

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Police Chief William Scott, Police Dept.

FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Victor Young".

DATE: May 6, 2025

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee received the following proposed Ordinance:

File No. 250456

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise eligibility criteria for the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund, including to allow the Chief of Police, in the Chief's discretion, to approve payment of a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history; to require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain; and to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to Victor Young at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: [victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org).

- c. Lisa Ortiz, Police Dept.
- Lili Gamero, Police Dept.
- Rima Malouf, Police Dept.
- Steven Lopez, Police Dept.
- Diana Oliva-Arochoe, Police Dept.
- Carl Nicita, Police Dept.



# City and County of San Francisco

## Master Report

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

<b>File Number:</b> 250456	<b>File Type:</b> Ordinance	<b>Status:</b> 30 Day Rule
<b>Enacted:</b>		<b>Effective:</b>
<b>Version:</b> 1	<b>In Control:</b> Rules Committee	
<b>File Name:</b> Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund		<b>Date Introduced:</b> 04/29/2025
<b>Requester:</b>	<b>Cost:</b>	<b>Final Action:</b>
<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Title:</b> Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise eligibility criteria for the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund, including to allow the Chief of Police, in the Chief's discretion, to approve payment of a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history; to require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain; and to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.	
<b>Sponsor:</b> Dorsey		

### History of Legislative File 250456

Ver	Acting Body	Date	Action	Sent To	Due Date	Result
1	President	04/29/2025	ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE	Rules Committee	05/29/2025	

[Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise eligibility criteria for the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund, including to allow the Chief of Police, in the Chief's discretion, to approve payment of a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history; to require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain; and to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.**

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncoded text** are in plain Arial font.  
**Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
**Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.  
**Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.  
**Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.  
**Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. General Findings.

(a) The City and County of San Francisco affirms its commitment to ensuring justice for victims of violent crimes by maintaining and strengthening its ability to incentivize public cooperation in homicide investigations. This ordinance seeks to build upon and modernize the Homicide Reward Fund established by Ordinance No. 60-16 in 2016 (Administrative Code §10.100-306), aligning the City's reward policy with evolving best practices and community needs.

1 (b) Pursuant to the City's police powers under Article XI, Section 7 of the California  
2 Constitution, San Francisco may enact ordinances to safeguard the health, safety, and  
3 welfare of its residents. Enhancing the homicide reward system serves the public interest by  
4 improving clearance rates for unsolved murders, promoting accountability, and encouraging  
5 community engagement in law enforcement efforts.

6 (c) In 2016, the Board of Supervisors established the San Francisco Homicide Reward  
7 Fund to provide discretionary financial incentives for information leading to arrests and  
8 convictions in homicide cases. However, despite this framework, no reward has been paid  
9 since 2013, due in part to restrictive eligibility criteria.

10 (d) Testimony presented during the Police Commission's May 8, 2024 public meeting  
11 revealed that limitations in the current policy and eligibility criteria—including the requirement  
12 of a conviction, exclusion of anonymous tipsters, and disqualification based solely on a  
13 tipster's criminal history—undermine the efficacy of the reward program. Law enforcement  
14 officials have publicly acknowledged that these constraints discourage cooperation from  
15 individuals with valuable information due to fear of retaliation or distrust of legal institutions.

16 (e) This ordinance aims to eliminate unnecessary procedural and eligibility barriers by  
17 allowing rewards where information leads to criminal charges, whether or not they ultimately  
18 result in convictions; permitting payouts to anonymous tipsters; and preventing automatic  
19 disqualification based on a tipster's prior misconduct, while still excluding individuals who  
20 knowingly submit false information for personal gain.

21 Section 2. Article XIII of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising  
22 Section 10.100-306, to read as follows:

23 **SEC. 10.100-306. SAN FRANCISCO HOMICIDE REWARD FUND.**

24 (a) **Establishment of Fund.** The San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund (the "Fund")  
25 is established as a category six fund to receive any monies appropriated or donated for the

1 purpose of providing rewards as set forth in this Section 10.100-306. Donations to the Fund  
2 are deemed approved for acceptance and expenditure.

3 (b) **Use of Fund.** Monies in the ~~F~~fund shall be used exclusively by the Chief of Police  
4 in the following manner:

5 (1) The Chief of Police may pay a reward to any person or persons providing  
6 information that leads, in the judgment of the Chief after consultation with the District Attorney,  
7 to the filing of charges against~~arrest and conviction of~~ a person for the crimes of murder (Cal.  
8 Penal Code Sec. 187, as may be amended from time to time~~, or any successor legislation~~) or  
9 manslaughter (Cal. Penal Code Sec. 192, as may be amended from time to time~~, or any~~  
10 ~~successor legislation~~) committed within the City and County of San Francisco. If more than one  
11 claimant is eligible for a reward under this Section 10.100-306, the Chief of Police may divide  
12 the reward in a manner the Chief deems appropriate, but the total reward in connection with a  
13 single incident, whether made to one person or divided among several persons, may not  
14 exceed \$250,000.

