

JAG Equipment and Training Program		
Name of County Applicant: <i>City and County of San Francisco</i>		
Note: Rows 7-10 will auto-populate based on the information entered in the budget Categories (Services and Supplies, etc.)		
Budget Category	Total	
1. Services and Supplies	\$0	
2. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$654,609	
3. Training Expenses	\$0	
4. Indirect Costs	\$0	
TOTAL	\$654,609	
1a. Services and Supplies		
Itemized List of Services or Supplies	Calculation for Expenditure	Total
Example: Office Supplies (Paper, Pens, etc.)	\$350/month x 12 Months	\$4,200
TOTAL		\$0
1b. Services and Supplies Narrative:		
Enter narrative here. You may expand cell height if needed.		
2a. Equipment/Fixed Assets		
Itemized List of Professional Service(s)	Calculation for Expenditure	Total
Example: Purchase of Vehicle	Toyota Prius @ Market Value	\$24,000
Bruker DART-MS System	1 system (instrument, validation, training & warranty) x \$332,771	\$332,771
Foster+Freeman DCS 6 Pro Forensic Imaging System	1 system (instrument, validation, training & warranty) x \$272,656	\$272,656
Foster+Freeman MCV FFLEX Fuming System	1 system (instrument, training & warranty) x \$32,665	\$32,665
Foster+Freeman MVC Lite Portable Fingerprint Fuming Chamber	1 system (instrument, training & warranty) x \$16,517	\$16,517
TOTAL		\$654,609
2b. Equipment/Fixed Assets Narrative		
The above equipment has been identified and determined to be specifically essential for PPA 1 and PPA 2. The SFPD Criminalistics Laboratory is on the path to accreditation of the Controlled Substances Unit, expected Fall 2025, and the Latent Print Unit (timeline is in progress). Without the assets listed above, the hurdle to accreditation increases, as these technologies reflect specific discipline requirements for successful unit accreditation. The instrumentation above will enhance the laboratory's capability to provide state-of-the-art fingerprint processing and capture, as well as rapid, non-destructive presumptive drug identification, which are integral to effective downstream evidence analysis procedures. Current laboratory infrastructure and personnel within the Controlled Substances and Latent Print Units are routinely and significantly impacted due to outdated equipment and budget constraints, creating the need for equipment upgrades critical to addressing the community safety concerns highlighted in PPA 1 and PPA 2.		
3a. Training Expenses		
Itemized of Equipment/Fixed Asset	Calculation for Expense	Total
Example Crisis Response Training	\$1200 Reg. Fees * 4 Participants + Lodging 2 rooms @ \$200/night * 2 nights + Per Diem \$150/person * 2 days	\$6,800
TOTALS		\$0
3b. Training Expenses		

4a. Indirect Costs				
For this grant program, indirect costs may be charged using only one of the two options below:	Rate	Direct Costs	Max. Allowable Indirect Costs	Grant Funds
If the applicant does not have a federally approved indirect cost rate, the applicant may claim indirect costs using the Federal De Minimis, 15% of Modified Total Direct Costs (calculated as 15% multiplied by total direct costs, minus equipment, plus up to the first \$50,000 of each subcontract)	15.00%	\$0	\$0	
*If the amount entered in H277 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G277				
If the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate, may claim indirect costs up to the organization's federally approved indirect cost rate.		\$654,609	\$0	
*If the amount entered in H278 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G278				
TOTAL				\$0
4b. Indirect Costs Narrative				
Enter narrative here. You may expand cell height if needed.				