

File No. 120685

Committee Item No. 4

Board Item No. 7

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

#### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Economic Development Date July 16, 2012

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date 7/31/12

#### Cmte Board

- |                                     |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Resolution                                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance                                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Youth Commission Report                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | MOU  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Form 126 – Ethics Commission                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Public Correspondence                        |

#### OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- |                                       |                                       |   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <u>Planning Commission Resolution No. 18612</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <u>Planning Commission Resolution No. 18630</u> |
| * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <u>Transit Center District Plan</u>             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <u>Notice of Public Hearing</u>                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>              | <input type="checkbox"/>              | _____   |

Completed by: Alisa Miller Date July 13, 2012

Completed by: Alisa Miller Date July 19, 2012

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.  
The complete document can be found in the file.

1 [General Plan Amendment - Transit Center District Plan]

2  
3 **Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco General Plan by adding the Transit Center**  
4 **District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan and making various amendments to the**  
5 **Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Commerce and Industry Element, Recreation**  
6 **and Open Space Element, and Transportation Element as part of the establishment of**  
7 **the Transit Center District Plan; and 2) making environmental findings and findings of**  
8 **consistency with the General Plan as proposed for amendment and Planning Code**  
9 **Section 101.1.**

10 NOTE: Additions are *single-underline italics Times New Roman*;  
11 deletions are *strike-through italics Times New Roman*.  
12 Board amendment additions are double-underlined;  
13 Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

14 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

15 Section 1. Findings.

16 (a) California Environmental Quality Act Findings.

17 (1) The Planning Commission, in Motion No. 18628 certified the Final Environmental  
18 Impact Report for the Transit Center District Plan and related actions as in comply with the  
19 California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). A copy  
20 of said Motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120665 and is  
21 incorporated herein by reference.

22 (2) On May 24, 2012, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public  
23 hearing and, by Motion No. 18629, adopted findings pursuant to the California Environmental  
24 Quality Act for the Transit Center District Plan and related actions. A copy of Planning  
25 Commission Resolution No. 18629, including its attachment and mitigation monitoring and  
reporting program, is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120665 and

1 is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the Planning  
2 Commission's environmental findings as its own.

3 (b) General Plan Consistency and Other Findings.

4 (1) The Transit Center District Plan provides a policy framework focused on the  
5 downtown area surrounding the Transbay Transit Center. Given the significance of its  
6 policies and close relationship to the Downtown Plan, the Transit Center District Plan is  
7 proposed for inclusion as a new Sub-Area Plan of the Downtown Plan.

8 (2) Pursuant to San Francisco Planning Code Section 340, any proposed amendments  
9 to the General Plan shall first be initiated by the Planning Commission. On May 3, 2012, the  
10 Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider a Resolution of Intent to  
11 initiate General Plan Amendments concerning the Transit Center District Plan and adopted  
12 Resolution No. 18612 for that purpose. A copy of Planning Commission Resolution No.  
13 18612 is on-file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120685.

14 (3) Pursuant to San Francisco Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340,  
15 any amendments to the General Plan shall first be considered by the Planning Commission  
16 and thereafter recommended for approval or rejection to the Board of Supervisors. On May  
17 24, 2012, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the General Plan  
18 Amendments, and by Resolution No. 18630 adopted the General Plan Amendments and  
19 recommended them for approval to the Board of Supervisors. Said Motion also included  
20 findings of conformity with the Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code,  
21 consistency findings with the General Plan as it is proposed for amendment, and, pursuant to  
22 Section 340 of the Planning Code, findings that this Ordinance will serve the public necessity,  
23 convenience, and welfare. A copy of Planning Commission Resolution No. 18630 is on file  
24 with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120685 and incorporated herein by  
25 reference.

1 (4) The Board of Supervisors finds that this Ordinance is in conformity with the Priority  
2 Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code and, on balance, consistent with the General  
3 Plan as it is proposed for amendment herein, and hereby adopts the findings set forth in  
4 Planning Commission Resolution No. 18630 as its own and incorporates such findings by  
5 reference as if fully set forth herein.

6 Section 2. The San Francisco General Plan is hereby amended by adding the Transit  
7 Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan. A copy of the subject Sub-Area Plan is  
8 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120685 and is incorporated herein  
9 by reference.

10 Section 3. The introduction; Policies 1.1, 6.2, 8.2, and 13.5; and various maps, figures,  
11 and tables of the Downtown Plan of the San Francisco General Plan are hereby amended as  
12 follows:

13 (a) The introduction to the Downtown Plan is amended as follows:

14 **Downtown Plan**

15 This is the area plan for Downtown San Francisco. It contains objectives and policies to  
16 guide decisions affecting the downtown area. It also contains some of the background to the  
17 objectives and policies and some of the key actions to implement them; they are described  
18 more extensively in the separate publication of the Plan.

19 The Downtown Plan grows out of an awareness of the public concern in recent years  
20 over the degree of change occurring downtown — and of the often conflicting civic objectives  
21 between fostering a vital economy and retaining the urban patterns and structures which  
22 collectively for the physical essence of San Francisco.

23 The Plan foresees a downtown known the world over as a center of ideas, services and  
24 trade and as a place for stimulating experiences. In essence, downtown San Francisco should  
25

1 encompass a compact mix of activities, historical values, and distinctive architecture and  
2 urban forms that engender a special excitement reflective of a world city.

3 The Downtown Plan contains a Sub-Area plan for the area located around the Transbay Transit  
4 Center. The Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan builds on the Downtown Plan to envision this area  
5 as the heart of a growing downtown. The Sub-Area Plan seeks to enhance the Downtown Plan's  
6 precepts, to build on its established patterns of land use, urban form, public space, and circulation.

7 (b) The supporting text under Policy 1.1 background discussion on office space is  
8 amended as follows:

9 A wide variety of business activities are conducted in downtown office space.  
10 Corporate headquarters, financial institutions, insurance companies, major utilities, business  
11 and professional services occupy more than 42 million square feet in the primary office (C-3-  
12 O) district. Wherever the Downtown Plan discusses the C-3-O district, this reference also includes the  
13 C-3-O (SD) district, except as more specifically described in the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan  
14 or otherwise stated. Over 220,000 office workers are employed in a wide range of managerial,  
15 professional, clerical, and less skilled occupations serving international, national, regional and  
16 local markets. These activities include executive, administrative and information processing  
17 functions. Rental rates for space in this district are among the highest in the region, reflecting  
18 the desirability of this location.

19 (c) The following reference in the supporting text under Policy 6.1 is amended as  
20 follows:

21 These clusters should be reinforced, each maintaining its predominant activity without  
22 losing the essential urban qualities that a mix of uses provides. Major office towers can be  
23 constructed on sites remaining in the financial core north and south of Market and in an  
24 expanded area south of Market centered on the Transbay ~~Bus Terminal~~ Transit Center (see the  
25 Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan). Concentrating office towers in these locations protects

1 the fine scale and rich mix of uses in Chinatown, Jackson Square, Kearny Street, Union  
2 Square, Mid-Market, North of Market-Tenderloin, and the hotel-entertainment area near  
3 Mason Street.

4 (d) The Key Implementing Actions under Policy 6.1, are amended to add the following:

5 **DOWNTOWN OFFICE SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

6 **(C-3-O SD DISTRICT)**

7 Maintain base FAR and eliminate maximum FAR limit. See Transit Center District Sub-Area  
8 Plan for other specific controls that differ from C-3-O.

9 (e) Under Policy 8.2, the discussion of Open Space is amended as follows:

10 A new public open space will also be added as part of the Transbay Redevelopment,  
11 between Main, Beale, Howard and Folsom Streets. This will help remedy an open space  
12 deficiency located approximately midway between Yerba Buena Gardens and Rincon Point  
13 Park. The Transbay Redevelopment Plan will further seek a public open space south of the  
14 Transbay ~~Terminal~~ Transit Center in approximately the area bounded by Second, Mission,  
15 First, and Howard Streets to fill a deficient area that would still remain. For further description  
16 of open space proposals near the Transbay Transit Center, see the Transit Center District Sub-Area  
17 Plan.

18 (f) A new policy is proposed in the Urban Form section of the Downtown Plan to  
19 incorporate the height and skyline policy framework of the Transit Center District Sub-Area  
20 Plan. This policy and supporting text is added as follows:

21 **Policy 13.5**

22 Create an elegant downtown skyline by crafting a distinct downtown hill form with the city's  
23 tallest building – the Transbay Transit Tower - rising as its “crown.”

24 As the geographic epicenter of downtown and the front door of the Transbay Transit Center, the  
25 Transit Tower should be the tallest building on the city's skyline. The Tower represents the City's

1 commitment to focusing growth around a sustainable transportation hub, as well as the apex of the  
2 downtown skyline. See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan for further discussion.

3 (g) The following maps, figures, and table are amended as described below:

4 MAP 1, "Downtown Land Use and Density Plan"

5 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Plan area with a line that  
6 leads to a reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

7 - Amend Density\* note to read: Unused FAR may be transferred from  
8 preservation sites to development sites up to a maximum FAR of 18:1 in the C-3-O ~~and C-S-O~~  
9 ~~(SD)~~ districts and up to one and half times the basic FAR in the C-3-R, C-3-G and C-3-S districts. See  
10 Preservation of the Past Chapter. FAR may be transferred in the C-3-O (SD) district to exceed the base  
11 FAR up to 9:1. Transfer of preservation sites is not required above 9:1. There is no maximum FAR in  
12 the C-3-O(SD) district.

13 MAP 3, "Major Open Spaces"

14 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Plan area and a reference  
15 that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

16 MAP 4, "Downtown Conservation Districts"

17 - Rename New Montgomery-Second Conservation District to "New Montgomery-  
18 Mission-Second Street Conservation District."

19 - Include revised boundary of the New Montgomery-Mission-Second Street  
20 Conservation District.

21 - Add the following reference: "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan for  
22 revised boundary of New Montgomery-Mission-Second Street Conservation District."

23 MAP 5, "Proposed Height and Bulk Districts"

24 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Plan area and a reference  
25 that states: "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

1 MAP 7, "Proposed Pedestrian Network: Downtown District"

2 - Add the following note to the map: "Designate a portion of Natoma Street near  
3 2<sup>nd</sup> Street as an exclusive pedestrian walkway per the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

4 FIGURE 2, "Bulk Limits"

5 - Add following language: "See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan for  
6 buildings taller than indicated on this chart."