15 (2) A person claiming a reward from the Fund may not have participated in the  
16 planning, commission, or concealment of the crime for which the reward is provided, and the  
17 information provided by the person must have been unknown to the Police Department or the  
18 District Attorney at the time it was provided.

19 (3) If a person claiming a reward from the Fund has engaged in any prior criminal  
20 conduct or has a history of criminal arrests, charges, or convictions, that shall not be a basis for denial  
21 of the reward.

22 (4) If a person that provides information does not disclose their identity and seeks to  
23 maintain their anonymity, their request for anonymity shall not be a basis for denial of the reward. If a  
24 person claiming a reward from the Fund requests anonymity, their identity shall be maintained as  
25

1 confidential to the fullest extent permitted by law, unless such person consents in writing to disclosure  
2 of their identity.

3 (5) Rewards may only be paid to a person if the information is given voluntarily, at the  
4 person's own initiative. Rewards may not be paid as part of any plea bargain.

5 (36) The Chief of Police shall pay a reward from the Fund only where the Chief  
6 determines, in the Chief's~~this or her~~ judgment, that: (A) the Police Department has exhausted all  
7 investigative leads; and (B) assistance from the public and a reward are necessary to achieve  
8 ~~an arrest and conviction~~the filing of criminal charges for the crime.

9 (7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10.100-306, a reward shall not be  
10 paid to a person for the provision of information that, in the judgment of the Chief of Police, is false.

11 (48) Except as provided herein, Rewards are entirely in the Chief of Police's  
12 discretion and there is in no circumstance a right to ~~an award~~reward. The amount of the reward  
13 rests in the discretion of the Chief and shall be based on, among other considerations, the  
14 nature of the crime, the length and difficulty of the investigation~~and prosecution~~, and the  
15 usefulness of the information furnished by the person claiming the reward.

16 ~~(5) For the purposes of this Section 10.100-306, "conviction" shall mean a finding of~~  
17 ~~guilty following a trial, a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, or a plea of guilty or nolo contendere by~~  
18 ~~plea bargaining whether or not the guilty plea or nolo contendere plea is entered for violation of Penal~~  
19 ~~Code Sections 187 or 192.~~

20 (c) **Administration of Fund.**

21 (1) Any reference to the Chief of Police in this Section 10.100-306 shall include  
22 the Chief's designee.

23 (2) Any individual reward of \$100,000 or more shall require Board of Supervisors  
24 approval by resolution.

1           (3) The Chief of Police shall submit an annual written report to the Board of  
2 Supervisors and the Controller within the first two weeks of July showing donations to the Fund  
3 received, the nature and amount of such donations, and the disposition thereof, together with  
4 a description of the individual rewards paid from the Fund.

5           (4) To the extent permitted by law, the Chief of Police may keep confidential  
6 information identifying individual reward recipients. This provision shall not be construed to  
7 require the Chief to disclose other information that by law may be kept confidential.

8  
9           Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after  
10 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the  
11 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board  
12 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

13  
14           Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors  
15 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,  
16 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal  
17 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment  
18 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under  
19 the official title of the ordinance.

20  
21           //

22  
23           //

24  
25           //

Section 5. Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/  
JEN HUBER  
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2025\2500227\01837686.docx



## **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise eligibility criteria for the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund, including to allow the Chief of Police, in the Chief's discretion, to approve payment of a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history; to require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain; and to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.**

### **Existing Law**

In 2016, the Board of Supervisors established the Homicide Reward Fund to provide financial incentives for the provision of information leading to arrests and convictions in homicide cases. The Homicide Reward Fund, including eligibility criteria for the receipt of a reward, is codified in Article XIII, Section 10.100-306 of the Administrative Code.

### **Amendments to Current Law**

The proposed ordinance revises certain criteria for the receipt of a reward, including to allow a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in a conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; and to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history. The proposed amendments would also require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain, and seeks to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.

