



1 [Interim Zoning Controls - Extending and Modifying Requirements for Large Residential  
2 Projects in RC, RM, and RTO Districts]

3 **Resolution extending and modifying interim zoning controls enacted in Resolution No.**  
4 **10-21 for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential-Mixed (RM)**  
5 **and Residential-Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use**  
6 **Authorization for certain residential developments that do not maximize the number of**  
7 **units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department’s**  
8 **determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of**  
9 **consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,**  
10 **Section 101.1.**

11  
12 WHEREAS, Planning Code, Section 306.7, authorizes the Board of Supervisors to  
13 impose interim zoning controls to allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and  
14 for the adoption of appropriate legislation, which are necessary to ensure that the legislative  
15 scheme that may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative  
16 process by the approval or issuance of permits authorizing changes of use that could conflict  
17 with that scheme; and

18 WHEREAS, In recent decades, the rate of production of housing in San Francisco has  
19 failed to keep pace with an influx of jobs and increased demand for housing in San Francisco  
20 and in the broader region, which has contributed to increased unaffordability and repeat  
21 waves of evictions and displacement, largely to the detriment of long-term residents and  
22 communities and lower-income communities, in particular; and

23 WHEREAS, Policymakers at the City and state level have sought to increase housing  
24 density across the state, including through the implementation of a Citywide Accessory  
25 Dwelling Unit Program in San Francisco that applies to existing structures and to new

1 construction and which allows for the increased densification of residential and mixed-use  
2 neighborhoods and zoning districts; and

3 WHEREAS, While significant emphasis has been placed on increasing the capacity for  
4 increased housing density in residential and mixed use zoning districts, and to remove various  
5 substantive and procedural restrictions on the construction of affordable housing in particular,  
6 comparatively little emphasis has been placed on setting density minimums and creating  
7 disincentives for low-density projects in zoning districts that allow for greater density; and

8 WHEREAS, The construction of large residences is indicative of a market preference  
9 for demonstrably unaffordable housing, even in zoning districts that permit greater capacity for  
10 housing density and which tend to be characterized by higher density, more affordable, and  
11 rent-stabilized housing; and

12 WHEREAS, The construction of large residences in zoning districts that permit greater  
13 capacity for housing density, such as Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential-  
14 Mixed (RM), or Residential-Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, forgoes opportunities for more  
15 affordable housing, and frequently results in the loss or conversion of housing protected by  
16 rent stabilization provisions of the San Francisco Rent Ordinance; and

17 WHEREAS, Objective 2 of San Francisco’s 2014 Housing Element states that  
18 “conserving and improving the existing (housing) stock is critical to San Francisco's long term  
19 housing strategy”; and

20 WHEREAS, The 2020 Housing Balance Report, produced by the Planning Department  
21 pursuant to Section 103 of the Planning Code, indicates that for the period from 2010 Quarter  
22 1 - 2019 Quarter 4, 7,081 units of net new affordable housing have been built in San  
23 Francisco while 3,951 units have been removed from protected status, a ratio of just 1.79  
24 units built for every 1 unit lost; and

1           WHEREAS, The loss of affordable and rent controlled housing is driven in part by the  
2 demolition, merger, and conversion of those homes and their replacement with market rate  
3 housing and, notably, large single family homes in zoning districts that permit increased  
4 capacity for housing density; and

5           WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024, also known as the  
6 “Residential Flat Removal Policy,” defines a “Residential Flat” as a common San Francisco  
7 housing typology consisting of a single dwelling unit, generally occupying an entire story  
8 within a building, and having exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property;  
9 and

10           WHEREAS, Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024 enconces a preference for  
11 the Residential Flat housing typology by subjecting any project that proposes to remove a  
12 Residential Flat to mandatory discretionary review before the Planning Commission; and

13           WHEREAS, On January 22, 2021, the City adopted Resolution No. 10-21 which  
14 imposed interim controls for an 18-month period to require conditional use authorization for  
15 certain residential projects in RM, RC, and RTO districts that did not maximize the principally  
16 permitted residential density; and,

17           WHEREAS, The circumstances that caused the Board to adopt the interim controls in  
18 Resolution No. 10-21 continue to exist; and,

19           WHEREAS, The extension of the interim controls established by this Resolution will  
20 allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate  
21 legislation; and