7 FIGURE 3, "Bulk Control, Upper Tower Volume Reduction"

8 - Add following language: "See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan for  
9 buildings taller than indicated on this chart."

10 FIGURE 4, "Separation Between Towers"

11 - Add following language: "See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan for  
12 buildings taller than indicated on this chart."

13 FIGURE 6, "Proposed downtown Pedestrian Network Improvements"

14 - Under "Specific Streetscape Plans," amend the table as follows:

- 15
- 16 ■ ~~Beale: Second Level Street improvements.~~ See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- 17 ■ ~~First: Transit stop improvements.~~ See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- 18 ■ ~~Fremont: Transit stop improvements; pedestrian safety signage.~~ See Transit Center District  
19 Sub-Area Plan.
- 20 ■ ~~Mission: Special Level Street improvements; sidewalk widening; corner bus bulbs;~~  
21 ~~distinctive paving; transit stop improvements; pedestrian-oriented lighting; pedestrian~~  
22 ~~signage.~~ See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- 23 ■ ~~New Montgomery: Second Level Street improvements; sidewalk sitting areas and tree~~  
24 ~~clusters; historical/informational signage.~~ See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- 25 ■ ~~Second: Second Level Street improvements; sidewalk sitting areas and tree clusters;~~  
~~historical/informational signage.~~ See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- ~~Spears: See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.~~
- ~~Main: See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.~~
- ~~Howard: See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.~~
- ~~Folsom: See Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.~~

- Under "Specific Alleyway Designs," add the following alleys:



- Shaw: Pedestrian-only walkthrough alley; see Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.
- Natoma: Destination alley improvements; see Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.

TABLE 1, "Guidelines for Open Space"

Amend table as shown below to include "Connections to Transbay Transit Center Rooftop Park."

Table 1 – Guidelines for Open Space

	<u>Connections to Transbay Transit Center Rooftop Park</u>
Description	<u>Publicly accessible horizontal connections (i.e. pedestrian bridge) from adjacent buildings and vertical connections from street level directly to the rooftop park on the Transbay Transit Center.</u>
Size	<u>Horizontal connections shall have a minimum clear walking path of 12 feet.</u>
Location	<u>Buildings surrounding Transbay Transit Center; and on ground level.</u>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Access	<u>Public access. Vertical connections shall be clearly and prominently signed from a public sidewalk or public space.</u>
Seating*, Tables, etc.	-----
Landscaping, Design	-----
Commercial Services, Food	-----
Sunlight and Wind	-----
Public Availability	<u>Any time the Transit Center Rooftop Park is open to the public.</u>
Other	<u>Requires approval of the Transbay Joint Powers Authority.</u>

1 Section 4. The Urban Design, Commerce and Industry, Recreation and Open Space,  
2 Transportation and Land Use Elements of the General Plan are amended as follows:

3 (a) Urban Design Element Amendments.

4 (1) Additional text is proposed for the Urban Design Element to expand the  
5 discussions of downtown building heights. The following supporting text under Policy 3.5 is  
6 amended as follows:

7 In areas of growth where tall buildings are considered through comprehensive planning  
8 efforts, such tall buildings should be grouped and sculpted to form discrete skyline forms that  
9 do not muddle the clarity and identity of the city's characteristic hills and skyline. Where  
10 multiple tall buildings are contemplated in areas of flat topography near other strong skyline  
11 forms, such as on the southern edge of the downtown "mound," they should be adequately  
12 spaced and slender to ensure that they are set apart from the overall physical form of the  
13 downtown and allow some views of the city, hills, the Bay Bridge, and other elements to  
14 permeate through the district.

15 The city's downtown skyline should be crafted to resemble a distinct and elegant hill form with  
16 the tallest and most prominent building rising as its "crown." As the geographic epicenter of  
17 downtown, as well as the front door of the Transbay Transit Center, the "Transit Tower" should be the  
18 tallest building in the city's skyline. The Transit Tower represents the City's commitment to focusing  
19 growth around a sustainable transportation hub, as well as the apex of the downtown skyline. The  
20 Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan contains specific details related to urban form and design for  
21 this area.

22 (2) Add the following supporting text under Policy 2.9:

23 b. Release of a street area may be considered favorably when it would not  
24 violate any of the above criteria and when it would be:  
25

1 3. Necessary for a significant public or semi-public use, or public assembly use,  
2 where the nature of the use and the character of the development proposed present strong  
3 justifications for occupying the street area or air space, such as a public pedestrian connection  
4 from the Transbay Transit Center rooftop park to adjacent buildings, rather than some other site;

5 (3) Amend Maps 4 and 5 as follows:

6 Map 4, "Urban Design Guidelines for Height of Buildings"

7 - In legend, amend text to read: "2. See Downtown Plan including Transit Center  
8 District Sub-Area Plan."

9 Map 5, "Urban Design Guidelines for bulk of Buildings"

10 - In legend, amend text to read: "2. See Downtown Plan including Transit Center  
11 District Sub-Area Plan."

12 (b) Commerce and Industry Element Amendments.

13 (1) Amend Maps 1 and 2 as follows:

14 Map 1, "Generalized Commercial and Industrial Land Use Plan"

15 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area and a  
16 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

17 Map 2, "Generalized Commercial and Industrial Density Plan"

18 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area AND a  
19 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

20 (c) Recreation and Open Space Element Amendments.

21 (1) Amend Maps 1, 2, and 4 as follows:

22 Map 1, "Public Ownership of Existing Open Space"

23 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area and a  
24 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

25 Map 2, "Public Open Space Service Areas"

1 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area and a  
2 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

3 Map 4, "Citywide Recreation and Open Space Plan".

4 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area and a  
5 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."

6 (d) Transportation Element Amendments.

7 (1) Amend Map 13 as follows:

8 Map 13, "Recommended Near-Term and Long-Term Improvements to the Bicycle  
9 Route Network"

10 - Add a boundary around the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan area and a  
11 reference that states "See the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan."


12 (e) Land Use Index Amendments. Update the General Plan's Land Use Index to  
13 incorporate the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan.

14 Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the  
15 date of passage.

16 Section 6. This section is uncodified. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to  
17 amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers,  
18 punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the General Plan that are  
19 explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and  
20 Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title  
21 of the legislation.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:   
John D. Malamut  
Deputy City Attorney

## LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[General Plan Amendment - Transit Center District Plan]

**Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco General Plan by adding the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan and making various amendments to the Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Commerce and Industry Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, and Transportation Element as part of the establishment of the Transit Center District Plan; and 2) making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan as proposed for amendment and Planning Code Section 101.1.**

### Existing Law

The City's General Plan is the blueprint for planning within the City and the Planning Code. It includes various Elements, such as Urban Design, Commerce and Industry, Recreation and Open Space, and Transportation. It also includes a Land Use Index. Within each Element there are objectives and policies. The General Plan also includes neighborhood plans for specific areas of the City, such as the Downtown Plan, and sub-area plans within those neighborhood plans.

### Amendments to Current Law

The Ordinance would adopt the Transit Center District Plan, a sub-area plan with the Downtown Plan, and establish various objectives and policies for this area. The Plan is centered on the new Transbay Transit Center, and is bounded generally by Market, Steuart, and Folsom Streets, and a line east of Third Street. The legislation would make conforming changes to the General Plan and the Downtown Plan to implement this sub-area plan. The proposed changes would include amendments to the General Plan's Urban Design Element, Commerce and Industry Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, Transportation Element, and the Land Use Index. This Ordinance would make environmental findings and findings of consistency with General Plan, as proposed for amendment, and the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1

### Background Information

This Ordinance is part of companion legislation concerning the Transit Center District Plan, a sub-area plan of the Downtown Plan which is neighborhood area plan in the City's General Plan.



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

June 8, 2012

Angela Calvillo, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Transmittal of the Transit Center District Plan  
Planning Case No. 2007.0558EMTZU

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

I am pleased to transmit the Planning Commission's recommendation for adoption of the Transit Center District Plan (Case 2007.0558EMTZU) to the Board of Supervisors. Please find here a description of the approval actions and supporting documentation for the Board's consideration.

This landmark Plan is of citywide, regional and statewide importance. The result of a multi-year public and cooperative interagency planning process that began in 2007, the Transit Center District Plan is a comprehensive vision for shaping growth on the southern side of Downtown to respond to and support the construction of the new Transbay Transit Center project, including the Downtown Rail Extension. In addition to laying out policy recommendations to accommodate additional transit-oriented growth, sculpt the downtown skyline, improve streets and open spaces, and expand protection of historic resources, the Plan would result in the potential to generate over \$575 million for public infrastructure, particularly the Downtown Rail Extension project.

The following items are included in this package and were approved by the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions:

**1. Environmental Review CEQA Findings and Mitigation Measures**

The Environmental Review findings identify significant unavoidable environmental impacts, compare Project alternatives, describe mitigation measures, and make a Statement of Overriding Considerations recognizing the Project's unique benefits.

**2. General Plan Amendments Ordinance**

Amendments to the General Plan include the addition of the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan and updates to various General Plan Elements to include text and map references to the Area Plan.

**3. Planning Code Amendments Ordinance**

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377



Proposed Planning Code amendments would revise controls including but not limited to those for land use, height and bulk, density, open space, parking, and impact fees; and make related amendments to the Planning Code necessary to implement the Transit Center District Plan. Code sections to be amended include Sections 102.5, 102.9, 102.11, 123, 132.1, 136, 138, 151.1, 152.1, 155, 155.4, 156, 163, 201, 210.3, 215-226, 248, 260, 270, 272, 303, 309, 412.1, 427, 1103.1, and Appendices A, C, D and F of Article 11, and the addition of several new sections to Article 4.

#### 4. Zoning Map Amendments Ordinance

The Zoning Map ordinance includes amendments to Sheets ZN01, HT01, SU01, and PD01 affecting all or part of Assessor's Blocks 3706-3722 and 3735-3741. The amendments to ZN01 rezone all parcels in the Plan area to C-3-O(SD) (or Public as appropriate). The amendments to HT01 revised height limits and bulk districts for certain parcels throughout the Plan area. The amendment to SU01 maps the new Transit Center C-3-O(SD) Commercial Special Use District. The amendment to PD01 expands the boundaries of the re-named New Montgomery-Mission-Second Conservation District.

