

File No. 180913

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date October 24, 2018

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 - Ethics Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 700 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Vacancy Notice |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Information Sheet |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>Reentry Council Recommendation</u> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <u>COB Memo</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

Completed by: Alisa Somera Date October 19, 2018

Completed by: _____ Date _____

1 [Administrative Code - Reentry Council - Reporting Duties and Sunset Date]

2
3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the reporting duties of the**
4 **Reentry Council; and extend the sunset date of the Council by five years to June 1,**
5 **2024.**

6 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
7 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
8 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
9 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
10 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
11 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
12 subsections or parts of tables.

13 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

14 Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 5.1-4 and
15 5.1-6 of Chapter 5, Article I, to read as follows:

16 **SEC. 5.1-4. POWERS AND DUTIES.**

17 The Council shall have the following powers and duties:

18 (a) Identifying Funding Streams. The Council shall identify funding at the local, State,
19 and Federal level that is earmarked or available for services or programs designed to serve
20 individuals existing the criminal justice system. In addition, the Council shall identify
21 conditions, restrictions, or limitations on each funding stream, and shall document these
22 findings in its reports to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and other appropriate entities
23 consistent with subsection (~~de~~) below.

24 (b) Identifying Programs Serving Individuals Existing the Criminal Justice System. The
25 Council shall identify programs serving individuals exiting the criminal justice system who

1 reside in San Francisco or who will be released to San Francisco, including program capacity.

2 (c) Identifying Needs of Reentry Population. The Council shall identify any unmet
3 needs of this population, and propose ways to meet those needs based on existing research
4 and best practices.

5 (d) Identifying Barriers. The Council shall also identify barriers to safe and successful
6 reentry presented by local, State, and Federal law, and propose ways to reduce the impact of
7 these barriers.

8 (e) Reports. ~~At once a year,~~ Biennially the Council shall prepare and submit a report that
9 shall include but not be limited to information required under subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d)
10 above. The first biennial report shall be due June 30, 2019. City departments shall respond within
11 30 days to reasonable requests for information submitted by the Council relevant to its ability
12 to discharge its powers and duties under this ~~Chapter~~Article I, provided that the disclosure of
13 such information shall not be required where it would violate Federal or State law. The Council
14 shall provide the reports to: 1) the Mayor, 2) the Board of Supervisors, 3) any City department
15 or program identified by the Council in a report, and 4) the public. These reports shall be
16 public documents. Any City department identified in a report may provide a response, within
17 30 days of issuance of the report, for inclusion into the final report submitted to the Mayor and
18 the Board of Supervisors, among others, consistent with this subsection (e).

19 * * * *

20 **SEC. 5.1-6. SUNSET CLAUSE.**

21 Notwithstanding Rule 2.21 of the Board of Supervisors Rules of Order, which provides that
22 advisory bodies created by the Board should sunset within three years, This this Article I-legislation
23 shall expire June 1, 202419, unless the Board of Supervisors adopts an ordinance continuing
24 its existence. In the event of its expiration, the City Attorney is directed to take steps to remove this
25 Article I from the Administrative Code. The Council shall submit a report to the Board of


1 Supervisors by July 1, 2023~~18~~ recommending whether the Council should continue to operate,
2 and if so, whether the Board of Supervisors ~~shall~~should consider legislative changes that
3 would enhance the capacity of the Council to achieve ~~the goals that the ordinance creating the~~
4 ~~council identifies amendments that further the Council's~~ its goals. The Council's recommendations
5 shall include drafts of ordinances that would implement its recommendations.
6

7 Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
8 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
9 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
10 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance
11

12 Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
13 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
14 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
15 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
16 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
17 the official title of the ordinance.
18

19 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
20 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

21 By:


22 JANA CLARK
Deputy City Attorney

23 n:\legana\as2018\1900126\01304913.docx
24
25

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - Reentry Council - Reporting Duties and Sunset Date]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the reporting duties of the Reentry Council; and extend the sunset date of the Council by five years to June 1, 2024.