22           WHEREAS, Planning Code, Section 306.7(h), authorizes the body that imposed the  
23 interim controls to extend the interim controls up to a time period not to exceed 24 months;  
24 and,

1           WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors (Board) has considered the impact on the public  
2 health, safety, peace, and general welfare if these interim controls are not extended and  
3 modified; and

4           WHEREAS, The Board has determined that the public interest will best be served by  
5 imposition and modification of these interim controls to ensure that the legislative scheme  
6 which may be ultimately adopted is not undermined during the planning and legislative  
7 process for permanent controls; and

8           WHEREAS, The Board makes the following findings of consistency with the Priority  
9 Policies set forth in Planning Code, Section 101.1: by requiring Conditional Use authorization  
10 for any residential development in an RC, RM, or RTO district that does not maximize the  
11 number of units that could be constructed based on the applicable density limits, the  
12 extension and modification of these interim controls advance Priority Policy 2, that existing  
13 housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected to preserve the cultural and  
14 economic diversity of our neighborhoods, and these interim controls as extended and  
15 modified do not conflict with the other Priority Policies of Section 101.1; and

16           WHEREAS, Unlike parcels in RC, RM, and RTO districts, parcels in RTO-M districts do  
17 not have any density limit but instead density is regulated by permitted height, bulk, and other  
18 standards; and

19           WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in  
20 this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public  
21 Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.); said determination is on file with the Clerk of the  
22 Board of Supervisors in File No. 220895 and is incorporated herein by reference; and the  
23 Board hereby affirms this determination; now, therefore be it

1 RESOLVED, That the interim controls imposed by Resolution No. 10-21 are hereby  
2 extended and modified as set forth herein, and shall remain in effect until January 22, 2023, or  
3 until the adoption of permanent legislation, whichever first occurs; and be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That in multi-unit residential (R) districts, and in single family  
5 home zoning districts that allow for the construction of an Accessory Dwelling Unit, the City  
6 hereby reiterates its preference for multi-unit buildings consisting of equitably-sized  
7 Residential Flats, as that housing typology is defined in Planning Commission Resolution No.  
8 20024; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That as to the proposed new construction of a residential  
10 building in RC, RM, and RTO zoning districts or as to any proposed alteration that would  
11 result in the expansion of a residential building in RC, RM and RTO zoning districts,  
12 Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303, shall be required if the  
13 residential building does not maximize the principally permitted residential density, not  
14 including any additional residential density permitted under state law or Planning Code  
15 Sections 206 et seq, while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in  
16 Planning Code Section 206.3; and, be it

17 FURTHER RESOLVED, That for purposes of this Resolution, RTO zoning districts  
18 shall not include parcels zoned RTO-M; and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That if existing lot conditions or form-based restrictions on  
20 development (e.g., height, bulk, rear yard requirements) are such that a proposed project  
21 cannot maximize density without seeking a variance or subdividing existing units on the lot,  
22 and while adhering to the minimum unit size requirements set forth in Planning Code, Section  
23 206.3, Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code, Section 303, shall not be required  
24 if a proposed project increases density on a subject lot, does not include any single unit  
25

1 greater than 2000 square feet in size, and would not be subject to Conditional Use  
2 Authorization under any other provision of the Planning Code; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That Conditional Use Authorization shall not be required for  
4 expansions of existing residential buildings in RC, RM, and RTO districts, wherein the  
5 proposed expansion is 25% or less of the existing residential building, provided that the  
6 proposed expansion (1) does not increase the size of any unit that is already larger than 2000  
7 square feet in size; (2) does not create any new unit that is greater than 2000 square feet in  
8 size; and (3) does not cause an existing unit that is less than 2000 square feet in size to be  
9 larger than 2000 square feet in size; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That Conditional Use Authorization shall not be required for  
11 expansions of existing residential buildings in RC, RM, and RTO districts, wherein the  
12 proposed expansion is to a building of two or more units, no resulting individual unit would be  
13 greater than 3000 square feet, and no resulting individual unit would be less than 50% of the  
14 size of the largest unit in the building; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Department shall continue its study of the  
16 contemplated zoning proposal and propose permanent legislation to address the issues  
17 posed by large residential development that does not maximize the allowable density; and, be  
18 it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls shall apply to all applications for  
20 residential development where a final site or building permit has not been issued as of the  
21 effective date of this Resolution extending the interim controls adopted in Resolution 10-21, to  
22 the extent allowed by law; and, be it

