

1 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Ethics in the City: Promise, Practice or Pretense]

2

3 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings**  
4 **and recommendations contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled**  
5 **“Ethics in the City: Promise, Practice or Pretense;” and urging the Mayor to cause the**  
6 **implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her**  
7 **department heads and through the development of the annual budget.**

8

9 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of  
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior  
11 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

12 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or  
13 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a  
14 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head  
15 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the  
16 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over  
17 which it has some decision making authority; and

18 WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Ethics in the City:  
19 Promise, Practice or Pretense” is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.  
20 140941, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

21 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond  
22 to Finding Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 2, 3, 8, 9, 11, 16, 18, 20, 21a, 21b, 24a, 24b, 24c, 25a,  
23 25b, 27, and 29, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 11, 16, 18, 20a, 20b, 21, 24,  
24 25, 27, and 29 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

25

1           WHEREAS, Finding No. 1a states: “The Ethics Commission lacks resources to handle  
2 major enforcement cases. These include, for example, cases alleging misconduct, conflict of  
3 interest, violating campaign finance and lobbying laws, and violating post-employment  
4 restrictions;” and

5           WHEREAS, Finding No. 1b states: “The Ethics Commission has only two  
6 investigators;” and

7           WHEREAS, Finding No. 1c states: “The confidentiality required of Ethics Commission  
8 investigations runs counter to the Commission's other duties to make information more public  
9 and to increase the transparency of government;” and

10          WHEREAS, Finding No. 1d states: “The District Attorney, City Attorney and the Fair  
11 Political Practices Commission have more substantial investigative staffs;” and

12          WHEREAS, Finding No. 1e states: “The Fair Political Practices Commission has been  
13 very active in bringing enforcement actions, and handles enforcement for some local units of  
14 California government;” and

15          WHEREAS, Finding No. 1f states: “Enforcement is best handled outside of the  
16 environment of political partisanship and preferences;” and

17          WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: “In some instances, improper campaign contributions  
18 were returned to the contributor rather than forfeited to the City as required by City law. The  
19 Jury found no record of the Commission acting to waive or reduce the forfeiture;” and

20          WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: “A broader citizen’s right of action to enforce ethics  
21 laws will provide assurance to the public that the laws will be enforced;” and

22          WHEREAS, Finding No. 8 states: “The current definition of “lobbyist” and “contacts”  
23 does not provide the public with sufficient information to understand who and how City Hall  
24 decisions are influenced despite the intent of the law;” and

25

1           WHEREAS, Finding No. 9 states: “The effort to influence City Hall decisions is not  
2 limited to contacts with City officials but also includes outreach to community, political and  
3 nonprofit organizations as well as to the general public through television ads, mailers,  
4 robocalls, polling and other strategies. In 2010 the Ethics Commission proposal was approved  
5 by the Board to eliminate reporting on these expenditures;” and

6           WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: “The role of e-mail and text messages in  
7 governmental decision-making has not been fully discussed and explored. Rules on  
8 preservation of e-mails in public records are very hazy and some departmental officials told  
9 the Jury they routinely delete e-mail. Guidance from the City Attorney on preservation of e-  
10 mail is non-specific. There is no guidance regarding text messages. There is no policy that  
11 applies to private e-mails and text messages that further public decision-making;” and

12           WHEREAS, Finding No. 16 states: “City officials travel expenses can be covered by  
13 gifts made by individuals, lobbyists, business associations, corporations or any other source,  
14 including those with financial interests in matters to be decided by the official. The public  
15 disclosure is limited to a list of donors or donor organizations contributing \$500 or more, but  
16 without specifying the total amount of the gift. Additionally, a significant amount of travel  
17 expenses are paid through organizations that do not disclose the names of the original  
18 donors;” and

19           WHEREAS, Finding No. 18 states: “The Board of Supervisors is not subject to this  
20 calendar requirement. Many members did provide their calendars upon request, and the  
21 information in their calendars will be helpful for public understanding of their work;” and

22           WHEREAS, Finding No. 20 states: “Both the Ethics Commission and the Sunshine  
23 Ordinance Task Force act in good faith. They are authorized to come to similar ends –  
24 transparency in government. However, there are legal and procedural differences between  
25

