of Supervisor authorized the issuance of CP notes in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$100.0 million. The CP is secured by an irrevocable letter of credit from the State Street Bank and Trust Company issued on September 10, 2013 for a term of five years and interest rate not to exceed 12% per annum. The letter of credit will cover the principal as well as the interest accrued on the 270 days prior to the maturity date. The CP program is jointly administered by the Office of Public Finance (OPF) and San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). OPF will be initiating the issuance of CP with the dealers and reporting on the CP program. SFMTA will be requesting drawdowns based on cash flow needs and expenditures schedules.

SFMTA currently plans to repay the CP through grants, which have been previously approved but proceeds have not yet been received. SFMTA may also refinance the debt with the subsequent issuance of CP notes once the original issuance reaches maturity of up to five years. If other sources of revenue are not sufficient to repay the CP, SFMTA could also repay through issuance of revenue bonds. The CP notes will be issued from time to time on a revolving basis to pay for Board-approved project costs in the Capital Improvement Program and other related uses. No CP notes have drawn or outstanding as of June 30, 2014.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Long-Term Obligations

The following is a summary of long-term obligations of the City as of June 30, 2014:

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

Type of Obligation and Purpose	Final Maturity Date	Remaining Interest Rates	Amount
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ^(a):			
Earthquake safety and emergency response.....	2035	3.00% - 5.00%	\$ 280,140
Branch libraries.....	2028	4.00% - 4.50%	24,190
Parks and playgrounds.....	2033	2.00% - 6.26%	202,240
Road repaving and street safety.....	2033	2.00% - 5.00%	173,115
San Francisco General Hospital.....	2033	3.25% - 6.26%	714,350
Seismic safety loan program.....	2031	3.36% - 5.83%	25,194
Refunding.....	2030	3.50% - 5.00%	518,856
General obligation bonds.....			<u>1,938,085</u>
LEASE REVENUE BONDS:			
San Francisco Finance Corporation ^{(b), (e) & (f)}	2034	0.06% - 5.75% *	241,290
CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION:			
Certificates of participation ^{(c) & (d)}	2041	2.50% - 5.00%	521,485
ISSUANCE PREMIUMS/DISCOUNTS:			
Add: unamortized premiums.....			195,004
Less: unamortized discounts.....			(1,659)
Subtotal.....			<u>2,894,205</u>
OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS:			
Loans ^{(c), (d) & (f)}	2043	2.00% - 5.74%	27,441
Capital leases payable ^{(c) & (f)}	2018	5.00%	3,085
Accrued vacation and sick leave ^{(d) & (f)}			148,280
Accrued workers' compensation ^{(d) & (f)}			222,747
Estimated claims payable ^{(d) & (f)}			155,851
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....			1,004,141
Other long-term obligations.....			<u>1,561,545</u>
Governmental activities total long-term obligations.....			<u>\$ 4,455,750</u>

Debt service payments are made from the following sources:

- (a) Property tax recorded in the Debt Service Fund.
- (b) Lease revenues from participating departments in the General, Special Revenue and Enterprise Funds.
- (c) Revenues recorded in the Special Revenue Funds.
- (d) Revenues recorded in the General Fund.
- (e) Hotel taxes and other revenues recorded in the General and Special Revenue Funds.
- (f) User-charge reimbursements from the General, Special Revenue and Enterprise Funds.

Internal Service Funds serve primarily the governmental funds. Accordingly, long-term liabilities for the Internal Service Funds are included in the above amounts.

* Includes the Moscone Center West Expansion Project Refunding Bonds Series 2008-1 & 2, both of which were financed with variable rate bonds that reset weekly. The rate at June 30, 2014 for Series 2008-1 & 2 was 0.06% and 0.06%, respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

Entity and Type of Obligation	Final Maturity Date	Remaining Interest Rates	Amount
San Francisco International Airport:			
Revenue bonds *	2043	1.46% - 6.00%*	\$ 4,204,425
San Francisco Water Enterprise:			
Revenue bonds	2051	1.80% - 6.90%	4,172,725
Certificates of participation	2042	2.00% - 6.49%	115,711
Accreted interest	2019	-	5,107
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power:			
Energy revenue bonds	2028	4.74%	17,211
Certificates of participation	2042	2.00% - 6.49%	15,753
Municipal Transportation Agency:			
Revenue bonds	2042	1.5% - 5.00%	130,265
San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center:			
Certificates of participation	2026	5.55%	19,678
Capital leases	2017	2.41% - 3.61%	2,449
San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise:			
Revenue bonds	2043	1.00% - 5.82%	731,745
Certificates of participation	2042	2.00% - 6.49%	30,596
Port of San Francisco:			
Revenue bonds	2044	0.55% - 7.41%	56,750
Certificates of participation	2038	4.00% - 5.25%	35,435
Loans payable	2029	4.50%	2,489
Laguna Honda Hospital:			
Certificates of participation	2031	4.00 - 5.25%	143,185
Capital leases	2017	3.00% - 4.00%	63
Issuance Premiums/discounts:			
Add: unamortized premiums			361,438
Less: unamortized discounts			(632)
Accrued vacation and sick leave			101,692
Accrued workers' compensation			161,129
Estimated claims payable			91,208
Other postemployment benefits obligation			734,434
Business-type activities total long-term obligations			<u>\$ 11,132,856</u>

Sources of funds to meet debt service requirements are revenues derived from user fees and charges for services recorded in the respective enterprise funds.

* Includes Second Series Revenue Bonds Issue 36 A, B & C, 37C and 2010A, which were issued as variable rate bonds in a weekly mode. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the average interest rates on Issue 36A, 36B, 36C and 37C were 0.06%, 0.05%, 0.06% and 0.05%, respectively; for Issue 2010A-1, 2010A-2 and 2010A-3 rates were 0.06%, 0.06% and 0.06%, respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Debt Compliance

The City believes it is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions contained in the limitations and restrictions in the various bond indentures.

Legal Debt Limit and Legal Debt Margin

As of June 30, 2014, the City's debt limit (3% of valuation subject to taxation) was \$5.28 billion. The total amount of debt applicable to the debt limit was \$2.11 billion. The resulting legal debt margin was \$3.17 billion.

Arbitrage

Under U.S. Treasury Department regulations, all governmental tax-exempt debt issued after August 31, 1986 is subject to arbitrage rebate requirements. The requirements stipulate, in general, that the earnings from the investment of tax-exempt bond proceeds, which exceed related interest expenditures on the bonds, must be remitted to the Federal government on every fifth anniversary of each bond issuance. The City has evaluated each general obligation bond and certificates of participation issuance and no arbitrage liability was recognized as of June 30, 2014. The Finance Corporation has evaluated their lease revenue bonds and no liability was reported in the Internal Service Fund as of June 30, 2014. Each enterprise fund has performed a similar analysis of its debt, which is subject to arbitrage rebate requirements. Any material arbitrage liability related to the debt of the enterprise funds has been recorded as a liability in the respective fund.

San Francisco Sustainable Financing

The Improvement Area No.1 of the City and County of San Francisco Special Tax District No. 2009-1 (San Francisco Sustainable Financing) Special Tax Bonds was formed in accordance with Ordinance 16-10 to implement the "GreenFinanceSF" program to provide financing for renewable energy, energy efficiency and water efficiency improvements on private or public property in the City. Under the program, the Special District issued bonded indebtedness for the improvement area in an aggregate principal amount of \$1.4 million and an appropriation limit for the Improvement Area of \$1.4 million. The bonded indebtedness shall be paid out of the special tax levied and collected on the leasehold interest on the property located in Pier 1, San Francisco, California. The Improvement Area is owned by the City and leased to AMB Pier One LLC through the Port of San Francisco. The bonds mature from September 2013 through September 2032. Assessments collected for repayment of this debt are received in the Tax Collection Agency Fund. Unpaid assessments constitute fixed liens on the leasehold interest on the parcels within the Special Tax District No. 2009-1. As of June 30, 2014 the amount outstanding was \$1.4 million.

Assessment District

In June 1996, the City issued \$1.0 million of Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds for the Bayshore Hester Assessment District No. 95-1. These bonds were issued pursuant to the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 to finance the construction of a new public right-of-way. The bonds began to mature from September 1998 through September 2026 bearing interest rate ranging from 6.0% to 6.85%. Assessments collected for repayment of this debt are received in the Tax Collection Agency Fund. Unpaid assessments constitute fixed liens on the lots and parcels assessed within the Bayshore-Hester Assessment District and do not constitute a personal indebtedness of the respective owners of such lots and parcels. As of June 30, 2014, the principal amount of bonds outstanding was \$0.7 million.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Mortgage Revenue Bonds

The City, through the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency have issued various mortgage revenue bonds and community district facility bonds for the financing of multifamily rental housing, below-market rate mortgage financing for first time homebuyer in order to facilitate affordable housing and the construction and rehabilitation in the City. These obligations have been issued on behalf of various property owners and developers who retain full responsibility for the payment of the debt and are secured by the related mortgage indebtedness and special assessment taxes and are not considered obligations of the City. As of June 30, 2014, the total obligation outstanding was \$672.1 million.

Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The changes in long-term obligations for governmental activities for the year ended June 30, 2014, are as follows:

	July 1, 2013 (Restated)	Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases	Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases	June 30, 2014	Amounts Due Within One Year
Governmental activities:					
Bonds payable:					
General obligation bonds	\$ 1,889,683	\$ 209,955	\$ (161,553)	\$ 1,938,085	\$ 167,979
Lease revenue bonds.....	262,070	-	(20,780)	241,290	20,440
Certificates of participation	551,555	47,220	(77,290)	521,485	34,270
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	190,084	19,773	(14,853)	195,004	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(1,726)	-	67	(1,659)	-
Total bonds payable	2,891,666	276,948	(274,409)	2,894,205	222,689
Loans.....	19,184	8,735	(478)	27,441	367
Capital leases	9,741	1,282	(7,938)	3,085	870
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	152,167	85,490	(89,377)	148,280	79,559
Accrued workers' compensation.....	229,332	30,669	(37,254)	222,747	37,467
Estimated claims payable.....	111,001	62,743	(17,893)	155,851	48,932
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	899,970	195,978	(91,807)	1,004,141	-
Governmental activity long-term obligations.....	\$ 4,313,061	\$ 661,845	\$ (519,156)	\$ 4,455,750	\$ 389,884

* The June 30, 2013 balance was restated to reflect the impact of GASB Statement No. 65 implementation. The unamortized loss on refunding of debt of \$16,235 was reclassified to deferred outflows of resources.

Internal Service Funds serve primarily the governmental funds, the long-term liabilities of which are included as part of the above totals for governmental activities. Also, for the governmental activities, claims and judgments and compensated absences are generally liquidated by the General Fund.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The changes in long-term obligations for each enterprise fund for the year ended June 30, 2014 are as follows:

	July 1, 2013 (Restated)	Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases	Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases	June 30, 2014	Amounts Due Within One Year
San Francisco International Airport					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 3,906,395	\$ 461,125	\$ (163,095)	\$ 4,204,425	\$ 174,880
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	139,332	16,539	(15,374)	140,497	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(294)	-	7	(287)	-
Total bonds payable	4,045,433	477,664	(178,462)	4,344,635	174,880
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	15,599	12,759	(12,406)	15,952	8,728
Accrued workers' compensation	5,233	2,661	(2,224)	5,670	1,243
Estimated claims payable	1,562	386	(561)	1,387	1,319
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	90,713	21,804	(8,734)	103,783	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 4,158,540</u>	<u>\$ 515,274</u>	<u>\$ (202,387)</u>	<u>\$ 4,471,427</u>	<u>\$ 186,170</u>
San Francisco Water Enterprise					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 4,193,550	\$ -	\$ (20,825)	\$ 4,172,725	\$ 25,850
Certificates of participation.....	117,746	-	(2,035)	115,711	2,106
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	159,709	-	(8,383)	151,326	-
Total bonds payable	4,471,005	-	(31,243)	4,439,762	27,956
Accreted interest payable.....	4,767	340	-	5,107	-
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	11,717	8,913	(9,160)	11,470	5,932
Accrued workers' compensation.....	8,499	3,049	(2,813)	8,735	1,419
Estimated claims payable.....	10,885	17,690	(9,711)	18,864	6,263
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	85,829	17,046	(8,113)	94,762	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 4,592,702</u>	<u>\$ 47,038</u>	<u>\$ (61,040)</u>	<u>\$ 4,578,700</u>	<u>\$ 41,570</u>
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue (Energy) bonds	\$ 18,519	\$ -	\$ (1,308)	\$ 17,211	\$ 1,321
Certificates of participation.....	16,030	-	(277)	15,753	287
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	224	-	(42)	182	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(130)	-	14	(116)	-
Total bonds payable	34,643	-	(1,613)	33,030	1,608
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	3,298	1,886	(1,735)	3,449	1,948
Accrued workers' compensation.....	2,423	808	(764)	2,467	439
Estimated claims payable.....	3,437	2,775	(3,318)	2,894	788
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	17,559	4,212	(1,648)	20,123	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 61,360</u>	<u>\$ 9,681</u>	<u>\$ (9,078)</u>	<u>\$ 61,963</u>	<u>\$ 4,783</u>

* The June 30, 2013 balance was restated to reflect the impact of GASB Statement No. 65 implementation. The unamortized loss on refunding of debt of \$108,581 and \$22,746 for the Airport and San Francisco Water Enterprise, respectively, was reclassified to deferred outflows of resources.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The changes in long-term obligations for each enterprise fund for the year ended June 30, 2014 are as follows (continued):

	July 1, 2013 (Restated)	Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases	Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases	June 30, 2014	Amounts Due Within One Year
Municipal Transportation Agency					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 60,720	\$ 75,440	\$ (5,895)	\$ 130,265	\$ 5,945
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	6,687	6,803	(815)	12,675	-
Total bonds payable	67,407	82,243	(6,710)	142,940	5,945
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	29,154	1,623	(46)	30,731	18,475
Accrued workers' compensation.....	89,202	24,245	(18,296)	95,151	15,423
Estimated claims payable.....	37,637	37,715	(15,651)	59,701	27,345
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	180,657	45,614	(27,066)	199,205	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 404,057</u>	<u>\$ 191,440</u>	<u>\$ (67,769)</u>	<u>\$ 527,728</u>	<u>\$ 67,188</u>
San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center					
Bonds payable:					
Certificates of participation.....	\$ 20,874	\$ -	\$ (1,196)	\$ 19,678	\$ 1,263
Capital leases.....	3,482	221	(1,254)	2,449	1,288
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	21,660	15,148	(14,444)	22,364	12,565
Accrued workers' compensation.....	22,427	9,449	(5,318)	26,558	3,677
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	171,476	37,322	(17,188)	191,610	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 239,919</u>	<u>\$ 62,140</u>	<u>\$ (39,400)</u>	<u>\$ 262,659</u>	<u>\$ 18,793</u>
San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 764,550	\$ -	\$ (32,805)	\$ 731,745	\$ 30,895
Certificates of participation.....	31,134	-	(538)	30,596	557
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	60,707	-	(8,297)	52,410	-
Total bonds payable	856,391	-	(41,640)	814,751	31,452
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	6,013	3,072	(3,046)	6,039	3,380
Accrued workers' compensation.....	4,331	2,364	(1,597)	5,098	873
Estimated claims payable.....	8,378	-	(1,846)	6,532	2,296
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	32,565	7,265	(2,678)	37,152	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 907,678</u>	<u>\$ 12,701</u>	<u>\$ (50,807)</u>	<u>\$ 869,572</u>	<u>\$ 38,001</u>

* The June 30, 2013 balance was restated to reflect the impact of GASB Statement No. 65 implementation. The unamortized loss on refunding of debt of \$735 and \$4,097 for SFMTA and San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise, respectively, was reclassified to deferred outflows of resources.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The changes in long-term obligations for each enterprise fund for the year ended June 30, 2014 are as follows (continued):

	<u>July 1, 2013</u>	<u>Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases</u>	<u>Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases</u>	<u>June 30, 2014</u>	<u>Amounts Due Within One Year</u>
Port of San Francisco					
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 34,800	\$ 22,675	\$ (725)	\$ 56,750	\$ 1,400
Certificates of participation	-	37,700	(2,265)	35,435	1,080
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	-	2,145	(32)	2,113	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(238)	-	9	(229)	-
Total bonds payable	34,562	62,520	(3,013)	94,069	2,480
Notes, loans, and other payables.....	2,603	-	(114)	2,489	120
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	2,330	1,684	(1,722)	2,292	1,252
Accrued workers' compensation.....	2,715	565	(506)	2,774	406
Estimated claims payable.....	1,682	277	(129)	1,830	1,480
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	16,056	3,333	(1,298)	18,091	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 59,948</u>	<u>\$ 68,379</u>	<u>\$ (6,782)</u>	<u>\$ 121,545</u>	<u>\$ 5,738</u>
Laguna Honda Hospital					
Bonds payable:					
Certificates of participation	\$ 148,545	\$ -	\$ (5,360)	\$ 143,185	\$ 5,600
Issuance premiums / discounts:					
Add: unamortized premiums	2,369	-	(134)	2,235	-
Total bonds payable	150,914	-	(5,494)	145,420	5,600
Capital leases	124	-	(61)	63	50
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	9,663	6,604	(6,872)	9,395	5,373
Accrued workers' compensation.....	13,614	4,853	(3,791)	14,676	2,294
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	63,153	6,555	-	69,708	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 237,468</u>	<u>\$ 18,012</u>	<u>\$ (16,218)</u>	<u>\$ 239,262</u>	<u>\$ 13,317</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

	July 1, 2013 (restated)*	Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases	Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases	June 30, 2014	Amounts Due Within One Year
Total Business-type Activities:					
Bonds payable:.....					
Revenue bonds	\$ 8,960,015	\$ 559,240	\$ (223,345)	\$ 9,295,910	\$ 238,970
Clean renewable energy bonds.....	18,519	-	(1,308)	17,211	1,321
Certificates of Participation.....	334,329	37,700	(11,671)	360,358	10,893
Issurance premiums / discount:.....					
Add: unamortized premiums	369,028	25,487	(33,077)	361,438	-
Less: unamortized discounts	(662)	-	30	(632)	-
Total bonds payable	9,681,229	622,427	(269,371)	10,034,285	251,184
Accreted interest payable.....	4,767	340	-	5,107	-
Notes, loans, and other payables.....	2,603	-	(114)	2,489	120
Capital leases	3,606	221	(1,315)	2,512	1,338
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	99,434	51,689	(49,431)	101,692	57,653
Accrued workers' compensation	148,444	47,994	(35,309)	161,129	25,774
Estimated claims payable	63,581	58,843	(31,216)	91,208	39,491
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	658,008	143,151	(66,725)	734,434	-
Long-term obligations.....	<u>\$ 10,661,672</u>	<u>\$ 924,665</u>	<u>\$ (453,481)</u>	<u>\$ 11,132,856</u>	<u>\$ 375,560</u>

* The June 30, 2013 balance was restated to reflect the impact of GASB Statement No. 65 implementation. The unamortized loss on refunding of debt of \$136,159 was reclassified to deferred outflows of resources.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for all bonds and loans outstanding as of June 30, 2014 for governmental activities are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending	Governmental Activities ⁽¹⁾							
	General Obligation Bonds		Lease Revenue Bonds		Other Long-Term Obligations		Total	
	Principal	Interest ⁽²⁾	Principal	Interest ⁽³⁾	Principal ⁽⁴⁾	Interest	Principal	Interest
June 30								
2015.....	\$ 167,980	\$ 90,368	\$ 20,440	\$ 6,096	\$ 35,507	\$ 23,853	\$ 223,927	\$ 120,317
2016.....	112,398	82,406	18,795	5,533	38,219	22,827	169,412	110,766
2017.....	104,759	77,130	14,025	5,018	37,586	21,146	156,370	103,294
2018.....	105,918	72,078	10,880	4,623	38,379	19,325	155,177	96,026
2019.....	104,856	67,178	12,595	4,333	27,724	17,724	145,175	89,235
2020-2024.....	523,172	262,768	67,115	16,873	96,771	73,940	687,058	353,581
2025-2029.....	541,969	135,299	73,435	8,285	96,571	52,735	711,975	196,319
2030-2034.....	271,702	25,515	24,005	1,992	111,220	29,728	406,927	57,235
2035-2039.....	5,331	267	-	-	48,526	10,563	53,857	10,830
2040-2044.....	-	-	-	-	21,508	1,596	21,508	1,596
Total.....	\$ 1,938,085	\$ 813,009	\$ 241,290	\$ 52,753	\$ 552,011	\$ 273,437	\$ 2,731,386	\$ 1,139,199

- (1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.
- (2) The interest is before federal subsidy for the General Obligation Bonds Series 2010 C and Series 2010 D, approximately \$38.9 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, through the year ending 2030. The payment of subsidy by the IRS from October 1 2013 to September 30, 2014 was reduced by 7.2% due to federal sequestration. Future interest subsidy may be reduced as well.
- (3) Includes the Moscone Center Expansion Project Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2008-1 & 2, which bears interest at a weekly rate. An assumed rate of 0.06%, together with liquidity fee of 0.710% and remarketing fee of 0.0725%, were used to project the interest payment in this table.
- (4) Includes approximately \$3.1 million in lease payments to the Successor Agency for the Moscone Convention Center through fiscal year 2018.

The annual debt service requirement to maturity for all bonds and loans outstanding as of June 30, 2014 for each enterprise fund as follows:

San Francisco International Airport ⁽¹⁾		
Fiscal Year Ending	Revenue Bonds	
June 30	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 174,880	\$ 206,461
2016.....	184,165	199,157
2017.....	194,125	190,868
2018.....	203,190	182,017
2019.....	226,025	172,612
2020-2024....	1,269,935	683,018
2025-2029....	1,095,640	380,686
2030-2034....	476,245	177,667
2035-2039....	277,625	76,213
2040-2043....	102,595	18,309
Total.....	\$ 4,204,425	\$ 2,287,008

- (1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

The table below presents the Airport's revenue bond debt service requirements in the event the letters of credit securing the Airport's outstanding variable rate bonds had to be drawn upon to pay such bonds and the amount drawn had to be repaid by Airport pursuant to the terms of the related agreements with the banks providing such letters of credit:

San Francisco International Airport ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue	
	Bonds	
	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 173,685	\$ 206,461
2016.....	272,410	195,058
2017.....	492,230	180,839
2018.....	256,100	165,519
2019.....	198,840	153,457
2020-2024....	1,098,280	606,550
2025-2029....	888,560	346,779
2030-2034....	444,100	176,408
2035-2039....	277,625	76,213
2040-2043....	102,595	18,309
Total.....	<u>\$ 4,204,425</u>	<u>\$ 2,125,593</u>

San Francisco Water Enterprise ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue		Other Long-Term		Total	
	Bonds		Obligations			
	Principal	Interest ⁽²⁾	Principal	Interest ⁽²⁾	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 25,850	\$ 214,508	\$ 2,106	\$ 7,060	\$ 27,956	\$ 221,568
2016.....	33,700	213,068	2,199	6,968	35,899	220,036
2017.....	53,625	210,954	2,313	6,856	55,938	217,810
2018.....	59,715	208,346	2,431	6,737	62,146	215,083
2019.....	76,790	205,340	2,556	6,612	79,346	211,952
2020-2024....	536,725	957,550	14,874	30,933	551,599	988,483
2025-2029....	679,850	806,874	18,507	25,954	698,357	832,828
2030-2034....	856,540	610,574	22,762	19,369	879,302	629,943
2035-2039....	1,019,370	361,616	28,071	11,157	1,047,441	372,773
2040-2044....	670,375	124,637	19,892	1,972	690,267	126,609
2045-2049....	109,140	37,386	-	-	109,140	37,386
2050-2051....	51,045	3,588	-	-	51,045	3,588
Total.....	<u>\$ 4,172,725</u>	<u>\$ 3,954,441</u>	<u>\$ 115,711</u>	<u>\$ 123,618</u>	<u>\$ 4,288,436</u>	<u>\$ 4,078,059</u>

(1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.

(2) The interest is before federal subsidy for the Revenue Bonds 2010 Series B, E, and G (Bonds) and Certificates of Participation Series 2009 D (Certificates), approximately \$480.4 million and \$38.3 million through the year ending 2051 and 2042 respectively. The SFPUC received IRS notice dated February 24, 2014 that the federal interest subsidies on the Bonds and Certificates are reduced by 7.2% or a total reduction of \$37.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, due to sequestration over the remaining life of the obligations.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Hetch Hetchy Water and Power ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue		Other Long-Term		Total	
	Bonds		Obligations			
	Principal	Interest ^{(2) (3)}	Principal	Interest ⁽³⁾	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 1,321	\$ 625	\$ 287	\$ 961	\$ 1,608	\$ 1,586
2016.....	1,332	582	299	948	1,631	1,530
2017.....	1,344	539	315	933	1,659	1,472
2018.....	1,356	496	331	917	1,687	1,413
2019.....	1,368	451	348	900	1,716	1,351
2020-2024....	6,607	1,568	2,025	4,211	8,632	5,779
2025-2029....	3,883	394	2,520	3,533	6,403	3,927
2030-2034....	-	-	3,099	2,637	3,099	2,637
2035-2039....	-	-	3,822	1,519	3,822	1,519
2040-2042.....	-	-	2,707	271	2,707	271
Total.....	\$ 17,211	\$ 4,655	\$ 15,753	\$ 16,830	\$ 32,964	\$ 21,485

Municipal Transportation Agency ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue	
	Bonds	
	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 5,945	\$ 6,192
2016.....	6,160	5,980
2017.....	6,425	5,698
2018.....	6,350	5,377
2019.....	5,700	5,151
2020-2024....	24,285	22,042
2025-2029....	27,890	15,985
2030-2034....	29,430	8,466
2035-2039....	10,465	3,524
2040-2042....	7,615	774
Total.....	\$ 130,265	\$ 79,189

San Francisco General Hospital ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Other Long-Term	
	Obligations	
	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 2,551	\$ 1,326
2016.....	2,237	1,171
2017.....	1,667	972
2018.....	1,488	849
2019.....	1,572	766
2020-2024....	9,291	2,398
2025-2029....	3,321	186
Total.....	\$ 22,127	\$ 7,668

- (1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.
- (2) Interest payments are not required on Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBS) since the effective equivalent of interest on the bonds is paid in the form of Federal tax credits in lieu of interest paid by the issuer. The interest is before federal subsidy for the Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and New Clean Renewable Energy Bonds, approximately \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, through the year ending 2028.
- (3) The interest is before federal subsidy for the Certificates of Participation 2009 Series D, approximately \$5.2 million through the year ending 2042. The SFPUC received IRS notice dated February 24, 2014 that the federal interest subsidies on the Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds, New Clean Renewable Energy Bonds and Certificates of Participation Series 2009 D are reduced by 7.2% or a total reduction of \$134, \$107 and \$405, respectively, due to sequestration.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue Bonds		Other Long-Term Obligations		Total	
	Principal	Interest ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Principal	Interest ⁽³⁾	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 30,895	\$ 33,473	\$ 557	\$ 1,867	\$ 31,452	\$ 35,340
2016.....	31,115	32,383	581	1,843	31,696	34,226
2017.....	20,870	31,384	612	1,813	21,482	33,197
2018.....	20,015	30,481	643	1,781	20,658	32,262
2019.....	21,010	29,544	676	1,748	21,686	31,292
2020-2024.....	105,490	132,209	3,932	8,179	109,422	140,388
2025-2029.....	96,170	108,642	4,894	6,863	101,064	115,505
2030-2034.....	119,640	80,840	6,019	5,121	125,659	85,961
2035-2039.....	146,660	48,354	7,422	2,950	154,082	51,304
2040-2043.....	139,880	11,968	5,260	522	145,140	12,490
Total.....	<u>\$ 731,745</u>	<u>\$ 539,278</u>	<u>\$ 30,596</u>	<u>\$ 32,687</u>	<u>\$ 762,341</u>	<u>\$ 571,965</u>

Port of San Francisco ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue Bonds		Other Long-Term Obligations		Total	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 1,400	\$ 2,771	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,867	\$ 2,600	\$ 4,638
2016.....	1,225	2,951	1,145	1,819	2,370	4,770
2017.....	1,265	2,904	1,191	1,772	2,456	4,676
2018.....	1,325	2,849	1,242	1,724	2,567	4,573
2019.....	1,390	2,786	1,303	1,663	2,693	4,449
2020-2024.....	8,165	12,705	6,801	7,280	14,966	19,985
2025-2029.....	11,125	9,744	5,317	5,808	16,442	15,552
2030-2034.....	10,770	6,131	5,545	4,420	16,315	10,551
2035-2039.....	12,425	3,487	7,110	2,849	19,535	6,336
2040-2044.....	7,660	840	7,070	906	14,730	1,746
Total.....	<u>\$ 56,750</u>	<u>\$ 47,168</u>	<u>\$ 37,924</u>	<u>\$ 30,108</u>	<u>\$ 94,674</u>	<u>\$ 77,276</u>

- (1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.
- (2) The interest is before federal subsidy, approximately \$65.6 million through the year ending 2043.
- (3) The interest is before federal subsidy on the Certificates of Participation 2009 Series D, approximately \$10.1 million through the year ending 2042. The SFPUC received IRS notice dated February 24, 2014 that the federal interest subsidy on the 2010 Series B bonds and Certificates of Participation Series 2009 D are reduced by 7.2% or a total reduction of \$5.1 million and \$786, respectively, due to sequestration over the remaining life of the bonds.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Laguna Honda Hospital ⁽¹⁾		
Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Other Long-Term Obligations	
	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 5,650	\$ 7,163
2016.....	5,883	6,876
2017.....	6,144	6,612
2018.....	6,440	6,309
2019.....	6,735	6,017
2020-2024....	38,980	24,769
2025-2029....	49,790	13,957
2030-2031....	23,626	1,876
Total.....	<u>\$ 143,248</u>	<u>\$ 73,579</u>

(1) The specific year for payment of estimated claims payable, accrued vacation and sick leave pay, accrued workers' compensation and other postemployment benefits obligation is not practicable to determine.

Total Business-type Activities						
Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Revenue/Lease Revenue Bonds		Other Long-Term Obligations		Total	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 240,291	\$ 464,030	\$ 12,351	\$ 20,244	\$ 252,642	\$ 484,274
2016.....	257,697	454,121	12,344	19,625	270,041	473,746
2017.....	277,654	442,347	12,242	18,958	289,896	461,305
2018.....	291,951	429,566	12,575	18,317	304,526	447,883
2019.....	332,283	415,884	13,190	17,706	345,473	433,590
2020-2024....	1,951,207	1,809,092	75,903	77,770	2,027,110	1,886,862
2025-2029...	1,914,558	1,322,325	84,349	56,301	1,998,907	1,378,626
2030-2034....	1,492,625	883,678	61,051	33,423	1,553,676	917,101
2035-2039...	1,466,545	493,194	46,425	18,475	1,512,970	511,669
2040-2044...	928,125	156,528	34,929	3,671	963,054	160,199
2045-2049...	109,140	37,386	-	-	109,140	37,386
2050-2054...	51,045	3,588	-	-	51,045	3,588
Total.....	<u>\$ 9,313,121</u>	<u>\$ 6,911,739</u>	<u>\$ 365,359</u>	<u>\$ 284,490</u>	<u>\$ 9,678,480</u>	<u>\$ 7,196,229</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

Governmental Activities Long-term Liabilities

General Obligation Bonds

The City issues general obligation bonds to provide funds for the acquisition or improvement of real property and construction of affordable housing. General obligation bonds have been issued for both governmental and business-type activities. The net authorized and unissued governmental activities general obligation bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, are as follows:

Governmental Activities - General Obligation Bonds

Authorized and unissued as of June 30, 2013.....	\$ 750,675
Increases in authorization this fiscal year:	
Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response	400,000
Bonds issued:	
General Obligation Bonds Series 2014A.....	(209,955)
Net authorized and unissued as of June 30, 2014.....	\$ 940,720

The increase in authorized amount of \$400.0 million of 2014 Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response General Obligation Bonds was approved by at least two-third votes of the City electorate voting on Proposition A at an election held on June 3, 2014. The bonds will be issued to fund improvements to fire, earthquake and emergency response.

In January 2014, the City issued General Obligation Bonds Series 2014A in the amount of \$210.0 million to finance the building or rebuilding and improving the earthquake safety of the San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center and to pay certain costs related to the issuance of the Series 2014A bonds. The Series 2014A bonds bear interest rates ranging from 1.0% to 5.0% and mature from June 2014 through June 2033.

The debt service payments are funded through ad valorem taxes on property.

Certificates of Participation

In May 2014, the City issued Refunding Certificates of Participation Series 2014-R1 (San Francisco Courthouse Project) for \$13.6 million and Series 2014-R2 (Juvenile Hall Project) for \$33.6 million. The proceeds of the certificates were used to refund certain outstanding certificates of participation which financed the construction of the City's Courthouse and the City's Juvenile Hall in San Francisco and to pay costs of execution and delivery of the certificates. The Series 2014-R1 certificates mature from April 2015 through April 2021 with interest rate of 5.0% and the Series 2014-R2 certificates mature from April 2015 through April 2034 with interest rates ranging from 2.5% to 5.0%. The refunding resulted in the recognition of deferred accounting gain of \$278 and reduced the City's aggregate debt service payment by \$5.6 million over the next 20 years and obtained present value savings of \$4.8 million or 9.8% of refunded bond.

At June 30, 2014, the City has a total of \$521.5 million of certificates of participation payable by pledged revenues from the base rental payments payable by the City. Total debt service payments remaining on the certificates of participation are \$773.3 million payable through September 1, 2040. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, principal and interest paid by the City totaled \$28.2 million and \$24.4 million, respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Lease Revenue Bonds

The changes in governmental activities - lease revenue bonds related to the equipment program for the year ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

Governmental Activities - Lease Revenue Bonds

Authorized and unissued as of June 30, 2013.....	\$ 137,425
Increase in authorization in this fiscal year:	
Current year annual increase in Finance Corporation's equipment program.	2,925
Current year maturities in Finance Corporation's equipment program.....	10,865
Net authorized and unissued as of June 30, 2014.....	\$ 151,215

Finance Corporation

The purpose of the Finance Corporation is to provide a means to publicly finance, through lease financings, the acquisition, construction and installation of facilities, equipment and other tangible real and personal property for the City's general governmental purposes.

The Finance Corporation uses lease revenue bonds to finance the purchase or construction of property and equipment, which are in turn leased to the City under the terms of an Indenture and Equipment Lease Agreement. These assets are then recorded in the basic financial statements of the City. Since the sole purpose of the bond proceeds is to provide lease financing to the City, any amount that is not applied towards the acquisition or construction of real and personal property such as unapplied acquisition fund, bond issue costs, fund withheld pursuant to reserve fund requirement, and amount designated for capitalized interest is recorded as deferred credit in the internal service fund until such time as it is used for its intended purpose. The deferred credits are eliminated in the governmental activities statement of net position.

The lease revenue bonds are payable by pledged revenues from the base rental payments payable by the City, pursuant to a Master Lease Agreement between the City and the San Francisco Finance Corporation for the use of equipment and facilities acquired, constructed and improved by the Finance Corporation. The total debt service requirement remaining on the lease revenue bond is \$294.0 million payable through June 2034. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, principal and interest paid by the Corporation and the total lease payments made by the City totaled \$20.8 million and \$5.6 million, respectively.

Equipment Lease Program

In the June 5, 1990 election, the voters of the City approved Proposition C, which amended the City Charter to allow the City to lease-purchase up to \$20.0 million of equipment through a non-profit corporation using tax-exempt obligations. Beginning July 1, 1991, the Finance Corporation was authorized to issue lease revenue bonds up to \$20.0 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding plus 5% annual adjustment each July 1. As of June 30, 2014, the cumulative amount authorized, repaid and outstanding was \$61.4 million, \$10.9 million and \$24.4 million, respectively.

San Francisco Marina West Harbor Loan

In March 2009, the City through the Recreation and Parks Department entered into a loan agreement with the Department of Boating and Waterways of the State of California (State). Under the Small Craft Harbor Construction Loan agreement, the State will advance the City a total amount of \$16.5 million in four phases of its construction project. Repayment of principal and interest begins on August 1, immediately after the final loan draw and annually thereafter until August 2045. Interest shall be compounded continuously at the rate of 4.5% on the unpaid balance. The loan repayment shall be made from project area gross revenues. Primary collateral for the loan consists of a lease/leaseback of the marina between the City and the State with an assignment of rents and leases on marina

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

revenues. In addition, the State will receive a first lien position on the City's marina account surplus revenues to cover any payment shortfall after construction completion. In January 2011, the State authorized to fund Phase V of the project for \$7 million by an amendment to the loan agreement. Under the amended agreement, the City will provide and maintain a reserve fund that will act as security of the loan. At a minimum, a reserve of two annual payments (\$2.9 million) will be accumulated during the first ten years of the loan repayment terms and thereafter be maintained at that level. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the City drew down \$8.7 million. The amount of loan outstanding as of June 30, 2014 is \$21.4 million.

Business-Type Activities Long-Term Liabilities

The following provides a brief description of the current year additions to the long-term debt of the business-type activities.

San Francisco International Airport

Second Series Revenue Bonds (Capital Plan Bonds)

Pursuant to resolutions approved in fiscal years 2008, 2012 and 2014, the Airport Commission has authorized the issuance of up to \$4.8 billion of San Francisco International Airport Second Series Revenue Bonds to finance and refinance the construction, acquisition, equipping, and development of capital projects undertaken by the Airport, including retiring all or a portion of the Airport's outstanding subordinate commercial paper notes (CP) issued for capital projects, funding debt service reserves, and for paying costs of issuance. As of June 30, 2014, \$3.7 billion of the authorized capital plan bonds remained unissued.

In July 2013, the Airport issued its fixed rate Second Series Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A (AMT), Series 2013B (Non-AMT/Governmental Purpose) and Series 2013C (Taxable) in the total amount of \$461.1 million. The Series 2013A-C Bonds are uninsured, long-term, fixed rate bonds. The Series 2013A (AMT) Bonds mature between May 1, 2020 and May 1, 2038 with interest rates from 5.00% to 5.50%. The Series 2013B (Non-AMT/Governmental Purpose) Bonds mature on May 1, 2043, with an interest rate of 5.00%. The Series 2013C (Taxable) Bonds mature between May 1, 2017 and May 1, 2019, with interest rates from 2.12% to 2.86%.

The net proceeds of \$405.8 million (comprised of a \$461.1 million bond principal amount, less \$71.8 million in underwriting fees, deposits to the capitalized interest accounts and the reserve account, and payment of costs of issuance, together with \$16.5 million in net original issue premium) were used to retire the outstanding balance of subordinate CP (\$180.5 million), and make a deposit into the Airport's construction accounts to fund capital projects at the Airport (\$225.3 million).

Second Series Revenue Refunding Bonds (Remarketing)

Pursuant to sale resolutions approved between fiscal years 2005 through 2011, the Airport Commission has authorized the issuance of up to \$8.4 billion of Second Series Revenue Refunding Bonds for the purposes of refunding outstanding 1991 Resolution Bonds and outstanding subordinate commercial paper notes, funding debt service reserves, and paying cost of issuance, including an related bond redemption premiums. As of June 30, 2014, net of the expired sale authorizations, \$1.4 billion of such refunding bonds remained unissued. During fiscal year 2014, no new refunding bonds were issued, and the following refunding bonds were remarketed with new letters of credit.

In April 2014, the Airport remarketed its outstanding Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issue 36B (Non-AMT/Private Activity) with a new irrevocable letter of credit (LOC) from The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. that expires on April 25, 2018. The bonds were originally secured by a LOC from U.S. Bank National Association that expired on May 4, 2014. The Issue 36B Bonds were remarketed with the original maturity date of May 1, 2026, and no changes to principal amortization.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

In April 2014, the Airport remarketed its outstanding Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issue 36C (Non-AMT/Private Activity) with a new irrevocable LOC from The Bank of Tokyo – Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. that expires on April 25, 2018. The bonds were originally secured by a LOC provided by U.S. Bank National Association with an expiration date of July 11, 2014. The Issue 36C Bonds were remarketed with the original maturity date of May 1, 2026, and no changes to principal amortization.

Variable Rate Demand Bonds

As of June 30, 2014, the Airport had outstanding aggregate principal amount of \$481.5 million of Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, consisting of Issue 36A/B/C and Issue 37C, and Series 2010A, (collectively, the “Variable Rate Bonds”) with final maturity dates of May 1, 2026 (Issue 36A/B/C), May 1, 2029 (Issue 37C), and May 1, 2030 (Series 2010A). The Variable Rate Bonds are long-term, tax-exempt bonds that currently bear interest at a rate that is adjusted weekly, and that are subject to tender at par at the option of the holder thereof on seven days’ notice. Any tendered Variable Rate Bonds are remarketed by the applicable remarketing agent in the secondary market to other investors. The interest rate on the Variable Rate Bonds can be converted to other interest rate modes, including a term rate or fixed rates to maturity, upon appropriate notice by the Airport.

The scheduled payment of the principal and purchase price of and interest on the Variable Rate Bonds is secured by separate irrevocable LOC issued to the Senior Trustee for the benefit of the applicable bondholders by the banks identified in the tables below.

Amounts drawn under a LOC that are not reimbursed by the Airport constitute “Repayment Obligations” under the 1991 Master Resolution and are accorded the status of other outstanding bonds to the extent provided in the Resolution. The commitment fees for the LOC range between 0.52% and 0.78% per annum. As of June 30, 2014, there were no unreimbursed draws under these facilities.

In December 2013, the Airport obtained an extension of the LOC issued by J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. securing the Series 2010A Bonds and in April 2014, the Airport obtained a replacement LOC from The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. for the Issue 36B Bonds in advance of the expiration dates of the LOC securing both series of bonds.

In April 2014, the Airport obtained an additional LOC from The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. to support the Issue 36C Bonds in advance of the July 11, 2014 stated expiration date of the prior LOC securing those bonds.

The primary terms of the LOC securing the Variable Rate Bonds included in long-term debt as of June 30, 2014, are as follows:

	<u>Issue 36A</u>	<u>Issue 36B</u>	<u>Issue 36C</u>	<u>Issue 37C</u>	<u>Series 2010A</u>
Principal Amount	\$100,000	\$40,620	\$36,145	\$89,895	\$215,970
Expiration Date	October 26, 2016	April 25, 2018	April 25, 2018	July 13, 2015	December 14, 2016
Credit Provider	U.S. Bank National Association	The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.	The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.	Union Bank, N.A.	JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Interest Rate Swaps

Objective and Terms – In December 2004, the Airport entered into seven forward starting interest rate swaps (the “2004 swaps”) with an aggregate notational amount of \$405.0 million, in connection with the anticipated issuance of Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issue 32A-E in February 2005, and Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issue 33 in February 2006. The swap structure was intended as a means to increase the Airport’s debt service savings when compared with fixed rate refunding bonds at the time of issuance. The expiration date of the 2004 swaps is May 1, 2026.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

In July 2007, the Airport entered into four additional forward starting interest rate swaps in connection with the anticipated issuance of its Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issue 37B/C, in May 2008 (the 2007 swaps), and Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2010A, in February 2010 (the 2010 swaps). The expiration dates of the 2007 and 2010 swaps are May 1, 2029 and May 1, 2030, respectively.

In the spring of 2008, the Airport refunded several issues of auction rate and variable rate bonds, including Issue 32 and Issue 33. The 2004 swaps associated with these issues then became associated with the Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issues 36A-D and Issue 37A. Subsequently, in October and December 2008, the Airport refunded Issues 37A and Issue 37B, respectively. Concurrently with the refunding of Issue 37A, the three associated swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$205.1 million, were terminated. The swap associated with Issue 37B was not terminated upon the refunding of Issue 37B.

In December 2010, the Airport terminated the swap associated with the Series 2010A-3 Bonds, with a notional amount of \$72.0 million. The Airport paid a termination amount of \$6.7 million to the counterparty, Depfa Bank plc. The payment was funded with taxable commercial paper, which was subsequently retired with Airport operating funds in March 2011.

Following the termination of the Depfa swap, the Series 2010A-3 Bonds, which are variable rate, were no longer hedged with an interest rate swap. The swap associated with the Issue 37B Bonds, however, is now associated with the Series 2010A-3 Bonds and the unhedged portions of Issue 36A/B/C.

In September 2011, the Airport refunded the Issue 36D Bonds with proceeds of the Airport Second Series Revenue Bonds, Series 2011H and terminated the swap associated with Issue 36D, which had an initial notional amount of \$30.0 million and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. as counterparty. The Airport paid a termination fee of \$4.6 million to the counterparty.

Under the 2004 swaps, the Airport receives a monthly variable rate payment from each counterparty equal to 63.5% of USD-LIBOR-BBA plus 0.29%. Under the 2007 and 2010 swaps, the Airport receives 61.85% of USD-LIBOR-BBA plus 0.34%. These payments are intended to approximate the variable interest rates on the bonds originally hedged by the swaps. The Airport makes a monthly fixed rate payment to the counterparties as set forth below which commenced on the date of issuance of the related bonds. The objective of the swaps is to achieve a synthetic fixed rate with respect to the hedged bonds. All of the outstanding interest rate swaps are terminable at their market value at any time solely at the option of the Airport.

As of June 30, 2014, the Airport's derivative instruments comprised six interest rate swaps that the Airport entered into to hedge the interest payments on several series of its variable rate Second Series Revenue Bonds. The Airport determined the hedging relationship between the variable rate bonds and the related interest rate swaps to be effective as of June 30, 2014.

#	Current Bonds	Initial Notional Amount	Notional Amount June 30, 2014	Effective Date
1	36AB	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	2/10/2005
2	36AB	69,930	69,930	2/10/2005
3	36C	30,000	30,000	2/10/2005
4	2010A*	79,684	79,331	5/15/2008
5	37C	89,856	89,459	5/15/2008
6	2010A	143,947	143,447	2/1/2010
	Total	\$ 483,417	\$ 482,167	

* The swap previously associated with Issue 37B is now indirectly hedging Series 2010A-3 and the unhedged portions of the Issue 36A-C.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Fair Value

The fair values take into consideration the prevailing interest rate environment and the specific terms and conditions of each swap. All fair values were estimated using the zero-coupon discounting method. This method calculates the future payments required by the swap, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve are the market's best estimate of future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for a hypothetical zero-coupon rate bond due on the date of each future net settlement payment on the swaps.

As of June 30, 2014, the fair value of the Airport's six outstanding swaps, counterparty credit ratings and fixed rate payable by the Airport are as follows:

#	Current Bonds	Counterparty/guarantor *	Counterparty credit ratings (S&P/Moody's/Fitch)	Fixed rate payable by Airport	Fair value to Airport
1	36AB	J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.	A+/Aa3/A+	3.444%	\$ (8,554)
2	36AB	J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.	A+/Aa3/A+	3.445%	(8,554)
3	36C	J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.	A+/Aa3/A+	3.444%	(3,666)
4	2010A	Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc./ Merrill Lynch Derivative Products AG	A+/Aa3/NR	3.773%	(13,918)
5	37C	J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.	A+/Aa3/A+	3.898%	(16,777)
6	2010A	Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	A-/Baa1/A	3.925%	(28,481)
Total					\$ (79,950)

* The ratings for the 2010A swaps are the ratings of the guarantor.

The impact of the interest rate swaps on the financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	Deferred outflows on derivative instruments	Derivative instruments
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$ 64,743	\$ 81,338
Change in fair value	221	(1,103)
Balance as of June 30, 2014	\$ 64,964	\$ 80,235

The fair value of the interest rate swap portfolio is recorded as a liability (since the swaps are out of the money from the perspective of the Airport) in the statement of net position. Unless a swap was determined to be an off-market swap at the inception of its hedging relationship, the fair value of the swap is recorded as a deferred outflow asset (if out of the money) or inflow liability (if in the money). The off-market portions of the Airport's swaps are recorded as carrying costs with respect to various refunded bond issues. Unlike fair value and deferred inflows/outflows values, the balance of remaining off-market portions are valued on a present value, or fixed yield, to maturity basis. The difference between the deferred outflows of resources and derivative instruments above is the unamortized off-market portions of the swaps as of June 30, 2014.

Basis Risk - The Airport has chosen a variable rate index based on a percentage of LIBOR plus a spread, which historically has closely approximated the variable rates payable on the related bonds. However, the Airport is subject to the risk that a change in the relationship between the LIBOR-based swap rate and the variable bond rates would cause a material mismatch between the two rates. Changes that cause the payments received from the counterparty to be insufficient to make the payments due on the associated bonds result in an increase in the synthetic interest rate on the bonds, while changes that cause the counterparty payments to exceed the payments due on the associated bonds result in a decrease in the synthetic interest rate on the bonds. During the fiscal year ended

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

June 30, 2014, the Airport paid a total of \$1.8 million less in interest on its variable rate bonds than the floating rate payments it received from the swap counterparties, resulting in a decrease in the effective synthetic interest rates on the associated bonds.

Credit Risk - As of June 30, 2014, the Airport is not exposed to credit risk because the swaps have a negative fair value to the Airport. Should long-term interest rates rise and the fair value of the swaps become positive, the Airport would be exposed to credit risk in the amount of the swaps' fair value. Under the terms of the swaps, counterparties are required to post collateral consisting of specified U.S. Treasury and Agency securities in an amount equal to the market value of a swap that exceeds specified thresholds linked to the counterparty's credit ratings. Any such collateral will be held by a custodial bank.

Counterparty Risk - The Airport is exposed to counterparty risk, which is related to credit and termination risk. While the insolvency or bankruptcy of a counterparty, or its failure to perform would be a default under the applicable swap documents, none of the Airport's swaps would automatically terminate. Rather, the Airport would have the option to terminate the affected swap at its fair value, which may result in a payment to the counterparty. The Airport may also be exposed to counterparty risk in a high interest rate environment in the event a counterparty is unable to perform its obligations on a swap transaction leaving the Airport exposed to the variable rates on the associated debt. In order to diversify the Airport's swap counterparty credit risk and to limit the Airport's credit exposure to any one counterparty, the Airport's swap policy imposes limits on the maximum net termination exposure to any one counterparty. Maximum net termination exposure is calculated as of the date of execution of each swap and is monitored regularly during the term of the swap. The exposure limits vary for collateralized and non-collateralized swaps based upon the credit rating of the counterparty. If any exposure limit is exceeded by a counterparty during the term of a swap, the Airport Director is required to consult with the Airport's swap advisor and bond counsel regarding appropriate actions to take, if any, to mitigate such increased exposure, including, without limitation, transfer or substitution of a swap. As of June 30 2014, the fair value of the Airport's swaps was negative to the Airport (representing an amount payable by the Airport to each counterparty in the event the relevant swap was terminated). Although the Airport was not exposed to the credit of any counterparty with respect to termination amounts, the maximum net termination exposure limits in the Airport's swap policy were exceeded with respect to several counterparties. Following the consultation required by the Airport's swap policy, the Airport Director determined not to terminate, transfer or substitute such swaps.

Termination Risk - All of the interest rate swaps are terminable at their market value at any time at the option of the Airport. The Airport has limited termination risk with respect to the interest rate swaps. That risk would arise primarily from certain credit-related events or events of default on the part of the Airport, the municipal swap insurer, or the counterparty. The Airport has secured municipal swap insurance for all its regular payments and some termination payments due under all its interest rate swaps except the swaps associated with the Series 2010A Bonds, from the following insurers:

#	Swap	Swap Insurer	Insurer credit ratings June 30, 2014 (S&P/Moody's/Fitch)
1	Issue 36AB	FGIC/National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation	AA-/A3/NR
2	Issue 36AB	FGIC/National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation	AA-/A3/NR
3	Issue 36C	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	AA/A2/NR
4	Series 2010A	None	N/A
5	Issue 37C	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	AA/A2/NR
6	Series 2010A	None	N/A

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

If the Airport is rated between Baa1/BBB+/BBB+ and Baa3/BBB-/BBB- (Moody's/S&P/Fitch), and the applicable bond insurer is rated below A3/A- (Moody's/S&P), the counterparties may terminate the swaps and require the Airport to pay the termination value, if any, unless the Airport chooses to provide suitable replacement credit enhancement, assign the Airport's interest in the swaps to a suitable replacement counterparty, or post collateral to secure the swap termination value. If the Airport is rated below Baa3/BBB-/BBB- (Moody's/S&P/Fitch) or its ratings are withdrawn or suspended, and the applicable bond insurer is rated below A3/A- (Moody's/S&P), the counterparties may terminate the swaps and require the Airport to pay the termination value, if any. With respect to the Series 2010A swaps with no swap insurance, the counterparty termination provisions and the Airport rating thresholds are the same as described above.

Additional Termination Events under the swap documents with respect to the Airport include an insurer payment default under the applicable swap insurance policy, and certain insurer rating downgrades or specified insurer non-payment defaults combined with a termination event or event of default on the part of the Airport or a ratings downgrade of the Airport below investment grade. Additional Termination Events under the swap documents with respect to a counterparty or its guarantor include a rating downgrade below A3/A1/A1 (Moody's/S&P/Fitch), followed by a failure of the counterparty to assign its rights and obligations under the swap documents to another entity acceptable to the applicable insurer within 15 business days.

The Airport's swap guarantors Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and Merrill Lynch Derivative Products AG were each downgraded by one of the rating agencies during the year ended June 30, 2014.

