

File No. 190990

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date Sept. 30, 2019

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 - Ethics Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 700 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Vacancy Notice |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Information Sheet |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

Completed by: Victor Young Date Sept 26, 2019

Completed by: Victor Young Date _____



Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
(415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-7714

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force: Children and Families Commission

Seat # or Category (If applicable): Human Services Agency District:

Name: Joan Miller

CA Zip: 94590

Home Phone: Occupation: Deputy Director

Work Phone: 707-333-6099 Employer: SF Human Services Agency

Business Address: 170 Otis Street Zip: 94103

Business E-Mail: joan.h.miller@sfgov.org Home E-Mail:

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.101 (a)2, Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of electors (registered voters) of the City and County of San Francisco. For certain other bodies, the Board of Supervisors can waive the residency requirement.

Check All That Apply:

Registered voter in San Francisco: Yes No If No, where registered:

Resident of San Francisco Yes No If No, place of residence:

Pursuant to Charter section 4.101 (a)1, please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

As a professional, I have worked primarily in a child welfare setting and worked in every aspect of child welfare. For the last several years, I have lead Child Welfare in Contra Costa County, Santa Cruz Conty, and now San Francisco County as their Director. My work experience requires working with individuals of numerous backgrounds. I also have expereince as a First 5 Commissioner in Contra Costa County First 5 working with at risk children in an edicational setting, working with clients at risk for HIV, working with homeless families, suicide prevention and counseling, as well as an educational assistant in the classroom.

Business and/or professional experience:

- Director, FCS - County of Santa Cruz, Human Services Department
- Interim Director, CFS - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- EHSD Division Manager - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- Social Work Supervisor II - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- Social Service Staff Development Specialist - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- Social Work Supervisor II - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- Social Service Staff Development Specialist - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services
- Social Casework Specialist II - Contra Costa County, Employment and Human Services

Civic Activities:

First 5 Commissioner, Contra Costa County First 5

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes No

For appointments by the Board of Supervisors, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. *(Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)*

Date: 4/19/2019 Applicant's Signature: (required) Joan Miller

(Manually sign or type your complete name.
NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once Completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Seat was Vacated: _____

City and County of San Francisco



London Breed, Mayor

Human Services Agency

Department of Human Services
Department of Aging and Adult Services
Office of Early Care and Education

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director

March 13, 2019

San Francisco First 5
1390 Market Street, Suite 318
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Appointment recommendation

To whom it may concern,

I would like to appoint Joan Miller, San Francisco Human Services Agency's Deputy Director of Family & Children's Services, to the San Francisco First 5 Children and Families Commission.

Please contact me at (415) 557-5846 if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Trent L. Rhorer".

Trent Rhorer
Executive Director

Copy:
Joan Miller

COVER PAGE

03/11/2019 JH

A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Miller Joan H

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)
Human Services Agency City and County of San Francisco
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position
Family and Children Services Deputy Director

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: _____ Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

State Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
 Multi County _____ County of San Francisco
 City of San Francisco Other _____

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018.
-or- The period covered is _____, through December 31, 2018.
 Assuming Office: Date assumed _____
 Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____
 Leaving Office: Date Left _____ (Check one circle.)
 The period covered is January 1, 2018, through the date of leaving office.
-or- The period covered is _____, through the date of leaving office.

4. Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Total number of pages including this cover page: _____

Schedules attached

Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached
 Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached
 Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached

-or- None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)
San Francisco CA 94103
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER EMAIL ADDRESS
joan.h.miller@sfgov.org

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 02.28.19
(month, day, year)

Signature _____
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

Board of Supervisors

Application



Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94102-4689
(415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-5163

Application For Boards, Commissions and Committees

Application for Appointment to: SAN FRANCISCO CHILDREN + FAMILIES COMMISSION

Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force

Seat # or Category (If Applicable): _____

Print Name LINDA ASATO

Home Address [REDACTED] SAN FRANCISCO, CA. Zip 94112

Home Phone: [REDACTED] Occupation: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Work Phone: 415-494-4640 Employer: CA. CHILD CARE RESOURCE + REFERRAL NETWORK

E-Mail Address: [REDACTED] Fax # 415-882-6233 Pager # N/A

Business Address 1182 MARKET ST., STE. 300, SAN FRANCISCO, CA Zip 94102

Are you a United States citizen, or a resident alien who is eligible for and has applied for citizenship? Yes No

Have you ever been convicted of a felony in this state, or convicted of any offense which, if committed in this state, would be a felony?

Yes No (If yes, please attach a statement describing the offense(s) for which you have been convicted, the date of those conviction(s), and the court(s) that convicted you.)

Education BA PSYCHOLOGY @ UC BERKELEY, MASTERS IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

@ COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Business and/or professional experience I HAVE BEEN IN THE EARLY CHILDHOOD AND YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FIELD FOR OVER 25 YEARS. I HAVE BEEN AN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (ED) OF AN EARLY CHILDHOOD AND FAMILY SUPPORT AGENCY (WU YEE CHILDREN'S
 Civic Activities I HAVE SERVED ON THE CHILDREN AND FAMILIES COMMISSION SINCE 2011. I HAVE SERVED ON THE CHILDREN'S PLANNING AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CPAC), STARTING POINTS, AND MAYOR'S CHILDREN'S CABINET (JORDAN AND NEWSOM).

Other Personal Information: (optional) _____

Ethnicity: (optional) _____ Sex: (optional) M F

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes No

Would you be able to attend night meetings? _____ Day meetings? _____ Either

Please state your qualifications (attach supplemental sheet if necessary) I AM FAMILIAR WITH PUBLIC SECTOR GRANTMAKING.

Is this a Supervisorial appointment? Yes No If yes, Name of Supervisor _____

For a Supervisorial appointment, no appearance before a Board committee is required, pursuant to Ordinance Number 41-00.

For a Board of Supervisors appointment, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. (Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Date 3/22/19 Applicant's Signature [Signature]

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year.

For Office Use Only: Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ District #: _____

BUSINESS AND/OR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE (CONTINUED):


SERVICES) FOR 11 YEARS AND IN MY CAPACITY AS ED, SERVED AS POLICY AND PLANNER FOR THE FORMER MAYOR'S OFFICE OF CHILDREN, YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES, NOW DCFY. I ALSO WORKED FOR THE SFUSD IN THEIR INTERGOVERNMENTAL OFFICE OF SERVICE INTEGRATION. I CURRENTLY SERVE AS ED OF A STATEWIDE ORGANIZATION, THE CALIFORNIA CHILD CARE RESOURCE + REFERRAL NETWORK.

CIVIC ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED):

I HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN A LOCAL GIRL SCOUT LEADER (6 YEARS), AND CURRENTLY I AM A PARENT VOLUNTEER AT LOWELL HIGH SCHOOL WITH THEIR VOLUNTEERS IN COLLEGE AND CAREER INFORMATION.

QUALIFICATIONS (CONTINUED):

BOTH AS A FUNDER AND RECIPIENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS. I AM ALSO KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE FIELDS OF CHILD SUPPORT AND DEVELOPMENT, BOTH AT THE STATE AND NATIONAL LEVELS.



STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Asato, Linda

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)
City and County of San Francisco
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable
Your Position
Children & Families First Commission
Member

▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: *SEE ATTACHED FOR ADDITIONAL POSITIONS Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

State Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
 Multi-County County of San Francisco
 City of Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018
-or-
The period covered is _____, through December 31, 2018
 Assuming Office: Date assumed _____
 Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____
 Leaving Office: Date Left _____ (Check one circle)
 The period covered is January 1, 2018, through the date of leaving office.
 The period covered is _____, through the date of leaving office.

