



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

AGENDA

Legislative Chamber, Room 250
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Watch SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (*depending on your provider*)
Watch www.sfgovtv.org

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN
[1 \(415\) 655-0001/ Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](tel:14156550001)

Tuesday, October 19, 2021 - 2:00 PM

Regular Meeting

SHAMANN WALTON, PRESIDENT
CONNIE CHAN, MATT HANEY, RAFAEL MANDELMAN, GORDON MAR, MYRNA MELGAR,
AARON PESKIN, DEAN PRESTON, HILLARY RONEN, AISHA SAFAI, CATHERINE STEFANI

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Agendas of the Board of Supervisors are available on the internet at www.sfbos.org

BOARD COMMITTEES

Committee Membership

Budget and Appropriations Committee
Supervisors Haney, Safai, Mar, Ronen, Walton

Budget and Finance Committee
Supervisors Haney, Safai, Mar

Government Audit and Oversight Committee
Supervisors Preston, Chan, Mandelman

Land Use and Transportation Committee
Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Peskin

Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee
Supervisors Mar, Stefani, Haney

Rules Committee
Supervisors Peskin, Mandelman, Chan

Youth, Young Adult, and Families Committee
Supervisors Ronen, Safai, Melgar

Meeting Days

Wednesday
1:00 PM

Wednesday
10:30 AM

1st and 3rd Thursday
10:00 AM

Monday
1:30 PM

2nd and 4th Thursday
10:00 AM

Monday
10:00 AM

2nd Friday
10:00 AM

First-named Supervisor is Chair, Second-named Supervisor is Vice-Chair of the Committee.

Agenda Item Information

Each item on the Consent or Regular agenda may include the following documents:

- 1) Legislation
- 2) Budget and Legislative Analyst report
- 3) Department or Agency cover letter and/or report
- 4) Public correspondence

These items will be available for review at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, Reception Desk.

Meeting Procedures

The Board of Supervisors is the legislative body of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board has several standing committees where ordinances and resolutions are the subject of hearings at which members of the public are urged to testify. The full Board does not hold a second public hearing on measures which have been heard in committee.

Board procedures do not permit: 1) persons in the audience to vocally express support or opposition to statements by Supervisors or by other persons testifying; 2) ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices; 3) bringing in or displaying signs in the meeting room; and 4) standing in the meeting room.

Each member of the public will be allotted the same maximum number of minutes to speak as set by the President or Chair at the beginning of each item or public comment, excluding City representatives, except that public speakers using interpretation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. If simultaneous interpretation services are used, speakers will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers not requesting interpretation assistance. Members of the public who want a document displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk of the Board (bos.legislation@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION: The public is encouraged to testify at Committee meetings. Persons unable to attend the meeting may submit to the City, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the agenda items. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written communications expected to be made a part of the official file should be submitted to the Clerk of the Board or Clerk of a Committee: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Communications which are not received prior to the hearing may be delivered to the Clerk of the Board or Clerk of the Committee at the hearing and you are encouraged to bring enough copies for distribution to all of its members.

COPYRIGHT: All system content that is broadcasted live during public proceedings is secured by High-bandwidth Digital Content Protection (HDCP), which prevents copyrighted or encrypted content from being displayed or transmitted through unauthorized devices. Members of the public who wish to utilize chamber digital, audio and visual technology may not display copyrighted or encrypted content during public proceedings.

AGENDA PACKET: Available on the internet at <http://www.sfbos.org/meetings>. Meetings are cablecast on SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. For DVD copies and scheduling call (415) 554-4188.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS: Language services are available in Spanish, Chinese and Filipino at all regular and special Board and Committee meetings if made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to help ensure availability. For more information or to request services: Contact Wilson Ng or Arthur Khoo at (415) 554-5184.

所有常規及特別市參事委員會會議 (Board meetings) 除委員會會議 (Committee meetings) 將予以提供西班牙文, 菲律賓文, 及中文的語言服務, 但須在會議前最少48小時作出請求, 旨在確保服務屆時可予以提供。更多資訊或請求有關服務, 請致電 (415) 554-7719聯絡Linda Wong.

AVISO EN ESPAÑOL: Los servicios de idiomas están disponibles en español, chino, y filipino en todas las reuniones regulares y reuniones especiales de la Junta, de los Comités, si se solicita por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión para ayudar a garantizar su disponibilidad. Para más información o solicitar servicios, por favor contactar a (415) 554-5184.

PAUNAWA: Mayroong serbisyon pang-wika sa Espanyol, Tsino at Pilipino para sa lahat ng mga regular at espesyal na pagpupulong ng Board, at Komite ng Board. Sa kasalukuyan, mayroong serbisyo sa wikang Pilipino na maaaring hilingin, 48 oras (o mas maaga) bago ng pagpupulong upang matiyak na matutugunan ang inyong kahilingan. Para sa karagdagang impormasyon o para humiling ng serbisyo pang-wika, tawagan lamang ang (415) 554-5184.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

The ADA is a civil rights law that protects people with different types of disabilities from discrimination in all aspects of social life. More specifically, Title II of the ADA requires that all programs offered through the state and local government such as the City and County of San Francisco must be accessible and usable to people with disabilities. The ADA and City policy require that people with disabilities have equal access to all City services, activities, and benefits. People with disabilities must have an equal opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered through the City and County of San Francisco. If you believe your rights under the ADA are violated, contact the ADA Coordinator.

Ordinance 90-10 added Section 2A.22.3 to the Administrative Code, which adopted a Citywide Americans with Disabilities Act Reasonable Modification Policy that requires City departments to: (1) provide notice to the public of the right to request reasonable modification; (2) respond promptly to such requests; (3) provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services to people with disabilities to ensure effective communication; and (4) train staff to respond to requests from the public for reasonable modification, and that requires the Mayor's Office on Disability to provide technical assistance to City departments responding to requests from the public for reasonable modifications.

The Board of Supervisors and Office of the Clerk of the Board support the Mayor's Office on Disability to help make San Francisco a city where all people enjoy equal rights, equal opportunity, and freedom from illegal discrimination under disability rights laws.

Meetings are real-time captioned and are cablecast open-captioned on SFGovTV, the Government Channel 26. Board and Committee meeting agendas and minutes are available on the Board's website (www.sfbos.org) and adhere to web development guidelines based upon the Federal Access Board's Section 508 Guidelines. To request sign language interpreters, readers, large print agendas or other accommodations, please contact (415) 554-5184 or (415) 554-5227 (TTY). Requests made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. If further assistance is needed, please contact Wilson Ng at (415) 554-5184 (wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org).

Know Your Rights Under The Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102; phone at (415) 554-7724; fax at (415) 554-5163; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by printing the San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67, on the Internet at <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine>.

