Ben Rosenfield Controller

Monique Zmuda Deputy Controller

July 9, 2014

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File 140098 – Initiative Ordinance regarding a tax on sugar-sweetened beverages

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Should the proposed ordinance be approved by the voters, in my opinion, it would have a significant impact on the cost of government.

The ordinance provides for a tax of two cents per fluid ounce on sugar-sweetened beverages sold in San Francisco, with the tax revenue dedicated to funding nutrition and health programs. Depending on consumer and market factors, the tax is estimated to generate between \$35 million and \$54 million annually.

Revenue collected through the tax would be dedicated to health purposes with 40% to the San Francisco Unified School District for student nutrition services, 25% to the Department of Public Health (DPH) and to the Public Utilities Commission for health programs and for public drinking water stations, 25% to the Recreation and Park Department for recreation programs and 10% to DPH for community grant programs in health-related areas. Up to two percent of revenue may be used for administration and evaluation by the Treasurer/Tax Collector and other City departments.

The ordinance specifies that these tax revenues may not be used to replace funds already budgeted by the City for the purposes of the ordinance. The Controller's Office estimates that this baseline expenditure amount is currently approximately \$25 million—with approximately \$20.6 million in DPH programs and \$4.4 million in Recreation and Park Department programs.

The ordinance would place the tax on the initial distribution of each sugar-sweetened beverage in the City and details exemptions of various types such as infant formula, medical products, and others. Further discussion of the proposed ordinance's effects will be available in a forthcoming report from the Controller's Office of Economic Analysis.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield Controller Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.