1 their process and their legal requirements. Therefore, the results of their work are not in  
2 harmony with each other;” and

3 WHEREAS, Finding No. 21a states: “The policy-making powers of the Ethics  
4 Commission are vested in the Commission itself, not in the Executive Director (absent  
5 express delegation by the Commission);” and

6 WHEREAS, Finding No. 21b states: “The current structure where staff provides much  
7 of each Commission meeting’s content creates the impression that the Commission is not an  
8 independent policy-making body;” and

9 WHEREAS, Finding No. 24a states: “The Jury was unable to locate and the Ethics  
10 Commission was unable to provide copies of any reports or notes of oral presentations to the  
11 Mayor or to the Board of Supervisors as required in the Charter to report annually on the  
12 effectiveness of San Francisco’s ethics laws;” and

13 WHEREAS, Finding No. 24b states: “The Jury was unable to locate any reports that  
14 reviewed changes in laws aimed at transparency and ethical conduct adopted in other  
15 jurisdictions that might be relevant to San Francisco. The only references were to changes  
16 based on court decisions that resulted in less public disclosure and less protection against the  
17 influence of money in politics even when those decisions were not based on San Francisco  
18 cases;” and

19 WHEREAS, Finding No. 24c states: “The proper standard to judge the effectiveness of  
20 laws is to consider their ability to achieve the purposes set forth when they were enacted;”  
21 and

22 WHEREAS, Finding No. 25a states: “Periodic reviews of filed information are essential  
23 to ensure its validity;” and

24 WHEREAS, Finding No. 25b states: “The Ethics Commission has undertaken little to  
25 no monitoring and auditing of the content of Lobbyists, Campaign Consultants, Conflict of

1 Interest and Governmental Ethics filings beyond fines for late filing of statements; nor have  
2 they actively monitored whether former City employees abide by the restrictions on dealing  
3 with their former departments;” and

4 WHEREAS, Finding No. 27 states: “The Charter requires that proposals to amend  
5 campaign finance and ethics laws explain how the change will assist in furthering the purpose  
6 of the law. The Ethics Commission proposals have not included any statements showing that  
7 its proposals will further the purposes of the law;” and

8 WHEREAS, Finding No. 29 states: “The Findings and Declarations of Proposition J  
9 (2000) clearly articulate many public concerns with role of money in politics and should be re-  
10 adopted, perhaps adapted to be part of the general conflict of interest law - Chapter 2 of  
11 Article III of the C&GCC;” and

12 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1 states: “The Jury recommends a contract with the  
13 Fair Political Practices Commission for at least a two-year pilot basis to enforce both state and  
14 related San Francisco law violations;” and

15 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: “The Board of Supervisors should request  
16 an independent audit by the City Attorney to determine whether prohibited contributions were  
17 forfeited to the City as required by law;” and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: “The Jury recommends that the Ethics  
19 Commission and the Board of Supervisors act to enhance the Citizen’s Right of Action to  
20 enforce all of the City’s ethics laws, with an award of attorney fees and a share of any  
21 penalties going to the City for a successful filer, as was provided by Proposition J;” and

22 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 8 states: “The lobbyist ordinance should be  
23 reviewed and amended to provide clearer public disclosure of contacts with City officials  
24 regarding the interests of clients, and who should be required to register and make  
25 disclosures;” and

1           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: “The requirement for disclosure of all  
2 expenditures aimed at influencing City Hall decisions should be reinstated in the law with full  
3 public disclosure;” and

4           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11 states: “The Ethics Commission in conjunction  
5 with the City Attorney should develop a policy to ensure preservation of e-mails and text  
6 messages consistent with preservation of other public records. The policy, along with policies  
7 on preservation of public records, should be made available for public comment. Once it is  
8 completed and published it should be made available on City Attorney and Ethics Commission  
9 web pages that lists each Department, its policy, and how to obtain documents;” and

10          WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 16 states: “The Ethics Commission should require  
11 full disclosure of contributions or payments for official travel of City officials, including the  
12 actual amount contributed and the names of the original donors. The official should also  
13 disclose what official business was conducted, including meetings, who participated in the  
14 meetings, topics, speeches given, ceremonies attended and other information;” and