Ethics Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code, Section 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 252-3100; fax (415) 252-3112; web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics>.

Under Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 1.127, no person or entity with a financial interest in a land use matter pending before the Board of Appeals, Board of Supervisors, Building Inspection Commission, Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Port Commission, or the Treasure Island Development Authority Board of Directors, may make a campaign contribution to a member of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, the City Attorney, or a candidate for any of those offices, from the date the land use matter commenced until 12 months after the board or commission has made a final decision, or any appeal to another City agency from that decision has been resolved. For more information about this restriction, visit sfethics.org.

Remote Access to Information and Participation

Please visit the Board's website (www.sfbos.org) regularly to be updated on the current situation as it affects the legislative process. For more information contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors (Board) authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and will allow remote public comment via teleconference. Effective June 29, 2021, the Board and staff began to reconvene for in-person Board proceedings. Committee meetings will continue to convene remotely until further notice. Visit the SFGovTV website at (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand.

Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via detailed instructions on participating via teleconference available at: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>. Members of the public may participate by phone or may submit their comments by email to: bos@sfgov.org; all comments received will be made a part of the official record. Board of Supervisors Regular Meetings begin at 2:00 p.m. on Tuesdays. Board Agendas and their associated documents are available at <https://sfbos.org/meetings/42>.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](tel:14156550001)

ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.7.1 the President shall present the ancestral homeland acknowledgement of the Ramaytush Ohlone, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

COMMUNICATIONS

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Approval of the [September 7, 2021](#), Board Meeting Minutes.

AGENDA CHANGES

SPECIAL ORDER 2:00 P.M. - Mayor's Appearance Before The Board

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(7), the Mayor shall appear, in person, at one regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Supervisors each month to engage in formal policy discussions with members of the Board. This item will be read at the Board Meeting on the second Tuesday of each month, unless rescheduled by the Mayor and the Board President. The Mayor and the Board may not discuss matters that have already been considered in Committee and that are on the Board's Agenda as an action item.

By eight (8) votes, the Board of Supervisors may, by oral motion, allow an eligible District Supervisor to ask a question that was not previously posed if the question relates to a sudden or unexpected incident or occurrence raising formal, time-sensitive policy questions that were not anticipated prior to the posting of this agenda. Public comment for this item will take place during general public comment.

There were no questions submitted from Supervisors representing Districts 1, 2, 3, or 4. The Mayor may address the Board for up to five minutes.

CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed hereunder constitute a Consent Agenda, are considered to be routine by the Board of Supervisors and will be acted upon by a single roll call vote of the Board. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board so requests, in which event the matter shall be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item.

Questions on the Consent Agenda are on final passage, first reading, adoption, or approved, as indicated.

Items 1 through 22

Recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee

Present: Supervisors Haney, Safai, Mar

1. [210870](#) **[Appropriation and De-Appropriation - District 10 General City Responsibility - District 10 Projects and Services - Various Departments - \$850,000 - FY2021-2022]**

Sponsor: Walton

Ordinance de-appropriating \$850,000 from General City Responsibility and re-appropriating \$250,000 to the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families for safety plan implementation in District 10; \$100,000 to the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families for trauma informed services for youth in District 10; \$200,000 to the Office of Economic and Workforce Development for an essential services Bayview Hub in District 10; \$250,000 to the Office of Economic and Workforce Development for cameras in Visitation Valley; and \$50,000 to the Human Services Agency for services for seniors in Visitation Valley in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-2022.

(Fiscal Impact)

10/05/2021; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

2. [210936](#) **[Appropriation and De-Appropriation - District 7 General City - District 7 Community Grants Initiative Under Participatory Budgeting - \$229,630; \$39,250 - Arts Commission; \$45,000 - DPW; \$43,380 - REC; \$32,000 - GSA-ADM; \$70,000 - DCYF - FY2021-2022]**
Sponsor: Melgar
Ordinance de-appropriating \$229,630 from District 7 General City Responsibility (GEN) and appropriating \$39,250 to the Arts Commission; \$45,000 to the Department of Public Works (DPW); \$43,380 to the Recreation and Park Department (REC); \$32,000 to the General Services Agency-City Administrator (GSA-ADM); \$70,000 to the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF) to support the District 7 Community Grants Initiative under Participatory Budgeting projects in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-2022.
- (Fiscal Impact)
- 10/05/2021; PASSED ON FIRST READING.
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?**

Recommendations of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Present: Supervisors Preston, Chan, Mandelman

3. [210982](#) **[Settlement of Attorney's Fee Claim - Contest Promotions, LLC - City to Receive \$580,000]**
Ordinance authorizing settlement by payment of \$580,000 to the City and County of San Francisco, of the City's claim for attorney's fees and costs arising from the lawsuit that Contest Promotions, LLC filed against the City; the lawsuit was filed on August 26, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547630; entitled Contest Promotions, LLC vs. City and County of San Francisco; San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CPF-16-514771 was filed on February 9, 2016, and the actions were consolidated; the lawsuit involves a dispute about the City's compliance with a prior settlement agreement between the parties, and the constitutionality of sections of the Planning Code regulating on-site and off-site commercial signs. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**
4. [210983](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - 2170 Folson LLC - \$525,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by 2170 Folson LLC against the City and County of San Francisco for \$525,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff 2170 Folson LLC, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**

5. [210984](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Baikonur 1701 LLC - \$410,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Baikonur 1701 LLC against the City and County of San Francisco for \$410,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Baikonur 1701 LLC, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

6. [210985](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Malcolm Davis - \$215,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Malcolm Davis against the City and County of San Francisco for \$215,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Malcolm Davis, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

7. [210986](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Malcolm Davis - \$470,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Malcolm Davis against the City and County of San Francisco for \$470,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Malcolm Davis, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

8. [210987](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - John and Janice Gumas - \$225,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by John and Janice Gumas against the City and County of San Francisco for \$225,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs John and Janice Gumas, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

9. [210988](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Hans Art dba Hans Art Automotive - \$500,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Hans Art dba Hans Art Automotive against the City and County of San Francisco for \$500,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Hans Art dba Hans Art Automotive, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

10. [210989](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Chris Hickey - \$1,150,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Chris Hickey against the City and County of San Francisco for \$1,150,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Chris Hickey, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

11. [210990](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Kwok Shing Import Export, Inc. - \$440,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Kwok Shing Import Export, Inc. against the City and County of San Francisco for \$440,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Kwok Shing Import Export, Inc., and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

12. [210991](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Francis and Julio Osorio - \$225,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Francis and Julio Osorio against the City and County of San Francisco for \$225,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Francis and Julio Osorio, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

