File Number:(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)			
,		4: F	
	<u>ution Informa</u> ective July 201		
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervexpend grant funds.	visors resolutio	ons authorizing a Departmer	nt to accept and
The following describes the grant referred to in the	e accompanyii	ng resolution:	
Grant Title: Let'sPlaySF! Playground Renovati	ion Initiative		
2. Department: Recreation and Park Department	t	*	
3. Contact Person: Lisa Bransten	Tele	ohone: 415-831-2704	
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):			
[X] Approved by funding agency	[]	Not yet approved	
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied	for: \$15,000,0	000	
6a. Matching Funds Required: \$0 b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/	/A		
7a. Grant Source Agency: San Francisco Parks A b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N			
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The San F Parks Department to provide private funds to supp 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhoods Parks Bond playgrounds. The list of playgrounds was develop and Park Commission in December 2014. The Pa contributions to supplement public funding so that	plement the \$ ² I to support the sed by a Citize arks Alliance p	15.5 million allocated for play e renovation San Francisco's n Task Force and approved roposes to make both cash	ygrounds in the s thirteen worst by the Recreation
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approva	ıl documents,	or as proposed:	
Start-Date: January 2018 End-Da	ate: January 2	026	
 10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: 0 b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N c. If so, will contract services help to further the requirements? Yes, Contractor Monitoring Division d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing req 	I/A e goals of the n will set an L	BE goal for contract.	ss Enterprise (LBE)
11a. Does the budget include indirect costs?b1. If yes, how much?b2. How was the amount calculated?c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?[] Not allowed by granting agency	[]Yes	[X] No ximize use of grant funds on	n direct services
[] Other (please explain):c2. If no indirect costs are included, what wou	ıld have been	the indirect costs? Approxim	nately \$550,000

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: **Disability Access Checklist***(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability) 13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply): [] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X] Existing Site(s) [] Existing Structure(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s) Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) 1 New Structure(s) [] New Site(s) 14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to: 1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures; 2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access; 3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers. If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below: Comments: Conceptual plans and construction drawings for all projects will be reviewed and approved by the City's Disability Access Coordinator for compliance with ADA requirements. Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:

Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form: Philip A. Ginsburg

Date Reviewed:

(Name)

General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

(Title)

Date Reviewed:

(Signature Required)