### **Background Information**

On May 8, 2024, the Police Commission held a public hearing to discuss the Homicide Reward Fund. Testimony presented during that hearing revealed that limitations in the current policy and eligibility criteria may undermine the efficacy of the program. At its December 4, 2024 meeting, the Police Commission approved a Resolution urging the Board of Supervisors to amend the code governing San Francisco's Homicide Reward Fund consistent with this proposed ordinance, including to eliminate the requirement of a conviction, allow anonymous information providers, allow the payment of a reward regardless of whether the information

FILE NO. 250456

provider previously engaged in criminal misconduct, and to ensure that rewards should not be paid to a person who provides false information.

n:\legana\as2025\2500227\01837753.docx

## Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☐ 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- ☐ 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- ☐ 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor  inquires..."
- ☐ 5. City Attorney Request
- ☐ 6. Call File No.  from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- ☐ 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- ☐ 9. Reactivate File No.
- ☐ 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- ☐ Small Business Commission      ☐ Youth Commission      ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission      ☐ Building Inspection Commission      ☐ Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- ☐ Yes      ☐ No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

**From:** [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [HUBER, JEN \(CAT\)](#); [RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** D6 - Ordinance - Homicide Reward Fund  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 29, 2025 3:26:59 PM  
**Attachments:** [Ord to Amend Homicide Reward Fund - Final.DOCX](#)  
[Legislative Digest - Homicide Reward Fund.DOCX](#)  
[Dorsey Introduction - Ordinance - 4.29.2025.pdf](#)

---

Dear Clerk Staff,

Please see the attached ordinance for introduction. Including DCA Jen Huber here for confirmation of the use of her signature.

Please let me know if anything else is needed. Thank you!

**Dominica Donovan** | Chief of Staff  
Office of Supervisor Matt Dorsey, District 6  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 284  
[dominica.donovan@sfgov.org](mailto:dominica.donovan@sfgov.org) | (415) 554-7970

**From:** [Huber, Jen \(CAT\)](#)  
**To:** [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [RUSSI, BRAD \(CAT\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: D6 - Ordinance - Homicide Reward Fund  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 29, 2025 4:21:37 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Hi All, Confirming that my electronic signature can be added to this ordinance.

Thanks, Jen

**Jen Huber** (*she/her*)  
Deputy City Attorney  
Office of City Attorney David Chiu  
(415) 554-4665 Direct

[www.sfcityattorney.org](http://www.sfcityattorney.org)

The information in this email is confidential and may be protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this email or received this email inadvertently, please notify the sender and delete it.

---

**From:** Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 29, 2025 3:27 PM  
**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Huber, Jen (CAT) <Jen.Huber@sfcityatty.org>; Russi, Brad (CAT) <Brad.Russi@sfcityatty.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** D6 - Ordinance - Homicide Reward Fund

Dear Clerk Staff,

Please see the attached ordinance for introduction. Including DCA Jen Huber here for confirmation of the use of her signature.

Please let me know if anything else is needed. Thank you!

**Dominica Donovan** | Chief of Staff  
Office of Supervisor Matt Dorsey, District 6  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 284  
[dominica.donovan@sfgov.org](mailto:dominica.donovan@sfgov.org) | (415) 554-7970

[Administrative Code - San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise eligibility criteria for the San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund, including to allow the Chief of Police, in the Chief's discretion, to approve payment of a reward when information leads to the filing of criminal charges whether or not the charges result in conviction; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's request to remain anonymous; to prohibit denial of a reward on the basis of an information provider's arrest or criminal history; to require that a person seeking a reward provide information voluntarily and not as part of a plea bargain; and to ensure that a reward is not paid to a person for the provision of false information.**

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.  
**Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
**Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.  
**Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.  
**Board amendment deletions** are in ~~Arial font~~.  
**Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. General Findings.

(a) The City and County of San Francisco affirms its commitment to ensuring justice for victims of violent crimes by maintaining and strengthening its ability to incentivize public cooperation in homicide investigations. This ordinance seeks to build upon and modernize the Homicide Reward Fund established by Ordinance No. 60-16 in 2016 (Administrative Code §10.100-306), aligning the City's reward policy with evolving best practices and community needs.

1 (b) Pursuant to the City's police powers under Article XI, Section 7 of the California  
2 Constitution, San Francisco may enact ordinances to safeguard the health, safety, and  
3 welfare of its residents. Enhancing the homicide reward system serves the public interest by  
4 improving clearance rates for unsolved murders, promoting accountability, and encouraging  
5 community engagement in law enforcement efforts.

6 (c) In 2016, the Board of Supervisors established the San Francisco Homicide Reward  
7 Fund to provide discretionary financial incentives for information leading to arrests and  
8 convictions in homicide cases. However, despite this framework, no reward has been paid  
9 since 2013, due in part to restrictive eligibility criteria.

10 (d) Testimony presented during the Police Commission's May 8, 2024 public meeting  
11 revealed that limitations in the current policy and eligibility criteria—including the requirement  
12 of a conviction, exclusion of anonymous tipsters, and disqualification based solely on a  
13 tipster's criminal history—undermine the efficacy of the reward program. Law enforcement  
14 officials have publicly acknowledged that these constraints discourage cooperation from  
15 individuals with valuable information due to fear of retaliation or distrust of legal institutions.

16 (e) This ordinance aims to eliminate unnecessary procedural and eligibility barriers by  
17 allowing rewards where information leads to criminal charges, whether or not they ultimately  
18 result in convictions; permitting payouts to anonymous tipsters; and preventing automatic  
19 disqualification based on a tipster's prior misconduct, while still excluding individuals who  
20 knowingly submit false information for personal gain.