23 FURTHER RESOLVED, That for projects scheduled for a hearing at the Planning  
24 Commission under a Discretionary Review as of the effective date of this Resolution  
25 extending the interim controls adopted in Resolution No. 10-21, the Planning Department is

1 requested to expedite the processing and calendaring of any required Conditional Use  
2 authorization under these controls; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That these interim controls as modified shall remain in effect  
4 for until January 22, 2023, or until the adoption of permanent legislation that addresses  
5 substantially the same issues, whichever first occurs; and, be it

6 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Planning Department shall provide reports to the  
7 Board pursuant to Planning Code, Section 306.7(i).

8  
9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
10 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

11 By:                   /s/                    
12 AUDREY PEARSON  
13 Deputy City Attorney

14 n:\legana\as2020\2100201\01616737.docx



BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

### LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TO: Supervisor Myrna Melgar, Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: September 12, 2022

SUBJECT: Interim Zoning Controls - Extending and Modifying Requirements for Large Residential Projects in RC, RM, and RTO Districts (File No. 220895)

---

Pursuant to the City Planning Code Section 306.7, when Interim Zoning Controls are imposed by the Board of Supervisors and a hearing is held before a Committee of the Board, the Committee shall report to the Board of Supervisors a summary of matters presented at the hearing and its recommendation.

The following summary is being provided for the Committee Chair to present to the Board of Supervisors.

On September 12, 2022, the Land Use and Transportation Committee met and held a duly noticed meeting on Board File No. 220895 Interim Zoning Controls - Extending and Modifying Requirements for Large Residential Projects in RC, RM, and RTO Districts. Chair Melgar handed the floor over to the primary sponsor of the legislation, Supervisor Peskin. Supervisor Peskin made opening remarks and spoke on the extension of the previously enacted Resolution No. 10-21 and how the current proposed legislation would extend interim zoning controls an additional six months into January 2023. He noted the specifics on the parameters that would be extended, specifically as it relates to exceptions for Conditional Use authorization on Page 6, Line 10 of the proposed legislation. Supervisor Peskin noted Planning Department's support of the extension, there were no presentations given for the item. Clerk Erica Major then called for public comment with no speakers present in the Chamber and no members of the public in queue on the remote system. The Committee voted to recommend the proposed legislation to the September 20, 2022, Board of Supervisors meeting with a positive recommendation.

The Resolution was moved by Supervisor Peskin, the motion carried by the following vote:

Supervisor Myrna Melgar - Aye  
Supervisor Dean Preston - Aye  
Supervisor Aaron Peskin - Aye

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Erica Major".

Erica Major  
Assistant Clerk  
Land Use and Transportation Committee

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

August 2, 2022

**File No. 220895**

Lisa Gibson  
Environmental Review Officer  
Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On July 26, 2022, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

**File No. 220895**

**Resolution extending and modifying interim zoning controls enacted in Resolution No. 10-21 for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for certain residential developments that do not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Erica Major".

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk  
Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning  
Don Lewis, Environmental Planning

Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

9/8/2022

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Joy Navarrete".



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

---

## Planning Commission Resolution No. 20024

HEARING DATE: OCTOBER 12, 2017

*Project Name:* Planning Commission Policy: Removal of Residential Flats  
*Record Number:* 2016-003658GEN  
*Staff Contact:* Brittany Bendix, Current Planning  
(415) 575-9114; [brittany.bendix@sfgov.org](mailto:brittany.bendix@sfgov.org)  
*Reviewed By:* Elizabeth Watty, Assistant Director of Current Planning  
(415) 558-5620; [elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org](mailto:elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org)

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
**415.558.6378**

Fax:  
**415.558.6409**

Planning  
Information:  
**415.558.6377**

**ADOPTING A POLICY THAT REQUIRES MANDATORY DISCRETIONARY REVIEW OF ANY PROJECT IN ALL ZONING DISTRICTS THAT RESULTS IN THE REMOVAL OF A RESIDENTIAL FLAT.**

WHEREAS, Objective 2 of the City's 2014 Housing Element states that "conserving and improving the existing (housing) stock is critical to San Francisco's long term housing strategy;" and