The downgrades to Goldman Sachs and Merrill Lynch did not constitute Additional Termination Events under the swap agreement with either counterparty. The downgrade of any swap counterparty increases the risk to the Airport that such counterparty may become bankrupt or insolvent and not perform under the applicable swap. If a counterparty does not perform under its swap, the Airport may be required to continue making its fixed rate payments to the counterparty even though it does not receive a variable rate payment in return. The Airport may elect to terminate a swap with a non-performing counterparty and may be required to pay a substantial termination payment approximately equal to the fair value of such swap, depending on market conditions at the time. As of June 30, 2014, the fair value of each swap was negative to the Airport as shown above.

Municipal Transportation Agency

In December 2013, the SFMTA issues its Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 in the total amount of \$75.4 million. The net proceed of \$82.2 million (consisting of \$75.4 million of the Series 2013 bonds plus original issue premium of \$6.8 million) were used to pay \$0.2 million underwriter discount and \$1 million in costs of issuance, deposit \$6.0 million into the Reserve Account, and fund \$75.0 million for various transit and parking capital projects for the SFMTA. The Series 2013 bonds bear interest at fixed rates from 1.5% to 5.0% and have a final maturity on March 1, 2033.

Port of San Francisco

In May 2014, the Port issued \$22.7 million in revenue bonds in two series; an AMT tax-exempt series (Series 2014A) and a taxable series (Series 2014B). Series 2014A included serial and term bonds totaling \$19.9 million with coupon rates ranging from 3.00% to 5.00% and maturities from March 2020 to March 2044. Series 2014B included serial bonds totaling \$2.8 million with coupon rates ranging from 0.55% to 3.00% and maturities from March 2015 through March 2020. Series 2014A bonds with scheduled maturities on or after March 2025 are subject to redemption as a whole or in part at the sole option of the Port at any time on or after March 2025 at redemption prices specified in the Master Trust Indenture dated February 1, 2010. Bonds with scheduled maturities on or before March 2024 are not subject to optional redemption prior to their maturity. Under terms of the indenture the Port is required to deposit in a debt service reserve fund with a bond trustee, amounts equal to the Series 2014A reserve requirement and to the Series 2014B reserve requirement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The Series 2014A reserve requirement is an amount equal to the lesser of: a) the maximum annual debt service with respect to the Series 2014A bonds, b) 125% of the average annual debt service on the Series 2014A bonds, c) 10% of the outstanding principal of the amount of the Series 2014A bonds, or d) the sum of \$651, which is the initial deposit into the reserve fund, plus any amounts available to be transferred from the Series 2014B reserve account pursuant to the Indenture. Funds on deposit in the Series 2014A reserve fund are only for the benefit of the Series 2014A bondholders. The Series 2014B reserve requirement is an amount equal to the maximum annual debt service on the Series 2014B bonds. Funds on deposit in the Series 2014B reserve fund are only for the benefit of the Series 2014B bondholders. At June 30, 2014, the Port was in compliance with these reserve requirements.

In May 2012, the Board of Supervisors authorized the City to issue \$45.0 million in certificates of participation (COPs) to finance various facilities and improvements under the jurisdiction of the Port, including the construction of a primary cruise terminal at Pier 27. The plan of finance for the Port projects also contemplated utilization of the City's commercial paper (CP) program as interim or bridge financing. Under that program, CP notes are issued by the City and short-term debt is incurred only when funds are drawn to pay project costs. The public sale of \$37.7 million in COPs was completed in October 2013 and \$27.2 million from the proceeds was used to repay the City CP program in November 2013. Interest rates on commercial paper for the subsequent period through October 2013 ranged from 0.07% to 0.16%.

A memorandum of understanding between the City and the Port govern the terms of repayment for the City COPs. The Port is required to make payments to the City equal to annual debt service on the Certificates. These payment obligations are subordinate to any Port revenue bond obligations. The Port has agreed, during the term of the COPs, to annually budget amounts necessary for direct payment of obligations or for reimbursement by the Port to the City for costs incurred on behalf of the Port in connection with the COPs. At the outset, the property ("Leased Property") securing the City COPs will be a specified portion of the City's Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center. Upon completion of Phase 2 construction of the James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27 in 2014, the Laguna Honda Hospital and Rehabilitation Center will be released from the Project Lease and replaced with the completed cruise terminal. While the Leased Property will serve as the leased asset for the COPs to secure the City's covenants and obligations under the lease, there is no remedy under the COPs for the purchasers thereof to take possession of the Leased Property.

The COPs were issued in two series, consisting of Series 2013B (Non-AMT) in the amount of \$4.8 million and Series 2013C (AMT) in the amount of \$32.9 million. Series 2013B certificates will mature March 2036 and March 2038, and carry coupon rates of 5.25% and 4.75%, respectively. Series 2013C certificates will mature March 2014 through March 2043 and carry coupon rates between 4.00% and 5.25%. The COPs with scheduled maturities on or after March 2023 are subject to redemption at specified prices at the option of the City. Those COPs with scheduled maturities before March 2023 are not subject to optional redemption prior to their maturity.

(9) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PROGRAMS

(a) Retirement Plan

The City administers a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan (the Plan), which covers substantially all of its employees, and certain classified and certificated employees of the San Francisco Community College and Unified School Districts, and San Francisco Trial Court employees other than judges. Due to the relatively minor share of costs borne by the other employers in the Plan, the City presents disclosure information for the Plan as if it were a single-employer plan. The Plan is administered by the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System (the Retirement System). Some City employees participate in the California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS), agent or cost-sharing multiple-employer, public employee pension plans, which cover certain employees in public safety functions, the Port, the Airport, the Transportation Authority and the Successor Agency.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

Employees' Retirement System

Plan Description – Substantially all full-time employees of the City participate in the Plan. The Plan provides basic service retirement, disability and death benefits based on specified percentages of defined final average monthly salary and provides annual cost-of-living adjustments after retirement. The Plan also provides pension continuation benefits to qualified survivors. The San Francisco City and County Charter and the Administrative Code are the authority which establishes and amends the benefit provisions and employer obligations of the Plan. The retirement related payroll for employees covered by the Retirement System for the year ended June 30, 2014 was approximately \$2.5 billion. The Retirement System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Plan. That report may be obtained by writing to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, 1145 Market Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 or by calling (415) 487-7000.

Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the Plan.

Funding Policy – Contributions are made to the basic plan by both the City and the participating employees. Employee contributions are mandatory as required by the Charter. Employee contribution rates for fiscal year 2013-14 varied from 7.5% to 13.0% as a percentage of gross covered salary. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, most employee groups agreed through collective bargaining for employees to contribute the full amount of the employee contributions on a pretax basis. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. Based on the July 1, 2012 actuarial report, the required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2013-14 was 20.32% to 24.32%.

Employer contributions and employee contributions made by the employer to the Plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions.

Annual Pension Cost – The annual required contribution for the current year was determined as part of an actuarial valuation performed as of July 1, 2012. The actuarial method used was the entry age normal cost method. The significant actuarial assumptions include: (1) annual rate of return on investments of 7.58%; (2) cost of living adjustments of 2% to 5%; and (3) projected wage increases of 3.83% with additional for merit and promotion of 0.00% to 15.00% based on a participant's years of service and membership group. The actuarial value of Retirement System assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a 5-year period. Unfunded liabilities are amortized using the level percentage of payroll method. Changes in actuarial gains and losses, assumptions, and supplemental COLAs are amortized as a level percentage of payroll over an open 15-year period. Plan amendments and changes in interest crediting rate are amortized over a closed 20-year period.

Three-year trend information is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year Ended</u>	<u>Annual Pension Cost (APC)</u>	<u>Percentage of APC Contributed</u>	<u>Net Pension Obligation</u>
6/30/2012	\$ 410,797	100%	\$ -
6/30/2013	442,870	100%	-
6/30/2014	532,882	100%	-

Funded Status and Funding Progress – As of July 1, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the actuarial value of assets was \$16.30 billion; the actuarial accrued liability was \$20.22 billion; the total unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$3.92 billion; the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (funded ratio) was 80.6%; the annual covered payroll was \$2.54 billion; and the ratio of the unfunded actuarial liability to annual covered payroll was 154.6%. The actuarial

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

assumptions used were the same as described in the Annual Pension Cost section above. The Retirement System's unfunded actuarial accrued liability from its July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation increased \$555.2 million from a deficit of \$3.36 billion to a deficit of \$3.92 billion primarily due to investment experience during the year ended June 30, 2009. The actuarial value of assets is "smoothed" in order to mitigate the impact of investment performance volatility on employer contribution rates. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

California Public Employees' Retirement System

Various City public safety, Port, and all Successor Agency and Transportation Authority employees are eligible to participate in PERS. Disclosures for the Transportation Authority and Successor Agency are included in the separately issued financial statements.

Plan Description – The City contributes to PERS, an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan for safety members and a cost-sharing multiple-employer plan for miscellaneous members. Effective with the PERS June 30, 2003 actuarial valuation, PERS mandated that the City's miscellaneous members plan be included in a cost-sharing multiple-employer plan consisting of various government entities with plan memberships of less than 100 active members. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and City ordinance. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained from their executive office: 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. A separate report for the City's plan within PERS is not available.

Miscellaneous Plan

Funding Policy – Miscellaneous plan – Participants are required to contribute 7% of their annual covered salary. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. For the miscellaneous plan, the fiscal year 2013-14 contribution rate is 0% of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by PERS.

Annual Pension Cost – Miscellaneous plan – Cost for PERS for fiscal year 2013-14 was equal to the City's required and actual contributions, which was determined as part of the June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation using the entry age actuarial cost method.

Three-year payment trend information is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year Ended</u>	<u>Annual Pension Cost (APC)</u>	<u>Percentage of APC Contributed</u>	<u>Net Pension Obligation</u>
6/30/2012	\$ -	N/A	\$ -
6/30/2013	-	N/A	-
6/30/2014	-	N/A	-

Safety Plan

Funding Policy – Safety plan – Participants are required to contribute 9% of their annual covered salary. The City makes the contributions required of City employees on their behalf and for their account. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. For the safety plan, the fiscal year contribution rate is 21.59%. The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by PERS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Annual Pension Cost – Safety Plan – The cost for PERS for fiscal year 2013-14 was equal to the City’s required and actual contributions, which was determined as part of the June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation using the entry age actuarial cost method. The assumptions included in the June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation were: (a) 7.50% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), (b) 3.30% to 14.20% projected annual salary increases that vary by age, service and type of employment, and (c) 3.00% payroll growth. The inflation rate is 2.75%. For the June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation, the average remaining period is 32 years. The actuarial value of PERS assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over 15 years. Changes in unfunded liability/(excess assets) due to changes in actuarial methods or assumptions or changes in plan benefits are amortized over as a level percentage of pay over a closed 20 year period.

Three-year trend information is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year Ended</u>	<u>Annual Pension Cost (APC)</u>	<u>Percentage of APC Contributed</u>	<u>Net Pension Obligation</u>
6/30/2012	\$ 23,888	100%	\$ -
6/30/2013	23,811	100%	-
6/30/2014	24,020	100%	-

Funded Status and Funding Progress – As of June 30, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the actuarial value of assets was \$785.2 million; the actuarial accrued liability was \$962.2 million; the total unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$177.1 million; the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (funded ratio) was 81.6%; the annual covered payroll was \$108.1 million; and the ratio of the unfunded actuarial liability to annual covered payroll was 163.8%. The assumptions included in the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation were: (a) 7.50% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), (b) 3.30% to 14.20% projected annual salary increases that vary by age, service and type of employment, (c) 3.00% payroll growth and (d) 2.75% inflation rate. For the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation, the actuarial value of PERS assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over 15 years. Changes in unfunded liability/(excess assets) due to changes in actuarial methods or assumptions or changes in plan benefits are amortized over as a level percentage of pay over a closed 20 year period.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

(b) Deferred Compensation Plan

The City offers its employees a deferred compensation plan in accordance with Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 457. The plan, available to all employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees or other beneficiaries until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency.

The City has no administrative involvement and does not perform the investing function. The City has no fiduciary accountability for the plan and, accordingly, the plan assets and related liabilities to plan participants are not included in the basic financial statements.

(c) Health Service System

The Health Service System was established in 1937. Health care benefits of employees, retired employees and surviving spouses are financed by beneficiaries and by the City through the Health Service System. The employers’ contribution, which includes the San Francisco Community College

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

District, San Francisco Unified School District and the San Francisco Superior Court, amounted to approximately \$644.1 million in fiscal year 2013-14. The employers' contribution is mandated and determined by Charter provision based on similar contributions made by the ten most populous counties in California. Included in this amount is \$198.9 million to provide postemployment health care benefits for 25,940 retired participants, of which \$160.7 million related to City employees. The City's liability for postemployment health care benefits is enumerated below. The City's contribution is paid out of current available resources and funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. The Health Service System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements. That report may be obtained by writing to the San Francisco Health Service System, 1145 Market Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, CA 94103 or by calling (800) 541-2266.

(d) Postemployment Health Care Benefits

City (excluding the Transportation Authority and the Successor Agency)

Plan Description – The City maintains a single-employer, defined benefit other postemployment benefits plan, which provides health care benefits to employees, retired employees, and surviving spouses, through the City's Health Service System outlined above. Health care benefits are provided to members of the Health Service System through three plan choices: City Health Plan, Kaiser, and Blue Shield. The City does not issue a separate report on its other postemployment benefit plan.

The City established the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund to receive contributions for the purpose of providing a funding source for certain postemployment benefits other than pension. The Retiree Health Care Trust Fund is administered by a Retiree Health Care Board of Administration governed by five trustees, one selected by the City Controller, one by the City Treasurer, one by the Executive Director of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, and two elected by the active and retired members of the City's Health Service System.

Funding Policy – The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are based on a pay-as-you-go basis. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the City paid approximately \$160.7 million on behalf of its retirees and contributed \$5.9 million to the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation – The City's annual other postemployment benefits (OPEB) expense is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost of each year and any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) amortized over thirty years. The ARC was determined based on the July 1, 2012 actuarial valuation.

The net OPEB obligations are reflected in the statements of net position of the governmental activities, business-type activities, and fiduciary funds. The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount contributed to the plan, and changes in the City's net OPEB obligation:

Annual required contribution	\$ 341,377
Interest on Net OPEB obligation	71,444
Adjustment to annual required contribution	<u>(59,570)</u>
Annual OPEB cost	353,251
Contribution made	<u>(166,628)</u>
Increase in net OPEB obligation	186,623
Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year	<u>1,607,130</u>
Net OPEB obligation - end of year	<u><u>\$ 1,793,753</u></u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The table below shows how the total net OPEB obligation as of June 30, 2014, is distributed.

Governmental activities	\$ 1,004,141
Business-type activities	734,434
Fiduciary funds	55,178
Net OPEB obligation - end of year	\$ 1,793,753

Eligible fiduciary funds' employees are City employees and thereby eligible for postemployment health benefits. These obligations are reported as other liabilities in the City's fiduciary funds financial statements.

Three-year trend information is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Cost	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
6/30/2012	\$ 405,850	38.5%	\$ 1,348,883
6/30/2013	418,539	38.3%	1,607,130
6/30/2014	353,251	47.2%	1,793,753

Funded Status and Funding Progress – The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of expected payroll over an open thirty year period. As of July 1, 2012, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the funded status of the Retiree Health Care Benefits was 0.4%. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$4.00 billion, and the value of assets was \$17.9 million, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$3.98 billion. As of July 1, 2012, the estimated covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$2.46 billion and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 161.9%.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions – Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contribution of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2012, the entry age normal cost method was used. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in the valuation is allocated as a level percent of expected salary for each year of employment between entry age (age at hire) and assumed exit (maximum retirement age). Unfunded liabilities are amortized using the level percentage of payroll over a rolling 30-year period. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.45% investment rate of return on investment; 3.33% inflation rate; 3.83% payroll growth; and actual medical premiums from 2013 through 2014 and an ultimate medical inflation rate of 8.0% to 4.50% from 2016 through 2030.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

The San Francisco Retiree Health Care Trust Fund (RHCTF) was established in December 2010 by the Retiree Health Trust Fund Board of the City and County of San Francisco. The RHCTF was established to receive employer and employee contributions prescribed by the Charter for the purpose of pre-funding certain postretirement health benefits. Proposition B requires employees hired on or after January 10, 2009 to contribute 2% of pay and the employer to contribute 1% of pay. Between January 10, 2009 and the establishment of the RHCTF, contributions were set aside and deposited into the RHCTF when it was established. Proposition C also requires all employees hired on or before January 9, 2009 to contribute 0.25% of pay to the RHCTF commencing July 1, 2016, increasing annually by 0.25% to a maximum of 1.0% of pay. The employer is required to contribute an equal amount. The RHCTF is currently invested in short-term fixed income securities.

The Charter amendment passed by voters as Proposition A on November 5, 2013 prohibits withdrawals from the RHCTF until sufficient funds are set-aside to pay for all future retiree health care costs as determined by an actuarial study. Limited withdrawals prior to accumulating sufficient funds will be permitted only if annually budgeted retiree health care costs rise above 10% of payroll expenses, and will be limited to no more than 10% of the RHCTF balance. Proposition A allows for revisions to these funding limitations and requirements only upon the recommendation of the Controller and an external actuary and if approved by the RHCTF Board, two-thirds of the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority

The Transportation Authority maintains a separate single-employer defined benefit OPEB plan and reported a net OPEB obligation of \$0 as of June 30, 2014. The Transportation Authority's most recent actuarial valuation was performed as of June 30, 2013, covering the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The Transportation Authority's OPEB plan is for retiree healthcare benefits and was 67.6% funded and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability was \$0.4 million. Details of the Transportation Authority's OPEB plan may be found in its financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014. Financial statements for the Transportation Authority can be obtained from their finance and administrative offices at 1455 Market Street, 22nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103.

As of June 30, 2014, the Transportation Authority's annual OPEB expense of \$138.4 was equal to the ARC. Three-year trend information is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Cost	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
6/30/2012	\$ 158.0	100%	\$ -
6/30/2013	163.0	100%	-
6/30/2014	138.4	100%	-

Successor Agency

Effective February 1, 2012, upon the operation of law to dissolve the former Agency, the Successor Agency assumed the former Agency's postemployment healthcare plan. The Successor Agency sponsors a single-employer defined benefit plan providing other postemployment benefits (OPEB) to employees who retire directly from the former Agency and/or the Successor Agency. The Successor Agency is a contracting agency under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA), which is administered by PERS and provides monthly retiree medical benefit contributions. Premiums in excess of the above Successor Agency contributions are paid by the retirees. Benefits provisions are established and may be amended by the Successor Agency.

The Successor Agency participates in the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) Fund. CERBT is administered by PERS and is an agent multiple-employer trust. Copies of PERS' financial report may be obtained from PERS website at www.calpers.ca.gov or from PERS at 400 "Q" Street, Sacramento, California 95811.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Funding Policy – The contribution requirements of the plan members and the Successor Agency are established by and may be amended by the Successor Agency. The Successor Agency intends to fund plan benefits through the CERBT by contributing at least 100% of the annual required contribution.

The annual required contribution is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency contributed \$1.3 million to this Plan.

Annual Other Postemployment Benefit Cost and Net Obligation – The Successor Agency’s annual OPEB cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC) of the employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. Annual OPEB Cost (AOC) equals the plan’s ARC, adjusted for historical differences between the ARC and amounts actually contributed. The Successor Agency’s annual required contribution for the year ended June 30, 2014 is the sum of (a) normal cost of \$110 and (b) level dollar amortization of the June 30, 2013 unfunded actuarial accrued liability of \$822.

The following table shows the components of the Successor Agency’s annual OPEB cost for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the changes in the net OPEB obligation:

Annual required contribution	\$	932
Interest on Net OPEB obligation		89
Adjustment to annual required contribution		<u>(109)</u>
Annual OPEB cost		912
Contribution made		<u>(1,266)</u>
Decrease in net OPEB obligation		(354)
Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year		<u>1,221</u>
Net OPEB obligation - end of year	\$	<u><u>867</u></u>

Three-year trend information is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Cost	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
1/31/2012 *	\$ 747	65%	\$ 733
6/30/2012 **	533	65%	921
6/30/2013	1,306	77%	1,221
6/30/2014	912	139%	867

* Represents trend information for the former Agency for the period July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

** Represents trend information for the Successor Agency for the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Funded Status and Funding Progress – The funded status of the plan of the former Agency as of June 30, 2013, the plan's most recent actuarial valuation date, was as follows (in thousands):

Actuarial accrued liability (AAL)	\$	11,378
Actuarial value of plan assets		2,154
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	<u>\$</u>	<u>9,224</u>
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL)		18.9%
Covered payroll (active plan members)	\$	4,048
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		227.9%

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions – Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefits costs between the employer and plan members to that point.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The annual required contribution for the year ended June 30, 2014 and the funding status of the plan was determined based on the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Actuarial assumptions include (a) investment return and discount rate of 7.25% with a 5 year smoothing with 20% corridor for the actuarial value of plan assets; (b) medical costs trend increases of 4%; (c) inflation rate of 3.0%; (d) payroll growth of 3.0%; and (e) 2009 CALPERS mortality for miscellaneous employees. The Successor Agency's initial and residual UAAL is being amortized as a level dollar amount over closed 30 years and open 24 years, respectively.

(10) SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

The Transportation Authority was created in 1989 by a vote of the San Francisco electorate. The vote approved Proposition B, which imposed a sales tax of one-half of one percent (0.5%), for a period not to exceed 20 years, to fund essential transportation projects. The types of projects to be funded with the proceeds from the sales tax are set forth in the San Francisco County Transportation Expenditure Plan (Plan), which was approved as part of Proposition B. The Transportation Authority was organized pursuant to Sections 131000 et seq. of the Public Utilities Code. Collection of the voter-approved sales tax began on April 1, 1990. On November 4, 2003, the San Francisco voters approved Proposition K with a 74.7% affirmative vote, amending the City Business and Tax Code to extend the county-wide one-half of one percent sales tax, and to replace the 1989 Proposition B Plan with a new 30-year Expenditure Plan. The new Expenditure Plan includes investments in four major categories: 1) Transit; 2) Streets and Traffic Safety (including street resurfacing, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements); 3) Paratransit services for seniors and disabled people; and 4) Transportation System Management/Strategic Initiatives (including funds for neighborhood parking management, transportation/land use coordination, and travel demand management efforts). Major capital projects to be funded by the Proposition K Expenditure Plan include: A) development of the Bus Rapid Transit and MTA Metro Network; B) construction of the MUNI Central Subway (Third Street Light Rail Project–Phase 2); C) construction of the Caltrain Downtown Extension to a rebuilt Transbay Terminal; and D) South Approach to the Golden Gate Bridge: Doyle Drive Replacement Project (re-envisioned as the Presidio Parkway). After 20 years of the effective date of the adoption of the Proposition K Expenditure Plan, the Transportation Authority may modify the Expenditure Plan with voter approval. Pursuant to

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

the provisions of Division 12.5 of the California Public Utilities Code, the Transportation Authority Board may adopt an updated Expenditure Plan any time after 20 years from the effective date of adoption of the Proposition K Expenditure Plan but no later than the last general election in which the Proposition K Expenditure Plan is in effect. The Sales Tax would continue as long as a new or modified plan is in effect. Under Proposition K legislation, the Transportation Authority directs the use of the Sales Tax and may spend up to \$485.2 million per year and may issue up to \$1.88 billion in bonds secured by the Sales Tax. In addition to the sales tax program, the Transportation Authority also administers the following programs:

Congestion Management Agency Programs. On November 6, 1990, the Transportation Authority was designated under State law as the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for the City. Responsibilities resulting from this designation include developing a Congestion Management Program, which provides evidence of the integration of land use, transportation programming and air quality goals; preparing a long-range countywide transportation plan to guide the City's future transportation investment decisions; monitoring and measuring traffic congestion levels in the City; measuring the performance of all modes of transportation; and developing a computerized travel demand forecasting model and supporting databases. As the CMA, the Transportation Authority is responsible for establishing the City's priorities for state and federal transportation funds and works with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) to program those funds to San Francisco projects.

Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Program. On June 15, 2002, the Transportation Authority was designated to act as the overall program manager for the local guarantee (40%) share of transportation funds available through the TFCA program. Funds from this program, administered by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) come from a \$4 vehicle registration fee on automobiles registered in the Bay Area. Through this program, the Transportation Authority recommends projects that benefit air quality by reducing motor vehicle emissions.

Proposition AA (Prop AA) Administrator of County Vehicle Registration Fee Program. On November 2, 2010, San Francisco voters approved Prop AA with a 59.6% affirmative vote, authorizing the Transportation Authority to collect an additional \$10 annual vehicle registration fee on motor vehicles registered in San Francisco and to use the proceeds to fund transportation projects identified in the Expenditure Plan. Revenue collection began in May 2011. Prop AA revenues must be used to fund projects from the following three programmatic categories. The percentage allocation of revenues designated for each category over the 30-year Expenditure Plan period is shown in parenthesis for the following category name: 1) Street Repair and Reconstruction (50%); 2) Pedestrian Safety (25%); and 3) Transit Reliability & Mobility Improvements (25%). In December 2012, the Transportation Authority Board approved the first Prop AA Strategic Plan, including the specific projects that could be funded within the first five years (i.e., Fiscal Years 2012-13 to 2016-17). The Prop AA program is a pay-as-you-go program. The Transportation Authority could use up to 5% of the funds for administrative costs.

(11) DETAILED INFORMATION FOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

(a) San Francisco International Airport

San Francisco International Airport (the Airport), which is owned and operated by the City, is the principal commercial service airport for the San Francisco Bay Area. A five-member Commission is responsible for the operation and management of the Airport. The Airport is located 14 miles south of downtown San Francisco in an unincorporated area of San Mateo County, between the Bayshore Freeway (U.S. Highway 101) and the San Francisco Bay. According to the 2013 North American Traffic Report from the Airports Council International (ACI), the Airport is the seventh busiest airport in the United States in terms of passengers and eighteenth in terms of cargo. The Airport is also a major origin and destination point and one of the nation's principal gateways for Pacific traffic.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Revenue Pledge – The Airport has pledged all of the Net Revenues (as defined in the bond resolutions) to repay the following obligations, in order of priority, (1) the San Francisco International Airport Second Series Revenue Bonds (Senior Bonds), (2) the Subordinate Commercial Paper Notes and any other obligations (Subordinate Bonds) and amounts due to reimburse drawings under the letters of credit securing the Commercial Paper Notes, (3) remaining amounts due to reimburse drawings under the letters of credit securing the Senior Bonds, and (4) interest rate swap termination payments.

During fiscal year 2014, the original principal amount of the Senior Bonds and Commercial Paper Notes issued, principal and interest remaining due on outstanding Senior Bonds and Commercial Paper Notes, principal and interest paid on such obligations, and applicable Net Revenues are as set forth in the table below. There are no unreimbursed drawings under any letter of credit or interest rate swap termination payments due.

Bonds issued with revenue pledge	\$ 461,125
Bond principal and interest remaining due at end of the fiscal year	6,491,433
Commercial paper issued with subordinate revenue pledge	249,350
Commercial paper principal and interest remaining due at end of the fiscal year ...	249,047
Net revenues	403,036
Bond principal and interest paid in the fiscal year	354,387
Commercial paper principal and interest paid in the fiscal year	5,858

Debt Service Requirement – Under the terms of the 1991 Master Bond Resolution, for a Series of Second Series Revenue Bonds to be secured by the Airport’s parity common account (the Issue 1 Reserve Account), the Airport is required to deposit, with the trustee, an amount equal to the maximum debt service accruing in any year during the life of all Second Series Revenue Bonds secured by the Issue 1 Reserve Account or substitute a credit facility meeting those requirements. Alternatively, the Airport may establish a separate reserve account with a different reserve requirement to secure an individual series of bonds. While revenue bonds are outstanding, the Airport may not create liens on its property essential to operations, may not dispose of any property essential to maintaining revenues or operating the Airport, and must maintain specified insurance.

Under the terms of the 1991 Master Bond Resolution, the Airport has covenanted that it will establish and at all times maintain rentals, rates, fees, and charges for the use of the Airport and for services rendered by the Airport so that:

- (a) Net revenues in each fiscal year will be at least sufficient (i) to make all required debt service payments and deposits in such fiscal year with respect to the bonds, any subordinate bonds, and any general obligation bonds issued by the City for the benefit of the Airport and (ii) to make the annual service payment to the City, and
- (b) Net revenues, together with any transfer from the Contingency Account to the Revenue Account (both held by the City Treasurer), in each fiscal year will be at least equal to 125% of aggregate annual debt service with respect to the bonds for such fiscal year.

The methods required by the 1991 Master Bond Resolution for calculating debt service coverage differs from GAAP used to determine amounts reported in the Airport’s financial statements.

Passenger Facility Charges – The Airport, as authorized by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) pursuant to the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (the Act), as amended, imposes a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) of \$4.50 for each enplaning passenger at the Airport. Under the Act, air carriers are responsible for the collection of PFC charges and are required to remit PFC revenues to the Airport in the following month after they are recorded by the air carrier. The Airport’s most recent application amendment of \$610.5 million was approved by the FAA in October 2013. The current authority to impose PFCs is estimated to end June 1, 2023. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the Airport reported approximately \$87.0 million of PFC revenue, which is included in other nonoperating revenues in the accompanying basic financial statements.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

Commitments and Contingencies – In addition to the long-term obligations discussed in Note 8, there were \$82.6 million of Special Facilities Lease Revenue Bonds outstanding as of June 30, 2014, which financed improvements to the Airport’s aviation fuel storage and delivery system that is leased to SFO Fuel Company LLC (SFO Fuel). SFO Fuel agreed to pay facilities rent to the Airport in an amount equal to debt service payments and required bond reserve account deposits on the bonds. The principal and interest on the bonds will be paid solely from the facilities rent payable by SFO Fuel to the Airport. The Airport assigned its right to receive the facilities rent to the bond trustee to pay and secure the payment of the bonds. Neither the Airport nor the City is obligated in any manner for the repayment of these obligations, and as such, they are not reported in the accompanying financial statements. Rent from Fuel System Lease with SFO Fuel is pledged until the maturity of the SFO Fuel bonds on January 1, 2027, unless additional bonds (including refunding bonds) with a later maturity are issued.

Purchase commitments for construction, material and services as of June 30, 2014 are as follows:

Construction	\$ 68,336
Operating	<u>8,907</u>
Total	<u>\$ 77,243</u>

Transactions with Other Funds – Pursuant to the Lease and Use Agreement between the Airport and most of the airlines operating at the Airport, the Airport makes an annual service payment, to the City’s General Fund, equal to 15% of concession revenue (net of certain adjustments), but not less than \$5.0 million per fiscal year, in order to compensate the City for all indirect services provided to the Airport. The annual service payment for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$38.0 million and was recorded as a transfer. In addition, the Airport compensates the City’s General Fund for the cost of certain direct services provided by the City to the Airport, including those provided by the Police Department, the Fire Department, the City Attorney, the City Treasurer, the City Controller, the City Purchasing Agent, and other City departments. The cost of direct services paid for by the Airport for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$131.3 million.

Business Concentrations - In addition to the Lease and Use Agreements with the airlines, the Airport leases facilities to other businesses to operate concessions at the Airport. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, revenues realized from the following Airport tenant exceeded five percent of the Airport’s total operating revenues:

United Airlines	23.6%
-----------------------	-------

(b) Port of San Francisco

A five-member Port Commission is responsible for the operation, development, and maintenance activities of the Port of San Francisco (Port). In February 1969, the Port was transferred in trust to the City under the terms and conditions of State legislation (“Burton Act”) ratified by the electorate of the City. Prior to 1969, the Port was operated by the State of California. The State retains the right to amend, modify or revoke the transfer of lands in trust provided that it assumes all lawful obligations related to such lands.

Pledged Revenues – The Port’s revenues, derived primarily from property rentals to commercial and industrial enterprises and from maritime operations, which include cargo, ship repair, fishing, harbor services, cruise and other maritime activities, are held in a separate enterprise fund and appropriated for expenditure pursuant to the budget and fiscal provisions of the City Charter, consistent with trust requirements. Under public trust doctrine, the Burton Act, and the transfer agreement between the City and the State, Port revenues may be spent only for uses and purposes of the public trust.

The Port pledged future net revenues to repay its Revenue Bonds. Annual principal and interest payments through 2044 are expected to require less than 17% of net pledged revenues as calculated in accordance with the bond indenture. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

bonds is \$103.9 million. The principal and interest payments made in 2014 were \$2.8 million and pledged revenues (total net revenues calculated in accordance with the bond indenture) for the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$25.3 million.

The Port has entered into a loan agreement with the California Department of Boating and Waterways for \$3.5 million to finance certain Hyde Street Harbor improvements. The loan is subordinate to all bonds payable by the Port and is secured by gross revenues as defined in the loan agreement. Total principal and interest remaining to be paid on this loan is \$3.5 million. Annual principal and interest payments were \$0.23 million in 2014 and pledged harbor revenues were \$0.15 million for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Pier 29 Fire – On June 20, 2012, a fire caused damage to the Pier 29 bulkhead and shed building. Required repair, replacement and certain improvement work, including code upgrades, is covered by insurance, after a deductible of \$0.5 million. Insurance proceeds totaling \$12.4 million have been received pursuant to preliminary claims filed by the Port through June 30, 2014. The Port is involved in discussions with its insurer as to additional insurance proceeds which the Port believes it should be entitled.

Commitments and Contingencies – The Port is presently planning various development and capital projects that involve a commitment to expend significant funds. As of June 30, 2014, the Port had purchase commitments for construction-related services, materials and supplies, and other services were \$17.0 million for capital projects and \$3.1 million for general operations.

The San Francisco Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond general obligation bond issued in 2012 included \$34.5 million and in 2008 \$33.5 million for funding allocated for parks and open space projects currently in progress on Port property. Under an agreement with the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), the Port is committed to fund and expend up to \$30 million over a 20-year period for pier removal, parks and plazas, and other public access improvements. As of June 30, 2014, \$48.5 million of Port funds have been appropriated and \$40.8 million has been expended for projects under the agreement. In addition to work directly funded by the Port, the deck and pilings that form the valley between Piers 15 and 17 and a portion on non-historic sheds were removed as part of the construction work completed by The Exploratorium project.

Related Party Transactions – The Port receives from, and provides services to, various City departments. In 2014, the \$15.6 million in services provided by other City departments included \$2.2 million of insurance premiums and \$0.6 million in workers' compensation expense.

South Beach Harbor Project Obligations – A portion of the Rincon Point South Beach Redevelopment Project Area is within the Port Area and the former Redevelopment Agency held leasehold interests to certain Port properties. The Port and the Successor Agency are in discussions concerning the transition, termination of Port agreements, and the transfer of operations, assets, and associated obligations, if any.

South Beach Harbor revenues are pledged to a 1986 revenue bond issue that pre-dates the Port's 2010 Revenue Bonds. South Beach Harbor project funds, including certain tax increments, are available to pay current debt service, but additional berthing rate increases are likely required to cover future debt service and to meet the required level of debt service coverage specified in the bond indenture. Under BCDC Permit Amendment No. 17 for the South Beach Harbor Project, certain public access and other improvements must be completed by December 31, 2017. Construction estimates prepared by a Port consultant in 2014 indicate that this uncompleted work would cost approximately \$7.9 million, including certain structural repairs, soft costs and recommended contingencies.

Pollution Remediation Obligations – The Port's financial statements include liabilities, established and adjusted periodically, based on new information, in accordance with applicable GAAP, for the estimated costs of compliance with environmental laws and regulations and remediation of known

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

contamination. As future development planning is undertaken, the Port evaluates its overall provisions for environmental liabilities in conjunction with the nature of future activities contemplated for each site and accrues a liability, if necessary. It is, therefore, reasonably possible that in future reporting periods current estimates of environmental liabilities could materially change.

Port lands are subject to environmental risk elements typical of sites with a mix of light industrial activities dominated by transportation, transportation-related and warehousing activities. Due to the historical placement of fill of varying quality, and widespread use of aboveground and underground tanks and pipelines containing and transporting fuel, elevated levels of petroleum hydrocarbons and lead are commonly found on Port properties. Consequently, any significant construction, excavation or other activity that disturbs soil or fill material may encounter hazardous materials and/or generate hazardous waste.

A 65-acre area commonly known as “Pier 70” has been used for over 150 years for iron and steel works, ship building and repair, and other heavy industrial operations. Much of the site was owned and/or occupied by the U.S. Navy or its contractors for at least 60 years. A long history of heavy industrial use has turned this area into a “brownfield” – an underutilized property area where reuse is hindered by actual or suspected contamination. Fifteen acres remain occupied by an on-going ship repair facility. Environmental conditions exist that require investigation and remediation prior to any rehabilitation or development for adaptive reuse. The lack of adequate information about environmental conditions has hindered previous development proposals for Pier 70.

Investigation work completed in 2011 reduced the uncertainty regarding the nature and extent of contamination, potential need for remediation, and costs associated with implementation of a risk management plan. In 2012, the Port completed a feasibility study to evaluate potential remedial actions, and developed a Remedial Action Plan (RAP), for implementing the recommended alternative. The RAP consists of capping site soils and establishing institutional controls to reduce or eliminate human health risks related to contamination to be managed on-site. The Port subsequently developed a Risk Management Plan, which establishes institutional controls (e.g. use restrictions, health and safety plans) and engineering controls (e.g. capping contaminated soil) to protect current and future users and prevent adverse impact to the environment. The Risk Management Plan specifies how future development, operation, and maintenance will implement the remedy, by covering existing site soil with buildings, streets, plazas, hardscape or new landscaping, thereby minimizing or eliminating exposure to contaminants in soil. The Regional Water Quality Control Board approved the Risk Management Plan in January 2014.

Previous investigation of the northeast shoreline of Pier 70, in an area for development as the future “Crane Cove Park”, found that near-shore sediment is contaminated with metals, petroleum hydrocarbons and PCBs at concentrations that pose a potential risk to human health or the environment, and will likely require removal or capping of sediment before development of the area for public access and recreation. The accrued cost for pollution remediation at Pier 70, including Crane Cove Park, is estimated at \$10.6 million at June 30, 2014.

Other environmental conditions on Port property include asbestos and lead paint removal and oil contamination. The Port may be required to perform certain clean-up work if it intends to develop or lease such property, or at such time as may be required by the City or State.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

A summary of environmental liabilities, included in noncurrent liabilities, at June 30 2014, is as follows:

	Environmental Remediation	Monitoring and Compliance	Total
Environmental liabilities at July 1, 2013	\$ 10,670	\$ 163	\$ 10,833
Current year claims and changes in estimates	(45)	37	(8)
Vendor payments	-	(71)	(71)
Environmental liabilities at June 30, 2014	<u>\$ 10,625</u>	<u>\$ 129</u>	<u>\$ 10,754</u>

(c) San Francisco Water Enterprise

The San Francisco Water Enterprise (Water Enterprise) was established in 1930. The Water Enterprise, which consists of a system of reservoirs, storage tanks, water treatment plants, pump stations, and pipelines, is engaged in the collection, transmission and distribution of water to the City and certain suburban areas. In fiscal year 2014, the Water Enterprise sold water, approximately 79,205 million gallons annually, to a total population of approximately 2.6 million people who reside primarily in four Bay Area counties (San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Alameda).

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (Commission), established in 1932, provides the operational oversight for the Water Enterprise, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power (Hetch Hetchy), and the San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise. Under Proposition E, the City's Charter Amendment approved by the voters in June 2008, the Mayor nominates candidates subject to qualification requirements to the Commission and the Board of Supervisors votes to approve the nominees by a majority (at least six members).

Pledged Revenues – The Water Enterprise has pledged future revenues to repay various bonds. Proceeds from the revenue bonds provided financing for various capital construction projects and to refund previously issued bonds. These bonds are payable solely from revenues of the Water Enterprise and are payable through fiscal year 2051.

The original amount of revenue bonds issued, total principal and interest remaining, principal and interest paid during 2014 and applicable revenues for 2014 are as follows:

Bonds issued with revenue pledge	\$ 4,457,970
Principal and interest remaining due at the end of the year	8,127,166
Principal and interest paid during the year	236,419
Net revenue for the year ended June 30	196,239
Funds available for revenue bond debt service	483,761

During fiscal year 2014, the wholesale revenue requirement, net of adjustments, charged to wholesale customers was \$177.6 million. Such amounts are subject to final review by wholesale customers, along with a trailing wholesale balancing account compliance audit of the wholesale revenue requirement calculation. As of June 30, 2014, the City owed the Wholesale Customers \$29.4 million under the Water Supply Agreement.

Commitments and Contingencies – As of June 30, 2014, the Water Enterprise had outstanding commitments with third parties of \$433.6 million for various capital projects and for materials and supplies.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Environmental Issue – As of June 30, 2014, the total pollution remediation liability was \$20.1 million, consisting of \$19.4 million for the excavation of contaminated soil that contained polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from a gun club site in the Lake Merced area and \$1.2 million for the 17th and Folsom site.

Transactions with Other Funds – The Water Enterprise purchases water from Hetch Hetchy Water and electricity from Hetch Hetchy Power at market rates. These amounts, totaling approximately \$33.3 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2014, are included in the operating expenses for services provided by other departments in the Water Enterprise's financial statements.

A variety of other City departments provide services such as engineering, purchasing, legal, data processing, telecommunications, and human resources to the Water Enterprise and charge amounts designed to recover those departments' costs. These charges total approximately \$12.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 and have been included in services provided by other departments.

(d) Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise

San Francisco Hetch Hetchy Water and Power was established as a result of the Raker Act of 1913, which granted water and power resources rights-of-way on the Tuolumne River in Yosemite National Park and Stanislaus National Forest to the City. Hetch Hetchy is a stand-alone enterprise comprised of two funds, Hetch Hetchy Power and Hetch Hetchy Water, a portion of the Water Enterprise's operations, specifically the up-country water supply and transmission service for the latter. Hetch Hetchy accounts for the activities of Hetch Hetchy Water and Power and is engaged in the collection and conveyance of approximately 85% of the City's water supply and in the generation and transmission of electricity from that resource.

Approximately 90% of the electricity generated by Hetch Hetchy Power is used to provide electric service to the City's municipal customers (including the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, Recreation and Parks Department, the Port of San Francisco, the San Francisco International Airport and its tenants, San Francisco General Hospital, street lights, Moscone Convention Center, and the Water and Wastewater Enterprises). The majority of the remaining 10 percent balance of electricity is sold to other utility districts, such as the Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts (the Districts). As a result of the 1913 Raker Act, energy produced above the City's Municipal Load is sold first to the Districts to cover their pumping and municipal load needs and any remaining energy is either sold to other municipalities and/or government agencies (not for resale) or deposited into an energy bank account under the City's agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). Hetch Hetchy consists of a system of reservoirs, hydroelectric power plants, aqueducts, pipelines, and transmission lines.

Hetch Hetchy also purchases wholesale electric power from various energy providers that are used in conjunction with owned hydro resources to meet the power requirements of its customers. Operations and business decisions can be greatly influenced by market conditions, State and Federal power matters before the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the California Independent System Operator (CAISO), and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Therefore, Hetch Hetchy serves as the City's representative at CPUC, CAISO, and FERC forums and continues to monitor regulatory proceedings.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Segment Information – Hetch Hetchy Power issued debt to finance its improvements. Both the Hetch Hetchy Water fund and the Hetch Hetchy Power fund are reported for in a single enterprise (i.e., Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise). However, investors in the debt rely solely on the revenue generated by the individual activities for repayment. Summary financial information for Hetch Hetchy is presented below:

Condensed Statements of Net Position	Hetch Hetchy Water	Hetch Hetchy Power	Total
Assets:			
Current assets.....	\$ 50,899	\$ 151,786	\$ 202,685
Receivables from other funds and component units.....	-	14,844	14,844
Noncurrent restricted cash and investments.....	5,888	5,174	11,062
Other noncurrent assets.....	8	2,349	2,357
Capital assets.....	95,178	267,319	362,497
Total assets.....	151,973	441,472	593,445
Liabilities:			
Current liabilities.....	5,633	16,896	22,529
Noncurrent liabilities.....	8,936	48,430	57,366
Total liabilities.....	14,569	65,326	79,895
Net position:			
Net investment in capital assets.....	95,186	241,016	336,202
Restricted for capital projects.....	5,507	-	5,507
Unrestricted.....	36,711	135,130	171,841
Total net position.....	\$ 137,404	\$ 376,146	\$ 513,550

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Condensed Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	Hetch Hetchy		Total
	Water	Power	
Operating revenues.....	\$ 35,622	\$ 98,816	\$ 134,438
Depreciation expense.....	(4,186)	(11,128)	(15,314)
Other operating expenses.....	(30,839)	(89,912)	(120,751)
Net operating income	597	(2,224)	(1,627)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):			
Federal grants.....	20	136	156
Interest and investment income (loss).....	487	1,289	1,776
Interest expense.....	-	(1,574)	(1,574)
Other nonoperating revenues (expenses)	(50)	3,205	3,155
Transfers in (out), net.....	166	164	330
Extraordinary item - Rim Fire (Loss).....	(2,709)	(4,134)	(6,843)
Change in net position.....	(1,489)	(3,138)	(4,627)
Net position at beginning of year, as restated.....	138,893	379,284	518,177
Net position at end of year.....	<u>\$ 137,404</u>	<u>\$ 376,146</u>	<u>\$ 513,550</u>

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	Hetch Hetchy		Total
	Water	Power	
Net cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities.....	\$ 5,227	\$ 12,285	\$ 17,512
Noncapital financing activities.....	20	240	260
Capital and related financing activities.....	(8,222)	(24,516)	(32,738)
Investing activities.....	295	973	1,268
Change in net position.....	(2,680)	(11,018)	(13,698)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year.....	58,493	154,493	212,986
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year.....	<u>\$ 55,813</u>	<u>\$ 143,475</u>	<u>\$ 199,288</u>

Pledged Revenues – Hetch Hetchy Power has pledged future power revenues to repay bonds, issued since fiscal year 2009. Proceeds from the bonds provided financing for various capital construction projects. These bonds are payable solely from net power revenues of Hetch Hetchy Power and are payable through the year ending 2028.

The original amount of revenue bonds issued, total principal and interest remaining, principal and interest paid, during 2014, and applicable revenues for 2014 are as follows:

Bonds issued with revenue pledge	\$ 21,216
Principal and interest remaining due at the end of the year	21,866
Principal and interest paid during the year	1,975
Funds available for revenue bond debt service	16,453

Commitments and Contingencies – As of June 30, 2014, Hetch Hetchy Water and Power had outstanding commitments with third parties of \$23.2 million for various capital projects and other purchase agreements for materials and services.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Hetch Hetchy Water

To meet certain requirements of the Don Pedro Reservoir operating license, the City entered into an agreement with the Modesto Irrigation District (MID) and Turlock Irrigation District (TID) in which they would be responsible for an increase in water flow releases from the reservoir in exchange for annual payments from the City. Total payments were \$4.5 million in fiscal year 2014. The payments are to be made for the duration of the license, but may be terminated with one year's prior written notice after 2001. The City and the Districts have also agreed to monitor the fisheries, in the lower Tuolumne River, for the duration of the license. A maximum monitoring expense of \$1.4 million is to be shared between the City and the Districts over the term of the license. The City's share of the monitoring costs is 52% and the Districts are responsible for 48% of the costs.

Hetch Hetchy Power

In April 1988, Hetchy Power entered into two separate long-term power sales agreements (the Agreement) with MID and TID. Both Agreements expire on June 30, 2015. The Agreement with MID has been amended, effective January 1, 2008, removing Hetchy Power's obligation to provide firm power and eliminated MID's rights to excess energy from the project. In April 2005, Hetchy Power amended the terms of the Agreement with TID, terminating Hetchy Power's obligation to provide TID firm power, and retaining TID's rights to excess energy from the project through the term of the Agreement.

The SFPUC will continue to comply with the Raker Act by making Hetch Hetchy generated hydropower available at cost to MID and TID for their agricultural pumping and municipal loads as energy from the Hetch Hetchy project is available after meeting the SFPUC's municipal load obligations. For fiscal years 2014, energy sales to the Districts totaled 103,489 Megawatt hours (MWh) or \$3,463. The decrease was a result of drought condition in California.

In 1987 the City entered into an interconnection agreement with PG&E to provide transmission, supplemental energy services and distribution services on PG&E's system to deliver power to the City's customers. The agreement was renegotiated in 2007 and will expire on July 1, 2015. During fiscal year 2014, Hetchy Power purchased \$13,834 of transmission, distribution services, and other support services from PG&E under the terms of the agreement.

The Interconnection Agreement with PG&E also contains a contractual provision allowing Hetch Hetchy to bank Hetchy Power produced in excess of its load obligations, with a maximum of 110,000 MWh. During fiscal year 2014, Hetchy Power generated 1,021,667 MWh of power, banked (deposited) in the Deferred Delivery Account (DDA) 105,184 MWh and used (withdrew) 122,280 MWh. At June 30, 2014, the balance in the bank was 78,502 MWh or \$2,821.

Hetch Hetchy is exposed to risks that could negatively impact its ability to generate net revenues to fund operating and capital investment activities. Hydroelectric generation facilities in the Sierra Nevada are the primary source of electricity for Hetch Hetchy. For this reason, the financial results of Hetch Hetchy are sensitive to variability in watershed hydrology and market prices for energy.

Transactions with Other Funds –The Water Enterprise purchases water from Hetch Hetchy Water and power from Hetch Hetchy Power. Included in the operating revenues are the water assessment fees totaling \$33.3 million and purchased electricity for \$8.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. In addition, the Wastewater Enterprise purchases power from Hetch Hetchy Power totaling \$9.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2014.

A variety of other City departments provide services such as engineering, purchasing, legal, data processing, telecommunications, and human resources to Hetch Hetchy Water and Power and charge amounts designed to recover those departments' costs. These charges total approximately \$6.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 and have been included in services provided by other departments.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

(e) San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) is governed by the SFMTA Board of Directors. The SFMTA includes the entire City's surface transportation network that encompasses pedestrians, bicycling, transit (Muni), traffic and parking, regulation of the taxi industry, and three nonprofit parking garage corporations operated by separate nonprofit corporations, whose operations are interrelated. All significant inter-entity transactions have been eliminated.

The SFMTA was established by voter approval of the addition of Article VIIIA to the Charter of the City (the "Charter") in 1999 (Proposition E). The purpose of the Charter amendment was to consolidate all transportation functions within a single City department, and to provide the Transportation System with the resources, independence and focus necessary to improve transit service and the City's transportation system. The voters approved an additional Charter amendment in 2007 (Proposition A), which increased the autonomy of and revenues to the SFMTA, and another Charter amendment in 2010 (Proposition G), which increased management flexibility related to labor contracts. Muni is one of America's oldest public transit agencies, the largest in the Bay Area and seventh largest system in the United States. It currently carries more than 223 million boardings annually. Operating historic streetcars, modern light rail vehicles, diesel buses, alternative fuel vehicles, electric trolley coaches, and the world famous cable cars, Muni's fleet is among the most diverse in the world.

The SFMTA's Sustainable Streets initiates and coordinates improvements to City's streets, transit, bicycles, pedestrians and parking infrastructure. It manages 19 City-owned garages and 19 metered parking lots. Of the five nonprofit parking garages, three corporations provide operational oversight of four garages. Two garage corporations, Ellis O'Farrell Parking Corporation and Downtown Parking Corporation were dissolved in January 2013, and all operations and financial reporting of these two garages have been transferred to Sustainable Streets.

In March 2009, the former Taxi Commission was merged with the SFMTA, which then has assumed responsibility for taxi regulation to advance industry reforms.

Pledged Revenue – In 2007, San Francisco voters approved Proposition A, which authorized the SFMTA to issue revenue bonds and other forms of indebtedness without further voter approval but with approval by the SFMTA Board of Directors and concurrence by the Board of Supervisors. The SFMTA has pledged future revenues to repay various bonds. Proceeds from the revenue bonds provided financing for various capital construction projects and to refund previously issued bonds. These bonds are payable from all SFMTA revenues except for City General Fund allocations and restricted sources and are payable through the fiscal year 2042.

Annual principal and interest payments for fiscal year 2014 was 7.2% of funds available for revenue bond debt service. The original amount of revenue bonds issued, total principal and interest remaining, principal and interest paid during 2014 and applicable revenues for 2014 are as follows:

Bonds issued with revenue pledge	\$ 139,235
Principal and interest remaining due at the end of the year	209,454
Principal and interest paid during the year	9,582
Net revenue for the year	123,271
Fund available for revenue bond debt service	132,853

Operating and Capital Grants and Subsidies – The City's Annual Appropriation Ordinance provides funds to subsidize the operating deficits of SFMTA and Sustainable Streets as determined by the City's budgetary accounting procedures and subject to the appropriation process. The amount of General Fund subsidy to the SFMTA was \$310.9 million in fiscal year 2014. The General Fund subsidy includes

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

a total revenue baseline transfer of \$243.9 million, as required by the City Charter, \$66.8 million from an allocation of the City's parking tax, and \$0.2 million from district allocation projects.

The SFMTA receives capital grants from various federal, state, and local agencies to finance transit-related property and equipment purchases. As of June 30, 2014, SFMTA had approved capital grants with unused balances amounting to \$750.2 million. Capital grants receivable as of June 30, 2014 totaled \$152.1 million.