13. [210992](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - San Francisco Motorcycle Club - \$310,000]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by San Francisco Motorcycle Club against the City and County of San Francisco for \$310,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff San Francisco Motorcycle Club, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**
14. [210993](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Angela Sinicropi and Marin Takigawa - \$288,180]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Angela Sinicropi and Marin Takigawa against the City and County of San Francisco for \$288,180; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiffs Angela Sinicropi and Marin Takigawa, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**
15. [210994](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Monte Stott - \$188,300]**
Ordinance authorizing partial settlement of the lawsuit filed by Monte Stott against the City and County of San Francisco for \$188,300; the lawsuit was filed on August 20, 2015, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547492; entitled David Alfaro, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves inverse condemnation arising out of flooding damage during major rainstorms in December of 2014; this settlement relates only to the diminution of real property value claims of plaintiff Monte Stott, and does not include claims for property loss or for attorney's fees, costs and interest. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**
16. [210995](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - John Williams and Aimee Williams - \$340,000]**
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by John Williams and Aimee Williams against the City and County of San Francisco for \$340,000; the lawsuit was filed on April 18, 2018, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-565870; entitled John Williams and Aimee Williams v. First California Investments, et al.; the lawsuit alleges that a building was in a dangerous condition. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**
17. [210996](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Taryn Saldivar - \$190,000]**
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Taryn Saldivar against the City and County of San Francisco for \$190,000; the lawsuit was filed on August 6, 2020, in United States District Court - Northern District of California, Case No. 20-cv-05474; entitled Taryn Saldivar v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves unlawful detention and arrest. (City Attorney)
- Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?**

18. [210997](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Stephanie Brunton - \$165,000]**
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Stephanie Brunton against the City and County of San Francisco for \$165,000; the lawsuit was filed on April 29, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-575558; entitled Stephanie Brunton v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury on a City crosswalk. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

19. [210998](#) **[Settlement of Lawsuit - Valerie A. Stevenson - \$75,000]**
Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Valerie A. Stevenson against the City and County of San Francisco for \$75,000; the lawsuit was filed on July 1, 2020, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-20-585157; entitled Valerie A. Stevenson v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves an alleged trip and fall on uneven pavement. (City Attorney)

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee

Present: Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Peskin

20. [200519](#) **[Planning Code - Geary-Masonic Special Use District]**
Sponsor: Stefani
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to modify the Geary-Masonic Special Use District to require use of the inclusionary housing fee for a project within one and one-half miles of the boundaries of the district, or anywhere in San Francisco if not allocated within five years of payment; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

10/05/2021; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

21. [210734](#) **[Planning Code - Landmark Designation - 396-398 12th Street (San Francisco Eagle Bar)]**
Sponsors: Haney; Mandelman, Ronen and Preston
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate 396-398 12th Street (aka San Francisco Eagle Bar), Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3522, Lot No. 014, as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Historic Preservation Commission)

10/05/2021; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

Recommendation of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee

Present: Supervisors Mar, Stefani, Haney

22. 210421 [Police Code - Cannabis Regulation]

Sponsor: Mayor

Ordinance amending the Police Code to 1) allow Cannabis Business Permit applicants to qualify as Equity Incubators by supporting Equity Applicants prior to Cannabis Business Permit issuance and not only after permit issuance; 2) specify that Equity Incubators must provide support to Equity Applicants with which the Equity Incubators and their Owners have no ownership or profit-sharing arrangement in order to qualify as Equity Incubators; 3) give first priority for permit application processing to Equity Applicants that are sole proprietors or whose business is 100% owned by a combination of Owners that are verified Equity Applicants, give second processing priority to holders of Temporary Cannabis Business Permits that commit to sharing use of their facilities with one or more Equity Applicants, and add to the sixth (formerly fourth) processing priority Applicants that previously held Temporary Cannabis Permits, in addition to those that currently hold such permits; 4) prohibit transfers of more than a 50% ownership interest in a Cannabis Business for five years after the Office of Cannabis acknowledges receipt of an application for a Cannabis Business Permit for that Cannabis Business, instead of ten years from the date of permit issuance; 5) exempt transfers of ownership in a Cannabis Business triggered by an Owner's death from transfer limits that would otherwise apply; 6) require that a Cannabis Business seeking a permit amendment tied to reduction in the combined ownership interest of all verified Equity Applicants in that Cannabis Business below 20% meet substantial equity commitments as a condition of amending the permit; 7) establish that an Applicant's withdrawal of a Cannabis Business Permit application, unlike the abandonment of an application, will not bar a subsequent application from that Applicant from qualifying for priority processing; and 8) authorize the Director of the Office of Cannabis, at any point after an Applicant has submitted a Cannabis Business Permit application, to require an Applicant to submit needed information or documentation within 45 days, and declare an application abandoned if the Applicant fails to comply with the deadline without showing good cause for the failure; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

10/05/2021; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

REGULAR AGENDA

NEW BUSINESS

Recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee

Present: Supervisors Haney, Safai, Mar

23. [211009](#) **[Appropriation - Special Tax Bond Proceeds - \$35,000,000; Special Tax Revenues - \$11,620,000 - Controller's Reserve - Transbay Transit Center Project - FY2021-2022]**
Sponsor: Mayor
Ordinance appropriating \$35,000,000 from the issuance of one or more series of Special Tax Bonds of the City's Community Facilities District 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center) and placing such amounts on Controller's reserve; and appropriating \$11,620,000 of special tax revenues for funding related to the Transbay Transit Center Project in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-2022.
Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
24. [211010](#) **[Appropriation and De-Appropriation - Office of Economic and Workforce Development - Legacy Businesses - \$400,000 - FY2021-2022]**
Sponsors: Chan; Peskin, Ronen, Mar, Haney and Safai
Ordinance de-appropriating \$400,000 previously appropriated to the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (ECN) for the Office of Small Business' Legacy Business Historic Preservation Fund and re-appropriating \$400,000 to ECN to provide a new grant program for Legacy Businesses in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-2022.

