

1 [Administrative Code - Artificial Intelligence Inventory, Impact Assessment, and Procurement
Standards]

2
3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish a process for creating a**
4 **publicly available inventory of Artificial Intelligence (“AI”) the City procures, and to**
5 **develop an impact assessment standard for the City’s procurement of AI.**

6
7 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
8 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
9 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
10 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
11 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
12 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
13 subsections or parts of tables.

14 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

15 Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding new Chapter 22J
16 consisting of Sections 22J.1, 22J.2, 22J.3, and 22J.4, to read as follows:

17 **CHAPTER 22J: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TOOLS**

18 **SEC. 22J.1. BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS.**

19 *(a) Many technologists, historians, scientists, elected officials, and other societal leaders*
20 *believe that the advent of Artificial Intelligence that has advanced significantly with the release of*
21 *generative systems is revolutionizing, and will continue to revolutionize, our world.*

22 *(b) Local governments have been using AI products since the early 1990s. However, beginning*
23 *in the 2010s, significant advancements in AI technology, including machine and deep learning, led to a*
24 *surge in acquisition of various products by local governments. With the advent of Generative AI*
25 *products like Chat GPT and others that produce original content, the potential benefits and risks to San*
Francisco residents and workers have increased.

1 (c) Policymakers are trying to avoid repeating past mistakes with technological developments,
2 like the failure to regulate social media before it led to many societal harms, and find ways to protect
3 human beings from the worst predictable problems of this newest wave of technological advancement.

4 (d) While the City government, as with all levels of government, continues to develop the best
5 tools for the City to both harness the benefits and protect against the harms of emerging AI technology,
6 it is important that policymakers and the public understand the AI technologies the City is using and
7 will use in the future.

8 (e) The City has a decentralized Information Technology (IT) system. Most City departments
9 have their own IT units and as of 2024 the City's Department of Technology ("DT") did not generally
10 know which AI products and systems were in use by departments.

11 (f) This Chapter 22J remedies this problem by requiring the City's Chief Information Officer
12 ("CIO") to create a public inventory of AI products used within City government. The inventory will
13 include basic facts about the technology including its purpose, accuracy, biases, and limits.

14 (g) This Chapter also directs the CIO to conduct an analysis of the products in the inventory to
15 determine the impacts of these technologies on human beings living and working in San Francisco, and
16 to develop procurement standards.

17 (h) As of 2024, the City used AI products in a variety of ways. Here are just a few illustrative
18 examples:

19 (1) The Department of Technology used AI to review activity on IT infrastructure for network
20 security, intrusion detection, and to identify other potential cybersecurity threats.

21 (2) The SF311 mobile application used AI to make upfront service type recommendations based
22 on the user's description or picture of the issue. A model had been trained on years of service request
23 (SR) data.

24 (3) The Department of Public Health (DPH) Radiology Department used an AI-based medical
25 imaging tool to support the confirmatory diagnosis of cerebrovascular events (strokes). The AI system

1 reviewed imaging studies (CT scans) and provided supporting information to the physicians who make
2 the diagnoses.

3 (i) The use of AI products by local governments can offer many benefits including but not
4 limited to increased efficiency and effectiveness of public services, quick and accurate analysis of large
5 volumes of data, automation of routine administrative tasks, facilitation of communication between
6 residents and their local government through chatbots and virtual assistants, and prediction of
7 potential hazards.

8 (j) However, with the increased use of AI products, local governments also potentially subject
9 their workers, residents, and visitors to new risks, including:

10 (1) Privacy Concerns: AI systems often collect, store, and analyze vast amounts of data, which
11 can include personal information of individuals. This raises concerns about privacy breaches,
12 unauthorized data sharing, and surveillance, potentially leading to a loss of anonymity in public
13 spaces.

14 (2) Bias and Discrimination: AI algorithms can perpetuate or amplify existing biases if they are
15 trained on data that reflects societal inequities. This can result in discriminatory outcomes in areas
16 such as law enforcement, housing, and public services, disproportionately affecting marginalized
17 communities.

18 (3) Lack of Transparency: Many AI systems operate as "black boxes," meaning the processes
19 and decision-making criteria are not transparent to users or the public. This can erode trust and make
20 it challenging for individuals to understand how decisions that affect their lives are made.

21 (4) Job Displacement: The automation of certain government functions through AI can lead to
22 job losses in the public sector or in industries reliant on those functions, impacting the employment
23 landscape and economic stability of communities.

1 (5) Security Risks: AI systems can be vulnerable to cyberattacks and exploitation. If malicious
2 actors gain access to these systems, they can manipulate data, disrupt services, or compromise
3 sensitive information, potentially leading to significant harm to individuals.

4 (6) Dependence on Technology: Increasing reliance on AI for critical services may create
5 vulnerabilities. Technical failures or misconfigurations can result in service interruptions or errors that
6 affect public safety and welfare.

7 (7) Ethical Concerns: The application of AI in sensitive areas (e.g., policing, social services)
8 raises ethical concerns about the appropriateness of AI decisions in life-altering contexts, such as risk
9 assessment for individuals involved in the justice system or the allocation of social support.

10 (8) Erosion of Civil Liberties: Heightened surveillance and data collection through AI can
11 infringe on civil liberties, prompting concerns about the potential overreach of government authority
12 and reduced freedoms for individuals.

13 (9) Public Mistrust: The combination of the above risks can lead to a general sense of mistrust
14 in government, where residents may feel that the government is not acting in their best interests or that
15 their rights are being compromised.

16 (k) In order to promote the ethical, responsible, and transparent use of AI tools, this Chapter
17 develops impact assessment standards for their procurement. These standards include a risk
18 assessment procedure that analyzes specified characteristics of the AI tool, appropriate risk controls,
19 and adverse incident monitoring procedures.