The SFMTA also receives operating assistance from various federal, state, and local sources, including Transit Development Act funds, diesel fuel, and sales tax allocations. As of June 30, 2014, the SFMTA had various operating grants receivable of \$28.2 million. In fiscal year 2014, the SFMTA's operating assistance from BART's Americans with Disability Act (ADA) related support of \$1.2 million and other federal, state and local grants of \$7.5 million to fund project expenses that are operating in nature.

Proposition 1B is a ten-year \$20 billion transportation infrastructure bond that was approved by state voters in November 2006. The bond measure was composed of several funding programs including the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account program (PTMISEA) that is funding solely for public transit projects. The SFMTA received cash totaling \$7.1 million in fiscal year 2014 for different projects. Proposition 1B funds do not require matching funds. The original legislation required funds to be obligated within three years of the date awarded. SB87 extended the date to June 30, 2016 for funds awarded between fiscal years 2008 and 2010. The eligibility requirements for the PTMISEA program include rehabilitation of infrastructure, procurement of equipment and rolling stock, and investment in expansion projects. During fiscal year 2014, \$74.9 million in drawdowns were made from the funds for various eligible projects costs.

Commitments and Contingencies – The SFMTA has outstanding contract commitments of approximately \$283.6 million with third parties, for various capital projects. Grant funding is available for a majority of this amount. The SFMTA also has outstanding commitments of approximately \$66.0 million with third parties for non-capital expenditures. Various local funding sources are used to finance these expenditures. The SFMTA is also committed to numerous capital projects for which it anticipates that federal and state grants will be the primary source of funding.

Leveraged Lease-Leaseback of BRED A Vehicles – Tranches 1 and 2

In April 2002 and in September 2003, following the approval of the Federal Transit Administration, SFMTA Board of Directors, and the City's Board of Supervisors, Muni entered into separate leveraged lease leaseback transactions for over 118 and 21 Breda light rail vehicles (the Tranche 1 and Tranche 2 Equipment, respectively, and collectively, the "Equipment"). Each transaction, also referred to as a "sale in lease out" or "SILO", was structured as a head lease of the Equipment to a special purpose trust and a sublease of the Equipment back from such trust. Under the respective sublease, Muni may exercise an option to purchase the Tranche 1 Equipment on specified dates between November 2026 through January 2030 and Tranche 2 Equipment in January 2030, in each case, following the scheduled sublease expiration dates. During the terms of the subleases, Muni maintains custody of the Equipment and is obligated to insure and maintain the Equipment.

Muni received an aggregate of \$388.2 million and \$72.6 million, respectively in 2002 and 2003, from the equity investors in full prepayment of the head leases. Muni deposited a portion of the prepaid head lease payments into separate escrows that were invested in U.S. agency securities with maturities that correspond to the purchase option dates for the Equipment as specified in each sublease. Muni also deposited a portion of the head lease payments with a debt payment undertaker whose repayment obligations are guaranteed by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (AGM) as successor to Financial Security Assurance (FSA), a bond insurance company, that was rated "AAA" by Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and "Aaa" by Moody's Investor Services ("Moody's") at the time the Tranche 1 and Tranche 2 Equipment transactions were entered into. Although these escrows do not represent a legal defeasance of Muni's obligations under the subleases, management believes that these transactions are structured in such a way that it is not probable that Muni will need to access other monies to make sublease

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

payments. Therefore, the assets and the sublease obligations are not recorded on the financial statements of the SFMTA as of June 30, 2014.

On March 17, 2014, Muni executed, with one of the equity investors, termination agreements (the "Termination Agreements") that (a) constituted the exercise of Muni's purchase option with respect to 30 items of Tranche 1 Equipment having an initial transaction value of \$99.3 million, (b) amended the purchase option dates to the date of the Termination Agreements, and (c) amended the purchase option price to an amount that was funded in full from the sale proceeds of the U.S. Agency securities held in the applicable escrow accounts.

The terms of the SILO documents require Muni to replace AGM, as successor to FSA, if its ratings are downgraded below BBB+ by S&P or "Baa1" by Moody's. AGM's current ratings of "AA" from S&P and "A2" from Moody's satisfy this requirement. In addition, AGM, as successor to FSA, provides a surety policy with respect to each Equipment transaction to guarantee potential payments in the event such transaction were terminated in whole or in part prior to such sublease expiration date. The terms of the Equipment transaction documents require Muni to replace AGM, as surety provider, if its ratings are downgraded below "AA-" by S&P or "Aa3" by Moody's. On January 17, 2013, Moody's downgraded AGM's rating to A2. Failure of Muni to replace AGM following a downgrade by either Moody's or S&P to below the applicable rating threshold within a specified period of time following demand by an equity investor could allow such equity investor, in effect, to issue a default notice to Muni. Because replacement of AGM in either of its roles as debt payment undertaker guarantor or surety may not be practicable, Muni could become liable to pay termination costs as provided in certain schedules of the Equipment transaction documents. These early termination costs are in the nature of liquidated damages. The scheduled termination costs as of June 30, 2014 after giving effect to the market value of the securities in the escrow accounts, would approximate \$62.4 million. The scheduled termination costs increase over the next several years. As of June 30, 2014, no investor has demanded Muni to replace AGM as the surety provider.

As a result of the cash transactions above, Muni recorded \$35.5 million and \$4.4 million in fiscal year 2002 and 2003 respectively, for the difference between the amounts received of \$388.2 million and \$72.6 million, and the amounts paid to the escrows and the debt payment undertaker of \$352.7 million and \$67.5 million, respectively. These amounts are classified as deferred inflows of resources and will be amortized over the life of the sublease unless the purchase option is executed. As mentioned above SFMTA exercised the purchase option with respect to 30 items of Tranche 1 Equipment on March 17, 2014. Revenue of \$5.4 million was recognized for the remaining unamortized deferred inflows of resources for these items in fiscal year 2014. The deferred inflows of resources amortized amounts were \$1.0 million and \$0.2 million for remaining 88 items of Tranche 1 Equipment and 21 items of Tranche 2 Equipment in fiscal year 2014, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014, no outstanding payments remain on the sublease through the end of the sublease term. Payments to be made on the purchase options, if exercised, would be \$441.4 million for the remaining Tranche 1 Equipment and \$154.2 million for the Tranche 2 Equipment. These payments are to be funded from the amounts in escrow and by the payment undertaker. If Muni does not exercise the purchase option, Muni would be required to either: 1) pay service and maintenance costs related to the continued operation and use of the vehicles beyond the term of the sublease; or 2) arrange for another party to be the "service recipient," under a "service contract," and to perhaps guarantee the obligations of that party under the service contract if the replacement service recipient does not meet specified credit or net worth criteria.

(f) Laguna Honda Hospital

General Fund Subsidy - The Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH) is a skilled nursing facility which specializes in serving elderly and disabled residents. The operations of LHH are subsidized by the City's General Fund. It is the City's policy to fund operating deficits of the enterprise on a budgetary basis; however, the amount of operating subsidy provided is limited to the amount budgeted by the

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

City. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year, unless otherwise approved by the Board of Supervisors. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the subsidy for LHH was \$36.9 million.

Net Patient Services Revenue - Net patient services revenues are recorded at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered, including a provision for doubtful accounts and estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with federal and state government programs and other third-party payors. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods, as final settlements are determined. Patient accounts receivable are recorded net of estimated allowances, which include allowances for contractals and bad debt. These allowances are based on current payment rates, including per diems, Diagnosis-Related Group (DRG) reimbursement amounts and payment received as a percentage of gross charges.

Third-Party Payor Agreements - LHH has agreements with third-party payors that provide for reimbursement to LHH at amounts different from its established rates. Contractual adjustments under third-party reimbursement programs represent the difference between the hospital's established rate for services and amounts reimbursed by third-party payors. Medicare and Medi-Cal are the major third-party payors with whom such agreements have been established. Laws and regulations governing the Medicare and Medi-Cal programs are complex and subject to interpretation. LHH believes that it is in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and is not aware of any pending or threatened investigations involving allegations of potential wrongdoing. While no such regulatory inquiries have been made, compliance with such laws and regulations can be subject to future government review and interpretation as well as significant regulatory action including fines, penalties and exclusion from the Medicare and Medi-Cal programs.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, LHH's patient receivables and charges for services were as follows:

Patient Receivables, net				
	Medi-Cal	Medicare	Other	Total
Gross Accounts Receivable	\$ 54,247	\$ 3,644	\$ 1,929	\$ 59,820
Less:				
Provision for Contractual Allowances	(33,523)	(2,252)	(1,192)	(36,967)
Total, net	<u>\$ 20,724</u>	<u>\$ 1,392</u>	<u>\$ 737</u>	<u>\$ 22,853</u>

Net Patient Service Revenue				
	Medi-Cal	Medicare	Other	Total
Gross Revenue	\$ 314,576	\$ 18,884	\$ 9,996	\$ 343,456
Less:				
Provision for Contractual Allowances	(163,576)	(12,889)	(8,482)	(184,947)
Total, net	<u>\$ 151,000</u>	<u>\$ 5,995</u>	<u>\$ 1,514</u>	<u>\$ 158,509</u>

Because Medi-Cal reimbursement rates are less than LHH's established charges rates, LHH is eligible to receive supplemental federal funding. For the year ended June 30, 2014, LHH accrued and recognized \$41 million of revenue as a result of matching federal funds to local funds.

Unearned Credits and Other Liabilities - As of June 30, 2014, LHH recorded approximately \$51.1 million in other liabilities for third-party payor settlements payable.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Commitments and Contingencies – As of June 30, 2014, LHH has entered into various purchase contracts totaling approximately \$4.9 million that are related to the old building remodel phase of the Replacement Project.

(g) San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center

General Fund Subsidy - San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center (SFGH) is an acute care hospital. The operations of SFGH are subsidized by the City's General Fund. It is the City's policy to fully fund enterprise operations on a budgetary basis; however, the amount of operating subsidy provided is limited to the amount budgeted by the City. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year, unless otherwise approved by the Board of Supervisors. For the year ended June 30, 2014, the subsidy for SFGH was \$121.4 million.

Net Patient Services Revenue - Net patient services revenues are recorded at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered, including a provision for doubtful accounts and estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with federal and state government programs and other third-party payors. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods, as final settlements are determined.

Patient accounts receivable are recorded net of estimated allowances, which include allowances for contractals, bad debt, and administrative write-offs. These allowances are based on current payment rates, including per diems, DRG amounts and payment received as a percentage of gross charges.

Third Party Payor Agreements - SFGH has agreements with third-party payors that provide for reimbursement to SFGH at amounts different from its established rates. Contractual adjustments under third-party reimbursement programs represent the difference between SFGH's established rates and amounts reimbursed by third-party payors. Major third-party payors with whom such agreements have been established are Medicare, Medi-Cal, and the State of California through the Medi-Cal Hospital/Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver and Short-Doyle mental health programs. Laws and regulations governing the Medicare and Medi-Cal programs are complex and subject to interpretation. SFGH believes that it is in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and is not aware of any pending or threatened investigation involving allegations of potential wrongdoing. While no such regulatory inquiries have been made, compliance with such laws and regulations can be subject to future government review and interpretation as well as significant regulatory action including fines, penalties and exclusion from the Medicare and Medi-Cal programs.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, SFGH's patient receivables and charges for services were as follows:

	Patient Receivables, net			
	Medi-Cal	Medicare	Other	Total
Gross Accounts Receivable	\$ 200,093	\$ 115,961	\$ 120,186	\$ 436,240
Less:				
Provision for Contractual Allowances	(178,807)	(102,622)	(58,646)	(340,075)
Provision for Bad Debts	-	-	(32,492)	(32,492)
Total, net	<u>\$ 21,286</u>	<u>\$ 13,339</u>	<u>\$ 29,048</u>	<u>\$ 63,673</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Net Patient Service Revenue				
	Medi-Cal	Medicare	Other	Total
Gross Revenue	\$ 781,296	\$ 513,455	\$ 1,575,919	\$ 2,870,670
Less:				
Provision for Contractual Allowances	(672,468)	(416,444)	(917,173)	(2,006,085)
Provision for Bad Debt	-	-	(79,333)	(79,333)
Total, net	<u>\$ 108,828</u>	<u>\$ 97,011</u>	<u>\$ 579,413</u>	<u>\$ 785,252</u>

California's Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver (Waiver), titled the "Bridge to Health Care Reform" began in November 2010. The Waiver is intended to help sustain the state's Medicaid Program (known as Medi-Cal), test new innovations to help improve care and reduce costs, and to support the safety net in advance of health reform.

Under the Waiver, payments for public hospitals are comprised of: 1) fee-for-service cost-based reimbursements for inpatient hospital services; 2) Disproportionate Share Hospital payments; 3) distribution from a pool of federal funding for uninsured care, known as the Safety Net Care Pool (SNCP); 4) Delivery System Reform Incentive Program (DSRIP); and 5) the Low Income Health Program. The non-federal share of these payments will be provided by the public hospitals, primarily through certified public expenditures, whereby the hospital would expend its local funding for services to draw down the federal financial participation. Revenues recognized under the Waiver approximated \$278.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2014.

The DSRIP is a pay-for-performance initiative that challenges public hospital systems to meet specific benchmarks related to improving health care access, quality and safety and outcomes.

The Low income Health Program (LIHP) is a coverage program for low-income uninsured adults that was included as part of California's Section 1115 Medicaid Waiver. The program builds off and expands the previous Health Care Coverage Initiative (HCCI). Revenues recognized under the LIHP approximated \$18.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. The LIHP covers a subset of the Healthy San Francisco population, primarily those individuals at or below 200% of the federal poverty level and who meet citizenship requirements as further discussed in the Healthy San Francisco Program section below. The LIHP program ended on December 31, 2013 when the participants transitioned automatically to the Medi-Cal Program or purchased health insurance through California's health benefit exchange (Covered California).

In addition, SFGH was reimbursed by the State of California, under the Short-Doyle Program, for mental health services provided to qualifying residents based on an established rate per unit of service not to exceed an annual negotiated contract amount. During the year ended June 30, 2014, reimbursement under the Short-Doyle Program amounted to approximately \$5.4 million and is included in net patient service revenue.

Unearned Credits and Other Liabilities - As of June 30, 2014, SFGH recorded approximately \$112.9 million in unearned credits and other liabilities, which was comprised of \$78.1 million in unearned credits related to receipts under Safety Net Care Pool and AB915 programs, and \$34.8 million in Third Party Settlements payable.

Charity Care - SFGH provides care without charge or at amounts less than its established rates to patients who meet certain criteria under its charity care policy. Charges foregone based on established rates were \$401.0 million and estimated costs and expenses to provide charity care were \$115.3 million in fiscal year 2014.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Other Revenues - The State of California provides support to SFGH through a realignment of funding provided from vehicle license fees and sales tax allocated to California's counties. SFGH recognized \$44.3 million as other operating revenue for the year ended June 30, 2014, for realignment funding.

With California electing to implement a state-run Medicaid Expansion afforded by the Affordable Care Act, the State anticipates that counties' costs and responsibilities for the health care services for the indigent population will decrease as much of the population becomes eligible for coverage through Medi-Cal or Covered California. Starting July 1, 2013, there is a mechanism that provides for the State to redirect health realignment funds to fund social service programs. The redirected amount will be determined according to a formula that takes into account a county's cost and revenue experience and redirects 80% (70% for fiscal year 2013-2014) of the savings realized by the county. The State predetermined an amount of health realignment to be redirected in fiscal year 2013-2014 of \$16.7 million for the City and County of San Francisco. SFGH recognized \$9.7 million of this amount. A reconciliation using actual experience for fiscal year 2013-2014 will be concluded within two years after June 30, 2014.

Contracts with the University of California San Francisco – The City contracts on a year-to-year basis on behalf of SFGH with the University of California (UC). Under the contract, SFGH serves as a teaching facility for UC professional staff, medical students, residents, and interns who, in return, provide medical and surgical specialty services to SFGH's patients. The total amount for services rendered under the contract for the year ended June 30, 2014, was approximately \$149.8 million.

SFGH Rebuild – In 1994, California passed Senate Bill 1953, mandating that all California acute care hospitals meet new seismic safety standards by 2008 (subsequent legislation has extended the final date to January 1, 2020). In January 2001, the San Francisco Health Commission approved a resolution to support a rebuild effort for the hospitals, and the Department of Public Health conducted a series of planning meetings to review its options. It became evident that rebuilding rather than retrofitting was required, and that rebuilding SFGH presented a unique opportunity for the Department of Public Health to make system-wide as well as structural improvements in its delivery of care for patients.

In October 2005, The San Francisco Health Commission accepted the Mayor's Blue Ribbon Committee recommendation to rebuild the hospital at its current Potrero Avenue location. A site feasibility study was concluded in September 2006 and showed a compliant hospital can be built on the west lawn without demolishing the historic buildings or other buildings. An institutional master plan, a hazardous materials assessment, a geotechnical analysis and rebuild space program have all been completed in the fiscal year 2007. Schematic design of the new building was completed and the project cost was estimated at \$887.4 million.

The majority of the funding would be through issuance of bonds. In November 2008, San Francisco voters approved Proposition A, a ballot measure that authorized the City to issue general obligation bonds for the rebuild of the hospital. As of June 30, 2014, General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$887.4 million have been sold to fund the hospital rebuild. The General Obligation Bonds are accounted for as governmental activity and transactions are accounted for in the City's Governmental Capital Projects Funds. Upon completion of the new facility, it will be contributed to the SFGH enterprise fund.

Healthy San Francisco Program – In July 2007, the City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Health implemented Healthy San Francisco (HSF). HSF is a program to provide health care for the uninsured residents using a medical home model, with an emphasis on wellness and preventive care. Uninsured San Francisco residents between the ages of 18-64 with incomes at or below 500% of the federal poverty level (FPL) are eligible for the HSF. Participants with household income above 100% FPL pay a quarterly fee based on their income. As of June 30, 2014, 31,965 uninsured adult residents were enrolled in HSF. Enrollment in HSF dropped in the past year due to participants moving to new health insurance options due to the federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA). These options included expanded Medi-Cal and California's health insurance exchange, Covered California.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Effective July 1, 2011, over 10,000 HSF participants were transitioned to a new program called San Francisco Provides Access to Healthcare (SF PATH). SF PATH was a federally-supported health access program that provided affordable health care services for some low income people living in San Francisco. The program was created in preparation for the implementation of federal health reform. On January 1, 2014, enrolled participants transitioned automatically to Medi-Cal or had the opportunity to purchase health insurance through Covered California. The Department was informed by the State Department of Health Care Services that 13,680 individuals had transitioned to Medi-Cal effective January 1, 2014. Another 756 enrollees appeared to be eligible to purchase health insurance through Covered California. Healthy San Francisco will still be needed for those San Francisco residents who do not qualify for new health insurance options under the ACA and will continue to enroll participants.

Commitments and Contingencies – As of June 30, 2014, SFGH had outstanding commitments with third parties for capital projects totaling \$4.7 million.

(h) San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise

The San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise (Wastewater Enterprise) was established in 1977, following the transfer of all sewage-system-related assets and liabilities of the City to the Wastewater Enterprise pursuant to bond resolution, to account for the City's municipal sewage treatment and disposal system.

The Wastewater Enterprise collects, transmits, treats, and discharges sanitary and stormwater flows, generated within the City, for the protection of public health and environmental safety. In addition, the Wastewater Enterprise serves, on a contractual basis, certain municipal customers located outside of the City limits, including the North San Mateo County Sanitation District No. 3, Bayshore Sanitary District, and the City of Brisbane. The Wastewater Enterprise recovers, cost of service, through user fees based on the volume and strength of sanitary flow. The Wastewater Enterprise serves approximately 147,373 residential accounts, which discharge about 18.2 million units of sanitary flow per year (measured in hundreds of cubic feet, or ccf) and approximately 16,164 non-residential accounts, which discharge about 8.6 million units of sanitary flow per year.

Pledged Revenues – Wastewater Enterprise's revenues, which consist mainly of sewer service charges, are pledged for the payment of principal and interest on various revenue bonds. Proceeds, from the bonds, provided financing for various capital construction projects and to refund previously issued bonds. These bonds are payable solely from net revenues of Wastewater Enterprise and are payable through fiscal year ending 2043.

The original amount of revenue bonds issued, total principal and interest remaining, principal and interest paid during fiscal year 2014, applicable net revenues, and funds available for bond debt service are as follows:

Bonds issued with revenue pledge	\$ 731,745
Principal and interest remaining due at the end of the year	1,271,023
Principal and interest paid during the year	64,852
Net revenues for the year	109,181
Funds available for bond debt service	218,988

Commitments and Contingencies – As of June 30, 2014, Wastewater Enterprise had outstanding commitments, with third parties, for capital projects and for materials and services totaling \$116.3 million.

Pollution Remediation Obligations – The City and the Wastewater Enterprise have been listed as potentially responsible parties in the clean-up effort of Yosemite Creek. Yosemite Creek has been identified as having toxic sediments, primarily polychlorinated biphenyls, in the drainage areas to the creek; contaminated flows emanating from a local industrial discharger as the likely responsible source of the contamination. The pollution remediation obligation reported in the accompanying statements of

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

net position is based on estimated contractual costs. The liability balance remained at \$571 as of June 30, 2014.

Transactions with Other Funds –The Wastewater Enterprise purchases power from Hetch Hetchy Power totaling \$9.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. A variety of other City departments provide services such as engineering, purchasing, legal, data processing, telecommunications, and human resources to the Wastewater Enterprise and charge amounts designed to recover those departments' costs. These charges total approximately \$26.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 and have been included in services provided by other departments.

(i) San Francisco Market Corporation

The City of San Francisco Market Corporation (Corporation) was a non-profit corporation organized to acquire, construct, finance, and operate a produce market. The information about this non-profit corporation was presented in the financial statements of the proprietary funds as a nonmajor fund.

During the year, the City of San Francisco Market Corporation transferred operations of the San Francisco Wholesale Produce Market (SFWPM) to a different corporation created in 2012 by existing SFWPM stakeholders separate from the City. As such, the Corporation transferred all of its assets to the San Francisco Market Corporation, its successor corporation.

(12) SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present the Successor Agency and its component unit, an entity for which the Successor Agency is considered to be financially accountable. The City and County of San Francisco Redevelopment Financing Authority (Financing Authority) is a joint powers authority formed between the former Agency and the City to facilitate the long-term financing of the former Agency activities. The Financing Authority is included as a blended component unit in the Successor Agency's financial statements because the Financing Authority provides services entirely to the Successor Agency.

Pursuant to the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, funds that would have been distributed to the former Agency as tax increment, hereafter referred to as redevelopment property tax revenues, are deposited into the Successor Agency's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Trust Fund) administered by the City's Controller for the benefit of holders of the former Agency's enforceable obligations and the taxing entities that receive pass-through payments. Any remaining funds in the Trust Fund, plus any unencumbered redevelopment cash and funds from asset sales are distributed by the City to the local agencies in the project area unless needed to pay enforceable obligations.

On May 29, 2013, the DOF granted a Finding of Completion for the Successor Agency. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.7, the DOF has verified that the Successor Agency does not owe any amounts to the taxing entities as determined under HSC section 34179.6, subdivisions (d) or (e) and HSC section 34183.5. With a Finding of Completion, the Successor Agency may proceed with (1) placing loan agreements between the former Agency and the City on the ROPS, as enforceable obligations, provided the Oversight Board makes a finding that the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes per HSC, and (2) utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants.

In addition, the receipt of the Finding of Completion allows the Successor Agency to submit a Long Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) to the Oversight Board and the DOF for approval. The LRPMP addresses the disposition and use of real properties held by the Successor Agency and must be submitted within six months of receipt of the Finding of Completion. Part 1 of the LRPMP was approved by the DOF on October 4, 2013. The Oversight Board approved Part 2 of the LRPMP on November 25, 2013 and submitted it to DOF. The DOF is in the process of reviewing the submission.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(a) Capital Assets Held by the Successor Agency

For the year ended June 30, 2014, a summary of changes in capital assets was as follows:

	<u>Balance July 1, 2013</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2014</u>
Capital assets not being depreciated:			
Land held for lease	\$ 59,381	\$ -	\$ 59,381
Construction in progress	1,292	1,530	2,822
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>60,673</u>	<u>1,530</u>	<u>62,203</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:			
Furniture and equipment	8,144	-	8,144
Building and improvements	225,022	-	225,022
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>233,166</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>233,166</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:			
Furniture and equipment	(8,056)	(20)	(8,076)
Building and improvements	(84,100)	(5,479)	(89,579)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(92,156)</u>	<u>(5,499)</u>	<u>(97,655)</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>141,010</u>	<u>(5,499)</u>	<u>135,511</u>
Total capital assets, net	<u>\$ 201,683</u>	<u>\$ (3,969)</u>	<u>\$ 197,714</u>

(b) Summary of the Successor Agency's Long-Term Obligations

<u>Entity and Type of Obligation</u>	<u>Final Maturity Date</u>	<u>Remaining Interest Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Lease Revenue Bonds:			
Moscone Convention Center ^(a)	2015	7.05%	\$ 1,426
Hotel tax revenue bonds ^(b)	2025	2.00% - 5.00%	40,635
Tax allocation revenue bonds ^(c)	2044	2.92% - 9.00%	902,603
South Beach Harbor Variable Rate			
Refunding bonds ^(d)	2017	3.50%	3,270
California Department of Boating and			
Waterways Loan ^(e)	2037	4.50%	7,283
Total long-term bonds and loans			<u>\$ 955,217</u>

Debt service payments are made from the following sources:

- (a) Hotel taxes and operating revenues recorded in the Convention Facilities Special Revenue Fund and existing debt service/escrow trust funds.
- (b) Hotel taxes from the occupancy of guest rooms in the hotels located in the Redevelopment Project Areas.
- (c) Redevelopment property tax revenues and existing debt service/escrow trust funds.
- (d) South Beach Harbor Project cash reserves, redevelopment property tax revenues and project revenues.
- (e) South Beach Harbor Project revenues (subordinated to Refunding Bonds).

Issuance of Successor Agency Bonds – On December 24, 2013, the DOF released its letter approving the issuance of Successor Agency bonds. On March 11, 2014, the Successor Agency issued \$56.2 million of Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Mission Bay South Series 2014 A to finance certain redevelopment activities of the Successor Agency within or of benefit to the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area. These bonds bear fixed interest rates ranging from 4.00% to 5.00% and have a final maturity date of August 1, 2043.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Pledged Revenues for Bonds – The Tax Allocation Bonds are equally and ratably secured by the pledge and lien of the redevelopment property tax revenues (i.e. former tax increment). These revenues have been pledged until the year 2044, the final maturity date of the bonds. The total principal and interest remaining on these bonds is approximately \$1.68 billion. The redevelopment property tax revenues recognized during the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$131.7 million as against the total debt service payment of \$95.2 million.

The Moscone Convention Center Lease Revenue Bonds are secured by the pledge of the capital lease revenue received by the Successor Agency from the City. These revenues have been pledged until the year 2015, the final maturity date of the remaining bonds. The total principal and interest remaining on these bonds is approximately \$6.7 million. The Successor Agency received \$12.8 million in advance during the year ended June 30, 2013, which equaled the total debt service payment of \$12.8 million. The lease payments received during the year ended June 30, 2014 were \$6.7 million which equaled fiscal year 2015's total debt service payment.

The Hotel Tax Revenue Bonds are secured by the pledge and lien of the hotel tax revenue received by the Successor Agency from the City. These revenues have been pledged until the year 2026, the final maturity date of the bonds. The total principal and interest remaining on the Hotel Tax Revenue Bonds is approximately \$53.2 million. The hotel tax revenue recognized during the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$3.1 million which equaled the total debt service payment.

The changes in long-term obligations for the Successor Agency for the year ended June 30, 2014, are as follows:

	July 1, 2013 (as restated)	Additional Obligations, Interest Accretion and Net Increases	Current Maturities, Retirements, and Net Decreases	June 30, 2014
Bonds payable:				
Tax revenue bonds	\$ 936,229	\$ 56,245	\$ (45,966)	\$ 946,508
Lease revenue bonds	4,347	-	(2,921)	1,426
Less unamortized amounts:				
For issuance premiums	6,323	1,868	(858)	7,333
For issuance discounts	(5,206)	-	255	(4,951)
Total bonds payable	941,693	58,113	(49,490)	950,316
Accreted interest payable.....	46,282	5,286	(12,183)	39,385 ⁽¹⁾
Notes, loans, and other payables.....	7,482	-	(199)	7,283
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	1,242	666	(583)	1,325
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	1,221	912	(1,266)	867
Successor Agency - long term obligations...	\$ 997,920	\$ 64,977	\$ (63,721)	\$ 999,176

(1) Amounts represents interest accretion Capital Appreciation Bonds.

(2) The June 30, 2013 balance was restated to reflect the impact of GASB Statement No. 65 implementation. The unamortized loss on refunding of debt of \$3,388 was reclassified to deferred outflows of resources.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

As of June 30, 2014, the debt service requirements to maturity for the Successor Agency, excluding accrued vacation and sick leave, are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Tax Revenue Bonds		Lease Revenue Bonds		Other Long-Term Obligations		Total	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2015.....	\$ 51,875	\$ 52,977	\$ 1,426	\$ 5,279	\$ 208	\$ 328	\$ 53,509	\$ 58,584
2016.....	54,070	49,374	-	-	218	318	54,288	49,692
2017.....	55,100	46,639	-	-	227	309	55,327	46,948
2018.....	57,505	43,816	-	-	238	298	57,743	44,114
2019.....	67,850	40,622	-	-	248	288	68,098	40,910
2020-2024.....	175,498	209,895	-	-	1,419	1,260	176,917	211,155
2025-2029.....	127,306	162,389	-	-	1,769	911	129,075	163,300
2030-2034.....	137,767	114,162	-	-	2,205	475	139,972	114,637
2035-2039.....	135,782	62,507	-	-	751	46	136,533	62,553
2040-2044.....	83,755	10,457	-	-	-	-	83,755	10,457
Total.....	\$ 946,508	\$ 792,838	\$ 1,426	\$ 5,279	\$ 7,283	\$ 4,233	\$ 955,217	\$ 802,350

Due to/Advances from the Primary Government – In January 2003, the City and the former Agency entered into a Cooperation and Tax Increment Reimbursement Agreement. The City agreed to advance tax increment revenues to the former Agency for the debt service payments on the Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Redevelopment Projects Series 2003 B and C. The former Agency agreed to make reimbursement payments related to the Jessie Square Parking Garage and fully repay the advances by fiscal year 2018. As of June 30, 2014, the long-term balance due to the City's General Fund was \$21.7 million. Interest will be accrued at the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) rate based on the balance due to the City. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the City advanced \$3.7 million in property tax revenues to the Successor Agency for debt service payments. In addition, interest in the amount of \$0.05 million was accrued based on the balance due to the City and the Successor Agency has made payments in the amount of \$2.1 million to the City.

The short-term balance of \$1.1 million consists of \$0.9 million in Jessie Square reimbursement payments due to the City's General Fund and \$0.2 million due to nonmajor governmental funds for services provided.

(c) Commitments and Contingencies Related to the Successor Agency

Encumbrances - At June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency had outstanding encumbrances totaling approximately \$78.9 million.

Risk Management - For the period July 1, 2013 through July 18, 2013, the Successor Agency did not carry liability insurance. Effective July 19, 2013, the Successor Agency obtained coverage for personal injury, automobile liability, public official errors and omissions and employment practices liability with limits of \$10.0 million per occurrence (\$5.0 million for employment practices liability) and a \$0.03 million deductible per occurrence.

Operating Lease - The Successor Agency has noncancelable operating leases for its office sites and a Master Lease Option Agreement with the San Francisco Port Commission, which are enforceable obligations of the Successor Agency. The leases require the following minimum annual payments:

Fiscal Years		Fiscal Years	
2015.....	\$ 1,311	2025-2029.....	\$ 4,351
2016.....	870	2030-2034.....	4,351
2017.....	870	2035-2039.....	4,351
2018.....	870	2040-2044.....	4,351
2019.....	870	2045-2049.....	4,351
2020-2024.....	4,351	2050.....	1,088
		Total.....	\$ 31,985

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Rent payments totaling \$1.3 million are included in the Successor Agency's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014.

The Successor Agency has noncancelable operating leases on various facilities within project areas. The minimum future rental income are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Fiscal Years</u>			<u>Fiscal Years</u>		
2015.....	\$	4,644	2025-2029.....	\$	21,787
2016.....		4,660	2030-2034.....		23,659
2017.....		4,362	2035-2039.....		20,260
2018.....		4,287	2040-2044.....		20,804
2019.....		4,178	2045-2049.....		10,392
2020-2024.....		20,408	2050-2051.....		494
			Total.....	\$	<u>139,935</u>

For the year ended June 30, 2014, operating lease rental income for noncancelable operating leases was \$10.9 million. Within the operating lease rental income, \$6.5 million represents contingent rental income received. At June 30, 2014, the leased assets had a net book value of \$40.8 million.

Conduit Debt - Various community facility district bonds and mortgage revenue bonds have been issued by the former Agency on behalf of various developers and property owners who retain full responsibility for the repayment of the debt. When these obligations are issued, they are secured by the related mortgage indebtedness and special assessment taxes, and, in the opinion of management, are not considered obligations of the Successor Agency or the City and are therefore not included in the financial statements. Debt service payments will be made by developers or property owners. All of the mortgage revenue bonds issued by the former Agency were transferred to the City upon the dissolution of the former Agency. As of June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency had outstanding community facility district bonds totaling \$198.4 million.

Transbay Transit Center Agreements - In July 2003, the City, the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA), and the State of California acting through its Department of Transportation (Caltrans) entered into the Transbay Transit Terminal Cooperative Agreement (Cooperative Agreement) in which Caltrans agreed to transfer approximately 10 acres of State-owned property in and around the then-existing Transbay Terminal to the City and the TJPA to help fund the development of the Transbay Transit Center (TTC). The Cooperative Agreement requires that the TJPA sell certain State-owned parcels and use the revenues from the sales and the net tax increments to finance the TTC.

In 2008, the City and the former Agency entered into a binding agreement with the TJPA that irrevocably pledges all sales proceeds and net tax increments from the State-owned parcels to the TJPA for a period of 45 years (Pledge Agreement). At the same time, the City, the TJPA and the former Agency entered into an Option Agreement which grants options to the former Agency to acquire the State-owned parcels, arrange for development of the parcels, and distribute the net tax increments to the TJPA to use for the TTC. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Successor Agency completed the Rene Cazenave Apartments project, the first residential project on the State-owned parcels for the construction of 120 units of affordable housing for formerly homeless individuals. The Successor Agency also received impact fees in the amount of \$21.6 million from developers for the future development of 564 residential units including 155 affordable units at Transbay Blocks 6 and 7 and is recorded as additions – developer payments on the financial statements.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

(13) TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

The Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) is a nonprofit public benefit corporation. TIDA was authorized in accordance with the Treasure Island Conversion Act of 1997. TIDA is governed by seven members of the TIDA Board of Directors who are appointed by the Mayor, subject to confirmation by the City's Board of Supervisors. The specific purpose of TIDA is to promote the planning, redevelopment, reconstruction, rehabilitation, reuse and conversion of the property known as Naval Station Treasure Island for the public interest, convenience, welfare and common benefit of the inhabitants of the City.

The services provided by TIDA include negotiating the acquisition of former Naval Station Treasure Island with the U.S. Navy and establishing the Treasure Island Development Project; renting Treasure Island facilities leased from the U.S. Navy to generate revenues sufficient to cover operating costs; maintaining Treasure Island facilities owned by the U.S. Navy which are not leased to TIDA or the City; providing facilities for special events, film production and other commercial business uses; providing approximately 800 housing units; and overseeing the U.S. Navy's toxic remediation activities on the former naval base.

In early 2000, TIDA initiated a master developer selection process, culminating in the selection of Treasure Island Community Development, LLC (TICD) in March 2003. TIDA and TICD entered into an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement in 2003, and began work on the Development Plan and Term Sheet for the Redevelopment of Naval Station Treasure Island (Development Plan). The Development Plan represented the culmination of nearly seven years of extensive public discourse about the future of Treasure Island, and was the product of the most extensive public review process for a large development project in the City's history. The Development Plan was endorsed by the TIDA Board and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in December 2006. In May 2010, the TIDA Board and Board of Supervisors both unanimously endorsed a package of legislation that included an Update to the Development Plan and Term Sheet, terms of an Economic Development Conveyance Memorandum of Agreement (EDC MOA Term Sheet), and a Term Sheet between TIDA and the Treasure Island Homeless Development Initiative (TIHDI). The 2006 endorsement and 2010 update of the Development Plan marked two very important milestones in the project, as they very specifically guided the enormous efforts undertaken since then to make the ambitious development plans for Treasure Island a reality. Together the updated Development Plan, the EDC MOA Term Sheet and the TIHDI Term Sheet formed the comprehensive vision for the future of the former military base and represented a major milestone in moving the project closer towards implementation.

In April 2011, the TIDA Board and the Planning Commission certified the environmental impact report for the project and approved various project entitlements, including amendments to the Planning Code, Zoning Maps and General Plan, as well as a Development Agreement, Disposition and Development Agreement and Interagency Cooperation Agreement. These entitlements include detailed plans regarding land uses, phasing, infrastructure, transportation, sustainability, housing, including affordable housing, jobs and equal opportunity programs, community facilities and project financing, and provide a holistic picture of the future development. In June 2011, the Board of Supervisors unanimously upheld the certification of the project's environmental impact report as well as approved project entitlements. These project approvals were a key milestone in realizing a new environmentally sustainable community on Treasure Island and the thousands of construction and permanent jobs the construction will bring. Pending property transfer from the Navy, the first phase of infrastructure construction should begin in the fourth quarter of 2014 with vertical construction beginning in 2015. The complete build-out of the project is anticipated to occur over fifteen to twenty years.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

In July 2008, amended in November 2011 and later in July 2013, the Transportation Authority entered into a loan agreement with TIDA in the amount of \$11.0 million for the repayment of costs related to the Yerba Buena Island (YBI) Interchange Improvement Project. Under the terms of the agreement, TIDA will repay the Transportation Authority for all project costs incurred by the Transportation Authority and accrued interest, less federal government reimbursements to the Transportation Authority. If the federal grant funds do not become available for some or all of the project costs, or if the federal agency disallows the Transportation Authority's reimbursement claims on some or all of the project costs, then TIDA bears the responsibility to repay the Transportation Authority for all costs incurred on the YBI Interchange Improvement Project for a total loan obligation amount not-to-exceed \$18.8 million. The repayment to the Transportation Authority may be paid by TIDA in four annual installment payments on the earlier of 30 days after the first close of escrow for transfer of the Naval Station Treasure Island from TIDA to Treasure Island Community Development, LLC or December 31, 2014. Interest shall accrue on all outstanding unpaid project costs until TIDA and federal agencies fully reimburse the Transportation Authority for all costs related to the project. Interest will be compounded quarterly, at the City Treasurer's Pooled Investment Fund rate or the Transportation Authority's borrowing rate, whichever is applicable, beginning on the date of the Transportation Authority's reimbursement claim to Caltrans until the Transportation Authority costs and all accrued interest has been repaid.

This loan is collateralized by the senior security interest in TIDA's right, title and interest in and to 1) the rents accruing under the Sublease, Development, Marketing and Property Management Agreement between TIDA and The John Stewart Company, related to the subleasing of existing residential units at the Naval Station Treasure Island; and 2) any and all other TIDA revenue, except revenue prohibited by applicable laws from being used for this purpose or is necessary for repayment of the annual amount of TIDA's pre-existing Hetch Hetchy utility obligation under the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between TIDA and Hetch Hetchy.

As of June 30, 2014, TIDA has drawn down \$10.1 million on the loan with the Transportation Authority and accrued \$0.5 million in interest. At June 30, 2014, TIDA has the following payables to other City departments:

Payable to	Purpose	Current	Noncurrent	Total
SFCTA	YBI Loan Agreement	\$ -	\$ 10,606	\$ 10,606
SFCTA	YBI expenses	348	-	348
Hetch Hetchy	Utility operations under MOU	200	628	828
Hetch Hetchy	Energy efficiency project	-	2,599	2,599
		<u>\$ 548</u>	<u>\$ 13,833</u>	<u>\$ 14,381</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

(14) INTERFUND RECEIVABLES, PAYABLES, AND TRANSFERS

“Due to” and “due from” balances have primarily been recorded when funds overdraw their share of pooled cash or when there are transactions between entities where one or both entities do not participate in the City’s pooled cash or when there are short-term loans between funds. The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2014 is as follows:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Amount
General Fund	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	\$ 5,538
	San Francisco Water Enterprise	9
	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	4
	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	5
	Port of San Francisco	42
	Laguna Honda Hospital	6,913
		12,511
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	General Fund	249
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	586
	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	4
	Internal Service Funds	2,502
	Municipal Transportation Agency	2,500
	Laguna Honda Hospital	32
	5,873	
General Hospital Medical Center	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	155
Laguna Honda Hospital	Internal Service Funds	5
San Francisco Water Enterprise	General Fund	124
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	136
		260
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	General Fund	328
	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	8,099
	Port of San Francisco	276
	General Hospital Medical Center	946
	San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	1,768
	11,417	
Municipal Transportation Agency	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	6,286
San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	110
		\$ 36,617

In addition to routine short-term loans, Hetch Hetchy serves as the City’s agency for energy efficiency projects and maintains the Sustainable Energy Account to sponsor and financially support such projects at various City departments. In this role, Hetch Hetchy may secure low-interest financing to supplement funds available in the SEA fund. At June 30, 2014, Hetch Hetchy loaned \$9.9 million to other City funds. Hetch Hetchy is also due \$1.5 million from the Wastewater Enterprise for its share of costs relating to 525 Golden Gate Headquarters project for equipment.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

The SFMTA has a receivable from nonmajor governmental fund of \$6.3 million for capital and operating grants.

Due from component units:

<u>Receivable Entity</u>	<u>Payable Entity</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	Component unit – TIDA	\$ 200 (1)
Primary government – Nonmajor Fund	Component unit – TIDA	348 (1)
Primary government – General Fund	Successor Agency	878 (2)
Primary government – Nonmajor Fund	Successor Agency	197 (2)

Advance to component units:

<u>Receivable Entity</u>	<u>Payable Entity</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	Component unit – TIDA	\$ 3,227 (1)
Primary government – Nonmajor Fund	Component unit – TIDA	10,606 (1)
Primary government – General Fund	Successor Agency	21,670 (2)

(1) See discussion at Note 13.

(2) See discussion at Note 12(b) related to the Due to/Advances from the Primary Government.

<u>Transfers Out:</u>	<u>Transfers In:</u>										
	<u>Funds</u>										
<u>Funds</u>	General Fund	Nonmajor Governmental	Internal Service Funds	Water Enterprise	Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise	Municipal Transportation Agency	San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center	Wastewater Enterprise	Port of San Francisco	Laguna Honda Hospital	Total
General Fund.....	\$ -	\$ 247,075	\$ 1,242	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ 311,255	\$ 121,407	\$ -	\$ 720	\$ 39,103	\$ 720,806
Nonmajor governmental funds....	11,676	66,949	-	1,700	-	47,092	-	51	27,022	-	154,490
Internal Service Funds.....	178	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	178
San Francisco International Airport....	37,994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37,994
Water Enterprise.....	-	1,299	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,299
Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise.....	-	32	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	38
Municipal Transportation Agency.....	335	4,248	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,583
San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center.....	166,147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	103	166,250
Wastewater Enterprise....	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Port of San Francisco.....	-	27,199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,199
Laguna Honda Hospital....	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119
Governmental Activities.....	-	-	-	310	368	-	-	-	-	-	678
Total transfers out	<u>\$ 216,449</u>	<u>\$ 346,834</u>	<u>\$ 1,242</u>	<u>\$ 2,014</u>	<u>\$ 368</u>	<u>\$ 358,353</u>	<u>\$ 121,407</u>	<u>\$ 51</u>	<u>\$ 27,742</u>	<u>\$ 39,206</u>	<u>\$ 1,113,666</u>

The \$720.8 million General Fund transfer out includes a total of \$471.8 million in operating subsidies to Municipal Transportation Agency, San Francisco General Hospital Medical Center (SFGH), and Laguna Honda Hospital (note 11). The transfer of \$247.1 million from the General Fund to the nonmajor governmental funds is to provide support to various City programs such as the Public Library and Children and Families Funds, as well as to provide resources for the payment of debt service. The transfers between the nonmajor governmental funds are to provide support for various City programs and to provide resources for the payment of debt service.

In connection with a memorandum of understanding, the General Fund reimbursed the Port \$0.7 million for certain lost revenues (payment in lieu of rents) during the America's Cup events. Also, Port received \$27.0 million, which represents the amount of commercial paper draws used to fund the expenditures incurred to date on authorized Port projects and related costs. COP proceeds of \$27.2 million were used by the Port to repay the City commercial paper program and related fees.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

San Francisco International Airport transferred \$38.0 million to the General Fund, representing a portion of concession revenues (note 11(a)). The General Fund received transfers in of \$139.0 million from SFGH for the Safety Net Care Pool (SNCP) and Delivery System Reform Incentive Program intergovernmental transfers matching program reimbursement, \$5.1 million for Low Income Health Program reimbursement for Primary Care clinics, \$19.9 million for Healthy San Francisco reimbursement, \$0.7 million for Child Health Initiative reimbursement, and \$1.4 million for interest earned by the San Francisco General Fund but credited to the General Fund (note 11(g)).

SFMTA received \$47.1 million transfers, of which \$31.2 million was for capital activities and \$12.4 million was for operating activities from nonmajor governmental funds. Nonmajor governmental funds also transferred \$3.5 million to SFMTA to fund various street improvement projects and in turn the SFMTA transferred \$4.2 million to pay for various street improvement projects.

The Water Enterprise received \$1.7 million from transfers in, of which \$1.3 million for partial payment of the 17th and Folsom property with the remaining balance of \$1.0 million will be paid over a period of four years and \$0.4 million from the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department for the Lake Merced boat house renovation. On the other hand, the Water Enterprise transferred \$1.3 million to the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department and Public Health Department for landscape and irrigation project and Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise transferred \$32 to a nonmajor special revenue fund for the City Surety Bond Program.

Internal Service Funds received \$1.2 million from General Fund for the Systems Recovery Project. Governmental Activities transferred equipment with a book value of \$310 and \$368 to the Water Enterprise and the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise, respectively.

(15) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

(a) Grants and Subventions

Receipts from federal and state grants and other similar programs are subject to audit to determine if the monies were expended in accordance with appropriate statutes, grant terms and regulations. The City believes that the Airport subsequent to an initial audit by the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General Office of Investigations began and is continuing a review of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and other Airport and Improvement grants received by the Airport and has to date identified approximately \$1.0 million of additional non-qualifying expenditures that the Airport will repay. The review and audit with respect to these and other grants continues and the Airport may need to repay additional grant amounts it has received.

(b) Operating Leases

The City has noncancelable operating leases for certain buildings and data processing equipment, which require the following minimum annual payments (in thousands):

Primary Government

Governmental Activities

<u>Fiscal Years</u>	
2015.....	\$ 28,592
2016.....	24,730
2017.....	23,249
2018.....	19,224
2019.....	12,205
2020-2014.....	29,321
	<u>\$ 137,321</u>

Operating leases expense incurred for fiscal year 2013-14 was approximately \$28.3 million.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Business-type Activities

<u>Fiscal Years</u>	San Francisco International Airport	Port of San Francisco	Municipal Transportation Agency	Total Business-type Activities
2015.....	\$ 146	\$ 2,879	\$ 12,981	\$ 16,006
2016.....	-	2,813	12,081	14,894
2017.....	-	2,720	11,976	14,696
2018.....	-	2,720	12,241	14,961
2019.....	-	2,720	12,668	15,388
2020-2024.....	-	13,601	66,263	79,864
2025-2029.....	-	13,601	75,296	88,897
2030-2034.....	-	13,601	83,638	97,239
2035-2039.....	-	13,601	79,748	93,349
2040-2044.....	-	13,601	-	13,601
2045-2049.....	-	13,601	-	13,601
2050.....	-	227	-	227
Total.....	<u>\$ 146</u>	<u>\$ 95,685</u>	<u>\$ 366,892</u>	<u>\$ 462,723</u>

Operating lease expense incurred for the Airport, Port, and SFMTA for fiscal year 2013-14 was \$0.2 million, \$2.9 million, and \$13.9 million, respectively.

Several City departments lease land and various facilities to tenants and concessionaires who will provide the following minimum annual payments:

Primary Government

Governmental Activities

<u>Fiscal Years</u>	
2015.....	\$ 2,564
2016.....	2,462
2017.....	2,366
2018.....	1,715
2019.....	684
2020-2024..	1,665
2025-2029..	600
2030-2034..	150
Total.....	<u>\$ 12,206</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Business-type Activities

Fiscal Years	San Francisco International Airport	Port of San Francisco	San Francisco General Hospital	Municipal Transportation Agency	Total Business-type Activities
2015.....	\$ 90,789	\$ 40,485	\$ 1,321	\$ 3,257	\$ 135,852
2016.....	87,717	34,847	1,361	2,187	126,112
2017.....	84,480	27,414	1,402	1,669	114,965
2018.....	67,978	24,493	1,444	1,512	95,427
2019.....	47,722	22,355	1,487	1,329	72,893
2020-2024.....	-	96,528	8,133	7,244	111,905
2025-2029.....	-	74,615	-	6,288	80,903
2030-2034.....	-	68,426	-	6,250	74,676
2035-2039.....	-	50,215	-	6,250	56,465
2040-2044.....	-	36,598	-	6,250	42,848
2045-2049.....	-	30,591	-	6,250	36,841
2050-2054.....	-	17,098	-	6,250	23,348
2055-2059.....	-	16,273	-	2,083	18,356
2060-2064.....	-	11,944	-	-	11,944
2065-2069.....	-	7,021	-	-	7,021
2070-2074.....	-	4,522	-	-	4,522
2075-2079.....	-	1,214	-	-	1,214
Total.....	<u>\$ 378,686</u>	<u>\$ 564,639</u>	<u>\$ 15,148</u>	<u>\$ 56,819</u>	<u>\$ 1,015,292</u>

The Airport and Port have certain rental agreements with concessionaires, which specify that rental payments are to be based on a percentage of tenant sales, subject to a minimum amount. Concession percentage rents in excess of minimum guarantees for the Airport and Port were approximately \$25.2 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, in fiscal year 2013-14. A new five-year car rental lease agreement option was exercised effective January 1, 2014. Under this agreement the rental car companies will pay 10% of gross revenues or a minimum guaranteed rent whichever is higher; also in accordance with the terms of their concession agreement, the minimum annual guarantee (MAG) for the rental car operators does not apply if the actual enplanements achieved during a one-month period is less than 80% of the actual enplanements of the same reference month in the reference year, and such shortfall continues for three consecutive months. The MAG attributable to the rental car companies was approximately \$41.5 million for fiscal year 2013-14.

Other Commitments

The Retirement System has commitments to contribute capital for real estate and alternative investments in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2014.

In February 2011, the Asian Art Museum Foundation (Foundation) entered into an agreement with JP Morgan Chase Bank to refinance its obligations of \$97.0 million. To facilitate the refinancing, the City entered into an assurance agreement which, in the event of nonpayment by the Foundation, requires the City to seek an appropriation to make debt payments as they become due. Since the City has not legally guaranteed the debt, and the City believes that the likelihood of nonpayment by the Foundation is remote, no amount is recorded in the City's financial statements related to this agreement.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
 June 30, 2014
 (Dollars in Thousands)

(16) RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Retention Program Description

The City is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; business interruption; errors and omissions; automobile liability and accident claims (primarily for SFMTA); medical malpractice; natural disasters; employee health benefit claim payments for direct provider care (collectively referred to herein as estimated claims payable); and injuries to employees (workers' compensation). With certain exceptions, it is the policy of the City not to purchase commercial insurance for the risks of losses to which it is exposed. Instead, the City believes it is more economical to manage its risks internally and set aside funds as needed for estimated current claim settlements and unfavorable judgments through annual appropriations and supplemental appropriations.

The Airport carries general liability insurance coverage of \$1.0 billion, subject to a deductible of \$10 per single occurrence and commercial property insurance coverage for full replacement value on all facilities at the Airport owned by the Airport, subject to a deductible of \$500 per single occurrence. The Airport carries public officials liability and employment practices liability coverage of \$5.0 million, subject to a deductible of \$100 per single occurrence for each wrongful act other than employment practices' violations, and \$250 per each occurrence for each employment practices' violation. The Airport also carries insurance for public employee dishonesty, fine arts, electronic data processing equipment and watercraft liability for Airport fire and rescue vessels. The Airport has no liability insurance coverage for losses due to land movement or seismic activity, war, terrorism and hijacking.

The Port carries the following insurance: 1) marine general liability coverage of \$100.0 million, subject to a deductible of \$100 per occurrence; 2) hull and machinery liability coverage of \$3.5 million, subject to a deductible of \$100 per occurrence; 3) commercial property insurance for losses up to the insured appraised value of Port facilities, subject to a maximum of \$1.0 billion and a deductible of \$750 per occurrence; and 4) public officials and employee liability coverage of \$5.0 million, subject to a deductible of \$50 per occurrence. The Port also carries insurance coverage for employee dishonesty, auto liability, property damage for certain high value Port vehicles, water pollution, and data processing equipment. Tenants whose operations pose a significant environment risk are also required to post an environmental oversight deposit and an environmental performance deposit.