4. Schedule Summary (must complete)

▶ Total number of pages including this cover page: 2

Schedules attached

Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached
 Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached
 Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached

-or-

None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)
San Francisco CA 94102
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER E-MAIL ADDRESS
()

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 03/28/2019
(month, day, year)

Signature Linda Asato
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

**STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
Expanded Statement Attachment**

| |
|--|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small> |
| Name <p align="center">Linda Asato</p> |

* This table lists all positions including the primary position listed in the Office, Agency, or Court section of the Cover Page.

| Agency | Division/Board/Dept/District | Position | Type of Statement |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Commissioner | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Member | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |

Board of Supervisors

Application



Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94102-4689
(415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-5163

Application For Boards, Commissions and Committees

Application for Appointment to: Children & Families Commission

Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force

Seat # or Category (If Applicable): # 7

Print Name Suzanne Girardo

Home Address [Redacted] Zip 94127

Home Phone: [Redacted] Occupation: psychologist Clinical Director

Work Phone: 415 600 6200 Employer: California Pacific Medical Center

E-Mail Address: girardo@sutterhealth Fax # 415 749 1433 Pager # [Redacted]

Business Address 1635 Van Ness ave Zip 94104

Are you a United States citizen, or a resident alien who is eligible for and has applied for citizenship? Yes No

Have you ever been convicted of a felony in this state, or convicted of any offense which, if committed in this state, would be a felony? NO

Yes No. (If yes, please attach a statement describing the offense(s) for which you have been convicted, the date of those conviction(s), and the court(s) that convicted you.)

Education University of San Francisco, CA State

Business and/or professional experience 20+ years as a child/adolescent/
family psychologist, clinical director and administrator
at California Pacific Medical Center; former teacher

Civic Activities FIRST 5 COMMISSION - president
Mayor's 10 year Homeless Plan

Other Personal Information: (optional) _____

Ethnicity: (optional) _____ Sex: (optional) MF

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes No

Would you be able to attend night meetings? _____ Day meetings? _____ Either ✓

Please state your qualifications (attach supplemental sheet if necessary) PREVIOUS TERM AS COMMISSIONER FIRST 5

Is this a Supervisorial appointment? Yes No If yes, Name of Supervisor _____

For a Supervisorial appointment, no appearance before a Board committee is required, pursuant to Ordinance Number 41-00.

For a Board of Supervisors appointment, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. (Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Date 2-5-19 Applicant's Signature [Signature]

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year.

For Office Use Only: Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ District #: _____

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS

COVER PAGE

E-Filed
02/15/2019
15:16:10Filing ID:
176827319

Please type or print in ink.

 NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
 Giraud, Suzanne

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

City and County of San Francisco

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

Children & Families First Commission

Member

▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: *SEE ATTACHED FOR ADDITIONAL POSITIONS

Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

 State Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction) Multi-County _____ County of San Francisco City of _____ Other _____

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

 Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018 Leaving Office: Date Left _____

(Check one circle)

-or-

The period covered is _____, through December 31, 2018

 The period covered is January 1, 2018, through the date of leaving office. Assuming Office: Date assumed _____ The period covered is _____, through the date of leaving office. Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____

4. Schedule Summary (must complete)

▶ Total number of pages including this cover page: 9

Schedules attached

 Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached

-or-

 None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

| MAILING ADDRESS | STREET | CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE |
|---|----------------|---------------|-------|----------|
| <i>(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)</i> | | | | |
| | | San Francisco | CA | 94120 |
| DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER | E-MAIL ADDRESS | | | |
| () | | | | |

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 02/15/2019
(month, day, year)Signature Suzanne Giraud
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official)

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
Expanded Statement Attachment

| |
|---|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION |
| Name Suzanne Giraud |

* This table lists all positions including the primary position listed in the Office, Agency, or Court section of the Cover Page.

| Agency | Division/Board/Dept/District | Position | Type of Statement |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Commissioner | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Member | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests (Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Giraud, Suzanne

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
GESD Capital Partners

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
food, restaurants, bakeries

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other partner
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Basin Street Properties

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
real estate limited partnership

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other limited partner
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
MDNH Partner LP

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
investment partnership

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other limited partner
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 / / 18 / / 18
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-2

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

Giraud, Suzanne _____

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Breadboard Enterprises
Name

San Francisco, CA 94133
Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
passive holder of cash and interest in BBE

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 | | ____/____/18 | ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | | ACQUIRED | DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | | | |

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Partnership Sole Proprietorship _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION investor

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 | |

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

None or Names listed below

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | | ____/____/18 | ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | | ACQUIRED | DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | | | |

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership

Leasehold _____ Other _____
Yrs. remaining

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

GESD Capital Partners
Name

San Francisco, CA 94133
Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
private equity

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 | | ____/____/18 | ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | | ACQUIRED | DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | | | |

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Partnership Sole Proprietorship _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION partner

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

| | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 | |

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

None or Names listed below

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | | ____/____/18 | ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | | ACQUIRED | DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | | | |

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership

Leasehold _____ Other _____
Yrs. remaining

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-2

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

| |
|--|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 |
| <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small> |
| Name |
| Giraud, Suzanne |

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Breadboard Investments
 Name
 San Francisco, CA 94133
 Address (Business Address Acceptable)
 Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS | |
| various | |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 | ____/____/18 ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | ACQUIRED DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other | |
| YOUR BUSINESS POSITION partner | |

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

None or Names listed below

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | ____/____/18 ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | ACQUIRED DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | |
| NATURE OF INTEREST | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached | |

▶ 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Andre Boudin Bakeries Inc
 Name
 San Francisco, CA 94133
 Address (Business Address Acceptable)
 Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS | |
| various food and real estate | |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 | ____/____/18 ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | ACQUIRED DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | |
| NATURE OF INVESTMENT | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other | |
| YOUR BUSINESS POSITION partner | |

▶ 2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000

▶ 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

None or Names listed below

▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 | ____/____/18 ____/____/18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 | ACQUIRED DISPOSED |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000 | |
| NATURE OF INTEREST | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached | |

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
 (Including Rental Income)

Name
Giraud, Suzanne

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
35 San Buenaventura Way
 CITY
San Francisco

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED / / 18 DISPOSED / / 18

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining _____ Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
128 Westgate Drive
 CITY
Napa, CA

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED / / 18 DISPOSED / / 18

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining _____ Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____% TERM (Months/Years) _____
 None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____% TERM (Months/Years) _____
 None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

| |
|--|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 |
| <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small> |
| Name _____ |
| Giraud, Suzanne |

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Breadboard Investments

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94133

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

_____ (Describe)

Other _____
(Describe)

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Coblentz, Patch, Duffy, Bass

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94105

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

_____ (Describe)

Other _____
(Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000

\$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____% None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN

None Personal residence

Real Property _____
Street address

_____ *City*

Guarantor _____

Other _____
(Describe)

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

| |
|--|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small> |
| Name <hr/> Giraudó, Suzanne |

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
California Pacific Medical Center

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94104

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Health care

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
director, psychologist

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
 (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
 Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
 (Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

 (Describe)

Other _____
 (Describe)

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
S & P Realty

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
Mill Valley, CA 94111

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
 (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
 Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
 (Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

 (Describe)

Other _____
 (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000

\$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____% None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN

None Personal residence

Real Property _____
 Street address _____
 City _____

Guarantor _____

Other _____
 (Describe)

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

| |
|--|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 |
| <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small> |
| Name _____ |
| Giraud, Suzanne |

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
GESD Capital Partners

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94133

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
 (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
 Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
 (Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

_____ (Describe)

Other _____
 (Describe)

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Breadboard Enterprises

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94133

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
 (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
 Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
 (Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

_____ (Describe)

Other _____
 (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000

\$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____% None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN

None Personal residence

Real Property _____
 Street address _____
 City _____

Guarantor _____

Other _____
 (Describe)

Comments: _____

2/5/2019

Application | Board of Supervisors

Board of Supervisors

Application



Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, California 94102-4689
(415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-5163

Application For Boards, Commissions and Committees

Application for Appointment to: Children and Families First Commission / First 55F

Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force

Seat # or Category (if Applicable): # 7

Print Name Lynn Merz

Home Address [REDACTED] CA Zip 94019

Home Phone [REDACTED] Occupation: Executive Director

Work Phone: 415.296.9249 Employer: Mimi and Peter Haas Fund

E-Mail Address: [REDACTED] Fax # 415.296.8842 Pager # _____

Business Address 201 Filbert Street San Francisco, CA Zip 94133

Are you a United States citizen, or a resident alien who is eligible for and has applied for citizenship? Yes No

Have you ever been convicted of a felony in this state, or convicted of any offense which, if committed in this state, would be a felony?

Yes No If yes, please attach a statement describing the offense(s) for which you have been convicted, the date of those conviction(s), and the court(s) that convicted you.)