Existing Law

Existing law establishes the Reentry Council to coordinate local efforts to support adults exiting San Francisco County Jail, San Francisco juvenile justice system out-of-home placements, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation facilities, and the United States Federal Bureau of Prison facilities. Existing law requires the council to submit annual reports that identify funding streams, programs, and needs and barriers related to those exiting custody. Existing law provides that the Reentry Council shall sunset June 1, 2019.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would amend the Administrative Code to require that the Reentry Council prepare its report biennially and extend the sunset date for the council to June 1, 2024.

n:\leganalas2018\1900126\01304476.docx



Reentry Council City and County of San Francisco

July 26, 2018

Honorable Malia Cohen, President of the Board of Supervisors
Honorable Sandra Lee Fewer
Honorable Jane Kim
Honorable Rafael Mandelman
Honorable Aaron Peskin
Honorable Hillary Ronen
Honorable Ahsha Safai
Honorable Catherine Stefani
Honorable Katy Tang
Honorable Norman Yee
Honorable Vallie Brown
City Hall, 1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear President and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The purpose of this letter is to recommend that the legislation authorizing the Reentry Council of the City and County of San Francisco be renewed with the revisions noted on Attachment A. San Francisco Administrative Code Sec. 5.1 established the City and County of San Francisco's Reentry Council for the purpose of coordinating local efforts to support adults exiting San Francisco County Jail, San Francisco Juvenile Justice System Out-of-Home Placements, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation facilities, and United States Federal Bureau of Prison facilities.

The Council provides the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, the public, and any other appropriate agencies with comprehensive information about reentry barriers and programs, best practices, funding sources, and serves as a clearinghouse for local, state, and federal legislation that impacts the criminal justice system and reentry communities.

In September 9, 2008, Ordinance # 215-08 established the Reentry Council and in 2014, Ordinance # 83-14 renewed the Council. Presently, the Council has a sunset clause of June 1, 2019. Per section 5.1.6 of the Admin Code, the Council shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors "recommending whether the Council should continue to operate, and if so, whether the Board of Supervisors shall consider legislative changes that would enhance the capacity of the Council to achieve the goals that the ordinance creating the council identifies."

Please accept this letter, along with Attachment A as the required report.

Since June 2014, the Full Reentry Council has met approximately 20 times, has enjoyed robust regular attendance by its members, San Francisco residents, members of the formerly

incarcerated community, and other stakeholders. The Reentry Council is proud to report that it has maintained quorum at all its meetings.

The Reentry Council is led by five dynamic and committed co-chairs: the Mayor's Office; the Adult Probation Department; the Sheriff's Department, and the Offices of the Public Defender and District Attorney. There are total 24 members inclusive of the co-chairs: A representative of the Board of Supervisors, the Juvenile Probation Department, the Police Department, the Department of Economic and Workforce Development, the Human Services Agency, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Child Support Services, the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, the Department of Homeless and Supportive Housing, the San Francisco Superior Court, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Division of Adult Parole Operations, and the United States Probation and Pretrial Services System. The Reentry Council is the only standing body in the city whose membership also includes seven formerly incarcerated individuals. In addition to the 24 standing members, the Reentry Council supports two dynamic sub committees, the Legislation, Policy and Practices Subcommittee, and the Direct Services Subcommittee.

The Reentry Council, with its broad reach into reentry policy and service matters, is the nucleus of adult criminal justice reform and coordination in San Francisco. Across the two sub-committees, there are approximately thirty formal members. Other criminal justice stakeholders and members of the public regularly attend meetings to advance criminal justice and reentry reform. The Council operates closely with other ad-hoc and statutory bodies such as the California Community Correction Partnership, the Sentencing Commission, the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council and the Collaborative Courts partnerships. Council co-chairs and members pursue independent criminal justice and reentry efforts, and the Reentry Council stands as the clearing house for this information, resulting in a reduction of duplication of efforts, and a maximizing of support around important reentry matters.

Justice Reinvestment Initiative

Since 2011, the Reentry Council of the City and County of San Francisco has been coordinating our jurisdiction's Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) – Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) work. Through data analysis, Justice Reinvestment seeks to safely reduce corrections and related criminal justice spending and reinvest savings in efforts that effectively mitigate crime and support successful reintegration of previously incarcerated people into their communities. The award, which included both funds and technical assistance with the Crime and Justice Institute, challenged San Francisco to pursue three important objectives: Expanded and enhanced pretrial detention/ release practices, risk-based probation terms, and to dig deeper into racial and ethnic disparities in the criminal justice system.