The SFMTA risk treatment program encompasses both self-insured and insured methods. Insurance purchase is generally coordinated through the City's Risk Management Division, and in some specific cases, directly by the agency. Self-insurance is when the City manages risks internally and administers, adjusts, settles, defends, and pays claims from budgeted resources, i.e., pay-as-you-go. SFMTA's general policy is to first evaluate self-insurance for the risks of loss to which it is exposed. When economically more viable or when required by debt financing covenants, SFMTA purchases insurance as necessary or required.

<u>Risks</u>	<u>Coverage</u>
a. General/Transit Liability	Self-Insure
b. Property	Self-Insure and Purchase Insurance
c. Workers' Compensation	Self-Insure
d. Employee (Transit Operators)	Purchase Insurance
e. Directors and Officers	Purchase Insurance

The SFMTA is self-insured on general liability. Through coordination with the Controller and City Attorney's Office, the SFMTA general liability payments are addressed through pay-as-you-go funding as part of the budgetary process as well as a reserve that is increased each year by approximately \$4.0 million. As of June 30, 2014, the reserve was \$15.4 million. Claim liabilities are actuarially determined anticipated claims and projected timing of disbursement, considering recent claim settlement trends, inflation, and other economic social factors.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

The SFMTA purchases property insurance on scheduled facilities, Breda light rail cars, and personal property. Also, insurance is purchased for scheduled City parking garages covering blanket property and business interruptions. Damages to facilities and property outside of the specified schedules are self-insured. SFMTA has purchased group life insurance and a Group Felonious Assault Coverage Insurance on transit operators per a Memorandum of Understanding with the Transport Workers' Union and has purchased insurance to cover errors and omissions of its board members and senior management.

Settled claims have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Expenditures and liabilities for all workers' compensation claims and other estimated claims payable are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of that loss can be reasonably estimated. These losses include an estimate of claims that have been incurred but not reported. Because actual claim liabilities depend on such complex factors as inflation, changes in legal doctrines, and damage awards, the process used in computing claim liabilities does not necessarily result in an exact amount. Claim liabilities are re-evaluated periodically to take into consideration recently settled claims, the frequency of claims, and other legal and economic factors. The recorded liabilities have not been discounted.

Estimated Claims Payable

Numerous lawsuits related to the governmental fund types are pending or threatened against the City. The City's liability as of June 30, 2014 has been actuarially determined and includes an estimate of incurred but not reported losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses.

Changes in the reported estimated claims payable since June 30, 2012, resulted from the following activity:

	Beginning Fiscal Year Liability	Current Year Claims and Changes in Estimates	Claims Payments	Ending Fiscal Year Liability
2012-2013	\$ 169,387	\$ 36,851	\$ (31,656)	\$ 174,582
2013-2014	174,582	121,586	(49,109)	247,059

Breakdown of the estimated claims payable at June 30, 2014 is follows:

<u>Governmental activities:</u>	
Current portion of estimated claims payables.....	\$ 48,932
Long-term portion of estimated claims payables.....	106,919
Total.....	<u>\$ 155,851</u>
 <u>Business-type activities:</u>	
Current portion of estimated claims payables.....	\$ 39,491
Long-term portion of estimated claims payables.....	51,717
Total.....	<u>\$ 91,208</u>

Workers' Compensation

The City self-insures for workers' compensation coverage. The City's liability as of June 30, 2014 has been actuarially determined and includes an estimate of incurred but not reported losses. The total amount estimated to be payable for claims incurred as of June 30, 2014 was \$383.9 million which is reported in the appropriate individual funds in accordance with the City's accounting policies.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

Changes in the reported accrued workers' compensation since June 30, 2012, resulted from the following activity:

	Beginning Fiscal Year Liability	Current Year Claims and Changes in Estimates	Claims Payments	Ending Fiscal Year Liability
2012-2013	\$ 370,884	\$ 76,308	\$ (69,416)	\$ 377,776
2013-2014	377,776	78,663	(72,563)	383,876

Breakdown of the accrued workers' compensation liability at June 30, 2014 is as follows:

<u>Governmental activities:</u>	
Current portion of accrued workers' compensation liability.....	\$ 37,467
Long-term portion of accrued workers' compensation liability.....	185,280
Total.....	<u>\$ 222,747</u>
 <u>Business-type activities:</u>	
Current portion of accrued workers' compensation liability.....	\$ 25,774
Long-term portion of accrued workers' compensation liability.....	135,355
Total.....	<u>\$ 161,129</u>

(17) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

(a) Long-term Debt Issuance

The Series 2014 Revenue Bonds will be issued by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) with the U.S. Bank as trustee as approved by the SFMTA Board and concurred by the Board of Supervisors under resolution adopted on September 24, 2013. The total Series 2014 Bonds will result in project funding of \$75.0 million and are being issued (a) to finance a portion of the costs of various capital projects for SFMTA; (b) to make a deposit to the Series 2014 Reserve Account of the Reserve Fund established under the Indenture for the Series 2014 Bonds; and (c) to pay a portion of the costs of issuance of the Series 2014 Bonds.

In July 2014, the City issued \$17.1 million taxable and \$41.4 million tax-exempt commercial paper (CP) to refund maturing \$12.6 million taxable and \$28.2 million tax-exempt CP and to provide \$17.5 million interim funding for the War Memorial Veterans Building Seismic Retrofit project. The taxable notes bear interest rates at 0.13% and the tax-exempt CP at 0.08% and 0.07%. The taxable and tax-exempt notes are scheduled to mature on November 5, 2014.

In August 2014, the City issued \$8.2 million tax-exempt CP to provide \$4.8 million and \$3.4 million interim funding for the Moscone Expansion project and acquisition of real property at 900 Innes Avenue, respectively. The notes bear interest rates at 0.08% and scheduled to mature on November 5, 2014.

In August 2014, the City has requested an extension on the stated expiration date of the irrevocable direct pay letter of credits related to the Series 2008-1 Bonds and 2008-2 Bonds until October 2014 for the City and County of San Francisco Finance Corporation (Corporation). Subsequently the Corporation adopted an ordinance approving the amended and restated reimbursement agreements with State Street Bank and Trust Company for 2008-01 Bonds and 2008-2 Bonds, respectively. The agreements are effective as of October 8, 2014 and the stated expiration date is October 7, 2019 or such later date or dates as may be extended.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

In September 2014, the Airport issued its Second Series Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A/B in the aggregate principal amount of \$473.6 million to finance and refinance (through the repayment of subordinate commercial paper notes) a portion of the Airport's Capital Plan. The Series 2014A/B Bonds are uninsured long-term fixed-rate bonds maturing between 2039 and 2044 with an interest rate of 5.0%.

In October 2014, the City issued \$4.0 million taxable and \$12.3 million tax-exempt CP to provide funding for the War Memorial Veterans Building Seismic Retrofit project. The taxable notes bear interest rates at 0.10% and the tax-exempt notes at 0.06% and are all scheduled to mature on November 5, 2014.

In October 2014, the City issued General Obligation Bonds Series 2014C (Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response) in the amount of \$55.0 million and Series 2014D (Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response) in the amount of \$100.7 million. Both series bear interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 5.0% and mature from June 2015 through June 2034. The proceeds of the Series 2014C and 2014D bonds will be used to finance improvements to earthquake safety and emergency responsiveness facilities and infrastructures and to pay certain costs related to the issuance of the respective series.

In November 2014, the City issued \$57.5 million tax-exempt and \$22.4 million taxable commercial paper (CP) to refund maturing \$53.7 million tax-exempt and \$21.1 million taxable CP and to provide \$5.1 million interim financing for the War Memorial Veterans Building Seismic Retrofit project. The tax-exempt and taxable CP are scheduled to mature on February 4, 2015 and bear interest rates of 0.05% and 0.13% respectively. In addition, the City issued \$17.7 million tax-exempt CP to refinance \$8.2 million maturing CP and provide \$9.4 million interim funding for the Moscone Expansion District project. The CP bears interest rates of 0.06% and 0.08% and scheduled to mature on January 7, 2015 and February 2, 2015, respectively.

(b) Credit Rating Changes

During fiscal year 2014, Moody's upgraded the credit rating of National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation, the reinsurer of swap insurance for the interest rate swaps associated with the Airport's Second Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds, Issues 36AB, from "Baa1" to "A3" with a "Stable" outlook. The outlook was subsequently changed to "negative" on July 2, 2014.

(c) Post-Issuance Compliance with Federal Tax Law

The Airport follows certain federal tax law post-issuance compliance procedures that are intended to ensure that proceeds of its tax-exempt bonds are invested and expended consistent with applicable federal tax law, including the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Code), the Regulations promulgated thereunder, and other applicable guidance from the U.S. Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). As a result, the Airport from time to time identifies and addresses relatively minor tax law compliance issues. As part of its tax diligence procedures, the Airport determined in August 2012 that small portions of the proceeds of a number of outstanding series of bonds were applied for purposes that present tax law compliance issues. In particular, a small portion of the Airport's passenger terminal facilities financed from proceeds of those bonds (less than 0.1%) were used for retail locations where wine was sold for consumption off-Airport. Such uses of proceeds are prohibited by the Code. If not addressed with the IRS, the failure to observe such limitation could cause the interest on such bonds to be includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to the date of their issuance. In November 2013, the Airport finalized a closing agreement with the IRS under its Tax Exempt Bond Voluntary Closing Agreement Program ("VCAP") with respect to the Airport's Series 2009 C/D Bonds pursuant to which the Airport made a payment to the IRS of approximately \$5 and retired a small portion (\$200) of the Series 2009D Bonds allocable to such use of bond proceeds. In September 2014, the Airport approved and expects to execute in the near future, a second closing agreement with the IRS with respect to the other bonds affected by this compliance issue, pursuant to which the Airport will make a payment to the IRS of approximately \$67 and retire \$1.1 million of the Airports Series 2010A Bonds.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

(d) Audit of FAA Grants

In 2013, the Airport resolved an initial audit by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Office of Inspector General (OIG) of two ARRA grants totaling \$14.5 million for runway improvements. The Airport resolved the audit by repaying approximately \$0.9 million of grant funds and voluntarily reduced other AIP grant reimbursement requests by \$1.2 million. Subsequent to the initial audit, the DOT OIG Office of Investigations began and is continuing a review of the ARRA and other AIP grants received by the Airport and have identified approximately \$1.0 million of additional non-qualifying expenditures that the Airport will repay. The review and audit with respect to these and other grants continues and the Airport may need to repay additional grant amounts it has received.

(e) Jurisdictional Transfer of the Francisco Reservoir Tract to the San Francisco Recreation and Parks

In July 2014, Board of Supervisors approved the jurisdictional transfer of the Francisco Reservoir Tract located in San Francisco at fair market value of \$9.9 million from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) to the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (SFRPD). The Francisco Reservoir Tract is included in property that the City purchased from the Spring Valley Water Company in 1930 for the Water Enterprise. The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) provides that SFRPD shall pay the appraised fair market value of \$9.9 million to the SFPUC in installments over 12 years, together with interest on the unpaid principal balance. SFRPD shall take possession of the Francisco Reservoir Tract upon full approval of the MOU by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor and after the initial installment payment to the SFPUC. However, SFPUC shall not transfer jurisdiction over the Francisco Reservoir Tract until after SFRPD makes its final principal payment and all outstanding interest. Commencement date is September 30, 2014, or within 30 days after the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor approve the MOU, whichever is later. Initial installment payment plus accrued interest of \$219 was received on September 29, 2014.

(f) Mandatory Restrictions on Retail Outdoor Irrigation as Required by the State Water Resources Control Board

In July 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted drought emergency regulations, which require urban water utilities to impose mandatory restrictions on irrigation of ornamental landscapes and turf with potable water. It further provided for fines of up to five hundred dollars for certain water waste activity and required that agencies implement plans to reduce wasteful outdoor water use. In August 2014, SFPUC approved the 10 percent mandatory outdoor irrigation reduction for turf and ornamental plants for the period October 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. Excess use charges were adopted and the procedures for administering those charges applied to approximately 1,600 customers with dedicated irrigation accounts using potable water - about half of which are municipal agencies retail potable water irrigation accounts. This action represents the first charges for excess water use in the City since 1988.

(g) Elections

On November 4, 2014 the San Francisco voters approved the following propositions that will have a fiscal impact on the City:

Proposition A – An ordinance that would allow the City to borrow up to \$500.0 million by issuing general obligation bonds to implement many of the infrastructure repairs and improvements identified by the Transportation Task Force.

Proposition B – A Charter amendment that would require the City to increase the Base Amount provided to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) by a percentage equal to the City's annual population increase, taking into account daytime and nighttime populations, as determined by the Controller's Office. In 2015, the City would increase the Base Amount based on population increases over the previous ten years. In future years, the City would increase the Base Amount based on population increases over the previous year. Proposition B would also require the SFMTA to use 75% of any population-based increases in the Base Amount to improve Muni's reliability, frequency of service, and capacity to pay for Muni repairs. The other 25% would be used for capital

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

expenditures to improve street safety. Proposition B would also authorize the Mayor to discontinue the Base Amount increases required by this measure if the voters enact a vehicle license fee in the future.

The amendment would set aside funds for transit system improvement and capital expenditures that would otherwise be available for any public purpose. The amendment does not identify new revenue sources for this set-aside and other City spending would therefore have to be reduced or new sources of funding identified to maintain current service levels. This charter amendment is not in compliance with a non-binding, voter approved City policy which states that any new set-aside shall identify adequate new revenue sources to cover its cost and shall expire after ten years.

Proposition C – A Charter amendment that would change the way the City funds and administers services to children, youth, and their families.

Children's Fund – Proposition C would extend the Children's Fund and the property tax set-aside for 25 years, until June 30, 2041. Proposition C would increase the property tax set-aside gradually over the next four years to 4 cents for each \$100 of assessed property value. Proposition C would not increase or otherwise change property taxes; it would only affect the amount of property tax revenues set aside for the Fund. Proposition C would also extend the age group served by the Children's Fund to include youth aged 18 through 24 years old.

Public Education Enrichment Fund – Proposition C would extend Public Education Enrichment Fund (PEEF) for 26 years, until June 30, 2041. It would also extend funding for universal pre-school to include 3-, 4- and 5-year-olds, but would still give priority to 4-year-olds. The City could also use these funds to develop services for children from birth to 3 years old.

Our Children, Our Families Council – Proposition C would create an Our Children, Our Families Council (Council) to advise the City and School District on the needs of children and families in San Francisco and on priorities, goals, and best practices for addressing those needs.

Rainy Day Reserve - Proposition C would divide the existing Rainy Day Reserve into a City Rainy Day Reserve (City Reserve) and a School Rainy Day Reserve (School Reserve). Under the amendment, 25% of the future Rainy Day deposits would go the School Reserve and 75% would go to the City Reserve. Under Proposition C, the School District could withdraw up to half the money in School Reserve in years when it expects to collect less money per student than in the previous fiscal year and would have to lay off a significant number of employees. The School Board could, by a two-thirds' vote, override those limits and withdraw any amount in the School Reserve in any year.

The amendment does not identify new revenue sources for this set-aside and other City spending would therefore have to be reduced or new sources of funding identified to maintain current service levels. This Charter amendment is not in compliance with a non-binding, voter adopted City policy which states that any set-aside shall identify adequate new revenue sources to cover its costs and shall expire after ten years.


Proposition D – A Charter amendment that would grant certain former Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency employees the same health benefits as City employees hired during the same period. Under Proposition D, employees who started working for the Redevelopment Agency before January 10, 2009, and later started working for the City between February 1, 2012 and February 28, 2015, without a break in service, would be eligible for full retiree health care coverage after five years of employment with the City, the Redevelopment Agency, and/or the Successor Agency combined. For employees who started working for the Redevelopment Agency between January 10, 2009 and August 31, 2010, and later started working for the City between February 1, 2012, and February 28, 2015, without a break in service, Proposition D would credit the employees' years working for the former Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency toward the 20-year vesting period for retiree health care benefits. Under Proposition D, employees who started working for the Redevelopment Agency before January 10, 2009 and then started working for the City

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Notes to Basic Financial Statements (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(Dollars in Thousands)

before March 1, 2015 without a break in service would pay 0.25% of compensation into the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund after July 1, 2016, increasing to 1% of compensation after July 1, 2019, rather than 2% of compensation.

Proposition J – An ordinance that would increase the minimum wage to \$15.00 per hour by July 1, 2018 with further increases based on inflation. The ordinance would increase the minimum wage for employees who perform work in San Francisco as follow: 1) on May 1, 2015, the minimum wage would increase to \$12.25 per hour; 2) on July 1, 2016 the minimum wage would increase to \$13.00 per hour; 3) on July 1, 2017, the minimum wage would increase to \$14.00 per hour; 4) on July 1, 2018, the minimum wage would increase to \$15.00 per hour; and 5) beginning July 1, 2019, the minimum wage would increase annually based on inflation. Proposition J would apply to City employees and to employees of the In-Home Support Services Public Authority. Two types of employees would receive a limited increase: employees under the age of 18 working in a government-subsidized training or apprenticeship program; and employees over age 55 working for non-profits that provide social welfare services and whose positions are government-subsidized. These employees would receive a minimum wage of \$12.25 per hour starting on May 1, 2015 with annual increases starting on July 1, 2016 based on inflation.

Required Supplementary Information



One of many new cisterns under construction. The new cisterns will enhance firefighting capacity throughout San Francisco.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Required Supplementary Information –
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions (Unaudited)**

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

The schedules of funding progress presented below provide consolidated snapshots of the entity's ability to meet current and future liabilities with plan assets. Of particular interest to most is the funded status ratio. This ratio conveys a plan's level of assets to liabilities, an important indicator to determine the financial health of the pension or OPEB plans. The closer the plan is to a 100% funded status, the better position it will be in to meet all of its future liabilities.

Employees' Retirement System – Pension Plan ⁽¹⁾

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Asset Value	Actuarial Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(Under) funded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	O/UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll
07/01/11	\$ 16,313,120	\$ 18,598,728	\$ (2,285,608)	87.7%	\$ 2,360,413	96.8%
07/01/12	16,027,683	19,393,854	(3,366,171)	82.6%	2,393,842	140.6%
07/01/13	16,303,397	20,224,777	(3,921,380)	80.6%	2,535,963	154.6%

⁽¹⁾ The July 1, 2012 valuation results incorporate the following significant assumption changes from the previous valuation:

- Investment Rate of Return Assumption – phase-in reduction from 7.75% to 7.50% over three years (fiscal year 2011-12 to 7.66%; fiscal year 2012-13 to 7.58%; and fiscal year 2013-14 to 7.50%)
- Wage inflation Assumption – phase in reduction from 4.00% to 3.75% over three years (fiscal year 2011-12 to 3.91%; fiscal year 2012-13 to 3.83%; fiscal year 2013-14 to 3.75%)
- Long-term Consumer Price Index Assumption – phase in reduction from 3.50% to 3.25% over three years (fiscal year 2011-12 to 3.41%; fiscal year 2012-13 to 3.33%; fiscal year 2013-14 to 3.25%)

Experience losses related to changes in economic and demographic assumptions and the recognition of investment losses from fiscal year 2008-09 over five years contributed to the \$1.08 billion increase in UAAL from July 1, 2011.

In January 2014, the Retirement Board adopted to use the same assumptions from the July 1, 2012 actuarial valuation, instead of continuing the three-year phase-in of actuarial assumptions, in the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation. The assumed investment rate of return remained at 7.58%, wage inflation at 3.83%, and price inflation at 3.33%. As of July 1, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the Pension Plan's UAAL increased by \$0.6 billion. The primary cause of this increase is the smoothing of investment losses from the year ended June 30, 2009 that are being recognized over five years, which mitigates the impact of investment performance volatility on employer contribution rates.

California Public Employees' Retirement System – Pension Plan (Safety Members)

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Asset Value	Actuarial Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(Under) funded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll
06/30/11	\$ 788,580	\$ 836,171	\$ (47,591)	94.3%	\$ 105,575	45.1%
06/30/12	834,822	892,396	(57,574)	93.5%	104,239	55.2%
06/30/13	785,150	962,208	(177,058)	81.6%	108,070	163.8%

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Required Supplementary Information –
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions (Unaudited) (continued)**

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

**Schedule of Funding Progress – City and County of San Francisco –
Other Postemployment Health Care Benefits**

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Asset Value	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(Under) funded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll
07/01/08	\$ -	\$ 4,364,273	\$ (4,364,273)	0.0%	\$ 2,296,336	190.1%
07/01/10 ⁽¹⁾	-	4,420,146	(4,420,146)	0.0%	2,393,930	184.6%
07/01/12	17,852	3,997,762	(3,979,910)	0.4%	2,457,633	161.9%

⁽¹⁾ As of July 1, 2010, the City set-aside approximately \$3.2 million in assets for the OPEB plan. However, the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund was not established until December 2010.

**Schedule of Employer Contributions – City and County of San Francisco –
Other Postemployment Health Care Benefits**

Year ended June 30,	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 397,862	39.2%
2013	408,735	39.2%
2014	341,377	48.8%

**Schedule of Funding Progress – San Francisco County Transportation Authority –
Other Postemployment Health Care Benefits**

Actuarial Valuation Date ⁽¹⁾	Actuarial Asset Value	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(Under) funded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll
01/01/10	\$ 173	\$ 374	\$ (201)	46.3%	\$ 2,858	7.0%
06/30/11 ⁽²⁾	405	671	(266)	60.4%	3,251	8.2%
06/30/13	760	1,124	(364)	67.6%	3,253	11.2%

⁽¹⁾ The actuarial valuation report is conducted once every two years. The SFCTA's next valuation is scheduled to be performed in fiscal year 2014/2015.

⁽²⁾ As of June 30, 2012, the SFCTA complied with GASB Statement No. 57 and completed an OPEB actuarial valuation based on a common date of its trust account with CalPERS. CalPERS requires June 30 valuations to be prepared for each odd numbered year. As such, the SFCTA performed its latest actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Required Supplementary Information –
Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions (Unaudited) (continued)**

June 30, 2014

(Dollars in Thousands)

Schedule of Funding Progress – Successor Agency – Other Postemployment Health Care Benefits


Actuarial Valuation Date ⁽¹⁾	Actuarial Asset Value	Actuarial Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(Under) funded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll
06/30/09	\$ 493	\$ 13,790	\$ (13,297)	3.6%	\$ 10,515	126.5%
06/30/11	1,856	14,390	(12,534)	12.9%	4,185	299.5%
06/30/13	2,154	11,378	(9,224)	18.9%	4,048	227.9%

(1) The actuarial valuation report is conducted once every two years.



This page has been intentionally left blank.

Combining Financial Statements and Schedules



Bay Tunnel – Tunnel Boring Machine Assembly and Launch.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditures for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

Building Inspection Fund – Accounts for the revenues and expenditures of the Bureau of Building Inspection which provides enforcement and implementation of laws regulating the use, occupancy, location and maintenance of buildings. This fund shall be used by the Department of Building Inspection to defray the costs of the Bureau of Building Inspection in processing and reviewing permits applications and plans, filed inspections, code enforcement and reproduction of documents.

Children and Families Fund – Accounts for property tax revenues, tobacco tax funding from Proposition 10 and interest earnings designated by Charter provision. Monies in this fund are used as specified in the Charter and Proposition 10 to provide services to children less than eighteen years old, and to promote, support and improve the early development of children from the prenatal stage to five years of age.

Community/Neighborhood Development Fund – Accounts for various grants primarily from the Department of Housing and Urban Development including federal grants administered by the former Redevelopment Agency to provide for community development of rundown areas; to promote new housing, child care centers and public recreation areas; to provide a variety of social programs for the underprivileged and provide loans for various community development activities. This fund also includes proceeds from a bond issuance to benefit the Seismic Safety Loan Program which provides loans for seismic strengthening of privately-owned unreinforced masonry buildings in the City.

Community Health Services Fund – Accounts for state and federal grants used to promote public health and mental health programs.

Convention Facilities Fund – Accounts for operating revenues of the convention facilities: Moscone Center, Brooks Hall and Civic Auditorium. In addition to transfers for lease payments of the Moscone Center, this fund provides for operating costs of the various convention facilities and the San Francisco Convention and Visitors Bureau.

Court's Fund – Accounts for a portion of revenues from court filing fees that are specifically dedicated for Courthouse costs.

Culture and Recreation Fund – Accounts for revenues received from a variety of cultural and recreational funds such as Public Arts, Youth Arts and Yacht Harbor with revenues used for certain specified operating costs.

Environmental Protection Fund – Accounts for revenues received from state, federal and other sources for the preservation of the environment, recycling, and reduction of toxic waste from the City's waste stream.

Gasoline Tax Fund – Accounts for the subventions received from state gas taxes under the provision of the Streets and Highways Code and for operating transfers from other funds which are used for the same purposes. State subventions are restricted to uses related to local streets and highways, acquisitions of real property, construction and improvements, and maintenance and repairs.

General Services Fund – Accounts for the activities of several non-grant activities, generally established by administrative action.

Gift Fund – Accounts for certain cash gifts which have been accepted by the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and the operations of two smaller funds that cannot properly be grouped into the Gift Fund because of their specific terms. Disbursements are made by departments, boards and commissions in accordance with the purposes, if any, specified by the donor. Activities are controlled by project accounting procedures maintained by the Controller.

Golf Fund – Accounts for the revenue and expenditures related to the City's six golf courses.

Human Welfare Fund – Accounts for state and federal grants used to promote education and discourage domestic violence.

Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund – Accounts for the former Redevelopment Agency's affordable housing assets upon its dissolution on January 31, 2012.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS (Continued)

Open Space and Park Fund – Accounts for property tax revenues designated by Charter provision, interest earnings and miscellaneous service charges and gifts. Monies in this fund are used as specified in the Charter for acquisition and development of parks and open space parcels, for renovation of existing parks and recreation facilities, for maintenance of properties acquired and for after-school recreation programs.

Public Library Fund – Accounts for property tax revenues and interest earnings designated by Charter provision. Monies in this fund are to be expended or used exclusively by the library department to provide library services and materials and to operate library facilities.

Public Protection Fund – Accounts for grants received and revenues and expenditures of 21 special revenue funds including fingerprinting, vehicle theft crimes, peace officer training and other activities related to public protection.

Public Works, Transportation and Commerce Fund – Accounts for the revenues and expenditures of 13 special revenue funds including construction inspection, engineering inspection and other activities related to public works projects. In addition, the fund accounts for various grants from federal and state agencies expended for specific purposes, activities or facilities related to transportation and commerce.

Real Property Fund – Accounts for the lease revenue from real property purchased with the proceeds from certificates of participation. The lease revenue is used for operations and to pay for debt service of the certificates of participation. Sales and disposals of real property are also accounted for in this fund.

San Francisco County Transportation Authority Fund – Accounts for the proceeds of a one-half of one percent increase in local sales tax authorized by the voters for mass transit and other traffic and transportation purposes.

Senior Citizens' Program Fund – Accounts for grant revenues from the federal and state government to be used to promote the well-being of San Francisco senior citizens.

War Memorial Fund – Accounts for the costs of maintaining, operating and caring for the War Memorial buildings and grounds.

DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

The Debt Service Funds account for the accumulation of property taxes and other revenues for periodic payment of interest and principal on general obligation and certain lease revenue bonds and related authorized costs.

General Obligation Bond Fund – Accounts for property taxes and other revenues, (including the tobacco settlement revenues in excess of the \$100 million required to fund the Laguna Honda Hospital construction project) for periodic payment of interest and principal of general obligation bonds and related costs. Provisions are made in the general property tax levy for monies sufficient to meet these requirements in accordance with Article XIII of the State Constitution (Proposition 13).

Certificates of Participation (COP) Funds – Accounts for Base Rental payments from the various Special Revenue Funds and General Fund which provide for periodic payments of interest and principal. The COPs are being sold to provide funds to finance the acquisition of existing office buildings and certain improvements thereto, or the construction of City buildings such as the Courthouse, to be leased to the City for use of certain City departments as office space.

Other Bond Funds – Accounts for funds and debt service for the revolving fund loans operated and managed by the Mayor's Office of Community Development to assist with economic development efforts in low income neighborhoods (Facade Improvement Program) and for loans under the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (Fillmore Renaissance Center and Boys and Girls Club Hunters' Point Clubhouse) and the Asphalt Plant Expansion Loan.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS

Capital Projects Funds are used to account for financial resources that are restricted, committed or assigned to expenditures for the acquisition of land or acquisition and construction of major facilities other than those financed in the proprietary fund types.

City Facilities Improvement Fund – Accounts for bond proceeds, capital lease financing, federal and local funds and transfers from other funds which are designated for various buildings and general improvements. Expenditures for acquisition and construction of public buildings and improvements are made in accordance with bond requirements and appropriation ordinances.

Earthquake Safety Improvement Fund – Accounts for bond proceeds, Federal/State grants and private gifts which are designated for earthquake facilities improvements to various City buildings and facilities. Expenditures for construction are made in accordance with bond requirements and grant regulations.

Fire Protection Systems Improvement Fund – Accounts for bond proceeds which are designated for improvements in fire protection facilities. Expenditures for construction are made in accordance with bond requirements.

Moscone Convention Center Fund – Accounts for proceeds from Moscone Convention Center Lease Revenue Bonds and transfers from the General Fund and Convention Facilities Special Revenue Fund. Expenditures are for construction of the George R. Moscone Convention Center and for related administrative costs.

Public Library Improvement Fund – Accounts for bond proceeds and private gifts which are designated for construction of public library facilities. Expenditures for construction are made in accordance with bond requirements and private funds agreements.

Recreation and Park Projects Fund – Accounts for bond proceeds, Federal and state grants, gifts and transfers from other funds which are designated for various recreation and park additions and development. Expenditures for acquisition and construction of recreation and park facilities are made in accordance with bond requirements and appropriation ordinances.

Street Improvement Fund – Accounts for gas tax subventions, bond fund proceeds and other revenues which are designated for general street improvements. Expenditures for land acquisition and construction of designated improvements are made in accordance with applicable state codes, City charter provisions and bond requirements.

PERMANENT FUND

Permanent funds are used to report resources that are legally restricted to the extent that only earnings, not principal, may be used for purposes that support the reporting government's programs.

Bequest Fund – Accounts for income and disbursements of bequests accepted by the City. Disbursements are made in accordance with terms of the bequests.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds**

June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Permanent Fund Bequest Fund	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Assets:					
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 703,258	\$ 107,312	\$ 514,375	\$ 7,678	\$ 1,332,623
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	24,770	31,046	10,175	-	65,991
Receivables					
Property taxes and penalties.....	4,279	5,949	-	-	10,228
Other local taxes.....	17,704	-	-	-	17,704
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	113,128	-	7,168	-	120,296
Charges for services.....	13,517	-	-	-	13,517
Interest and other.....	2,836	310	675	8	3,829
Due from other funds.....	3,138	-	2,735	-	5,873
Due from component unit.....	509	-	36	-	545
Advance to component unit.....	10,606	-	-	-	10,606
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible)	70,747	-	-	-	70,747
Other assets.....	13,638	-	-	-	13,638
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 978,130</u>	<u>\$ 144,617</u>	<u>\$ 535,164</u>	<u>\$ 7,686</u>	<u>\$ 1,665,597</u>
Liabilities:					
Accounts payable.....	\$ 83,787	\$ 3	\$ 67,927	\$ 91	\$ 151,808
Accrued payroll.....	22,661	-	2,520	-	25,181
Unearned grant and subvention revenue.....	8,216	-	104	13	8,333
Due to other funds.....	12,694	30	8,186	-	20,910
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	40,406	12,828	2,138	40	55,412
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	138,334	-	37,426	-	175,760
Total liabilities.....	<u>306,098</u>	<u>12,861</u>	<u>118,301</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>437,404</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	<u>119,524</u>	<u>5,252</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>126,776</u>
Fund balances:					
Nonspendable.....	441	-	-	-	441
Restricted.....	558,673	126,504	422,507	7,542	1,115,226
Assigned.....	50,733	-	-	-	50,733
Unassigned.....	(57,339)	-	(7,644)	-	(64,983)
Total fund balances.....	<u>552,508</u>	<u>126,504</u>	<u>414,863</u>	<u>7,542</u>	<u>1,101,417</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	<u>\$ 978,130</u>	<u>\$ 144,617</u>	<u>\$ 535,164</u>	<u>\$ 7,686</u>	<u>\$ 1,665,597</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Capital Projects Funds	Permanent Fund Bequest Fund	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Revenues:					
Property taxes.....	\$ 130,445	\$ 208,539	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 338,984
Business taxes.....	510	-	-	-	510
Sales and use tax.....	93,931	-	-	-	93,931
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	15,396	-	-	-	15,396
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	7,941	15,203	-	-	23,144
Interest and investment income.....	7,527	1,431	4,790	64	13,812
Rents and concessions.....	63,473	733	152	853	65,211
Intergovernmental:					
Federal.....	201,779	-	8,853	-	210,632
State.....	106,312	801	4,745	-	111,858
Other.....	6,930	-	287	-	7,217
Charges for services.....	153,054	-	-	-	153,054
Other.....	107,283	3,734	14,048	98	125,163
Total revenues.....	<u>894,581</u>	<u>230,441</u>	<u>32,875</u>	<u>1,015</u>	<u>1,158,912</u>
Expenditures:					
Current:					
Public protection.....	75,658	-	-	-	75,658
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	153,756	-	-	-	153,756
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	274,405	-	-	-	274,405
Community health.....	92,738	-	-	-	92,738
Culture and recreation.....	218,007	-	-	888	218,895
General administration and finance.....	43,642	-	-	-	43,642
General City responsibilities.....	28	-	-	-	28
Debt service:					
Principal retirement.....	-	190,266	-	-	190,266
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	1,966	116,579	597	-	119,142
Bond issuance costs.....	-	1,007	1,178	-	2,185
Capital outlay.....	-	-	449,726	-	449,726
Total expenditures.....	<u>860,200</u>	<u>307,852</u>	<u>451,501</u>	<u>888</u>	<u>1,620,441</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>34,381</u>	<u>(77,411)</u>	<u>(418,626)</u>	<u>127</u>	<u>(461,529)</u>
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfers in.....	236,879	68,759	41,196	-	346,834
Transfers out.....	(110,292)	-	(44,190)	(8)	(154,490)
Issuance of bonds and loans:					
Face value of bonds issued.....	-	47,220	209,955	-	257,175
Face value of loans issued.....	8,735	-	-	-	8,735
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	-	3,265	16,508	-	19,773
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent.....	-	(49,055)	-	-	(49,055)
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	1,417	-	4,867	-	6,284
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>136,739</u>	<u>70,189</u>	<u>228,336</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>435,256</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	171,120	(7,222)	(190,290)	119	(26,273)
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	381,388	133,726	605,153	7,423	1,127,690
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 552,508</u>	<u>\$ 126,504</u>	<u>\$ 414,863</u>	<u>\$ 7,542</u>	<u>\$ 1,101,417</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Building Inspection Fund	Children and Families Fund	Community / Neighborhood Development Fund	Community Health Services Fund	Convention Facilities Fund	Court's Fund
Assets:						
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 121,701	\$ 75,800	\$ 172,314	\$ 27,904	\$ 35,097	\$ 17
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	5	-	4,343	-	-	-
Receivables						
Property taxes and penalties.....	-	1,605	-	-	-	-
Other local taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	7,628	21,770	20,480	-	-
Charges for services.....	374	-	22	14	4,301	147
Interest and other.....	131	103	191	29	-	-
Due from other funds.....	-	-	2,870	-	-	-
Due from component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance to component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible)...	256	-	70,045	-	-	-
Other assets.....	-	1,044	-	-	-	-
Total assets.....	\$ 122,467	\$ 86,180	\$ 271,555	\$ 48,427	\$ 39,398	\$ 164
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable.....	\$ 1,593	\$ 20,583	\$ 11,823	\$ 13,455	\$ 1,150	\$ 6
Accrued payroll.....	2,289	997	997	2,117	107	-
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	-	203	794	382	-	-
Due to other funds.....	-	-	-	648	-	-
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	20,401	3,407	226	782	1,420	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	-	3,126	-	-	-
Total liabilities.....	24,283	25,190	16,966	17,384	2,677	6
Deferred inflows of resources	256	7,244	75,871	9,092	-	-
Fund balances:						
Nonspendable.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Restricted.....	97,928	53,746	175,865	21,951	36,721	158
Assigned.....	-	-	2,853	-	-	-
Unassigned.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total fund balances.....	97,928	53,746	178,718	21,951	36,721	158
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	\$ 122,467	\$ 86,180	\$ 271,555	\$ 48,427	\$ 39,398	\$ 164

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Culture and Recreation Fund	Environmental Protection Fund	Gasoline Tax Fund	General Services Fund	Gift and Other Expendable Trusts Fund	Golf Fund
Assets:						
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 9,927	\$ 973	\$ 24,019	\$ 15,686	\$ 7,280	\$ 2,885
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	235	1,307	-	-	192	-
Receivables						
Property taxes and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other local taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	30	1,434	3,743	624	105	-
Charges for services.....	110	-	142	1,682	-	382
Interest and other.....	-	124	21	724	3	3
Due from other funds.....	-	202	-	-	-	-
Due from component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance to component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible)...	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 10,302</u>	<u>\$ 4,040</u>	<u>\$ 27,925</u>	<u>\$ 18,716</u>	<u>\$ 7,580</u>	<u>\$ 3,270</u>
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable.....	\$ 1,607	\$ 488	\$ 3,843	\$ 1,175	\$ 133	\$ 345
Accrued payroll.....	263	240	1,793	473	30	285
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	335	1,993	-	207	182	-
Due to other funds.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	4	-	-	125	-	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities.....	<u>2,209</u>	<u>2,721</u>	<u>5,636</u>	<u>1,980</u>	<u>345</u>	<u>630</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	<u>14</u>	<u>689</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>612</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balances:						
Nonspendable.....	-	-	-	-	192	-
Restricted.....	5,557	630	22,289	7,012	6,979	-
Assigned.....	2,522	-	-	9,112	-	2,640
Unassigned.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total fund balances.....	<u>8,079</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>22,289</u>	<u>16,124</u>	<u>7,171</u>	<u>2,640</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	<u>\$ 10,302</u>	<u>\$ 4,040</u>	<u>\$ 27,925</u>	<u>\$ 18,716</u>	<u>\$ 7,580</u>	<u>\$ 3,270</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Human Welfare Fund	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	Open Space and Park Fund	Public Library Fund	Public Protection Fund	Public Works, Transportation and Commerce Fund
Assets:						
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ -	\$ 23,012	\$ 27,712	\$ 40,735	\$ 13,929	\$ 35,293
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	-	-	-	-	100	130
Receivables						
Property taxes and penalties.....	-	-	1,337	1,337	-	-
Other local taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	7,566	-	-	7	30,027	226
Charges for services.....	200	31	-	8	2,013	4,090
Interest and other.....	-	270	29	45	174	-
Due from other funds.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Due from component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance to component unit.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible)...	-	446	-	-	-	-
Other assets.....	91	6,251	-	-	950	5,053
Total assets.....	\$ 7,857	\$ 30,010	\$ 29,078	\$ 42,132	\$ 47,193	\$ 44,792
Liabilities:						
Accounts payable.....	\$ 2,265	\$ -	\$ 185	\$ 2,987	\$ 5,279	\$ 1,311
Accrued payroll.....	53	50	1,376	4,410	1,473	3,798
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	15	-	-	-	3,956	-
Due to other funds.....	4,219	-	60	-	-	276
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	-	2,701	2,841	2,839	-	5,637
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	-	-	-	-	208
Total liabilities.....	6,552	2,751	4,462	10,236	10,708	11,230
Deferred inflows of resources	1,745	446	1,182	1,182	9,900	2,617
Fund balances:						
Nonspendable.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Restricted.....	-	26,813	23,434	29,574	24,781	283
Assigned.....	-	-	-	1,140	1,804	30,662
Unassigned.....	(440)	-	-	-	-	-
Total fund balances.....	(440)	26,813	23,434	30,714	26,585	30,945
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	\$ 7,857	\$ 30,010	\$ 29,078	\$ 42,132	\$ 47,193	\$ 44,792

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)**
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Real Property Fund	San Francisco County Transportation Authority Fund	Senior Citizens' Program Fund	War Memorial Fund	Total
Assets:					
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 4,479	\$ 52,945	\$ -	\$ 11,550	\$ 703,258
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	419	18,039	-	-	24,770
Receivables					
Property taxes and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	4,279
Other local taxes.....	-	17,704	-	-	17,704
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	18,054	1,434	-	113,128
Charges for services.....	1	-	-	-	13,517
Interest and other.....	-	989	-	-	2,836
Due from other funds.....	42	24	-	-	3,138
Due from component unit.....	-	509	-	-	509
Advance to component unit.....	-	10,606	-	-	10,606
Loans receivable (net of allowance for uncollectible)...	-	-	-	-	70,747
Other assets.....	-	249	-	-	13,638
Total assets.....	\$ 4,941	\$ 119,119	\$ 1,434	\$ 11,550	\$ 978,130
Liabilities:					
Accounts payable.....	\$ 1,844	\$ 13,058	\$ 617	\$ 40	\$ 83,787
Accrued payroll.....	1,339	106	47	418	22,661
Unearned grant and subvention revenues.....	-	-	149	-	8,216
Due to other funds.....	-	6,870	621	-	12,694
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	-	-	-	23	40,406
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	135,000	-	-	138,334
Total liabilities.....	3,183	155,034	1,434	481	306,098
Deferred inflows of resources	-	8,477	133	-	119,524
Fund balances:					
Nonspendable.....	-	249	-	-	441
Restricted.....	1,758	12,125	-	11,069	558,673
Assigned.....	-	-	-	-	50,733
Unassigned.....	-	(56,766)	(133)	-	(57,339)
Total fund balances.....	1,758	(44,392)	(133)	11,069	552,508
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	\$ 4,941	\$ 119,119	\$ 1,434	\$ 11,550	\$ 978,130

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Building Inspection Fund	Children and Families Fund	Community / Neighborhood Development Fund	Community Health Services Fund	Convention Facilities Fund	Court's Fund
Revenues:						
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ 48,917	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	510	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	6,665	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	164	2,735	-	29
Interest and investment income.....	852	555	3,153	218	294	-
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	37	-	27,669	-
Intergovernmental:						
Federal.....	-	9,180	49,064	52,527	-	-
State.....	-	12,700	12,409	24,276	-	-
Other.....	-	-	3	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	70,259	-	7,185	4,593	-	2,731
Other.....	1	632	98,642	674	-	-
Total revenues.....	<u>77,777</u>	<u>71,984</u>	<u>171,167</u>	<u>85,023</u>	<u>27,963</u>	<u>2,760</u>
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	378
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	50,941	-	12,259	246	1,062	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	155,381	65,351	-	206	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	92,735	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	99	-	47,625	-
General administration and finance.....	-	-	2,309	-	404	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt service:						
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	-	-	98	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>50,941</u>	<u>155,381</u>	<u>80,116</u>	<u>92,981</u>	<u>49,297</u>	<u>378</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>26,836</u>	<u>(83,397)</u>	<u>91,051</u>	<u>(7,958)</u>	<u>(21,334)</u>	<u>2,382</u>
Other financing sources (uses):						
Transfers in.....	3	83,141	279	-	47,314	6,208
Transfers out.....	(42)	(5)	(7,843)	(23)	(22,549)	(4,188)
Issuance of bonds and loans						
Face value of loans issued.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>(39)</u>	<u>83,136</u>	<u>(7,564)</u>	<u>(23)</u>	<u>24,765</u>	<u>2,020</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	26,797	(261)	83,487	(7,981)	3,431	4,402
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	71,131	54,007	95,231	29,932	33,290	(4,244)
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 97,928</u>	<u>\$ 53,746</u>	<u>\$ 178,718</u>	<u>\$ 21,951</u>	<u>\$ 36,721</u>	<u>\$ 158</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Culture and Recreation Fund	Environmental Protection Fund	Gasoline Tax Fund	General Services Fund	Gift and Other Expendable Trusts Fund	Golf Fund
Revenues:						
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	211	-	-	2,834	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	1	-	-	258	-
Interest and investment income.....	16	4	130	59	81	21
Rents and concessions.....	404	-	-	849	-	3,131
Intergovernmental:						
Federal.....	96	439	-	1,925	-	-
State.....	463	5,833	36,936	19	-	-
Other.....	40	258	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	7,914	23	666	2,020	19	6,893
Other.....	238	376	29	631	1,833	-
Total revenues.....	<u>9,382</u>	<u>6,934</u>	<u>37,761</u>	<u>8,337</u>	<u>2,191</u>	<u>10,045</u>
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Public protection.....	-	-	-	246	25	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	1,655	-	28,977	32	175	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	7,670	-	-	570	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	3	-
Culture and recreation.....	10,247	-	-	806	1,691	12,911
General administration and finance.....	11,944	17	-	5,424	60	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	23	5	-
Debt service:						
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	514	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>24,360</u>	<u>7,687</u>	<u>28,977</u>	<u>6,531</u>	<u>2,529</u>	<u>12,911</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(14,978)</u>	<u>(753)</u>	<u>8,784</u>	<u>1,806</u>	<u>(338)</u>	<u>(2,866)</u>
Other financing sources (uses):						
Transfers in.....	17,918	530	1,345	118	-	4,771
Transfers out.....	(255)	(193)	(2,633)	-	(1,408)	(1,180)
Issuance of bonds and loans Face value of loans issued.....	8,735	-	-	-	-	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	-	-	1,417	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>26,398</u>	<u>337</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>(1,408)</u>	<u>3,591</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	11,420	(416)	8,913	1,924	(1,746)	725
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	(3,341)	1,046	13,376	14,200	8,917	1,915
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 8,079</u>	<u>\$ 630</u>	<u>\$ 22,289</u>	<u>\$ 16,124</u>	<u>\$ 7,171</u>	<u>\$ 2,640</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Human Welfare Fund	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	Open Space and Park Fund	Public Library Fund	Public Protection Fund	Public Works, Transportation and Commerce Fund
Revenues:						
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,764	\$ 40,764	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	298	-	-	-	506	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	10	-	-	-	4,625	119
Interest and investment income.....	-	636	118	273	97	253
Rents and concessions.....	-	1,855	-	16	-	-
Intergovernmental:						
Federal.....	18,222	-	-	28	55,682	-
State.....	219	-	167	207	10,846	126
Other.....	16	716	-	-	4	761
Charges for services.....	518	-	-	797	13,757	35,435
Other.....	28	2,997	-	-	69	704
Total revenues.....	19,311	6,204	41,049	42,085	85,586	37,398
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	75,009	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	-	-	6,865	-	12,413
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	22,709	1,988	-	-	3,118	11,087
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	38,345	94,781	-	29
General administration and finance.....	-	-	35	145	3,136	1
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt service:						
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	22,709	1,988	38,380	101,791	81,263	23,530
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(3,398)	4,216	2,669	(59,706)	4,323	13,868
Other financing sources (uses):						
Transfers in.....	2,708	-	1,180	60,680	-	1,296
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	(1,676)	(2,092)	(253)
Issuance of bonds and loans						
Face value of loans issued.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....						
Total other financing sources (uses).....	2,708	-	1,180	59,004	(2,092)	1,043
Net changes in fund balances.....	(690)	4,216	3,849	(702)	2,231	14,911
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	250	22,597	19,585	31,416	24,354	16,034
Fund balances at end of year.....	\$ (440)	\$ 26,813	\$ 23,434	\$ 30,714	\$ 26,585	\$ 30,945

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Real Property Fund	San Francisco County Transportation Authority Fund	Senior Citizens' Program Fund	War Memorial Fund	Total
Revenues:					
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,445
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	510
Sales and use tax.....	-	93,931	-	-	93,931
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	4,882	-	-	15,396
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	7,941
Interest and investment income.....	28	638	-	101	7,527
Rents and concessions.....	27,379	-	-	2,133	63,473
Intergovernmental:					
Federal.....	-	9,496	5,120	-	201,779
State.....	-	1,279	832	-	106,312
Other.....	440	4,692	-	-	6,930
Charges for services.....	3	-	-	241	153,054
Other.....	-	169	260	-	107,283
Total revenues.....	<u>27,850</u>	<u>115,087</u>	<u>6,212</u>	<u>2,475</u>	<u>894,581</u>
Expenditures:					
Current:					
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	75,658
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	1,133	37,998	-	-	153,756
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	6,325	-	274,405
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	92,738
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	11,473	218,007
General administration and finance.....	20,167	-	-	-	43,642
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	28
Debt service:					
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	-	1,354	-	-	1,966
Total expenditures.....	<u>21,300</u>	<u>39,352</u>	<u>6,325</u>	<u>11,473</u>	<u>860,200</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>6,550</u>	<u>75,735</u>	<u>(113)</u>	<u>(8,998)</u>	<u>34,381</u>
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfers in.....	-	-	8	9,380	236,879
Transfers out.....	(13,612)	(52,240)	-	(100)	(110,292)
Issuance of bonds and loans Face value of loans issued.....	-	-	-	-	8,735
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	-	-	-	-	1,417
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>(13,612)</u>	<u>(52,240)</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9,280</u>	<u>136,739</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(7,062)</u>	<u>23,495</u>	<u>(105)</u>	<u>282</u>	<u>171,120</u>
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	<u>8,820</u>	<u>(67,887)</u>	<u>(28)</u>	<u>10,787</u>	<u>381,388</u>
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 1,758</u>	<u>\$ (44,392)</u>	<u>\$ (133)</u>	<u>\$ 11,069</u>	<u>\$ 552,508</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Building Inspection Fund				Children and Families Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,950	\$ 47,950	\$ 48,917	\$ 967
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	6,761	6,761	6,665	(96)	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	459	459	648	189	429	429	429	-
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	10,190	9,796	9,180	(616)
State.....	-	-	-	-	15,083	16,571	16,362	(209)
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	49,795	49,795	70,259	20,464	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	1	1	110	632	632	-
Total revenues.....	57,015	57,015	77,573	20,558	73,762	75,378	75,520	142
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	63,855	58,027	50,944	7,083	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	-	-	168,364	155,905	155,383	522
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	63,855	58,027	50,944	7,083	168,364	155,905	155,383	522
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(6,840)	(1,012)	26,629	27,641	(94,602)	(80,527)	(79,863)	664
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	-	3	3	-	82,660	83,141	83,141	-
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	(14,738)	-	-	-	(1,026)	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	(14,738)	3	3	-	81,634	83,141	83,141	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(21,578)	(1,009)	26,632	27,641	(12,968)	2,614	3,278	664
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	21,578	71,195	71,195	-	12,968	56,203	56,203	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 70,186	\$ 97,827	\$ 27,641	\$ -	\$ 58,817	\$ 59,481	\$ 664

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Community / Neighborhood Development Fund				Community Health Services Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	1,000	1,000	510	(490)	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	650	650	164	(486)	2,324	2,324	2,735	411
Interest and investment income.....	9	2,353	2,789	436	220	216	153	(63)
Rents and concessions.....	-	269	37	(232)	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	6,454	51,516	51,516	-	65,638	56,218	56,218	-
State.....	523	15,172	15,172	-	33,506	24,222	24,222	-
Other.....	-	287	287	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	5,435	5,435	7,185	1,750	242	6,614	4,593	(2,021)
Other.....	3,415	79,847	98,642	18,795	448	674	674	-
Total revenues.....	17,486	156,529	176,302	19,773	102,378	90,268	88,595	(1,673)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	6,162	12,259	12,259	-	-	246	246	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	7,850	65,965	65,350	615	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	102,406	92,735	92,735	-
Culture and recreation.....	1,312	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	3,279	2,310	2,310	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	18,603	80,633	80,018	615	102,406	92,981	92,981	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(1,117)	75,896	96,284	20,388	(28)	(2,713)	(4,386)	(1,673)
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	1	279	279	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out.....	(10)	(10,142)	(10,142)	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	1,631	1,631	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	(1,420)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	(98)	(98)	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	(1,429)	(8,330)	(8,330)	-	-	-	-	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(2,546)	67,566	87,954	20,388	(28)	(2,713)	(4,386)	(1,673)
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	2,546	104,527	104,527	-	28	35,413	35,413	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 172,093	\$ 192,481	\$ 20,388	\$ -	\$ 32,700	\$ 31,027	\$ (1,673)

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Convention Facilities Fund				Court's Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	35	35	29	(6)
Interest and investment income.....	-	2	4	2	-	-	-	-
Rents and concessions.....	25,024	25,024	27,669	2,645	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	-	-	-	-	3,500	3,500	2,736	(764)
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total revenues.....	25,024	25,026	27,673	2,647	3,535	3,535	2,765	(770)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	4,616	428	365	63
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	1,062	1,062	-	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	560	206	206	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	76,339	53,673	47,625	6,048	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	-	404	404	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	76,899	55,345	49,297	6,048	4,616	428	365	63
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(51,875)	(30,319)	(21,624)	8,695	(1,081)	3,107	2,400	(707)
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	42,287	47,314	47,314	-	-	6,196	6,196	-
Transfers out.....	-	(21,752)	(21,752)	-	-	(4,188)	(4,188)	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	(506)	(506)	(506)	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	41,781	25,056	25,056	-	-	2,008	2,008	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(10,094)	(5,263)	3,432	8,695	(1,081)	5,115	4,408	(707)
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	10,094	38,055	38,055	-	1,081	(4,241)	(4,241)	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 32,792	\$ 41,487	\$ 8,695	\$ -	\$ 874	\$ 167	\$ (707)

