[Adopting findings related to the conditional use appeal on property located at 2863 California Street.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the Planning Commission's approval of Conditional Use Application No. 2002.0408C (which approved a proposed three story mixed-use building without off-street parking for four residential units in a Small Scale NC-2, Neighborhood Commercial District) for property located at 2863 California Street, on the south side between Broderick and Divisadero Streets (Lot 23 in Assessor's Block 1028).

Under the provisions of Section 308.1 (b) of the City Planning Code, and having determined that they believed there was sufficient public interest and concern in the matter to warrant a hearing before the Board of Supervisors, five members of the Board of Supervisors filed a notice of appeal on July 22, 2002, bringing before the Board the decision of the Planning Commission to approve a conditional use authorization (Conditional Use Application No. 2002.0408C, approved by Motion No. 16446, dated June 20, 2002), pursuant to Planning Code Section 16(j) for a proposed three story mixed-use building without off-street parking for four residential units in an NC-2 (Small Scale Neighborhood Commercial District), located at 2863 California Street, on the south side between Broderick and Divisadero Streets (Lot 23 in Assessor's Block 1028).

The public hearing before the Board of Supervisors on said appeal was scheduled for August 19, 2002. On August 19, 2002, the Board conducted a duly noticed hearing on the appeal from the Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of this motion. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on August 19, the Board continued its consideration of the matter to August 26, 2002. On August 26, 2002, the Board further continued its consideration of the matter to September 17, 2002. On September 17, 2002, the

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 7/27/2011

Board further continued its consideration of the matter to September 23, 2002. On September 22, 2002, the Board further continued its consideration of the matter to September 30, 2002. On September.