(Fiscal Impact)
Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
25. [210873](#) **[Grant Contract - Retroactive - California Department of Parks and Recreation - Outdoor Recreation Legacy Partnership Grant - Bay View Playground - \$375,225]**
Sponsor: Mayor
Resolution retroactively authorizing the Recreation and Park Department (RPD) to enter into and amend a grant contract with the California Department of Parks and Recreation in the amount of \$375,225 that requires RPD to construct improvements at Bay View Playground on the condition that RPD then maintain the Playground as public open space in perpetuity pursuant to Charter, Section 9.118(a); and authorizing the RPD General Manager to record a Declaration of Restriction against Assessor's Parcel Block No. 5420, Lot No. 001, providing notice of these restrictions. (Recreation and Park Department)
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

26. [210913](#) **[Master Lease Amendment - United States Navy - Treasure Island Land and Structures]**

Resolution approving Amendment No. 46 to the Treasure Island Land and Structures Master Lease between the Treasure Island Development Authority and the United States Navy to extend the term for one year to commence December 1, 2021, for a total term of November 19, 1998, through November 30, 2022. (Treasure Island Development Authority)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

27. [210915](#) **[Updated Emergency Declaration - Replacement and Repair of Fences - Increase the Total Not to Exceed Cost to \$10,900,000]**

Resolution approving an updated emergency declaration of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 6.60, to replace and repair fences on SFPUC watershed land in Alameda damaged by the SCU Lightning Complex Fire, increasing the total not to exceed cost from \$4,250,000 to \$10,900,000. (Public Utilities Commission)

(Fiscal Impact)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

28. [210931](#) **[Accept and Expend Grant - Retroactive - California Victim Compensation Board - Compensation for Crime Victims - \$2,530,990.23]**

Resolution retroactively authorizing the Office of the District Attorney to accept and expend a grant in the amount of \$2,530,990.23 from the California Victim Compensation Board for a project, entitled "Joint Powers Agreement," to assist victims and witnesses with compensation claims for the period July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2024. (District Attorney)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

29. [210940](#) **[Purchase of Real Property - Mahadeva, LLC - 3055-3061 16th Street - Homelessness and Supportive Housing - \$5,715,000]**

Sponsors: Mayor; Ronen and Safai

Resolution 1) approving and authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing ("HSH"), to acquire certain property located at 3055-3061 16th Street ("Property"); 2) approving and authorizing HSH, on behalf of the City, to apply to the California Department of Housing and Community Development ("HCD") for its 2021 Homekey Grant Program ("Project Homekey") to purchase the Property; 3) approving and authorizing an Agreement of Purchase and Sale for Real Estate for the acquisition of the Property, for \$5,600,000 plus an estimated \$115,000 for typical closing costs for a total amount of \$5,715,000 from Mahadeva, LLC ("Purchase Agreement"); 4) authorizing the Director of Property to execute the Purchase Agreement, make certain modifications, and take certain actions in furtherance of this Resolution and the Purchase Agreement, as defined herein; 5) affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and 6) adopting the Planning Department's findings that the Purchase Agreement, and the transaction contemplated therein, is consistent with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

(Fiscal Impact)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

30. [210967](#) **[Purchase of Real Property - Mission Smartspace Senior LLC - 1321 Mission Street - Homelessness and Supportive Housing - \$86,673,000]**

Sponsors: Mayor; Haney and Safai

Resolution 1) approving and authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing ("HSH"), to acquire certain property located at 1321 Mission Street ("Property") for \$86,500,000 plus an estimated \$173,000 for typical closing costs, for a total anticipated amount of \$86,673,000 ("Acquisition Cost"); 2) approving and authorizing HSH, on behalf of the City, to apply to the California Department of Housing and Community Development ("HCD") for its 2021 Homekey Grant Program ("Project Homekey") to purchase the Property; 3) approving and authorizing an Agreement of Purchase and Sale for Real Estate for the acquisition of the Property from Mission Smartspace Senior LLC ("Purchase Agreement"), which includes a liquidated damages clause of up to \$5,000,000 in case of default by the City; 4) authorizing the Director of Property to execute the Purchase Agreement, make certain modifications, and take certain actions in furtherance of this Resolution and the Purchase Agreement, as defined herein; 5) affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and 6) adopting the Planning Department's findings that the Purchase Agreement, and the transaction contemplated therein, is consistent with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

(Fiscal Impact)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

31. [210966](#) **[Sublease Agreement - California State Lands Commission - Candlestick Point State Recreation Area - Vehicle Triage Center - In-Kind Rent of \$1,796,090]**

Sponsors: Mayor; Walton, Safai, Mar and Haney

Resolution authorizing and approving the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to negotiate and enter into a sublease agreement for 312,000 square feet of property owned by the California State Lands Commission and leased to the California Department of Parks and Recreation, for the City's use as a Vehicle Triage Center at Candlestick Point State Recreation Area, for an initial term of two years, with rent to be paid through in-kind, public services with an estimated value at \$1,796,090; authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents, make certain modifications and take certain actions in furtherance of the sublease, as defined herein; adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and finding the proposed sublease is in conformance with the General Plan, and the eight priorities of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

(Fiscal Impact)

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

Recommendations of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Present: Supervisors Preston, Chan, Mandelman

32. [210864](#) **[Memorandum of Understanding - Committee of Interns and Residents, Service Employees International Union]**
Sponsor: Mayor
Ordinance adopting and implementing Amendment No. 2 to the 2017-2021 Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County of San Francisco and the Committee of Interns and Residents, Service Employees International Union, to extend the term by one year, update the Patient Care Fund provision, and eliminate obsolete language.
Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
33. [210703](#) **[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Van Ness Avenue: What Lies Beneath]**
Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2020-2021 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Van Ness Avenue: What Lies Beneath;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the development of the annual budget. (Government Audit and Oversight Committee)
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?
34. [210776](#) **[Sale of Transferable Development Rights - City Hall - 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place]**
Sponsor: Mayor
Resolution authorizing the Director of Property to sell up to 1,200,000 gross square feet of transferable development rights ("TDR") from City Hall, located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, at or above fair market value; to execute and record Certificates of Transfer; and to take such additional actions as may be necessary to effectuate one or more TDR transfers in accordance with Planning Code, Section 128.
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?
35. [210964](#) **[Resolution of Intention to Add Territory to and Amend City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No.1 (Treasure Island)]**
Sponsor: Mayor
Resolution of intention to add territory to and amend the Infrastructure Financing Plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 1 (Treasure Island) and project areas therein; ordering and setting a time and place for a public hearing of the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Committee of the Whole, on January 11, 2022, at 3:00 p.m., and to provide public notice thereof; and determining other matters in connection therewith, as defined herein.
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

36. [210965](#) **[Authorizing Preparation of an Amended Infrastructure Financing Plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No.1 (Treasure Island)]**

Sponsor: Mayor

Resolution authorizing and directing the Director of the Office of Public Finance, or designee thereof, to prepare an amended infrastructure financing plan for the City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 1 (Treasure Island) and project areas therein, and determining other matters in connection therewith, as defined herein.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee

Present: Supervisors Melgar, Preston, Peskin

37. [210600](#) **[Planning Code - Small Business Zoning Controls in Chinatown and North Beach and on Polk Street]**