Education See attached resume

2/5/2019

Application | Board of Supervisors

Business and/or professional experience See attached resume

Civic Activities See attached sheet

Other Personal Information: (optional)

Ethnicity: (optional) Sex: (optional) MF

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes No

Would you be able to attend night meetings? Yes Day meetings? Yes Either Yes

Please state your qualifications (attach supplemental sheet if necessary)

I have served 2 terms on this Commission. Presently, I am the chair of the Fiscal committee. I have served as chair of the Program committee.

Is this a Supervisorial appointment? Yes No If yes, Name of Supervisor

For a Supervisorial appointment, no appearance before a Board committee is required, pursuant to Ordinance Number 41-00.

For a Board of Supervisors appointment, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. (Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Date 2.26.2019 Applicant's Signature Lynn D. Nerz

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year.

For Office Use Only: Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: District #:

Lynn Merz

650.208.7724

Lynn Merz

Executive Director

With more than 25 years of experience as an executive and leader in philanthropic, nonprofit, educational and clinical organizations.

Summary

Leadership. Provided leadership, developed programs and directed nonprofit, educational and philanthropic organizations focused on services for children, youth and families.

Team building. Managed multi-site divisions ranging from 5 to 75+ employees. Recognized for the ability to develop, identify and build on individual and team strengths.

Strategic planning. Developed and led strategic planning processes, integrating participation of Board, Board Steering Committee, Leadership Team and staff.

Budget development and management. Effectively developed and managed operating budgets in excess of \$7M for organizations with assets up to \$625M.

Organizational effectiveness. Successful track record of creating and developing organizations that effectively meet organizational mission and goals. Served as the leader of each organization's leadership team.

Consulting/facilitation. Formally trained as a facilitator by Interaction Associates and The Grove. Expertise in facilitating both internal and external meetings, trainings, and negotiations as well as large community forums.

Professional Experience

MIMI AND PETER HAAS FUND

2007 - present

Private family foundation that supports activities that provide San Francisco's young, low-income children and their families with access to high quality childhood programs that are part of a comprehensive, coordinated system.

Executive Director, officer to the Board of Trustees

- Directs overall foundation operations, including:
 - Early childhood program and grants management.
 - Fiscal, administrative and legal oversight.
 - Supervision and hiring of staff and consultants.
 - Interaction and relationships with key internal and external stakeholders and participates in the development and communication of public policy solutions that advance the foundations mission.
 - Serves as a hand-on "working" Executive Director.
- Develops and maintains strong, positive working relationships with the President and Trustees.
 - Works in collaboration with the President and Trustees on strategy, governance, growth and other issues.
 - Provides information to the President and Trustees in a timely and effective manner.
 - Works with the President and Trustees to administer all grant-making of the Fund outside of the area of early childhood education to fulfill the mission of the foundation.

Lynn Merz

650.208.7724

-
- Oversees early childhood programs
 - Establishes policies and grant-making procedures.
 - Establishes and oversees annual budget and grant payouts.
 - Schedules ensuing appropriate fiscal controls and reporting.
 - Develops and maintains strong, positive working relationships with strategic partners, grantee organizations and key external stakeholders.

FIRST 5 SAN FRANCISCO CHILDREN AND FAMILY COMMISSION

2011-2019

First 5 San Francisco is a department of the City and County of San Francisco. It is guided by a nine-member commission and two standing committees.

Commissioner on First 5 San Francisco Children and Family Commission

- Chaired Program Committee and serve as member 2011-2015
- Chairs Fiscal Committee 2015-2019
- Oversees strategic direction of First 5 San Francisco

PENINSULA COMMUNITY FOUNDATION

2000 - 2007

Bay Area Community foundation that stewards more than 650 charitable funds and has granted more than \$550M to 1500 nonprofit organization in 40 years.

Vice President/Chief Organizational Officer, member of Executive Staff and Leadership Team

- Created/developed the position of Chief Organizational Officer to manage day-to-day operations as PCF doubled its assets and staff.
 - Provided leadership across divisions of foundation.
 - Created and implemented people development programs.
 - Oversaw human resources and administration functions.
 - Developed and oversaw special initiatives and programs within foundation for children, youth and families.
- Staff member on the Board Governance/Nominating Committee and Administration Committee as well as worked with Board of Directors on grant making, initiatives and special projects.
- Led 5-year cultural capacity building initiative to increase awareness and appreciation of cultural diversity among staff and the community of grantees, key constituents and donors with a commitment of equity.
- Worked at Board's request during past year with Interim CEO to run Foundation during leadership and merger transition.

SAN MATEO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

1996 - 2000

Director of Child, Youth and Family Services Program

- Created, convened and led community collaborative of 24 school districts, city and county government agencies, nonprofit and community-based organizations, businesses and foundations to deliver social and educational services to children, youth and families in San Mateo County.
- Served as lead staff to County Early Learning and Child Care Partnership Council.
- Reported to County Superintendent and Associate Superintendent by serving as representative on task forces and committees within San Mateo County and the Bay Area related to children and youth services.
- Managed department budget and fund raised through foundation, federal and state grants.
- Developed training programs for school district and county staff related to children and youth.

Lynn Merz

650.208.7724

- Facilitated meetings within the office and throughout the county, bringing together professionals from diverse disciplines.

FAMILY COUNCIL OF HALF MOON BAY

1993 - 1996

*Nonprofit California family services agency serving 600 children and families*Executive Director and Director of Development

- Oversaw operations of organization and supervised program directors and office staff of 80.
- Worked with Board of Directors to develop funding.
- Worked with school district to administer and run early childhood educational and after school programs, family literacy and school-linked services programs for coastal communities.
- Managed \$2.2M budget and raised funding through federal, state and foundation grants.
- Developed and directed implementation of curriculum for early learning and care centers.
- Served as agency community and county liaison.

WINTHROP STREET SCHOOL (Concord MA)

1991 - 1993

*Therapeutic co-educational day school and treatment program for adolescents*Director of Education

- Worked with public schools and Department of Social Services in treatment planning and re-entry of students into public schools or after-graduation placement.
- Oversaw development and implementation of comprehensive high school curriculum.
- Hired, evaluated and supervised educational staff.

CONCORD ASSABET SCHOOL (Concord MA)

1985 - 1993

*Residential school serving emotionally disturbed adolescent females*Director of Education

- Oversaw development and implementation of comprehensive high school curriculum.
- Hired, evaluated, and supervised teachers and interns.
- Served on clinical team for treatment planning.
- Acted as liaison to public schools.
- Coordinated all special education services.

Psychotherapy and Consultation Experience includes:

ROHRBAUGH ASSOCIATES (Cambridge MA)

1989 - 1993

Counseling and Consulting Psychologist

- Assisted in the establishment and development of Rohrbaugh Associates as a consulting and counseling services program for independent high schools in the Greater Boston area.
- Established curriculum and group learning experiences to build self-esteem and resiliency in adolescents experiencing social and emotional difficulties.
- Led group counseling sessions for students, parents and students with their parents.
- Counseled individual students and parents through short-term and long-term weekly psychotherapy sessions.

Lynn Merz

650.208.7724

Education

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Ed M., Counseling and Consulting, Psychology, 1987

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS

Ed M., Human Services Administration and Community Education, 1975

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

BA, English Literature, *Cum Laude*, 1974

Certifications and Credentials

California Preliminary Credential in Educational Administration

Massachusetts Certification in Moderate Special Needs

California Clear Credential in Special Education/Learning Handicapped

Massachusetts Certification in Secondary English and Social Studies

California Credential in Single Subject, English

Licensed in Massachusetts as Psychologist Assistant (MFT)

Certified Facilitator, Interaction Associates


Certified Graphics Recorder, The Grove

Professional Organizations

National Early Childhood Funders Collaborative, Treasurer

Bay Area Early Childhood Funders

Women in Psychology



STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS

COVER PAGE

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Merz, Lynn

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)
City and County of San Francisco
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position
Children & Families First Commission Member

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: *SEE ATTACHED FOR ADDITIONAL POSITIONS Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

- State Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County County of San Francisco
City of Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

- Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018
Leaving Office: Date Left
Assuming Office: Date assumed
Candidate: Date of Election and office sought, if different than Part 1

4. Schedule Summary (must complete)

► Total number of pages including this cover page: 2

Schedules attached

- Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments - schedule attached
Schedule B - Real Property - schedule attached
Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached
Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule attached
Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached

-or-

[X] None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
San Francisco CA 94133
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER E-MAIL ADDRESS

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 03/27/2019 (month, day, year)

Signature Lynn Merz (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

**STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
Expanded Statement Attachment**

| |
|-------------------------------------|
| CALIFORNIA FORM 700 |
| FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION |
| Name Lynn Merz |

* This table lists all positions including the primary position listed in the Office, Agency, or Court section of the Cover Page.

| Agency | Division/Board/Dept/District | Position | Type of Statement |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Commissioner | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |
| City and County of San Francisco | Children & Families First Commission | Member | Annual 1/1/2018 - 12/31/2018 |

San Francisco
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Date Printed: March 21, 2017

Date Established: December 24, 1998

Active

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES FIRST COMMISSION

Contact and Address:

Kahala Drain
San Francisco Children & Families Commission
1390 Market Street, Suite 318
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 934-4849

Fax: (415) 565-0494

Email: Kahala@first5sf.org

Authority:

Administrative Code, Sections 86.1 et seq. (Ordinance Nos. 409-98, 321-99, and 221-00)

Board Qualifications:

The San Francisco Children and Families First Commission (aka the First Five Commission) consists of a total of nine (9) members, all of whom are appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

The following four (4) members shall be entitled to serve as long as they meet the qualifications of membership.