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Culture and Recreation Fund				Environmental Protection Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	312	312	211	(101)	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Interest and investment income.....	25	25	-	(25)	-	-	-	-
Rents and concessions.....	343	343	404	61	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	96	96	-	-	434	434	-
State.....	-	368	368	-	536	6,414	6,414	-
Other.....	60	-	-	-	673	256	258	2
Charges for services.....	7,646	7,890	7,888	(2)	-	-	23	23
Other.....	-	238	238	-	1,521	520	376	(144)
Total revenues.....	8,386	9,272	9,205	(67)	2,730	7,624	7,506	(118)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	925	1,655	1,655	-	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	-	-	2,747	8,548	7,670	878
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	11,211	10,790	10,246	544	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	12,733	11,944	11,944	-	-	17	17	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	24,869	24,389	23,845	544	2,747	8,565	7,687	878
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(16,483)	(15,117)	(14,640)	477	(17)	(941)	(181)	760
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	16,990	17,918	17,918	-	-	530	530	-
Transfers out.....	-	(210)	(210)	-	-	(189)	(189)	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	8,735	8,735	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	(2,329)	(2,329)	(743)	1,586	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	14,657	24,114	25,700	1,586	-	341	341	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(1,826)	8,997	11,060	2,063	(17)	(600)	160	760
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	1,826	1,684	1,684	-	17	1,158	1,158	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 10,681	\$ 12,744	\$ 2,063	\$ -	\$ 558	\$ 1,318	\$ 760

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Gasoline Tax Fund				General Services Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	2,921	2,921	2,834	(87)
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	42	42	100	58	45	45	45	-
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	-	849	849	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	-	1,926	1,926	-
State.....	35,138	35,138	36,935	1,797	-	19	19	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	800	800	666	(134)	2,807	2,807	2,020	(787)
Other.....	-	-	29	29	466	1,162	1,162	-
Total revenues.....	35,980	35,980	37,730	1,750	6,239	9,729	8,855	(874)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	280	246	246	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	37,325	27,842	27,559	283	-	32	32	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	-	806	806	-
General administration and finance.....	-	-	-	-	6,138	5,424	5,424	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	37,325	27,842	27,559	283	6,418	6,508	6,508	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(1,345)	8,138	10,171	2,033	(179)	3,221	2,347	(874)
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	1,345	1,345	1,345	-	159	95	95	-
Transfers out.....	-	(2,633)	(2,633)	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	(13)	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	1,345	(1,288)	(1,288)	-	146	95	95	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	-	6,850	8,883	2,033	(33)	3,316	2,442	(874)
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	-	13,389	13,389	-	33	14,299	14,299	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 20,239	\$ 22,272	\$ 2,033	\$ -	\$ 17,615	\$ 16,741	\$ (874)

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Gift and Other Expendable Trusts Fund				Golf Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	257	257	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	-	25	25	-	20	20	17	(3)
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	3,157	3,157	3,131	(26)
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	-	19	19	-	6,970	6,970	6,893	(77)
Other.....	1,025	2,153	1,896	(257)	-	-	-	-
Total revenues.....	1,025	2,197	2,197	-	10,147	10,147	10,041	(106)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	175	175	-	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	544	571	571	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	481	1,691	1,691	-	13,738	13,829	12,911	918
General administration and finance.....	-	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	1,025	2,530	2,530	-	13,738	13,829	12,911	918
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	-	(333)	(333)	-	(3,591)	(3,682)	(2,870)	812
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	-	-	-	-	4,771	4,771	4,771	-
Transfers out.....	-	(1,356)	(1,356)	-	(1,180)	(1,180)	(1,180)	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	-	(1,356)	(1,356)	-	3,591	3,591	3,591	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	-	(1,689)	(1,689)	-	-	(91)	721	812
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	-	8,909	8,909	-	-	1,920	1,920	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 7,220	\$ 7,220	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,829	\$ 2,641	\$ 812

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Human Welfare Fund				Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	222	222	298	76	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	8	8	10	2	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	602	602
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	5,500	5,500	1,854	(3,646)
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	27,046	18,933	18,933	-	-	-	-	-
State.....	96	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
Other.....	40	16	16	-	1,368	716	716	-
Charges for services.....	130	306	518	212	-	-	-	-
Other.....	235	30	30	-	-	-	2,997	2,997
Total revenues.....	27,777	19,742	20,032	290	6,868	6,216	6,169	(47)
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	30,267	22,716	22,670	46	6,868	1,988	1,988	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	30,267	22,716	22,670	46	6,868	1,988	1,988	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	(2,490)	(2,974)	(2,638)	336	-	4,228	4,181	(47)
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	2,481	2,669	2,669	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	2,481	2,669	2,669	-	-	-	-	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(9)	(305)	31	336	-	4,228	4,181	(47)
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	9	1,272	1,272	-	-	22,618	22,618	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 967	\$ 1,303	\$ 336	\$ -	\$ 26,846	\$ 26,799	\$ (47)

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Open Space and Park Fund				Public Library Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ 39,956	\$ 39,956	\$ 40,764	\$ 808	\$ 39,956	\$ 39,956	\$ 40,764	\$ 808
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	452	452	76	(376)	222	222	151	(71)
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	24	24	16	(8)
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	-
State.....	152	152	168	16	216	204	207	3
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	-	-	-	-	856	856	797	(59)
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total revenues.....	<u>40,560</u>	<u>40,560</u>	<u>41,008</u>	<u>448</u>	<u>41,274</u>	<u>41,290</u>	<u>41,963</u>	<u>673</u>
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	-	-	-	-	6,864	6,864	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	43,432	41,532	38,346	3,186	99,913	97,755	94,781	2,974
General administration and finance.....	-	35	35	-	-	145	145	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>43,432</u>	<u>41,567</u>	<u>38,381</u>	<u>3,186</u>	<u>99,913</u>	<u>104,764</u>	<u>101,790</u>	<u>2,974</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(2,872)</u>	<u>(1,007)</u>	<u>2,627</u>	<u>3,634</u>	<u>(58,639)</u>	<u>(63,474)</u>	<u>(59,827)</u>	<u>3,647</u>
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	1,180	1,180	1,180	-	57,690	60,680	60,680	-
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	-	-	(1,610)	(1,610)	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>1,180</u>	<u>1,180</u>	<u>1,180</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>57,690</u>	<u>59,070</u>	<u>59,070</u>	<u>-</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(1,692)</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>3,807</u>	<u>3,634</u>	<u>(949)</u>	<u>(4,404)</u>	<u>(757)</u>	<u>3,647</u>
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	<u>1,692</u>	<u>19,602</u>	<u>19,602</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>949</u>	<u>34,208</u>	<u>34,208</u>	<u>-</u>
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 19,775</u>	<u>\$ 23,409</u>	<u>\$ 3,634</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 29,804</u>	<u>\$ 33,451</u>	<u>\$ 3,647</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Public Protection Fund				Public Works, Transportation and Commerce Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	501	501	506	5	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	2,171	4,438	4,625	187	-	85	136	51
Interest and investment income.....	52	51	59	8	-	-	-	-
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	28,939	53,935	53,935	-	-	-	-	-
State.....	9,369	10,900	10,900	-	-	53	53	-
Other.....	-	4	4	-	-	761	761	-
Charges for services.....	2,641	14,111	14,047	(64)	13,423	23,603	37,499	13,896
Other.....	633	69	69	-	-	729	704	(25)
Total revenues.....	44,306	84,009	84,145	136	13,423	25,231	39,153	13,922
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	40,093	74,502	74,502	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	-	-	-	1,616	14,544	12,414	2,130
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	3,189	3,118	3,118	-	11,605	11,352	11,087	265
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	-	29	29	-
General administration and finance.....	-	3,136	3,136	-	-	1	1	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	43,282	80,756	80,756	-	13,221	25,926	23,531	2,395
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	1,024	3,253	3,389	136	202	(695)	15,622	16,317
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	-	-	-	-	-	1,296	1,296	-
Transfers out.....	(1,869)	(2,069)	(2,069)	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	(202)	(212)	(212)	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	(1,869)	(2,069)	(2,069)	-	(202)	1,084	1,084	-
Net changes in fund balances.....	(845)	1,184	1,320	136	-	389	16,706	16,317
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	845	34,777	34,777	-	-	15,327	15,327	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 35,961	\$ 36,097	\$ 136	\$ -	\$ 15,716	\$ 32,033	\$ 16,317

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Real Property Fund				San Francisco County Transportation Authority Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	88,294	88,294	93,931	5,637
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	4,728	4,728	4,882	154
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	-	-	-	-	948	526	637	111
Rents and concessions.....	1,251	27,306	27,379	73	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	-	-	-	-	10,504	11,207	9,497	(1,710)
State.....	-	-	-	-	1,209	1,209	1,280	71
Other.....	481	441	441	-	1,529	6,682	4,692	(1,990)
Charges for services.....	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-	2,660	132	169	37
Total revenues.....	<u>1,732</u>	<u>27,747</u>	<u>27,823</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>109,872</u>	<u>112,778</u>	<u>115,088</u>	<u>2,310</u>
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	1,133	1,133	-	245,132	149,204	106,748	42,456
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General administration and finance.....	3,795	20,589	20,589	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>3,795</u>	<u>21,722</u>	<u>21,722</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>245,132</u>	<u>149,204</u>	<u>106,748</u>	<u>42,456</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(2,063)</u>	<u>6,025</u>	<u>6,101</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>(135,260)</u>	<u>(36,426)</u>	<u>8,340</u>	<u>44,766</u>
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out.....	-	(13,584)	(13,584)	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	275,318	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>-</u>	<u>(13,584)</u>	<u>(13,584)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>275,318</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(2,063)</u>	<u>(7,559)</u>	<u>(7,483)</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>140,058</u>	<u>(36,426)</u>	<u>8,340</u>	<u>44,766</u>
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	<u>2,063</u>	<u>8,824</u>	<u>8,824</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>83,766</u>	<u>83,766</u>	<u>83,766</u>	<u>-</u>
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,265</u>	<u>\$ 1,341</u>	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ 223,824</u>	<u>\$ 47,340</u>	<u>\$ 92,106</u>	<u>\$ 44,766</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Senior Citizens' Program Fund				War Memorial Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:								
Property taxes.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Business taxes.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sales and use tax.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-	1,520	1,863	2,131	268
Intergovernmental:								
Federal.....	6,746	5,234	5,234	-	-	-	-	-
State.....	729	832	832	-	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for services.....	-	-	-	-	170	206	240	34
Other.....	1,027	252	252	-	-	-	-	-
Total revenues.....	8,502	6,318	6,318	-	1,690	2,069	2,371	302
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Public protection.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	8,502	6,318	6,318	-	-	-	-	-
Community health.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Culture and recreation.....	-	-	-	-	11,934	12,063	11,473	590
General administration and finance.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures.....	8,502	6,318	6,318	-	11,934	12,063	11,473	590
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	-	-	-	-	(10,244)	(9,994)	(9,102)	892
Other financing sources (uses):								
Transfers in.....	-	-	-	-	9,380	9,380	9,380	-
Transfers out.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	(1)	(1)	-	1
Total other financing sources (uses).....	-	-	-	-	9,379	9,379	9,380	1
Net changes in fund balances.....	-	-	-	-	(865)	(615)	278	893
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	-	2	2	-	865	10,750	10,750	-
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,135	\$ 11,028	\$ 893

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Total			Variance Positive (Negative)
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	
Revenues:				
Property taxes.....	\$ 127,862	\$ 127,862	\$ 130,445	\$ 2,583
Business taxes.....	1,000	1,000	510	(490)
Sales and use tax.....	88,294	88,294	93,931	5,637
Licenses, permits, and franchises.....	15,445	15,445	15,396	(49)
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	5,188	7,540	7,957	417
Interest and investment income.....	2,923	4,867	5,735	868
Rents and concessions.....	36,819	64,335	63,470	(865)
Intergovernmental:				
Federal.....	155,517	209,323	206,997	(2,326)
State.....	96,557	111,481	113,159	1,678
Other.....	4,151	9,163	7,175	(1,988)
Charges for services.....	94,415	122,912	155,386	32,474
Other.....	11,540	86,438	107,871	21,433
Total revenues.....	<u>639,711</u>	<u>848,660</u>	<u>908,032</u>	<u>59,372</u>
Expenditures:				
Current:				
Public protection.....	44,989	75,201	75,138	63
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	355,015	273,043	221,091	51,952
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	240,496	276,687	274,361	2,326
Community health.....	102,406	92,738	92,738	-
Culture and recreation.....	258,360	232,267	218,007	14,260
General administration and finance.....	25,945	44,065	44,065	-
General City responsibilities.....	-	5	5	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>1,027,211</u>	<u>994,006</u>	<u>925,405</u>	<u>68,601</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(387,500)</u>	<u>(145,346)</u>	<u>(17,373)</u>	<u>127,973</u>
Other financing sources (uses):				
Transfers in.....	218,944	236,797	236,797	-
Transfers out.....	(3,059)	(58,913)	(58,913)	-
Issuance of loans.....	-	8,735	8,735	-
Issuance of commercial paper.....	275,318	1,631	1,631	-
Budget reserves and designations.....	(17,201)	-	-	-
Loan repayments and other financing sources (uses).....	<u>(3,038)</u>	<u>(3,146)</u>	<u>(1,559)</u>	<u>1,587</u>
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>470,964</u>	<u>185,104</u>	<u>186,691</u>	<u>1,587</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>83,464</u>	<u>39,758</u>	<u>169,318</u>	<u>129,560</u>
Budgetary fund balances, July 1.....	<u>140,360</u>	<u>573,657</u>	<u>573,657</u>	<u>-</u>
Budgetary fund balances, June 30.....	<u>\$ 223,824</u>	<u>\$ 613,415</u>	<u>\$ 742,975</u>	<u>\$ 129,560</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Schedule of Expenditures by Department
Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance Positive (Negative)</u>
BUILDING INSPECTION FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Building Inspection.....	\$ 63,855	\$ 57,648	\$ 50,565	\$ 7,083
Public Utilities Commission.....	-	137	137	-
Public Works.....	-	242	242	-
Total Building Inspection Fund.....	<u>63,855</u>	<u>58,027</u>	<u>50,944</u>	<u>7,083</u>
CHILDREN AND FAMILIES FUND				
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Child Support Services.....	13,275	12,966	12,445	521
Children and Families Commission.....	43,933	31,912	31,911	1
Mayor's Office.....	111,156	111,027	111,027	-
Total Children and Families Fund.....	<u>168,364</u>	<u>155,905</u>	<u>155,383</u>	<u>522</u>
COMMUNITY / NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Mayor's Office.....	6,112	12,208	12,208	-
Public Works.....	50	51	51	-
	<u>6,162</u>	<u>12,259</u>	<u>12,259</u>	<u>-</u>
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Children, Youth and Their Families.....	-	226	226	-
Mayor's Office.....	1,712	59,591	59,591	-
Rent Arbitration Board.....	6,138	6,148	5,533	615
	<u>7,850</u>	<u>65,965</u>	<u>65,350</u>	<u>615</u>
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	20	4	4	-
Public Library.....	52	-	-	-
Recreation and Park Commission.....	1,240	95	95	-
	<u>1,312</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>-</u>
General Administration and Finance				
Administrative Services.....	1,765	970	970	-
City Planning.....	1,514	1,340	1,340	-
	<u>3,279</u>	<u>2,310</u>	<u>2,310</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Community / Neighborhood Development Fund.....	<u>18,603</u>	<u>80,633</u>	<u>80,018</u>	<u>615</u>
COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Public Works.....	-	246	246	-
Community Health				
Community Health Network.....	102,406	92,735	92,735	-
Total Community Health Services Fund.....	<u>102,406</u>	<u>92,981</u>	<u>92,981</u>	<u>-</u>
CONVENTION FACILITIES FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Mayor's Office.....	-	48	48	-
Public Utilities Commission.....	-	7	7	-
Public Works.....	-	1,007	1,007	-
	<u>-</u>	<u>1,062</u>	<u>1,062</u>	<u>-</u>
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	560	206	206	-
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	-	28	28	-
Administrative Services.....	76,339	53,645	47,597	6,048
	<u>76,339</u>	<u>53,673</u>	<u>47,625</u>	<u>6,048</u>
General Administration and Finance				
City Attorney.....	-	38	38	-
City Planning.....	-	366	366	-
	<u>-</u>	<u>404</u>	<u>404</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Convention Facilities Fund.....	<u>76,899</u>	<u>55,345</u>	<u>49,297</u>	<u>6,048</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Schedule of Expenditures by Department
Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
COURT'S FUND				
Public Protection				
Trial Courts.....	4,616	428	365	63
Total Court's Fund.....	<u>4,616</u>	<u>428</u>	<u>365</u>	<u>63</u>
CULTURE AND RECREATION FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Mayor's Office.....	925	1,008	1,008	-
Public Works.....	-	647	647	-
	<u>925</u>	<u>1,655</u>	<u>1,655</u>	<u>-</u>
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	4,334	3,600	3,600	-
Asian Art Museum.....	495	471	471	-
Fine Arts Museums.....	3,146	3,474	3,474	-
Recreation and Park Commission.....	3,236	3,245	2,701	544
	<u>11,211</u>	<u>10,790</u>	<u>10,246</u>	<u>544</u>
General Administration and Finance				
Administrative Services.....	12,733	11,944	11,944	-
Total Culture and Recreation Fund.....	<u>24,869</u>	<u>24,389</u>	<u>23,845</u>	<u>544</u>
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION FUND				
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	2,747	8,548	7,670	878
General Administration and Finance				
City Planning.....	-	17	17	-
Total Environmental Protection Fund.....	<u>2,747</u>	<u>8,565</u>	<u>7,687</u>	<u>878</u>
GASOLINE TAX FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Municipal Transportation Agency.....	-	1,537	1,536	1
Public Utilities Commission.....	-	2,625	2,625	-
Public Works.....	37,325	23,680	23,398	282
Total Gasoline Tax Fund.....	<u>37,325</u>	<u>27,842</u>	<u>27,559</u>	<u>283</u>
GENERAL SERVICES FUND				
Public Protection				
District Attorney.....	-	23	23	-
Trial Courts.....	280	223	223	-
	<u>280</u>	<u>246</u>	<u>246</u>	<u>-</u>
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Public Works.....	-	32	32	-
Culture and Recreation				
Fine Arts Museum.....	-	806	806	-
General Administration and Finance				
Administrative Services.....	333	168	168	-
Assessor/Recorder.....	2,355	1,360	1,360	-
Board of Supervisors.....	18	23	23	-
Elections.....	-	24	24	-
Telecommunications and Information Services.....	2,966	3,317	3,317	-
Treasurer/Tax Collector.....	466	532	532	-
	<u>6,138</u>	<u>5,424</u>	<u>5,424</u>	<u>-</u>
Total General Services Fund.....	<u>6,418</u>	<u>6,508</u>	<u>6,508</u>	<u>-</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Schedule of Expenditures by Department
Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
GIFT AND OTHER EXPENDABLE TRUSTS FUND				
Public Protection				
District Attorney.....	-	1	1	-
Fire Department.....	-	15	15	-
Police Department.....	-	9	9	-
	<u>-</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>-</u>
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Mayor's Office.....	-	1	1	-
Public Works.....	-	174	174	-
	<u>-</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>-</u>
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	75	33	33	-
Social Services.....	469	532	532	-
Commission on Status of Women.....	-	6	6	-
	<u>544</u>	<u>571</u>	<u>571</u>	<u>-</u>
Community Health				
Community Health Network.....	-	3	3	-
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	-	55	55	-
Fine Arts Museums.....	-	657	657	-
Public Library.....	10	184	184	-
Recreation and Park Commission.....	471	617	617	-
War Memorial.....	-	178	178	-
	<u>481</u>	<u>1,691</u>	<u>1,691</u>	<u>-</u>
General Administration and Finance				
Administrative Services.....	-	58	58	-
Telecommunications and Information Services.....	-	2	2	-
	<u>-</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>-</u>
General City Responsibilities				
Controller.....	-	5	5	-
Total Gift Fund.....	<u>1,025</u>	<u>2,530</u>	<u>2,530</u>	<u>-</u>
GOLF FUND				
Culture and Recreation				
Recreation and Park Commission.....	13,738	13,829	12,911	918
Total Golf Fund.....	<u>13,738</u>	<u>13,829</u>	<u>12,911</u>	<u>918</u>
HUMAN WELFARE FUND				
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Commission on Status of Women.....	238	270	224	46
Social Services.....	30,029	22,446	22,446	-
Total Human Welfare Fund.....	<u>30,267</u>	<u>22,716</u>	<u>22,670</u>	<u>46</u>
LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING ASSET FUND				
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	6,868	1,988	1,988	-
Total Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund.....	<u>6,868</u>	<u>1,988</u>	<u>1,988</u>	<u>-</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Schedule of Expenditures by Department
Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance Positive (Negative)</u>
OPEN SPACE AND PARK FUND				
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	-	100	100	-
Recreation and Park Commission.....	43,432	41,432	38,246	3,186
	43,432	41,532	38,346	3,186
General Administration and Finance				
City Planning.....	-	35	35	-
Total Open Space and Park Fund.....	43,432	41,567	38,381	3,186
PUBLIC LIBRARY FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Public Utilities Commission.....	-	13	13	-
Public Works.....	-	6,851	6,851	-
	-	6,864	6,864	-
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	-	1	1	-
Public Library.....	99,913	97,754	94,780	2,974
	99,913	97,755	94,781	2,974
General Administration and Finance				
Telecommunications and Information Services.....	-	145	145	-
Total Public Library Fund.....	99,913	104,764	101,790	2,974
PUBLIC PROTECTION FUND				
Public Protection				
Adult Probation.....	995	1,453	1,453	-
District Attorney.....	4,298	4,755	4,755	-
Emergency Communications Department.....	22,902	42,064	42,064	-
Fire Department.....	-	2,175	2,175	-
Juvenile Probation.....	1,264	1,504	1,504	-
Mayor's Office.....	-	3	3	-
Police Commission.....	7,225	18,239	18,239	-
Public Defender.....	97	491	491	-
Sheriff.....	3,312	3,818	3,818	-
	40,093	74,502	74,502	-
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	3,189	3,034	3,034	-
Commission on Status of Women.....	-	84	84	-
	3,189	3,118	3,118	-
General Administration and Finance				
City Attorney.....	-	3,136	3,136	-
Total Public Protection Fund.....	43,282	80,756	80,756	-

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Schedule of Expenditures by Department
Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance Positive (Negative)</u>
PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND COMMERCE FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Public Works.....	1,616	14,544	12,414	2,130
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Mayor's Office.....	11,605	11,352	11,087	265
Culture and Recreation				
Arts Commission.....	-	29	29	-
General Administration and Finance				
City Planning.....	-	1	1	-
	-	1	1	-
Total Public Works, Transportation and Commerce Fund.....	<u>13,221</u>	<u>25,926</u>	<u>23,531</u>	<u>2,395</u>
REAL PROPERTY FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Public Utilities Commission.....	-	615	615	-
Public Works.....	-	518	518	-
	-	1,133	1,133	-
General Administration and Finance				
Administrative Services.....	3,795	20,589	20,589	-
Total Real Property Fund.....	<u>3,795</u>	<u>21,722</u>	<u>21,722</u>	<u>-</u>
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FUND				
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce				
Board of Supervisors.....	245,132	149,204	106,748	42,456
Total SF County Transportation Authority Fund.....	<u>245,132</u>	<u>149,204</u>	<u>106,748</u>	<u>42,456</u>
SENIOR CITIZENS' PROGRAM FUND				
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development				
Social Services Department.....	8,502	6,318	6,318	-
Total Senior Citizens' Program Fund.....	<u>8,502</u>	<u>6,318</u>	<u>6,318</u>	<u>-</u>
WAR MEMORIAL FUND				
Culture and Recreation				
War Memorial.....	11,934	12,063	11,473	590
Total War Memorial Fund.....	<u>11,934</u>	<u>12,063</u>	<u>11,473</u>	<u>590</u>
Total Special Revenue Funds With Legally Adopted Budgets ..	<u>\$ 1,027,211</u>	<u>\$ 994,006</u>	<u>\$ 925,405</u>	<u>\$ 68,601</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	General Obligation Bond Fund	Certificates of Participation Funds	Other Bond Funds	Total
Assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 107,309	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 107,312
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	-	31,046	-	31,046
Receivables				
Property taxes and penalties.....	5,949	-	-	5,949
Interest and other.....	273	37	-	310
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 113,531</u>	<u>\$ 31,083</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 144,617</u>
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable.....	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 3
Due to other funds.....	-	30	-	30
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	12,828	-	-	12,828
Total liabilities.....	<u>12,828</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>12,861</u>
Deferred inflows of resources	5,252	-	-	5,252
Fund balances:				
Restricted.....	95,451	31,053	-	126,504
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	<u>\$ 113,531</u>	<u>\$ 31,083</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 144,617</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	General Obligation Bond Fund	Certificates of Participation Funds	Other Bond Funds	Total
Revenues:				
Property taxes.....	\$ 208,539	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 208,539
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	15,203	-	-	15,203
Interest and investment income.....	1,336	95	-	1,431
Rents and concessions.....	-	733	-	733
Intergovernmental				
State.....	801	-	-	801
Other.....	3,734	-	-	3,734
Total revenues.....	<u>229,613</u>	<u>828</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>230,441</u>
Expenditures:				
Debt service:				
Principal retirement.....	161,554	28,235	477	190,266
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	91,813	24,450	316	116,579
Bond issuance costs.....	-	1,007	-	1,007
Total expenditures.....	<u>253,367</u>	<u>53,692</u>	<u>793</u>	<u>307,852</u>
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures.....	<u>(23,754)</u>	<u>(52,864)</u>	<u>(793)</u>	<u>(77,411)</u>
Other financing sources (uses):				
Transfers in.....	17,017	50,949	793	68,759
Issuance of bonds and loans:				
Face value of bonds issued.....	-	47,220	-	47,220
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	-	3,265	-	3,265
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent.....	-	(49,055)	-	(49,055)
Total other financing sources, net.....	<u>17,017</u>	<u>52,379</u>	<u>793</u>	<u>70,189</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(6,737)</u>	<u>(485)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(7,222)</u>
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	102,188	31,538	-	133,726
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 95,451</u>	<u>\$ 31,053</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 126,504</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Budget Basis
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	General Obligation Bond Fund			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:				
Property taxes.....	\$ 217,451	\$ 217,451	\$ 208,539	\$ (8,912)
Fines, forfeitures, and penalties.....	-	15,203	15,203	-
Interest and investment income.....	-	-	1,147	1,147
Intergovernmental				
State.....	750	750	801	51
Other.....	-	3,710	3,734	24
Total revenues.....	<u>218,201</u>	<u>237,114</u>	<u>229,424</u>	<u>(7,690)</u>
Expenditures:				
Debt service:				
Principal retirement.....	227,341	161,554	161,554	-
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	11,446	91,813	91,813	-
Total expenditures.....	<u>238,787</u>	<u>253,367</u>	<u>253,367</u>	<u>-</u>
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures.....	<u>(20,586)</u>	<u>(16,253)</u>	<u>(23,943)</u>	<u>(7,690)</u>
Other financing sources:				
Transfers in.....	-	17,017	17,017	-
Total other financing sources.....	<u>-</u>	<u>17,017</u>	<u>17,017</u>	<u>-</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	(20,586)	764	(6,926)	(7,690)
Budgetary fund balance, July 1.....	20,586	110,463	110,463	-
Budgetary fund balance, June 30.....	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 111,227</u>	<u>\$ 103,537</u>	<u>\$ (7,690)</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Capital Projects Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	City Facilities Improvement Fund	Earthquake Safety Improvement Fund	Fire Protection Systems Improvement Fund	Moscone Convention Center Fund
Assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 278,539	\$ 17	\$ 7,384	\$ -
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	5,945	-	-	506
Receivables:				
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	-	-	-
Interest and other.....	380	-	9	-
Due from other funds.....	-	-	-	-
Due from component unit.....	-	-	-	36
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 284,864</u>	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 7,393</u>	<u>\$ 542</u>
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 50,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Accrued payroll.....	724	-	-	-
Unearned grant and subvention revenue.....	-	-	-	-
Due to other funds.....	-	-	-	8,186
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	51	-	-	-
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	37,426	-	-	-
Total liabilities.....	<u>88,529</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>8,186</u>
Deferred inflows of resources.....	-	-	-	-
Fund balances:				
Restricted.....	196,335	17	7,393	-
Unassigned.....	-	-	-	(7,644)
Total fund balances.....	<u>196,335</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>7,393</u>	<u>(7,644)</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	<u>\$ 284,864</u>	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 7,393</u>	<u>\$ 542</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Balance Sheet
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Capital Projects Funds (Continued)
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Public Library Improvement Fund	Recreation and Park Projects	Street Improvement Fund	Total
Assets:				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 1,234	\$ 95,454	\$ 131,747	\$ 514,375
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury.....	-	-	3,724	10,175
Receivables:				
Federal and state grants and subventions.....	-	3,524	3,644	7,168
Interest and other.....	2	120	164	675
Due from other funds.....	162	2,340	233	2,735
Due from component unit.....	-	-	-	36
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 1,398</u>	<u>\$ 101,438</u>	<u>\$ 139,512</u>	<u>\$ 535,164</u>
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 332	\$ 6,903	\$ 10,364	\$ 67,927
Accrued payroll.....	35	426	1,335	2,520
Unearned grant and subvention revenue.....	-	104	-	104
Due to other funds.....	-	-	-	8,186
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	79	122	1,886	2,138
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables.....	-	-	-	37,426
Total liabilities.....	<u>446</u>	<u>7,555</u>	<u>13,585</u>	<u>118,301</u>
Deferred inflows of resources.....	-	1,559	441	2,000
Fund balances:				
Restricted.....	952	92,324	125,486	422,507
Unassigned.....	-	-	-	(7,644)
Total fund balances.....	<u>952</u>	<u>92,324</u>	<u>125,486</u>	<u>414,863</u>
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances.....	<u>\$ 1,398</u>	<u>\$ 101,438</u>	<u>\$ 139,512</u>	<u>\$ 535,164</u>


CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Capital Projects Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	City Facilities Improvement Fund	Earthquake Safety Improvement Fund	Fire Protection Systems Improvement Fund	Moscone Convention Center Fund
Revenues:				
Interest and investment income.....	\$ 2,577	\$ -	\$ 54	\$ -
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:				
Federal.....	-	-	-	-
State.....	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-
Other.....	-	-	-	-
Total revenues.....	<u>2,577</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>-</u>
Expenditures:				
Debt service:				
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	597	-	-	-
Bond issuance costs.....	1,178	-	-	-
Capital outlay.....	<u>333,741</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>86</u>
Total expenditures.....	<u>335,516</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>86</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(332,939)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>(86)</u>
Other financing sources (uses):				
Transfers in.....	28,917	-	-	507
Transfers out.....	(43,545)	-	-	(39)
Issuance of bonds and loans:				
Face value of bonds issued.....	209,955	-	-	-
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	16,508	-	-	-
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources, net.....	<u>211,835</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>468</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(121,104)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>382</u>
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	<u>317,439</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>7,384</u>	<u>(8,026)</u>
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 196,335</u>	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 7,393</u>	<u>\$ (7,644)</u>

(Continued)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balances
Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Capital Projects Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Public Library Improvement Fund	Recreation and Park Projects	Street Improvement Fund	Total
Revenues:				
Interest and investment income.....	\$ 12	\$ 910	\$ 1,237	\$ 4,790
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	152	152
Intergovernmental:				
Federal.....	-	118	8,735	8,853
State.....	-	3,233	1,512	4,745
Other.....	-	-	287	287
Other.....	-	238	13,810	14,048
Total revenues.....	<u>12</u>	<u>4,499</u>	<u>25,733</u>	<u>32,875</u>
Expenditures:				
Debt service:				
Interest and other fiscal charges.....	-	-	-	597
Bond issuance costs.....	-	-	-	1,178
Capital outlay.....	766	36,111	78,977	449,726
Total expenditures.....	<u>766</u>	<u>36,111</u>	<u>78,977</u>	<u>451,501</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures.....	<u>(754)</u>	<u>(31,612)</u>	<u>(53,244)</u>	<u>(418,626)</u>
Other financing sources (uses):				
Transfers in.....	-	250	11,522	41,196
Transfers out.....	-	(400)	(206)	(44,190)
Issuance of bonds and loans:				
Face value of bonds issued.....	-	-	-	209,955
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	-	-	-	16,508
Other financing sources-capital leases.....	618	4,249	-	4,867
Total other financing sources, net.....	<u>618</u>	<u>4,099</u>	<u>11,316</u>	<u>228,336</u>
Net changes in fund balances.....	<u>(136)</u>	<u>(27,513)</u>	<u>(41,928)</u>	<u>(190,290)</u>
Fund balances at beginning of year.....	1,088	119,837	167,414	605,153
Fund balances at end of year.....	<u>\$ 952</u>	<u>\$ 92,324</u>	<u>\$ 125,486</u>	<u>\$ 414,863</u>



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

Internal Service Funds are used to account for the financing of goods and services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies on a cost reimbursement basis.

Central Shops Fund – Accounts for Central Shops equipment (primarily vehicle) maintenance service charges and the related billings to various departments.

Finance Corporation – Accounts for the lease financing services provided by the Finance Corporation to City departments. On July 1, 2001 the City established the Finance Corporation Internal Service fund because its sole purpose is to provide lease financing to the City. Previously, the activities of the Finance Corporation were reported within governmental funds.

Reproduction Fund – Accounts for printing, design and mail services required by various City departments and agencies.

Telecommunications and Information Fund – Accounts for centralized telecommunications activities in the City's Wide Area Network, radio communication and telephone systems. In addition, it accounts for application support provided to many department-specific and citywide systems, management of the City's Web site, operations of the City's mainframe computers and technology training provided to city the related billings to various departments for specific services performed and operating support from the General Fund.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Statement of Net Position
Internal Service Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	<u>Central Shops Fund</u>	<u>Finance Corporation</u>	<u>Reproduction Fund</u>	<u>Telecom- munications & Information Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:					
Current assets:					
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 3,660	\$ -	\$ 1,757	\$ 32,468	\$ 37,885
Receivables:					
Charges for services.....	34	-	-	-	34
Interest and other.....	-	27	5	567	599
Due from other funds.....	-	85	-	-	85 ⁽¹⁾
Capital leases receivable.....	-	22,128	-	-	22,128
Other assets.....	225	-	-	-	225
Restricted assets:					
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury	-	40,417	-	-	40,417
Total current assets.....	3,919	62,657	1,762	33,035	101,373
Noncurrent assets:					
Restricted assets:					
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury	-	4,730	-	-	4,730
Capital leases receivable.....	-	218,983	-	-	218,983
Capital assets:					
Facilities and equipment, net of depreciation.....	194	-	86	8,998	9,278
Total noncurrent assets.....	194	223,713	86	8,998	232,991
Total assets.....	4,113	286,370	1,848	42,033	334,364
Deferred outflows of resources:					
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt.....	-	1,250	-	-	1,250
Liabilities:					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable.....	1,608	200	92	7,416	9,316
Accrued payroll.....	764	-	90	1,881	2,735
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	416	-	-	1,090	1,506
Accrued workers' compensation.....	-	-	-	322	322
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables..	-	20,440	-	-	20,440
Accrued interest payable.....	-	1,578	-	-	1,578
Due to other funds.....	-	2,507	-	85	2,592 ⁽¹⁾
Unearned revenues and other liabilities.....	-	39,832	-	34	39,866
Total current liabilities.....	2,788	64,557	182	10,828	78,355
Noncurrent liabilities:					
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	337	-	-	935	1,272
Accrued workers' compensation.....	-	-	-	1,445	1,445
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	4,611	-	-	15,178	19,789
Bonds, loans, capital leases, and other payables..	-	223,063	-	-	223,063
Total noncurrent liabilities.....	4,948	223,063	-	17,558	245,569
Total liabilities.....	7,736	287,620	182	28,386	323,924
Net position:					
Net investment in capital assets.....	194	-	86	8,998	9,278
Unrestricted (deficit).....	(3,817)	-	1,580	4,649	2,412
Total net position.....	\$ (3,623)	\$ -	\$ 1,666	\$ 13,647	\$ 11,690

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Intra-entity due to and due from eliminated for presentation in the Statement of Net Position - Proprietary funds on pages 32-33.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses
and Changes in Fund Net Position
Internal Service Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Central Shops Fund	Finance Corporation	Reproduction Fund	Telecom- munications & Information Fund	Total
Operating revenues:					
Charges for services.....	\$ 29,528	\$ -	\$ 7,045	\$ 81,851	\$ 118,424
Rents and concessions.....	-	-	-	142	142
Total operating revenues.....	<u>29,528</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>7,045</u>	<u>81,993</u>	<u>118,566</u>
Operating expenses:					
Personal services.....	13,062	-	1,610	32,988	47,660
Contractual services.....	2,781	-	4,343	32,841	39,965
Materials and supplies.....	12,083	-	257	5,812	18,152
Depreciation and amortization.....	110	-	48	1,799	1,957
General and administrative.....	99	-	3	280	382
Services provided by other departments..	1,165	-	432	5,701	7,298
Other.....	-	-	-	1,405	1,405
Total operating expenses.....	<u>29,300</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>6,693</u>	<u>80,826</u>	<u>116,819</u>
Operating income.....	<u>228</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>352</u>	<u>1,167</u>	<u>1,747</u>
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):					
Interest and investment income.....	-	5,101	5	173	5,279
Interest expense.....	(1)	(5,567)	-	-	(5,568)
Other, net.....	-	466	9	43	518
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	<u>(1)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>216</u>	<u>229</u>
Income before transfers.....	227	-	366	1,383	1,976
Transfers in.....	1	-	-	1,241	1,242
Transfers out.....	-	-	(5)	(173)	(178)
Change in net position.....	228	-	361	2,451	3,040
Net position at beginning of year.....	(3,851)	-	1,305	11,196	8,650
Net position at end of year.....	<u>\$ (3,623)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,666</u>	<u>\$ 13,647</u>	<u>\$ 11,690</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**Combining Statement of Cash Flows
Internal Service Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)**

	Central Shops Fund	Finance Corporation	Reproduction Fund	Telecom- munications & Information Fund	Total
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Cash received from customers.....	\$ 29,493	\$ 25,029	\$ 7,053	\$ 82,117	\$ 143,692
Cash paid to employees for services.....	(12,470)	-	(1,608)	(30,988)	(45,066)
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services.....	(15,858)	(13,544)	(5,115)	(42,669)	(77,186)
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	<u>1,165</u>	<u>11,485</u>	<u>330</u>	<u>8,460</u>	<u>21,440</u>
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:					
Transfers in.....	1	-	-	-	1
Transfers out.....	-	-	(5)	(173)	(178)
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities.....	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(5)</u>	<u>(173)</u>	<u>(177)</u>
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:					
Transfers in.....	-	-	-	1,241	1,241
Acquisition of capital assets.....	(28)	-	(26)	(5,262)	(5,316)
Retirement of capital lease obligation.....	-	(20,780)	(29)	(334)	(21,143)
Bond issue costs paid.....	-	(146)	-	-	(146)
Interest paid on long-term debt.....	-	(5,639)	-	-	(5,639)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities.....	<u>(28)</u>	<u>(26,565)</u>	<u>(55)</u>	<u>(4,355)</u>	<u>(31,003)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of investments with trustees.....	-	(23)	-	-	(23)
Proceeds from sale of investments with trustees.....	-	4,870	-	-	4,870
Interest and investment income.....	-	113	5	173	291
Other investing activities.....	(1)	-	-	-	(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities.....	<u>(1)</u>	<u>4,960</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>5,137</u>
Change in cash and cash equivalents.....	1,137	(10,120)	275	4,105	(4,603)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year.....	2,523	50,537	1,482	28,363	82,905
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year.....	<u>\$ 3,660</u>	<u>\$ 40,417</u>	<u>\$ 1,757</u>	<u>\$ 32,468</u>	<u>\$ 78,302</u>
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Operating income.....	\$ 228	\$ -	\$ 352	\$ 1,167	\$ 1,747
Adjustments for non-cash and other activities:					
Depreciation and amortization.....	110	-	48	1,799	1,957
Other.....	-	-	9	43	52
Changes in assets/liabilities:					
Receivables, net.....	(34)	20,780	-	82	20,828
Accounts payable.....	495	-	(80)	3,484	3,899
Accrued payroll.....	109	-	1	233	343
Accrued vacation and sick leave pay.....	49	-	-	(2)	47
Accrued workers' compensation.....	-	-	-	259	259
Other postemployment benefits obligation.....	433	-	-	1,509	1,942
Due to other funds.....	-	-	-	(114)	(114)
Unearned revenue and other liabilities.....	(225)	(9,295)	-	-	(9,520)
Total adjustments.....	<u>937</u>	<u>11,485</u>	<u>(22)</u>	<u>7,293</u>	<u>19,693</u>
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	<u>\$ 1,165</u>	<u>\$ 11,485</u>	<u>\$ 330</u>	<u>\$ 8,460</u>	<u>\$ 21,440</u>
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the combining statement of net position:					
Deposits and investments with City Treasury:					
Unrestricted.....	\$ 3,660	\$ -	\$ 1,757	\$ 32,468	\$ 37,885
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury:					
Restricted.....	-	45,147	-	-	45,147
Total deposits and investments.....	3,660	45,147	1,757	32,468	83,032
Less: Investments outside City Treasury not meeting the definition of cash equivalents.....	-	(4,730)	-	-	(4,730)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year on statement of cash flows.....	<u>\$ 3,660</u>	<u>\$ 40,417</u>	<u>\$ 1,757</u>	<u>\$ 32,468</u>	<u>\$ 78,302</u>
Non-cash capital and related financing activities:					
Acquisition of capital assets on accounts payable and capital lease.....	\$ -	\$ 2,703	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,703

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

Fiduciary Funds include all Trust and Agency Funds which account for assets held by the City as a trustee or as an agent for individuals or other governmental units

Trust Funds

Employees' Retirement System – Accounts for the contributions from employees, City contributions and the earnings and profits from investments of monies. Disbursements are made for retirements, withdrawal, disability, and death benefits of the employees as well as administrative expenses.

Health Service System – Accounts for the contributions from active and retired employees, and surviving spouses, City contributions and the earnings and profits from investment of monies. Disbursements are made for medical expenses and to various health plans of the beneficiaries.

Retiree Health Care Trust - Accounts for the contributions from employees, City contributions and the earnings and profits from investment of monies. Disbursements are to be made for benefits, expenses and other charges properly allocable to the trust fund.

Agency Funds

Agency Funds are custodial in nature and do not involve measurement of results of operations. Such funds have no equity accounts since all assets are due to individuals or entities at some future time.

Assistance Program Fund – Accounts for collections and advances received as an agent under various human welfare and community health programs. Monies are disbursed in accordance with legal requirements and program regulations.

Deposits Fund – Accounts for all deposits under the control of the City departments. Dispositions of the deposits are governed by the terms of the statutes and ordinances establishing the deposit requirement.

Payroll Deduction Fund – Accounts for monies held for payroll charges including federal, state and other payroll related deductions.

State Revenue Collection Fund – Accounts for various fees, fines and penalties collected by City departments for the State of California which are passed through to the State.

Tax Collection Fund – Accounts for monies received for current and delinquent taxes which must be held pending authority for distribution. Included are prepaid taxes, disputed taxes, duplicate payment of taxes, etc. This fund also accounts for monies deposited by third parties pending settlement of litigation and claims. Upon final settlement, monies are disbursed as directed by the courts or by parties to the dispute.

Transit Fund – Accounts for the quarter of one percent sales tax collected by the State Board of Equalization and deposited with the County of origin for local transportation support. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the regional agency responsible for administration of these monies, directs their use and distribution.

Other Agency Funds – Accounts for monies held as agent for a variety of purposes.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Funds
June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	<u>Pension Trust Fund</u>	<u>Other Employee Benefit Trust Fund</u>	<u>Other Post- employment Benefit Trust Fund</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Employees' Retirement System</u>	<u>Health Service System</u>	<u>Retiree Health Care</u>	
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 5,227	\$ 137,570	\$ 47,662	\$ 190,459
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury:				
Cash and deposits.....	82,283	-	-	82,283
Short term investments.....	838,466	-	-	838,466
Debt securities.....	4,531,032	-	-	4,531,032
Equity securities.....	10,441,661	-	-	10,441,661
Real estate.....	1,582,169	-	-	1,582,169
Alternative investments.....	2,424,678	-	-	2,424,678
Foreign currency contracts, net.....	829	-	-	829
Invested in securities lending collateral.....	911,577	-	-	911,577
Receivables:				
Employer and employee contributions.....	49,643	39,961	1,302	90,906
Brokers, general partners and others.....	281,319	-	-	281,319
Interest and other.....	63,968	2,046	53	66,067
Total assets.....	<u>21,212,852</u>	<u>179,577</u>	<u>49,017</u>	<u>21,441,446</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	19,273	13,205	-	32,478
Estimated claims payable.....	-	29,156	-	29,156
Payable to brokers.....	356,990	-	-	356,990
Deferred Retirement Option Program.....	3,096	-	-	3,096
Payable to borrowers of securities.....	912,886	-	-	912,886
Other liabilities.....	-	44,395	-	44,395
Total liabilities.....	<u>1,292,245</u>	<u>86,756</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,379,001</u>
Net Position				
Held in trust for pension benefits and other purposes	<u>\$ 19,920,607</u>	<u>\$ 92,821</u>	<u>\$ 49,017</u>	<u>\$ 20,062,445</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position
Fiduciary Funds
Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Pension Trust Fund	Other Employee Benefit Trust Fund	Other Post- employment Benefit Trust Fund	Total
	Employees' Retirement System	Health Service System	Retiree Health Care	
Additions:				
Employees' contributions.....	\$ 289,020	\$ 118,912	\$ 11,791	\$ 419,723
Employer contributions.....	532,882	644,054	5,895	1,182,831
Total contributions.....	<u>821,902</u>	<u>762,966</u>	<u>17,686</u>	<u>1,602,554</u>
Investment income/loss:				
Interest.....	177,425	827	257	178,509
Dividends.....	195,503	-	-	195,503
Net appreciation in fair value of investments.....	2,844,279	228	61	2,844,568
Securities lending income.....	4,871	-	-	4,871
Total investment income.....	<u>3,222,078</u>	<u>1,055</u>	<u>318</u>	<u>3,223,451</u>
Less investment expenses:				
Securities lending borrower rebates and expenses.....	952	-	-	952
Other investment expenses.....	(47,599)	-	-	(47,599)
Total investment expenses.....	<u>(46,647)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(46,647)</u>
Total additions, net.....	<u>3,997,333</u>	<u>764,021</u>	<u>18,004</u>	<u>4,779,358</u>
Deductions:				
Benefit payments.....	1,062,229	748,564	-	1,810,793
Refunds of contributions.....	10,297	-	-	10,297
Administrative expenses.....	15,745	-	160	15,905
Total deductions.....	<u>1,088,271</u>	<u>748,564</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>1,836,995</u>
Change in net assets.....	2,909,062	15,457	17,844	2,942,363
Net position at beginning of year.....	17,011,545	77,364	31,173	17,120,082
Net position at end of year.....	<u>\$19,920,607</u>	<u>\$ 92,821</u>	<u>\$ 49,017</u>	<u>\$ 20,062,445</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Balance July 1, 2013	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2014
Assistance Program Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 25,801	\$ 4,577	\$ 7,074	\$ 23,304
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	15	14	15	14
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	8	154	134	28
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 25,824</u>	<u>\$ 4,745</u>	<u>\$ 7,223</u>	<u>\$ 23,346</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 10	\$ 2,648	\$ 1,092	\$ 1,566
Agency obligations.....	25,814	6,243	10,277	21,780
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 25,824</u>	<u>\$ 8,891</u>	<u>\$ 11,369</u>	<u>\$ 23,346</u>
Deposits Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 13,088	\$ 53,476	\$ 49,479	\$ 17,085
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	2	-	2	-
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	79	105	100	84
Other assets.....	34,538	11,000	-	45,538
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 47,707</u>	<u>\$ 64,581</u>	<u>\$ 49,581</u>	<u>\$ 62,707</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 740	\$ 16,563	\$ 13,821	\$ 3,482
Agency obligations.....	46,967	52,037	39,779	59,225
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 47,707</u>	<u>\$ 68,600</u>	<u>\$ 53,600</u>	<u>\$ 62,707</u>
Payroll Deduction Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 38,698	\$ -	\$ 22,777	\$ 15,921
Receivables:				
Employer and employee contributions.....	59,737	6,270	-	66,007
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 98,435</u>	<u>\$ 6,270</u>	<u>\$ 22,777</u>	<u>\$ 81,928</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 9,289	\$ -	\$ 1,658	\$ 7,631
Agency obligations.....	89,146	6,897	21,746	74,297
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 98,435</u>	<u>\$ 6,897</u>	<u>\$ 23,404</u>	<u>\$ 81,928</u>


CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Balance July 1, 2013	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2014
State Revenue Collection Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 1,764	\$ 12,159	\$ 10,291	\$ 3,632
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	3	-	3	-
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	-	1	1	-
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 1,767</u>	<u>\$ 12,160</u>	<u>\$ 10,295</u>	<u>\$ 3,632</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 183	\$ 10,313	\$ 10,220	\$ 276
Agency obligations.....	1,584	12,140	10,368	3,356
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 1,767</u>	<u>\$ 22,453</u>	<u>\$ 20,588</u>	<u>\$ 3,632</u>
Tax Collection Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 54,261	\$3,358,074	\$3,353,514	\$ 58,821
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	125	1,076	125	1,076
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	173,832	1,987,401	2,016,971	144,262
Total assets.....	<u>\$228,218</u>	<u>\$5,346,551</u>	<u>\$5,370,610</u>	<u>\$204,159</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 8,071	\$ 79,298	\$ 84,770	\$ 2,599
Agency obligations.....	220,147	2,521,712	2,540,299	201,560
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$228,218</u>	<u>\$2,601,010</u>	<u>\$2,625,069</u>	<u>\$204,159</u>
Transit Fund				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 4,794	\$ 67,329	\$ 67,807	\$ 4,316
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	1	16	14	3
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 4,795</u>	<u>\$ 67,345</u>	<u>\$ 67,821</u>	<u>\$ 4,319</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 2,021	\$ 24,472	\$ 24,284	\$ 2,209
Agency obligations.....	2,774	44,423	45,087	2,110
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 4,795</u>	<u>\$ 68,895</u>	<u>\$ 69,371</u>	<u>\$ 4,319</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Combining Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities
Agency Funds (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2014
(In Thousands)

	Balance July 1, 2013	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2014
Other Agency Funds				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 16,838	\$ 282,728	\$ 264,775	\$ 34,791
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	-	80	-	80
Receivables:				
Interest and other.....	404	479	434	449
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 17,242</u>	<u>\$ 283,287</u>	<u>\$ 265,209</u>	<u>\$ 35,320</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 3,482	\$ 78,412	\$ 72,013	\$ 9,881
Agency obligations.....	13,760	277,132	265,453	25,439
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 17,242</u>	<u>\$ 355,544</u>	<u>\$ 337,466</u>	<u>\$ 35,320</u>
Total Agency Funds				
Assets				
Deposits and investments with City Treasury.....	\$ 155,244	\$ 3,778,343	\$ 3,775,717	\$ 157,870
Deposits and investments outside City Treasury..	145	1,170	145	1,170
Receivables:				
Employer and employee contributions.....	59,737	6,270	-	66,007
Interest and other.....	174,324	1,988,156	2,017,654	144,826
Other assets.....	34,538	11,000	-	45,538
Total assets.....	<u>\$ 423,988</u>	<u>\$ 5,784,939</u>	<u>\$ 5,793,516</u>	<u>\$ 415,411</u>
Liabilities				
Accounts payable.....	\$ 23,796	\$ 211,706	\$ 207,858	\$ 27,644
Agency obligations.....	400,192	2,920,584	2,933,009	387,767
Total liabilities.....	<u>\$ 423,988</u>	<u>\$ 3,132,290</u>	<u>\$ 3,140,867</u>	<u>\$ 415,411</u>

Statistical Section



The first waters from the new Bay Tunnel flow into the Pulgas Water Temple on Oct. 15, 2014.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Statistical Section

This section of the City's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the City's overall financial health.

Financial Trends

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

Revenue Capacity

These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the City's most significant local revenue sources, the property tax.

Debt Capacity

These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the City's current levels of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

Demographic and Economic Information

These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.

