

FILE NO. 231218

Petitions and Communications received from November 21, 2023, through November 30, 2023, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on December 5, 2023.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Controller (CON), submitting a Memorandum on Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024 Quarter 1 Department Overtime Spending and Projections. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Department of Elections (REG), submitting a response to a Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Connie Chan at the October 24, 2023, Board of Supervisors meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector (TTX), pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the Pooled Investment Report for October 2023. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Planning Department (CPC), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 8.12.5, submitting a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Islais Creek Bridge. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. 2 Contracts. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to encourage housing production. File No. 230446. 21 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Charter Amendment (Third Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to define "Full-Duty Sworn Officers" for purposes of establishing minimum staffing levels for sworn officers of the Police Department; and, contingent upon the Controller's certification that a future tax measure passed by the voters will generate sufficient additional revenue to fund the cost of employing Full-Duty Sworn Officers at specified minimum staffing levels and the minimum amount necessary to implement a police staffing fund. File No. 230985. 127 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Resolution directing the City Attorney and the City Lobbyist to Request that the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Extend the Housing Element Implementation Action

Plan Deadline and Revise and Correct the “Policy and Practice Review” Letter. File No. 231175. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Nicholas Mangrum, regarding community service. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From M. D. Ballard, regarding fees for online property tax payment. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Sara Powell, regarding Section 8 housing vouchers. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Denise Dickerman, regarding pet care. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Christopher Eldemir, regarding an Ordinance to appropriate \$27,640,247 of General Fund General Reserves to the Police Department; de-appropriating \$31,151,450 from permanent salaries, mandatory fringe benefits, and overtime for increased overtime budget in the Police Department in Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-2023. File No. 230158. Ordinance No. 43-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding cleanliness in Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) cars and stations. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Jorge Garcia, regarding modular housing. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Resolution adding the commemorative street name “Panos Place” to Corbin Place between the 100 and 200 block of Corbett Avenue in recognition of San Francisco resident Panagiotis Koutsoyannis. File No. 230948. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Resolution reaffirming support for the fundamental role of an independent, impartial, and qualified judiciary in upholding the law in the pursuit of justice and the functional operation of a healthy democracy. File No. 231180. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Allen Jones, regarding a proposed Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to execute a lease agreement with LAWRENCE B. STONE PROPERTIES #08, LLC, for use of the property located at 2177 Jerrold Avenue as a temporary shelter program for the term of 15 years. File No. 231197. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Rod Forbes, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Anna Contreras, regarding a street vending ban in the Mission Street corridor. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Monica D., regarding various topics. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding a Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy. File No. 231016; Resolution No. 481-23. 27 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Jason Schlachet, regarding a Resolution determining that the issuance of a Type-90 on-sale general music venue liquor license to Qualia Entertainment Inc., to do business as Arena SF located at 2565 Mission Street (District 9), will serve the public convenience or necessity of the City and County of San Francisco. File No. 230469; Resolution No. 341-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Emilie Kim, regarding a Resolution urging the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to delay implementing meter hour extension until the completion of an independent economic impact report that specifically analyzes the projected impact to San Francisco small businesses, City revenues, and the City's overall economic recovery and said report is reviewed by the Board of Supervisors and the SFMTA Board. File No. 230587; Resolution No. 289-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From members of the public, regarding quality-of-life concerns. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From members of the public, regarding small businesses. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Dan Foldes, regarding a proposed Charter Amendment (Second Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to provide that the Mayor may disapprove in writing certain San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) proposals that must be part of SFMTA's proposed budget or budget amendment; proposals subject to disapproval are increases in fares and parking meter maximum rates, and net expansion of hours or days of parking meter operation; at an election to be held on March 5, 2024. File No. 230986. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From Mari Eliza, regarding parking on Valencia Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Margaret Wensch, regarding a Resolution urging the Recreation and Park Commission to remove the name Stow from the Lake at John F. Kennedy Drive and Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, the Boathouse located in Golden Gate Park surrounding Strawberry Hill, and the Drive circling Strawberry Hill; and to rename the Lake, Boathouse, and Drive to a name that reflects San Francisco's expressed values of celebrating diversity. File No. 221088; Resolution No. 255-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Hayward City Councilmember Dan Goldstein, regarding a planned proposed Resolution calling for a cease-fire in the conflict between Israel and Hamas. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From a member of the public, regarding algal blooms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Melissa Ippolito, regarding taxpayer funded legal counsel. Copy: Each Supervisor.  
(32)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Fiscal Year 2023-24 Overtime Spending and Projection  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 21, 2023 1:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [FY 2023-24 Q1 Department Overtime Memo - Controller Issued 11.06.23 .pdf](#)