Sponsor: Peskin

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to 1) allow neighborhood-serving Social Service and Philanthropic Facility uses in Chinatown Mixed Use Districts with conditional use authorization; 2) change the provision for abandonment of a use that exceeds a use size maximum in Chinatown Mixed Use Districts; 3) allow re-establishment of a nonconforming use size in Chinatown Mixed Use Districts under certain circumstances; 4) change the use size limit and use size maximum in the Chinatown Community Business District; 5) exempt Institutional Community uses and Legacy Business Restaurants in Chinatown Mixed Use Districts from use size limits; 6) allow lot mergers under certain conditions in the Polk Street Neighborhood Commercial District; and 7) exclude the portion of Powell Street south of Union Street from the North Beach Financial Service, Limited Financial Service, and Business or Professional Service Subdistrict; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1, and public necessity, convenience, and general welfare findings pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

38. [210865](#) **[Health, Planning, Police Codes - Various Cannabis Sunset Dates]**

Sponsor: Mandelman

Ordinance amending the Health Code, Planning Code, and Police Code to extend the sunset date for provisions governing Medical Cannabis Dispensaries from December 31, 2021, to December 31, 2022; to extend the sunset date for allowing the conversion of Medical Cannabis Dispensaries with Planning Commission approval to a Cannabis Retail Use from January 1, 2022, to January 1, 2023; to allow the extension of Temporary Cannabis Business Permits for additional 120-day terms through December 31, 2022, rather than December 31, 2021; and to allow the extension of the Cannabis Event Permit pilot program through December 31, 2023, rather than December 31, 2021; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

39. [210885](#) **[Resolution of Intention - Street Name Change - Sonora Lane to Vicha Ratanapakdee Way]**
Sponsor: Stefani
Resolution declaring the intention of the Board of Supervisors to rename the stairwell at Sonora Lane between O'Farrell Street and Terra Anza Avenue, which runs parallel to Anza Vista and St. Joseph's Avenues and is perpendicular to O'Farrell Street and Terra Anza Avenue to "Vicha Ratanapakdee Way."
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

Recommendations of the Rules Committee

Present: Supervisors Peskin, Mandelman, Chan

40. [210811](#) **[Administrative Code - Ban on City-Funded Travel to and City Contracts Involving States with Voter Suppression Laws]**
Sponsors: Walton; Safai, Haney, Melgar, Stefani, Preston and Peskin
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to prohibit City-funded travel to states that have adopted laws on or after January 1, 2021, suppressing voting rights, and to prohibit City contracting with companies headquartered in states that have enacted such laws, or where work on the contract would be performed in such states, and setting an operative date of December 1, 2021.
Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?
41. [210857](#) **[Appointments, Market and Octavia Community Advisory Committee - Howie Madeline, Autumn Adamme, Jason Henderson, and Tracy Jacks]**
Motion appointing Howie Madeline, Autumn Adamme, Jason Henderson, and Tracy Jacks, terms ending December 16, 2022, to the Market and Octavia Community Advisory Committee. (Clerk of the Board)
Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

Recommendation of the Youth, Young Adult, and Families Committee

Present: Supervisors Ronen, Safai, Melgar

42. [200682](#) **[Urging the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families to Require All Funded Organizations to Have a Youth on Their Board of Directors By 2023]**
Sponsors: Walton; Ronen and Melgar
Resolution urging the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF) to require all organizations receiving funding from DCYF have a youth age 14 to 24 years old on their Board of Directors by 2023.
Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

SPECIAL ORDER 2:30 P.M. - Recognition of Commendations

SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

APPEAL PROCEDURES

Board Rule 4.18 provides that public hearings on appeals shall be scheduled for 3:00 p.m. If more than one public hearing is scheduled, then the Clerk, in consultation with the President, may determine the order in which the appeals will be scheduled. Second and later appeals may be scheduled at specified times later than 3:00 p.m. An appeal shall not be heard prior to its scheduled time on the calendar, and it may not be called until the Board's consideration of appeals scheduled earlier on the calendar is completed. It is the policy of the Clerk of the Board to schedule multiple appeals in the following order at 3:00 p.m.:

1. Appeals where all parties have agreed to request a continuance or a tabling of the appeal.
2. Continued appeals from previous Board meetings (continued appeals will be listed in order of those closest to deadlines for Board decision).
3. Appeals appearing on the calendar for the first time, in chronological order of receipt by the Clerk.
4. Multiple appeals appearing on the calendar may be staggered at times specific, beginning at 3:00 p.m.
5. Appeals involving participants who have ADA considerations may be set for specific times beginning at 3:00 p.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN ON THE CONTINUANCE

[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](tel:4156550001)

(Continued open from June 29, 2021, July 20, 2021, and September 28, 2021. The President may entertain a motion to continue this Hearing and associated Motions to the Board meeting of November 2, 2021.)

43. [210709](#) [Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Approval - 575 Vermont Street]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 209.1, 303, and 307 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 575 Vermont Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 4010, Lot No. 006, identified in Planning Case No. 2020-000886CUA, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 20921, dated May 13, 2021, to allow demolition of an existing single family home and construction of a new, four-story, 40-foot tall residential building containing two dwelling units, one accessory dwelling unit, one off-street automobile parking space, and three class one bicycle parking spaces within the RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 10) (Appellants: Marion Parr, Scott Carr, Ron Altoonian, Victoria Carradero, and Chris Stephens) (Filed June 11, 2021) (Clerk of the Board)

06/29/2021; CONTINUED OPEN.

07/20/2021; CONTINUED OPEN.

09/28/2021; CONTINUED OPEN.

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

(Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.)

44. [210710](#) **[Approving Conditional Use Authorization - 575 Vermont Street]**
Motion approving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20921, approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-000886CUA, for a proposed project located at 575 Vermont Street; and making environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Clerk of the Board)

06/29/2021; CONTINUED.

07/20/2021; CONTINUED.

09/28/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

45. [210711](#) **[Conditionally Disapproving Conditional Use Authorization - 575 Vermont Street]**
Motion conditionally disapproving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20921, approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-000886CUA, for a proposed project at 575 Vermont Street, subject to the adoption of written findings by the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

(Charter, Section 4.105, and Planning Code, Section 308.1(d), provides that the Board of Supervisors may disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds (8 votes) of the members of the Board.)

06/29/2021; CONTINUED.

07/20/2021; CONTINUED.

09/28/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

46. [210712](#) **[Preparation of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization - 575 Vermont Street]**
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the proposed Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-000886CUA, for a proposed project at 575 Vermont Street. (Clerk of the Board)

06/29/2021; CONTINUED.

07/20/2021; CONTINUED.

09/28/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](#)

(Continued open from July 27, 2021.)