Pretrial Detention/ Release Practices

To address pretrial reform, the Reentry Council co-chairs requested support from the Laura and John Arnold Foundation (LJAF) to implement the Public Safety Assessment (PSA) tool, a validated pretrial risk assessment instrument, in San Francisco. In early 2015, the LJAF asked Justice System Partners (JSP) to assess San Francisco's readiness to implement the PSA. Reentry Council staff served as the primary point of contact for JSP and their assessment and the LJAF selected San Francisco as a PSA implementation site in June 2015.

Memorandums of understanding (MOUs) and a PSA Working Group were established in August 2015. The PSA Working Group is comprised of senior staff from key agencies in the City and County of San Francisco, including:

- San Francisco Sheriff's Department: Assistant Sheriff, and Director of Programs
- Superior Court of California – County of San Francisco: Criminal Court Administrator
- San Francisco Pretrial Diversion Project: Director, and Pretrial Services Manager
- San Francisco District Attorney's Office: Chief of the Criminal Division for Horizontal Units, Assistant District Attorney, and Principal Analyst
- Office of the Public Defender – San Francisco: Director of Specialty Courts and Reentry Programs; and Manager of Felony Unit
- San Francisco Criminal Conflicts Panel: Administrator of Criminal Conflicts
- Reentry Council/Adult Probation Department: Research Director
- Other partners who may participate: Mayor's Office, City Administrator's JUSTIS Program, San Francisco Police Department.

Through the PSA Working Group, key implementation activities have occurred in the City and County of San Francisco, including stakeholder education, creation and review of implementation documents (e. g., San Francisco decision making framework-DMF and court report templates), user trainings, fidelity reviews, and regular data analysis. Additionally, in October 2017 the California Policy Lab (CPL) agreed to assist with ongoing data analyses of the PSA implementation.

Risk-based Probation Terms

The Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) Phase I analysis found that the majority of probationers (64%) successfully complete their probation terms and those who do fail on probation do so in an average of 1.4 years, with 75 percent of those who fail doing so within two years. These findings derive from dichotomous supervision recommendations (i.e., recommendation for supervision or recommendation for incarceration) and would not have included additional recommendations on the length of the probation term. Research suggests that we can protect public safety while concurrently providing more effective, targeted, community supervision that addresses identified criminogenic needs.

In 2015, led by the Adult Probation Department, and guided by data, San Francisco criminal justice partners implemented a risk-based probation term initiative which aligned probation terms with risk need assessment (RNA) results and demonstrations of success. Clients are ineligible to receive a risk-based probation term if the current conviction requires sex offender registration or if state law mandates at least a three-year probation grant (this includes most domestic violence, driving under the influence, and child endangerment cases).

- Clients Assessed as High Risk: If eligible for the risk-based probation term, clients who are assessed as high risk may receive a 36-month probation term with a mandatory review for early termination upon completing 24 months on probation. Under this initiative, clients who are otherwise eligible for the risk-based probation term but whose current offense is either serious or violent may also be sentenced under this schema, regardless of whether they are assessed as low, medium, or high

risk. Early termination may be recommended based on progress while on supervision. Progress milestones include achievement of ITRP goals, demonstrated attempts at payment of victim restitution, compliance with reporting, and no new or pending law violations in the previous 12 months. The 24-month early termination reviews began in May 2017, as the first clients sentenced under this initiative completed 24 months on probation.

- Clients Assessed as Low or Medium Risk: If eligible for the risk-based probation term, clients assessed as low risk or medium risk may be recommended for 18-month or 24-month probation terms, respectively.

