



# City and County of San Francisco

## Meeting Agenda

### Government Audit and Oversight Committee

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

*Member: Jackie Fielder, Danny Sauter, Stephen Sherrill*

*Clerk: Monique Crayton*  
(415) 554-7750 ~ [monique.crayton@sfgov.org](mailto:monique.crayton@sfgov.org)

---

Thursday, November 20, 2025

10:00 AM

City Hall, Legislative Chamber, Room 250

### Regular Meeting

---

## ROLL CALL AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

## COMMUNICATIONS

## AGENDA CHANGES

## REGULAR AGENDA

1. [251107](#) **[Behested Payment Waiver - Temporary Shelter and Homeless Services]**  
**Sponsors: Mayor; Mandelman**  
Resolution authorizing the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing's Executive Director, Chief Deputies, Deputy Directors and Program Directors to solicit donations from various private entities and organizations to support the expansion of temporary shelter and other homeless services to support people experiencing homelessness, notwithstanding the Behested Payment Ordinance. (Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing)  
  
11/4/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
  
2. [251083](#) **[Behested Payment Waiver - Economic Revitalization Efforts]**  
**Sponsors: Mayor; Sherrill**  
Resolution authorizing a six-month waiver of the City's Behested Payments Ordinance for the Mayor, members of the Mayor's Office, and the Executive Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development to solicit donations from nonprofits, private organizations, grantmakers, foundations, and other persons and entities for the purpose of supporting the continued economic revitalization of San Francisco, pursuant to Section 3.620(f) of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code.  
  
10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

3. [251086](#) **[Agreement - Owners' Association for Administration/Management Renew and Expand of Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District]**  
**Sponsor: Walton**  
Resolution approving an agreement with the nonprofit Owners' Association for the administration and management of the property-based business improvement district known as the "Dogpatch & Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District;" pursuant to California Streets and Highway Code, Section 36651, for a period commencing January 1, 2026, through December 31, 2040.
- 10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
- 11/4/25; REFERRED TO DEPARTMENT.
- 11/4/25; RESPONSE RECEIVED.

## LITIGATION

### Conference with City Attorney

*[Convene in Closed Session - Existing Litigation - City as Plaintiff and/or Defendant]  
Motion that the Government Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session with the City Attorney for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, the City Attorney regarding the following existing litigation and anticipated litigation. Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) permit this closed session. Discussion in open session concerning these matters would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the pending lawsuits and claims listed below.*

4. [251042](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Bruno Mincin - \$140,000]**  
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Bruno Mincin against the City and County of San Francisco for \$140,000; the lawsuit was filed on June 25, 2024, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-24-615897; entitled Bruno Mincin v. City and County of San Francisco, et al; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury on a City sidewalk. (City Attorney)
- 10/14/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
- 10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
5. [251043](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Jonathan Manalang - \$105,000]**  
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Jonathan Manalang against the City and County of San Francisco for \$105,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 26, 2024, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:24-cv-05972; entitled Jonathan Manalang v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves an employment dispute. (City Attorney)
- 10/20/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.
- 10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

6. [251044](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Californians For Equal Rights Foundation - \$50,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Californians For Equal Rights Foundation, Ruth Parker, and Ellen Lee Zhou against the City and County of San Francisco for \$50,000 and non-monetary terms; the lawsuit was filed on May 31, 2023, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-23-606796; entitled Californians For Equal Rights Foundation et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; the lawsuit involves Plaintiffs' allegation that the City participated in one or more guaranteed income program the terms of which violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the equal protection guarantee in Article I, section 7 of the California Constitution, and/or Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. (City Attorney)

10/20/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

7. [251045](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Tommy O. Johnson, John Doe, and Others Similarly Situated - \$5,845,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Tommy O. Johnson, by and through his Attorney-in-Fact Rev. Doris White and John Doe, by and through his conservator Thomas O'Connor, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, against the City and County of San Francisco for \$5,845,000; the lawsuit was filed on March 24, 2020, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-20-517064; entitled Tommy O. Johnson, by and through his Attorney-in-Fact Rev. Doris White and John Doe, by and through his conservator Thomas O'Connor, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves claims of elder/dependent adult abuse, invasion of privacy, negligence, and violations of patients' rights brought by over 700 former and current residents of Laguna Honda Hospital. (City Attorney)