47. [210791](#) [Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Approval - 249 Texas Street]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 303 and 317 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 249 Texas Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 4001, Lot No. 017A, identified in Planning Case No. 2020-003223CUA, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 20930, dated June 3, 2021, to demolish the existing 3,908 square-foot three-story single-family dwelling with an unauthorized dwelling unit and construct a new three-story 4,864 square-foot residential building containing two dwelling units above a garage with two off-street parking spaces, within the RH-2 (Residential House, Two-Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 10) (Appellants: Kathleen Roberts-Block and Sasha Gala) (Filed July 6, 2021) (Clerk of the Board)

07/27/2021; CONTINUED OPEN.

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

(Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.)

48. [210792](#) [Approving Conditional Use Authorization - 249 Texas Street]

Motion approving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20930, approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-003223CUA, for a proposed project located at 249 Texas Street; and making environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Clerk of the Board)

07/27/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

49. [210793](#) [Conditionally Disapproving the Conditional Use Authorization - 249 Texas Street]

Motion conditionally disapproving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20930, approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-003223CUA, for a proposed project at 249 Texas Street, subject to the adoption of written findings by the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

(Charter, Section 4.105, and Planning Code, Section 308.1(d), provides that the Board of Supervisors may disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds (8 votes) of the members of the Board.)

07/27/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

50. [210794](#) [Preparation of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization - 249 Texas Street]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' disapproval of the proposed Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2020-003223CUA for a proposed project at 249 Texas Street. (Clerk of the Board)

07/27/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](#)

(Continued from October 5, 2021.)

51. [210901](#) [Hearing - Appeal of Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 1525 Pine Street Project]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the 1525 Pine Street Project, identified in Planning Case No. 2015-009955ENV, and affirmed on appeal by the Planning Commission and issued on May 6, 2021. (District 3) (Appellant: David P. Cincotta of Law Offices of David P. Cincotta, on behalf of Patricia Rose and Claire Rose and other neighbors of 1545 Pine Street) (Filed August 20, 2021) (Clerk of the Board)

10/05/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

(Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.)

52. [210902](#) **[Affirming the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 1525 Pine Street Project]**
Motion affirming the approval by the Planning Commission of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act, for the proposed 1525 Pine Street project. (Clerk of the Board)

10/05/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

53. [210903](#) **[Conditionally Reversing the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 1525 Pine Street Project]**
Motion conditionally reversing the approval by the Planning Commission of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed 1525 Pine Street project, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

10/05/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

54. [210904](#) **[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Revised Mitigated Negative Declaration - Proposed 1525 Pine Street Project]**
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the approval by the Planning Commission of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed 1525 Pine Street project. (Clerk of the Board)

10/05/2021; CONTINUED.

Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.**Board of Supervisors Sitting as a Committee of the Whole**

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](tel:4156550001)

(On September 30, 2021, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission informed the Board of Supervisors of the CleanPowerSF Community Aggregation Program electric generation rates and charges.)

55. [211064](#) [Hearing - Committee of the Whole - CleanPowerSF Community Aggregation Program Electric Generation Rates and Charges - San Francisco Public Utilities Commission - October 19, 2021, at 3:00 p.m.]

Hearing of the Board of Supervisors convening as a Committee of the Whole on October 19, 2021, at 3:00 p.m., to consider the CleanPowerSF Community Aggregation Program electric generation rates and charges from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, and the Board may reject these rates by resolution, pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125; scheduled pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125. (Clerk of the Board)

(Pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125, the Public Utilities Commission shall set rates, fees and other charges in connection with providing utility services, which are subject to rejection by resolution of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days of submission. If the Board fails to act within 30 days, the rates shall become effective. Transmittal Date: September 30, 2021.)

The Board may reject these rates and charges by resolution, pursuant to Charter, Section 8B.125, which may be prepared during the Committee of the Whole and then considered by the Members present.

The Board may also affirm these rates and charges of resolution, which may be prepared during the Committee of the Whole and then considered by the Members present, or if the Board fails to take action these rates and charges will become effective.

Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Committee of the Whole Adjourn and Report

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Reports from committees, if any, recommending emergency or urgent measures.

The following items will be considered by the Rules Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, October 18, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following items as Committee Reports on Tuesday, October 19, 2021.

56. [210739](#) **[Administrative Code - Repealing Employee Sexual Privacy Ordinance; Requiring Data Collection Requests]**

Sponsors: Mayor; Mandelman

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to repeal the City Employee's Sexual Privacy Ordinance; direct the Department of Human Resources (DHR) to request that applicants for City employment voluntarily provide sexual orientation and gender identity information; direct City departments to request that employees voluntarily provide anonymous sexual orientation and gender identity information when responding to surveys that also seek other demographic information; and direct DHR to develop systems for voluntary collection and confidential retention of sexual orientation and gender identity information.

10/05/2021; AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE.

10/05/2021; RE-REFERRED AS AMENDED to the Rules Committee.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

57. [210935](#) **[Administrative Code - Cannabis Oversight Committee Sunset Date]**

Sponsor: Chan

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to extend the sunset date for the Cannabis Oversight Committee from December 3, 2021, to January 1, 2025.

Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

58. ROLL CALL FOR INTRODUCTIONS

Roll call for introduction of ordinances, resolutions, charter amendments, requests for hearings, letters of inquiry, letters of request to the City Attorney and Board Members' reports on their regional body activities.

59. PUBLIC COMMENT

An opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Board on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board, including items being considered today which have not been considered by a Board committee and excluding items which have been considered by a Board committee. Members of the public may address the Board for up to three minutes. Each member of the public will be allotted the same number of minutes to speak, except that public speakers using translation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. If simultaneous translation services are used, speakers will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers not requesting translation assistance. The President or the Board may limit the total testimony to 30 minutes.

Members of the public who want a visual displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk of the Board (bos.legislation@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

[1 \(415\) 655-0001](tel:14156550001) / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #

FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE

These measures were introduced for adoption without committee reference. A unanimous vote is required for adoption of these resolutions today. Any Supervisor may require any resolution to go to committee.

Questions on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference Agenda are on for adoption, or approved, as indicated.

(PUBLIC COMMENT for Items 60 through 65 will be taken during Item 59 - General Public Comment.)