#### 5. Administrative Code Amendments Ordinance


These include amendments to Chapters 10E and 36 to incorporate the Transit Center District Plan into the monitoring and interagency framework regarding implementation of adopted area plans.

#### 6. Implementation Plan

The Document provides an inventory of public improvements and a recommended funding program to implement these improvements. This document would guide the Board of Supervisors and Interagency Plan Implementation Committee in expending Plan-related revenues.

The Planning Commission approved all of these items on May 24, 2012 and recommends Board approval of the ordinances necessary to implement the Transit Center District Plan. On June 6, 2012 the Historic Preservation Commission also considered elements of the Plan related to historic preservation, including the draft amendments Planning Code Article 11 and the Zoning Maps contained in the ordinances, and recommended their approval by the Board. If you have further questions, please contact Joshua Switzky, the Plan Manager, at (415) 575-6815. We look forward to the Board's consideration of these items and to the implementation of this vital plan for the City's future.

Sincerely,



John Rahaim  
Director of Planning

CC: Honorable Mayor Ed Lee  
Honorable Supervisor Jane Kim



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Transit Center District Plan Transmittal Packet to Board of Supervisors

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

## Table of Contents

Case No.: 2007.0558EMTZU  
*Transit Center District Plan Adoption*  
Staff Contact: Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815  
*joshua.switzky@sfgov.org*

### I. Executive Summary Materials

I-1 Executive Summary Memo

### II. CEQA Findings – E Case

II-1 CEQA Findings Case Report

II-2 CEQA Findings Planning Commission Motion No. 18629

II-3 CEQA Findings

II-4 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

### III. General Plan Amendments – M Case

III-1 General Plan Amendments Case Report

III-2 General Plan Amendments Planning Commission Resolution No. 18630

III-3 General Plan Amendments Draft Ordinance

III-4 Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan

### IV. Planning Code Amendments – T Case

IV-1 Planning Code Amendments Case Report

IV-2 Planning Code Amendments Planning Commission Resolution No. 18631

IV-3 Planning Code Amendments Draft Ordinance

### V. Zoning Map Amendments – Z Case

V-1 Zoning Map Amendments Case Report

V-2 Zoning Map Amendments Planning Commission Resolution No. 18633

V-3 Zoning Map Amendments Draft Ordinance

## **VI. Administrative Code Amendments – U Case**

VI-1 Administrative Code Amendments Case Report

VI-2 Administrative Code Amendments Planning Commission Resolution No. 18634

VI-3 Administrative Code Amendments Draft Ordinance

## **VII. Implementation Program – U Case**

VII-1 Program Implementation Document Case Report

VII-2 Program Implementation Document Planning Commission Resolution No. 18635

VII-3 Transit Center District Plan Program Implementation Document

## **VIII. Historic Preservation Commission Actions**

VIII-1 HPC Resolution No. 679 (Review and Comment on Transit Center District Plan Adoption and Related Ordinances)

VIII-2 HPC Resolution No. 680 (Amend Article 11 of the Planning Code and the Zoning Maps to Rename and Expand the New Montgomery-Second Street Conservation District)

VIII-3 HPC Resolution No. 681 (Amend Appendices A, C, and D of Article 11 of the Planning Code to Change, Add and Remove Designations for Certain Properties)



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Transit Center District Plan Adoption Packet Executive Summary

HEARING DATE: MAY 24, 2012

*Case No.:* 2007.0558EMTZU  
*Transit Center District Plan Adoption*  
*Staff Contact:* Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815  
*joshua.switzky@sfgov.org*

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

### SUMMARY

The San Francisco Planning Department is seeking to adopt and implement the Transit Center District Plan ("the Plan"). The result of a multi-year public and cooperative interagency planning process that began in 2007, the Plan is a comprehensive vision for shaping growth on the southern side of Downtown to respond to and support the construction of the new Transbay Transit Center project, including the Downtown Rail Extension. In addition to laying out policy recommendations to accommodate additional transit-oriented growth, sculpt the downtown skyline, improve streets and open spaces, and expand protection of historic resources, the Plan would result in the potential to generate over \$575 million for public infrastructure, particularly the Downtown Rail Extension project.

Adoption of the Plan will consist of numerous actions. These include:

1. Adoption of CEQA Findings, including a Statement of Overriding Considerations
2. General Plan Amendments
3. Planning Code Amendments
4. Zoning Map Amendments
5. Administrative Code Amendments
6. Approval of a Program Implementation Document

Together with actions related to certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report, these actions will constitute the Commission's approval of the Transit Center District Plan and its implementing mechanisms. A detailed staff report and supporting materials for each of these items is included separately for the Commission.

On May 3, 2012 the Planning Commission passed resolutions to Initiate the Amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, and Zoning Maps and instructed Planning staff to provide public notice for a public hearing on the proposed amendments on or after May 24, 2012. Proper notification was provided according to the requirements of the Planning Code, including a newspaper advertisement 20 days prior to the hearing and mailed notice to all property owners within the Plan Area and within 300 feet of the Plan Area 10 days prior to the hearing.

## PRELIMINARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends adoption of the draft Resolutions for all items related to adoption of the Transit Center District Plan.

## PLAN BACKGROUND

In 1985 the City adopted the Downtown Plan into the General Plan to guide growth in the Downtown area. Recognizing the potential for transit-oriented growth in the vicinity of the Transbay Terminal south of Market Street, the Downtown Plan called for concentrating the City's greatest densities and building heights in this area, as well as creating a system to transfer development rights from other parts of the downtown to this area.

Since the adoption of the Downtown Plan several major infrastructure changes have happened or are being undertaken. The Embarcadero Freeway was removed following the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake, allowing for the renovation of the waterfront and rethinking of the southern side of the downtown. The City and region have embarked on a multi-billion dollar investment in improving and expanding transit infrastructure in the area through construction of a new Transbay Transit Center on the site of the former Transbay Terminal and an extension of intra-city rail from the current terminus near Mission Bay northward into the Transit Center. This is the single largest investment in public transit in San Francisco since the construction of BART and the Market Street Muni subway in the early 1970s. In 2005 the City adopted the Transbay Redevelopment Plan to direct funding toward the Transit Center project and direct the redevelopment of underutilized publicly-owned lands, primarily those that formerly housed the Embarcadero Freeway, into a new high-density residential neighborhood. Together with the Rincon Hill Plan, also adopted in 2005, this new urban neighborhood will become home to over 10,000 people.

In 2006 a Mayor's Interagency Working Group published a report calling for the City to undertake further land use studies around the Transit Center to investigate whether building densities and heights could be increased further in recognition of the transit investment and whether such growth could be leveraged to generate substantial new revenues to help fund the full Transit Center project, including the Downtown Rail Extension.

In 2007 the Planning Department initiated a public planning effort called the Transit Center District Plan, focused on the area roughly bounded by Market Street, Embarcadero, Folsom Street, and Hawthorne Street, whose five fundamental goals were to:

- (1) Build on the General Plan's Urban Design Element and Downtown Plan, establishing controls, guidelines and standards to advance existing policies of livability, as well as those that protect the unique quality of place;
- (2) Capitalize on major transit investment with appropriate land use in the downtown core, with an eye toward long-term growth considerations;

- (3) Create a framework for a network of public streets and open spaces that support the transit system, and provides a wide variety of public amenities and a world-class pedestrian experience;
- (4) Generate financial support for the Transit Center project, district infrastructure, and other public improvements; and
- (5) Ensure that the Transit Center District is an example of comprehensive environmental sustainability in all regards.

The Planning Department held numerous public workshops and worked with consultants throughout 2008 and 2009, resulting in the publication of a Draft Transit Center District Plan in November 2009. In April 2012 the Planning Department published a Plan Addendum revising and clarifying aspects of the Draft Plan.


The Transit Center District Plan ("the Plan") supports and builds on the Downtown Plan's vision for the area around the Transbay Transit Center as the heart of the new downtown. The Plan enhances and augments the Downtown Plan's patterns of land use, urban form, public space, circulation, and historic preservation, and makes adjustments to this specific sub-area based on today's understanding of the issues and constraints facing the area, particularly in light of the Transit Center project. The Plan's core recommendations include:

- Increasing allowable density and strategically increasing height limits in the Plan area to augment the transit-oriented growth capacity of the area while recognizing the importance of these buildings with respect to city form and their physical influence on both immediate and neighboring districts;
- Ensuring that major development sites incorporate commercial space in order to preserve the job growth capacity for the downtown;
- Enhancing the public realm and circulation system to accommodate growth and provide a world-class pedestrian experience, including widening sidewalks, providing dedicated transit lanes, augmenting the bicycle network, adding signalized mid-block crosswalks, and converting certain alleys into pedestrian plazas;
- Identifying and funding opportunities for new public open space and improved access to planned spaces, including at 2nd/Howard, Transbay Park, Mission Square, and City Park on the roof of the Transit Center, as well as providing additional funding for park improvements in the downtown outside of the Plan area;
- Enlarging the New Montgomery-2nd Street Conservation District and updating individual resource ratings based on a newly-adopted survey;
- Identifying opportunities to explore advanced district-level energy and water utility systems to improve environmental performance beyond individual buildings; and

- Adopting a funding program including two new key revenue mechanisms – impact fees and a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District – to ensure that new development contributes substantially toward the implementation of necessary public infrastructure, including the Transit Center/Downtown Extension project. Between the two mechanisms, the Plan would create the potential for over \$590 million of new revenue for key public improvements, notably over \$400 million for the Transit Center and Downtown Rail Extension.

### PLAN AREA

The Transit Center District Plan Area consists of approximately 145 acres centered on the Transbay Transit Center, situated between the Northern Financial District, Rincon Hill, Yerba Buena Center and the Bay. The boundaries of the District are roughly Market Street on the north, Embarcadero on the east, Folsom Street on the south, and Hawthorne Street to the west. While these boundaries overlap with those of the Transbay Redevelopment Project Area, this Plan will not affect the adopted land use or development controls for Zone 1 of the Redevelopment Area and is consistent with the overall goals of the Transbay Redevelopment Plan.



### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report on September 28, 2011. The Planning Commission will consider certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report on the Transit Center District Plan and adoption of CEQA Findings prior to consideration of this item at the hearing on May 24, 2012.



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Planning Commission Resolution No. 18612 HEARING DATE MAY 3, 2012

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

*Date:* May 3, 2012  
*Case No.:* 2007.0558MTZU  
*Transit Center District Plan –  
General Plan Amendments*  
*Staff Contact:* Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815  
joshua.switzky@sfgov.org

### ADOPTING A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO INITIATE AMENDMENTS TO THE SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL PLAN TO INCORPORATE AMENDMENTS PURSUANT TO THE ADOPTION OF THE TRANSIT CENTER DISTRICT PLAN

WHEREAS, Section 4.105 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco mandates that the Planning Commission shall periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval or rejection of proposed amendments to the General Plan in response to changing physical, social, economic, environmental or legislative conditions.

In 1985 the City adopted the Downtown Plan into the General Plan to guide growth in the Downtown area. Recognizing the potential for transit-oriented growth in the vicinity of the Transbay Terminal south of Market Street, the Downtown Plan called for concentrating the City's greatest densities and building heights in this area, as well as creating a system to transfer development rights from other parts of the downtown to this area.

Since the adoption of the Downtown Plan several major infrastructure changes have happened or are being undertaken. The Embarcadero Freeway was removed following the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake, allowing for the renovation of the waterfront and rethinking of the southern side of the downtown. The City and region have embarked on a multi-billion dollar investment in improving and expanding transit infrastructure, further enhancing the transit accessibility of the area, through construction of a new Transbay Transit Center on the site of the former Transbay Terminal and an extension of intra-city rail from the current terminus at 4<sup>th</sup> & King into the Transit Center. This is the single largest investment in public transit in San Francisco since the construction of BART in the early 1970s. In 2005 the City adopted the Transbay Redevelopment Plan to direct funding toward the Transit Center project and direct the redevelopment of underutilized publicly-owned lands, primarily those that formerly housed the Embarcadero Freeway, into a new high-density residential neighborhood.

In 2006 a Mayor's Interagency Working Group published a report calling for the City to investigate further land use studies around the Transit Center as to whether building densities and heights could be increased further in recognition of the transit investment and as to whether such growth could be



leveraged to generate substantial new revenues to help fund the full Transit Center project, including the Downtown Rail Extension.

In 2007 the Planning Department initiated a public planning effort called the Transit Center District Plan, focused on the area roughly bounded by Market Street, Embarcadero, Folsom Street, and Hawthorne Street, whose five fundamental goals were to:

- (1) Build on the General Plan's Urban Design Element and Downtown Plan, establishing controls, guidelines and standards to advance existing policies of livability, as well as those that protect the unique quality of place;
- (2) Capitalize on major transit investment with appropriate land use in the downtown core, with an eye toward long-term growth considerations;
- (3) Create a framework for a network of public streets and open spaces that support the transit system, and provides a wide variety of public amenities and a world-class pedestrian experience;
- (4) Generate financial support for the Transit Center project, district infrastructure, and other public improvements; and
- (5) Ensure that the Transit Center District is an example of comprehensive environmental sustainability in all regards.

The Planning Department held numerous public workshops and worked with consultants throughout 2008 and 2009, resulting in the publication of a Draft Transit Center District Plan in November 2009. In April 2012 the Planning Department published a Plan Addendum revising and clarifying aspects of the Draft Plan.

The Transit Center District Plan ("the Plan") supports and builds on the Downtown Plan's vision for the area around the Transbay Transit Center as the heart of the new downtown. The Plan enhances and augments the Downtown Plan's patterns of land use, urban form, public space, circulation, and historic preservation, and makes adjustments to this specific sub-area based on today's understanding of the issues and constraints facing the area, particularly in light of the Transit Center project. The Plan's core recommendations include:

- Increasing allowable density and strategic increases to height limits in the Plan area to increase the transit-oriented growth capacity of the area while recognizing the importance of these buildings with respect to city form and impacts to the immediate and neighboring districts;
- Ensuring that major development sites incorporate commercial space in order to preserve the job growth capacity for the downtown;
- Enhancing the public realm and circulation system to accommodate growth and provide a world-class pedestrian experience, including widening sidewalks, providing dedicated transit lanes, augmenting the bicycle network, adding signalized mid-block crosswalks, and converting certain alleys into pedestrian plazas;
- Identifying and funding opportunities for new public open space and improved access to planned spaces, including at 2<sup>nd</sup>/Howard, Transbay Park, Mission Square and City Park on the

roof of the Transit Center, as well as providing additional funding for park improvements in the downtown outside of the Plan area;

- Enlarging the New Montgomery-2<sup>nd</sup> Street Conservation District and updating individual resource ratings based on a newly-adopted survey;
- Identifying opportunities to explore advanced district-level energy and water utility systems to improve environmental performance beyond individual buildings; and
- Adopting a funding program including two new key revenue mechanisms – impact fees and a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District – to ensure that new development contributes substantially toward the implementation of necessary public infrastructure, including the Transit Center/Downtown Extension project.

The San Francisco Planning Department is seeking to adopt and implement the Transit Center District Plan. The core policies and supporting discussion in the Plan have been incorporated into a Sub-Area Plan proposed to be added to the Downtown Plan. The Sub-Area Plan, together with the General Plan, Planning Code, Zoning Map Amendments, and Implementation Document provide a comprehensive set of policies and implementation programming to realize the vision of the Plan. The Implementation Document outlines public improvements, funding mechanisms and interagency coordination the City must pursue to implement the Plan.

Policies envisioned for the Sub-Area Plan are consistent with the existing General Plan. However, a number of amendments to the General Plan are required to further achieve and clarify the vision and goals of the Transit Center District Plan, to reflect its concepts throughout the General Plan, and generally to update the General Plan to changed physical, social and economic conditions in this area. Proposed amendments to the General Plan, including the Sub-Area Plan, are attached hereto as Exhibits II-3 and 4. The City Attorney's Office has reviewed the draft ordinance and approved it as to form.

Staff recommends adoption of the draft resolution initiating amendments to the General Plan, which includes adding the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan, and making related amendments to various elements of the General Plan, including the Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, Commerce and Industry Element, and Transportation Element.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That pursuant to Planning Code Section 340(c), the Planning Commission Adopts a Resolution of Intention to Initiate amendments to the General Plan, as contained in the draft General Plan amendment ordinance, approved as to form by the City Attorney in Exhibits II-3 and 4.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.3, the Planning Commission authorizes the Department to provide appropriate notice for a public hearing to consider the above referenced General Plan amendments contained in an ordinance approved as to form by the City

Resolution No. 18612  
May 3, 2012

CASE NO. 2007.0558MTZU  
Initiation of General Plan Amendments  
Related to the Transit Center District Plan

Attorney hereto attached as Exhibit II-3 and 4 to be considered at a publicly noticed hearing on or after May 24, 2012.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on May 3, 2012.

Linda D. Avery  
Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Fong, Wu, Antonini, Borden, Miguel, and Moore

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

RECUSED: Commissioner Sugaya

ADOPTED: May 3, 2012



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

---

## Exhibit III-1: Approval of General Plan Amendments Case Report

HEARING DATE: MAY 24, 2012

*Case No.:* 2007.0558EMTZU  
*Transit Center District Plan –  
Amendments to General Plan*

*Staff Contact:* Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815  
*joshua.switzky@sfgov.org*

*Recommendation:* Approval

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

### DESCRIPTION

The Planning Department proposes amending the General Plan of the City and County of San Francisco in order to adopt and implement the Transit Center District Plan. The Plan supports the General Plan's vision of concentrating housing and jobs around the city's greatest concentration of public transit service in the Downtown. The Plan balances increased density in the heart of Downtown with the principles of good place-making that are essential to maintaining and enhancing the distinctive qualities of Downtown San Francisco. Proposed amendments to the General Plan were initiated by the Planning Commission on May 3, 2012 in Resolution 18612.

For background on the Transit Center District Plan, see the accompanying Executive Summary staff report.

### PRELIMINARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends adoption of the draft Resolution to Recommend Approval of the draft amendments to the General Plan.

### GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS SUMMARY

Following is a brief discussion of the proposed General Plan amendments necessary to implement the Plan. The amendments include the addition of a new Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan along with related text and map amendments various Elements of the General Plan. To avoid duplicating all of the proposed text here, short summaries are given. Detailed information on the complete additions and revisions are in the attached and the draft Board Ordinance.

*New Sub-Area Plan:*

The key aspects of the Draft Plan are distilled into a proposed "Sub-Area plan" of the Downtown Plan. That proposed Sub-Area plan contains the majority of the objectives, policies and supporting discussion from the Draft Plan document, but excludes some background discussion, specific Planning Code proposals, and graphics, and reflects minor non-substantive text edits of the Draft Plan.

*General Plan Text Amendments:*

To ensure that the policy direction specific to this area as reflected in the new Sub-Area Plan is fully consistent across all parts the General Plan, the Department proposes minor amendments to language contained in the Downtown Plan, General Plan Elements (including Commerce & Industry, Urban Design, Recreation & Open Space, and Transportation) and Land Use Index.

*General Plan Map Amendments:*

Several maps within the General Plan are proposed for amendment to reflect the details of the Sub-Area Plan. These include maps in the Downtown Plan, General Plan Elements (including Commerce & Industry, Urban Design, Recreation & Open Space, and Transportation) and Land Use Index.

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report on September 28, 2011. The Planning Commission will consider certification of the Final Environmental Impact Report on the Transit Center District Plan and adoption of CEQA Findings prior to consideration of this item at the hearing on May 24, 2012.

## RELATED ACTIONS

In conjunction with the new Sub-Area Plan and General Plan amendments, the Department is proposing amendments to the Planning Code, Zoning Maps and Administrative Code and approval of a Program Implementation Document in order to implement the Sub-Area Plan and the proposed General Plan amendments. These proposed actions are discussed in separate Staff Reports.