10/20/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

8. [251046](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuits - Gajanan, Inc. et al. - \$1,191,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuits filed by AGPME Tenant LLC, KPH Management LLC, Mangal Inc., Gajanan, Inc., Engage Hospitality LLC, Engage with SF Hospitality LLC, and Lombard Hospitality LLC against the City and County of San Francisco for \$1,191,000; the lawsuits were filed on February 9, 2016, and September 16, 2016, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case Nos. CGC-16-554309, CGC-16-550354, CGC-16-550351, and CGC-16-554304, and California Court of Appeal Case Nos. A160539 and A168328; entitled Gajanan Inc. et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; AGPME Tenant, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; KPH Management LLC et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; and Mangal Inc. et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al.; the remaining issues in the lawsuits involve claims for attorneys' fees on the City's appeal of the San Francisco Superior Court's decision against the City on attorneys' fees, claims for interest on the City's attorneys' fees payment, and claims for costs on appeal. (City Attorney)

10/20/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

9. [251047](#) **[Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Martha Hidalgo Rosado - \$35,000]**  
Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by Martha Hidalgo Rosado against the City and County of San Francisco for \$35,000; the claim was filed on June 16, 2025; the claim involves property damage arising from flooding alleged to have been caused by a water main rupture. (City Attorney)  
  
10/14/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.  
  
10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
10. [251048](#) **[Settlement of Unlitigated Claims - Ript Labs, Inc. - \$435,014.22]**  
Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claims filed by Ript Labs, Inc. against the City and County of San Francisco for \$435,014.22; the claims were filed on July 22, 2025; the claims involve a refund of gross receipts and homelessness gross receipts taxes. (City Attorney)  
  
10/20/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.  
  
10/28/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
11. [251074](#) **[Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Fuseideas, LLC and EIS Design Inc. - City to Receive \$450,000]**  
Resolution approving settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by the City and County of San Francisco against Fuseideas, LLC for \$270,000 and EIS Design Inc. for \$180,000 for a total amount of \$450,000; the claim involves compensation for damages arising out of a security breach involving multiple websites of San Francisco International Airport. (City Attorney)  
  
10/21/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.  
  
11/4/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.
12. [251075](#) **[Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Zurich American Insurance Company - \$400,000]**  
Resolution approving the settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by Zurich American Insurance Company against the City and County of San Francisco for \$400,000; the claim was filed on June 4, 2024; the claim involves property damage arising from flooding alleged to have been caused by a water main rupture. (City Attorney)  
  
10/27/25; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.  
  
11/4/25; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

*After a closed session, if one occurs, the Committee shall adopt a motion either to disclose or not to disclose.*

*[Elect To Disclose]*

*Motion that the Board finds it is in the public interest to disclose information discussed in closed session, and directs the Chair immediately to disclose that information.*

*[Elect Not To Disclose]*

*Motion that the Committee finds that it is in the best interest of the public that the Committee elect at this time not to disclose its closed session deliberations listed above.*

## **ADJOURNMENT**

## **LEGISLATION UNDER THE 30-DAY RULE**

*NOTE: The following legislation will not be considered at this meeting. Board Rule 3.22 provides that when an Ordinance or Resolution is introduced which would CREATE OR REVISE MAJOR CITY POLICY, the Committee to which the legislation is assigned shall not consider the legislation until at least thirty days after the date of introduction. The provisions of this rule shall not apply to the routine operations of the departments of the City or when a legal time limit controls the hearing timing. In general, the rule shall not apply to hearings to consider subject matter when no legislation has been presented, nor shall the rule apply to resolutions which simply URGE action to be taken.*

*(There is no legislation pending under the 30-Day Rule.)*

### **The Levine Act**

Pursuant to California Government Code, Section 84308, Members of the Board who have received campaign contributions totaling more than \$500 may be required to disclose that fact on the record of the proceeding. Parties and their paid agents may also be required to disclose on the record any campaign contributions made to a Member of the Board that meets the following qualifications for disclosure. A Member of the Board of Supervisors is disqualified and must recuse themselves on any agenda item involving business, professional, trade, and land use licenses or permits and all other entitlements for use, if they received more than \$500 in campaign contributions from the applicant or contractor, an agent of the applicant or contractor, or any financially interested participant within the 12 months prior to the final decision; and for 12 months following the date of the final decision, a Member of the Board shall not accept, solicit, or direct a campaign contribution of \$500 or more from the applicant or contractor, an agent of the applicant or contractor, or any financially interested participant. The foregoing statements do not constitute legal advice. Parties, participants, and their agents are urged to consult their own legal counsel regarding the requirements of the law. For more information about these disclosures, visit [www.sfethics.org](http://www.sfethics.org).