Operating Information

These schedules contain information about the City's operations and resources to help the reader understand how the City's financial information relates to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

Sources:

Unless otherwise noted, the information in these schedules is derived from the comprehensive annual financial reports for the relevant year.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NET POSITION BY COMPONENT ⁽¹⁾

Last Ten Fiscal Years

(Accrual basis of accounting)

(In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Governmental activities										
Net investment in capital assets.....	\$ 1,159,696	\$ 1,438,010	\$ 1,454,614	\$ 1,436,842	\$ 1,725,203	\$ 1,833,733	\$ 1,910,341	\$ 2,199,316	\$ 2,275,963	\$ 2,483,086
Restricted for:										
Reserve for rainy day.....	48,139	121,976	133,622	117,792	98,297	39,582	33,439	34,109	26,339	83,194
Debt service.....	46,575	53,076	28,310	23,130	30,724	34,308	36,805	48,202	98,754	91,900
Capital projects.....	25,101	10,589	19,128	-	-	63,323	82,315	91,997	154,502	110,608
Community development.....	208,532	71,207	63,043	95,136	64,031	66,251	59,763	240,771	109,423	200,640
Transportation Authority activities.....	75,282	23,727	10,390	1,693	2,515	1,966	1,386	6,705	10,924	12,496
Building inspection programs.....	22,066	20,691	17,213	16,475	13,959	21,837	32,112	49,364	71,131	97,928
Children and families.....	40,090	42,849	45,531	43,666	46,273	40,886	45,827	53,632	56,170	59,572
Culture, recreation, grants and other purposes.....	76,068	84,531	113,606	112,219	116,032	113,917	155,152	150,383	158,973	206,368
Unrestricted (deficit).....	(200,467)	(72,038)	(14,446)	(261,897)	(791,831)	(1,062,818)	(1,046,861)	(954,469)	(1,142,020)	(1,004,161)
Total governmental activities net position.....	<u>\$ 1,501,082</u>	<u>\$ 1,794,618</u>	<u>\$ 1,871,011</u>	<u>\$ 1,585,056</u>	<u>\$ 1,305,203</u>	<u>\$ 1,152,985</u>	<u>\$ 1,310,279</u>	<u>\$ 1,920,010</u>	<u>\$ 1,820,159</u>	<u>\$ 2,341,631</u>
Business-type activities										
Net investment in capital assets.....	\$ 3,391,450	\$ 3,438,397	\$ 3,795,006	\$ 3,935,008	\$ 4,204,644	\$ 4,277,799	\$ 4,481,404	\$ 4,538,990	\$ 4,691,579	\$ 4,832,659
Restricted for:										
Debt service.....	202,006	256,055	249,656	282,187	58,716	71,128	62,421	53,951	58,970	64,143
Capital projects.....	161,231	148,957	75,771	111,463	140,932	188,580	161,580	176,570	299,942	363,601
Other purposes.....	66,753	32,354	23,709	28,254	31,459	18,854	18,741	18,913	13,046	24,721
Unrestricted.....	446,039	536,670	567,122	491,437	324,395	259,533	268,328	242,842	610,565	732,736
Total business-type activities net position.....	<u>\$ 4,267,479</u>	<u>\$ 4,412,433</u>	<u>\$ 4,711,264</u>	<u>\$ 4,848,349</u>	<u>\$ 4,760,146</u>	<u>\$ 4,815,894</u>	<u>\$ 4,992,474</u>	<u>\$ 5,031,266</u>	<u>\$ 5,674,102</u>	<u>\$ 6,017,860</u>
Primary government										
Net investment in capital assets.....	\$ 4,551,146	\$ 4,876,407	\$ 5,249,620	\$ 5,371,850	\$ 5,630,550	\$ 5,735,844	\$ 5,993,892	\$ 6,459,434	\$ 6,692,499	\$ 7,032,674
Restricted for:										
Reserve for rainy day.....	48,139	121,976	133,622	117,792	98,297	39,582	33,439	34,109	26,339	83,194
Debt service.....	248,581	309,131	277,966	305,317	89,440	105,436	99,226	102,153	157,724	156,043
Capital projects.....	186,332	159,546	94,899	111,463	140,932	239,209	223,694	246,027	358,002	418,103
Community development.....	208,532	71,207	63,043	95,136	64,031	66,251	59,763	240,771	109,423	200,640
Transportation Authority activities.....	75,282	23,727	10,390	1,693	2,515	1,966	1,386	6,705	10,924	12,496
Building inspection programs.....	22,066	20,691	17,213	16,475	13,959	21,837	32,112	49,364	71,131	97,928
Children and families.....	40,090	42,849	45,531	43,666	46,273	40,886	45,827	53,632	56,170	59,572
Culture, recreation, grants and other purposes.....	142,821	116,885	137,315	140,473	147,491	132,771	173,893	169,296	172,019	231,089
Unrestricted (deficit).....	245,572	464,632	552,676	229,540	(168,139)	(414,903)	(360,479)	(410,215)	(157,970)	67,752
Total primary activities net position.....	<u>\$ 5,768,561</u>	<u>\$ 6,207,051</u>	<u>\$ 6,582,275</u>	<u>\$ 6,433,405</u>	<u>\$ 6,065,349</u>	<u>\$ 5,968,879</u>	<u>\$ 6,302,753</u>	<u>\$ 6,951,276</u>	<u>\$ 7,494,261</u>	<u>\$ 8,359,491</u>

Notes:

(1) Effective with the implementation of GASB Statement No. 63, in fiscal year 2013, Net Assets was renamed Net Position.



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CHANGES IN NET POSITION
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Accrual basis of accounting)
 (In Thousands)


	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 ⁽¹⁾	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Expenses										
Governmental activities:										
Public protection.....	\$ 738,688	\$ 780,642	\$ 861,689	\$ 1,020,457	\$ 1,109,311	\$ 1,089,309	\$ 1,099,791	\$ 1,158,618	\$ 1,236,922	\$ 1,229,591
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	213,335	272,397	309,095	342,411	254,955	225,589	239,230	210,415	189,124	200,712
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	619,753	858,396	751,034	848,195	908,449	933,039	885,194	942,523	946,562	1,009,190
Community health.....	503,259	478,844	516,321	567,410	608,733	599,741	613,883	673,905	751,491	786,761
Culture and recreation.....	256,336	244,423	290,547	347,433	319,994	310,063	318,083	307,269	338,042	357,620
General administration and finance.....	152,850	167,490	194,653	250,295	238,601	221,471	224,027	237,818	249,271	298,563
General City responsibilities.....	59,024	49,054	67,948	80,887	72,634	80,246	84,444	96,147	83,895	85,239
Unallocated Interest on long-term debt and cost of issuance ⁽¹⁾	89,690	94,923	94,060	97,694	93,387	102,635	110,142	110,145	107,790	115,880
Total governmental activities expenses.....	<u>2,632,935</u>	<u>2,946,169</u>	<u>3,085,347</u>	<u>3,554,782</u>	<u>3,606,064</u>	<u>3,562,093</u>	<u>3,574,794</u>	<u>3,736,840</u>	<u>3,903,097</u>	<u>4,083,556</u>
Business-type activities:										
Airport.....	628,445	633,102	624,832	651,581	683,335	662,347	690,875	746,610	756,961	827,658
Transportation.....	711,733	695,593	726,053	830,411	863,218	905,694	905,218	959,088	1,026,726	1,037,368
Port.....	54,897	55,329	61,937	67,495	71,778	73,573	68,661	72,307	81,422	88,551
Water.....	197,848	213,584	236,824	252,802	277,162	325,242	362,802	431,248	445,804	470,200
Power.....	116,683	119,146	95,020	109,436	96,228	119,109	119,282	130,709	129,790	137,639
Hospitals.....	598,160	646,149	714,349	812,399	820,236	842,488	885,294	954,566	992,687	1,011,452
Sewer.....	160,650	160,701	168,954	182,712	184,977	201,403	201,629	214,593	223,727	243,466
Market.....	1,055	1,035	1,061	1,052	1,144	1,119	1,152	1,138	1,231	120
Total business-type activities expenses.....	<u>2,469,471</u>	<u>2,524,639</u>	<u>2,629,030</u>	<u>2,907,888</u>	<u>2,998,078</u>	<u>3,130,975</u>	<u>3,234,913</u>	<u>3,510,259</u>	<u>3,658,348</u>	<u>3,816,454</u>
Total primary government expenses.....	<u>\$ 5,102,406</u>	<u>\$ 5,470,808</u>	<u>\$ 5,714,377</u>	<u>\$ 6,462,670</u>	<u>\$ 6,604,142</u>	<u>\$ 6,693,068</u>	<u>\$ 6,809,707</u>	<u>\$ 7,247,099</u>	<u>\$ 7,561,445</u>	<u>\$ 7,900,010</u>
Program Revenues										
Governmental activities:										
Charges for services:										
Public protection.....	\$ 54,805	\$ 51,874	\$ 58,979	\$ 66,343	\$ 90,044	\$ 58,980	\$ 62,105	\$ 61,412	\$ 60,190	\$ 69,673
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	95,081	113,861	111,364	115,939	72,287	71,288	101,846	93,809	105,981	135,842
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	21,375	29,181	56,367	108,956	33,988	25,813	56,628	68,794	69,997	99,848
Community health.....	44,850	52,183	50,266	52,455	60,708	65,756	64,419	58,864	60,856	67,680
Culture and recreation.....	64,614	64,720	65,407	70,576	74,477	81,855	76,528	78,828	93,612	89,969
General administration and finance.....	41,348	55,799	10,502	20,376	33,530	35,190	37,601	44,358	76,903	66,071
General City responsibilities.....	28,956	31,647	29,604	26,980	27,377	37,806	29,316	29,142	50,121	39,445
Operating Grants and Contributions.....	834,607	859,919	927,256	926,089	909,695	997,091	1,040,116	998,701	1,086,154	1,142,094
Capital Grants and Contributions.....	55,435	248,329	50,479	36,079	44,048	50,349	57,719	41,174	29,718	39,379
Total Governmental activities program revenues.....	<u>1,241,071</u>	<u>1,507,513</u>	<u>1,360,224</u>	<u>1,423,793</u>	<u>1,346,154</u>	<u>1,424,128</u>	<u>1,526,278</u>	<u>1,475,082</u>	<u>1,633,532</u>	<u>1,750,001</u>
Business-type activities:										
Charges for services:										
Airport.....	477,314	455,342	503,914	535,771	551,283	578,041	607,323	668,672	726,358	770,691
Transportation.....	187,913	210,692	222,115	257,341	257,083	311,311	334,140	350,464	494,805	521,628
Port.....	57,519	58,588	61,193	64,498	66,438	66,579	72,266	77,260	80,202	85,019
Water.....	184,835	201,833	216,531	234,216	265,781	265,218	288,395	342,101	721,470	379,882
Power.....	132,303	149,500	108,224	119,855	115,274	128,590	140,035	127,309	133,927	134,438
Hospitals.....	493,596	472,327	515,092	558,167	568,210	606,276	726,522	740,920	868,244	951,038
Sewer.....	148,888	164,703	193,411	202,549	208,654	209,843	229,216	244,155	252,554	260,097
Market.....	1,462	1,503	1,567	1,564	1,546	1,681	1,655	1,672	1,715	141
Operating Grants and Contributions.....	180,807	188,672	183,301	181,725	186,805	182,572	204,153	200,318	224,382	190,351
Capital Grants and Contributions.....	93,724	110,403	150,080	152,511	107,118	180,253	213,364	173,975	251,753	515,445
Total business-type activities program revenues.....	<u>1,958,361</u>	<u>2,013,563</u>	<u>2,155,428</u>	<u>2,308,197</u>	<u>2,328,192</u>	<u>2,530,364</u>	<u>2,817,069</u>	<u>2,926,846</u>	<u>3,755,410</u>	<u>3,808,730</u>
Total primary government program revenues.....	<u>\$ 3,199,432</u>	<u>\$ 3,521,076</u>	<u>\$ 3,515,652</u>	<u>\$ 3,731,990</u>	<u>\$ 3,674,346</u>	<u>\$ 3,954,492</u>	<u>\$ 4,343,347</u>	<u>\$ 4,401,928</u>	<u>\$ 5,388,942</u>	<u>\$ 5,558,731</u>

Notes:

(1) The City adopted GASB Statement No. 65 in fiscal year 2014 and began reporting the cost of issuance as an expense. Prior fiscal years have not been restated.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CHANGES IN NET POSITION (Continued)
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Accrual basis of accounting)
 (In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 ⁽¹⁾	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Net (expenses)/revenue										
Governmental activities.....	\$ (1,391,864)	\$ (1,438,656)	\$ (1,725,123)	\$ (2,130,989)	\$ (2,259,910)	\$ (2,137,965)	\$ (2,048,516)	\$ (2,261,758)	\$ (2,269,565)	\$ (2,333,555)
Business-type activities.....	(511,110)	(511,076)	(473,602)	(599,691)	(669,886)	(600,611)	(417,844)	(583,413)	97,062	(7,724)
Total primary government net expenses.....	\$ (1,902,974)	\$ (1,949,732)	\$ (2,198,725)	\$ (2,730,680)	\$ (2,929,796)	\$ (2,738,576)	\$ (2,466,360)	\$ (2,845,171)	\$ (2,172,503)	\$ (2,341,279)
General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position										
Governmental activities:										
Taxes										
Property taxes.....	\$ 920,314	\$ 1,016,220	\$ 1,126,992	\$ 1,189,511	\$ 1,302,071	\$ 1,345,040	\$ 1,340,590	\$ 1,355,855	\$ 1,415,068	\$ 1,521,471
Business taxes.....	292,763	323,153	337,592	396,025	388,653	354,019	391,779	437,878	480,131	563,406
Sales and use tax.....	161,451	175,138	190,967	184,723	172,794	164,769	181,474	198,236	208,025	227,636
Hotel room tax.....	151,993	173,923	194,290	219,089	214,460	186,849	209,962	239,567	238,782	310,052
Utility users tax.....	72,574	76,444	78,729	86,964	89,801	94,537	91,683	91,676	91,871	86,810
Other local taxes.....	152,067	170,159	211,082	155,951	126,017	194,070	251,285	353,746	359,808	391,638
Interest and investment income.....	29,490	71,129	86,233	57,929	35,434	27,877	17,645	31,453	7,862	21,887
Other.....	47,153	56,022	33,046	25,939	44,086	54,410	58,524	91,236	52,865	70,024
Transfers - internal activities of primary government.....	(241,600)	(329,996)	(451,171)	(477,341)	(393,259)	(435,824)	(337,132)	(251,088)	(483,028)	(311,627)
Extraordinary gain (loss).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	323,130	(201,670)	-
Total governmental activities.....	1,586,205	1,732,192	1,801,516	1,845,034	1,980,057	1,985,747	2,205,810	2,871,489	2,169,714	2,881,297
Business-type activities:										
Interest and investment income.....	33,268	53,161	85,692	67,217	49,691	44,471	42,299	82,533	1,009	29,843
Other.....	237,102	272,873	218,184	233,244	181,759	176,064	214,993	288,584	61,737	82,737
Special item.....	(46,358)	-	17,386	(41,026)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers - internal activities of primary government.....	241,600	329,996	451,171	477,341	393,259	435,824	337,132	251,088	483,028	311,627
Extraordinary gain (loss).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,843)
Total business-type activities.....	465,612	656,030	772,433	736,776	624,709	656,359	594,424	622,205	545,774	417,364
Total primary government.....	\$ 2,051,817	\$ 2,388,222	\$ 2,573,949	\$ 2,581,810	\$ 2,604,766	\$ 2,642,106	\$ 2,800,234	\$ 3,493,694	\$ 2,715,488	\$ 3,298,661
Change in Net Position										
Governmental activities.....	\$ 194,341	\$ 293,536	\$ 76,393	\$ (285,955)	\$ (279,853)	\$ (152,218)	\$ 157,294	\$ 609,731	\$ (99,851)	\$ 547,742
Business-type activities.....	(45,498)	144,954	298,831	137,085	(45,177)	55,748	176,580	38,792	642,836	409,640
Total primary government.....	\$ 148,843	\$ 438,490	\$ 375,224	\$ (148,870)	\$ (325,030)	\$ (96,470)	\$ 333,874	\$ 648,523	\$ 542,985	\$ 957,382



Notes:

(1) In fiscal year 2008-2009, the City transferred its Emergency Communications Department and General Service Agency - Technology's function from Public Works, Transportation and Commerce to Public Protection and General Administration and Finance.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Modified accrual basis of accounting)
 (In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
General Fund					
Reserved for rainy day.....	\$ 48,139	\$ 121,976	\$ 133,622	\$ 117,792	\$ 98,297
Reserved for assets not available for appropriation.....	9,031	10,710	12,665	11,358	11,307
Reserved for encumbrances.....	57,762	38,159	60,948	63,068	65,902
Reserved for appropriation carryforward.....	36,198	124,009	161,127	99,959	91,075
Reserved for subsequent years' budgets.....	22,351	27,451	32,062	36,341	6,891
Unreserved.....	134,199	138,971	141,037	77,117	28,203
Total general fund.....	<u>\$ 307,680</u>	<u>\$ 461,276</u>	<u>\$ 541,461</u>	<u>\$ 405,635</u>	<u>\$ 301,675</u>
All other governmental funds					
Reserved for assets not available for appropriation.....	\$ 17,683	\$ 20,202	\$ 19,413	\$ 19,814	\$ 19,781
Reserved for debt service.....	45,540	57,429	51,299	47,334	75,886
Reserved for encumbrances.....	97,920	423,120	288,948	193,461	167,169
Reserved for appropriation carryforward.....	549,571	294,340	292,234	314,051	501,006
Reserved for subsequent years' budgets.....	8,004	8,004	8,004	13,504	11,245
Unreserved reported in:					
Special revenue funds.....	30,809	35,243	47,445	(27,758)	(69,468)
Capital projects funds.....	7,193	13,662	(373)	2,126	(26,153)
Permanent fund.....	3,856	2,308	3,508	3,502	3,871
Total other governmental funds.....	<u>\$ 760,576</u>	<u>\$ 854,308</u>	<u>\$ 710,478</u>	<u>\$ 566,034</u>	<u>\$ 683,337</u>
General Fund					
	2010 ⁽¹⁾	2011	2012	2013	2014
Nonspendable.....	\$ 14,874	\$ 20,501	\$ 19,598	\$ 23,854	\$ 24,022
Restricted.....	39,582	33,439	34,109	26,339	83,194
Committed.....	4,677	33,431	79,276	137,487	145,126
Assigned.....	132,645	240,635	305,413	353,191	508,903
Unassigned.....	-	-	17,329	-	74,317
Total general fund.....	<u>\$ 191,778</u>	<u>\$ 328,006</u>	<u>\$ 455,725</u>	<u>\$ 540,871</u>	<u>\$ 835,562</u>
All other governmental funds					
Nonspendable.....	\$ 192	\$ 192	\$ 1,104	\$ 274	\$ 441
Restricted.....	861,188	831,269	1,189,102	1,191,189	1,115,226
Assigned.....	27,493	27,622	28,006	30,759	50,733
Unassigned.....	(81,566)	(59,523)	(136,856)	(94,532)	(64,983)
Total other governmental funds.....	<u>\$ 807,307</u>	<u>\$ 799,560</u>	<u>\$ 1,081,356</u>	<u>\$ 1,127,690</u>	<u>\$ 1,101,417</u>

Notes:
 (1) The City implemented GASB Statement No. 54 in fiscal year 2011 and restated the presentation for fiscal year 2010.



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Modified accrual basis of accounting)
 (In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year									
	2005 ⁽¹⁾	2006	2007	2008	2009 ⁽²⁾	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Revenues:										
Property taxes.....	\$ 918,645	\$ 1,008,151	\$ 1,107,864	\$ 1,179,688	\$ 1,272,385	\$ 1,331,957	\$ 1,380,356	\$ 1,352,857	\$ 1,421,764	\$ 1,517,261
Business taxes.....	292,763	323,153	337,592	396,025	388,653	354,019	391,779	437,678	480,131	563,406
Sales and use tax.....	161,451	175,138	184,723	190,967	172,794	164,769	181,474	198,236	208,025	227,636
Hotel room tax.....	151,993	173,923	194,290	219,089	214,460	186,849	209,962	239,567	238,782	310,052
Utility users tax.....	72,574	76,444	78,729	86,964	89,801	94,537	91,683	91,676	91,871	86,810
Other local taxes.....	152,067	170,159	211,082	155,951	126,017	194,070	251,285	353,889	359,808	391,638
Licenses, permits and franchises.....	25,942	27,662	27,428	30,943	32,153	33,625	35,977	39,770	40,901	42,371
Fines, forfeitures and penalties.....	12,509	14,449	8,871	13,217	9,694	22,255	11,770	30,090	49,841	28,425
Interest and investment income.....	28,268	70,046	83,846	54,256	33,547	27,038	17,041	31,371	7,489	21,678
Rent and concessions.....	49,450	52,426	52,493	70,160	77,014	78,527	78,995	89,183	98,770	90,712
Intergovernmental:										
Federal.....	348,764	350,985	381,688	328,315	362,582	448,890	484,704	420,974	420,775	426,314
State.....	522,937	565,989	582,666	561,095	575,774	552,641	581,119	588,532	656,141	721,735
Other.....	25,783	23,500	15,689	15,907	15,186	7,397	32,017	33,181	41,789	9,408
Charges for services.....	241,750	263,994	273,057	288,689	280,407	243,128	258,015	264,856	296,059	333,904
Other.....	57,487	61,565	44,084	81,321	30,318	51,023	97,194	83,634	81,014	134,923
Total revenues.....	<u>3,062,383</u>	<u>3,357,584</u>	<u>3,584,102</u>	<u>3,672,587</u>	<u>3,680,785</u>	<u>3,790,725</u>	<u>4,103,371</u>	<u>4,255,494</u>	<u>4,493,160</u>	<u>4,906,273</u>
Expenditures										
Public protection.....	738,494	787,398	865,556	1,018,212	999,518	1,021,505	1,031,181	1,079,203	1,145,884	1,172,497
Public works, transportation and commerce.....	195,896	274,669	280,907	236,569	248,161	243,454	226,920	250,879	223,218	232,005
Human welfare and neighborhood development.....	644,899	697,102	740,171	828,903	886,686	918,301	870,091	918,414	945,106	995,192
Community health.....	501,050	471,741	509,844	543,046	578,828	581,392	595,222	653,263	734,736	761,439
Culture and recreation.....	239,022	256,979	286,135	309,612	313,442	303,134	310,392	311,156	328,794	331,914
General administration and finance.....	135,118	161,195	167,505	215,054	190,680	187,221	191,641	203,157	211,138	233,977
General City responsibilities	62,799	53,763	57,532	71,205	73,147	86,498	85,463	96,150	81,775	86,996
Debt service:										
Principal retirement.....	80,306	86,970	98,169	106,580	126,501	154,051	148,231	167,465	154,542	190,266
Interest and fiscal charges.....	61,524	75,975	71,266	75,844	74,466	89,946	101,716	103,706	108,189	119,142
Bond issuance costs.....	4,842	1,933	3,683	1,090	4,746	2,145	2,161	5,386	2,913	2,185
Capital outlay.....	130,224	153,493	283,370	133,155	152,473	182,448	214,817	270,094	410,994	449,726
Total expenditures.....	<u>2,794,174</u>	<u>3,021,218</u>	<u>3,364,138</u>	<u>3,539,270</u>	<u>3,648,648</u>	<u>3,770,095</u>	<u>3,777,835</u>	<u>4,058,873</u>	<u>4,347,289</u>	<u>4,575,339</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures....	<u>268,209</u>	<u>336,366</u>	<u>219,964</u>	<u>133,317</u>	<u>32,137</u>	<u>20,630</u>	<u>325,536</u>	<u>196,621</u>	<u>145,871</u>	<u>330,934</u>

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS (Continued)
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Modified accrual basis of accounting)
 (In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year									
	2005 ⁽¹⁾	2006	2007	2008	2009 ⁽²⁾	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Other financing sources (uses):										
Transfers in.....	271,553	224,523	217,298	244,770	352,693	302,790	304,682	335,600	447,734	563,283
Transfers out.....	(513,423)	(555,155)	(668,847)	(724,172)	(746,178)	(740,349)	(630,625)	(742,719)	(930,793)	(875,296)
Issuance of bonds and loans:										
Face value of bonds issued.....	346,225	219,120	312,955	310,155	456,935	393,010	232,965	804,090	557,490	257,175
Face value of loans issued.....	500	5,359	141	1,829	-	599	1,813	4,359	5,890	8,735
Premium on issuance of bonds.....	11,989	10,233	3,521	13,071	12,875	16,647	16,799	89,336	64,469	19,773
Discount on issuance of bonds.....	-	-	(1,856)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent.....	(38,913)	-	(159,610)	(283,494)	(120,000)	-	(142,458)	(487,390)	-	(49,055)
Other financing sources - capital leases.....	4,542	6,882	12,789	24,254	24,881	20,746	19,769	12,304	13,470	12,869
Total other financing sources (uses).....	<u>82,473</u>	<u>(89,038)</u>	<u>(283,609)</u>	<u>(413,587)</u>	<u>(18,794)</u>	<u>(6,557)</u>	<u>(197,055)</u>	<u>15,580</u>	<u>158,260</u>	<u>(62,516)</u>
Extraordinary gain (loss).....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	197,314	(172,651)	-
Net change in fund balances.....	<u>\$ 350,682</u>	<u>\$ 247,328</u>	<u>\$ (63,645)</u>	<u>\$ (280,270)</u>	<u>\$ 13,343</u>	<u>\$ 14,073</u>	<u>\$ 128,481</u>	<u>\$ 409,515</u>	<u>\$ 131,480</u>	<u>\$ 268,418</u>
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures.....	5.31%	5.71%	5.51%	5.34%	5.79%	6.90%	7.07%	7.30%	6.80%	7.61%
Debt service as a percentage of total expenditures.....	5.08%	5.39%	5.04%	5.15%	5.51%	6.47%	6.62%	6.68%	6.04%	6.76%

Notes:

- (1) Prior to fiscal year 2004-2005, transfers of base rental payments from various Certificate of Participation Special Revenue Funds which provide for debt service payments were recorded as current expenditures in paying departments/funds and rental income in debt service funds. Beginning fiscal year 2004-2005, they were recorded as transfers.
- (2) In fiscal year 2008-2009, the City transferred its Emergency Communications Department and General Service Agency - Technology's function from Public Works, Transportation and Commerce to Public Protection and General Administration and Finance.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
ASSESSED VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY (1)(3)(4)
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (In Thousands)

Fiscal Year ⁽⁴⁾	Assessed Value			Exemptions ⁽²⁾			Total Taxable Assessed Value	Total Direct Tax Rate
	Real Property	Personal Property	Total	Non-reim-bursable	Reim-bursable	Redevelopment Tax Increments		
2005.....	\$ 106,805,910	\$ 3,736,998	\$ 110,542,908	\$ 4,017,052	\$ 678,120	\$ 5,199,856	\$ 100,647,880	1.00%
2006.....	114,767,252	3,465,752	118,233,004	4,246,112	657,834	6,453,299	106,875,759	1.00%
2007.....	126,074,101	3,524,897	129,598,998	4,617,851	657,144	7,333,916	116,990,087	1.00%
2008.....	136,887,654	3,807,362	140,695,016	5,687,576	652,034	10,134,313	124,221,093	1.00%
2009.....	152,150,004	3,943,357	156,093,361	6,193,368	657,320	8,860,502	140,382,171	1.00%
2010.....	164,449,745	4,093,813	168,543,558	6,751,558	660,435	9,289,538	151,842,027	1.00%
2011.....	162,347,329	4,066,754	166,414,083	6,910,812	663,664	11,540,067	147,299,540	1.00%
2012.....	168,914,782	3,716,092	172,630,874	7,205,992	660,247	13,842,390	150,922,245	1.00%
2013.....	171,327,361	3,801,645	175,129,006	7,460,708	660,566	14,032,211	152,975,521	1.00%
2014.....	179,368,068	4,101,609	183,469,677	7,494,941	657,439	15,962,884	159,354,413	1.00%

Source:


Controller, City and County of San Francisco

Notes:

- (1) Assessed value of taxable property represents all property within the City. The maximum tax rate is 1% of the full cash value or \$1/\$100 of the assessed value, excluding the tax rate for debt service.
- (2) Exemptions are summarized as follows:
 - (a) Non-reimbursable exemptions are revenues lost to the City because of provisions of California Constitution, Article XIII(3).
 - (b) Reimbursable exemptions arise from Article XII(25) which reimburses local governments for revenues lost through the homeowners' exemption in Article XIII(3) (k).
 - (c) Tax increments were allocations made to the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency under authority of California Constitution, Article XVI and Section 33675 of the California Health & Safety Code. Actual allocations are limited under an indebtedness agreement between the City and the former Redevelopment Agency, through January 31, 2012, and to the Successor Agency after January 31, 2012.
- (3) Based on certified assessed values.
- (4) Based on year end actual assessed values.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Rate Per \$1,000 of Assessed Value)

Fiscal Year	City and County Direct Rate ⁽¹⁾	Overlapping Rates				Total
		Debt Service Fund ⁽²⁾	San Francisco Unified School District	San Francisco Community College District	Bay Area Rapid Transit District	
2005	1.00000000	0.12838968	0.00393518	0.01167514	-	1.1440
2006	1.00000000	0.12012547	0.01092226	0.00415227	0.00480000	1.1400
2007	1.00000000	0.09657879	0.01532351	0.01809770	0.00500000	1.1350
2008	1.00000000	0.10365766	0.01666683	0.01307551	0.00760000	1.1410
2009	1.00000000	0.10532566	0.02737873	0.02129561	0.00900000	1.1630
2010	1.00000000	0.10839903	0.02336031	0.02154066	0.00570000	1.1590
2011	1.00000000	0.11210000	0.03020000	0.01860000	0.00310000	1.1640
2012	1.00000000	0.11470000	0.03340000	0.01960000	0.00410000	1.1718
2013	1.00000000	0.10830000	0.03750000	0.01900000	0.00430000	1.1691
2014	1.00000000	0.11947956	0.04288739	0.01813305	0.00750000	1.1880



- Notes:
- (1) Proposition 13 allows each county to levy a maximum tax of \$1 per \$100 of full cash value. Full cash value is equivalent to assessed value pursuant to Statutes of 1978, Senate Bill 1656.
 - (2) On June 6, 1978, California voters approved a constitutional amendment to Article XIII A of the California Constitution, commonly known as Proposition 13, that limits the taxing power of California public agencies. Legislation enacted to implement Article XIII A (Statutes of 1978, Chapter 292, as amended) provides that notwithstanding any other law, local agencies may not levy property taxes except to pay debt service on indebtedness approved by voters prior to July 1, 1978 or any bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property approved on or after July 1, 1978 by two-thirds of the voting public.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PRINCIPAL PROPERTY ASSESSEES
 Current Fiscal Year and Nine Fiscal Years Ago
 (Dollar in Thousands)

Assessee	Type of Business	Fiscal Year 2014			Fiscal Year 2005		
		Taxable Assessed Value (1)	Rank	Percentage of Total Taxable Assessed Value (2)	Taxable Assessed Value	Rank	Percentage of Total Taxable Assessed Value (2)
HWA 555 Owners LLC	Office, Commercial	\$ 941,010	1	0.52%	\$ -		-
Paramount Group Real Estate Fund	Office, Commercial	770,892	2	0.42%	-		-
Emporium Mall LLC	Retail, Commercial	430,661	3	0.24%	-		-
SPF China Basin Holdings LLC	Office, Commercial	423,273	4	0.23%	-		-
SHC Embarcadero LLC	Office, Commercial	398,608	5	0.22%	-		-
SF Hilton Inc	Hotel	389,595	6	0.21%	-		-
Post-Montgomery Associates	Office, Commercial	387,267	7	0.21%	382,157	8	0.36
SHR St. Francis LLC	Hotel	368,994	8	0.20%	-		-
PPF Off One Maritime Plaza LP	Office, Commercial	367,384	9	0.20%	-		-
Wells REIT II - 333 Market St LLC	Office, Commercial	349,062	10	0.19%	-		-
Embarcadero Center Venture	Office, Commercial	-		-	1,410,865	1	1.34
Pacific Gas & Electric Company	Utilities	-		-	976,099	2	0.93
555 California Street Partners	Office, Commercial	-		-	924,454	3	0.88
SBC California (Formerly Pacific Bell)	Utilities, Communications	-		-	441,015	4	0.42
EOP - One Market LLC	Offices	-		-	401,262	5	0.38
CB-1 Entertainment Partners	Hotels, Condos	-		-	393,972	6	0.37
Mariott Hotel	Hotel	-		-	391,943	7	0.37
China Basin Ballpark Company LLC	Possessory Interest-Stadium	-		-	375,661	9	0.36
BRE - St. Francis LLC	Hotels	-		-	331,824	10	0.32
Total		\$ 4,826,746		2.65%	\$ 6,029,252		5.73%

Source: Assessor, City and County of San Francisco


Notes:

(1) Data for fiscal year 2013-2014 updated as of July 1, 2013.

(2) Assessed values for fiscal years 2013-2014 and 2004-2005 are from the tax rolls of calendar years 2013 and 2004, respectively.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS ^{(1) (2)}
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (In Thousands)

Fiscal Year	Total Adjusted Levy	Collected within the Fiscal Year of the Levy			Total Collections to Date		
		Amount	Percentage of Original Levy	Collections in Subsequent Years ⁽³⁾	Amount	Percentage of Adjusted Levy	
2005	\$1,208,044	\$ 1,179,959	97.68%	\$ 18,010	\$ 1,197,969	99.17%	
2006	1,291,491	1,263,396	97.82	17,524	1,280,920	99.18	
2007	1,411,316	1,372,174	97.23	5,959	1,378,133	97.65	
2008	1,530,484	1,487,715	97.21	20,781	1,508,496	98.56	
2009	1,731,668	1,658,599	95.78	21,463	1,680,062	97.02	
2010	1,868,098	1,787,809	95.70	40,111	1,827,920	97.85	
2011	1,849,132	1,799,523	97.32	45,787	1,845,310	99.79	
2012	1,922,368	1,883,666	97.99	37,566	1,921,232	99.94	
2013	1,952,525	1,919,060	98.29	31,580	1,950,640	99.90	
2014	2,138,245	2,113,284	98.83	23,009	2,136,293	99.91	



Source: Controller, City and County of San Francisco

Notes:

- (1) Includes San Francisco Unified School District, San Francisco Community College District, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, and the Successor Agency to San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.
- (2) Does not include SB-813 supplemental property taxes.
- (3) Collections in subsequent years reflect assessment appeals reduction.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE

Last Ten Fiscal Years

(In Thousands, except per capita amount)

Governmental Activities									
Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾	General Obligation Bonds	Lease Revenue Bonds	Certificates of Participations	Loans	Capital Leases	Settlement Obligation ⁽²⁾	Subtotal		
2005	\$ 1,101,478	\$ 230,738	\$ 281,521	\$ 7,961	\$ 198,703	\$ 39,057	\$ 1,859,458		
2006	1,256,045	231,497	274,407	12,377	190,279	33,278	1,997,883		
2007	1,181,588	250,095	417,063	11,640	185,736	27,353	2,073,475		
2008	1,135,205	283,469	408,745	12,495	174,149	20,779	2,034,842		
2009	1,208,353	294,973	564,754	11,329	164,383	14,019	2,257,811		
2010	1,442,448	286,653	591,613	10,607	152,273	7,105	2,490,699		
2011	1,411,769	283,155	587,121	10,072	141,377	-	2,433,494		
2012	1,617,397	275,876	552,998	13,878	22,878	-	2,483,027		
2013	2,052,155	264,828	574,683	19,184	9,741	-	2,920,591		
2014	2,105,885	243,503	544,817	27,441	3,085	-	2,924,731		

Business-Type Activities						Primary Government			
Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾	Revenue Bonds	State of California - Revolving Fund Loans	Certificates of Participation ⁽³⁾	Notes, Loans and Other Payables	Capital Leases	Subtotal	Total Primary Government	Percentage of Personal Income ⁽³⁾	Per Capita ⁽⁴⁾
2005	\$ 5,109,738	\$ 134,783	\$ -	\$ 27,278	\$ 4,754	\$ 5,276,553	\$ 7,136,011	14.54%	\$ 9,176
2006	5,553,738	118,868	-	22,962	5,522	5,701,090	7,698,973	14.28	9,793
2007	5,437,855	102,438	-	18,447	4,499	5,563,239	7,636,714	13.56	9,556
2008	5,373,878	89,101	-	13,749	3,843	5,480,571	7,515,413	12.91	9,301
2009	4,928,729	75,339	-	324,042	2,635	5,330,745	7,588,556	13.54	9,307
2010	7,152,582	61,140	194,112	73,322	1,416	7,482,572	9,973,271	17.60	12,386
2011	8,090,624	46,492	193,579	32,434	652	8,363,781	10,797,275	18.42	13,284
2012	9,280,580	36,898	348,641	7,163	3,155	9,676,437	12,159,464	20.25	14,723
2013	9,342,222	-	339,007	7,370	3,606	9,692,205	12,612,796	19.78	15,061
2014	9,668,418	-	365,867	7,596	2,512	10,044,393	12,969,124	19.86	15,272



Notes:

- ⁽¹⁾ In compliance with GASB Statement No. 65, the amount of outstanding obligations for fiscal year 2005-2013 was restated to exclude refunding gains or losses.
- ⁽²⁾ The amount for fiscal year 2005 to 2010 was restated to exclude commercial paper issued by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority.
- ⁽³⁾ Certificates of Participation of \$22,550 was presented in FY 2010 in Capital Leases.
- ⁽⁴⁾ See Demographic and Economic Statistics, for personal income and population data.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (In Thousands, except per capita amount)

Fiscal Year	General Obligation Bonds ⁽¹⁾	Less: Amounts Restricted for Debt Service ⁽¹⁾	Total	Per Capita ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Taxable Assessed Value ^{(3) (4)}
2005	\$ 1,101,478	\$ 33,774	\$ 1,067,704	\$ 1,373	1.00%
2006	1,256,045	46,929	1,209,116	1,538	1.06
2007	1,181,588	35,249	1,146,339	1,434	0.92
2008	1,135,205	31,883	1,103,322	1,365	0.82
2009	1,208,353	40,907	1,167,446	1,432	0.78
2010	1,442,448	36,901	1,405,547	1,746	0.87
2011	1,411,769	39,330	1,372,439	1,688	0.86
2012	1,617,397	51,033	1,566,364	1,897	0.95
2013	2,052,155	102,188	1,949,967	2,328	1.16
2014	2,105,885	95,451	2,010,434	2,367	1.14

Notes:

- (1) Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements. In compliance with GASB Statement No. 65, the amount for general obligation bonds was restated to exclude bond refunding gains or losses.
- (2) Population data can be found in Demographic and Economic Statistics.
- (3) FY 2013 updated with newly available data.
- (4) Taxable property data can be found in Assessed Value of Taxable Property.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION

Last Ten Fiscal Years

(In Thousands)

	Fiscal Year				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Debt limit	\$ 3,195,776	\$ 3,419,607	\$ 3,749,434	\$ 4,050,223	\$ 4,497,000
Total net debt applicable to limit ⁽¹⁾	1,101,478	1,256,045	1,181,588	1,135,205	1,208,353
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 2,094,298</u>	<u>\$ 2,163,562</u>	<u>\$ 2,567,846</u>	<u>\$ 2,915,018</u>	<u>\$ 3,288,647</u>
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit	34.47%	36.73%	31.51%	28.03%	26.87%

	Fiscal Year				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Debt limit	\$ 4,853,760	\$ 4,785,098	\$ 4,962,746	\$ 5,030,049	\$ 5,279,242
Total net debt applicable to limit ⁽¹⁾	1,442,448	1,411,769	1,617,397	2,052,155	2,105,885
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 3,411,312</u>	<u>\$ 3,373,329</u>	<u>\$ 3,345,349</u>	<u>\$ 2,977,894</u>	<u>\$ 3,173,357</u>
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit	29.72%	29.50%	32.59%	40.80%	39.89%

Legal Debt Margin Calculation for Fiscal Year 2014

Total assessed value	\$ 183,469,677
Less: non-reimbursable exemptions ⁽²⁾	<u>7,494,941</u>
Assessed value ⁽²⁾	<u>\$ 175,974,736</u>
Debt limit (three percent of valuation subject to taxation ⁽³⁾)	\$ 5,279,242
Debt applicable to limit - general obligation bonds	<u>2,105,885</u>
Legal debt margin	<u>\$ 3,173,357</u>

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Per outstanding general obligation bonds and reinstated to exclude refunding gain or loss.

⁽²⁾ Source: Assessor, City and County of San Francisco

⁽³⁾ City's Administrative Code Section 2.60 Limitations on Bonded Indebtedness.

"There shall be a limit on outstanding general obligation bond indebtedness of three percent of the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property, located within the City and County."

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT

June 30, 2014

Debts	Total Debt Outstanding	Estimated Percentage Applicable to City and County ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Share of Overlapping Debt
General Obligation Bonds			
City and County of San Francisco direct debt			\$ 2,105,883,334
San Francisco Unified School District.....	\$ 875,866,878	100.00%	875,866,878
San Francisco Community College District.....	340,476,416	100.00%	340,476,416
Bay Area Rapid Transit District.....	213,930,750	33.00%	70,597,148
Total General Obligation Bonds.....			<u>3,392,823,776</u>
Other Debt			
Lease Revenue Bonds.....	243,502,910	100.00%	243,502,910
Certificates of Participation.....	544,817,408	100.00%	544,817,408
Loans.....	27,441,208	100.00%	27,441,208
Capital Leases.....	3,084,826	100.00%	3,084,826
Total Other Debt.....			<u>818,846,352</u>
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt.....			<u>\$ 4,211,670,128</u>

Assessed valuation (net of non-reimbursable exemption).....	\$ 175,974,736,019
Population - 2014 ⁽²⁾	849,183

Ratio of direct and overlapping general obligation debt per assessed valuation.....	1.93%
Ratio of total direct and overlapping debt per assessed valuation.....	2.39%
Estimated total direct and overlapping total debt per capita.....	4,959.67

Note: Overlapping districts are those that coincide, at least in part, with the geographic boundaries of the City. This schedule estimates the portion of the outstanding debt of those overlapping districts that is borne by the residents and businesses of the City. This process recognizes that, when considering the City's ability to issue and repay long-term debt, the entire debt burden borne by the residents and businesses should be taken into account.

⁽¹⁾ The percentage of overlapping debt applicable is estimated using taxable assessed property value. Applicable percentages were estimated by determining the portion of the City's taxable assessed value that is within the districts's boundaries and dividing it the City's total taxable assessed value.

⁽²⁾ Sources: US Census Bureau

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

PLEDGED-REVENUE COVERAGE

Last Ten Fiscal Years

(In Thousands)

San Francisco International Airport ⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year	Operating Revenues ⁽²⁾	Less: Operating Expenses ⁽³⁾	Net Available		Debt Service			Coverage
			Revenue	Principal	Interest	Total		
2005	\$ 496,485	\$ 253,931	\$ 242,554	\$ 78,555	\$ 207,430	\$ 285,985	0.85	
2006	480,673	267,387	213,286	79,125	199,419	278,544	0.77	
2007	540,186	284,692	255,494	79,415	192,746	272,161	0.94	
2008	565,139	295,849	269,290	75,510	214,839	290,349	0.93	
2009	574,088	315,823	258,265	88,205	178,372	266,577	0.97	
2010	597,429	305,995	291,434	97,715	190,490	288,205	1.01	
2011	622,709	331,399	291,310	134,800	177,581	312,381	0.93	
2012	701,025	369,376	331,649	135,760	189,696	325,456	1.02	
2013	728,044	380,543	347,501	152,355	185,000	337,355	1.03	
2014	776,116	402,176	373,940	163,095	202,219	365,314	1.02	

- (1) The pledged-revenue coverage calculations presented in this schedule conform to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 44 and as such differs significantly from those calculated in accordance with the Airport Commission's 1991 Master Bond Resolution which authorized the sale and issuance of these bonds.
- (2) Operating revenues consist of Airport operating revenues and interest and investment income.
- (3) In accordance with GASB Statement No. 44, Airport operating expenses related to the pledged revenues exclude interest, depreciation and amortization.

San Francisco Water Department ⁽⁴⁾

Fiscal Year	Gross Revenues ⁽⁵⁾	Less: Operating Expenses ⁽⁶⁾	Adjustments ⁽⁸⁾	Net Available		Debt Service			Coverage
				Revenue	Principal	Interest	Total		
2005	\$ 189,928	\$ 176,453	\$ 83,078	\$ 96,553	\$ 14,055	\$ 23,939	\$ 37,994	2.54	
2006	213,499	186,934	110,638	137,203	14,790	20,585	35,375	3.88	
2007	241,078	202,498	112,101	150,681	16,160	48,955	65,115	2.31	
2008	246,885	223,052	134,215	158,048	19,170	45,023	64,193	2.46	
2009	272,869	248,315	125,203	149,757	25,520	44,065	69,585	2.15	
2010	275,041	277,970	141,615	138,686	26,605	42,990	69,595	1.99	
2011	305,678	261,927	126,126	169,877	27,795	58,759 ⁽⁷⁾	86,554	1.96	
2012	375,551	304,562	115,667	186,656	44,050	78,239 ⁽⁷⁾	122,289	1.53	
2013	721,189	303,739	157,518	574,968	45,965	93,569 ⁽⁷⁾	139,534	4.12	
2014	390,789	333,555	426,527	483,761	20,825	120,501 ⁽⁷⁾	141,326	3.42	

- (4) The pledged-revenue coverage calculations presented in this schedule conform to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 44 and as such differ significantly from those calculated in accordance with the bond indenture.
- (5) Gross Revenue consists of charges for services, rental income and other income, investing activities and capacity fees.
- (6) In accordance with GASB Statement No. 44, Water Department operating expenses related to the pledged revenues exclude interest.
- (7) Interest payment was restated to exclude capitalized interest in FY 2011 through FY 2012. FY2012 through FY2014 also includes "springing" amendments.
- (8) Adjustments column included adjustment to investing activities, depreciation and non-cash expenses, changes in working capital and other available funds presented in the published Annual Disclosure Reports.

Municipal Transportation Agency

Fiscal Year	Base Rental Payment and Gross Meter Revenue Charges ⁽⁹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	Less: Operating Expenses ⁽¹¹⁾⁽¹²⁾	Net Available		Debt Service			Coverage
			Revenue	Principal	Interest	Total		
2005	\$ 25,623	\$ 14,071	\$ 11,552	\$ 5,193	\$ 2,573	\$ 7,766	1.49	
2006	31,116	14,960	16,156	5,471	2,317	7,788	2.07	
2007	31,801	16,907	14,894	5,734	1,989	7,723	1.93	
2008	33,091	18,038	15,053	6,017	1,747	7,764	1.94	
2009	33,970	18,879	15,091	5,165	1,395	6,560	2.30	
2010	39,538	19,018	20,520	2,680	1,149	3,829	5.36	
2011	41,204	21,077	20,127	1,615	1,068	2,683	7.50	
2012	47,810	19,419	28,391	1,685	995	2,680	10.59	
2013	607,125	471,490	135,636	3,075	1,856	4,931	27.51	
2014	642,614	509,762	132,852	5,895	3,686	9,581	13.87	

- (9) Prior to FY2013 revenue bonds were issued by the Parking Authority. The Parking Authority leased North Beach, Moscone, and San Francisco Hospital garages to the City. In return, the City pledged to pay off the debt service with a base (lease) rental payment. The gross revenue reflects base rental payments plus revenue from all meters in San Francisco except the meters on Port property. All the related revenue bonds were defeased/paid off in FY2013.
- (10) In July 2012, the SFMTA issued its first revenue bonds, Series 2012A and B. Series 2012A refunded the bonds described above in Note (9) plus bonds issued by the City's nonprofit garage corporations. The gross pledged revenues consist of transit fares, parking fines and fees, rental income, investment income plus operating grants from Transportation Development Act (codified as Sections 99200 et seq. of the California Public Utilities Code) (the "TDA"), AB 1107 (codified at Section 29140 et seq. of the Public Utilities Code) (the "AB 1107"), and State Transit Assistance.
- (11) Prior to FY2013, the operating expense includes only the costs related to parking meter program excluding debt service payments.
- (12) Effective FY2013, related to the new bonds as described in Note (10), the operating expense excludes expenses funded by the City's General Fund support paratransit restricted grants. In accordance with GASB Statement No. 44, operating expenses related to the pledged revenues exclude interest, depreciation and non-cash expense. FY2013 was adjusted for Paratransit related expenses.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
PLEDGED-REVENUE COVERAGE (Continued)
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(In Thousands)

San Francisco Wastewater Enterprise ⁽¹³⁾

Fiscal Year	Gross Revenues ⁽¹⁴⁾	Less:		Net Available		Debt Service		Coverage ⁽¹⁷⁾
		Operating Expenses ⁽¹⁵⁾	Adjustments ⁽¹⁶⁾	Revenue ⁽¹⁷⁾	Principal	Interest ⁽¹⁷⁾	Total ⁽¹⁷⁾	
2005	\$ 151,981	\$ 139,290	\$ 36,700	\$ 49,391	\$ -	\$ 17,219	\$ 17,219	2.87
2006	170,517	140,954	35,788	65,351	-	17,219	17,219	3.80
2007	199,160	151,600	49,600	97,160	33,445	16,718	50,163	1.94
2008	206,648	165,245	66,109	107,512	34,500	15,698	50,198	2.14
2009	210,646	169,300	77,800	119,146	35,665	14,646	50,311	2.37
2010	211,899	185,512	86,880	113,267	37,130	13,183	50,313	2.25
2011	231,143	179,084	56,239	108,298	26,320	18,563 ⁽¹⁸⁾	44,883	2.41
2012	247,936	195,857	107,125	159,204	22,010	20,180 ⁽¹⁸⁾	42,190	3.77
2013	253,078	208,260	109,323	154,141	23,095	15,655 ⁽¹⁸⁾	38,750	3.98
2014	262,497	216,340	172,831	218,988	32,805	32,047 ⁽¹⁸⁾	64,852	3.38

- (13) The pledged-revenue coverage calculations presented in this schedule conform to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 44 and as such differs significantly from those calculated in accordance with the bond indenture.
- (14) Gross revenue consists of charges for services, rental income and other income.
- (15) In accordance with GASB Statement No. 44, Wastewater Enterprise operating expenses related to the pledged revenues exclude interest.
- (16) Adjustments includes Depreciation and Non-Cash Expense, Changes in Working Capital, Investment Income, SRF Loan Payments, and other available Funds that are printed in published Annual Disclosure Reports.
- (17) Restated to match the published Annual Disclosure Reports for FY 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009.
- (18) Interest payment was restated to exclude capitalized interest in FY 2011 through FY 2012. FY2012 thru FY2014 also includes a "springing" amendment.

Port of San Francisco ⁽¹⁹⁾

Fiscal Year	Total Operating Revenues ⁽²⁰⁾	Less:		Net Available		Debt Service		Coverage
		Operating Expenses ⁽²¹⁾	Revenue	Principal	Interest	Total		
2005	\$ 59,217	\$ 43,786	\$ 15,431	\$ 3,920	\$ 1,012	\$ 4,932	3.13	
2006	61,581	44,893	16,688	3,390	554	3,944	4.23	
2007	65,416	50,887	14,529	3,975	453	4,428	3.28	
2008	68,111	56,406	11,705	4,070	348	4,418	2.65	
2009	68,722	57,574	11,148	4,185	222	4,407	2.53	
2010	68,892	58,756	10,136	4,320	75	4,395	2.31	
2011	73,774	51,788	21,986	485	2,358	2,843	7.73	
2012	79,819	55,470	24,349	670	2,175	2,845	8.56	
2013	81,536	63,615	17,921	695	2,151	2,846	6.30	
2014	87,213	63,410	23,803	725	2,122	2,847	8.36	

- (19) The pledged-revenue coverage calculations presented in this schedule conform to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 44 and as such differs significantly from those calculated in accordance with the bond indenture.
- (20) Total revenues consist of operating revenues and interest and investment income.
- (21) In accordance with GASB Statement No. 44, operating expenses related to the pledged-revenue stream exclude interest, depreciation and amortization. Details regarding outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements. Operating expenses, as defined by the bond indenture, also excludes amortized dredging costs.