21 Section 2. Article XIII of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising  
22 Section 10.100-306, to read as follows:

23 **SEC. 10.100-306. SAN FRANCISCO HOMICIDE REWARD FUND.**

24 (a) **Establishment of Fund.** The San Francisco Homicide Reward Fund (the "Fund")  
25 is established as a category six fund to receive any monies appropriated or donated for the

1 purpose of providing rewards as set forth in this Section 10.100-306. Donations to the Fund  
2 are deemed approved for acceptance and expenditure.

3 (b) **Use of Fund.** Monies in the ~~F~~fund shall be used exclusively by the Chief of Police  
4 in the following manner:

5 (1) The Chief of Police may pay a reward to any person or persons providing  
6 information that leads, in the judgment of the Chief after consultation with the District Attorney,  
7 to the filing of charges against~~arrest and conviction of~~ a person for the crimes of murder (Cal.  
8 Penal Code Sec. 187, as may be amended from time to time~~, or any successor legislation~~) or  
9 manslaughter (Cal. Penal Code Sec. 192, as may be amended from time to time~~, or any~~  
10 ~~successor legislation~~) committed within the City and County of San Francisco. If more than one  
11 claimant is eligible for a reward under this Section 10.100-306, the Chief of Police may divide  
12 the reward in a manner the Chief deems appropriate, but the total reward in connection with a  
13 single incident, whether made to one person or divided among several persons, may not  
14 exceed \$250,000.

15 (2) A person claiming a reward from the Fund may not have participated in the  
16 planning, commission, or concealment of the crime for which the reward is provided, and the  
17 information provided by the person must have been unknown to the Police Department or the  
18 District Attorney at the time it was provided.

19 (3) If a person claiming a reward from the Fund has engaged in any prior criminal  
20 conduct or has a history of criminal arrests, charges, or convictions, that shall not be a basis for denial  
21 of the reward.

22 (4) If a person that provides information does not disclose their identity and seeks to  
23 maintain their anonymity, their request for anonymity shall not be a basis for denial of the reward. If a  
24 person claiming a reward from the Fund requests anonymity, their identity shall be maintained as  
25



1 confidential to the fullest extent permitted by law, unless such person consents in writing to disclosure  
2 of their identity.

3 (5) Rewards may only be paid to a person if the information is given voluntarily, at the  
4 person's own initiative. Rewards may not be paid as part of any plea bargain.

5 (36) The Chief of Police shall pay a reward from the Fund only where the Chief  
6 determines, in the Chief's~~this or her~~ judgment, that: (A) the Police Department has exhausted all  
7 investigative leads; and (B) assistance from the public and a reward are necessary to achieve  
8 ~~an arrest and conviction~~the filing of criminal charges for the crime.

9 (7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 10.100-306, a reward shall not be  
10 paid to a person for the provision of information that, in the judgment of the Chief of Police, is false.

11 (48) Except as provided herein, ~~R~~ewards are entirely in the Chief of Police's  
12 discretion and there is in no circumstance a right to ~~an award~~reward. The amount of the reward  
13 rests in the discretion of the Chief and shall be based on, among other considerations, the  
14 nature of the crime, the length and difficulty of the investigation~~and prosecution~~, and the  
15 usefulness of the information furnished by the person claiming the reward.

16 ~~(5) For the purposes of this Section 10.100-306, "conviction" shall mean a finding of~~  
17 ~~guilty following a trial, a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, or a plea of guilty or nolo contendere by~~  
18 ~~plea bargaining whether or not the guilty plea or nolo contendere plea is entered for violation of Penal~~  
19 ~~Code Sections 187 or 192.~~

20 (c) **Administration of Fund.**

21 (1) Any reference to the Chief of Police in this Section 10.100-306 shall include  
22 the Chief's designee.

23 (2) Any individual reward of \$100,000 or more shall require Board of Supervisors  
24 approval by resolution.

1           (3) The Chief of Police shall submit an annual written report to the Board of  
2 Supervisors and the Controller within the first two weeks of July showing donations to the Fund  
3 received, the nature and amount of such donations, and the disposition thereof, together with  
4 a description of the individual rewards paid from the Fund.

5           (4) To the extent permitted by law, the Chief of Police may keep confidential  
6 information identifying individual reward recipients. This provision shall not be construed to  
7 require the Chief to disclose other information that by law may be kept confidential.

8  
9           Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after  
10 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the  
11 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board  
12 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

13  
14           Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors  
15 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,  
16 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal  
17 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment  
18 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under  
19 the official title of the ordinance.

20  
21           //

22  
23           //

24  
25           //

Section 5. Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/  
JEN HUBER  
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2025\2500227\01837686.docx