File Number: (Provided by Clerk of	Board of Supervisors)				
Grant Resolution Information Form (Effective July 2011)					
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.					
The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:					
1. Grant Title: Department of Homeland Security, 2011 Infrastructure Protection Program, Port Security Grant Program					
2. Department: Port	of San Francisco				
3. Contact Person:	Ken Tashian	Telephone: 415-274-	0262		
4. Grant Approval Sta	atus (check one):				
[X] Approved I	by funding agency	[] Not yet app	proved		
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$1,322,753					
6a. Matching Funds Required: N/A b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):					
7a. Grant Source Agency: Department of Homeland Security b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A					
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: \$1,322,753 – (\$403,510) Pier 80 Security Enhancements and (\$300,000) expansion of a Portwide CCTV System. Both projects are consistent with Department of Homeland Security requirements					
9. Grant Project Sche	edule, as allowed in approval	documents, or as prop	posed:		
Start-Date:	June 1, 2013	End-Date: June 3	0, 2013		
10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: NA – Equipment to be purchased through the City's Computer Store. Labor to be sole sourced to the Department of Technology.					
b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No.					
c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements?					
d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One Time					
11a. Does the budget	t include indirect costs?	[]Yes	[X] No		
b1. If yes, how muc b2. How was the ar					
	ndirect costs not included? d by granting agency se explain):	[] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services			

- c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? N/A
- 12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: N/A

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)					
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):					
[X] Existing Site(s)[] Rehabilitated Site(s)[] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:					
1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;					
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;					
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.					
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:					
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
Wendy Proctor					
(name)					
Architect/ADA Coordinator					
(Title)					
Date Reviewed:					
		(Signature Required)			
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:					
Monique Moyer					
(Name)					
(Title)					
Date Reviewed:		(Signature Required)			