Hetch Hetchy Water and Power ^{(22) (23)}

Fiscal Year	Gross Revenues ⁽²⁴⁾	Less:		Net Available		Debt Service		Coverage
		Operating Expenses ⁽²⁵⁾	Adjustments ⁽²⁶⁾	Revenue	Principal	Interest	Total	
2005	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2009	97,671	49,337	4,907	53,241	422	-	422	126.16
2010	105,711	86,334	14,521	33,898	422	-	422	80.33
2011	113,253	86,266	14,786	41,773	422	-	422	98.99
2012	100,622	93,607	13,536	20,551	422	-	422	48.70
2013	101,191	93,259	6,765	14,697	1,009	898	1,907	7.71
2014	105,767	101,041	11,726	16,452	1,308	667	1,975	8.33

- (22) The pledged-revenue coverage calculations presented in this schedule conform to the requirements of GASB Statement No. 44 and as such differs significantly from those calculated in accordance with the bond indenture.
- (23) There were no Hetch Hetchy bonds from 2005 to 2008.
- (24) Gross revenues consists of charges for power services, rental income and other income.
- (25) Operating expenses only include power operating expense.
- (26) Adjustments include adjustments to investment income, depreciation, non-cash items and changes to working capital.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS
 Last Ten Fiscal Years

Fiscal Year	Population ⁽¹⁾	Personal Income (In Thousands) ⁽²⁾	Per Capita Personal Income ⁽³⁾	Median Age ⁽⁴⁾	Public School Enrollment ⁽⁵⁾	Average Unemployment Rate ⁽⁶⁾
2005	777,660	\$49,085,123	\$63,119	39.2	58,323	5.4%
2006	786,149	53,902,906	68,566	39.4	57,276	4.6%
2007	799,185	56,306,703	70,455	39.4	56,459	4.1%
2008	808,001	58,199,006	72,028	40.0	55,590	4.6%
2009	815,358	56,037,063	68,727	40.4	56,315	7.4%
2010	805,235	56,665,228	70,371	38.5	56,454	9.7%
2011	812,826	58,619,926	72,119	37.3	56,299	9.2%
2012	825,863	60,059,972	72,724	38.5	56,758	8.1%
2013	837,442 ⁽⁷⁾	63,777,061 ⁽⁸⁾	76,157 ⁽⁹⁾	37.9 ⁽¹⁰⁾	57,105	6.5%
2014	849,183 ⁽⁷⁾	65,289,896 ⁽⁸⁾	76,886 ⁽⁹⁾	37.4 ⁽¹⁰⁾	57,860	5.2%



Sources:

- (1) US Census Bureau released on December 2012. Fiscal year 2012 is updated from last year's CAFR with newly available data
- (2) US Bureau of Economic Analysis
- (3) US Bureau of Economic Analysis
- (4) US Census Bureau, American Community Survey
- (5) California Department of Education
- (6) California Employment Development Department

Note:

- (7) 2013 is updated from last year's CAFR with newly available data. 2014 population was estimated by multiplying the estimated 2012 population by the 2011 - 2012 population growth rate.
- (8) Personal income was estimated by assuming that its percentage of state personal income in 2013 and 2014 remained at the 2012 level of 3.51 percent. Fiscal year 2013 is updated from last year's CAFR with newly available data.
- (9) Per capita personal income for 2013 and 2014 was estimated by dividing the estimated personal income for 2013 and 2014 by the reported and estimated population in 2013 and 2014, respectively. Fiscal year 2013 is updated from last year's CAFR with newly available data.
- (10) Median age in 2013 and 2014 was estimated by averaging the median age in 2011 and 2012. 2012 is updated from last year's CAFR with newly available data. No new data exists for 2013.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Principal Employers
Current Year and Nine Years Ago

Employer	Year 2013 ⁽¹⁾			Year 2004		
	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total City Employment	Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total City Employment
City and County of San Francisco.....	25,458	1	4.18%	28,732	1	5.57%
University of California, San Francisco..	20,100	2	3.30%	18,600	2	3.61%
Wells Fargo & Co.....	8,200	3	1.35%	7,275	3	1.41%
San Francisco Unified School District..	8,189	4	1.35%	7,208	4	1.40%
Gap, Inc.....	6,000	5	0.99%	4,084	9	0.79%
California Pacific Medical Center.....	5,934	6	0.98%	5,000	6	0.97%
PG&E Corporation.....	4,394	7	0.72%	4,850	8	0.94%
State of California.....	4,108	8	0.67%	7,048	5	1.37%
San Francisco State University.....	3,707	9	0.61%	-	-	-
Kaiser Permanente.....	3,492	10	0.57%	3,424	10	0.66%
United States Postal Service.....	-	-	-	4,886	7	0.95%
Total.....	89,582		14.72%	91,107		17.67%

Source: Total City and County of San Francisco employee count is obtained from the State of California Employee Development Department. All other data is obtained from the San Francisco Business Times Book of Lists.

Note:

(1) The latest data as of calendar year-end 2013 is presented.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT CITY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION ⁽¹⁾
Last Ten Fiscal Years

Function	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Public Protection										
Fire Department.....	1,752	1,706	1,665	1,726	1,602	1,532	1,512	1,474	1,463	1,464
Police.....	2,616	2,664	2,765	2,870	2,949	2,757	2,681	2,665	2,655	2,727
Sheriff.....	929	944	939	951	1,016	1,048	953	1,010	1,013	984
Other.....	930	958	978	1,019	996	981	969	956	1,021	1,032
Total Public Protection.....	6,227	6,272	6,347	6,566	6,563	6,318	6,115	6,105	6,152	6,207
Public Works, Transportation and Commerce										
Municipal Transportation Agency.....	4,386	4,232	4,374	4,358	4,528	4,358	4,160	4,141	4,388	4,484
Airport Commission.....	1,203	1,248	1,220	1,228	1,248	1,233	1,294	1,377	1,443	1,460
Department of Public Works.....	1,059	1,035	1,040	1,060	1,030	822	791	783	808	825
Public Utilities Commission.....	1,513	1,573	1,596	1,609	1,580	1,549	1,584	1,616	1,620	1,621
Other.....	505	532	538	543	565	490	508	536	583	612
Total Public Works, Transportation and Commerce.....	8,666	8,620	8,768	8,798	8,951	8,452	8,337	8,453	8,842	9,002
Community Health										
Public Health.....	5,928	5,956	5,988	6,196	6,023	5,838	5,696	5,671	5,800	6,126
Total Community Health.....	5,928	5,956	5,988	6,196	6,023	5,838	5,696	5,671	5,800	6,126
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development										
Human Services.....	1,697	1,663	1,745	1,812	1,810	1,662	1,685	1,691	1,750	1,855
Other.....	312	306	313	312	309	296	284	269	244	244
Total Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development.....	2,009	1,969	2,058	2,124	2,119	1,958	1,969	1,960	1,994	2,099
Culture and Recreation										
Recreation and Park Commission.....	954	916	922	942	919	898	851	834	841	870
Public Library.....	616	606	631	641	649	649	645	628	640	652
War Memorial.....	96	95	96	96	97	63	63	63	63	57
Other.....	149	200	199	204	203	199	201	199	210	213
Total Culture and Recreation.....	1,815	1,817	1,848	1,883	1,868	1,809	1,760	1,724	1,754	1,792
General Administration and Finance										
Administrative Services.....	383	378	438	505	539	647	616	637	723	716
City Attorney.....	308	321	324	327	318	306	300	299	303	308
Telecommunications and Information Services.....	276	261	270	307	265	252	210	196	199	216
Controller.....	170	179	184	188	198	180	194	201	198	204
Human Resources.....	172	151	156	155	144	138	119	123	124	135
Treasurer/Tax Collector.....	197	199	208	208	212	220	211	208	202	211
Mayor.....	51	48	51	57	55	49	42	37	49	49
Other.....	454	491	520	571	547	554	540	567	561	602
Total General Administration and Finance.....	2,011	2,028	2,151	2,318	2,278	2,346	2,232	2,268	2,359	2,441
General City Responsibility.....	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal annually funded positions.....	26,660	26,665	27,160	27,885	27,802	26,721	26,109	26,181	26,901	27,667
Capital project funded positions.....	1,597	1,588	1,628	1,750	1,519	1,928	1,885	1,892	1,486	1,569
Total annually funded positions.....	28,257	28,253	28,788	29,635	29,321	28,649	27,994	28,073	28,387	29,236

Source: Controller, City and County of San Francisco

Note:

(1) Data represent budgeted and funded full-time equivalent positions.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION
 Last Ten Fiscal Years

Function	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Public Protection										
Fire and Emergency Communications										
Total response time of first unit to highest priority incidents requiring possible medical care, 90th percentile	7:59	8:01	8:04	7:36	7:06	7:10	7:19	7:18	7:30	7:57 ^a
Police										
Average time from dispatch to arrival on scene for highest priority calls ⁽¹⁾	3:07	3:09	3:15	4:08	3:49	3:33	4:07	4:15	4:59	4:20 ^x
Number of homicides per 100,000 population ⁽²⁾	9.8	12.8	9.6	11.8	8.2	5.3	6.3	7.4	6.2	4.7
Percentage of San Franciscans who report feeling safe or very safe crossing the street ⁽³⁾	51%	N/A	48%	N/A	56%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public Works, Transportation, and Commerce										
General Services Agency - Public Works										
Percentage of San Franciscans who rate cleanliness of neighborhood streets as good or very good	49%	N/A	49%	N/A	50%	N/A	52%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of blocks of City streets repaved	186	287	243	334	310	312	427	346	521	323
Municipal Transportation Agency										
Average rating of Muni's timeliness and reliability by residents of San Francisco (1=very poor, 5=very good) ⁽⁴⁾	3.13	N/A	2.84	N/A	2.98	N/A	3.55	3.02	3.38	N/A
Percentage of vehicles that run on time according to published schedules (no more than 4 minutes late or 1 minute early) measured at terminals and established intermediate points ⁽⁴⁾	71.0%	69.2%	70.8%	70.6%	74.4%	73.5%	72.9%	61.9%	59.3%	58.8%
Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered ⁽⁵⁾	95.3%	94.2%	94.3%	95.9%	96.9%	96.6%	96.2%	97.5%	97.6%	90.7%
Airport										
Percent change in air passenger volume	5.5%	1.5%	2.8%	8.4%	-0.8%	4.8%	5.3%	8.0%	4.0%	5.3%
Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development										
Environment										
Percentage of total solid waste materials diverted in a calendar year	67%	67%	69%	70%	72%	77%	78%	80%	N/A	N/A
Culture and Recreation										
Recreation and Park										
Percentage of San Franciscans who rate the quality of the City's park grounds (landscaping) as good or very good ⁽⁶⁾	60%	N/A	57%	N/A	65%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Citywide percentage of park maintenance standards met for all parks inspected	N/A	83%	86%	88%	89%	91%	90%	91%	91%	91%
Public Library										
Percentage of San Franciscans who rate the quality of library staff assistance as good or very good	76%	N/A	75%	N/A	79%	N/A	79%	N/A	85%	N/A
Circulation of materials at San Francisco libraries	7,279,926	7,459,821	7,685,892	8,334,391	9,638,160	10,849,582	10,679,061	10,971,974	10,587,213	10,844,953
Asian and Fine Arts Museums										
Number of visitors to City-owned art museums ⁽⁶⁾	696,271	1,546,617	1,879,868	1,739,096	2,693,469	2,599,322	2,426,861	1,779,573	1,865,259	2,042,135

Source: Controller, City and County of San Francisco

Notes:

- (1) Measure changed from median time to average time in FY 2008. Values for FY 2004 through FY 2007 reflect median time, FY 2008 through FY 2014 reflects average time.
- (2) Value for FY 2008 is based on a different source for population data than prior fiscal years. FY 2008 and FY 2010 have been restated.
- (3) Value for FY 2005 has been restated to be consistent with City Survey data.
- (4) Values for FY 2003 through FY 2005 have been restated to be consistent as annual average for fiscal year from the MTA service standards reports.
- (5) Values for FY 2003 and FY 2006 have been restated to be consistent as annual average for fiscal year from the MTA service standards reports.
- (6) The California Academy of Sciences opened on September 27, 2008.

N/A = Information is not available. Note that in most cases this is due to the fact that the City Survey, which was administered annually until 2005, then biennially afterwards, is the data source.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION
Last Ten Fiscal Years


Function	Fiscal Year									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Police protection (1)										
Number of stations.....	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Number of police officers.....	2,180	2,070	2,304	2,455	2,356	2,261	2,288	2,243	2,164	2,130
Fire protection (2)										
Number of stations.....	45	48	42	42	42	42	46	46	46	46
Number of firefighters.....	1,675	1,333	1,012	978	809	768	778	718	817	896
Public works										
Miles of street (3).....	1,050	1,051	1,051	1,291	1,318	1,317	1,317	1,315	1,315	1,299
Number of streetlights (4).....	41,431	41,571	42,029	42,957	43,492	43,973	44,530	44,594	44,655	44,656
Water (4)										
Number of services.....	169,975	170,471	170,873	172,471	172,885	172,680	173,033	173,454	173,744	173,970
Average daily consumption (million gallons).....	239.7	236.3	247.1	247.5	236.6	219.9	213.6	212.0	215.1	217
Miles of water mains.....	1,453	1,457	1,457	1,457	1,465	1,465	1,473	1,488	1,488	1,488
Sewers (4)										
Miles of collecting sewers.....	993	993	993	993	993	993	993	959	986	993
Miles of transport/storage sewers...	15	15	15	17	17	17	17	17	24	17
Recreation and cultures										
Number of parks (5).....	210	220	209	222	222	220	220	220	221	221
Number of libraries (6).....	27	27	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
Number of library volumes (million) (6).....	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6
Public school education (7)										
Attendance centers.....	119	117	112	112	112	115	115	115	115	116
Number of classrooms.....	3,434	3,390	3,256	3,269	2,723	2,779	2,797	2,797	2,877	3,135
Number of teachers, full-time equivalent.....	3,171	3,103	3,103	3,113	3,167	3,312	3,132	3,245	3,129	3,129
Number of students.....	57,144	56,236	55,497	56,259	55,272	55,779	55,571	56,310	56,970	57,620

Sources:

- (1) Police Commission, City and County of San Francisco
- (2) Fire Commission, City and County of San Francisco
- (3) Department of Public Works, City and County of San Francisco
- (4) Public Utilities Commission, City and County of San Francisco
- (5) Parks and Recreation Commission, City and County of San Francisco
- (6) Library Commission, City and County of San Francisco
- (7) San Francisco Unified School District



Photo: Connecting the Bay Division Pipelines at the new Bay Tunnel site.



**CITY AND COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
CONTROLLER'S OFFICE**

SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

From: Haruka Sanada [hsanada099@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 9:58 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear SF Supervisors,

I am resident of the Sunset District, and have been a patron of Juice Box Vapor since its opening day. I believe that e-cigarettes are the solution for the current nicotine addiction problem. I was a smoker, about a year ago I was recommended one of these devices from a commuter buddy. I have found that e-cigs have given me my life back, I feel more in control and able to function cleaner, healthier even. I believe Happy Vape will be a great vendor for these wonderful products and that these items are a necessary contribution to a healthier environment.

Thank you,

Haruka Sanada

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Support Letter for Happy Vape on Ocean Avenue

From: Christina Miller [<mailto:miller.christina47@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 1:36 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for Happy Vape on Ocean Avenue

Dear Supervisor Norman Yee,

I am a student of City College and I alot between Ocean and my house on Sloat. I believe that the 1900 block of Ocean Avenue is very empty, and even spooky sometimes. Please support Happy Vape because it will bring at least one more store front to this vacant sector of Ocean Avenue.

Thank you,

Christina Miller

From: Kim Wilson [wilsonkim208@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 3:10 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Dear Supervisors of San Francisco, Support Happy Vape and Cleaner Beaches.

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco,

My name is William Kim and I live in the sunset district. I have spent many days at Ocean Beach and have found that the cigarette litter there is unbelievable. I want to be able to spend some time on clean beaches, especially in my neighborhood, therefore, I support Happy Vape due to its promotion of a cigarette free environment. I believe that with less cigarette smokers we will find that our beaches, streets, and sidewalks will reduce cigarette butt waste tremendously. Studies show that cigarette butts contribute to at least a third of the current road waste on America's roadways. The emissions of the e-cigarette has been found to be 7 to 150 times less harmful than that of cigarettes. One drag from a vaporizer pen has also been found to be equivalent to a breath of air in a major metropolis. With these statistics I feel comfortable in support of e-cigarette use and Happy Vape as a responsible vendor.

Thank you.

From: Sarah Lee [lee.sarah055@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 11:06 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for Happy Vape at 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco,

My name is Sarah Lee, and I am a resident in the Richmond District. I was a smoker back when I was a teen, and I remember exactly how hard it was to quit. I remember the sweaty nights, the late night fiending, and the desire to find any excuse for a cigarette. I wish they had e-cigs back then as they would have made it 100 times easier to stop. I found that a lot of my co-workers are now using e-cigs as a way to stop smoking, and I can feel the air around work get little cleaner. I don't smell old stale cigarettes whenever I get in an elevator and there is less animosity towards vapors compared to smokers. I think Happy Vape has the right idea and that this is a positive trend that should be supported.

Thank you,

Sarah L.

From: Jennifer Knight [knightjennifer06@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 04, 2014 12:04 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter in Support of New Business - Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I support the Happy Vape project and believe it's conducive and complimentary to the neighborhood. I believe that this area is missing this type of store and could use some new retail outlets to liven up the area. This type of establishment can promote more foot traffic and future retail interest in the currently very vacant Ocean Avenue. Fostering small businesses helps to promote entrepreneurship and can help bolster tax revenue for our financially struggling city and state. The area needs a good facelift and I think Happy Vape is going to bring a fresh and aesthetically appealing front.

Thank you,
Jennifer Knight.

From: Cindy Hernandez [c.hernandez101@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, December 01, 2014 11:33 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter of Support for Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

My name is Cindy Hernandez, I have shopped on Ocean Avenue a long time. I used to frequent the Clean-X-Press and Java on Ocean. Lately the area seems to be dilapidated, so many stores have closed along Ocean and not many have replaced them. I long to see the Ocean Avenue I once knew many years ago, vibrant and thriving. I saw that there is a new store opening on Ocean Avenue and a notice of conditional use permit application, and I wanted to show my support for a new business. Please support new businesses that come to this area as they need some newer looking stores that will attract new people.

Thank you,

Cindy Hernandez

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Support Letter for New Businesses on Ocean Avenue - Happy Vape

From: Jessica Nguyen [<mailto:n.jessica102@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 12:08 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for New Businesses on Ocean Avenue - Happy Vape

Dear Supervisor Norman Yee,

I have lived on Holloway Street for the last 5 years. I go to City College and normally walk to school. I noticed recently that a few of the major shops on Ocean Avenue have close, and have grown concerned with all of the homeless people hanging around the empty storefronts each evening. I urge you to please allow new businesses like Happy Vape at 1963 Ocean Avenue to open, as they are attempting to fill a vacancy on a block that could really use the help. I would love a department store to open on Ocean Avenue, however, the option to open one retail versus another is at the discretion of the landlord, retail owner, and planning department. Since Happy Vape wants to open and there are definitely enough vacant space, I would believe it is at the city's best interest to allow this new establishment to open on a very vacant block.

Thank you,

Jessica.

From: Jasmine Carter [jasminecarter14@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 1:04 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter of Support for Vape Shop at 1963 Ocean Avenue.

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco,

My name is Jasmine Carter and I am a student at State University that lives on Faxon Avenue. I take the K home most days and stop by only one of three stores on Ocean Avenue, KFC, Whole Foods, and The Laundry Basket. This street is missing so many different kinds of shops and I since I was a smoker, I really think this area could use a vape shop. The vape shops in other neighborhoods are bringing a lot of business to the surrounding areas and I really believe Happy Vape will be a great addition to this neighborhood. Please support this project.

Thank you,

Jasmine

From: Ellen Park [ellenpark3333@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 11:30 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for Happy Vape at 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco,

My name is Ellen Park, and I support Happy Vape due to its promotion of a cigarette free environment. I believe that with less cigarette smokers we will find that our beaches, streets, and sidewalks will reduce cigarette butt waste tremendously. Studies show that cigarette butts contribute to at least a third of the current road waste on America's roadways. The emissions of the e-cigarette has been found to be 7 to 150 times less harmful than that of cigarettes. One drag from a vaporizer pen has also been found to be equivalent to a breath of air in a major metropolis. With these statistics I feel comfortable in support of e-cigarette use and Happy Vape as a responsible vendor.

Thank you for you time and consideration.
-Ellen

From: Stacy Stewart [stacy.stewart@mail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 5:06 PM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear Supervisors of San Francisco,

Hello my name is Stacy Stewart and I am a concerned citizen. I have read the Examiner article regarding Happy Vape, and wanted to show my support. I support Happy Vape as it breathes culture into an area left complacent for too long. The city is going through many changes and I believe the Ocean Avenue area is being left behind based on the many different evolving views and cultures that make the current San Francisco so great. This area could use a fresh start to begin the transformation, I believe Happy Vape can open these doors. Many new dwellers of this city are looking for more sociable activities to peak their interest, for instance the current growth in the biking culture seen through "Critical Mass" events, and the rise in young adults moving to the area due to demand of tech companies across the Bay Area. Happy Vape provides a congregational area with many outlets in which to allow individuals to choose to spend their well-earned time and money.

Thank you,

Stacy

From: Deborah Norton [dnorton011@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2014 4:45 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter for Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue San Francisco

Dear SF Supervisors,

My name is Deborah Norton and I support Happy Vape, and Blake He, who is a father, business owner, and friend of mine who is trying to open a small business in an area that is considered a "Dead Block" by the city. There aren't many people trying to breathe life into an area such as this, and Mr. He is looking to be the first of many who could soon come to the area. There are many other neighborhoods that feature multiple stores selling what Mr. He is looking to provide. However, these stores require a 15 minute or more drive, and with parking fees as high as they are, and the inconvenience of traveling across town. These are products surely being used by many people living within 5-15 blocks of the proposed location. I believe Mr. He is offering a highly fitting service to the area, and could be very beneficial in supporting the community by beginning the revitalization of this once bustling area.

Thank you.

Debbie Norton

From: Sergio Demitri [sdemitri8001@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2014 3:25 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Avalos, John (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Campos, David (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Mar, Eric (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Wiener, Scott; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support Letter - Happy Vape 1963 Ocean Avenue

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

My name is Sergio and I support Happy Vape due to its offering of diverse, new products that can bring a new dimension to the Ocean Avenue area. The introduction of vapor shop will follow a trend stemming from the Southern California area of e-cigarette use as regularly seen activity and a means of smoking cessation. Hookah use which will also be offered at Happy Vape can also promote an already ancient activity done widely in the Middle East and seen extensively in many metropolises. Happy Vape will be offering an even better alternative than these with Steam Stone Hookah. Such diversity can bring brighten up a dull neighborhood that is losing its light.

Thank you,

Sergio

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Letter to District 7 Supervisor Norman Yee - Support for Happy Vape

From: Amber Hughes [<mailto:amberhughes912@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Monday, December 08, 2014 2:17 AM
To: Yee, Norman (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter to District 7 Supervisor Norman Yee - Support for Happy Vape

Dear Supervisor Yee,

My name is Amber Hughes and I am a resident on Eucalytus and use Ocean Avenue regularly to travel from home to the 280 Freeway, especially now with Whole Foods on Ocean. One day, I noticed a sign regarding a planning commission hearing for this new vape shop called Happy Vape. I found out that the hearing was over however, and an appeal could be filed with the Board of Supervisors. So I wanted to write an email in support of Happy Vape, it is wonderful to see a new shop open on this empty block. I wish more stores could open and liven up the area a bit, then maybe I would spend some more time walking Ocean Avenue instead of just driving by.

Sincerely,
Amber

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: 100 new people signed: Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle

From: John and Jean Fleming [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 7:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 100 new people signed: Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle

100 people recently added their names to Mark Jolles's petition "[San Francisco, California, Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle](#)". That means more than 500 people have signed on.

There are now 600 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Mark Jolles by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/p/san-francisco-california-create-a-harvey-milk-lgbt-history-aids-memorial-fountain-and-circle/responses/new?response=560e9ab88752>

Dear City of San Francisco, California,

Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Circle <a href="<https://plus.google.com/u/0/photos/112770494994703383774/albums/6081875952013212545>" rel="nofollow"><https://plus.google.com/u/0/photos/112770494994703383774/albums/6081875952013212545>

Sincerely,

- 600. John and Jean Fleming Aurora, Colorado
- 599. George Davis Maryville, Illinois
- 597. Ezequiel Jr. Gutiérrez Houston, Texas
- 596. Marianne Larkins-Strawn Vancouver, Washington
- 595. Erik Fredrickson Albuquerque, New Mexico
- 594. Hyun Joo Los Angeles, California
- 593. Angel Brown Naperville, Illinois
- 591. G H EI, New York
- 590. Anna Tovchigrechko San Francisco, California
- 589. Duane Welsch Claremont, California
- 586. Maria Bongo Louisville, Kentucky
- 585. Roberto Miranda El Paso, Texas
- 584. Jeff Pekrul San Francisco, California
- 583. Desislava Cholakova Conshohocken, Pennsylvania
- 582. Ethan Hattendorf Taylor Mill, Kentucky
- 581. Michelle Pavcovich Seattle, Washington
- 580. Natasha Manderville San Francisco, California
- 579. Monique Alonso San Francisco, California
- 578. Patricia Coughlin The Bronx, New York
- 577. Alison Pitale Mission Viejo, California

576. Cindy Fitzmartin Doylestown, Pennsylvania
575. Claire Laurentine San Francisco, California
574. Matt Scully Martinsburg, West Virginia
573. Don McKelvey ., Ohio
572. James Bush Massillon, Ohio
571. John Hammel Chattanooga, Tennessee
569. Doug Martin Emporia, Kansas
568. Yusaku Takagi Ridgewood, New Jersey
567. Heather Grigsby Citrus Heights, California
566. Susan Campbell Tempe, Arizona
565. eugene robinson, jr. margate, Florida
564. Bob Keyes Las Vegas, Nevada
563. Justin Rocksund Clearwater, Florida
562. john childs charleston, South Carolina
561. Sharene Shaw Rolling Meadows, Illinois
560. Anavai Harish Tallahassee, Florida
559. Alexandra Skinner Villa Park, California
558. andrew mitchell gilbert, Arizona
557. Jeffrey Lilly San Francisco, California
556. Eileen Arena Old Bridge, New Jersey
555. Doris Stackhouse Sterling, Alaska
554. Samantha Newman Strawberry Plains, Tennessee
553. David Peterson San Francisco, California
552. Kelley Akin San Francisco, California
550. Russel Friend Los Angeles, California
549. William Bond Memphis, Tennessee
548. David L Martin Venus, Pennsylvania
547. Terry Cook Palm Springs, California
546. Tyler Kirk San Francisco, California
545. suzanne esaine mount marion, New York
544. Joyce Ray Renton, Washington
543. Jean Preston Buchanan, New York
542. Barry and Gretchen Draper New Hampton, New Hampshire
541. Thomas Sciortino Spokane, Washington
540. Nic Ashby Jacksonville, Florida
538. Kaitlin Clark East Lansing, Michigan
537. Cindy Owens Fair Oaks, California
535. Emily Kutzler Ellensburg, Washington
533. Patt Johnson Scranton, Pennsylvania
532. William Cochran Dacula, Georgia
531. Don Hayden N. Chelmsford, Massachusetts
530. Joseph Zakrzewski San Francisco, California
529. Ankur Jalota San Francisco, California
528. Steve Makovec Dayton, Ohio
527. Lawrence Rubinstein Piscataway, New Jersey
526. Yoslynn Olmos Steilacoom, Washington
525. Bevette Irvis Seattle, Washington
524. julia dahl sacramento, California
523. Edith Cohen Newton, Massachusetts
522. mariana salerno new york, New York
521. Robert McFarland Wilton Manors, Florida

520. Randy Scoggins Greenwood, South Carolina
519. Debbie Corrus Wilmette, Illinois
518. Suzanne D'Atri Seattle, Washington
517. David Zielke San Francisco, California
516. James L. (Jim) Whittier Steilacoom, Washington
515. Linda Riling Roseburg, Oregon
514. Susan Robinson Warren, Michigan
513. Holly Brown Sherman Oaks, California
512. Julia Curl Melrose, Massachusetts
511. kim baxter Hollister, California
510. Natalie Good Broomfield, Colorado
509. Stewart Thompson Pelican Rapids, Minnesota
508. Kat Hernandez Del Rey, California
507. Karen Chow San Francisco, California
506. elene ashe san francisco, California
505. Erika gonzalez brooklyn, New York
504. Carl Bergum Spokane, Washington
503. The Rev. Dr. Richard Mallory Phoenix, Arizona
501. Allan Case Okeechobee, Florida
500. Katrina Calvo North Highlands, California
499. Kenneth Noyes San Francisco, California
498. Bob Suri Baltimore, Maryland
497. Dora Vargas Lulz, Arizona
496. Dana Jefferson Novi, Michigan
494. Clay Gore Pasadena, Texas
493. Denise Faison Goldsboro, North Carolina
492. Deborah Voves Anchorage, Alaska
490. Rene Lynn Castle Hayward, California
489. Andrea glass san francisco, California

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: 100 new people signed: Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle

From: Laura Daily [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 7:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 100 new people signed: Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Fountain and Circle

100 People Recently Add Their Names To %{Petition Creator}'S Petition "%{Petition Title}" That Means More Than 500 People Have Signed On

There are now 199 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Mark Jolles by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/p/san-francisco-california-create-a-harvey-milk-lgbt-history-aids-memorial-fountain-and-circle/responses/new?response=560e9ab88752>

Dear City of San Francisco, California,

Create a Harvey Milk LGBT History AIDS Memorial Circle <https://plus.google.com/u/0/photos/112770494994703383774/albums/6081875952013212545>

Sincerely,

200. Laura Daily Park Forest, Illinois
199. Ray Fleitas San Francisco, California
198. James Parr San Francisco, California
197. Jenna LaPlante San Francisco, California
195. Scott Young Oklahom City, Oklahoma
194. Kathy Queener San Diego, California
193. Amanda F oklahoma city, Oklahoma
190. Otha Greer Atlanta, Georgia
189. Eric Jacobson Brooklyn, New York
188. Paul McDevitt Seattle, Washington
187. Patricia Reischl Crahan San Francisco, California
186. Kristin Archambault Lincoln, Rhode Island
185. mark DeShazo San Francisco, California
184. Louis Brousseau Tucson, Arizona
183. Jeff Good Delray Beach Florida, Florida
182. Rev. Paula Hart Herkimer, New York
181. Tory Niceswander Detroit, Michigan
179. Judith Beltz La Porte, Indiana
178. Holly Freuler St Cloud, Florida
177. Ryan Giesel Lawrenceville, Georgia
176. Steven Sondler East Greenwich, Rhode Island

175. Mary Wolff St. Louis, Missouri
174. Marie-Veronique Mead Poolesville, Maryland
173. Marla Johnson Sequim, Washington
172. Kwame Akbar Brooklyn, New York
171. Dylan Gaffney High Point, North Carolina
170. Anthony La Noue JR Ocean Park, Washington
169. lisa luperella trumbull, Connecticut
168. lu states san francisco, California
167. Ted Milkoff santa rosa, California
166. Chris Silverman Poughkeepsie, New York
165. John Carr Des Moines, Iowa
164. Elizabeth Cieri Woodland Park, New Jersey
163. Nancy Armitstead Jackson Hts., New York
162. Summer Smith Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
161. Eric Hjelmgren Northfield, Minnesota
158. Jamie White Denver, Colorado
157. mahoney la pierre middleburg, Virginia
156. jacqueline perea deltona, Florida
155. Robert Schaller San Francisco, California
154. Jess Bregoli Dartmouth, Massachusetts
153. Charlotte Zitis Rockledge, Florida
152. James Still Los Angeles, California
151. ronald adkins west chester, Ohio
150. Yohana Genova Fort Lauderdale, Florida
149. Brandi Scholte San Diego, California
148. Amy Bryant Denver, Colorado
147. Lauren Reynolds Bel Air, Maryland
146. Sherra Franklin San Francisco, California
145. John Lowell San Francisco, California
144. Henry Laster Lake Worth, Florida
143. Kyle Doyle Eastport, New York
142. John Rauch New Tripoli, Pennsylvania
141. Laurie jacobson Chicago, Illinois
140. joseph marko San Francisco, California
139. Peter Wilcox Wakefield, Rhode Island
138. Kathy Thompson Longview, Washington
137. Ryan Warman San Francisco, California
136. Jen Patton Vinita Park, Missouri
135. Anne Hutchins Del Mar, California
133. KELLY MCCLAIN CEDAR RAPIDS, Iowa
132. Mandy Spiczka Sauk Rapids, Minnesota
131. Karen Perrin Ashland, Oregon
130. Gabrielle Harradine Malibu, California
129. Angel Jacob San Francisco, California
128. Leah Trottot West Fargo, North Dakota
127. lorena guadian El Paso, Texas
126. scott manning manchester, New Hampshire
125. mike moore Asheville, North Carolina
124. Laura Deveny Huntington, West Virginia
123. Martha Picard North Billerica, Massachusetts
122. Shawn Tenney San Francisco, California

121. Patricia Tripi Amherst, New York
120. Cindy Kleinsmith Ann Arbor, Michigan
119. Martin and Sharon McGladdery Farmington Hills, Michigan
118. Rory Williams Jacksonville, Florida
117. mully music easton, Massachusetts
116. Alexander christlieb New Smyrna Beach, Florida
115. Barry Goldman-Hall San Jose, California
114. Doug Rottering Lawrence, Kansas
113. Michele Stone Petaluma, California
112. Shaun Adams Yuba City, California
111. Annie Masters Milwaukee, Wisconsin
110. lenore sorensen kensington, California
108. Lisa Neathery Bedford, Texas
107. Dana Miller Sarasota, Florida
106. Brian McCarthy Bronx, New York
105. Christopher Sponable Vandalia, Ohio
104. Megan Richey Birmingham, Alabama
103. Mary Barmettler Omaha, Nebraska
100. Karin Tarpenning Troy, Michigan
99. Jared Mabie Palm Springs, California
98. Sylvia Oothoudt McCook Lake, South Dakota
97. Adriana Martinez San francisco, California
96. Luke Peterson Glenview, Illinois
95. Joseph Rindler orlando, Florida
94. Katharine Roberts Dulce, New Mexico
93. Jim Fisher Norfolk, Virginia
92. Sarah Booth Chester, Maryland
91. adriana garcia Hollister, California



San Francisco Unified School District
OFFICE OF EQUITY
555 Franklin Street, 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone (415) 355-7334 • Facsimile (415) 355-7333

BOS 10 - COB - CPag

Richard A. Carranza
Superintendent of Schools
Donald L. Davis
General Counsel
Ruth Y. Diep
Executive Director
Deputy General Counsel, Legal
diepr@sfusd.edu

DATE: November 10, 2014
TO: San Francisco Board of Education
Richard A. Carranza, Superintendent
Don Davis, General Counsel
Board of Supervisors, City & County of San Francisco
FROM: Ruth Diep, Executive Director
SUBJECT: 2014 Williams Settlement Annual Report

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 NOV 18 AM 9:21

Accompanying this memorandum are two reports by independent auditors that summarize findings from the 2014 *Williams* inspections for facilities and instructional materials and a report by the District's Buildings and Grounds Department confirming all deficiencies identified in the *Williams* Facilities Report were inspected and repaired.

The *Williams* Settlement and its subsequent legislation impose specific annual monitoring and reporting responsibilities on county offices of education related to instructional materials, facilities conditions, accuracy of School Accountability Report Card (SARC) data, and the notification and provisions of intensive instruction and services to students who have passed the California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) for schools in the bottom one-third of the Academic Performance Index (API).



The API is an annual measure of the academic performance of schools. Every three years, the list of school subject to a *Williams* review and monitoring is updated to reflect the most recent base API. For the 2014-15 school year, there were thirty-nine schools ranked in decile 1-3 based on the 2012 base API scores.

A brief summary of the reports and findings for the San Francisco Unified School District are as follows:

1. All schools posted the required *Williams* Complaints Classroom Notice in each classroom.

2. Inspection results for sufficient textbooks and instructional materials in all core subjects found 90% of elementary schools, 98% of middle schools, and 99% of high schools in compliance, for an overall score of 94%.
3. However, by the end of the fourth week of school, school-sites that did not have sufficient materials were able to either obtain materials from the District's Curriculum Resources, Library, & Media Services Department or demonstrate proof that orders were placed for the missing materials, therefore bringing the District to 100% compliance for "sufficient textbooks and instructional materials."
4. All seven high schools provided sufficient opportunities to receive intensive instruction and services for pupils who have not passed one or both parts of the high school exit exam by the end of 12th grade.
5. All schools were found to be in fair to exemplary repair with an average score of 97.8 and an average ranking of "Good."
6. Four schools in "Good Repair" (Flynn Elementary School, Thurgood Marshall High School, Mission High School, and John O'Connell High School) were found to have extreme deficiencies for exposed electrical outlet wires or fire strobe light problems. All repairs have been completed by the District's Building and Grounds Department.

All reports and supporting documents such as the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) and instructional materials inventory checklists are included in the cd that accompanies this packet. A hard copy of all documents is available for inspection at the Office of Equity and the electronic copy of all documents is available through the Board of Education Office.



Date: October 14, 2014

To: Members of the San Francisco Board of Education
Richard A. Carranza, Superintendent, San Francisco Unified School District

CC: David Goldin, Chief Facilities Officer
Ruth Diep, Executive Director, Office of Equity
Larry Burnett, Maintenance Manager, Building and Grounds
Donald L. Davis, Chief General Counsel

From: George Kalligeros, Facilities Inspector

Re: *2014-2015 Williams Facilities Inspection Report for Deciles 1-3 Schools*

In accordance with California Education Code Section 1240, I have conducted visits of schools identified as “decile 1-3” schools in San Francisco Unified School District based on their 2012 API rankings. The priority objective of the visits was to determine the status of the following circumstances:

- the condition of a facility that poses an emergency or urgent threat to the health and safety of pupils or staff and
- the accuracy of SARC data with respect to the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including good repair.

The visits were conducted between August 21, 2014 and September 19, 2014 with more than 60% of the visits of schools being unannounced. The visits were completed within four weeks from the first day of school as required by Ed Code Section 1240.

During this time, we inspected thirty-nine “deciles 1-3” schools. In order to monitor the adequacy of facilities, we inspected all classrooms, as well as the cafeteria, gymnasium, auditorium, playground, library, administrative offices, ancillary support areas, all restrooms, and teacher’s lounges. We did not inspect storage rooms, the custodian’s closet, or areas that were under construction.

Inspection Instrument

For our inspection, we used the Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT), revised as of July 1, 2009, that was developed by the Office of Public School Construction to determine if a school facility is in “good repair” as defined by Education Code (EC) Section

17002(d)(1) and to rate the facility pursuant to EC Section 1700(d)(2). Each room or area in the school was inspected for these 15 components.

Criteria for Scoring

Good Repair Standard outlines the school facility systems and components, as specified in EC Section 17002(d)(1) that should be considered in the inspection of a school facility to ensure it is maintained in a manner that assures it is clean, safe, and functional. Each of the 15 sections in the Good Repair Standard provides a description of a minimum standard of good repair for various school facility categories. The 15 sections are:

Section		Section	
1	Gas Leaks	9	Sinks/Fountains
2	Heating, vent. air condit (HVAC)	10	Fire Safety
3	Sewer	11	Hazardous Material
4	Interior Surfaces	12	Structural Damage
5	Overall Cleanliness	13	Roofs
6	Pest/Vermin Infestation	14	Playground/School Grounds
7	Electrical	15	Windows/Doors/Gates/Fence
8	Restrooms		

When we evaluated a room or area in the school using these good repair standards, we marked “√” for no deficiency, a “D” for some deficiency, an “X” for extreme deficiency, and “NA” for non-applicable. Each school received a “percent of System in Good Repair” for each section: number of “√”s divided by (total Areas minus “NA”s.) The 15 sections were further grouped into 8 categories:

- Systems: gas leaks, HVAC, sewers
- Interior: interior surfaces
- Cleanliness: over all cleanliness, pest/vermin infestation
- Electrical: electrical
- Restrms/fountains: restrooms, sinks/fountains
- Safety: fire safety, hazardous materials
- Structural: structural damage, roofs
- External: playgrounds/school grounds, windows/doors/gate/fences

The average score of the 8 categories determined the school score and school rating.

Listed below is a table that shows the percentage, description, and rating scale:

Percentage	Description	Rating
99.00%- 100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
90.00% - 98.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and /or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
75.00%-	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies	Fair

89.99%	noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas in the school site.	
0%-74.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout campus.	Poor

Changes in the Scoring and Ratings Effective July 1, 2009

The FIT was revised by the Office of Public School Construction in May 2009 to be used effective July 1, 2009. The reasons for recalibrating the FIT was that it was too easy for most schools to obtain a high score, thus minimizing the deficiencies and decreasing the urgency of having staff act on the repairs. While in previous years the school score was determined by averaging 15 sections, the current method is to combine sections that are similar and the average is determined mathematically for 8 systems, not 15 sections. See Appendix 2 for a comparison of scores between this year and three previous years.

Ratings for the Deciles 1-3 Schools

The average score for the 39 schools was 97.8% and a ranking of "Good."

The distribution of ratings is:

Number of schools	Rating
19	Exemplary
16	Good
4	Fair
0	Poor

Compared to 2013-2014, 20 schools retained the same rating, 13 schools increased a step in rating (example: went from good to exemplary), and 6 schools dropped a step in their rating (example: went from good to fair).

See the Appendix 1 – 3 for specific scores and ratings.

Extreme Deficiencies in the Good Repair Standard

Some of the conditions cited in the Good Repair Standard represent items that are critical to the health and safety of pupils and staff. Any deficiencies in these items require immediate attention and left unmitigated could cause severe and immediate injury, illness or death of the occupants. These critical conditions are identified with underlined text followed by an (X) on the Good Repair Standard. Extreme deficiencies were noted in the following categories by number of occurrences.

Category	# of Rooms	Examples of Extreme Deficiencies
Electrical	3	Exposed electrical outlet wires.
Fire Safety	1	Fire Strobe Light problem.

There were no Schools with a Rating of "Poor"

Accuracy of the School Accountability Report Cards

In reviewing the 2013-2014 facilities inspection reports completed by me for the same schools in September 2013 and in reviewing the School Accountability Report Cards that are currently on the SFUSD website, both sets of documents are accurate in that the same information and rankings are recorded.

Summary:

1. The following four schools with extreme deficiencies were:
 - a. Leonard Flynn E.S., 3rd floor hallway electric box with exposed wires.
 - b. Thurgood Marshall H.S., 2nd floor Boy's Toilets missing light switch cover.
 - c. Mission H.S., 2nd floor fire alarm wall strobe light covered at exit stair #4 by Room 239.
 - d. John O'Connell H.S., 3rd floor Balcony hallway missing cover on exterior wall outlet outside of room 324 by stairs.

2. By visiting the same schools and reviewing last year's inspections, it was gratifying to see some of the improvements that were made since last year. For example, many of the schools that are now ADA compliant and that much of the modernization work has been completed.

3. It appears that there is a high degree of organization, cooperation, and pride within the various departments that are working together to detect the deficiencies and to implement the repairs that are needed.

We thank the school staffs for welcoming us to their schools at the beginning of the school year in August. A complete binder of the individual school inspections is with Ruth Diep, Office of Equity Assurance and another one with Larry Burnett at Buildings and Grounds.

Appendix 1 -" Deciles 1 - 3" Schools Listed by Score and Rating

SCHOOL	SCORE	RATING
1 Academy of Arts & Science HS	99.4	Exemplary
2 Bryant ES	99.0	Exemplary
3 Buena Vista/Horace Mann ES	98.5	Good
4 Carver, George Washington ES	99.1	Exemplary
5 Chavez, Cesar ES	100.0	Exemplary
6 Cleveland ES	99.7	Exemplary
7 Cobb, William ES	99.4	Exemplary
8 Denman, JamesMS	98.8	Good
9 Drew, Charles ES	98.5	Good
10 El Dorado ES	98.8	Good
11 Everett MS	98.9	Good
12 Fairmount ES	98.5	Good
13 Flynn, Leonard ES	87.2	Fair
14 Francisco MS	99.9	Exemplary
15 Glen Park ES	100.0	Exemplary
16 Harte, Bret ES	99.0	Good
17 Hillcrest ES	98.6	Good
18 International Studies Acad HS	98.0	Good
19 Jordan, June HS	98.4	Good
20 King, Martin Luther MS	97.5	Good
21 Lakeshore Alt. ES	99.7	Exemplary
22 Lick, James MS	98.6	Good
23 Malcolm X ES	99.8	Exemplary
24 Marshall ES	99.5	Exemplary
25 Marshall, Thurgood HS	86.0	Fair
26 Miraloma ES	100.0	Exemplary
27 Mission HS	87.1	Fair
28 Muir, John ES	99.2	Exemplary
29 O'Connell, John HS	87.0	Fair
30 Parks, Rosa ES	98.9	Good
31 Revere, Paul ES	99.4	Exemplary
32 S.F. Community K-8	99.2	Exemplary
33 S.F. International HS	98.7	Good
34 Sanchez ES	99.5	Exemplary
35 Serra, Junipero ES	99.4	Exemplary
36 Sheridan ES	99.2	Exemplary
37 Tenderloin ES	100.0	Exemplary
38 Visitacion Valley MS	98.7	Good
39 Webster, Daniel ES	98.6	Good
Average of 39 Schools	97.8	Good

Appendix 2 - "Deciles 1-3" Schools Listed Alphabetically with Previous Years Scores

SCHOOL	2014 Score	2014 Rating	Difference 2013-2014	2013 Score	2013 Rating	2012 Score	2012 Rating	Difference 2012-2013	2011 Score	2011 Rating	Difference 2011-2012
1 Acad of Arts & Scien	99.4	Exemplary	-0.3	99.7	Exemplary	New					
2 Bryant ES	99.0	Exemplary	0.6	98.4	Good	99.8	Exemplary	-1.4	86.5	Fair	13.3
3 Buena Vista/Mann ES	98.5	Good	0.0	98.5	Good	99.4	Exemplary	-0.9	86.5	Fair	12.9
4 Carver ES	99.1	Exemplary	0.6	98.5	Good	98.6	Good	-0.1	99.6	Exemplary	-1.0
5 Chavez ES	100.0	Exemplary	0.2	99.8	Exemplary	99.2	Exemplary	0.6	99.0	Good	0.2
6 Cleveland ES	99.7	Exemplary	1.8	97.9	Good	98.2	Good	-0.3	98.6	Good	-0.4
7 Cobb ES	99.4	Exemplary	-0.6	100.0	Exemplary	New					
8 Denman MS	98.8	Good	0.3	98.5	Good	97.2	Good	1.3	98.7	Good	-1.5
9 Drew ES	98.5	Good	1.7	96.8	Good	New					
10 El Dorado ES	98.8	Good	2.0	96.8	Good	96.6	Good	0.2	98.5	Good	-1.9
11 Everett MS	98.9	Good	-0.6	99.5	Exemplary	97.9	Good	1.6	99.3	Exemplary	-1.4
12 Fairmount ES	98.5	Good	-0.5	99.0	Good	98.5	Good	0.5	79.9	Fair	18.6
13 Flynn ES	87.2	Fair	-11.5	98.7	Good	99.9	Exemplary	-1.2	99.1	Exemplary	0.8
14 Francisco MS	99.9	Exemplary	0.0	99.9	Exemplary	98.9	Good	1.0	99.7	Exemplary	-0.8
15 Glen Park ES	100.0	Exemplary	12.7	87.3	Fair	New					
16 Harte ES	99.0	Good	-0.3	99.3	Exemplary	96.7	Good	2.6	96.5	Good	0.2
17 Hillcrest ES	98.6	Good	-1.2	99.8	Exemplary	99.1	Exemplary	0.7	99.4	Exemplary	-0.3
18 Int. Studies Acad. HS	98.0	Good	-0.2	98.2	Good	98.8	Good	-0.6	99.5	Exemplary	-0.7
19 Jordan HS	98.4	Good	0.0	98.4	Good	99.4	Exemplary	-1.0	100.0	Exemplary	0.6
20 King MS	97.5	Good	14.8	82.7	Fair	98.4	Good	-15.7	84.2	Fair	14.2
21 Lakeshore ES	99.7	Exemplary	0.0	99.7	Exemplary	New					
22 Lick MS	98.6	Good	-0.5	99.1	Exemplary	New					
23 Malcolm X ES	99.8	Exemplary	6.7	93.1	Good	New					
24 Marshall Acad. HS	86.0	Fair	0.9	85.1	Fair	98.4	Good	-13.3	98.1	Good	0.3
25 Marshall ES	99.5	Exemplary	-0.5	100.0	Exemplary	99.5	Exemplary	0.5	99.5	Exemplary	0
26 Miraloma ES	100.0	Exemplary	2.1	97.9	Good	New					
27 Mission HS	87.1	Fair	4.4	82.7	Fair	85.6	Fair	-2.9	98.4	Good	-12.8
28 Muir ES	99.2	Exemplary	0.0	99.2	Exemplary	98.4	Good	0.8	87.2	Fair	11.2
29 O'Connell HS	87.0	Fair	-11.9	98.9	Good	98.9	Good	0	87.3	Fair	11.6
30 Parks ES	98.9	Good	0.2	98.7	Good	97.9	Good	0.8	98.7	Good	-0.8
31 Revere ES	99.4	Exemplary	1.4	98.0	Good	95.7	Good	2.3	97.7	Good	-2.0
32 S.F. Community K-8	99.2	Exemplary	0.8	98.4	Good	New					

Appendix 2 Continued

School	2014 Score	2014 Rating	2013 Score	2013 Rating	2012 Score	2012 Rating	Difference 2012-2013	2011 Score	2011 Rating	Difference 2011-2012
33 S.F. International HS	98.7	Good	0.0	98.7 Good		New				
34 Sanchez ES	99.5	Exemplary	0.8	98.7 Good	99.4	Exemplary	-0.7	98.3	Good	1.1
35 Serra ES	99.4	Exemplary	2.1	97.3 Good		New				
36 Sheridan ES	99.2	Exemplary	0.4	98.8 Good		New				
37 Tendrloin ES	100.0	Exemplary	2.2	97.8 Good		New				
38 Visitacion Valley MS	98.7	Good	-0.1	98.8 Good	87.1	Fair	11.7	85.2	Fair	1.9
39 Webster ES	98.6	Good	1.9	96.7 Good		New				

Appendix 3 - Change in Good Repair Status from Previous Year

SCHOOL	2014	2013	UP/DOWN	2012	UP/DOWN	2011	UP/DOWN	2010	UP/DOWN
Academy of Art & Sci HS	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	New					
Bryant ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	Exemplary	Down	Fair	Up	Exemplary	Down
Buena Vista/H. Mann ES	Good	Good	Same	Exemplary	Down	Fair	Up	Fair	Same
Carver ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	Good	Same	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up
Chavez ES	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	Exemplary	Same	Good	Up	Good	Same
Cleveland ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	Good	Same	Good	Same	Good	Same
Cobb ES	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	New					
Denman MS	Good	Good	Same	Good	Same	Good	Same	Fair	Up
Drew ES	Good	Good	Same	New					
El Dorado ES	Good	Good	Same	Good	Same	Good	Same	Fair	Up
Everett MS	Good	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up
Fairmount ES	Good	Good	Same	Good	Same	Fair	Up	Exemplary	Down
Flynn ES	Fair	Good	Down	Exemplary	Down	Exemplary	Same	Fair	Up
Francisco MS	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	Good	Up	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up
Glen Park	Exemplary	Fair	Up	New					
Harte ES	Good	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up	Good	Same	Good	Same
Hillcrest ES	Good	Exemplary	Down	Exemplary	Same	Exemplary	Same	Good	Up
Int. Studies Acad. HS	Good	Good	Same	Good	Same	Exemplary	Down	Fair	Up
Jordan HS	Good	Good	Same	Exemplary	Down	Exemplary	Same	Exemplary	Same
King MS	Good	Fair	Up	Good	Down	Fair	Up	Good	Down
Lakeshore ES	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	New					
Lick MS	Good	Exemplary	Down	New					
Malcolm X ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
Marshall Acad HS	Fair	Fair	Same	Good	Down	Good	Same	Fair	Up
Marshall ES	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	Exemplary	Same	Exemplary	Same	Good	Up
Miraloma ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
Mission HS	Fair	Fair	Same	Fair	Same	Good	Down	Good	Same
Muir ES	Exemplary	Exemplary	Same	Good	Up	Fair	Up	Fair	Same
O'Connell HS	Fair	Good	Down	Good	Same	Fair	Up	Good	Down
Parks ES	Good	Good	Same	Good	Same	Good	Same	Good	Same
Revere ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	Good	Same	Good	Same	Fair	Up
S.F. Community K-8	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
S.F. International HS	Good	Good	Same	New					
Sanchez ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	Exemplary	Down	Good	Up	Exemplary	Down
Serra ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
Sheridan ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
Tenderlion ES	Exemplary	Good	Up	New					
Visitacion Valley MS	Good	Good	Same	Fair	Up	Fair	Same	Fair	Same
Webster ES	Good	Good	Same	New					

Summary

Schools with same ranking as previous year:	51%
Schools with higher ranking than previous year:	33%
Schools with lower ranking than previous year:	15%

Overall District Percentage Average for 2014	97.8	Good
Overall District Percentage Average for 2013:	97.1	Good
Overall District Percentage Average for 2012:	97.6	Good
Overall District Percentage Average for 2011:	94.8	Good

Fourteen new "Deciles 1-3" schools were added in the 2013 list and continued in the 2014 list for inspection.

State of California Facility Inspection Tool (revised May 2009)

<u>Rating</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>2009-2013</u> <u>Percentage</u>	<u>2007-2009</u> <u>Percentage</u>
Exemplary:	The school meets most or all standards of good repair.	99% - 100%	98% - 100%
Good:	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non critical deficiencies noted.	90% - 98.99%	85% - 97.99%
Fair:	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted. Repairs and/or additional mainenance are necessary in several areas.	75% - 89.99%	67% - 84.99%
Poor:	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	0% - 74.9%	0% - 66.9%

MEMORANDUM

October 6, 2014

To: Members of the Board of Education
Richard A. Carranza, Superintendent, San Francisco Unified School District
Board of Supervisors, County of San Francisco

From: Jolie Wineroth, Independent Consultant

Subject: 2014-2015 *Williams* Textbook Inspection Report for Deciles 1-3 Schools and/or QEIA Schools

cc: Donald L. Davis, Chief General Counsel
Ruth Diep, Director, Office of Equity
Daisy Santos, Director, Curriculum Resources, Libraries, and Media Services

***Williams* Textbooks and Instructional Material Inspection**

As part of the *Williams v. California* Settlement, California Education Code § 1240 requires monitoring for sufficiency of textbook and instructional materials in schools ranked in deciles 1-3, as determined every three years by the Base Academic Performance Index (API). The current list of 39 schools that were visited was determined by the 2012 Base API.

