| File | No. | 240270 |
|------|-----|--------|
|      |     |        |

| Committee Item | No. | <u>4</u> |  |
|----------------|-----|----------|--|
| Board Item No. |     |          |  |

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: Land Use and Transportation Date: May 20, 2024 |   |      |          |  |
|---|---|------|----------|--|
| Board of Sup  | Board of Supervisors Meeting: Date:                               |      |          |  |
| Cmte Board  | · ·   | port | oort     |  |
|   | Subcontract Budget  |      |          |  |
|   | Contract / DRAFT Mills Act Agreen<br>Form 126 – Ethics Commission | nent |          |  |
|   | Award Letter<br>Application                                       |      |          |  |
|   | Public Correspondence   |      |          |  |
| OTHER   |   |      |          |  |
|   |   |      |          |  |
| H H -   |   |      | _        |  |
|   |   |      |          |  |
|   |   |      |          |  |
| H H -   |   |      | _        |  |
|   |   |      |          |  |
|   |   |      |          |  |
| Prepared by:<br>Prepared by:                              | John Carroll Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date              |      | 16, 2024 |  |
| Prepared by:  | Dat   | te:  |          |  |

| 1  | [Affirming Support for SFMTA and SFE, in Partnership with Public Works, SFPUC, and SFCTA to Expediently Implement Curbside Electric Vehicle Charging Feasibility Study and |
|----|--|
| 2  | Pilot Program]   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Resolution affirming support of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  |
| 5  | (SFMTA) and San Francisco Environment Department (SFE) in their work with Public   |
| 6  | Works, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), San Francisco County   |
| 7  | Transportation Authority (SFCTA), climate and transportation advocates, equity   |
| 8  | groups, and other relevant agencies and stakeholders to expediently implement the  |
| 9  | Curbside Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Feasibility Study; and requesting a report   |
| 10 | containing recommendations and cost estimates for a Curbside EV Charging Pilot   |
| 11 | Program before the end of 2024.  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | WHEREAS, Climate change poses immediate and extraordinary threats to   |
| 14 | ecosystems, economy, and public health in San Francisco and beyond; and  |
| 15 | WHEREAS, July 2023 marked the hottest month in global human history, and San   |
| 16 | Francisco's average temperature has increased by 2.9°C (5.22 °F) since 1970 due to rapidly   |
| 17 | rising global greenhouse gas emissions; and  |
| 18 | WHEREAS, Climate change not only poses significant economic threats, but also  |
| 19 | impacts community health and welfare; and  |
| 20 | WHEREAS, The Los Angeles Times reported that 3,900 Californians died from  |
| 21 | extreme heat exposure between 2010 and 2019, and the San Francisco Department of Public  |
| 22 | Health Climate and Health Adaptation Framework highlights that these climate-driven health   |
| 23 | impacts disproportionately affect low-income communities of color in San Francisco; and  |
| 24 | WHEREAS, The transportation sector accounts for approximately one quarter of globa   |
| 25 | greenhouse gas emissions, is the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United  |

| 1  | States (U.S.) with motor vehicles producing 83% of these emissions, and accounts for 47% of     |
|----|---|
| 2  | San Francisco's emissions; and  |
| 3  | WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco (City) has a transit first policy and             |
| 4  | recognizes the critical role of transit, walking and bicycling in reducing emissions which      |
| 5  | simultaneously generate important health, safety and economic benefits; and                     |
| 6  | WHEREAS, The City has already committed to reach net zero greenhouse gas                        |
| 7  | emissions by 2040 with an interim target of cutting transportation sector-based emissions 61%   |
| 8  | below 1990 levels by 2030; and  |
| 9  | WHEREAS, On September 23, 2020, California Governor Gavin Newsom signed                         |
| 10 | Executive Order N-79-20, which mandates that 100 % of in-state sales of new passenger cars      |
| 11 | and trucks are zero-emission by 2035, a target that would achieve more than a 35% reduction     |
| 12 | in greenhouse gas emissions and an 80% improvement in oxides of nitrogen emissions from         |
| 13 | cars statewide; and   |
| 14 | WHEREAS, The City has also set a goal that 25% of all registered private vehicles be            |
| 15 | electric by 2030 and it is crucial that the City expand access to affordable and convenient     |
| 16 | charging options to meet this benchmark; and  |
| 17 | WHEREAS, Zero emission vehicle sales accounted for 37.4% of annual vehicle sales                |
| 18 | in the City in 2023, more than four times the US average of 7.6%; and                           |
| 19 | WHEREAS, The percentage of Electric Vehicle (EV) ownership is expected to increase              |
| 20 | with fully-electric and hybrid vehicles representing 50% of new retail vehicle registrations in |
| 21 | San Francisco in March 2023, nearly tripling the national average and making San Francisco      |
| 22 | the first U.S. metro area to reach this milestone; and  |
| 23 | WHEREAS, The Bay Area's hybrid share is 15.8%, six percentage points above the                  |
| 24 | U.S. average, and with approximately 500,000 commuters driving into San Francisco daily,        |
| 25 | the City's EV charging infrastructure must also meet the needs of these drivers; and            |