- > One (1) member shall be the Director of Public Health or his/her designee;
- > One (1) member shall be the General Manager of the Department of Human Services or his/her designee;
- > One (1) member shall be a member of the Board of Supervisors;
- > One (1) member shall be the Director of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families or his/her designee.

The remaining five (5) members shall serve four-year terms and be appointed from among the following categories: persons responsible for management of the following county functions: children services, public health services; behavioral health services, social services and tobacco and other substance abuse prevention and treatment services; recipients of project services included in the county strategic plan; educators specializing in early childhood development; representatives of a local child care resource or referral agency, the Child Care Planning and Advisory Council or another local child care coordinating group; representatives of a local organization for prevention or early intervention for families at risk; representatives of community-based organizations that have the goal of promoting and nurturing early childhood

San Francisco
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

development; representatives of local school districts; and representatives of local medical, pediatric, or obstetric associations or societies.

In the event a vacancy occurs during the term of office of any appointed member, a successor shall be appointed for the unexpired term of the office vacated in a manner similar to that for the initial member.

This Commission is established to promote, support and improve the early development of children from the prenatal state to five years of age and to carry out the provisions of the California Children and Families First Act of 1998. The powers and duties are stated in Administrative Code, Section 86.2.

Reports: The Commission shall establish a San Francisco County Strategic Plan for the support and improvement of early childhood development within the City and County of San Francisco as stated in Section 86.5 of the Administrative Code. On at least an annual basis, the Commission shall review its Strategic Plan and revise the Plan as may be necessary.

Sunset Date: None

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

VACANCY NOTICE

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES FIRST COMMISSION

Replaces All Previous Notices

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following seat information and term expirations (**in bold**), appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Seat 1, Mary Hansell, shall be the Director of Public Health or his/her designee, for an indefinite term.

Vacant Seat 2, succeeding Sylvia Deporto, shall be the General Manager of the Department of Human Services or his/her designee, for an indefinite term.

Seat 3, Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer, shall be a member of the Board of Supervisors, for an indefinite term.

Seat 4, Maria Su, shall be the Director of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families or his/her designee, for an indefinite term.

Seat 5, E'leva Gibson, term expiring on April 29, 2022, shall represent one or more of the categories specified in additional requirements below, for a four-year term.

Seat 6, succeeding Linda Asato, term expiring on April 29, 2019, shall represent one or more of the categories specified in additional requirements below, for a four-year term ending April 29, 2023.

Seat 7, succeeding Suzanne Giraud, term expiring on April 29, 2019, shall represent one or more of the categories specified in additional requirements below, for a four-year term ending April 29, 2023.

Seat 8, Zea Malawa, term expiring on April 29, 2022, shall represent one or more of the categories specified in additional requirements below, for a four-year term.

Seat 9, succeeding Lynn Merz, term expiring on April 29, 2019, shall represent one or more of the categories specified in additional requirements below, for a four-year term ending April 29, 2023.

Additional Requirements: All nine members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Seats 1 through 4 shall be entitled to serve indefinite terms as long as they meet the qualifications of membership. Seats 5 through 9 shall serve four-year terms and be appointed among the following categories: children services, public health services; behavioral health services, social services and tobacco and other

substance abuse prevention and treatment services; recipients of project services included in the county strategic plan; educators specializing in early childhood development; representatives of a local child care resource or referral agency, the Child Care Planning and Advisory Council or another local child care coordinating group; representatives of a local organization for prevention or early intervention for families at risk; representatives of community-based organizations that have the goal of promoting and nurturing early childhood development; representatives of local school districts; and representatives of local medical, pediatric, or obstetric associations or societies.

Reports: The Commission shall establish a San Francisco County Strategic Plan for the support and improvement of early childhood development within the City and County of San Francisco as stated in Administrative Code, Section 86. On at least an annual basis, the Commission shall review its Strategic Plan and revise the Plan as may be necessary.

Sunset Date: None.


Additional information relating to the Children and Families First Commission may be obtained by reviewing Administrative Code, Sections 86.1 et seq., at <http://www.sfbos.org/sfmunicodes> or by visiting their website at <http://www.first5sf.org/>.

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.32 (Motion No. 05-92) all applicants applying for this Commission must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests. Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 is not submitted. Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests, may be obtained at <http://www.sfbos.org/form700>.


Interested persons may obtain an application from the Board of Supervisors website at http://www.sfbos.org/vacancy_application or from the Rules Committee Clerk, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689. All applicants must be residents of San Francisco, unless otherwise stated.

Next Steps: Applicants who meet minimum qualifications will be contacted by the Rules Committee Clerk once the Rules Committee Chair determines the date of the hearing. Members of the Rules Committee will consider the appointment(s) at the meeting and applicant(s) may be asked to state their qualifications. The appointment(s) of the individual(s) who are recommended by the Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, a vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if a vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184.


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

GENDER ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS



2019



City and County of San Francisco
London N. Breed
Mayor

Department on the Status of Women
Emily M. Murase, PhD
Director



Acknowledgements

The data collection and analysis for this report was conducted by Public Policy Fellow Diana McCaffrey with support from Policy and Projects Director Elizabeth Newman, Associate Director Carol Sacco, and Director Emily Murase, PhD, at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various policy body members, Commission secretaries, and department staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Debbie Mesloh

Vice President Breanna Zwart

Commissioner Shokooh Miry

Commissioner Carrie Schwab-Pomerantz

Commissioner Andrea Shorter

Commissioner Julie D. Soo

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Director
Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports>.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Table of Figures | 3 |
| Executive Summary..... | 4 |
| I. Introduction | 7 |
| II. Gender Analysis Findings | 8 |
| A. Gender | 8 |
| B. Race and Ethnicity..... | 11 |
| C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender..... | 14 |
| D. LGBTQ Identity..... | 16 |
| E. Disability Status..... | 16 |
| F. Veteran Status..... | 17 |
| G. Policy Bodies by Budget..... | 18 |
| H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics | 19 |
| I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees | 20 |
| III. Conclusion..... | 21 |
| IV. Methodology and Limitations..... | 23 |
| Appendix..... | 24 |

Table of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019..... | 8 |
| Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies | 8 |
| Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015.. | 9 |
| | 9 |
| Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015.. | 10 |
| | 10 |
| Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019..... | 10 |
| Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of People of Color’s Representation of Policy Bodies | 11 |
| Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019..... | 12 |
| Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015 | 12 |
| Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015 | 13 |
| Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019..... | 14 |
| Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies..... | 14 |
| Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019..... | 15 |
| Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019..... | 15 |
| Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019 | 16 |
| Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019 | 16 |
| Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017 | 17 |
| Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019..... | 17 |
| Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017..... | 17 |
| Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019 | 17 |
| Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019 | 18 |
| Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019..... | 19 |
| Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019 | 19 |
| Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019 | 20 |
| Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019 | 20 |
| Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019..... | 24 |
| Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017 | 26 |
| Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017 | 26 |

Executive Summary

In 2008, San Francisco voters overwhelmingly approved a City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) establishing as City policy for the membership of Commissions and Boards to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population, and that appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates. Additionally, it requires the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The *2019 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards* includes more policy bodies such as task forces, committees, and advisory bodies, than previous analyses, which were limited to Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 84 policy bodies and from a total of 741 members mostly appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney.¹ The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do *not* submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. This report examines policy bodies and appointees both comprehensively as a whole and separately by the two categories.