The Adult Probation Department continues to review the implementation of this initiative and will provide a report back in the developing decision point analysis.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Criminal Justice System

As San Francisco's African American population was going down, the disparity of African Americans in our criminal justice system was soaring. In 2014, through JRI, the Reentry Council sought to delve deeper into racial and ethnic disparities across its entire criminal justice system and advanced two efforts – 1.) Community stakeholder conversations and 2.) collaboration with the W. Haywood Burns Institute for Justice, Fairness and Equity (“Burns Institute”). The Burns Institute culled and analyzed available data from criminal justice partners, and facilitated several stakeholder conversations, which culminated in a report and presentation to the Reentry Council. The results of the Burns Institute report were not surprising given San Francisco's trends but were nonetheless damning and cause for continuing alarm. The report underscored expansive criminal justice data issues, data systems silos, and most poignantly, overrepresentation of African Americans at every point on the criminal justice system from arrest through sentencing. While San Francisco's African American population was less than six percent at the time, the report concluded that African Americans were 40 percent of people arrested, 44 percent of people booked into county jail and 40 percent of people convicted in San Francisco.

San Francisco's JRI work was highlighted in the Urban Institute Report, “Local Justice Reinvestment: Strategies, Outcomes, and Keys to Success” as one of seventeen jurisdictions across the country that had implemented policies to reduce jail populations and cost while improving public safety, and increasing the efficiency of their justice system. The Urban Institute credited San Francisco for being proactive in criminal justice reform.

In tandem with the Burns Report, the Reentry Council, members of its subcommittees, and community stakeholders hosted several town hall meetings throughout the city in the Bayview, Visitacion Valley, the Mission, Tenderloin and the Fillmore to discuss these disparities and think strategically about how to address them. Each of the community meetings was well attended with more than fifty members of the community, law enforcement and city departments, present. The meetings were facilitated by community partners and provided an inclusive space for members of the public to speak freely and candidly about the racial inequities and disparities existing in the City and County of San Francisco. Action steps included better engagement of community as catalysts for change, create/strengthen an unbiased, culturally sensitive police

force, improve data systems, review gang injunction policies, address fines and fees barriers, and increase and expand access to behavioral health services. It is exciting to note that between Reentry Council and independent member efforts; there has been movement on many of these action steps.

The JRI work continues to be a driving catalyst of the Reentry Council's focus and commitment. Another important off-shoot of the JRI work has been a commitment from Reentry Council co-chairs to have their respective departments complete a decision point analysis that seeks to identify where system pain points and implicit bias could be contributing to disparities in the criminal justice system. The District Attorney's and Public Defender's Offices have completed the analysis with the Sheriff's and Adult Probation Department's analysis still in progress. While these steps are important, the Reentry Council members are committed to staying vigilant about mitigating racial and ethnic disparities in the criminal justice system.

Nearly three years removed from the Burn's report, numerous city departments and agencies, inclusive of those that sit on the Reentry Council have signed on to support the City's commitment to achieve racial equity among its employees, hiring practices, and overall policies. These efforts have been spearheaded by the San Francisco's Human Rights Commission's partnership with Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE).

Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE)

In late 2016, the City and County of San Francisco's Human Rights Commission (HRC) came and talked to the Reentry Council about racial equity and racial disparities in city hiring practices, and policies. The Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission informed the Council of its new commitment to tackle issues regarding racial equity through its partnership with the Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE). During GARE's initial cohort with San Francisco County, not one criminal justice agency was represented. However, in the past and current cohort, there have been several criminal justice agencies that have signed on and declare their commitment to this work.

Presently in its third cohort, the following criminal justice departments are represented on GARE: Office of Police Accountability, Adult Probation, and the District Attorney's Office. These departments have signed a Memorandum of Understanding with HRC and have committed members of their staff to engage in GARE's 12-month intensive curriculum. As a result of HRC elevating the need to mobilize citywide racial equity efforts, racial equity report backs across Reentry Council departments has become a standing item on the Reentry Council agenda.

Subcommittees

As part of its formal structure, the Reentry Council operates subcommittees, ad-hoc bodies made up of city partners and community stakeholders who are all committed to criminal justice reform and strengthening systems and programs so that people exiting jails and prisons can successfully reintegrate into their communities.