Items 60 through 65

60. [211055](#) [Supporting the Low-Income First-Time Homebuyers Act and Establishing a Municipal Bank]

Sponsors: Chan; Preston, Walton and Ronen

Resolution supporting the Low-Income First-Time Homebuyers (LIFT) Act; urging the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to work with the Treasurer and Tax Collector's Office to identify ways to help low-income residents obtain low-interest loans to purchase their first home; and urging the Treasurer and Tax Collector's Office to continue working with the Reinvest in San Francisco Working Group, the Board of Supervisors, and the Local Agency Formation Commission to establish a Municipal Bank in San Francisco.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

61. [211056](#) [Supporting the Members of the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees]

Sponsors: Chan; Peskin, Ronen, Walton, Preston, Melgar and Mandelman

Resolution standing in solidarity with the members of the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees working in the motion picture and television production industry who are fighting against dangerous work practices in California.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

62. [211059](#) [Approval of a 12-Month Extension for Planning Commission Review of an Ordinance Amending the Planning Code to Provide a Density Limit Exception to Permit Up to Four Dwelling Units Per Lot in RH (Residential, House) Zoning Districts (File No. 210866)]

Sponsor: Mandelman

Resolution extending by 12-months the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 210866) amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception to permit up to four dwelling units per lot in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts; affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

63. [211060](#) [Urging the Secretary of the Interior to Recognize the Crash Site of Roberto Clemente's Airplane as a Historic Landmark]

Sponsors: Safai; Melgar

Resolution urging the Secretary of the Interior to recognize the crash site of Roberto Clemente's airplane and adjacent land in the National Register of Historic Places.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

64. [211061](#) [Domestic Violence Awareness Month - October]

Sponsors: Stefani; Walton, Safai, Mandelman, Chan, Melgar, Peskin and Ronen

Resolution recognizing every October as Domestic Violence Awareness Month in the City and County of San Francisco.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

65. [211065](#) **[Certification of Election Results - September 14, 2021 - Gubernatorial Recall Election]**

Sponsor: Walton

Resolution certifying the results of the September 14, 2021, Gubernatorial Recall Election.

10/05/2021; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

66. **IMPERATIVE AGENDA**

Resolution(s), if any, to be adopted within limits imposed by the Sunshine Ordinance and the Ralph M. Brown Act, introduced today, not on the printed agenda. For such resolutions to be considered, the Board must first adopt the Serious Injury Finding or the Purely Commendatory Finding and the Brown Act Finding. Each motion requires eight (8) votes or a unanimous six (6) or seven (7). A unanimous vote is required for the resolution(s).

[Serious Injury Finding]

Motion that the Board find that for the resolution(s) being considered at this time "the need to take action is so imperative as to threaten serious injury to the public interest if action is deferred to a later meeting."

[Purely Commendatory Finding]

Motion that the Board find that the resolution(s) being considered at this time are purely commendatory.

[Brown Act Finding]

Motion that the Board find by roll call vote that, for the resolution(s) being considered at this time, there is a need to take immediate action. The need to take action came to the attention of the City and County of San Francisco after the agenda was posted.

*(PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN on any Imperative Agenda item introduced.)
[1 \(415\) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2488 489 6769 # #](tel:14156550001)*

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT ROLL CALL

Introduced by a Supervisor or the Mayor

Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.105, an Ordinance or Resolution may be introduced before the Board of Supervisors by a Member of the Board, a Committee of the Board, or the Mayor and shall be referred to and reported upon by an appropriate Committee of the Board.

Legislation Introduced will appear on the Final Minutes for this meeting. Once the Legislation Introduced is approved, it will be available on http://www.sfbos.org/legislation_introduced.

Introduced at the Request of a Department

Pursuant to Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors, Section 2.7.1, Department Heads may submit proposed legislation to the Clerk of the Board, in which case titles of the legislation will be printed at the rear of the next available agenda of the Board.

PROPOSED ORDINANCES**211068****[Settlement of Lawsuit - Tamsyn Waterhouse - \$45,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Tamsyn Waterhouse against the City and County of San Francisco for \$45,000; the lawsuit was filed on March 6, 2020, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-20-583543; entitled Tamsyn Waterhouse v. City and County of San Francisco; the lawsuit involves a flooding event inside the plaintiff's home. (City Attorney)

10/04/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

211069**[Settlement of Lawsuit - Christina Yiu and Jeremy Yiu - \$135,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Christina Yiu and Jeremy Yiu against the City and County of San Francisco for \$135,000; the lawsuit was filed on December 4, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-581298; entitled Christina Yiu, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged personal injury arising from a motor vehicle accident. (City Attorney)

10/04/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

211070**[Settlement of Lawsuit - Victor Picazo - \$46,000]**

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Victor Picazo against the City and County of San Francisco for \$46,000; the lawsuit was filed on April 3, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-575009; entitled Victor Picazo v. George Gascon, et al.; the lawsuit involves alleged civil rights violations. (City Attorney)

10/04/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

211071**[Settlement of Lawsuit - AmerisourceBergen Corp., Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corp. - City to Receive \$27 Million to \$50 Million Over 18 Years]**

Ordinance authorizing the partial settlement of the lawsuit filed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and the People of the State of California against three large distributors of prescription opioids (AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corporation) for abatement funds in the range of \$27 million to \$50 million to be paid over 18 years; the lawsuit was filed on December 18, 2018, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:18-cv-7591-CRB-JSC; entitled The City and County of San Francisco and the People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., Richard S. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Kathe A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Beverly Sackler, Theresa Sackler, David A. Sackler, Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P., Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Endo International Plc, Endo Health Solutions Inc., Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Insys Therapeutics, Inc., Mallinckrodt Plc, Mallinckrodt LLC, Allergan Plc F/K/A Actavis Plc, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. N/K/A Actavis, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma, Inc. F/K/A/ Watson Pharma, Inc., AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corporation; the lawsuit involves allegations that AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corporation created a public nuisance and violated the Unfair Competition Law and the False Advertising Laws by distributing increasingly large volumes of opioids in and around San Francisco despite knowledge of the growing epidemic caused by opioid misuse, and by failing to prevent and report suspicious opioid orders as required by state and federal law. (City Attorney)

10/08/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

211072 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Johnson & Johnson - City to Receive \$6 Million to \$11 Million Over 9 Years]

Ordinance authorizing the partial settlement of the lawsuit filed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and the People of the State of California against Johnson & Johnson and its subsidiary, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for abatement funds in the range of \$6 million to \$11 million to be paid over 9 years; the lawsuit was filed on December 18, 2018, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:18-cv-7591-CRB-JSC; entitled The City and County of San Francisco and the People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., Richard S. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Kathe A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Beverly Sackler, Theresa Sackler, David A. Sackler, Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P., Cephalon, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Endo International Plc, Endo Health Solutions Inc., Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Insys Therapeutics, Inc., Mallinckrodt Plc, Mallinckrodt LLC, Allergan Plc F/K/A Actavis Plc, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. N/K/A Actavis, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, Actavis Pharma, Inc. F/K/A/ Watson Pharma, Inc., AmerisourceBergen Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corporation; the lawsuit involves allegations that Johnson and Johnson's subsidiary, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., created a public nuisance and violated the Unfair Competition Law and the False Advertising Laws through deceptive marketing of opioids, manufacturing and distributing increasingly large volumes of opioids in and around San Francisco despite knowledge of the growing epidemic caused by opioid misuse, and by failing to prevent and to report suspicious opioid orders as required by state and federal law. (City Attorney)