15          WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 18 states: “The Board of Supervisors should adopt  
16 a rule subjecting themselves to the public calendar requirement of the Sunshine Ordinance;”  
17 and

18          WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 20a states: “The Mayor's Office should establish a  
19 blue-ribbon committee of experts and stakeholders in open government, sunshine and  
20 transparency, including former Sunshine Task Force members. The Committee of Experts  
21 should review and update the Sunshine Ordinance as necessary and should report to both  
22 entities and the Board of Supervisors recommendations that would result in coordination and  
23 respect for the functions of each entity;” and

24          WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 20b states: “For now, arrangements should be  
25 made jointly by the Ethics Commission and the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force to have

1 complaints heard by an independent hearing officer who would develop a consistent legally  
2 sufficient record of the case for the decision of each body. This would allow the meetings of  
3 the Task Force and the Commission to focus on broader policy issues;” and

4 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 21 states: “The Board of Supervisors should  
5 provide the Commissioners an Executive Secretary separate from the existing Commission’s  
6 employee base who will, among other duties, prepare the Commission’s agendas, maintain  
7 minutes, lists of complaints, serve as a liaison for public input and interested persons  
8 meetings and assist a Commission member to be the parliamentarian;” and

9 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 24 states: “The Mayor and the Board of  
10 Supervisors should request an annual written report from the Ethics Commission that meets  
11 the standards set out in the Charter for annual reviews of the effectiveness of the City’s laws.  
12 This report should be posted on the Ethics Commission web site;” and

13 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 25 states: “The Ethics Commission should begin to  
14 focus Staff resources on monitoring and auditing other items within the Ethics Commission  
15 jurisdiction unrelated to campaigns such as the following ordinances: Conflict of Interest,  
16 Governmental Ethics, The Lobbyist Ordinance, Campaign Consultant Ordinance and the  
17 Sunshine Ordinance;” and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 27 states: “When a bill is proposed or passed to  
19 amend campaign finance and ethics laws, it should specify how it ‘furthers the purposes of  
20 this Chapter’;” and

21 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 29 states: “That the Ethics Commission hold a  
22 hearing on "Proposition J Revisited" to consider how some of its concepts apply today and  
23 whether the "public benefit" definition includes elements that should be incorporated into  
24 sections of the C&GCC, and specifically consider offering amendments to C&GCC which re-

25

1 incorporate its Findings and Declarations into current San Francisco law, and to consider  
2 placing these amendments on the ballot;” and

3 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of  
4 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior  
5 Court on Finding Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 2, 3, 8, 9, 11, 16, 18, 20, 21a, 21b, 24a, 24b, 24c,  
6 25a, 25b, 27, and 29, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 11, 16, 18, 20a, 20b, 21,  
7 24, 25, 27, and 29 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

8 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the  
9 Superior Court that \_\_\_\_\_ with Finding No. 1a for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
11 Finding No. 1b for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
13 Finding No. 1c for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
15 Finding No. 1d for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
17 Finding No. 1e for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
19 Finding No. 1f for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
21 Finding No. 2 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
23 Finding No. 3 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

24 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
25 Finding No. 8 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it



1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
2 Finding No. 9 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
4 Finding No. 11 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
6 Finding No. 16 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
8 Finding No. 18 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
10 Finding No. 20 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
12 Finding No. 21a for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
14 Finding No. 21b for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
16 Finding No. 24a for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
18 Finding No. 24b for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
20 Finding No. 24c for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
22 Finding No. 25a for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

23 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
24 Finding No. 25b for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

25

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
2 Finding No. 27 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
4 Finding No. 29 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
6 Recommendation No. 1 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
8 Recommendation No. 2 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
10 Recommendation No. 3 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
12 Recommendation No. 8 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
14 Recommendation No. 9 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
16 Recommendation No. 11 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
18 Recommendation No. 16 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
20 Recommendation No. 18 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

21 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
22 Recommendation No. 20a for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

23 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
24 Recommendation No. 20b for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

25

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
2 Recommendation No. 21 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
4 Recommendation No. 24 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
6 Recommendation No. 25 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
8 Recommendation No. 27 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that \_\_\_\_\_ with  
10 Recommendation No. 29 for reasons as follows: \_\_\_\_\_; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the  
12 implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department  
13 heads and through the development of the annual budget.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25