### **Agenda Item Information**

Each item on the Consent or Regular agenda may include the following 1) Legislation; 2) Budget and Legislative Analyst report; 3) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report; 4) Public correspondence. These items are available for review at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 or at [www.sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc](http://www.sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc).

### **Meeting Procedures**

The Board of Supervisors is the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board has several standing committees where legislation is the subject of hearings at which members of the public are urged to testify. The full Board does not hold a second public hearing on measures which have been heard in committee.

Board procedures do not permit: 1) vocal or audible support or opposition to statements by Supervisors or by other persons testifying; 2) ringing and use of cell phones or electronic devices; 3) bringing in or displaying signs in the meeting room; or 4) standing in the meeting room. Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the President or Chair at the beginning of each item or public comment, excluding City representatives; except that public speakers using interpretation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of time. Members of the public who want to display a document should place it on the overhead during their public comment and remove the document when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION:** The public is encouraged to testify at Board and Committee meetings. Persons unable to attend the meeting may submit to the City, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding agenda items for the official public record. Written communications should be submitted to the Clerk of the Board or the Clerk of the Committee: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Communications not received prior to the hearing may be delivered to the Clerk of the Board or the Clerk of the Committee and will be shared with the Members.

**COPYRIGHT:** All system content that is broadcasted live during public proceedings is secured by High-bandwidth Digital Content Protection (HDCP), which prevents copyrighted or encrypted content from being displayed or transmitted through unauthorized devices. Members of the public who wish to utilize chamber digital, audio and visual technology may not display copyrighted or encrypted content during public proceedings.

**LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS:** Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino for requests made at least two (2) business days in advance of the meeting, to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services, contact [bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org) or call (415) 554-5184.

**傳譯服務：**所有常規及特別市參事會會議和常務委員會會議將提供西班牙文，中文以及菲律賓文的傳譯服務，但必須在會議前最少兩（2）個工作日作出請求，以確保能獲取到傳譯服務。將因應請求提供交替傳譯服務，以便公眾向有關政府機構發表意見。如需更多資訊或請求有關服務，請發電郵至 [bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org) 或致電（415）554-5184 聯絡我們。

**INTÉRPRETES DE IDIOMAS:** Para asegurar la disponibilidad de los servicios de interpretación en chino, filipino y español, presente su petición por lo menos con dos (2) días hábiles de antelación previo a la reunión. Para más información o para solicitar los servicios, envíe su mensaje a [bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org) o llame al (415) 554-5184.

TAGA SALIN-WIKA: Ipaabot sa amin ang mga kahilingan sa pag salin-wika sa Kastila, Tsino at Pilipino ng hindi bababa sa dalawang araw bago ang pulong. Makakatulong ito upang tiyakin na ang mga serbisyo ay nakalaan at nakahanda. Para sa dagdag kaalaman o para humiling ng serbisyo, maki pagugnayan po sa [bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org) o tumawag sa (415) 554-5184.

### **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Reasonable Accommodations**

Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator. Ordinance No. 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy.

Meetings are real-time captioned and cablecast open-captioned on SFGovTV ([www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org)) or Cable Channels 26, 28, 78 or 99 (depending on your provider). Board and Committee meeting agendas and minutes are available on the Board's website [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org) and adhere to web development Federal Access Board's Section 508 Guidelines. For reasonable accommodations, please email [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org), or call (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Board of Supervisors' Rules of Order 1.3.3 does not permit remote public comment by members of the public at meetings of the Board and its committees, except as legally required to enable people with disabilities to participate in such meetings. If you require remote access as a means of reasonable accommodation under ADA, please contact the Clerk's Office to request remote access, including a description of the functional limitation(s) that precludes your ability to attend in person. Requests made at least two (2) business days in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. For further assistance, please contact Wilson Ng, ADA Coordinator, at [Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org](mailto:Wilson.L.Ng@sfgov.org).

### **Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance**

Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org). Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at [www.sfbos.org/sunshine](http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine).

### **Ethics Requirements**

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; website [www.sfgov.org/ethics](http://www.sfgov.org/ethics).

Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit [www.sfethics.org](http://www.sfethics.org).