The purpose of my visit to the 39 schools (26 elementary schools that included 3 K-8 schools, 6 middle schools, and 7 high schools) was to ensure that students have access to "sufficient" standards aligned textbooks and instructional materials in four core subject areas: mathematics, science, history/social science, and English/language arts which included the English Language Development component of the adopted program.

The District had two district wide adoptions this year for advanced placement courses in mathematics at the high school level: AP Statistics: *The Practice of Statistics* and AP Calculus: *Single Variable Calculus; Early Transcendentals*. Each student enrolled in these classes was provided with the newly adopted text.

"Sufficient instructional materials" means every pupil, including English language learners, has standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, to use in class and to take home. This definition does not require two sets of textbooks or instructional materials for each pupil.

Each school was visited at least once before the end of the first four weeks of school, between August 21, 2014 and September 12, 2014. Moreover, 28% of all my visits were unannounced, or a surprise to the site as required by law.

Based on my inspections 90% of elementary schools, 98% of middle schools, and 99% of high schools had sufficient textbooks in all of the core academic areas at all grade levels. This was an overall District average of 94%. By the end of the fourth week of school the sites who did not have sufficient materials either obtained those materials from the District's Curriculum Resources, Library, & Media Services Department or placed orders with that department for the missing materials, therefore bringing the District in compliance with 100% of the schools with "sufficient instructional materials."

In addition to checking for "sufficient instructional materials" I found that there was sufficient support materials such as maps, globes, board games, computer generated learning materials/games, consumable workbooks, activity kits, and manipulatives to reinforce the core curriculum.

The District provides for its administrators "Guidelines and Procedures" on its website for textbook management. In addition to that, the District's Curriculum Resources, Libraries, and Media Services Department conducts an "Instructional Materials Survey" at the beginning of the school year for each school site in the District. That department also requires sites to order missing materials no later than September 4, 2014 for this school year.

The District continues to improve processes to ensure all students receive sufficient textbooks and materials. Ms. Daisy Santos, Director of the Curriculum Resources, Libraries and Media Department works diligently with schools to order replacement books in a timely manner as well as providing newly adopted texts/materials.

As the independent consultant I was required to review schools' SARC's to verify whether the District provided accurate data relevant to textbook sufficiency in their reports published in the prior school year. The District did comply with this requirement and a copy of that information is in the appendix labeled, Section IX- Curriculum and Instructional Materials.

The Appendix of this report shows the results of the textbook inspections by site by their division. Individual school inspection reports are available for review at the Office of Equity.

Valenzuela Settlement Legislation

Education Code § 1240 (c)(2)(E) and § 1240 ©(2)(J) requires the County Superintendent to annually verify that pupils in county offices of education, school

districts, and charter schools who attended participating Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) and who have not passed one or both parts of the California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) by the end of grade 12 are informed of their eligibility to receive intensive instruction and services for up to two consecutive academic years after the completion of grade 12 or until they pass the CAHSEE, whichever comes first. In addition, County Superintendents will verify that the pupils who elect to be served in this program are provided services. Participating LEAs are defined as those agencies that receive funding under the CAHSEE intensive instruction and services program.

There is data generated centrally from SFUSD, that can be sorted by school, year, and grade level of students indicating who passed or did not pass either parts of the CAHSEE by grade 12. In Amplify and Synergy. In addition there is a spreadsheet or other record tracking of students names, addresses and results of subsequent attempts to pass CAHSEE.

The District notifies students through the US Mail that they have not passed one or both parts of the CAHSEE and were eligible to take the test(s) in July 2014.

Communication (written or phone) is made to post grade 12 students regarding intensive instruction and services so they may participate in these intensive instructions and services.

The following high schools participated in the documentation review:

1. Academy of Arts and Sciences
2. International Studies Academy
3. June Jordan School for Equity
4. Thurgood Marshall High School
5. Mission High School
6. John O'Connell High School
7. S.F. International High School

Statistics on the results from the CAHSEE exam for the sites above is provided in the appendix of this report. Individual school site letters of notification and supporting documentation are in the Office of Equity. Information supporting the results of the October 2014 test results will be available in December of 2014.

While visiting the 39 schools for sufficiency of textbooks and instructional materials, classrooms and common areas were also reviewed for the Uniform Complaint Notice

with Valenzuela provisions posted in Chinese, English, and Spanish. The majority of the sites were compliant, and those that were not were remedied before I left the site.

If you need further information or have questions please contact the Office of Equity for the San Francisco Unified School District.

To:
Subject:

BOS-Supervisors
FW: NERTNews December 2014

Update and training schedule from the SFFD Neighborhood
Emergency Response Team training program, NERT.



December 2014

NERT Update

Free training to prepare, respond and recover with the San Francisco Fire Department's
Neighborhood Emergency Response Team

Free NERT Training

January

South Beach

SFFD Headquarters

698 2nd Street, commission room

Wednesdays

January 7, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 1

January 14, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 2

January 21, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 3

January 28, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 4


February 4, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 5


February 11, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 6


New Student **Enroll** - pdf Class **Flier**

Recertification **Enroll**

CONNECT WITH US

 Friend us on Facebook

 Follow us on Twitter

 NERT gear is on Zazzle



CONTACT US

sffdnext@sfgov.org

Tenderloin

TNDC KCC Bldg.
220 Golden Gate Ave.

January 16, 8:30a-4:30p: Class 1 & 2
January 23, 8:30a-4:30p: Class 3 & 4
January 30, 8:30a-4:30p: Class 5 & 6
New Student **Enroll** - pdf **Class Flier**
Recertification **Enroll**

Sunset

Lawton Elementary School
1570 31st Ave

January 15, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 1
January 22, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 2
January 29, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 3
February 5, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 4
February 12, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 5
February 19, 6:00p-9:30p: Class 6
New Student **Enroll** - pdf **Class Flier**
Recertification **Enroll**

SF Ready!

One time workshop for you and your neighbors!

What's in it for you?

You will learn the basics to take care of yourself and others. SFFD NERT and **SAFE** want you to have skills to be prepared for emergencies big or small, and get to know your neighbors on your block to maximize resiliency after a disaster.

Play A Role In Your Neighborhood

NERT is a free training program for individuals, neighborhood groups and community-based organizations in San Francisco. Through this program, individuals will learn the basics of personal preparedness and prevention. The training also includes hands-on disaster skills that will help individuals respond to a personal emergency as well as act as members of a neighborhood response team. San Francisco is made up of many neighborhoods. We are training to be one ready community when it counts. The Emergency Response is important but building the Team as a Neighborhood comes first.

Program Partner Spotlight

- Risk Awareness
- Disaster supplies
- Personal/Family Disaster Planning
- NERT Overview
- Disaster Pre-Planning - building community one block at a time

WHEN:

6:00pm-8:00pm

Tuesday January 6, 2015

Mission

SFFD Division of Training

2310 Folsom St (enter on 19th through gate)

Enroll - pdf Flier

*The schedule is updated on the **NERT website** training page whenever a new class is added.



Co-founder of Nextdoor Sarah Leary completed NERT training in August and saw our community building program as a perfect partner for the Nextdoor platform. SFFD launched on 11/12/2014 with Sara's help.



Wendy Shipley with the San Francisco Police Credit Union (SFPCU) also graduated. SFPCU has long supported NERT members, starting with the celebration cake at every graduation. Thanks Wendy for making the leap to trained NERT!

follow on Twitter | friend on Facebook | visit our Website

Our mailing address is:

2310 Folsom Street

San Francisco, CA 94110

415-970-2022



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2014 DEC -5 PM 2:58

AB

Boo 11, C Page

BOARD OF DIRECTORS 2014

TOM NOLAN, CHAIR
JERRY DEAL, VICE CHAIR
JOSÉ CISNEROS
MALIA COHEN
ASH KALRA
ARTHUR L. LLOYD
ADRIENNE TISSIER
PERRY WOODWARD
KEN YEAGER

MICHAEL J. SCANLON
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

December 4, 2014

County Supervisors C/O County Clerk
San Francisco County
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

On behalf of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), I would like to announce the release of the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. The Notice of Availability and a CD of the FEIR is included with this letter.

The project would electrify the Peninsula Corridor from the San Francisco Caltrain Station at 4th and King to approximately 2 miles south of the Tamien Caltrain Station in San Jose, convert diesel-hauled to Electric Multiple Unit trains, and increase service up to six Caltrain trains per peak hour per direction.

The public meeting to consider certification of the FEIR and adoption of the project will be held at the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) meeting on Thursday, January 8, 2015 at 10 a.m. in the 2nd floor auditorium at Caltrain headquarters at 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA.

Please note, the reference list for Appendix K (Volume III) was inadvertently left off the CD. The PDF for Appendix K including the reference list can be downloaded online at www.caltrain.com/electrification. For more information, feel free to contact Stacy Cocke by phone at 650-508-6207 or by email at electrification@caltrain.com.

Sincerely,

Marian Lee, Executive Officer, Caltrain Modernization Program


NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY AND PUBLIC MEETING FOR THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (FEIR) FOR THE PENINSULA CORRIDOR ELECTRIFICATION PROJECT



On December 4, 2014, the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain) released the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for Caltrain's Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (PCEP) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project would electrify the Peninsula Corridor from the San Francisco Caltrain Station at 4th and King to ~2 miles south of the Tamien station in San Jose, convert diesel-hauled trains to electric trains (called electric multiple units) and increase service from five trains to six trains per peak hour per direction.

The FEIR, which responds to comments on the Draft EIR, is available online at www.caltrain.com/electrification, the Caltrain office, local libraries or by calling 650.622.7841.



The public meeting to consider certification of the FEIR and adoption of the project will be held at the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) meeting:

Thursday, January 8, 2015 10 a.m.

Caltrain Headquarters
2nd Floor Auditorium
1250 San Carlos Avenue
San Carlos, CA

For more information:

Call: 650.622.7841
TTY: 650.508.6448
Email: electrification@caltrain.com



City and County of San Francisco
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH/ AGRICULTURE

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Director of Health

RECEIVED Miguel A. Monroy
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Agricultural Commissioner
SAN FRANCISCO

October 30, 2014

Ms Angela Calvillo
Clerk of The Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
One Dr Carlton Goodlett. Rm 244
San Francisco, Ca 94102-4689

INDEXED - 3 PM 12: 20
RCN

Leg Clerk,
BOS 10, 00B
Leg Dep,
Land U Clerk
C Page.
ac File

Urban Agricultural Incentive Zone Contract: Aaron Roland, 582 Rhode Island St. San Francisco

Dear Ms Calvillo,

Per the requirements of San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 53A. Urban Agricultural Incentives Zone, Section 53.A.5(a) through (c), I am forwarding the completed application for a UAIZ contract and a substantially final form of the contract with recommendation for approval. Section 53 A. (c) requires that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors forward this notice to all members of the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors has 10 business days from the date the notice is received to request a hearing on this application and contract. If there is no request, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors will inform the Agricultural Commissioner that this contract may be finalized.

I have completed review of the application and conducted an inspection of the property. I have determined that it meets the requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 53A. As required, the application was forwarded to the Assessor and the Assessor has provided the report of the estimated yearly property tax values and the five year estimate of lost property tax revenue. The Assessors report indicates that the proposed contract will not result in tax revenue loss of more than \$25,000 per year or more than \$125,000 for the five year period.

Attached is the completed application, the UAIZ contract and the Assessors report of tax revenues.

Sincerely,

Miguel A. Monroy
Agricultural Commissioner

Enclosures: Application, UAIZ Contract, Assessors Report

Cc: Rick Caldiera

Recording Requested by, and
when recorded, send notice to:
Agricultural Commissioner
1390 Market Street, Ste 210
San Francisco, California 94102

**URBAN AGRICULTURE INCENTIVE ZONES ACT
PROPERTY AGREEMENT
582 RHODE ISLAND STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a California municipal corporation ("City") and Aaron Rowland ("Owner").

RECITALS

Owner is the owner of the property located at 582 Rhode Island Street, in San Francisco, California (Block 4009, Lot 009). The San Francisco Planning Department and San Francisco Agricultural Commissioner have determined that the property located at 582 Rhode Island Street ("Property") is eligible under the California Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act for an urban agriculture incentive zones contract. Owner desires to implement a small-scale urban agricultural use project for the Property.

The State of California has adopted the "Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act" (California Government Code Sections 51040, *et seq.*, and California Revenue & Taxation Code, Article 1.5 [Section 422.7 *et seq.*]) authorizing local governments to enter into agreements with property owners to reduce their property taxes, or to prevent increases in their property taxes, in return for a commitment to a sustainable urban farm enterprise in an urban area. The City has adopted enabling legislation, San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 53A, authorizing it to participate in the Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act program.

Owner desires to enter into an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act Agreement (also referred to as "Agreement") with the City to help mitigate expenditures for the use of the Property for small-scale urban agricultural use. The City is willing to enter into such Agreement to promote the use of vacant, undeveloped land for sustainable urban agricultural use and to provide public benefits to the City such as connecting residents to the broader food system, providing green space and recreational opportunities, building community, and promoting food access, public health, and economic development potential.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual obligations, covenants, and conditions contained herein, the parties hereto do agree as follows:

1. Application of Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act. The benefits, privileges, restrictions and obligations provided for in the California Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 53A shall be applied to the Property during the time that this Agreement is in effect commencing from the date of recordation of this Agreement.
2. Use of the Property. Owner shall dedicate the entire Property to commercial or noncommercial agricultural use and shall undertake and complete the work set forth in Exhibit A ("Agricultural Use Plan") attached hereto. The Agricultural Use Plan sets forth the permitted

agricultural use of the property and includes the proposed site plan and development schedule for the property. Owner shall proceed diligently in commencing agricultural use as set forth in the Agricultural Use Plan and shall commence such use not less than thirty (30) days after recordation of this Agreement if not already using the Property for agricultural use. Owner shall permit members of the public to periodically access the site as set forth in the Agricultural Use Plan. No dwelling units shall be permitted on the Property. Failure to timely commence agricultural use, failure to permit public access according to the Agricultural Use Plan, or the presence or construction of any dwelling unit(s) on the Property shall result in cancellation of this Agreement as set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 14 herein.

3. Cessation of Agricultural Use. Owner shall report in writing to the Agricultural Commissioner any cessation of agricultural use for any reason or any other change in use from that approved under the attached Agricultural Use Plan within two (2) weeks of the cessation of activity. Owner shall resume agricultural activity consistent with the Agricultural Use Plan within three (3) months of any such cessation. Failure to timely resume agricultural use shall result in cancellation of this Agreement as set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 14 herein.

4. Pesticides and Fertilizers. Intentionally left blank.

5. Insurance. Owner shall secure adequate liability insurance to cover the uses proposed in the attached Agricultural Use Plan, including coverage for any third parties on the Property, and shall submit evidence of such insurance to the City upon request.

6. Inspections. Owner shall permit periodic examination of the Property by representatives of the City's Assessor-Recorder, the Department of Building Inspection, the Planning Department, the Agricultural Commissioner, and the State Board of Equalization, upon seventy-two (72) hours advance notice, to monitor Owner's compliance with the terms of this Agreement. Owner shall provide all reasonable information and documentation about the Property demonstrating compliance with this Agreement as requested by any of the above-referenced entities.

7. Term. This Agreement shall be effective upon the date of its recordation and shall be in effect for a term of five (5) years from such date ("Initial Term").

8. Valuation. This Agreement must have been signed, accepted and recorded on or before the lien date (January 1) for a fiscal year (the following July 1-June 30) for the Property to be valued under the taxation provisions of the Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act for that fiscal year.

9. Renewal and Extension. Owner may apply for an extension of the Agreement for an additional term of up to five (5) years, provided that the California Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Act and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 53A permit such an extension. Any such extension shall be subject to the same requirements as an initial application as set forth in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 53A.

10. Payment of Fees. Owner agrees to pay any fee authorized by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors under the California Urban Agriculture Incentives Zone Act and Administrative Code Chapter 53A for the City's reasonable costs related to implementing and administering the Agreement, including but not limited to the costs of inspecting the Property and determining compliance with the Agreement, as provided for in Government Code Section 51042(a)(2)(A). Owner shall promptly pay any such requested amount within forty-five (45) days of receipt of notice of the fee amount due.

11. Default. An event of default under this Agreement may be any one of the following:

- (a) Owner's failure to conduct the agricultural use set forth in Exhibit A;
- (b) Owner's failure to resume agricultural use after cessation in accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 3 herein;
- (d) Owner's failure to allow any inspections as provided in Paragraph 6 herein;
- (e) Owner's termination of this Agreement during the Term;
- (f) Owner's failure to pay any fees imposed by the City as provided in Paragraph 10 herein;
- (g) Owner's failure to maintain adequate insurance for the Property; or
- (h) Owner's failure to comply with any other provision of this Agreement.

An event of default shall result in cancellation of this Agreement as set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 14 herein and payment of the cancellation fee and all property taxes due upon the Assessor-Recorder's determination of the assessed value of the Property as set forth in Paragraph 14 herein.

12. Cancellation by Owner. In the event Owner cancels this Agreement during the Term, Owner shall provide written notice to the Agricultural Commissioner and Assessor-Recorder and pay the Cancellation Fee as set forth in Paragraph 14 herein. In addition, the Assessor-Recorder shall determine the assessed value of the Property as of the date of cancellation and shall assess all property taxes for the Property without regard to any restriction imposed on the Property by this Agreement.

13. Cancellation by City. City may initiate proceedings to cancel this Agreement if it makes a reasonable determination that Owner has breached any condition or covenant contained in this Agreement or have defaulted as provided in Paragraph 11 herein. In order to cancel this Agreement, the Agricultural Commissioner shall provide written notice to the Owner, the Assessor-Recorder, and the Planning Department of his or her intent to cancel the Agreement. Owners may file a written appeal of this determination with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days of the notification as set forth in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 53A.8. The Board of Supervisors shall determine at a public hearing whether this Agreement should be cancelled. If the Agreement is cancelled, the Owner shall pay the Cancellation Fee as set forth in Paragraph 14 herein, and the Assessor-Recorder shall determine the assessed value of the Property as of the date of cancellation and shall assess all property taxes for the Property without regard to any restriction imposed on the Property by this Agreement.

14. Cancellation Fee. If the City cancels this Agreement as set forth in Paragraph 13 above or Owners cancel the Agreement as set forth in Paragraph 12 above, Owner shall pay a cancellation fee equal to the cumulative value of the tax benefit received during the duration of the Agreement, as determined by the Assessor-Recorder, as set forth in Government Code Section 51042(a)(2)(B). This fee shall include the cumulative tax owed, including interest. The cancellation fee shall be paid to the City Tax Collector at such time and in such manner as the City shall prescribe. Owner may appeal payment of this fee to the Board of Supervisors as set forth in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 53A.8. The Board of Supervisors may waive payment of the fee, or any portion thereof, if it determines that the cancellation was caused by extenuating circumstances despite the good faith effort by the Owner to comply with the provisions of the Agreement.

15. Enforcement of Agreement. In lieu of the above provision to cancel the Agreement, the City may bring an action to specifically enforce or to enjoin any breach of any condition or covenant of this Agreement. Should the City determine that the Owner has breached this Agreement, the City shall give the Owner written notice by registered or certified mail setting forth the grounds for the breach. If the Owner does not correct the breach, or if it does not undertake and diligently pursue corrective action, to the reasonable satisfaction of the City within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the notice, then the City may, without further notice, initiate default procedures under this Agreement as set forth in Paragraph 13 and bring any action necessary to enforce the obligations of the Owners set forth in this Agreement. The City does not waive any claim of default by the Owners if it does not enforce or cancel this Agreement.

16. Indemnification. The Owner shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the City and all of its boards, commissions, departments, agencies, agents and employees (individually and collectively, the "City") from and against any and all liabilities, losses, costs, claims, judgments, settlements, damages, liens, fines, penalties and expenses incurred in connection with or arising in whole or in part from: (a) any accident, injury to or death of a person, loss of or damage to property occurring in or about the Property; (b) the use or occupancy of the Property by the Owner, his Agents or Invitees; (c) the condition of the Property; (d) any construction or other work undertaken by Owner on the Property; or (e) any claims by unit or interval Owner for property tax reductions in excess those provided for under this Agreement. This indemnification shall include, without limitation, reasonable fees for attorneys, consultants, and experts and related costs that may be incurred by the City and all indemnified parties specified in this Paragraph and the City's cost of investigating any claim. In addition to Owner's obligation to indemnify City, Owner specifically acknowledge and agree that they have an immediate and independent obligation to defend City from any claim that actually or potentially falls within this indemnification provision, even if the allegations are or may be groundless, false, or fraudulent, which obligation arises at the time such claim is tendered to Owner by City, and continues at all times thereafter. The Owner's obligations under this Paragraph shall survive termination of this Agreement.

17. Binding on Successors and Assigns. The covenants, benefits, restrictions, and obligations contained in this Agreement shall be deemed to run with the land and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of all successors and assigns in interest of the Owner.

18. Legal Fees. In the event that either the City or the Owner fails to perform any of their obligations under this Agreement or in the event a dispute arises concerning the meaning or interpretation of any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party may recover all costs and expenses incurred in enforcing or establishing its rights hereunder, including reasonable attorneys' fees, in addition to court costs and any other relief ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction. Reasonable attorneys fees of the City's Office of the City Attorney shall be based on the fees regularly charged by private attorneys with the equivalent number of years of experience who practice in the City of San Francisco in law firms with approximately the same number of attorneys as employed by the Office of the City Attorney.

19. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

20. Recordation. Within 20 days from the date of execution of this Agreement, Owner shall cause this Agreement to be recorded with the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco.

21. Amendments. This Agreement may be amended in whole or in part only by a written recorded instrument executed by the parties hereto in the same manner as this Agreement.

22. No Implied Waiver. No failure by the City to insist on the strict performance of any obligation of the Owner under this Agreement or to exercise any right, power, or remedy arising out of a breach hereof shall constitute a waiver of such breach or of the City's right to demand strict compliance with any terms of this Agreement.

23. Authority. If the Owner signs as a corporation or a partnership, each of the persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the Owner does hereby covenant and warrant that such entity is a duly authorized and existing entity, that such entity has and is qualified to do business in California, that the Owner have full right and authority to enter into this Agreement, and that each and all of the persons signing on behalf of the Owner are authorized to do so.

24. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby, and each other provision of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

25. Tropical Hardwood Ban. The City urges companies not to import, purchase, obtain or use for any purpose, any tropical hardwood or tropical hardwood product.

26. Charter Provisions. This Agreement is governed by and subject to the provisions of the Charter of the City.


27. Signatures. This Agreement may be signed and dated in parts.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as follows:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:


By: _____
Carmen Chu
Assessor-Recorder

DATE: _____

By: 
Miguel Monroy
Agricultural Commissioner

DATE: December 2, 2014

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA
CITY ATTORNEY

By: 
Marlena G. Byrne
Deputy City Attorney

DATE: December 2, 2014

OWNER

By: _____
Aaron Roland, Owner

DATE: _____

[IF MORE THAN ONE OWNER, ADD ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE LINES. ALL OWNERS MUST SIGN AGREEMENT.]

EACH OWNER'S SIGNATURE MUST BE NOTARIZED.
ATTACH PUBLIC NOTARY FORMS HERE.

APPLICATION FOR Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Contract on Private Property

Application must be filled out by the property owner or an authorized agent. Applications are due to the Agricultural Commissioner by 5pm of the application cycle deadline (listed below) via email, USPS, or hand delivered to: Environmental Health Section, Attn: Agriculture Program, 1390 Market Street, Ste. 210, San Francisco, CA 94102 or miguel.monroy@sfgov.org.

The application deadline for 2014 is: October 1st.

Starting in 2015, the application cycle deadlines are March 1st, June 1st, and August 1st.

Applicants will receive notification within 30 days whether their application has either a) been approved and forwarded to the Assessor's Office for review or b) been denied. Questions about the application can be directed to:

Hannah Shulman
Urban Agriculture Program Coordinator,
San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department
hannah.shulman@sfgov.org
(415)575-5604

Miguel Monroy
Agricultural Commissioner,
San Francisco Department of Public Health
miguel.monroy@sfdph.org
(415)252-3939

1. Owner/Applicant Information

PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:

Aaron Roland

PROPERTY OWNER'S ADDRESS:

**3433 21st Street,
San Francisco, CA**

APPLICANT'S NAME:

Kevin Bayuk

APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:

**1388 Haight Street #107
San Francisco, CA 94117**

TELEPHONE:

(415) 515-0449

EMAIL:

doctoraaron@pacbell.net

Same as Above

TELEPHONE:

(415) 999-5354

EMAIL:

kevin@upisf.com

2. Property Information

STREET ADDRESS:

582 RHODE ISLAND ST.

CROSS STREETS:

18th STREET @ RHODE ISLAND

ASSESSOR'S BLOCK AND LOT

4009 / 009

LOT DIMENSIONS (SQ FT):

50 FT x 100 FT = 5,000 SQ FT

LOT AREA (SQ FT):

5,000 SQ FT

ZONING DISTRICT:

RH-2

SPECIAL / RESTRICTED USE (SUB)DISTRICT(S)

NONE

HEIGHT / BULK DISTRICT:

40-X

Does the site have a driveway for site access for vehicles or a space to load and unload equipment?

YES NO

Does the site have on-site water access? If yes, please give the approximate location of the water source. Contact SFPUC for more information on how to apply for a water meter: <http://sfwater.org/index.aspx?page=469>

North, west side of site

YES NO

USE AUTHORIZATION:

Is there an approved use permit or Conditional Use Authorization for Neighborhood Agriculture or Large-Scale Urban Agriculture at the site?

YES NO

If the answer is "No," have you filed an application for a change of use permit or Conditional Use Authorization?

YES NO

Please note: Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone contracts cannot be recorded until necessary use permits have been issued.

If there is an approved or pending use permit / Conditional Use Authorization, please provide the BPA # or case number:

3. Agricultural Plan

URBAN AGRICULTURE BUSINESS/ORGANIZATION NAME (if applicable):

San Francisco Permaculture Guild

NAME OF PRIMARY CONTACT / RESPONSIBLE PARTY DURING BUSINESS HOURS: MOBILE TELEPHONE NUMBER:

Kevin Bayuk

415-999-5354

Does the applicant and/or managing party intend to use the property for a minimum of five years for agricultural purposes?

YES NO

DESCRIPTION OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING (Attach additional plans and documents, as needed):

- Any plans for bees or animal husbandry projects
- All structures on site (existing and proposed) including greenhouses, sheds, fences, etc.
- A schedule of when agriculture uses will be developed on site (if available)

The primary agriculture activity is the cultivation of perennial vegetables, fruits, nuts and associated support species. Bees have been on site before and may be included in the future. No other animal husbandry is planned for the site. There is a tool shed, benches and other small cob structures on site for seating. The majority of agriculture features are already on site. Additional trees and woody perennial shrubs will be planted.

4. Community Engagement

How will the applicant make the site open to the public? Possibilities include: produce stand, agricultural education, general open hours, food distribution by sale or donation, or other (describe below).

The site is currently being used for agricultural education for adults and children. The site is open to the public 7 days a week. All food is distributed for free/donation and not sold.

Describe the benefits to the community of the applicant's urban agricultural project:

The urban agriculture project on site enhances neighborhood beautification, sequesters carbon from the atmosphere, provides habitat for pollinators and birds and small reptiles, provides a site for educational experiences, provides food yields for the community, especially those without means to otherwise access fresh, healthy organic fruits, nuts and vegetables. The site is also used as a living nursery and hundreds of plants have been propagated from the germplasm on site and distributed by donation to the neighbors near the site and around the city.

Applicant's Affidavit

Under penalty of perjury the following declarations are made:

1. I am the owner or authorized agent of the owner of this property.
2. The information presented on this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
3. Additional information or applications may be required in order to render this application complete.
4. If this application involves the sale, storage, handling or preparation of food products, I hereby affirm the stipulations set forth in Section 7 ("Food-Related Activities") of this application.

Applicant's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Print name, and indicate whether owner or authorized agent: _____

- Property Owner
 Authorized Agent for Property Owner
(attach letter of authorization to this application)

Application Completeness Checklist

- Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone application with all blanks filled out
- Applicant's affidavit
- Signed Certificate of Eligibility
- Photos of the site and existing structures, if applicable (exterior and interior)
- Completed draft Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Contract
- (If applicable) Copy of the issued change of use permit or a copy of the Planning Commission Motion approving a Conditional Use Authorization

Optional Attachments

- Site plan showing current and proposed uses (if applicable)
- Schedule of agriculture development plan


Dr. Aaron Roland
3433 21st Street,
San Francisco, CA
94110

RE: Letter of Authorization for Application for Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone for 582 RHODE ISLAND ST Block/Lot 4009/009

I authorize Kevin Bayuk or David Cody to act as agent on my behalf for completing all necessary filings and applications for the Urban Agriculture Incentives Zones program of San Francisco, including but not limited to the Certificate of Eligibility for the Urban Agriculture Incentives Zone and the Application for Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones Contract on Private Property and Applicant Affidavit.

Please contact me if you have any questions at doctoraaron@pacbell.net or 415-515-0449.

Sincerely,


_____ 9/23/14

Dr. Aaron Roland

CERTIFICATE OF ELIGIBILITY FOR Urban Agriculture Incentive Zones

Important Information for Applicants and Owners

- This Certificate for Eligibility will be issued by the Planning Department.
- Please fill out sections 1 through 4 of the Application for Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone prior to bringing this Certificate in person to the Planning Information Center.
- Bring the completed application plus a site plan (if applicable), photos of the site and existing structures (exterior and interior), and letter of authorization to the Planning Information Center (PIC). The PIC is located at 1660 Mission Street, ground floor. The hours are weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM, except on Wednesday mornings when it opens at 10:15 AM. No appointment is necessary.
- If you have any questions about this Certificate of Eligibility, contact the Planning Information Center at (415) 558-6377.

For Department Use Only

Planning staff, please check and complete. Use PIM, photographs and permit history to verify:

Is the property located within a zoning district where Neighborhood Agricultural or Large-Scale Urban Agricultural Uses as defined in Planning Code Section 102 are principally or conditionally permitted uses?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the property at least 0.10 acres (4356 sq ft) and not more than 3 acres (130,680 sq ft) in size?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the property free of dwelling units?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the property include only structures that are accessory to the permitted agricultural activity, including, but not limited to: toolsheds, greenhouses, produce stands, or educational space?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If the answer to any of the above questions is "NO," the project is ineligible for an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone.	


Certification of Eligibility:

The above property meets the eligibility criteria for an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone.

Yes No



Additional Comments _____

Planning Staff verification: Printed Name: DIEGO R. SANCHEZ

Signature: 

Telephone: 415-575-9092

Date: Sept 25 2014



Entrance/Exit

Shed

Entrance/Exit

Agricultural Use


18th St

Entrance/Exit

25

Entrance/Exit

Rhode Island St



























©2013 Lori Eanes www.backyardrootsbook.com







URBAN AGRICULTURE INCENTIVE ZONE - RESTRICTED VALUATION

APN: 26-4009-009
 Property Location: 582V Rhode Island Date of Urban Ag Application: 9/25/2014
 Applicant's Name: Aaron Roland Property Type: Vacant Parcel
 Agt./Tax Rep./Atty: Kevin Bayuk Date of Sale: 4/22/1991
 Applicant Supplied Appraisal? Not Applicable Sale Price: \$390,000

DATE OF PROSPECTIVE VALUATION: January 1, 2015

2014 FACTORED BASE VALUE		2015 RESTRICTED VALUE		PROPERTY TAX SAVINGS	
Land	\$573,845	Land	\$1,389	Land	(\$572,456)
Imps	\$0	Imps	\$0	Imps	\$0
Total	\$573,845	Total	\$1,389	Total	(\$572,456)
Est. Property Taxes	\$6,739	Est. Property Taxes	\$16	Est. Tax Savings	(\$6,722)

2014 Property Tax Rate: 1.1743%

Remarks: (a) 2015 Inflation Adjustment TBD in Dec. 2014
 (b) 2015 Property Tax Rate TBD in Sept. 2015

PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS

Present Use: Vacant Parcel Building Area (sq.ft.) NA Land Area (Sq.Ft.): 5,000
 Year Built: NA Number of Units NA Zoning: RH2
 Neighborhood: Potrero Hill Number of Stories: NA

CONTENTS

Cover Sheet Page 1
 Restricted Value Calculation Page 2
 Subject Photo Page 3

CONCLUSION

The subject property is a vacant and unimproved lot subject to an Urban Agriculture Incentive Zone enforceable contract.
 The restricted taxable value for fiscal year 2015-16 is \$1,389.

Appraiser: Date: 10/20/2014
 Principal Appraiser: M. Jine

URBAN AGRICULTURE INCENTIVE ZONE RESTRICTED VALUATION

ASSESSORS PARCEL NUMBER **26-4009-009**

Size of Subject Parcel (square feet) 5,000
Size of One Acre (square feet) 43,560 = 0.1148 acres

Per Acre Value for Lien Date 2015* \$12,100
Acreage of Subject Parcel x 0.1148
Restricted Value for FY 2015-16 = **\$1,389**

** Source - USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service*

	Fiscal Year	Assessed Value ¹	Tax Rate ³	Estimated Taxes	Restricted Value ²	Tax Rate ³	Estimated Taxes	Property Tax Impact
Year 1	2015-16	\$585,321	1.1743%	\$6,873	\$1,389	1.1743%	\$16	(\$6,857)
Year 2	2016-17	\$597,027	1.1743%	\$7,011	\$1,389	1.1743%	\$16	(\$6,995)
Year 3	2017-18	\$608,968	1.1743%	\$7,151	\$1,389	1.1743%	\$16	(\$7,135)
Year 4	2018-19	\$621,147	1.1743%	\$7,294	\$1,389	1.1743%	\$16	(\$7,278)
Year 5	2019-20	\$633,570	1.1743%	\$7,440	\$1,389	1.1743%	\$16	(\$7,424)
Potential Property Tax Impact Over 5-Year Contract								(\$35,688)

(1) Assumes a 2% Consumer Price Index (CPI) increase from prior year's assessed value according to California Revenue and Taxation Code § 51.

(2) Based upon Board of Equalization (BOE) published \$ per acre land value of irrigated crop land in California. The published value may change each year.

(3) Assumes 2014 property tax rate of 1.1743%. This rate will be adjusted by the Controller and Board of Supervisors for FY 2015-2016 and the rate will change each year

December 3, 2014

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S NOTICE TO ADJUST YOUR RATES FOR THE 2015-2017 ENERGY SAVINGS ASSISTANCE AND CALIFORNIA ALTERNATE RATES FOR ENERGY PROGRAMS (A.14-11-010)

BOS 11 0 Page

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2014 DEC -5 PM 2:59

Summary

On November 18, 2014, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) proposed to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) a request to approve funding for the 2015-2017 Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) and California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) programs and budgets effective January 1, 2015.

In Decision 14-08-030, the CPUC authorized funding for the year 2015 at the authorized 2014 budget level of \$176.8 million for both programs. Following D.14-08-030, the CPUC also issued General Rate Case Decision 14-08-032. As a result, PG&E is requesting an increase to our revenue requirement in 2015 for the ESA program of \$0.1 million. In addition, PG&E is requesting a revenue requirement decrease of \$7.2 million in 2016 and an increase of \$2.8 million in 2017. For the CARE program, PG&E is seeking a revenue requirement increase of \$0.2 million in 2015 to reflect certain authorized revenue changes as directed in D.14-08-030 and D.14-08-032. In addition, PG&E is requesting a revenue requirement increase of \$2.9 million in 2016 and \$1.1 million in 2017.

Background

In this filing, PG&E seeks approval to continue offering these financial and energy efficiency assistance programs. The ESA Program provides income-qualified renters and homeowners with easy, free solutions to help manage their energy use each month.

The CARE Program provides a monthly discount on energy bills for households and housing facilities that meet the program's income qualifications. Qualifications are based on the number of persons living in the home and the total gross annual household income.

How will PG&E's application affect me?

If approved, this application would result in an increase of less than one percent in PG&E's total annual electric and gas revenue requirements for the ESA and CARE programs from 2014 to 2015. The requested electric and gas revenue for 2015 would be collected from customers. Tables presenting more illustrative descriptions of the impact of this application were included in a bill insert announcing this filing that was sent directly to customers in December.

These increases in customers' bills would be collected through the Public Purpose Program (PPP) charge, which funds various programs, including those for low income customers, as required by California law and/or the CPUC. This charge is paid by bundled, direct access, community choice aggregation and eligible departing load customers. CARE customers are not charged for costs to the CARE program, which is a part of the PPP surcharge.

- Bundled service customers are those who receive electric generation, as well as transmission and distribution service from PG&E.
- Direct access customers only receive transmission and distribution service from PG&E.
- Community choice aggregation customers also only receive transmission and distribution service from PG&E.

How do I find out more about PG&E's proposals?

You can view PG&E's application and exhibits at pge.com/RegCases. Select "Low Income Program PY15-17" from the Cases dropdown menu.

If you have questions about PG&E's application, please contact PG&E at 1-800-743-5000. TDD/TTY users call 1-800-652-4712. Para más detalles llame al 1-800-660-6789 • (詳情請致電) 1-800-893-9555

If you would like a copy of PG&E's application and exhibits, please write to PG&E at the address below.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
2015-2017 ESA CARE Application
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120

A copy of PG&E's application and exhibits are also available for review at the CPUC, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Monday-Friday, 8 a.m.-noon. PG&E's application (without exhibits) is available on the CPUC's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc.

How does the CPUC's decision-making process work?

This Application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary Hearings (EHs) may be held where parties of record will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These EHs are open to the public, but only those who are parties of record can participate. After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision which may adopt PG&E's proposal, modify it or deny it. Any CPUC Commissioner may sponsor an alternate decision. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon at a scheduled CPUC Voting Meeting.

10

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call 415-703-1584, email ora@cpuc.ca.gov or visit ORA's website at <http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx>.

Stay informed

If you would like to follow this proceeding, or any other issue before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC's free subscription service. Sign up at: <http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/>.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC processes, you may access the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office (PAO) webpage at www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc and click on "Public Advisor" from the CPUC Information Menu. You may also contact the PAO as follows.

<p>Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov Mail: Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2103 San Francisco, CA 94102</p>	<p>Call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 TTY: 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-5282</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------


From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: CPUC Notification - Verizon Wireless
Attachments: CPUC Filing - Verizon - Mission Beale.pdf

From: Salem, Melinda [<mailto:Melinda.Salem@VerizonWireless.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 3:12 PM
To: Rahaim, John (CPC); Administrator, City (ADM); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: West Area CPUC
Subject: CPUC Notification - Verizon Wireless

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC"). This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

If you prefer to receive these notices by US Mail, please reply to this email stating your jurisdiction's preference.

Thank You



December 5, 2014

Ms. Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
alh@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for Mission & Beale
San Francisco-Oakland, CA / GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership / U-3002-C

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Sincerely,

Molly Kales
2795 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, CA 94598
(925) 279-6762



CPUC Attachment A

Site Name	Mission & Beale
Legal Entity	GTE Mobilnet California LP
Choose Type of Project	Note: Select <input type="checkbox"/> IB Initial Build (New Verizon Wireless Presence) or <input type="checkbox"/> AM Modification to existing Verizon site
Street Address of Site	50 Beale Street
Site Location City	San Francisco
Site Location Zip Code	94105
Site Location County	San Francisco
Site Location APN Number	3710-018

	Degrees	Minutes	Seconds
COORDINATES			
Site Location Latitude	37	47	27.80
Site Location Longitude	122	23	47.06
NAD_27_or_83	27	83	

Brief Description of Project	Installation of (12) panel antennas, (2) GPS antennas, (12) RRUs, (1) 35kW natural gas generator, and associated radio equipment on a platform on the rooftop of an office building. Installation of a power/fiber run from the basement to the rooftop equipment.
------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Number and type of Antennas/Dishes	12 panel antennas, 2 GPS antennas
Tower Design	Building Rooftop Parapet Mounted
Tower Appearance	Existing Office Building
Tower Height (in feet)	NA
Size of Building or NA	334.8' AGL

Planning Director (or equivalent)	John Rahaim
Contact 1 Agency Name	City of San Francisco
Contact 1 Street Address	1660 Mission Street, Suite 400
Contact 1 City	San Francisco
Contact 1 State	CA, 94103
Contact 1 Zip Code	John.Rahaim@sfgov.org

City Manager (or equivalent)	City Administrator
Contact 2 Agency Name	City of San Francisco
Contact 2 Street Address	1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place Room 362
Contact 2 City	San Francisco
Contact 2 State & ZIP	CA, 94102
Contact 2 Email	city.administrator@sfgov.org

City Clerk (or equivalent)	Clerk of the Board
Contact 3 Agency Name	City of San Francisco
Contact 3 Street Address	1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, Room 244
Contact 3 City	San Francisco
Contact 3 State & ZIP	CA, 94102
Contact 3 Email	Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Director of School Board (or equivalent)	N/A
Contact 4 Agency Name	
Contact 4 Street Address	
Contact 4 City	
Contact 4 State	
Contact 4 Zip Code	

LAND USE OR BUILDING APPROVALS	
Type of Approval Issued	Planning Approval
Issue Date of Approval	8/28/2014
Effective Date of Approval	10/27/2014
Agency Name	SF Planning Department
Approval Permit Number	NA
Resolution Number (if applicable)	
Type of Approval Issued (2)	
Issue Date of Approval (2)	
Effective Date of Approval (2)	
Agency Name (2)	
Approval (2) Permit Number	
Resolution Number (2) (if applicable)	

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Demolition/ Implosion of Candlestick Park Stradium
Attachments: Candlestick_Park_Statement_of_Concerns_(5).docx

From: Shirley Moore [<mailto:sammy988@aol.com>]
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2014 1:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Fwd: Demolition/ Implosion of Candlestick Park Stradium

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Moore <sammy988@aol.com>
To: lila.hussain <lila.hussain@sfgov.org>; LaShon.A.Walker <LaShon.A.Walker@lennar.com>; kofy.bonner <kofy.bonner@lennar.com>; info <info@hpscac.com>; roger.kim <roger.kim@sfgov.org>
Sent: Fri, Dec 5, 2014 11:54 am
Subject: Demolition/ Implosion of Candlestick Park Stradium

To all concerned:

First let me introduce myself, My name Shirley Moore, Vice President of the Bayview Hill Neighborhood Association, and Chair of Candlestick Neighborhood Committee and resident, located near Candlestick Park Stadium.

I am attaching a statement of community concerns relating to implosion of Candlestick Park Stadium and the immediate health impacts and long term harmful environmental effects on residents in the community. This document was born out of the December 1, 2014 Bayview Hill Neighborhood Association meeting, which strongly recommend mechanical dismantling.

Imploding Candlestick Park Stadium violates the Precautionary Principle Legislation proposed by the Department of the Environment and adopted by the board of supervisors, 11/2/2002, Resolution No. 129--03.

We are looking forward to having a substantive conversation regarding this matter.

Best Regards,

Shirley Moore,
Bayview Hill Neighborhood Association
Candlestick Park Neighborhood Committee, Chair

**Bayview Hill Area Residents
Statement of Concerns
Regarding Candlestick Park Demolition**

1. Community Notification on Approach and Impact

Specific concerns:

- a. Outreach notification has been inadequate.
- b. The one meeting held to date was not representative of the residents who will be most impacted by the demolition.
- c. Notification regarding the change in approach from manual dismantling to implosion has not been disseminated widely.

Expectations:

- a. At a minimum, information should go to the greater community in the form of a mailing to each resident.
- b. The local community organizations and residents are not responsible for information dissemination. The Developer (Lennar) is responsible along with the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure.
- c. Strategy must include door-to-door flyers to reach renters.
- d. Information must be multilingual.
- e. Specific resident groups must be targeted including the Asian and Latino community.

2. Environmental and Residential Impact

Specific concerns:

- a. There has been no complete definitive environmental impact report (EIR) on imploding the stadium. The community is only aware of the existence of an EIR on manual dismantling.
- b. What are other viable mitigations and options that balance the time and cost differential that is represented between implosion and manual dismantling?

Expectations:

- a. That a full EIR study be done that includes implosion.
- b. The appropriate agencies and departments responsible for environmental impact be brought to the table during deliberations.

3. Short- and Long-term Health Impact

Specific concerns:

- a. ANY IMPLOSION will cause the air quality health hazard
- b. What will be the cumulative effects on residents already impacted by high toxicity rates?
- c. Well known wind currents will extend the impact of the dust on a population with an already high rate of respiratory illnesses.
- d. What about the immediate effects of the dust on the homes and businesses within surrounding areas?

Expectations:

- a. Air quality measuring at multiple locations around the stadium

4. Quality of Life Impact

Specific concerns:

- a. What about the unsightly effects of the dust (dirt covered windows, doors, etc.) on the homes and businesses within surrounding areas?
- b. How will displaced wildlife such as field mice, ants, etc. be handled so that such pests do not invade surrounding homes and businesses?
- c. Street closures are not authorized or noticed causing significant inconvenience to residents.
- d. Increase in noise levels during day.
- e. Heavy equipment will cause stress on our residential streets.

Expectations:

- a. Air quality measuring at multiple locations around the stadium.
- b. Truck routes must be defined and enforced

BUS 11, COB, Leg
File 140138 Dep
B+F
CPA 8.



SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

December 1, 2014

Supervisor Mark Farrell
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, #244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: SUPPORT: File #141038, Legacy Business Registry, Rebate Program, and Establishing Fees

Dear Supervisor Farrell,

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, representing over 1,500 local businesses, is pleased to support the Legacy Business Registry and Rebate Program ordinance sponsored by you and Supervisor Campos that is coming before the Budget and Finance Committee on December 3, 2014.

Many Chamber members are long-standing businesses that have contributed to the city's history, culture and unique urban fabric over many decades. We understand the challenges they face to keep their doors open, especially in neighborhood commercial districts, given the increasing costs of maintaining a business here. It is important to give these businesses and others like them assistance to stay firmly rooted in their communities and keep San Francisco's economy thriving.

The Legacy Business Registry and Rebate Program offers financial incentives to qualified business owners and/or their landlords to help keep long-standing establishments in place. The Chamber supports this effort to sustain and protect local businesses with long histories of serving San Francisco residents and visitors. We urge the members of the Budget and Finance Committee to send the ordinance to the full Board with recommendation for approval.

Sincerely,

Jim Lazarus
Senior Vice President of Public Policy

cc: Clerk of the Board, to be distributed to all Supervisors; Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Office of Small Business; Mike Buhler, SF Architectural Heritage



SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
Our City. Your Business.

Received Time Dec. 1, 2014 4:44PM No. 2629

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: <i>Angela Calvillo, Dept of the Board</i>	FROM: <i>Jim Lazaros</i>
COMPANY:	PHONE: <i>415.352.8810</i>
FAX NUMBER: <i>415.554.5163</i>	DATE: <i>12/1/14</i>
PHONE NUMBER:	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: <i>2</i>

RE: *Support: File #141038 Legacy Business Registry Rebate Program, and Establishing Fees*

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Please distribute to each member of the Board of Supervisors



BOS 11, COB, Leg
File 140138 Dep,
B+F
CPAG.



**SAN
FRANCISCO
CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE**

December 1, 2014

Supervisor Mark Farrell
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, #244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: SUPPORT: File #141038, Legacy Business Registry, Rebate Program, and Establishing Fees.

Dear Supervisor Farrell,

The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, representing over 1,500 local businesses, is pleased to support the Legacy Business Registry and Rebate Program ordinance sponsored by you and Supervisor Campos that is coming before the Budget and Finance Committee on December 3, 2014.

Many Chamber members are long-standing businesses that have contributed to the city's history, culture and unique urban fabric over many decades. We understand the challenges they face to keep their doors open, especially in neighborhood commercial districts, given the increasing costs of maintaining a business here. It is important to give these businesses and others like them assistance to stay firmly rooted in their communities and keep San Francisco's economy thriving.

The Legacy Business Registry and Rebate Program offers financial incentives to qualified business owners and/or their landlords to help keep long-standing establishments in place. The Chamber supports this effort to sustain and protect local businesses with long histories of serving San Francisco residents and visitors. We urge the members of the Budget and Finance Committee to send the ordinance to the full Board with recommendation for approval.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jim Lazarus'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Jim Lazarus
Senior Vice President of Public Policy

cc: Clerk of the Board, to be distributed to all Supervisors; Regina Dick-Endrizzi, Office of Small Business;
Mike Buhler, SF Architectural Heritage



SAN FRANCISCO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Our City. Your Business.

Received Time Dec. 1, 2014 4:44PM No. 2629

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Angela Calvillo; Clerk of the Board FROM: Jim Lazaros

COMPANY: _____ PHONE: 415.352.8810

FAX NUMBER: 415.554.5163 DATE: 12/1/14

PHONE NUMBER: _____ TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2

RE: Support: File #141038 Legacy Business Registry Rebate Program, and Establishing Fees

URGENT FOR REVIEW PLEASE COMMENT PLEASE REPLY PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Please distribute to each member of the Board of Supervisors



From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Clean Power SF

From: Tim James [<mailto:tim@bookbindersmuseum.org>]
Sent: Thursday, December 04, 2014 8:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:

Dear Supervisors,

I am outraged that Mayor Lee has used his political influence on the SFPUC to delay the launch of CleanPowerSF. It is crucial to worldwide efforts to reverse the climate crisis that San Francisco take a strong lead in local clean energy installation and green jobs as quickly as possible.

Please use your authority over CleanPowerSF, as granted to county boards and city councils by the State legislature, to begin the program immediately.

Also, please make sure that CleanPowerSF will run San Francisco on 50% locally generated clean electricity within the next decade, so that the program will deliver legitimate climate benefits and thousands of local jobs.

Thank You,

Tim James

Tim James
The American Bookbinders Museum
856 Folsom Street
San Francisco CA 94107

The San Francisco Municipal Security is failing to follow protocol when issuing citations to passengers whom have failed to pay their fair. Further, the SFMTA may be in violation of civil liberties.

Allow me to elaborate on this.

The SFMTA hired security guards for the purpose to identify patron whom are guilty of fare evasion

However, the SFMTA has unwittingly created an ecosystem whereby people are living off the system instead of paying into it.

The law must protect the Constitution, as muni fare inspectors are placing patrons in warrantless detention.

Citations issued to passengers for fare evasion issued by security guards of MUNI, should be invalidate and voided at once, and a moratorium to this practice should be set forth immediately.

MUNI passengers should be extended the privilege of paying their fare or getting off the bus if they are unable to pay.

The SFMTA must be made aware of the following:


- 1) Only a sword peace officer can sign off a ticket; Under California Penal Code Section 831-832
- 2) When a passenger is apprehended for a sex offense, his/ her identification should be taken prior to placement in detention.

Unfortunately, some muni security guards, as is the case with our society in general, are racists whom carry out their duties with a bias against a specific group of ethnicities.

This is not the 1900's, when blacks were mistreated and ordered to sit at the back of the bus; this is not Montgomery, Alabama

An exhaustive investigation should be performed focusing on search and seizure practices, and reports on file.

San Francisco city government cannot continue to gouge the pockets of the public for purpose of reaping undue and unjust benefits by placing passengers in detention for warrantless infractions.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 DEC -9 PM 2:06


Thanks for your attention
thevoice.fitch3@gmail.com

11/26/2014

San Francisco Department of Public Works
c/o:
The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

File 14128
CPay
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
DEC -1 PM 3:22
GIB

Dear Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and SF Department of Public Works

I am writing this letter to appeal the approval of the construction a new building at 3032, 3038 and 3040 Clement Street (Block 1402, Lot 022 and 023). I am writing on behalf of my family and my neighbors who oppose the building as designed for the following reasons.

- The building is too large for the neighborhood.
- The building is designed to the very limits of the lot with no open space at ground level. There are no buildings in the neighborhood other than a 100 year old Church that has no open space.
- The increased residential density will impact the parking and noise for the immediate neighbors. One parking space per unit is not enough in today's society. At present, we see most residents parking their vehicles on the street while using their on-site parking for storage. We see no reason to believe that the residents of this new building will behave differently.
- Placing residential units at the very back of the lot and at the upper levels will allow new residents to live very close to the bedrooms of the existing neighbors. The neighbors have serious concerns of noise and privacy.
- While some apartment buildings are already large and at four stories, the neighbors do not wish to increase the number of very large buildings. The existing large apartment buildings on the block are already causing noise and density problems. Additional density will only exacerbate the problem. The immediate neighbors to the proposed building are much smaller in scale at only 2 and 3 stories.
- The obvious prewritten letters of support in the original Draft motion for Case # 2012.0990CUEV do not represent the immediate neighbors who have to live and sleep by the new building.
- The vague plans for rooftop mechanical equipment will potentially have severe impact on the peace and quiet of immediate neighbors. Our bedrooms will be mere feet from the new building. Consideration is only given to the impact on a noisy street however no consideration is given to the quiet residential backside of the building.
- The building's excessive height and bulk will greatly diminish sunlight on the homes of the immediate neighbors. We expect the situation to become especially severe in the winter months when we need sunlight the most. Lowering the height of the proposed building will help alleviate this problem.
- Not all neighbors were notified of the various hearings on this project. Some neighbors complained of not receiving any notices of hearing or being given very short notice for any opportunity of appeal.

Thank you for your consideration in my appeal.



Karl Nakamura
371-31st Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121
415-752-7944