## ATTACHMENTS

- Exhibit III-2 Draft Resolution to Recommend Approval of General Plan Amendments
- Exhibit III-3 Draft Ordinance
- Exhibit III-4 Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Planning Commission Resolution No. 18630

HEARING DATE MAY 24, 2012

*Date:* May 24, 2012  
*Case No.:* 2007.0558EMTZU  
*Project:* Transit Center District Plan –  
General Plan Amendments  
*Staff Contact:* Joshua Switzky - (415) 575-6815  
joshua.switzky@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

### ADOPTING A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO THE SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL PLAN PURSUANT TO THE ADOPTION OF THE TRANSIT CENTER DISTRICT PLAN

WHEREAS, Section 4.105 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco mandates that the Planning Commission shall periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval or rejection of proposed amendments to the General Plan in response to changing physical, social, economic, environmental or legislative conditions.

The Planning Commission, at a duly noticed public hearing on May 3, 2012 and in accordance with Planning Code Section 340(c), initiated the General Plan amendments that are the subject of this Resolution.

In 1985, the City adopted the Downtown Plan into the General Plan to guide growth in the Downtown area. Recognizing the potential for transit-oriented growth in the vicinity of the Transbay Terminal south of Market Street, the Downtown Plan called for concentrating the City's greatest densities and building heights in this area, as well as creating a system to transfer development rights from other parts of the downtown to this area.

Since the adoption of the Downtown Plan several major infrastructure changes have happened or are being undertaken. The Embarcadero Freeway was removed following the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake, allowing for the renovation of the waterfront and rethinking of the southern side of the downtown. The City and region have embarked on a multi-billion dollar investment in improving and expanding transit infrastructure, further enhancing the transit accessibility of the area, through construction of a new Transbay Transit Center on the site of the former Transbay Terminal and an extension of intra-city rail from the current terminus at 4<sup>th</sup> and King Streets into the Transit Center. This is the single largest investment in public transit in San Francisco since the construction of BART in the early 1970s. In 2005 the City adopted the Transbay Redevelopment Plan to direct funding toward the Transit Center project and direct the redevelopment of underutilized publicly-owned lands, primarily those that formerly housed the Embarcadero Freeway, into a new high-density residential neighborhood.

In 2006, a Mayor's Interagency Working Group published a report calling for the City to investigate further land use studies around the Transit Center as to whether building densities and heights could be increased further in recognition of the transit investment and as to whether such growth could be leveraged to generate substantial new revenues to help fund the full Transit Center project, including the Downtown Rail Extension.

In 2007, the Planning Department initiated a public planning effort called the Transit Center District Plan, focused on the area roughly bounded by Market Street, Embarcadero, Folsom Street, and Hawthorne Street, whose five fundamental goals were to:

- (1) Build on the General Plan's Urban Design Element and Downtown Plan, establishing controls, guidelines and standards to advance existing policies of livability, as well as those that protect the unique quality of place;
- (2) Capitalize on major transit investment with appropriate land use in the downtown core, with an eye toward long-term growth considerations;
- (3) Create a framework for a network of public streets and open spaces that support the transit system, and provides a wide variety of public amenities and a world-class pedestrian experience;
- (4) Generate financial support for the Transit Center project, district infrastructure, and other public improvements; and
- (5) Ensure that the Transit Center District is an example of comprehensive environmental sustainability in all regards.

The Planning Department held numerous public workshops and worked with consultants throughout 2008 and 2009, resulting in the publication of a Draft Transit Center District Plan in November 2009. In April 2012 the Planning Department published a Plan Addendum revising and clarifying aspects of the Draft Plan.

The Transit Center District Plan ("the Plan"), a proposed sub-area plan of the Downtown Plan, supports and builds on the Downtown Plan's vision for the area around the Transbay Transit Center as the heart of the new downtown. The Plan enhances and augments the Downtown Plan's patterns of land use, urban form, public space, circulation, and historic preservation, and makes adjustments to this specific sub-area based on today's understanding of the issues and constraints facing the area, particularly in light of the Transit Center project. The Plan's core recommendations include:

- Increasing allowable density and strategic increases to height limits in the Plan area to increase the transit-oriented growth capacity of the area while recognizing the importance of these buildings with respect to city form and impacts to the immediate and neighboring districts;
- Ensuring that major development sites incorporate commercial space in order to preserve the job growth capacity for the downtown;
- Enhancing the public realm and circulation system to accommodate growth and provide a world-class pedestrian experience, including widening sidewalks, providing dedicated transit lanes, augmenting the bicycle network, adding signalized mid-block crosswalks, and converting certain alleys into pedestrian plazas;

- Identifying and funding opportunities for new public open space and improved access to planned spaces, including at 2<sup>nd</sup>/Howard, Transbay Park, Mission Square and City Park on the roof of the Transit Center, as well as providing additional funding for park improvements in the downtown outside of the Plan area;
- Enlarging the New Montgomery-2<sup>nd</sup> Street Conservation District and updating individual resource ratings based on a newly-adopted survey;
- Identifying opportunities to explore advanced district-level energy and water utility systems to improve environmental performance beyond individual buildings; and
- Adopting a funding program including two new key revenue mechanisms – impact fees and a Mello-Roos Community Facilities District – to ensure that new development contributes substantially toward the implementation of necessary public infrastructure, including the Transit Center/Downtown Extension project.

The San Francisco Planning Department is seeking to adopt and implement the Transit Center District Plan. The core policies and supporting discussion in the Plan have been incorporated into a Sub-Area Plan proposed to be added to the Downtown Plan. The Sub-Area Plan, together with the General Plan, Planning Code, Zoning Map Amendments, and Implementation Document provide a comprehensive set of policies and implementation programming to realize the vision of the Plan. The Implementation Document outlines public improvements, funding mechanisms and interagency coordination the City must pursue to implement the Plan.

Policies envisioned for the Sub-Area Plan are consistent with the existing General Plan. However, a number of amendments to the General Plan are required to further achieve and clarify the vision and goals of the Transit Center District Plan, to reflect its concepts throughout the General Plan, and generally to update the General Plan to changed physical, social and economic conditions in this area. Proposed amendments to the General Plan, including the Sub-Area Plan, are attached hereto as Exhibits III-3 and 4. The City Attorney's Office has reviewed the draft ordinance and approved it as to form.

Staff recommends adoption of the draft resolution approving amendments to the General Plan, which includes adding the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan, and making related amendments to various elements of the General Plan, including the Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, Commerce and Industry Element, and Transportation Element.

Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority policies and is a basis by which differences between competing policies in the General Plan are resolved. The Plan is consistent with the eight priority policies in that:



1. That existing neighborhood serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in or ownership of such businesses enhanced.

*The proposed amendments would have a positive effect on neighborhood serving retail uses. The proposed amendments would support the creation of new office space, hotel uses, housing units in a high-density environment, providing a large market for existing future retail uses and contributing to the success of these businesses. The proposed amendments also would support the enhancement of public space, sidewalks, and amenities on key streets and alleys in the area, encouraging and supporting additional pedestrian traffic to and adjacent to retail businesses. The Plan would prohibit or discourage conflicts with ground-floor retail activities by limiting locations for vehicular access to non-retail streets and alleys and by limiting the extent of office lobbies and non-active uses from dominating ground levels of new buildings.*

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

*The proposed amendments would have a positive effect on the City's housing stock, and on the neighborhood character of the Transit Center District. The Plan would support the creation of over 1,200 new housing units in addition to the 3,000 units planned for Zone 1 of the Transbay Redevelopment Area (which is almost wholly contained within the Plan Area). State law requires that 35% of all new housing units in the Redevelopment Area be affordable. To achieve this high rate of affordability, all market-rate projects are required to provide 15% of their units on-site as affordable and the City will be providing public lands in the area for numerous projects that are 100% affordable. Few if any existing units would be displaced; new development would take place on parcels that currently contain low-scale commercial uses, vacant buildings, or surface parking.*

*The proposed amendments would support the enhancement of area streets and open spaces to support continued growth – commercial, residential, and visitor. Included in these improvements are sidewalk widenings on most streets, over 11 acres of new open space, and enhanced public access points to the planned City Park on the Transit Center.*

*The Plan area is currently characterized as part of the City's high-rise Financial District and by a concentration of many of the City's tallest buildings. The area is currently zoned for the greatest densities and some*

*of the tallest height limits in the City. The Plan would accentuate and enhance this character by defining its central position on the skyline and recognizing the importance of public transit access as a key organizing principle for the City's form. Further, the Plan would enhance the character of the street level experience and historic character by requiring tall buildings to be articulated in a way that relates to the streetwall at a height below 110' above street grade. The Plan would also support the preservation of over 43 historic structures not currently protected by local ordinance and the expansion of the New Montgomery-Second Street Conservation District.*

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

*State law requires that 35% of all new housing units in the Redevelopment Area be affordable. To achieve this high rate of affordability, all market-rate projects within the Redevelopment Area (a subset of the Plan Area) are required to provide 15% of their units on-site as affordable and the City will be providing public lands in the area for numerous projects that are 100% affordable. As a result, over 1,000 affordable units will be created in the Plan area. All projects, including those outside of the Redevelopment Area but within the Plan Area, are subject to the City's existing inclusionary housing provisions. Further, all commercial projects are required to participate in the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program which is projected to generate approximately \$117 million in fee revenue for affordable housing construction in the City. Few if any existing units would be displaced; new development would take place on parcels that currently contain low-scale commercial uses, vacant buildings, or surface parking.*

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

*The proposed amendments would not result in commuter traffic impeding Muni transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking. The Plan area is the heart of the City's transit network and features a great concentration of surface transit lines operated by MUNI and other regional operators, including Golden Gate Transit and SamTrans. The Plan proposes to protect the operation of transit operations by expanding and enhancing dedicated transit lanes on numerous streets, such as by creating center-running transit lanes on Mission Street in order to avoid congestion in curb lanes. The Plan also would support the expansion of sidewalks and creation of new bicycle*

*facilities to encourage or accommodate commuters and other travelers to walk and bicycle instead of driving. The Plan proposes to dedicate over \$500 million to transportation improvements for transit, pedestrian, and bicycle projects, including over \$400 million toward the Downtown Rail Extension project. To mitigate cumulative effects of congestion from growth on MUNI and Golden Gate Transit bus service around the downtown as identified in the EIR, the Plan will implement a Transit Delay Mitigation Fee as part of the impact fees levied on all new development. The Plan also would limit the amount of new parking that can be created for commercial uses, thereby limiting the amount of growth in auto commuting that can occur into the Plan area.*

