File No.	100057	Committee Item No. 2
\		Board Item No.

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit and Oversight	Date	February 16, 2010
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date	
Cmte Boar	rd .		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter an MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	d/or Re	port
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is	neede	d)
		***************************************	uary 11, 2010
Completed by:Da		<b>)</b>	

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file and the online version.

1 2

Motion requesting the Budget and Legislative Analyst to (a) conduct an audit of the City and County of San Francisco's existing lease, fee, and other General Fund revenues generated by City department activities and of the City's collection of General Fund revenues; and (b) identify new General Fund revenues, enhancement of existing General Fund revenues, and improved collection of General Fund revenues.

[Budget and Legislative Analyst Audit of the City's General Fund Revenues]

WHEREAS, It is the policy of the Board of Supervisors that each program of the City and County of San Francisco be the subject of a performance or management audit at least once every eight years; and

WHEREAS, The function of regular audits is to ensure that City departments and agencies make prudent and efficient use of city resources and also effectively perform the functions assigned to them by the charter and applicable laws; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Budget and Legislative Analyst is hereby requested to (a) survey the City's existing General Fund fees, leases, licenses, permits, rates, and other General revenues generated by City department activities; (b) evaluate sufficiency of existing City department revenue sources for covering the costs of City department services; (c) evaluate the City's revenue collection efforts; and (d) identify opportunities for increased revenues from leases and rents, charges for City services, grants, and other General Fund revenue sources, and from improved collection.

#### Items #2

File 10-0057

(continued from January 27, 2010 Budget and Finance Committee meeting and reassigned to Government Audit and Oversight Committee)

Department(s): Board of Supervisors

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **Legislative Objectives**

<u>File 10-0057:</u> Motion requesting the Budget and Legislative Analyst to: (1) conduct a performance audit of the City and County of San Francisco's existing lease, fee, and other General Fund revenues generated by City department activities and of the City's collection of General Fund revenues; and (2) identify potential new General Fund revenues, enhancement of existing General Fund revenues, and improved collection of General Fund revenues.

## **Fiscal Impact**

The existing FY 2009-10 appropriation for Budget and Legislative Analyst services would cover the costs of the proposed performance audit. Therefore, there would be no additional costs to the City.

# **Key Points**

To implement the proposed performance audit, the Budget and Legislative Analyst would:

- Identify major revenue sources for City General Fund departments, such as charges for services, fees, federal or State grants, and lease and concession revenues.
- Assess which revenue sources and revenue collection activities require a more detailed review.
- Identify (1) potential new grant, service charge, fee, lease or other revenues that impact the General Fund, (2) enhancement of existing General Fund revenues such as evaluating whether existing fees fully recover costs, and (3) improved collection of General Fund revenues.

The proposed performance audit would not evaluate revenues (1) generated by taxes, (2) generated by special funds or enterprise funds, such as the Airport, Port, and Public Utilities Commission, and (3) General Fund revenues restricted for special purposes by the Administrative Code or Charter, such as the Library Fund and the Municipal Transportation Agency.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst estimates that the review of the City's General Fund revenues would require approximately 1,200 to 1,500 service hours. If the Board of Supervisors requests the Budget and Legislative Analyst to allocate fewer service hours to the requested audit, we would work with members of the Board of Supervisors to revise the audit scope. We would complete the proposed performance audit prior to June 1, 2010. The Budget and Legislative Analyst will conduct the audit based on audit priorities established by the Board of Supervisors.

#### Recommendation

Approval of the proposed motion is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Budget and Legislative Analyst provides performance audit services to the Board of Supervisors. The Agreement between the Budget and Legislative Analyst Joint Venture Partnership and the Board of Supervisors defines the Budget and Legislative Analyst scope of services and requires that the Budget and Legislative Analyst submit an annual work plan estimating the allocation of staff hours for each service category and major project for input, review and approval by the Board of Supervisors.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst has met with members of the Board of Supervisors for input into the annual work plan and submitted a proposed 2010 work plan to the Board of Supervisors for approval on January 25, 2010 (see Item 1, File 10-0148). Under the proposed 2010 work plan, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends allocating an estimated 4,450 service hours for performance audits and special projects.

### DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed motion would direct the Budget and Legislative Analyst (1) conduct a performance audit of the City and County of San Francisco's existing lease, fee, and other General Fund revenues generated by City department activities and of the City's collection of General Fund revenues; and (2) identify potential new General Fund revenues, enhancement of existing General Fund revenues, and improved collection of General Fund revenues.

To implement the proposed performance audit, the Budget and Legislative Analyst would:

- Identify the City's General Fund departments' revenues. This includes a survey of permit, fee, and license revenues authorized by the Municipal Code; charges for services; lease and concession revenues; Federal and State grants and allocations; and other revenues that support the services of the City's General Fund departments. The proposed performance audit would not evaluate revenues (1) generated by taxes, (2) generated by special funds or enterprise funds, such as the Airport, Port, and that do not directly impact the General Fund, and (3) General Fund revenues restricted for special purposes by the Charter, such as the Library Fund and the Municipal Transportation Agency.
- Assess which revenue sources and revenue collection activities require a more detailed review.
- Identify (1) potential new grant, service charge, fee, lease or other revenues that impact the General Fund, (2) enhancement of existing General Fund revenues such as evaluating whether existing fees fully recover costs, and improved collection of General Fund revenues.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst estimates that the proposed performance audit of the City's General Fund revenues would require approximately 1,200 to 1,500 service hours. If the Board of Supervisors requests the Budget and Legislative Analyst to allocate fewer service hours to the requested audit, we would work with members of the Board of Supervisors to revise the audit

scope. We would complete the proposed performance audit prior to June 1, 2010. The Budget and Legislative Analyst will conduct the audit based on audit priorities established by the Board of Supervisors.

# FISCAL IMPACTS

The existing FY 2009-10 appropriation for Budget and Legislative Analyst services would cover the costs of the proposed performance audit. Therefore, there would be no additional costs to the City.

# RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the proposed motion is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.