



CALIFORNIA		PROGRAMS
	JAG Equipment and Training Program	
	Name of County Applicant: City and County of San Francisco	
Budget Ceterany	Note: Rows 7-10 will auto-populate based on the information entered in the budget Categories (Services and Supplies, etc.)	T-4-
Budget Category		Tota
Services and Supplies		\$0
2. Equipment/Fixed Assets		\$654,609
3. Training Expenses		\$0
Indirect Costs		\$0
	TOTAL	\$654,609
1a. Services and Supplies		
Itemized List of Services or Supplies	Calculation for Expenditure	Tete
Example: Office Supplies (Paper, Pens, etc.)	\$350/month x 12 Months	Tota \$4,200
Example. Office supplies (Laper, Lens, etc.)	QUOUTIONALY IZ MORALD	ψ+,201
	TOTAL	\$0
1b. Services and Supplies Narrative:  Enter narrative here. You may expand cell height if need	ded.	
2a. Equipment/Fixed Assets		
Itemized List of Professional Service(s)	Calculation for Expenditure	Tota
Example: Purchase of Vehicle	Toyota Prius @ Market Value	\$24,000
Bruker DART-MS System	1 system (instrument, validation, training & warranty) x \$332,771	\$332,77
Foster+Freeman DCS 6 Pro Forensic Imaging System	1 system (instrument, validation, training & warranty) x \$272,656	\$272,650
Foster+Freeman MCV FFLEX Fuming System Foster+Freeman MVC Lite Portable Fingerprint Fuming	1 system (instrument, training & warranty) x \$32,665	\$32,66
Chamber	1 system (instrument, training & warranty) x \$16,517	\$16,51
	TOTAL	\$654,609
2b. Equipment/Fixed Assets Narrative		W 0005 and the Latent
Print Unit (timeline is in progress). Without the assets lis	ed to be specifically essential for PPA 1 and PPA 2. The SFPD Criminalistics Laboratory is on the path to accreditation of the Controlled Substances Unit, expected Fa sted above, the hurdle to accreditation increases, as these technologies reflect specific discipline requirements for successful unit accreditation. The instrumentation al	bove will enhance the
laboratory's capability to provide state-of-the-art fingerpi infrastructure and personnel within the Controlled Subst	rint processing and capture, as well as rapid, non-destructive presumptive drug identification, which are integral to effective downstream evidence analysis procedures. Iances and Latent Print Units are routinely and significantly impacted due to outdated equipment and budget constraints, creating the need for equipment upgrades criti	Current laboratory ical to addressing the
community safety concerns highlighted in PPA 1 and PI		
3a. Training Expenses		
	Calculation for Expense	Tete
Itemized of Equipment/Fixed Asset		Tota
Example Crisis Response Training	\$1200 Reg. Fees * 4 Participants + Lodging 2 rooms @ \$200/night * 2 nights + Per Diem \$150/person * 2 days	\$6,800
	TOTALS	\$1
	TOTALO	, and
3b. Training Expenses		

4a. Indirect Costs				
4a. Indirect Costs		ı		
For this grant program, indirect costs may be charged using only <u>one</u> of the two options below:	Rate	Direct Costs	Max. Allowable Indirect Costs	Grant Funds
If the applicant does not have a federally approved indirect cost rate, the applicant may claim indirect costs using the Federal De Minimis, 15% of Modified Total Direct Costs (calculated as 15% multiplied by total direct costs, minus equipment, plus up to the				
first \$50,000 of each subcontract)	15.00%	\$0	\$0	
*If the amount entered in H277 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G277				
If the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate, may claim indirect costs up to the organization's federally approved indirect cost rate.		\$654,609	\$0	
*If the amount entered in H278 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G278		\$654,609	\$0	
	•		TOTAL	\$0
4b. Indirect Costs Narrative				
Enter narrative here. You may expand cell height if needed.				