File No.	241193	Committee Item No
		Board Item No. 26

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _ Board of Sup	ervisors Meeting	Date:		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analys Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lett MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	•		
Prepared by: Jocelyn Wong Date: January 24, 2025 Prepared by: Date:				

[Affirming the Statutory Exemption Determination - Proposed MTA Mid-Valencia Curbside Protected Bikeway Project]
Trotostou Billomay Trojostj
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed
Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) Mid-Valencia Curbside Protected Bikeway
project is statutorily exempt from environmental review.
WHEREAS, On September 30, 2024, the Planning Department determined that the
proposed Mid-Valencia Curbside Protected Bikeway Project (the Project) is statutorily exempt
from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA, Section 21080.25
(CEQA determination); and
WHEREAS, The project site consists of an eight-block section of Valencia Street (from
15th Street to 23rd Street) located in the Mission neighborhood of San Francisco; and
WHEREAS, Between 15th Street and 23rd Street, Valencia Street is a
north-south, two-way street with one travel lane in each direction; a two-way, center-running
bikeway runs along this corridor with two- to six-foot buffers between the bikeway and travel
lanes; and
WHEREAS, The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA or Project
Sponsor) proposes to remove the existing two-way, center-running bikeway on Valencia
Street and install curbside protected Class IV bikes lanes from 15th Street to 23rd Street; and
WHEREAS, The proposed bike lanes would be located directly adjacent to the curb or
weave around curbside parklets, and buffer zones would be placed between the proposed
bike lanes and parking and loading spaces to provide space for people to get in and out of
vehicles and provide more distance between people biking and parked vehicles; and

1	WHEREAS, On cross streets intersecting the project corridor, the proposed project
2	would modify the color curb designation of existing loading spaces (e.g., from passenger
3	loading to commercial loading) and would convert some parking spaces into bikeshare
4	stations; implementation of the proposed project would result in changes to the location and
5	an overall decrease in the number of parking and loading spaces in the project corridor; and
6	changes to the location of parklets, on-street bike share stations, and bicycle corrals (on-
7	street bicycle parking) throughout the project corridor; and
8	WHEREAS, CEQA exempts from environmental review "pedestrian and bicycle
9	facilities that improve safety, access, or mobility, including new facilities, within the public
10	right-of-way" (CEQA, Section 21080.25(b)(1)), and that meet other specified requirements;
11	and
12	WHEREAS, The Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt under
13	Section 21080.25, because it proposes to implement bicycle facilities that improve safety,
14	access, or mobility, and it meets the criteria for applicability of the statutory exemption; and
15	WHEREAS, On May 30, 2024, the SFMTA filed an application with the Planning
16	Department for the purpose of obtaining a CEQA determination for the Project; and
17	WHEREAS, On November 4, 2024, the Planning Department determined that the
18	Project was statutorily exempt under CEQA under Section 21080.25 and issued a statutory
19	exemption for the Project; and
20	WHEREAS, On November 19, 2024, the SFMTA Board of Directors approved the
21	Project; and
22	WHEREAS, On December 4, 2024, Julio Ramos of the Law Office of Julio J. Ramos,
23	on behalf of VAMANOS (hereinafter Appellant), filed an appeal of the statutory exemption

determination; and

24

1	WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated December 9, 2024, the
2	Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
3	filed; and
4	WHEREAS, On January 28, 2025, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
5	consider the appeal filed by Appellant; and
6	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal, this Board reviewed and considered the CEQA
7	determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning
8	Department and the Project Sponsor prepared, the other written records before the Board of
9	Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the appeal; and
10	WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
11	affirmed the CEQA determination, based on the written record before the Board of
12	Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to
13	the appeal; and
14	WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the
15	appeal and the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of
16	Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal, including
17	the deliberations by the members of the Board, is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File
18	No. 241192, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now,
19	therefore, be it
20	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by
21	reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the CEQA determination; and, be it
22	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole
23	record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project
24	circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

conclusions set forth in Planning Department's determination that the Project is statutorily exempt from environmental review; and, be it FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the CEQA determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the CEQA determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a statutory exemption determination under CEQA. n:\land\as2019\1900434\01814230.docx 

## **Introduction Form**

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereb	y subm	it the following item for introduction (select only one):	
П	1.	For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendme	ent)
	2.	Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)	
	3.	Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee	
	4.	Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor	inquiries"
	5.	City Attorney Request	
	6.	Call File No. from Committee.	
	7.	Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)	
	8.	Substitute Legislation File No.	
	9.	Reactivate File No.	
	10.	Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on	
The man			
The pr	-	legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate box nall Business Commission $\Box$ Youth Commission $\Box$ Ethics Commission	
		anning Commission   Building Inspection Commission   Human Resource	
Genera		Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4	-
Genera	□ Ye		.103 & 1 tallilli 21 t.33).
(Note:	For Im	perative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperativ	e Agenda Form.)
Sponso	or(s):		
Clerk	of the	Board	
Subjec	t:		
1	ning the vay Pro	e Statutory Exemption Determination - Proposed MTA Mid-Valencia Curbsinglect	de Protected
Long 7	Title or 1	text listed:	
Trans	portati	ning the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Muni on Agency (MTA) Mid-Valencia Curbside Protected Bikeway project is stat nmental review.	- I
		Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	