20 **SEC. 22J.2. DEFINITIONS.**

21 For the purposes of this Chapter 22J, the following definitions shall apply:

22 “AI” means Artificial Intelligence.

23 “Algorithms” means a set of rules that a machine follows to generate an outcome or a
24 decision.

1 “Artificial Intelligence” means an engineered or machine-based system that varies in its level
2 of autonomy and that can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it receives how to
3 generate outputs that can influence physical or virtual environments.

4 “Chatbot” means a computer program that simulates conversations.

5 “CIO” means the City’s Chief Information Officer, or designee.

6 “City” means the City and County of San Francisco.

7 “COIT” means the Committee on Information and Communications Technology or one of its
8 committees.

9 “Department” means any unit or component of City government, including but not limited to
10 boards and commissions, departments, offices, agencies, or officials..

11 “Training Data” means the dataset that is used by a machine learning model to learn the rules.

12 **SEC. 22J.3. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.**

13 (a) City Chief Information Officer. Within six months of the effective date of this Chapter 22J,
14 the CIO shall distribute a list of questions regarding AI technology in use from Departments, collect the
15 responses and begin publishing the responses on a publicly available website. Within a year of the
16 effective date, the inventory shall be complete and it shall be updated as systems are put into use. The
17 inventory shall require Departments to disclose the products or systems that include AI technology the
18 Department has procured, and for each product shall disclose the following information:

19 (1) A brief description of the system’s purpose and function;

20 (2) The intended use of the system;

21 (3) The context or domain in which the system is intended to be used;

22 (4) The data used to train the system;

23 (5) A high-level explanation of how the system works;

24 (6) A description of the data fed into the system and the data generated by the system;

1 (7) A description of what the system is optimizing for, and its accuracy, preferably with
2 numerical performance metrics (e.g., BiLingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) scores for AI language
3 translation tools);

4 (8) Conditions necessary for the system to perform optimally (e.g., outdoor cameras
5 with AI technology performing well in sunny weather);

6 (9) Conditions under which the system’s performance would decrease in accuracy (e.g.,
7 outdoor cameras with AI technology possibly performing sub-optimally on rainy or cloudy days);

8 (10) Whether testing has been performed to identify any bias in the system, such as bias
9 based on race, gender, etc., and the results of those tests;

10 (11) A description of how and where users report bias, inaccuracies, or poor
11 performance of the system;

12 (12) A description of the conditions or circumstances under which the system has been
13 tested;

14 (13) A description of adverse incident monitoring procedures and communication;

15 (14) A description of the level of human oversight associated with the system;

16 (15) A description of whether the data collected will or can be used for training of
17 proprietary vendor or third-party systems; and

18 (16) Any other information the CIO or the Committee on Information Technology
19 (COIT) deem appropriate.

20 (b) Within a year of the effective date of this Chapter 22J, the CIO shall be responsible for
21 creating a process to conduct an AI Impact Assessment on all AI products or systems used by the City.
22 The CIO’s AI Impact Assessment shall be included in the information provided publicly in the City’s AI
23 inventory. The CIO may include input from relevant Departments, labor unions, and public interest or
24 non-profit organizations when conducting the AI Impact Assessment. The CIO shall perform AI Impact
25 Assessment on all AI products with the exception of products that do not in any way impact the public’s

1 or an individual's rights, opportunities, or access to critical needs. Examples of products that do not
2 need an AI Impact Assessment include systems for document management, grammar or spell checkers,
3 and email filtering. At minimum, where relevant, the AI Impact Assessment shall include the following:

- 4 _____ (1) The individuals and communities that will interact with the system;
- 5 _____ (2) How the information or decisions generated by the system could impact an
6 individual's rights, freedoms, economic status, health, health care, or well-being;
- 7 _____ (3) How users with diverse abilities will interact with the user interface of the system
8 and whether the system integrates and interacts with commonly used assistive technologies;
- 9 _____ (4) Whether the system is expected to replace any jobs currently being performed by
10 human beings;
- 11 _____ (5) Why the City purchased or intends to purchase the product;
- 12 _____ (6) Steps to be taken to mitigate the risk of the AI use or system; and
- 13 _____ (7) Any other information the CIO or COIT deem appropriate, including but not limited
14 to modifications to items (1) through (6).

15 (c) The CIO shall be responsible for drafting and implementing AI Development and
16 Procurement Standards which shall include a risk assessment for the City's use of AI technology. The
17 CIO will make a recommendation whether to procure and/or implement the AI technology and the
18 required risk mitigation for the AI technology before it is used. A Department's decision to proceed
19 with the procurement and/or implementation of the AI technology and the CIO recommendation will be
20 documented as part of the AI inventory.

21 (d) The Department of Technology ("DT") shall provide and manage a public facing single
22 Internet site (web portal) for the Inventory.

23 (e) Each Department shall:

- 24 _____ (1) Complete and return the Inventory to the CIO;
- 25 _____ (2) Notify DT of any updates to published vendor questionnaires;

1 (3) Participate in and facilitate a timely and accurate AI Impact Assessment; and
2 (4) Adhere to the process established within the AI Procurement and Development
3 Standards.

4 **SEC. 22J.4. PROMOTION OF THE GENERAL WELFARE.**

5 In enacting and implementing this Chapter 22J, the City is assuming an undertaking only to
6 promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an
7 obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach
8 proximately caused injury.

9
10 Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
11 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
12 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
13 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

14
15 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

17
18 By: /s/
19 MARGARITA GUTIERREZ
20 Deputy City Attorney

21 n:\legana\as2024\2500072\01793039.docx