1	County of San Francisco: Candlestick Point1
2	
3	
4	Resolution urging California State Legislators to oppose State Senate Bill 792 Tidelines
5	and submerged land: City and County of San Francisco: Candlestick Point which
6	would allow the sale of 42 acres of state parkland including parts of the Candlestick
7	Point State Park for private development.
8	
9	WHEREAS, It is normal and customary for the Board of Supervisors to provide a
10	resolution for or against State legislation that substantially effects the residents of San
11	Francisco; and
12	WHEREAS, SB 792 is premature and preempts the process for public input and
13	environmental assessment since the Environmental Impact Reports for the proposed
14	development on Candlestick Point and the Hunters Point Shipyard will not be released until
15	the fall of 2009; and
16	WHEREAS, SB 792 is unnecessary because the lead developer in the project has
17	already been given development authority over more than 700 acres at Candlestick Point and
18	the Hunter Point Shipyard; and
19	WHEREAS, SB 792 is fiscally irresponsible and would set a dangerous precedent
20	since the State of California purchased this beautiful waterfront parkland for \$10 million in
21	1977 and this land represents a valuable and irreplaceable asset to the state of California that
22	should not be disposed of for private development; and
23	WHEREAS, SB 792 would be detrimental to Candlestick Point State Park by
24	authorizing the sale of nearly one third of parkland and public open space that is currently
25	used by the residents and families of Bayview Hunters Point; and

1	WHEREAS, We have letters of opposition from members of the community in direct
2	opposition to SB 792 because of the impact of environmental racism caused by selling a
3	clean park to a private developer for condominium construction denying Bayview Hunters
4	Point residents equal access to healthy open space as is enjoyed by other neighborhoods in
5	San Francisco; and
6	WHEREAS, SB 792 adversely affects the residents of Bayview Hunters Point and the
7	City of San Francisco as a whole; now, therefore, be it
8	RESOLVED, That it be the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco to
9	oppose SB 792 in its current form; and, be it
10	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges California State Legislators to
11	oppose State SB 792; and, be it
12	RESOLVED That the Board of Supervisors directs the City Clerk to forward this
13	resolution to San Francisco's Sacramento Delegation; and, be it
14	FURTHER RESOLVED Board reminds City lobbyist, Lynn Suter, to accurately
15	represent the City and County of San Francisco policy in Sacramento.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	