*Above all, the proposed amendments would support growth in the most transit-oriented location in the region, thereby accommodating growth in a place where people can take transit in lieu of driving. If this growth is not accommodated here, it will be directed to less transit-intensive areas of the region, which would increase both citywide and regional auto traffic, congestion, and related impacts on safety, public health, and environmental quality.*

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

*The proposed amendments would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors. There are few remaining industrial and service businesses in the Transit Center District Plan area, as the area has been zoned for and built with high density office, residential and institutional uses since the Downtown Plan was adopted in 1985. The proposed amendments do not make substantial changes to the allowable uses in the district.*

6. That the City achieves the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

*The proposed amendments would not adversely affect preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake and would comply with applicable safety standards. The proposed amendments would result in several new high-rise buildings; these buildings would be subject to the City's Building Code, Fire Code and other applicable safety standards.*

7. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

*The Plan would support the preservation of over 43 historic structures*

*not currently protected by local ordinance by listing them as Category I, III or IV buildings in Article 11 of the Planning Code and by expanding the boundaries of the New Montgomery-Second Street Conservation District, which would be renamed the New Montgomery-Mission-Second Street Conservation District.*

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

*On balance, the proposed Plan would have a positive effect on parks and open space, and would not adversely affect existing open spaces or their access to sunlight and vistas. The Transit Center District is currently deficient in open space; there are no publicly-owned open spaces within the Transit Center District between Third Street and Steuart Street and all existing open space is in the form of small privately-owned publicly accessible open spaces associated with private commercial buildings. To address this deficiency, the proposed Plan includes the creation of or funding for over 11 acres of new public open space in the Plan Area. These spaces include a 5.5-acre park on the roof of the Transit Center ("City Park"), two ½-acre plazas at Mission/Fremont and 2<sup>nd</sup>/Howard streets, a 1.1-acre park ("Transbay Park") between Main and Beale Streets, pedestrian plazas in the existing right-of-way on Natoma Street and Shaw Alley, and 30'-wide linear parks along the "Living Streets" of Spear, Main, and Beale Streets.*

*Shadow impacts to open spaces outside of the Plan Area from potential new high-rise structures have been analyzed and considered in establishing the proposed height limits and the overall Plan program. While some net new shading will occur from Plan-related buildings on properties under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Parks Department, such potential shading is generally limited to less than one hour in the early mornings during autumn and winter because the buildings in the Plan Area are located a long distance from the potentially affected parks and shadows would move very swiftly. Additionally, individual building proposals will be refined and sculpted through design review at the time they seek entitlements, likely resulting in less new shading than is reported in the Plan's Environmental Impact Report. Vistas from parks and open space also was considered in preparation of the Plan and addressed in the environmental impact report in its analysis of aesthetics. Also, as stated above, the Plan would accentuate and enhance this character by defining its central position on the skyline and recognizing the importance of public transit access as a key organizing principle for the City's form.*

*Through new Open Space Impact Fees and a Mello-Roos Community*

*Facilities District, the Plan would create over \$50 million in net new revenues for public open space. Of this amount, approximately \$10 million is identified in the Funding Program to improve open space in the broader downtown area outside of the Plan Area and citywide. Additionally, the Plan will generate over \$10 million from the existing Downtown Open Space Fee program, which can be used to fund park improvements throughout the greater downtown area.*

The Transit Center District Plan builds on existing General Plan policies. Analysis of applicable General Plan Objectives and Policies has determined that the proposed action is, on balance, consistent with the General Plan as it is proposed to be amended. The proposed actions offer a compelling articulation and implementation of many of the concepts outlined in the General Plan, especially the Housing, Air Quality, Urban Design, Commerce and Industry, Transportation, and Recreation and Open Space Elements, as well as the Downtown Plan. The new Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan as well as related zoning controls formulate these directive policies with specific consideration for the Transit Center District. Below are specific policies and objectives (other than those in the proposed Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan) that support the proposed actions.

NOTE: General Plan Elements are in **CAPITAL BOLDED ITALICS**  
General Plan Objectives are in CAPITAL LETTERS  
General Plan Policies are in Arial standard font  
Staff comments are in *italics*

## **DOWNTOWN PLAN**

*The Downtown Plan, adopted in 1985, emphasized the character of San Francisco's downtown as a compact, walkable, and transit-oriented district, primarily characterized by tall buildings and areas with concentrations of conserved historic buildings. The Downtown Plan seeks to ensure that Downtown San Francisco remains the Bay Area's premier business, shopping and visitor district. A key concern of the Downtown Plan is ensuring that necessary supporting amenities and infrastructure accompany new development and that impacts from new development are mitigated or avoided to the extent feasible. Most importantly, the Downtown plan envisioned the area around the Transbay Terminal (now Transbay Transit Center) as the densest and tallest area of the Downtown and of the City.*

**OBJECTIVE 2: MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A PRIME LOCATION FOR FINANCIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, CORPORATE, AND PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITY.**

**POLICY 2.1** Encourage prime downtown office activities to grow as long as undesirable consequences of such growth can be controlled.

**POLICY 2.2** Guide location of office development to maintain a compact downtown core and minimize displacement of other uses.

**POLICY 3.5** Meet the convenience needs of daytime downtown workers.

OBJECTIVE 4: ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S ROLE AS A TOURIST AND VISITOR CENTER.

POLICY 4.1 Guide the location of new hotels to minimize their adverse impacts on circulation, existing uses, and scale of development.

OBJECTIVE 6: WITHIN ACCEPTABLE LEVELS OF DENSITY, PROVIDE SPACE FOR FUTURE OFFICE, RETAIL, HOTEL, SERVICE AND RELATED USES IN DOWNTOWN SAN FRANCISCO.

POLICY 6.1 Adopt a downtown land use and density plan which establishes subareas of downtown with individualized controls to guide the density and location of permitted land use.

OBJECTIVE 7: EXPAND THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING IN AND ADJACENT TO DOWNTOWN.

OBJECTIVE 9: PROVIDE QUALITY OPEN SPACE IN SUFFICIENT QUANTITY AND VARIETY TO MEET THE NEEDS OF DOWNTOWN WORKERS, RESIDENTS, AND VISITORS.

POLICY 9.1 Require usable indoor and outdoor open space, accessible to the public, as part of new downtown development.

POLICY 9.2 Provide different kinds of open space downtown.

POLICY 9.3 Give priority to development of two categories of highly valued open space; sunlit plazas and parks.

POLICY 9.5 Improve the usefulness of publicly owned rights-of-way as open space.

OBJECTIVE 10: ASSURE THAT OPEN SPACES ARE ACCESSIBLE AND USABLE.

POLICY 10.1 Develop an open space system that gives every person living and working downtown access to a sizable sunlit open space within convenient walking distance.

POLICY 10.2 Encourage the creation of new open spaces that become a part of an interconnected pedestrian network.

POLICY 10.3 Keep open space facilities available to the public.

POLICY 10.4 Provide open space that is clearly visible and easily reached from the street or pedestrian way.

POLICY 11.1 Place and arrange open space to complement and structure the urban form by creating distinct openings in the otherwise dominant streetwall form of downtown.

OBJECTIVE 12: CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

POLICY 12.1 Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 12.3 Design new buildings to respect the character of older development nearby.

OBJECTIVE 13: CREATE AN URBAN FORM FOR DOWNTOWN THAT ENHANCES SAN FRANCISCO'S STATURE AS ONE OF THE WORLD'S MOST VISUALLY ATTRACTIVE CITIES.

POLICY 13.1 Relate the height of buildings to important attributes of the city pattern and to the height and character of existing and proposed development.

POLICY 13.2 Foster sculpturing of building form to create less overpowering buildings and more interesting building tops, particularly the tops of towers.

POLICY 13.3 Create visually interesting terminations to building towers.

POLICY 13.4 Maintain separation between buildings to preserve light and air and prevent excessive bulk.

OBJECTIVE 14: CREATE AND MAINTAIN A COMFORTABLE PEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 14.1 Promote building forms that will maximize the sun access to open spaces and other public areas.

OBJECTIVE 15: CREATE A BUILDING FORM THAT IS VISUALLY INTERESTING AND HARMONIZES WITH SURROUNDING BUILDINGS.

POLICY 15.1 Ensure that new facades relate harmoniously with nearby facade patterns.

POLICY 15.2 Assure that new buildings contribute to the visual unity of the city.

OBJECTIVE 16: CREATE AND MAINTAIN ATTRACTIVE, INTERESTING URBAN STREETS CAPES

POLICY 16.2 Provide setbacks above a building base to maintain the continuity of the predominant streetwalls along the street.

POLICY 16.3 Maintain and enhance the traditional downtown street pattern of projecting cornices on smaller buildings and projecting belt courses of taller buildings.

POLICY 16.4 Use designs and materials and include activities at the ground floor to create pedestrian interest.

OBJECTIVE 17: DEVELOP TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRAVEL TO AND FROM DOWNTOWN.

POLICY 17.1 Build and maintain rapid transit lines from downtown to all suburban corridors and major centers of activity in San Francisco.

POLICY 17.2 Expand existing non-rail transit service to downtown.

POLICY 17.3 Establish exclusive transit lanes on bridges, freeways and city streets where significant transit service exists.

POLICY 17.4 Coordinate regional and local transportation systems and provide for interline transit transfers.

POLICY 17.5 Provide for commuter bus loading at off-street terminals and at special curbside loading areas at non-congested locations.

POLICY 17.6 Make convenient transfers possible by establishing common or closely located terminals for local and regional transit systems.

OBJECTIVE 18: ENSURE THAT THE NUMBER OF AUTO TRIPS TO AND FROM DOWNTOWN WILL NOT BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE GROWTH OR AMENITY OF DOWNTOWN.

POLICY 18.2 Provide incentives for the use of transit, carpools and vanpools, and reduce the need for new or expanded automobile parking facilities.

POLICY 18.3 Discourage new long-term commuter parking spaces in and around downtown. Limit long-term parking spaces serving downtown to the number that already exists.

