

1 [Rebuttal Argument]

2
3 **Motion authorizing rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition C, a**
4 **Bond Measure regarding Veterans Building Special Election.**

5
6 MOVED, That pursuant to Section 550 of the Municipal Elections Code, the Board of
7 Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against
8 Proposition C, a Ordinance calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City
9 and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 5, 2002, for the purpose of submitting
10 to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur the following
11 bonded debt of the City and County: One Hundred Twenty-Two Million Seven Hundred
12 Fifty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$122,755,000) for the acquisition, rehabilitation, renovation,
13 improvement, construction and/or reconstruction by the City and County of the San
14 Francisco War Memorial Veterans Building, and all other works, property and structures
15 necessary or convenient for the foregoing purposes; providing for the use of available
16 annual revenues on deposit in the War Memorial Special Fund to reduce the property tax
17 impact; finding that the estimated cost of such proposed project is and will be too great to
18 be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County and will
19 require expenditures greater than the amount allowed therefor by the annual tax levy;
20 reciting the estimated cost of such proposed project; fixing the date of election and the
21 manner of holding such election and the procedure for voting for or against the proposition;
22 fixing the maximum rate of interest on such bonds and providing for the levy and collection
23 of taxes to pay both principal and interest thereof; prescribing notice to be given of such
24 election; finding the proposed project is in conformity with the priority policies of Planning
25 Code Section 101.1(b) and with the General Plan consistency requirement of Administrative

Supervisor Sandoval
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Rebuttal Argument Motions

1 Code Section 2A.53; consolidating the special election with the general election;
2 establishing the election precincts, voting places and officers for the election; waiving the
3 word limitation on ballot propositions imposed by San Francisco Municipal Elections Code
4 Section 510; complying with Section 53410 of the California Government Code; and
5 incorporating the provisions of Article V of Chapter V of the San Francisco Administrative
6 Code. , (File 021170); and, be it

7 FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be shown
8 in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and, be it

9 FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and
10 directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be
11 mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on
12 Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

Declaration by Authors of Arguments and / or Rebuttals

THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHOR(S) OF THIS BALLOT ARGUMENT **FOR** or **AGAINST** PROPOSITION C FOR THE ELECTION TO BE HELD IN SAN FRANCISCO ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002 HEREBY STATE THAT SUCH ARGUMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER/THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. ALL SIGNERS OF THIS ARGUMENT MUST BE REGISTERED TO VOTE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Style Notes B, I, or BI	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
B	The great majority of San Francisco veterans support Proposition C.	9
B	The San Francisco American Legion War Memorial Commission, responsible	8
B	for representing all veterans' interests in the Veterans Building, endorses	10
B	Proposition C. Don't be taken in by a dissident minority who claim this	13
B	measure is about denying veterans access to the Veterans Building. Proposition	11
B	C DOES NOT impact veterans' access to or use of space in the Veterans	14
B	Building.	1
B	The purpose of Proposition C is to make the Veterans Building safe for the	14
B	public, and to protect the building's architectural and historic significance. A	11
I	1996 seismic study found the Veterans Building to have " <i>major structural and</i>	12
I	<i>nonstructural deficiencies. In a major earthquake, the structure is expected to</i>	11
I	<i>sustain significant damage which will pose appreciable life safety hazards to the</i>	12
I	<i>building's occupants."</i> Proposition C will upgrade the Veterans Building to	10
I	meet current earthquake and building code standards.	7
B	A vital component of project planning has been and will continue to be to	14
B	honor veterans through upgraded facilities to better meet their needs. Input from	12
B	veterans groups that use the building has been solicited extensively and will	12
B	continue to be solicited during the design/engineering phase that would follow	12
B	Proposition C's passage. Please don't let false claims delay or prevent this	12
B	important public safety measure.	4
B	Join Supervisors Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick,	8
B	Newsom, Sandoval and Yee in voting YES ON C to make our historic Veterans	14
B	Building safe and accessible for use by San Francisco veterans, residents and	11
B	visitors.	1



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails Motion

File Number: 021329

Date Passed: August 26, 2002

Motion authorizing rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition C, a Bond Measure regarding Veterans Building Special Election.


August 26, 2002 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 10 - Ammiano, Daly, Gonzalez, Leno, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Newsom,
Peskin, Sandoval, Yee

Noes: 1 - Hall

File No. 021329

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion
was APPROVED on August 26, 2002 by the
Board of Supervisors of the City and County
of San Francisco.


Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board