

File Number: _____
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form
(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: 15/16 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant
2. Department: San Francisco Public Defender's Office
3. Contact Person: Jeff Adachi Telephone: 415-553-1671
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$ 78,235
6. a. Matching Funds Required: \$ 8,693
b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): 1GAGFAAA (general fund)
7. a. Grant Source Agency: Federal Department of Justice
b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Federal Grant – State Pass-Through: Board of State and Community Corrections
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: **The project address the backlog of public defender cases while working to identify community-based alternatives and out-of-home placements for youth who would otherwise remain in custody unnecessarily. JABG will be used to fund the Public Defender Placement Assistance Program. Public Defender will represent clients in juvenile court matters, obtain appropriate assessments of clients including educational and mental health assessments, and identify and build partnerships with community-based alternatives to detention and other supportive community-based services.**
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:
Start-Date: July 1, 2015 End-Date: June 30, 2016
10. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: NONE
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A
11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs? Yes No

b1. If yes, how much? \$

b2. How was the amount calculated?

c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

Not allowed by granting agency

To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

Other (please explain):

c2. If indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? \$34,500

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

****Disability Access Checklist****

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

Existing Site(s)

Existing Structure(s)

Existing Program(s) or Service(s)

Rehabilitated Site(s)

Rehabilitated Structure(s)

New Program(s) or Service(s)

New Site(s)

New Structure(s)

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:

Comments:

Departmental or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Arlene Laxamana
(Name)

Date Reviewed: August 6, 2015

Department Approval:

Jeff Adachi
(Name)

Public Defender
(Title)

[Signature]
(Signature)