POLICY 18.5 Discourage proliferation of surface parking as an interim land use, particularly where sound residential, commercial or industrial buildings would be demolished.

OBJECTIVE 19: PROVIDE FOR SAFE AND CONVENIENT BICYCLE USE AS A MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION.

POLICY 19.1 Include facilities for bicycle users in governmental, commercial, and residential developments.

POLICY 21.2 Discourage access to off-street freight loading and service vehicle facilities from transit preferential streets, or pedestrian-oriented streets and alleys.

POLICY 21.4 Provide limited loading spaces on street to meet the need for peak period or short-term small deliveries and essential services, and strictly enforce their use.

OBJECTIVE 22: IMPLEMENT A DOWNTOWN STREETScape PLAN TO IMPROVE THE DOWNTOWN PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION SYSTEM, ESPECIALLY WITHIN THE CORE, TO PROVIDE FOR EFFICIENT, COMFORTABLE, AND SAFE MOVEMENT.

POLICY 22.1 Provide sufficient pedestrian movement space.

POLICY 22.5 Improve the ambience of the pedestrian environment.

POLICY 22.6 Future decisions about street space, both in this plan and beyond, should give equal, if not greater, consideration to pedestrian needs.

### **URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT**

*The Urban Design Element's chief concern is ensuring that new development maintains and enhances the key organizing physical aspects of the City. The Element emphasizes that the built environment must be sculpted in a holistic fashion to aid in orientation, provide distinction between districts, enhance the pedestrian experience by providing fine-grain texture and articulation of new buildings, and to reflect the landscape and the supporting systems of the City, such as major transit nodes and lines. The Element also lays out principles and policies to guide the improvements of the public realm. The Transit Center District Plan is crafted with these considerations in mind.*

OBJECTIVE 1: EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.1 Recognize and protect major views in the city, with particular attention to those of open space and water.

POLICY 1.3 Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

POLICY 1.6 Make centers of activity more prominent through design of street features and by other means.

POLICY 1.7 Recognize the natural boundaries of districts, and promote connections between districts.

POLICY 1.8 Increase the visibility of major destination areas and other points for orientation.

OBJECTIVE 2: CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4 Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.7 Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

POLICY 2.8 Maintain a strong presumption against the giving up of street areas for private ownership or use, or for construction of public buildings.

OBJECTIVE 3: MODERATION OF MAJOR NEW DEVELOPMENT TO COMPLEMENT THE CITY PATTERN, THE RESOURCES TO BE CONSERVED, AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 3.1 Promote harmony in the visual relationships and transitions between new and older buildings.



POLICY 3.2 Avoid extreme contrasts in color, shape and other characteristics which will cause new buildings to stand out in excess of their public importance.

POLICY 3.3 Promote efforts to achieve high quality of design for buildings to be constructed at prominent locations.

POLICY 3.5 Relate the height of buildings to important attributes of the city pattern and to the height and character of existing development.

POLICY 3.6 Relate the bulk of buildings to the prevailing scale of development to avoid an overwhelming or dominating appearance in new construction.

POLICY 3.7 Recognize the special urban design problems posed in development of large properties.

POLICY 3.9 Encourage a continuing awareness of the long-term effects of growth upon the physical form of the city.

POLICY 4.4 Design walkways and parking facilities to minimize danger to pedestrians.

POLICY 4.6 Emphasize the importance of local centers providing commercial and government services.

POLICY 4.8 Provide convenient access to a variety of recreation opportunities.

POLICY 4.11 Make use of street space and other unused public areas for recreation, particularly in dense neighborhoods, such as those close to downtown, where land for traditional open spaces is more difficult to assemble.

POLICY 4.13 Improve pedestrian areas by providing human scale and interest.

#### **COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT**

*The Commerce and Industry Element is mostly focused on aspects of the City's economic activities and job base outside of the Downtown, but the elemental land use plans that identify locations for commercial activities in the City identify the Downtown, including the Transit Center District, as one of the few parts of the City for dense concentrations of commercial activity.*

OBJECTIVE 1: MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3 Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 2: MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

POLICY 2.1 Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

POLICY 1.4 Establish commercial and industrial density limits as indicated in the Generalized Commercial and Industrial Density Plan map.

#### **RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ELEMENT**

*The Recreation and Open Space Element identifies the Transit Center District Area as an area deficient in open space. The Transit Center District plan would create or fund the creation of over 11 acres of new open space in the District, as well as provide new funding for improvements to parks in the broader Downtown area and citywide.*

OBJECTIVE 2: DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A DIVERSIFIED AND BALANCED CITYWIDE SYSTEM OF HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC OPEN SPACE.

POLICY 2.1 Provide an adequate total quantity and equitable distribution of public open spaces throughout the City.

POLICY 2.3 Preserve sunlight in public open spaces.

POLICY 2.7 Acquire additional open space for public use.

### **HOUSING ELEMENT**

*While the Transit Center District Plan is not fundamentally a housing-focused plan, it will create capacity for over 1,000 new housing units in addition to the 3,000 that will be built on publicly-owned parcels in the Transbay Redevelopment Area, which is wholly contained within the District. The Redevelopment Area is required by State law to have 35% of all new units created be affordable. Additionally, new development in the District will generate over \$100 million in Jobs-Housing Linkage Fees for affordable housing construction.*

OBJECTIVE 1: IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY'S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

POLICY 1.2 Focus housing growth and infrastructure-necessary to support growth according to community plans.

POLICY 1.4 Ensure community based planning processes are used to generate changes to land use controls.

POLICY 1.10 Support new housing projects, especially affordable housing, where households can easily rely on public transportation, walking and bicycling for the majority of daily trips.

POLICY 4.6 Encourage an equitable distribution of growth according to infrastructure and site capacity.

OBJECTIVE 12: BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

POLICY 12.1 Encourage new housing that relies on transit use and environmentally sustainable patterns of movement.

POLICY 13.1 Support "smart" regional growth that locates new housing close to jobs and transit.

POLICY 13.3 Promote sustainable land use patterns that integrate housing with transportation in order to increase transit, pedestrian, and bicycle mode share.

### **TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT**

*The Transportation Element emphasizes the focus on coordinating development with existing or planned public transit services, as well as allocating right-of-way to provide dedicated and attractive infrastructure for transit, pedestrians and bicyclists. Several policies direct the limitation on the supply of parking, particularly in the Downtown area, to limit growth in automobile traffic. The Transportation Element specifically recommends pursuit of the Transit Center and Downtown Rail Extension projects. The*

*Transit Center District Plan implements all of these policies and provides significant funding toward the Transit Center and Downtown Rail Extension projects.*

POLICY 1.2 Ensure the safety and comfort of pedestrians throughout the city.

POLICY 1.3 Give priority to public transit and other alternatives to the private automobile as the means of meeting San Francisco's transportation needs, particularly those of commuters.

OBJECTIVE 2: USE THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM AS A MEANS FOR GUIDING DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 2.1 Use rapid transit and other transportation improvements in the city and region as the catalyst for desirable development, and coordinate new facilities with public and private development.

OBJECTIVE 3: MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A REGIONAL DESTINATION WITHOUT INDUCING A GREATER VOLUME OF THROUGH AUTOMOBILE TRAFFIC.

OBJECTIVE 4: MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS THE HUB OF A REGIONAL, CITY-CENTERED TRANSIT SYSTEM.

POLICY 4.1 Rapid transit lines from all outlying corridors should lead to stations and terminals that are adjacent or connected to each other in downtown San Francisco.

OBJECTIVE 5: SUPPORT AND ENHANCE THE ROLE OF SAN FRANCISCO AS A MAJOR DESTINATION AND DEPARTURE POINT FOR TRAVELERS MAKING INTERSTATE, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL TRIPS.

POLICY 5.5 Develop high-speed rail that links downtown San Francisco to major interstate and national passenger rail corridors as the principle alternative to interstate air travel, and as the primary means to relieve air traffic congestion.

OBJECTIVE 11: ESTABLISH PUBLIC TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION IN SAN FRANCISCO AND AS A MEANS THROUGH WHICH TO GUIDE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPROVE REGIONAL MOBILITY AND AIR QUALITY.

POLICY 11.3 Encourage development that efficiently coordinates land use with transit service, requiring that developers address transit concerns as well as mitigate traffic problems.

OBJECTIVE 12: DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS IN THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS, WHICH WILL SUPPORT CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AND AIR QUALITY OBJECTIVES, MAINTAIN MOBILITY AND ENHANCE BUSINESS VITALITY AT MINIMUM COST.

POLICY 14.1 Reduce road congestion on arterials through the implementation of traffic control strategies, such as traffic signal synchronization (consistent with posted speed limits) and turn controls, that improve vehicular flow without impeding movement for pedestrians and bicyclists.

POLICY 14.4 Reduce congestion by encouraging alternatives to the single occupant auto through the reservation of right-of-way and enhancement of other facilities dedicated to multiple modes of transportation.

POLICY 14.8 Implement land use controls that will support a sustainable mode split, and encourage development that limits the intensification of automobile use.

POLICY 16.5 Reduce parking demand through limiting the absolute amount of spaces and prioritizing the spaces for short-term and ride-share uses.

POLICY 17.1 Discourage the provision of new long-term parking downtown and near major employment centers.

POLICY 20.2 Reduce, relocate or prohibit automobile facility features on transit preferential streets, such as driveways and loading docks, to avoid traffic conflicts and automobile congestion.

POLICY 20.8 Intensify overall transit service in the "central area."

POLICY 20.13 Create dedicated bus lanes and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) lanes to expedite bus travel times and improve transit reliability.

OBJECTIVE 21 DEVELOP TRANSIT AS THE PRIMARY MODE OF TRAVEL TO AND FROM DOWNTOWN AND ALL MAJOR ACTIVITY CENTERS WITHIN THE REGION.

POLICY 21.9 Improve pedestrian and bicycle access to transit facilities.

POLICY 23.2 Widen sidewalks where intensive commercial, recreational, or institutional activity is present, sidewalks are congested, where sidewalks are less than adequately wide to provide appropriate pedestrian amenities, or where residential densities are high.

POLICY 24.4 Preserve pedestrian-oriented building frontages.