The *2019 Gender Analysis* evaluates the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies.

Key Findings

Gender

- Women's representation on policy bodies is 51%, slightly above parity with the San Francisco female population of 49%.
- Since 2009, there has been a small but steady increase in the representation of women on San Francisco policy bodies.

10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

¹ "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, <https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf>, (August 25, 2017).

Race and Ethnicity

- People of color are underrepresented on policy bodies compared to the population. Although people of color comprise 62% of San Francisco’s population, just 50% of appointees identify as a race other than white.
- While the overall representation of people of color has increased between 2009 and 2019, as the Department collected data on more appointees, the representation of people of color has decreased over the last few years. The percentage of appointees of color decreased from 53% in 2017 to 49% in 2019.
- As found in previous reports, Latinx and Asian groups are underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the population. Latinx individuals are 14% of the population but make up only 8% of appointees. Asian individuals are 31% of the population but make up only 18% of appointees.

10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- On the whole, women of color are 32% of the San Francisco population, and 28% of appointees. Although still below parity, 28% is a slight increase compared to 2017, which showed 27% women of color appointees.
- Meanwhile, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.
- Both White women and men are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies. White women are 23% of appointees compared to 17% of the San Francisco population. White men are 26% of appointees compared to 20% of the population.
- Black and African American women and men are well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 9% of appointees compared to 2.4% of the population, and Black men are 5% of appointees compared to 2.5% of the population.
- Latinx women are 7% of the San Francisco population but 3% of appointees, and Latinx men are 7% of the population but 5% of appointees.
- Asian women are 17% of the San Francisco population but 11% of appointees, and Asian men are 15% of the population but just 7% of appointees.

10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Additional Demographics

- Out of the 74% of appointees who responded to the survey question on LGBTQ identity, 19% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, nonbinary, queer, or questioning, and 81% of appointees identify as straight/heterosexual.
- Out of the 70% of appointees who responded to the question on disability, 11% identify as having one or more disabilities, which is just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Out of the 67% of appointees who responded to the question on veteran status, 7% have served in the military compared to 3% of the San Francisco population.

Proxies for Influence: Budget & Authority

- Although women are half of all appointees, those Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets have fewer women and especially fewer women of color. Meanwhile, women exceed representation on Boards and Commissions with the smallest budgets and women of color reach parity with the population on the smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards.
- Although still underrepresented relative to the San Francisco population, there is a larger percentage of people of color on Commissions and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets compared to overall appointees.
- The percentage of total women is greater on Advisory Bodies than Commissions and Boards. Women are 54% of appointees on Advisory Bodies and 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards. However, the percentages of people of color and women of color on Commissions and Boards exceed the percentages of people of color and women of color on Advisory Bodies.

Appointing Authorities

- Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 52% people of color, and 30% women of color, which is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointments and total appointments.

Demographics of Appointees Compared to the San Francisco Population

| | Women | People of Color | Women of Color | LGBTQ | Disability Status | Veteran Status |
|---|-------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| San Francisco Population | 49% | 62% | 32% | 6%-15%* | 12% | 3% |
| Total Appointees | 51% | 50% | 28% | 19% | 11% | 7% |
| 10 Largest Budgeted Commissions & Boards | 41% | 55% | 23% | | | |
| 10 Smallest Budgeted Commissions & Boards | 52% | 54% | 32% | | | |
| Commissions and Boards | 48% | 52% | 30% | | | |
| Advisory Bodies | 54% | 49% | 28% | | | |

*Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019, *Note: Estimates vary by source. See page 16 for a detailed breakdown.*

I. Introduction

Inspired by the 4th UN World Conference on Women in Beijing, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women. The CEDAW Ordinance was passed unanimously by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and signed into law by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. on April 13, 1998.² In 2002, the CEDAW Ordinance was revised to address the intersection of race and gender and incorporate reference to the UN Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Race Discrimination. The Ordinance requires City Government to take proactive steps to ensure gender equity and specifies “gender analysis” as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination. Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women has employed this tool to analyze the operations of 10 City Departments using a gender lens.

In 2007, the Department on the Status of Women conducted the first gender analysis to evaluate the number of women appointed to City Commissions and Boards. The findings of this analysis informed a City Charter Amendment developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 Election. This City Charter Amendment (Section 4.101) was overwhelmingly approved by voters and made it city policy that:

- The membership of Commissions and Boards are to reflect the diversity of San Francisco’s population,
- Appointing officials are to be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates, and
- The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every 2 years.

The *2019 Gender Analysis* examines the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies primarily appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. This year’s analysis included more outreach to policy bodies as compared to previous analyses that were limited to Commissions and Boards. As a result, more appointees were included in the data collection and analysis than even before. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as “Commissions and Boards,” are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission, and the second category, referred to as “Advisory Bodies,” are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. A detailed description of methodology and limitations can be found at the end of this report on page 23.

² San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 33.A.

[http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited?f=templates\\$fn=default.htm\\$3.0\\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca\\$anc=JD_Chapter33A](http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited?f=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$anc=JD_Chapter33A).

II. Gender Analysis Findings

Many aspects of San Francisco’s diversity are reflected in the overall population of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. The analysis includes 84 policy bodies, of which 823 of the 887 seats are filled leaving 7% vacant. As outlined below in the summary chart, slightly more than half of appointees are women, half of appointees are people of color, 28% are women of color, 19% are LGBTQ, 11% have a disability, and 7% are veterans.

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019

| Appointee Demographics | Percentage of Appointees |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Women (n=741) | 51% |
| People of Color (n=706) | 50% |
| Women of Color (n=706) | 28% |
| LGBTQ Identified (n=548) | 19% |
| People with Disabilities (n=516) | 11% |
| Veteran Status (n=494) | 7% |


Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

However, further analysis reveals underrepresentation of particular groups. Subsequent sections present comprehensive data analysis providing comparison to previous years, detailing the variables of gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ identity, disability, veteran status, and policy body characteristics of budget size, decision-making authority, and appointment authority.

A. Gender

On San Francisco policy bodies, 51% of appointees identify as women, which is slightly above parity compared to the San Francisco female population of 49%. The representation of women remained stable at 49% from 2013 until 2017. This year, the representation of women increased by 2 percentage points, which could be partly due to the larger sample size used in this year’s analysis compared to previous years. A 10-year comparison shows that the representation of women appointees has gradually increased since 2009 by a total of six percentage points.


Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figures 3 and 4 analyze Commissions and Boards. Figure 3 showcases the five Commissions and Boards with the highest representation of women appointees as compared to 2015 and 2017. The Children and Families (First Five) Commission and the Commission on the Status of Women are currently comprised of all women appointees. This finding has been consistent for the Commission on the Status of Women in 2015 and 2017. While the Ethics Commission has 100% women appointees, much more than 2015 and 2017, its small size of five appointees means that minimal changes in its demographic composition greatly impacts percentages. This is also the case for other policy bodies with a small number of members. The Library Commission and the Commission on the Environment are fourth and fifth on the list at 71% and 67% women, respectively, with long standing female majorities on each.


Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Out of the Commissions and Boards in this section, 23 have 40% or less women. The five Commissions and Boards with the lowest representation of women are displayed in Figure 4. The lowest percentage is found on the Board of Examiners where currently *none* of the 13 appointees are women. Unfortunately, demographic data is unavailable for the Board of Examiners for 2017 and 2015. Next is the Building Inspection Commission at 14%, which is a decrease of female representation compared to 2017 and 2015. The Oversight Board of Community Investment and Infrastructure, Fire Commission, and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force also have some of the lowest percentages of women at 17%, 20%, and 27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not participate in previous analyses and therefore demographics data is unavailable for 2017 and 2015.


Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of women. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. Figure 9 below displays the five Advisory Bodies with the highest and the five with the lowest representations of women. The Workforce Community Advisory Committees has the greatest representation of women at 100%, followed by the Office of Early Care and Education Citizen’s Advisory Committee at 89%. The Advisory Bodies with the lowest percentage of women are the Urban Forestry Council at 8% of the 13-member body and the Abatement Appeals Board at 14% of the 7-member body.

Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

B. Race and Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic identity was collected for 706, or 95%, of the 741 surveyed appointees. Although half of appointees identify as a race or ethnicity other than white or Caucasian, people of color are still underrepresented compared to the San Francisco population of 62%. The representation of people of color has increased since 2009 but has decreased following 2015. The number of appointees analyzed increased substantially in 2017 and 2019 compared to 2015, and these larger data samples have coincided with smaller percentages of people of color. The percentage decrease following 2017 could be partially due to the inclusion of more policy and advisory bodies, as the representation of people of color on Commissions and Boards dropped only slightly from 53% in 2017 to 52% in 2019.

Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

The racial and ethnic breakdown of policy body members compared to the San Francisco population is shown in Figure 7. This analysis reveals underrepresentation and overrepresentation in San Francisco policy bodies for certain racial and ethnic groups. Half of all appointees are white, an overrepresentation by more than 10 percentage points. The Black and African American community is well represented on appointed policy bodies at 14% compared to 5% of the population of San Francisco. Characterizing this as an overrepresentation is inaccurate given the representation of Black or African American people on policy bodies has been consistent over the years while the San Francisco population has declined over the same period.³ Furthermore, the most recent nationwide estimate for the Black or African American population is 13%, which is nearly equal to the 14% of Black or African American appointees present on San Francisco policy bodies.⁴


Considerably underrepresented racial and ethnic groups on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population are individuals who identify as Asian or Latinx. While Asians are 31% of the San Francisco population, they only make up 18% of appointees. While the Latinx population of San Francisco is 14%, only 8% of appointees are Latinx. Although there is a small population of Native

³ Samir Gambhir and Stephen Menendian, "Racial Segregation in the Bay Area, Part 2," *Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society* (2018).

⁴ US Census Bureau, 2018, Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218>.

Americans and Alaska Natives in San Francisco of 0.4%, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.


Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019



Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

The next two graphs illustrate Commissions and Boards, and Advisory Bodies with the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. As shown in Figure 8, the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure remained at 100% from 2017, while the Juvenile Probation Commission has returned to 100% this year after a dip in 2017. Next is the Health Commission, Immigrant Rights Commission, and Housing Authority Commission at 86%, 85%, and 83%, respectively. Percentages of people of color on both the Health Commission and the Housing Authority Commission increased following 2015, and have remained consistent since 2017.


Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

There are 23 policy bodies that have 40% or less appointees who identified a racial and ethnic category other than white. Although the Public Utilities Commission has two vacancies, *none* of the current appointees identify as people of color. The Historic Preservation Commission and Building Inspection Commission are both at 14% representation for people of color. The Building Inspection Commission had a large drop from 43% in 2015, with the percentage of people of color decreasing to 14% in 2017 and remaining at this percent for 2019. Lastly, the War Memorial Board of Trustees and City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission have 18% and 20%, respectively.


Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. All members of the Workforce Community Advisory Committee are people of color. People of color comprise 80% of the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee, and 75% of appointees on the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority, and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board. Out of the five Advisory Bodies with the lowest representation of people of color, the Ballot Simplification Committee and the Mayor’s Disability Council have 25% appointees of color, and the Abatement Appeals Board has 14% appointees of color. The Urban Forestry and the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee have no people of color currently serving.

Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender

White men and women are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies, while Asian and Latinx men and women are underrepresented. While women of color continue to be underrepresented at 28% compared to the San Francisco population of 32%, this is a slight increase from 2017 which showed 27% women of color. Meanwhile, men of color are 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.


Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.


The following figures present the breakdown for appointees and the San Francisco population by race and ethnicity and gender. White men and women are overrepresented, holding 27% and 23% of appointments, respectively, compared to 20% and 17% of the population, respectively. Asian men and women are both greatly underrepresented with Asian women making up 11% of appointees compared to 17% of the population while Asian men comprise 7% of appointees and 15% of the population. Latinx men and women are also underrepresented, particularly Latinx women, who are 3% of appointees and 7% of the population, while Latinx men are 5% of appointees and 7% of the population. Black or African American men and women are well-represented with Black women comprising 9% of appointees and Black men comprising 5% of appointees. Pacific Islander men and women, and multiethnic women also exceed parity with the population. Although Native American men and women make up only 0.4% of San Francisco’s population, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.

Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.


D. LGBTQ Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) identity data was collected from 548, or 75%, of the 741 surveyed appointees, which is much more data on LGBTQ identity compared to previous reports. Due to limited and outdated information on the population of the LGBTQ community in San Francisco, it is difficult to adequately assess the representation of the LGBTQ community. However, compared to available San Francisco, larger Bay Area, and national data, the LGBTQ community is well represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Recent research estimates the national LGBT population is 4.5%.⁵ The LGBT population of the San Francisco and greater Bay Area is estimated to rank the highest of U.S. cities at 6.2%,⁶ while a 2006 survey found that 15.4% of adults in San Francisco identify as LGBT⁷.

Of the appointees who responded to this question, 19% identify as LGBTQ and 81% identify as straight or heterosexual. Of the LGBTQ appointees, 48% identify as gay, 23% as lesbian, 17% as bisexual, 7% as queer, 5% as transgender, and 1% as questioning. Data on LGBTQ identity by race was not captured. Efforts to capture data on LGBTQ identity by race for future reports would enable more intersectional analysis.

Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019


(N=548)



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019

(N=104)



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

E. Disability Status

Overall, 12% of adults in San Francisco have one or more disabilities, and when broken down by gender, 6.2% are women and 5.7% are men. Disability data for transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable. Data on disability was obtained from 516, or 70%, of the 741 appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 516 appointees, 11.2% reported to have one

⁵ Frank Newport, "In U.S., Estimate of LGBT Population Rises to 4.5%," *GALLUP* (May 22, 2018) <https://news.gallup.com/poll/234863/estimate-lgbt-population-rises.aspx>.


⁶ Gary J. Gates and Frank Newport, "San Francisco Metro Area Ranks Highest in LGBT Percentage," *GALLUP* (March 20, 2015) https://news.gallup.com/poll/182051/san-francisco-metro-area-ranks-highest-lgbt-percentage.aspx?utm_source=Social%20Issues&utm_medium=newsfeed&utm_campaign=tiles.

⁷ Gary J. Gates, "Same Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey," *The Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law* (2006).

or more disabilities, which is near parity with the San Francisco population. Of the 11.2% appointees with one or more disabilities, 6.8% are women, 3.9% are men, 0.4% are trans women, and 0.2% are trans men.

Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017


(N=744,243)



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019

(N=516)




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

F. Veteran Status

Overall, 3.2% of the adult population in San Francisco has served in the military. There is a considerable difference by gender, as male veterans are 3% and female veterans are 0.2% of the population. Data on veteran status was obtained from 494, or 67%, of appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 494 appointees who responded to this question, 7.1% have served in the military. Like the San Francisco population, there is a large difference by gender, as men comprise 5.7% and women make up only 1.2% of the total number of veteran appointees. Of participating appointees, 0.2% of veterans are trans women. Veteran status data on transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable.

Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017


(N=747,896)



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019

(N=494)




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

G. Policy Bodies by Budget

This report also examines whether policy bodies with the largest and smallest budget sizes and other characteristics are demographically representative of the San Francisco population. In this section, budget size is used as a proxy for influence. Although this report has expanded the scope of analysis to include more policy bodies compared to previous reports, this section of analysis was limited to Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members file financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the demographics for the spectrum of budgetary influence of policy bodies with decision-making authority in San Francisco.

Overall, appointees from the 10 largest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 55% people of color, 41% women, and 23% women of color. Appointees from the 10 smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 54% people of color, 52% women, and 32% women of color. Although still below parity with the San Francisco population, the representation of people of color on both the largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies is greater than the percentage of people of color for all appointees combined (50%). For women and women of color, their representation meets or exceeds parity with the population on the 10 smallest budgeted bodies. However, it falls far below parity for the 10 largest budgeted bodies. The representation of total women and women of color is greater on smaller budgeted policy bodies by 27%, and 39%, respectively.

Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019

| Body | FY18-19 Budget | Total Seats | Filled seats | Women | Women of Color | People of Color |
|---|------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Health Commission | \$2,200,000,000 | 7 | 7 | 29% | 14% | 86% |
| Public Utilities Commission | \$1,296,600,000 | 5 | 3 | 67% | 0% | 0% |
| MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission | \$1,200,000,000 | 7 | 7 | 57% | 14% | 43% |
| Airport Commission | \$1,000,000,000 | 5 | 5 | 40% | 20% | 40% |
| Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure | \$745,000,000 | 5 | 5 | 60% | 60% | 100% |
| Police Commission | \$687,139,793 | 7 | 7 | 43% | 43% | 71% |
| Health Authority (Plan Governing Board) | \$666,000,000 | 19 | 15 | 33% | 27% | 47% |
| Human Services Commission | \$529,900,000 | 5 | 5 | 40% | 0% | 40% |
| Fire Commission | \$400,721,970 | 5 | 5 | 20% | 20% | 40% |
| Aging and Adult Services Commission | \$334,700,000 | 7 | 7 | 43% | 14% | 57% |
| Total | \$9,060,061,763 | 72 | 66 | 41% | 23% | 55% |

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019


| Body | FY18-19 Budget | Total Seats | Filled Seats | Women | Women of color | People of Color |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Rent Board Commission | \$8,543,912 | 10 | 9 | 44% | 11% | 33% |
| Commission on the Status of Women | \$8,048,712 | 7 | 7 | 100% | 71% | 71% |
| Ethics Commission | \$6,458,045 | 5 | 4 | 100% | 50% | 50% |
| Human Rights Commission | \$4,299,600 | 12 | 10 | 50% | 50% | 70% |
| Small Business Commission | \$2,242,007 | 7 | 7 | 43% | 29% | 43% |
| Civil Service Commission | \$1,262,072 | 5 | 4 | 50% | 0% | 25% |
| Board of Appeals | \$1,072,300 | 5 | 5 | 40% | 20% | 40% |
| Entertainment Commission | \$1,003,898 | 7 | 7 | 29% | 14% | 57% |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.1, 2, & 3 | \$663,423 | 24 | 18 | 39% | 22% | 44% |
| Youth Commission | \$305,711 | 17 | 16 | 56% | 44% | 75% |
| Total | \$33,899,680 | 99 | 87 | 52% | 32% | 54% |

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics

The comparison of the two policy body categories in this section provides another proxy for influence, as Commissions and Boards whose members file disclosures of economic interest have greater decision-making authority in San Francisco than Advisory Bodies whose members do not file economic interest disclosures. The percentages of total women, LGBTQ people, people with disabilities, and veterans are larger for total appointees on Advisory Bodies. However, the percentages of women of color and people of color on Commissions and Boards slightly exceeds the percentages of women of color and people of color on Advisory Bodies.

Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees

Figure 24 compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color for appointments made by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointments are more diverse, and consist of more women, women of color, and people of color compared to Supervisorial appointments. Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people of color, while Supervisorial appointments are 48% women, 24% women of color, and 48% people of color. The total of all approving authorities combined average out at 51% women, 28% women of color, and 50% people of color. This disparity in diversity between Mayoral and Supervisorial appointments may be due in part to the appointment section process for each authority: The 11-member Board of Supervisors only sees applicants for specific bodies through the 3-member Rules Committee or by designees, stipulated in legislation (e.g. “renter,” “landlord,” “consumer advocate”), whereas the Mayor typically has the ability to take total appointments into account during selections, and can therefore better address gaps in diversity.

Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

III. Conclusion

Since the first gender analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007, the representation of women appointees on San Francisco policy bodies has gradually increased. The *2019 Gender Analysis* finds the percentage of women appointees is 51%, which slightly exceeds the population of women in San Francisco.

When appointee demographics are analyzed by gender and race, women of color continue to be underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population. Most notably underrepresented are Asian women who make up 17% of the population but only 11% of appointees, and Latinx women who make up 7% of the population but only 3% of appointees. Additionally, men of color are underrepresented relative to their San Francisco population, primarily Asian and Latinx men.

Furthermore, when analyzing the demographic composition of larger and smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards, women are underrepresented on those with the largest budgets, and overrepresented or reach parity with the population on smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards. These two trends are amplified for women of color appointees. Women comprise 41% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, which is 8 percentage points below the population, and women of color comprise 23% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, 9 percentage points below their San Francisco population. Comparatively, women are 52% of total appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies, and women of color are 32% of appointees, which is equal to the San Francisco population. However, the issue of largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies does not seem to impact the representation of people of color. People of color make up 55% of appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies and 54% of appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies compared to 50% of total appointees. Nonetheless, these percentages still fall below the San Francisco population of people of color at 62%.

In addition to using budget size as a proxy for influence, this report analyzed demographic characteristics of appointees on Commissions and Boards who file disclosures of economic interest and have decision-making authority, and appointees on Advisory Bodies who do not file economic interest disclosures. Over half (54%) of appointees on Advisory Bodies are women, while 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards are women. Although 48% is only slightly below the San Francisco population of women, women comprise a decently higher percentage of appointees on Advisory Bodies compared to Commissions and Boards.

This year's report features more data on LGBTQ identity, veteran status, and disability than previous gender analyses. The *2019 Gender Analysis* found a relatively high representation of LGBTQ individuals on San Francisco policy bodies. For the appointees that provided LGBTQ identity information, 19% identify as LGBTQ with the largest subset being gay men at 48%. It is recommended for future gender analyses to collect LGBTQ data by race and gender to provide additional intersectional analysis. The representation of appointees with disabilities is 11%, just below the 12% population. Veterans are highly represented on San Francisco policy bodies at 7% compared to the veteran population of 3%.

Additionally, this report evaluates and compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color appointees by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointees include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people

of color, which overall is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointees and total appointees.

This report is intended to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other appointing authorities, as they select appointments for policy bodies of the City and County of San Francisco. In spirit of the 2008 City Charter Amendment that establishes this biennial Gender Analysis report requirement and the importance of diversity on San Francisco policy bodies, efforts to address gaps in diversity and inclusion should remain at the forefront when making appointments in order to accurately reflect the population of San Francisco.

IV. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions, Boards, Task Forces, Councils, and Committees that have the majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors and that have jurisdiction limited to the City. The gender analysis reflects data from the policy bodies that provided information to the Department on the Status of Women through digital and paper survey.

Data was requested from 90 policy bodies and acquired from 84 different policy bodies and a total of 741 appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability status, and veteran status were among data elements collected on a voluntary basis. Data on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, or questioning (LGBTQ) identity, disability, and veteran status of appointees were incomplete or unavailable for some appointees but are included to the extent possible. As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report. Data for some policy bodies was incomplete, and all appointees who responded were included in the total demographic categories. Only policy bodies with full data on gender and race for all appointees were included in sections comparing demographics of individual bodies. It should be noted that for policy bodies with a small number of members, the change of a single individual greatly impacts the percentages of demographic categories. As such, these percentages should be interpreted with this in mind.

The surveyed policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney document entitled *List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute*.⁸ This document separates San Francisco policy bodies into two different categories. The first category includes Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission, and the second category encompasses Advisory Bodies whose members do not submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. Depending on the analysis criteria in each section of this report, the surveyed policy bodies and appointees are either examined comprehensively as a whole or examined separately in the two categories designated by the Office of the City Attorney.

Data from the U.S. Census 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates provides a comparison to the San Francisco population. Figures 26 and 27 in the Appendix display these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.

⁸ "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, <https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf>, (August 25, 2017).