WHEREAS, the City is currently at 207% production and entitlement of market rate housing units, 16% production and entitlement of units affordable to moderate income households, and 31% production of below-market rate housing, as defined in the 2015-2022 RHNA goals;

WHEREAS, currently market-rate housing is frequently not accessible to moderate-income families, making between 80-120% of area median income;

WHEREAS, for the purpose of this Resolution a 'Residential Flat' is to be defined as a common San Francisco housing typology consisting of a single dwelling unit, generally occupying an entire story within a building, and having exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property;

WHEREAS, this unit typology satisfies a number of housing needs, particularly for middle-income families,

WHEREAS; a dwelling unit can currently be relocated, or altered, such that it no longer functions as a Residential Flat without public notification or review by the Planning Commission, so long as the proposal complies with all other requirements of the Planning Code;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission is pursuing legislative amendments to Planning Code Section 317 that would require changes to the review process for projects that remove Residential Flats.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby adopts a new policy that requires a Mandatory Discretionary Review application to be filed for any building or site permit that proposes the modification of a Residential Flat such that it is no longer a Residential Flat; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that projects increasing the number of dwelling units within a building will not be subject to this policy; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this policy will allow time for the orderly completion of a planning study and for the adoption of appropriate legislation; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this policy will sunset after the adoption of the Residential Expansion Threshold legislative initiative currently underway; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this policy shall apply to all building or site permit applications to remove a Residential Flat where the application has not been filed as of the effective date of this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on October 12, 2017.



Jonas P. Ionin  
Commission Secretary

AYES: Richards, Hillis, Fong, Koppel and Melgar

NOES: Johnson

ABSENT: Moore

ADOPTED: October 12, 2017

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely:

Members of the public attending this hearing in-person may be required to wear masks and adhere to certain procedures, please visit [https://sfbos.org/in\\_person\\_meeting\\_guidelines](https://sfbos.org/in_person_meeting_guidelines) for the current guidelines.

**Date:** September 12, 2022

**Time:** 1:30 p.m.

**Location:** **IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION**  
Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

**REMOTE ACCESS**

Watch: [www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org)

Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 28, 78, or 99 (*depending on your provider*) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

**Subject:** **File No. 220895.** Resolution extending and modifying interim zoning controls enacted in Resolution No. 10-21 for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential-Mixed (RM) and Residential-Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for certain residential developments that do not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, September 9, 2022.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Erica Major ([Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-4441)

**Please Note:** *The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.*

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Angela Calvillo". The signature is stylized and includes a small asterisk-like mark to the left of the first letter.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

em:ams

# CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

## DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839  
Visit us @ [www.LegalAdstore.com](http://www.LegalAdstore.com)

ERICA MAJOR  
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EXM# 3612020

### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

EDM 09.12.2022 - (220895) Interim Zoning Control - PESKIN

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

08/21/2022

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$388.12
Total	\$388.12

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2022 - 1:30 PM** NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely. Members of the public attending this hearing in-person may be required to wear masks and adhere to certain procedures, please visit [https://sfbos.org/in-person-meeting\\_guidelines](https://sfbos.org/in-person-meeting_guidelines) for the current guidelines. File No. 220895. Resolution extending and modifying interim zoning controls enacted in Resolution No. 10-21 for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential-Mixed (RM) and Residential-Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for certain residential developments that do not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. **IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION** Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA **REMOTE MEETING INFORMATION** Watch: [www.sfgovtv.org](http://www.sfgovtv.org) Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 28, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen. Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call> In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo,

Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, September 9, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: Erica Major ([Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-4441) Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.

EXM-3612020#



\* A 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 8 3 1 8 2 \*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

July 29, 2022

**File No. 220895**

Lisa Gibson  
Environmental Review Officer  
Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On July 26, 2022, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

**File No. 220895**

**Resolution extending and modifying interim zoning controls enacted in Resolution No. 10-21 for parcels in Residential-Commercial Combined (RC), Residential - Mixed (RM) and Residential - Transit Oriented (RTO) districts, requiring Conditional Use Authorization for certain residential developments that do not maximize the number of units allowed by applicable density restrictions; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Erica Major".

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk  
Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning  
Don Lewis, Environmental Planning