OBJECTIVE 26: CONSIDER THE SIDEWALK AREA AS AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT IN THE CITYWIDE OPEN SPACE SYSTEM.

POLICY 26.1 Retain streets and alleys not required for traffic, or portions thereof, for through pedestrian circulation and open space use.

POLICY 27.1 Expand and improve access for bicycles on city streets and develop a well-marked, comprehensive system of bike routes in San Francisco.

POLICY 28.1 Provide secure bicycle parking in new governmental, commercial, and residential developments.

POLICY 30.2 Discourage the proliferation of surface parking as an interim land use, particularly where sound residential, commercial or industrial buildings would be demolished pending other development.

POLICY 30.4 Restrict long term automobile parking at rapid transit stations in the city in favor of development of effective feeder transit service and enhanced access for pedestrians and bicyclists.

OBJECTIVE 32: LIMIT PARKING IN DOWNTOWN TO HELP ENSURE THAT THE NUMBER OF AUTO TRIPS TO AND FROM DOWNTOWN WILL NOT BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE GROWTH OR AMENITY OF DOWNTOWN.

POLICY 32.1 Discourage new long-term commuter parking spaces for single-occupant automobiles in and around downtown. Limit the long-term parking spaces to the number that already exists.

### **AIR QUALITY ELEMENT**

*The Air Quality Element encourages the coordination of high-density, mixed-use development in proximity to public transit in order to reduce automobile usage and thereby improve air quality. The Transit Center District is the foremost example of such coordination of land use and transportation.*

OBJECTIVE 3: DECREASE THE AIR QUALITY IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT BY COORDINATION OF LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION DECISIONS.

POLICY 3.1 Take advantage of the high density development in San Francisco to improve the transit infrastructure and also encourage high density and compact development where an extensive transportation infrastructure exists.

POLICY 3.2 Encourage mixed land use development near transit lines and provide retail and other types of service oriented uses within walking distance to minimize automobile dependent development.

POLICY 3.6 Link land use decision making policies to the availability of transit and consider the impacts of these policies on the local and regional transportation system.

Prior to considering relevant amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, Zoning Maps and other actions related to implementing the Transit Center District Plan, the Planning Commission adopted Motion No. 18628 certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Transit Center District Plan in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The Planning Commission also adopted Motion No. 18629 adopting CEQA Findings related to the Transit Center District Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Commission adopts and incorporates by reference the CEQA Findings in Commission Motion No. 18629;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Planning Code Section 340(d), the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the General Plan;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission finds the General Plan amendments, on balance, consistent with the General Plan as proposed for amendment and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Commission approves the General Plan amendments and the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan, as reflected in an ordinance approved as to form by the City Attorney attached hereto as Exhibit III-3 and 4, respectively, and incorporated herein by reference and recommends their adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on May 24, 2012.

Linda D. Avery  
Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Fong, Wu, Antonini, and Borden

NOES: Commissioners Moore and Sugaya

ABSENT: Commissioner Miguel

ADOPTED: May 24, 2012



# Transit Center District Plan

## A Sub-Area Plan of the Downtown Plan

### Introduction

The Transit Center District Plan builds on the City's renowned Downtown Plan that envisioned the area around the former Transbay Terminal as the heart of the new downtown. Twenty-five years after adoption of the Downtown Plan, in 1985, this part of the city is poised to become just that. The removal of the Embarcadero Freeway, along with the adoption of plans for the Transbay Redevelopment Area and Rincon Hill, has allowed the transformation of the southern side of the downtown in the cohesive way envisioned in the Downtown Plan. Projected to serve approximately 20 million users annually, the new Transbay Transit Center will be an intense hub of activity at the center of the neighborhood.

This sub-area Plan seeks to enhance the precepts of the Downtown Plan, to build on its established patterns of land use, urban form, public space, and circulation, and to make adjustments based on today's understanding of the future. The Plan presents planning policies and controls for land use, urban form, and building design of private properties and properties owned or to be owned by the Transbay Joint Powers Authority around the Transbay Transit Center, and for improvement and management of the District's public realm and circulation system of streets, plazas, and parks. To help ensure that the Transbay Transit Center and other public amenities and infrastructure needed in the area are built, the Plan also recommends mechanisms for directing necessary funding from increases in development opportunity to these purposes.

#### PLAN AREA BOUNDARY

The Transit Center District, or Plan Area, consists of approximately 145 acres centered on the Transbay Transit Center, situated between the Northern Financial District, Rincon Hill, Yerba Buena Center and the Bay. The boundaries of the District are roughly Market Street on the north, Embarcadero on the east, Folsom Street on the south, and Hawthorne Street to the west. While these boundaries overlap with those of the Transbay Redevelopment Project Area, this Plan does not affect the adopted land use or development controls for Zone 1 of the Redevelopment Area and is consistent with the overall goals of the Transbay Redevelopment Plan.

The majority of the land within the Plan Area is privately-owned with the notable exceptions of parcels owned by the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA), of which at least two will be available for significant new development: the site of the proposed Transit Tower (in front of the Transit Center along Mission Street), and a lot (Parcel "F") on the north side of Howard between First and Second streets formerly housed bus ramps to be rebuilt on adjacent parcels just to the west. (Additionally, Zone 1 of the Redevelopment Area, also within the Plan Area, is primarily comprised of publicly-owned parcels subject to the controls of the Redevelopment Plan as opposed to the Planning Code.)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. 554-5184  
Fax No. 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

### LAND USE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposals and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** Monday, July 16, 2012

**Time:** 1:00 p.m.

**Location:** City Hall, Legislative Chamber, Room 250  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

**Subject:** Transit Center District Plan

**File No. 120665.** Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending and adding sections consistent with the Transit Center District Plan, including the establishment of the Transit Center District Plan open space and transportation fees and the expansion and renaming of the New Montgomery-Mission-Second Street Conservation District; and 2) making findings, including environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan, as proposed for amendment, and Planning Code Section 101.1.

**File No. 120666.** Ordinance: 1) amending City and County of San Francisco Zoning Map Sheets ZN01, HT01, SU01, and PD01 to revise use districts and height and bulk districts within the Transit Center District Plan Area, to place certain properties in the Transit Center C-3-O(SD) Commercial Special Use District, and to add properties into the New Montgomery-Mission-Second Street Conservation District; and 2) making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan as proposed for amendment and Planning Code Section 101.1.

**File No. 120667.** Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco Administrative Code Section 10E.1 and Sections 36.1 and 36.3 to address Plan monitoring and the Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee role in the Transit Center District Plan public improvements; and 2) making environmental findings.

**File No. 120685.** Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco General Plan by adding the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan and making various amendments to the Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Commerce and Industry Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, and Transportation Element as part of the establishment of the Transit Center District Plan; and 2) making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan, as proposed for amendment, and Planning Code Section 101.1.

In accordance with Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made part of the official record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to these matters are available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to these matters will be available for public review on Friday, July 13, 2012.



Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATED: June 29, 2012  
POSTED: July 2, 2012  
PUBLISHED/MAILED: July 6, 2012



# CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

## DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481  
Visit us @ WWW.DAILYJOURNAL.COM

Alisa Miller  
S.F. BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE  
Ad Description: AM - 120685 General Plan Transit Center

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

07/06/2012

#### Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER 10%, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
SONOMA COUNTY HERALD-RECORDER, SANTA ROSA	(707) 545-1166
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747

EXM 2341156

NOTICE OF  
PUBLIC HEARING  
LAND USE AND  
ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
OF THE CITY AND  
COUNTY OF  
SAN FRANCISCO  
JULY 16, 2012 - 1:00 PM  
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE  
CHAMBER, ROOM 250  
1 DR. CARLTON B.  
GOODLETT PL, S.F. CA

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Economic Development Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: Transit Center District Plan - File No. 120685. Ordinance: 1) amending the San Francisco General Plan by adding the Transit Center District Sub-Area Plan to the Downtown Plan and making various amendments to the Downtown Plan, Urban Design Element, Commerce and Industry Element, Recreation and Open Space Element, and Transportation Element as part of the establishment of the Transit Center District Plan; and 2) making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan, as proposed for amendment, and Planning Code Section 101.1.

In accordance with Section 67.7-1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made part of the official record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the Members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, Room 244, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, July 13, 2012. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board



\* A 0 0 0 0 0 2 7 2 1 5 8 1 \*



Job Order Response #11554  
julie.creer to: alisa.miller  
Please respond to julie.creer

06/29/2012 02:08 PM

Thank you for using Repromail's online order center; We appreciate your business.

We have received your order and will contact you if there are any questions.

Please note: Your Job Ticket Number is the Message Tracking Number at the bottom of this email.

Your Name	Alisa Miller
Your Department	Board of Supervisors
Your Account Number	010000
Address	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett, Room 244
City, State	San Francisco, CA
Zip	94102
Phone Number	415-554-4447
Fax Number	415-554-5163
E-mail Address	alisa.miller@sfgov.org
Confirm e-mail address	alisa.miller@sfgov.org
Job Description (will appear in the billing statement)	07/16/12 Land Use Hearing Notice - Transit Center District Plan
Date in	6/29/2012
Date due	7/6/2012
Number of copies	3626
Number of originals	1
Sides	two
Ink(s)	Black
Size	8.5 x 11
Paper color	White
Staple	one
Fold	letterfold
Specify folding	Tri-Fold for Mailing
Special Instructions	One double-sided hearing notice mailed to 3,626 addresses
When Ready	Deliver
Deliver to	US Post Office
Additional Details	One double-sided hearing notice mailed to 3,626 addresses. The notices need to be merged with the Excel file and then mailed out NO LATER THAN Friday, July 6, 2012.
	A verification of mailing will also be needed for the legislation file.
Filename	Mailing List.xlsx (178 kb)
Filename	Transit Center Committee Notice.doc (403 kb)
Filename	Transit Hearing Notice.pdf (203 kb)

Message Tracking Number: #11554



Job update #11554  
Mail Services to: Alisa Miller  
Cc: Salla Vaerma

07/06/2012 09:46 AM

Hi Alisa,

Job Order Notification #11554 will be mailed out today.

Thank You!

Repromail  
City and County of San Francisco  
875 Stevenson St. #125  
San Francisco CA 94103-0917  
Phone: 415-554-6422  
Fax: 415-558-7846