Appendix

Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019⁹

| Policy Body | Total Seats | Filled Seats | FY18-19 Budget | Women | Women of Color | People of Color |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Abatement Appeals Board | 7 | 7 | \$76,500,000 | 14% | 0% | 14% |
| Aging and Adult Services Commission | 7 | 7 | \$334,700,000 | 57% | 33% | 57% |
| Airport Commission | 5 | 5 | \$1,000,000,000 | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| Arts Commission | 15 | 15 | \$37,000,000 | 67% | 50% | 60% |
| Asian Art Commission | 27 | 27 | \$30,000,000 | 63% | 71% | 59% |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.1 | 8 | 5 | \$663,423 | 20% | 0% | 20% |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.2 | 8 | 8 | - | 50% | 75% | 63% |
| Assessment Appeals Board No.3 | 8 | 4 | - | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| Ballot Simplification Committee | 5 | 4 | \$0 | 75% | 33% | 25% |
| Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee | 12 | 9 | \$0 | 33% | 100% | 67% |
| Board of Appeals | 5 | 5 | \$1,072,300 | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| Board of Examiners | 13 | 13 | \$0 | 0% | 0% | 46% |
| Building Inspection Commission | 7 | 7 | \$76,500,000 | 14% | 0% | 14% |
| Child Care Planning and Advisory Council | 25 | 19 | \$26,841 | 84% | 50% | 50% |
| Children and Families Commission (First 5) | 9 | 8 | \$28,002,978 | 100% | 75% | 75% |
| Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee | 11 | 10 | \$155,224,346 | 50% | 80% | 75% |
| Citizen's Committee on Community Development | 9 | 8 | \$39,696,467 | 75% | 67% | 63% |
| City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission | 5 | 5 | \$0 | 60% | 33% | 20% |
| Civil Service Commission | 5 | 4 | \$1,262,072 | 50% | 0% | 25% |
| Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure | 5 | 5 | \$745,000,000 | 60% | 100% | 100% |
| Commission on the Aging Advisory Council | 22 | 15 | \$0 | 80% | 33% | 31% |
| Commission on the Environment | 7 | 6 | \$27,280,925 | 67% | 50% | 50% |
| Commission on the Status of Women | 7 | 7 | \$8,048,712 | 100% | 71% | 71% |
| Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee | 11 | 11 | \$3,000,000 | 82% | 33% | 45% |
| Eastern Neighborhoods Citizens Advisory Committee | 19 | 13 | \$0 | 38% | 40% | 44% |
| Elections Commission | 7 | 7 | \$15,238,360 | 57% | 25% | 29% |
| Entertainment Commission | 7 | 7 | \$1,003,898 | 29% | 50% | 57% |
| Ethics Commission | 5 | 4 | \$6,458,045 | 100% | 50% | 50% |
| Film Commission | 11 | 11 | \$0 | 55% | 67% | 50% |
| Fire Commission | 5 | 5 | \$400,721,970 | 20% | 100% | 40% |
| Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority | 7 | 6 | \$0 | 50% | 67% | 75% |

⁹ Figure 25 only includes policy bodies with complete data on gender for all appointees. Some bodies had incomplete data on race/ethnicity of appointees. For these, percentages for people of color are calculated out of known race/ethnicity.

| Policy Body | Total Seats | Filled Seats | FY18-19 Budget | Women | Women of Color | People of Color |
|---|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Health Authority (Plan Governing Board) | 19 | 15 | \$666,000,000 | 33% | 80% | 50% |
| Health Commission | 7 | 7 | \$2,200,000,000 | 43% | 50% | 86% |
| Health Service Board | 7 | 6 | \$11,632,022 | 33% | 0% | 50% |
| Historic Preservation Commission | 7 | 7 | \$53,832,000 | 43% | 33% | 14% |
| Housing Authority Commission | 7 | 6 | \$60,894,150 | 50% | 100% | 83% |
| Human Rights Commission | 12 | 10 | \$4,299,600 | 60% | 100% | 70% |
| Human Services Commission | 5 | 5 | \$529,900,000 | 40% | 0% | 40% |
| Immigrant Rights Commission | 15 | 13 | \$0 | 54% | 86% | 85% |
| In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority | 13 | 9 | \$70,729,667 | 44% | 50% | 56% |
| Juvenile Probation Commission | 7 | 6 | \$48,824,199 | 33% | 100% | 100% |
| Library Commission | 7 | 7 | \$160,000,000 | 71% | 40% | 57% |
| Local Homeless Coordinating Board | 9 | 9 | \$40,000,000 | 56% | 60% | 75% |
| Mayor's Disability Council | 11 | 8 | \$0 | 75% | 17% | 25% |
| Mental Health Board | 17 | 15 | \$184,962 | 73% | 64% | 73% |
| MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission | 7 | 7 | \$1,200,000,000 | 57% | 25% | 43% |
| Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory Committee | 9 | 9 | \$0 | 89% | 50% | 56% |
| Oversight Board (COII) | 7 | 6 | \$745,000,000 | 17% | 100% | 67% |
| Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee | 17 | 13 | \$0 | 46% | 17% | 8% |
| Planning Commission | 7 | 6 | \$53,832,000 | 50% | 67% | 33% |
| Police Commission | 7 | 7 | \$687,139,793 | 43% | 100% | 71% |
| Port Commission | 5 | 5 | \$192,600,000 | 60% | 67% | 60% |
| Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee | 17 | 13 | \$0 | 54% | 14% | 31% |
| Public Utilities Commission | 5 | 3 | \$1,296,600,000 | 67% | 0% | 0% |
| Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board | 7 | 6 | \$0 | 33% | 100% | 67% |
| Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee | 7 | 5 | \$0 | 40% | 50% | 40% |
| Recreation and Park Commission | 7 | 7 | \$230,900,000 | 29% | 50% | 43% |
| Reentry Council | 24 | 23 | \$0 | 43% | 70% | 70% |
| Rent Board Commission | 10 | 9 | \$8,543,912 | 44% | 25% | 33% |
| Residential Users Appeal Board | 3 | 2 | \$0 | 0% | 0% | 50% |
| Retirement System Board | 7 | 7 | \$95,000,000 | 43% | 67% | 29% |
| Sentencing Commission | 13 | 13 | \$0 | 31% | 25% | 67% |
| Small Business Commission | 7 | 7 | \$2,242,007 | 43% | 67% | 43% |
| SRO Task Force | 12 | 12 | \$0 | 42% | 25% | 55% |
| Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee | 16 | 15 | \$0 | 67% | 70% | 80% |
| Sunshine Ordinance Task Force | 11 | 11 | \$0 | 27% | 67% | 36% |
| Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group | 11 | 7 | \$0 | 43% | 67% | 43% |
| Treasure Island Development Authority | 7 | 6 | \$18,484,130 | 50% | N/A | N/A |

| Policy Body | Total Seats | Filled Seats | FY18-19 Budget | Women | Women of Color | People of Color |
|--|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizens Advisory Board | 17 | 13 | \$0 | 54% | N/A | N/A |
| Urban Forestry Council | 15 | 13 | \$153,626 | 8% | 0% | 0% |
| Veterans Affairs Commission | 17 | 11 | \$0 | 36% | 50% | 55% |
| War Memorial Board of Trustees | 11 | 11 | \$18,185,686 | 55% | 33% | 18% |
| Workforce Community Advisory Committee | 8 | 4 | \$0 | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Youth Commission | 17 | 16 | \$305,711 | 56% | 78% | 75% |

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019.

Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017

| Race/Ethnicity | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| | Estimate | Percent |
| San Francisco County California | 864,263 | - |
| White, Not Hispanic or Latino | 353,000 | 38% |
| Asian | 295,347 | 31% |
| Hispanic or Latinx | 131,949 | 14% |
| Some other Race | 64,800 | 7% |
| Black or African American | 45,654 | 5% |
| Two or More Races | 43,664 | 5% |
| Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander | 3,226 | 0.3% |
| Native American and Alaska Native | 3,306 | 0.4% |

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017

| Race/Ethnicity | Total | | Female | | Male | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Estimate | Percent | Estimate | Percent | Estimate | Percent |
| San Francisco County California | 864,263 | - | 423,630 | 49% | 440,633 | 51% |
| White, Not Hispanic or Latino | 353,000 | 38% | 161,381 | 17% | 191,619 | 20% |
| Asian | 295,347 | 31% | 158,762 | 17% | 136,585 | 15% |
| Hispanic or Latinx | 131,949 | 14% | 62,646 | 7% | 69,303 | 7% |
| Some Other Race | 64,800 | 7% | 30,174 | 3% | 34,626 | 4% |
| Black or African American | 45,654 | 5% | 22,311 | 2.4% | 23,343 | 2.5% |
| Two or More Races | 43,664 | 5% | 21,110 | 2.2% | 22,554 | 2.4% |
| Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander | 3,226 | 0.3% | 1,576 | 0.2% | 1,650 | 0.2% |
| Native American and Alaska Native | 3,306 | 0.4% | 1,589 | 0.2% | 1,717 | 0.2% |

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240
San Francisco, California 94102
sfgov.org/dosw
dosw@sfgov.org
415.252.2570