Presently, the Reentry Council has two subcommittees that meeting bi-monthly:

- Legislation, Policy and Practices Subcommittee

- Direct Services Subcommittee

The Legislation, Policy and Practice Subcommittee is focused on assisting the Reentry Council in developing and supporting local laws, policy, and practices that help shape state and federal policy. Through the efforts of this subcommittee, the members have helped the Reentry council achieve four primary goals:

1. Reduce its reliance on incarceration
2. Facilitate the successful reentry of formerly incarcerated residents into the community
3. Remove barriers for individuals with criminal records, and
4. Reduce racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in the criminal justice system

Members of this subcommittees embrace inclusive and participatory review of local, state, and federal legislation, policy, and operational practices while providing equal consideration to community stakeholders voices. Moreover, this subcommittee is responsible for addressing the most pressing legislation impacting the reentry community.

The Direct Services subcommittee is focused on assisting the Reentry Council in supporting and investing in local, grassroots non-profits, advocacy and supporting movements or activities geared to servicing the incarcerated and formerly incarcerated community in the following six areas:

1. Violence Reduction
2. Permanent Housing
3. Education
4. Employment
5. Mental and Physical Health, and
6. Substance Abuse Recovery

The subcommittees meet bi-monthly and are also well attended. Since the work of these groups is strategically elevated towards Reentry Council meetings, this subcommittee/Reentry Council structure reduces the gap between the public and policy makers and presents an opportunity for stakeholders to present concrete policy and service strategies to key decision makers in San Francisco's criminal justice system.

The Reentry Council and subcommittees are truly committed to strengthening public safety by improving systems, mitigating root drivers of crime, and expanding dynamic pathways for people from jails and prisons back into their communities. This report will conclude with highlights of other past accomplishments.

Past Accomplishments

- Creating the Getting out and Staying out Guide of resources for San Francisco residents exiting jails and prisons
- Collaborating with local efforts to stop the building of a new jail

- Partnering with the Restorative Justice Ministry of San Francisco Archdiocese to host an annual Community Appreciation Dinner for the previously incarcerated community and their loved ones
- Collaborating with a grassroots movement to support the Tenderloin Stop Violence Community Events, and Tenderloin Police and Community Basketball League that stem for the Stop violence Community event
- Numerous register to vote campaigns for justice involved individuals
- Partnering with Treasurers Office and city partners to support legislation to remove cumbersome criminal justice system fines and fees
- Voting to abolish San Francisco Gang injunctions and working with community stakeholders to get more than 80 individuals name removed for the existing injunctions
- Assisting with Governor Jerry Brown’s Driver’s License Amnesty program

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Reentry Council has had a remarkable past four years since the Council was reestablished. The Council is both a touch point and springboard for criminal justice reform and accountability. There is no collective board that has seventeen different city, state, and federal departments represented to meet the needs of the incarcerated and formerly incarcerated community.

We urge you to vote in support of renewing the authorizing legislation, and look forward to continuing to make recommendations on reentry services, policy and operational issues in the coming years. If you have any questions or would like additional information about any of these efforts, please contact Reentry Policy Planner, Geoffrea Morris at geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org or (415) 241-4241.

Ordinance Amendment

The recommended revisions to the Administrative Code, Section 5.1, as indicated in Attachment A include extending the Reentry Council’s sunset date to June 30, 2024, amending the report requirements from an annual report to a bi-annual report and a correction to section 5.1.4(a) and (b) under Power and Duties - the word “existing” was corrected to “exiting.”

Thank you for your support and consideration of this Administrative Code amendment request.

Sincerely,

Geoffrea Morris, Reentry Policy Planner
 Reentry Council of the City and County of San Francisco

Cc: Co-chairs, and *Members of the Reentry Council of the City and County of San Francisco*

Attachments:

Attachment A: Proposed revisions to Administrative Code Sec. 5.1

Attachment B: Roster of Members

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 18, 2018
To: The Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AAC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reentry Council

Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.21 establishes certain criteria that must be included in legislation creating and establishing, or reauthorizing, new bodies (boards/commissions/task forces/advisory bodies) and requires the Clerk of the Board to advise the Board on certain matters. In order to fulfill these requirements, the following is provided:

File No. 180913 Administrative Code - Reentry Council - Reporting Duties and Sunset Date

This Ordinance amends the Administrative Code to require the Reentry Council ("Council") prepare its report biennially, instead of annually, and extending the sunset date of the Council by five years to June 1, 2024.

- Does a current body address the same or similar subject matter?

