

File No. 110946

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Board Item No. 28

**COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date October 4, 2011

Cmte Board

- |                          |                                     |                                              |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Resolution                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Ordinance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Budget Analyst Report                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Youth Commission Report                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | MOU                                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Ethics Form 126                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Correspondence                               |

OTHER

(Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Date September 27, 2011  
Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.  
The complete document is in the file.

1 [Affirming the Exemption Determination - 1171 Sansome Street]

2  
3 **Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the 1171 Sansome**  
4 **Street project (aka 1111 Sansome Street) is exempt from environmental review.**

5  
6 WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that a 2-lot parcel map (the  
7 "project") located at 1171 Sansome Street (aka 1111 Sansome Street) is exempt from  
8 environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA  
9 Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. The Planning Department on  
10 August 16, 2010, issued a General Rule Exclusion (State CEQA Guidelines Section  
11 15061(b)(3)) for the project that determined the project was exempt from environmental  
12 review. By letter to the Clerk of the Board dated August 19, 2011, John M. Sanger, on his  
13 behalf and on behalf of Catherine S. Sanger, David Davies, Jack Weeden, and Vedica Puri,  
14 appealed the exemption determination; and,

15 WHEREAS, On October 4, 2011, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
16 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public  
17 hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and,

18 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board  
19 reviewed and considered the general rule exclusion exemption determination, the appeal  
20 letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the  
21 other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in  
22 support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of  
23 the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the  
24 project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the  
25 testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record

1 and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and  
2 written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the  
3 public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk  
4 of the Board of Supervisors File No. 110945 and is incorporated in this motion as though set  
5 forth in its entirety; now, therefore be it

6       MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
7 hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set  
8 forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

9       FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole  
10 record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project  
11 circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the  
12 conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the  
13 proposed project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

14       FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption  
15 determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the  
16 public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption  
17 determination, this Board concludes that the project qualifies for a general rule exclusion  
18 exemption determination under CEQA.