10/08/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION**211066 [Settlement of Unlitigated Claim - Shimmick Construction Company, Inc. and F.D. Thomas, Inc. - City to Receive \$750,000]**

Resolution approving settlement of the unlitigated claim filed by the City and County of San Francisco against Shimmick Construction Company, Inc. ("Shimmick") and F.D. Thomas, Inc. ("F.D. Thomas") for \$750,000; the claim involves compensation for construction defects allegedly caused by Shimmick Construction Company and F.D. Thomas, Inc. (City Attorney)

09/30/2021; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

10/19/2021; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

211077 [Petitions and Communications]

Petitions and Communications received from September 30, 2021, through October 14, 2021, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on October 19, 2021.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Thirty-Eighth Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Department of Elections, submitting the Statement of Results of the City and County of San Francisco's California Gubernatorial Recall Election of September 14, 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Department of Public Works - Bureau of Community Engagement, submitting the Dogpatch / Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District annual report for FY2020-2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco International Airport, submitting 14 of 119 fully executed amendments pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance No. 5-21, San Francisco International Airport's COVID-19 Emergency Rent Relief Program. File No. 201278. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the San Francisco International Airport, submitting four fully executed leases pursuant to Board of Supervisors Resolution Nos. 413-21, 415-21, 416-21, and 417-21. File Nos. 210164, 210675, 210676, and 210736. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the San Francisco Health Service System, providing a response to the Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Chan on September 14, 2021 regarding mental health care access for City employees. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the San Francisco Bicycle Advisory Committee, submitting a resolution to approve the Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement and continued partnership with the Ramaytush Ohlone community. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Director of Transportation, submitting the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's response to Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 389-21. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Director of Transportation, providing a revision to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's response to Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 389-21. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Office of the Controller, City Performance Unit, submitting on behalf of the DPH Mental Health San Francisco Implementation Working Group and its Chair, Dr. Monique LeSarre, the Annual Progress Report pursuant to Ordinance No. 300-19. File No. 191148. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Department of Human Resources, submitting the 2021 Annual Report on Hospitalization and Medical Treatment, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 16.82. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Juvenile Probation Commission, providing the meeting agenda and supporting documents for the Full Commission meeting on October 13, 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From the California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Historic Preservation, notifying the Board of Supervisors that on September 22, 2021, Alberta Candy Factory was placed in the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, providing a revised meeting agenda for their meeting on October 14, 2021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), providing a notice of PG&E's request to increase rates for its 2021 Wildfire Mitigation and Catastrophic Events Application (A.21-09-008). Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Member of the European Parliament Robert Biedroń, submitting concerns about past street name changes in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Maryo Mogannam, President of the San Francisco Council of District Merchants, submitting a Letter of Support regarding Planning Code - Business Signs on Awnings and Marquees. Copy: Each Supervisor (17)

From Michelle Olding, regarding a Resolution urging and supporting Mayor London N. Breed in proclaiming a declaration of the existence of a local emergency around the overdose crisis and immediately implementing overdose prevention sites. File No. 210946. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Pam Gianni, regarding traffic in San Francisco during holidays and festivals. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Zachary Shephard, regarding non-commercial trucks on the streets of San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From SaveMUNI, regarding the restoration of full Muni service in 2022. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From First 5 San Francisco, submitting a letter from the First 5 San Francisco Children and Families Commission and the Office of Early Care and Education requesting consideration of the Board of Supervisors appointment to their Strategic Planning Advisory Committee. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Charles Lebedeff, regarding parklets and metered parking in loading zones. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From San Franciscans for Urban Nature, regarding the proposed development at 5367 Diamond Heights Blvd. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Shoshana Raphael, Esq., providing Respondents' Reply Brief regarding Case No. 2016-013505APL. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Charlotte Hill, regarding the VotingWorks open-source voting pilot proposal. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Carol Kawase, regarding the City's plans for Hotel Buchanan. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Devorah Zehring, regarding Fleet Week. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Daniel Larson, UFCS Local 648, regarding Supervisor Safai's 10-A program. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding future infrastructure needs. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Tes Welborn, regarding in-person public participation at Board of Supervisors meetings. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Gerald Cauthen, Bay Area Transportation Working Group, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's request for new revenue services. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From Casey Harper, regarding the State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Tracey Mixon, regarding acquisition of the Panoramic Hotel. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Robert Dawson, regarding Accessory Dwelling Unit controls proposed by Supervisor Mandelman. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From John Smith, regarding immigration. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From Bram Goodwin, San Francisco Social Club, regarding potential changes to the Cannabis Code. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From Ann Goldstein, providing the Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division of the California Public Utilities Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From concerned citizens, regarding Walgreens closures in San Francisco. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From concerned citizens, regarding conditions in San Francisco. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisors. (40)

From concerned citizens, regarding closure of the Great Highway. 7 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (41)

From concerned citizens, regarding bicyclists blocking the Great Highway. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (42)

From the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, providing Outside Boards and Commissions Poll Results. Copy: Each Supervisor. (43)

From the Office of the Mayor, making an appointment to the following body. Copy: Each Supervisor (44)

Appointment pursuant to Charter, Section 4.109

· Recreation and Park Commission

- o Max Carter-Oberstone - term ending April 30, 2022

From the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, providing members of the Board of Supervisors with the Outside Board and Commission Poll. Copy: Each Supervisor. (45)

From the Office of the Mayor, submitting the Thirty-Seventh Supplement to the Mayoral Proclamation Declaring the Existence of a Local Emergency. Copy: Each Supervisor. (46)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, submitting a resolution adopting rates and charges, pursuant to Charter Section 8B.125. Copy: Each Supervisor. (47)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing of an Appeal for Conditional Use Authorization Approval at 450 O'Farrell Street. 9 letters. File No. 210858. Copy: Each Supervisor. (48)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed resolution for a Sublease Agreement - California State Lands Commission - Candlestick Point State Recreation Area - Vehicle Triage Center. 2 letters. File No. 210966. Copy: Each Supervisor. (49)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed resolution calling for a Creation of a "Beach to Bay" Car-Free Connection and Equitable Access to Golden Gate Park. 24 letters. File No. 210944. Copy: Each Supervisor. (50)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration at 1525 Pine Street. 17 letters. File No. 210901. Copy: Each Supervisor. (51)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 303 and 317 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 249 Texas Street. File No. 210791. 41 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (52)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for the proposed project at 530 Sansome Street. File No. 210923. 4 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (53)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Resolution declaring the intention of the Board of Supervisors to rename the stairwell at Sonora Lane between O'Farrell Street and Terra Anza Avenue to "Vicha Ratanapakdee Way. File No. 210885. 11 Letters: Copy: Each Supervisor. (54)

ADJOURNMENT