

1 [Convene Sunol Hearing]

2 **URGING THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION TO FORMALLY CONVENE PUBLIC**  
3 **HEARINGS TO CONSIDER ANY ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS AND BIDS FOR THE 242**  
4 **ACRES OF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OWNED LAND LOCATED ON A PORTION**  
5 **OF PARCEL 65 LOCATED IN ALAMEDA COUNTY LANDS, SUNOL.**

6 WHEREAS, The Public Utilities Commission approved a 40 year lease with Mission  
7 Valley Rock Company to quarry 242 acres of prime agricultural land owned by the City and  
8 County of San Francisco located in Sunol, Alameda County on a portion of Parcel 65; and

9 WHEREAS, The terms of the agreement stipulates that Mission Valley Rock Company  
10 will provide the City with a percentage of profits as well as water storage capacity when the  
11 site has been completely quarried; and

12 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors convened a public hearing in the  
13 Finance and Labor Committee on March 28, 2000; and

14 WHEREAS, Residents of Sunol have voiced grave concerns over the permanent and  
15 irreversible changes that a quarry factory might potentially cause; and

16 WHEREAS, Amongst those concerns are the proximity of the quarry to an elementary  
17 school and the negative impact on health and quality of life for the school children and school  
18 staff as well as local residents ; and

19 WHEREAS, Local residents also voiced grave concerns about the permanent  
20 destruction of prime agricultural land and the long term impact a quarry factory would have on  
21 the local ecosystem, especially wildlife; and

22  
23  
24  
25

Supervisors Ammiano, Newsom

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco has allocated over two million dollars for the restoration of the Wallis Polk Water Temple and it is the interest of the City to enhance the aesthetic enjoyment of the Temple for all members of the general public as well as to prevent any potential environmental hazards to the restoration efforts of the Water Temple ; and

WHEREAS, It is in the interest of the City to consider and weigh the merits of all proposals to determine which are ones would be in interest of the citizens of San Francisco as well as to our neighbors in Alameda County; and

WHEREAS, Elliston Vineyards Incorporated has expressed a strong desire to develop the 242 acres of land into a vineyard to produce high quality wine and has formally submitted a proposal to the Public Utilities Commission on September 22, 2000; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby urges the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to formally convene hearings to consider alternative development proposals for the 242 acres of land located on a portion of Parcel 65 in Sunol, Alameda County Lands; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Public Utilities Commission to formally reopen the bidding process to consider, compare and weigh the merits of any alternative plan that has been formally submitted to the Commission.

**SUPERVISOR AMMIANO**



# City and County of San Francisco

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Tails Resolution

---

**File Number:** 001823

**Date Passed:**

Resolution urging the Public Utilities Commission to formally convene public hearings to consider any alternative proposals and bids for the 242 acres of Public Utilities Commission owned land located on a portion of Parcel 65 located in Alameda County Lands, Sunol.

---

October 23, 2000 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Brown, Katz, Leno, Newsom, Teng, Yaki

Absent: 2 - Kaufman, Yee

File No. 001823

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on October 23, 2000 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.



---

Gloria L. Young  
Clerk of the Board

---

Date Approved

---

Mayor Willie L. Brown Jr.

November 3, 2000

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.



---

Clerk of the Board

File No.  
001823