No, there are no other bodies that address the same or similar subject matter.

- Language requiring the body to meet at least once every four months

Section 5.1-3(e) requires the Council to meet at least three times per year.

- Language indicating members serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority

Section 5.1-3(a) indicates members shall serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority.



- Language establishing attendance requirements

Section 5.1-5 establishes attendance requirements and allows removal of a member that misses two meetings in a twelve-month period, without prior notice.

- Number of seats and qualifications

There are a total of 24 seats on the Council, as follows:

Mayor Appointed:

- *One (1) member shall be the Mayor or their designee;*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility;*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility, between the ages of 18 and 35 at the time of appointment and have been an inmate before the age of 24; and*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility, and have expertise in providing services to individuals exiting the criminal justice system.*

Board of Supervisors Appointed:

- *One (1) member shall be a member of the Board of Supervisors;*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility, and have expertise in providing services to individuals exiting the criminal justice system;*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility, and have been released from custody within three years of their appointment;*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a United States Bureau of Prison facility, and have served multiple terms of incarceration; and*
- *One (1) member shall be a former inmate in the San Francisco County Jail, a California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation adult facility and/or a*



United States Bureau of Prison facility, and must self-identify as a survivor of violence or crime.

Eleven (11) City Departments that appoint one (1) member each:

- Public Defender’s Office*
- District Attorney’s Office*
- Sheriff’s Department*
- Police Department*
- Adult Probation Department*
- Juvenile Probation Department*
- Department of Economic and Workforce Development*
- Human Services Agency*
- Department of Children, Youth and Their Families*
- Department of Public Health*
- Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing*

Four (4) Outside Agencies shall be invited to appoint one (1) member each:

- San Francisco Superior Court*
- Department of Child Support Services*
- California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Division of Adult Parole Operations*
- United States Probation and Pretrial Services System*

- Term limits (i.e., commencement date? staggered terms?)

Section 5.1-5(a) indicates each member shall serve a term of two years.

- Administering department

Section 5.1-1 requires the Public Defender’s Office, the District Attorney’s Office, the Adult Probation Department, and the Mayor’s Office to each designate staff to provide administrative support to the Council.

- Reporting requirements

Currently, Section 5.1-4(e) requires the Council to annually report to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and City Departments with information identifying funding streams, programs serving individuals existing the criminal justice system, needs of reentry population, and barriers to safe and successful reentry.



If this legislation passes, this report will only be required to be submitted to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and City Departments "biennially."

- Sunset date

Currently, Section 5.1-6 indicates the Council is set to expire on June 1, 2019. If this legislation passes, the Council term shall be extended for five years to June 1, 2024.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kiely Hosmon, Director
Youth Commission

FROM: *AC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

DATE: October 11, 2018

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Board of Supervisors has received the following proposed legislation which is being referred to the Youth Commission as per Charter, Section 4.124 for comment and recommendation. The Commission may provide any response it deems appropriate within 12 days from the date of this referral.

File No. 180913

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the reporting duties of the Reentry Council; and extend the sunset date of the Council by five years to June 1, 2024.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to **Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Rules Committee.**

RESPONSE FROM YOUTH COMMISSION Date: _____

_____ **No Comment**

_____ **Recommendation Attached**

Chairperson, Youth Commission

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Karen L. Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer, Adult Probation
Department

FROM: *al*
bn Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director
Rules Committee

DATE: September 25, 2018

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Fewer on September 18, 2018:

File No. 180913

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the reporting duties of the Reentry Council; and extend the sunset date of the Council by five years to June 1, 2024.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: LaShaun Williams, Adult Probation Department

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2019 SEP 18 PM 3:14
Time stamp
or meeting date

BY AK

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning : "Supervisor [] inquiries"
- 5. City Attorney Request.
- 6. Call File No. [] from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No. []
- 9. Reactivate File No. []
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on []

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.

Sponsor(s):

Fewer

Subject:

Ordinance Amending the Administrative Code to revise the reporting duties of the Reentry Council, and extend the sunset date of the Council five years, to June 1, 2024.

The text is listed:

see attached

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

Gordon Lee Fewer

For Clerk's Use Only