| 1  | WHEREAS, nearly 70% of San Francisco residents reside in multi-dwelling units and                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | most do not have access to off-street parking or home charging, including one-third of            |
| 3  | vehicles (157,000 cars) that are registered for parking at multi-unit dwellings, and an           |
| 4  | additional third of all registered vehicles (128,000 cars) that are street-parked without private |
| 5  | garage or driveway access; and  |
| 6  | WHEREAS, San Francisco's approximately 1,193 public charging ports equate to                      |
| 7  | only 0.04 public ports per EV currently registered in the City, not accounting for commuters or   |
| 8  | visitors who drive EVs; and   |
| 9  | WHEREAS, San Francisco needs over 5,000 public and workplace chargers to support                  |
| 10 | EVs by 2030; and  |
| 11 | WHEREAS, The City's EV Roadmap, published in 2019, does not fully address how                     |
| 12 | the supply of public chargers will meet increasing demand. The study assesses that the            |
| 13 | charging needs of street-parked EVs can be met by workplace charging infrastructure or at         |
| 14 | publicly available charging networks, although concerns have been raised regarding                |
| 15 | availability and accessibility of publicly accessible off-street EV charging stations; and        |
| 16 | WHEREAS, Public EV Charger access is lower in Black and Hispanic majority                         |
| 17 | neighborhoods and areas with below-median household incomes in California, with more              |
| 18 | pronounced public charger access disparities in areas with a higher proportion of multi-unit      |
| 19 | housing; and  |
| 20 | WHEREAS, Other cities leading on addressing the climate crisis have ambitious EV                  |
| 21 | charging plans, including the City of Los Angeles, which as of March 2022 installed 16,749        |
| 22 | Level 2 EV chargers and aims to create a network of 44,000 Level 2 EV chargers by 2025            |
| 23 | and 120,000 by 2030; and  |
| 24 |   |

25

| 1  | WHEREAS, Cities such as New York City have implemented curbside EV charging                         |
|----|---|
| 2  | pilots with promising results, such as the installation of 100 Level 2 EV curbside chargers         |
| 3  | in 2021 with 81% charger efficiency and 99.9% charger uptime; and                                   |
| 4  | WHEREAS, In light of the need for expanded public EV charging infrastructure in San                 |
| 5  | Francisco, the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) and San Francisco Environment                |
| 6  | Department (SFE), in partnership with Public Works, San Francisco Public Utilities                  |
| 7  | Commission (SFPUC), and San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA), have                 |
| 8  | committed to jointly collaborating on a Curbside EV Charging Feasibility Study, which would         |
| 9  | evaluate the feasibility of installing, operating and maintaining public charging infrastructure at |
| 10 | the curb in selected locations in San Francisco, develop a framework for a Curbside EV              |
| 11 | Charging Pilot Program that can be implemented shortly after the conclusion of the study, and       |
| 12 | both establish and leverage strong working relationships with private sector partners and key       |
| 13 | City departments to establish the pilot framework; and  |
| 14 | WHEREAS, The final deliverable for the Curbside EV Charging Feasibility Study will be               |
| 15 | a pilot program framework that identifies key components, cost estimates, and parameters            |
| 16 | necessary for implementation of a future pilot beginning in 2025; now, therefore, be it             |
| 17 | RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do                  |
| 18 | hereby support the efforts of SFMTA and SFE in partnership with Public Works, SFPUC, and            |
| 19 | SFCTA, to expediently implement the Curbside Charging Feasibility Study, and request that           |
| 20 | SFMTA and SFE submit a report containing recommendations and cost estimates for a                   |
| 21 | Curbside EV Charging Pilot Program that is meaningful in scope, spans across multiple               |
| 22 | neighborhoods throughout San Francisco, and sets a foundation for deployment of EV                  |
| 23 | curbside charging infrastructure Citywide, before the end of 2024 to the Board of Supervisors;      |
| 24 | and, be it  |

25

| 1  | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors affirms support             |
|----|---|
| 2  | of the SFMTA and SFE, in partnership with Public Works, SFPUC, and SFCTA to build in      |
| 3  | equity considerations, as well as clear data-monitoring and reporting processes, into the |
| 4  | Curbside EV Charging Pilot Program, and provide regular updates to the Board of           |
| 5  | Supervisors; and, be it   |
| 6  | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges the Office            |
| 7  | of the Mayor and SFCTA to work with relevant City departments and leverage all available  |
| 8  | sources of local, state, and federal funding to implement a Curbside EV Charging Pilot    |
| 9  | Program beginning in 2025.  |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

## **Introduction Form**

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

| I here | by subn  | nit the following item for introduction (select only one):   |
|--------|----------|--|
|        | 1.       | For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)  |
|        | 2.       | Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only) |
|        | 3.       | Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee   |
|        | 4.       | Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires"  |
|        | 5.       | City Attorney Request  |
|        | 6.       | Call File No. from Committee.  |
|        | 7.       | Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)   |
|        | 8.       | Substitute Legislation File No.  |
|        | 9.       | Reactivate File No.  |
|        | 10.      | Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on   |
| The p  | roposed  | l legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):   |
|        |          | mall Business Commission   Youth Commission   Ethics Commission  |
|        | □ Pl     | anning Commission   Building Inspection Commission   Human Resources Department  |
| Gene   | ral Plan | Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):                                  |
|        | □ Y      | es $\square$ No  |
| (Note  | : For In | nperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)  |
| Spons  | sor(s):  |  |
| Subje  | ect:     |  |
| Long   | Title or | text listed:   |
|        |          |  |
|        |          |  |
|        |          | Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:  |