---

**From:** Macaulay, Devin (CON) <devin.macaulay@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 6, 2023 10:19 AM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hsieh, Frances (BOS) <frances.hsieh@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Green, Ross (BOS) <ross.green@sfgov.org>; Rosenfield, Ben (CON) <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Duning, Anna (MYR) <anna.duning@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Campbell, Severin (BUD) <severin.campbell@sfgov.org>; Goncher, Dan (BUD) <dan.goncher@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; Allersma, Michelle (CON) <michelle.allersma@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Fiscal Year 2023-24 Overtime Spending and Projection

Dear President Peskin, Supervisor Chan, Supervisor Mandelman, and Supervisor Safai,

The Controller's Office has prepared this report on select department's overtime spending through the first quarter of fiscal year 2023-24, as required by changes adopted with this fiscal year's budget. This report includes actual overtime expenditures through the last pay period of the quarter and projected overtime expenditures through the end of the fiscal year.

Thank you,

Devin Macaulay  
City and County of San Francisco  
Controller's Office, Budget and Analysis Division



# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

### MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Mayor London Breed  
President Aaron Peskin and Members of the Board of Supervisors

**FROM:** Ben Rosenfield, Controller

**DATE:** November 6, 2023

**SUBJECT:** Fiscal Year 2023-24 Quarter 1 Department Overtime Spending and Projections

---

The Controller's Office has prepared this report on select department's overtime spending through the first quarter of fiscal year 2023-24, as required by changes adopted with this fiscal year's budget. This report includes actual overtime expenditures through the last pay period of the quarter and projected overtime expenditures through the end of the fiscal year. These projections are estimates based on available information and may change as the fiscal year progresses.

In summary:

- The Airport, Public Works, and the Recreation and Parks departments are projected to spend at or below budgeted overtime levels.
- The Fire, Public Health, Public Utilities, and Emergency Management departments are projected to overspend their overtime budgets for the year, but with offsetting savings available in other department accounts. These internal department reappropriations will require approval by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.
- The Police Department is projected to overspend its overtime budget for the year, with the majority of these costs offset by projected savings in other department accounts. With proposed draws on the established reserve for APEC costs and other sources, it appears likely but not certain that the department will not require additional General Fund appropriations.
- The Sheriff's Office is projected to overspend its overtime budget for the year, with a portion of these costs offset by projected savings in other department costs. Additional General Fund appropriations appear likely at this time to sustain current deployment levels, but our office is working with the Sheriff to refine spending projections and explore savings strategies. We will report back to the Mayor and Board in coming weeks as this work is completed.



# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

### Airport

The Airport Commission currently projects to end the fiscal year with a \$0.5 million budget surplus in overtime.

### Emergency Management

The Department of Emergency Management currently projects to end the fiscal year with a \$1.9 million budget deficit in overtime. The Department reports that due to staffing vacancies of Public Safety Communication Dispatchers, overtime has been utilized to meet minimum standards for answering public safety calls. The department has recently hired eight Public Safety Communications Dispatchers and expects overtime use to decrease upon the recent hires completing training requirements by the fiscal year end. To resolve the overtime deficit, the Department plans to request a budget reallocation from regular salaries to overtime salaries.

### Fire Department

The Fire Department anticipates a \$12.3 million deficit in overtime primarily due to mandated staffing levels and current staff vacancies and leave usage. The Department plans to request a budget reallocation from regular salaries to overtime salaries.

### Police Department

The Police Department anticipates a \$56.3 million deficit in overtime due to vacancies and assignments required to be fulfilled by use of overtime, including \$8.0 million in spending on APEC forum security. The Department projects permanent salary savings, due to staff vacancies, to offset \$47.5 million of the overtime deficit and plans to request a budget reallocation to overtime. The department projects the remaining \$8.8 million of overtime spending to be supported with proposed draws on the established reserve for APEC costs and MOU Reserve for eligible one-time costs.

### Public Health

Public Health anticipates a \$39.5 million deficit in overtime due to vacancies and assignments required to be fulfilled by use of overtime. This deficit is comprised of \$22.6 million from San Francisco Zuckerberg General Hospital Annual Fund, \$15.2 million from Laguna Honda Annual Fund, and \$1.7 million from General Funds including General Fund Workorder Fund. The Department plans to request a budget reallocation from regular salaries to overtime salaries.



# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

### Public Utilities Commission

The Public Utilities Commission anticipates a \$0.6 million deficit across its Annual Operating Fund overtime accounts, including those supported by work-orders from other departments. This reflects an anticipated deficit in Wastewater overtime of \$0.3 million due to contingency for winter storms response and a deficit of \$0.3 in Hetch Hetchy Water & Power funds due to contingency for emergency repairs and mitigation. The Department plans to request a budget reallocation from regular salaries to overtime salaries.

### Public Works

The Department of Public Works anticipates a \$0.2 million surplus across all General Fund overtime accounts, including those supported by work orders from other departments. This reflects an anticipated deficit in General Fund overtime of \$1.6 million due to operations including street cleaning, responding to winter storms, and special events including the APEC economic forum. This is offset by an anticipated surplus in the General Fund Workorder Fund of \$1.8 million due to less overtime required for services provided to other city departments.

### Recreation & Parks

Recreation and Parks currently projects to end the fiscal year within the approved overtime budget.

### Sheriff Department

The Sheriff's Department projects a \$26.2 million deficit across all General Fund overtime accounts, including those supported by work orders from other departments. Of this deficit, the Department projects the APEC forum to contribute \$3.2 million to the overtime deficit. The Department projects permanent salary savings, due to staff vacancies, to offset \$16.5 million of the overtime deficit. Together with the Mayor, the Controller will coordinate with the Department to identify additional revenue sources and explore savings strategies to reduce the remaining projected \$8.2 million overtime deficit.





# OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

Table 1. FY 2023-24 Overtime Expenditure Projection (\$ millions)

Department (\$ in Millions)	FY 2023-24					
	Overtime Budget	Overtime Expended	% of Budget Expended	Year-end Expenditure Projection	Year-end % of Budget Projection	Year-end Surplus/ (Deficit) Projection
<b>Airport</b>						
Annual Operating Fund	4.4	1.0	22%	\$ 3.9	89%	\$ 0.5
<b>Airport Annual Operating Fund</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>\$ 3.9</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>\$ 0.5</b>
<b>Emergency Management</b>						
General Fund	5.4	1.9	35%	\$ 7.3	135%	\$ (1.9)
<b>Emergency Management Annual operating Fund</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>\$ 7.3</b>	<b>135%</b>	<b>\$ (1.9)</b>
<b>Fire</b>						
General Fund	53.4	17.6	33%	\$ 66.9	125%	\$ (13.5)
General Fund Work Order	2.4	0.4	16%	\$ 1.1	44%	\$ 1.3
Airport Annual Operating Fund	7.0	1.8	26%	\$ 7.2	102%	\$ (0.2)
<b>Fire Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>62.8</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>\$ 75.1</b>	<b>120%</b>	<b>\$ (12.3)</b>
<b>Police</b>						
General Fund	41.4	21.4	52%	\$ 94.0	227%	\$ (52.6)
General Fund Work Order	3.0	0.7	24%	\$ 2.8	92%	\$ 0.2
Airport Annual Operating Fund	5.0	2.3	46%	\$ 8.9	178%	\$ (3.9)
<b>Police Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>49.4</b>	<b>24.4</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>\$ 105.7</b>	<b>214%</b>	<b>\$ (56.3)</b>
<b>Public Health</b>						
General Fund	3.7	1.0	28%	\$ 5.5	147%	\$ (1.7)
General Fund Work Order	0.0	0.1	152%	\$ 0.0	0%	\$ 0.0
General Hospital Annual Operating Fund	16.6	8.1	49%	\$ 39.2	236%	\$ (22.6)
Laguna Honda Hospital Annual Operating Fund	11.8	5.1	44%	\$ 27.0	229%	\$ (15.2)
<b>Public Health Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>32.2</b>	<b>14.4</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>\$ 71.6</b>	<b>223%</b>	<b>\$ (39.5)</b>
<b>Public Utilities Commission</b>						
Waste Water Annual Operating Fund	2.4	0.7	28%	\$ 2.8	113%	\$ (0.3)
Hetch Hetchy Annual Operating Fund	1.0	0.2	22%	\$ 1.1	105%	\$ (0.0)
Water Annual Operating Fund	2.3	0.8	34%	\$ 2.6	112%	\$ (0.3)
PUC Annual Operating Fund	0.1	0.0	21%	\$ 0.1	100%	\$ -
<b>Public Utilities Commission Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>\$ 6.5</b>	<b>111%</b>	<b>\$ (0.6)</b>
<b>Public Works</b>						
General Fund	1.8	0.7	42%	\$ 3.4	192%	\$ (1.6)
General Fund Work Order	2.4	0.1	6%	\$ 0.6	24%	\$ 1.8
<b>Public Works Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>\$ 4.0</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>\$ 0.2</b>
<b>Recreation and Park</b>						
General Fund	1.7	0.6	34%	\$ 1.7	100%	\$ 0.0
<b>Recreation &amp; Park Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>\$ 1.7</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 0.0</b>
<b>Sheriff</b>						
General Fund	7.1	8.2	115%	\$ 34.0	476%	\$ (26.9)
General Fund Work Order	8.8	2.1	24%	\$ 8.1	92%	\$ 0.7
<b>Sheriff Department Annual Operating Funds</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>\$ 42.2</b>	<b>264%</b>	<b>\$ (26.2)</b>



**OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield  
Controller

Todd Rydstrom  
Deputy Controller

cc: Anna Duning, Mayor's Budget Director  
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
Severin Campbell, Board Budget & Legislative Analyst  
Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Elections" response to inquiry regarding character-based names  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 12:03:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Response - Supervisor Chan Inquiry - Character-Based Names - Nov 2023.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[Clerk's Memo.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Department of Elections in response to a Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Connie Chan at the October 24, 2023, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Arntz, John (REG) <[john.arntz@sfgov.org](mailto:john.arntz@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 4:32 PM  
**To:** Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Groth, Kelly (BOS) <[kelly.groth@sfgov.org](mailto:kelly.groth@sfgov.org)>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Elections' response to inquiry regarding character-based names

Hello, Supervisor Chan,

I hope you are doing well! I'm writing to provide a response to your inquiry regarding the Department's "process for reviewing and approving" character-based names submitted by candidates. A hard copy will also be delivered to your office today.

Please let me know if you have more questions.

Thanks,  
-John.

John Arntz, Director  
San Francisco Department of Elections  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

City Hall, Room 48  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4375  
sfelections.org



Follow the San Francisco Department of Elections on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)!

Your feedback is important to us! Please take our [Customer Service Survey](#)



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS**

John Arntz, Director

---

**Memorandum**

**To:** Honorable Connie Chan, Member, San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
**From:** John Arntz, Director  
**Date:** November 27, 2023  
**RE:** Department of Elections' Processes Associated with Non-Character-Based Language Names, and a Comparison with California Assembly Bill No. 57 (Low)

---

This memorandum is in response to Supervisor Chan's inquiry regarding the Department of Elections' (Department) "process of reviewing and approving submitted translations of non-character-based language names for use on San Francisco ballots, and a comparison with the requirements set forth in California Assembly Bill No. 57 (Low)."

The Department's process for reviewing and approving non-character-based language names for local offices follows San Francisco Municipal Elections Code Section 401 (Attachment 1). For names requested by candidates filing for state and federal offices, the California Secretary of State follows the requirements set by California Assembly Bill No. 57, which is now codified as California Elections Code Section 13211.7 (Attachment 2).

The primary difference between the two laws is the MEC does not stipulate any timeframe regarding candidates' usage of a non-character-based language name. The state statute requires candidates to substantiate that their requested names have been in use for two years or more.

Both the Department (Attachment 3) and the SOS (Attachment 4) provide forms to candidates to indicate a non-character-language name or transliteration. Both forms require candidates to provide documents to substantiate the names or transliterations.

Neither Municipal Elections Code (MEC) Section 401, nor California Elections Code (CAEC) Section 13211.7 prescribe specific documents that candidates must provide. MEC Section 410 indicates that "(a) candidate may submit documentary evidence demonstrating established use of a particular translation or transliteration of his or her name . . ." CAEC Section 13211.7 indicates that a "(a) candidate who does not have a character-based name by birth, but who identifies by a particular character-based name and can demonstrate to the local officials that the candidate has been known and identified within the public sphere by that name over the past two years, may use that name instead of a phonetic transliteration."

When candidates submit a name in a non-character-based language, the Department reviews the provided documentation which can include birth certificates, government documents, newspaper articles, information on how a candidate is referred to in the community, and any other documents the candidates consider as

supporting the use of the submitted name on a ballot. The Department reviews all documents to determine if the information sufficiently demonstrates an "established use" of the name. If the Department considers the documentation insufficiently supports the use of a name or transliteration, the Department requests a transliteration of the name from certified translators that will instead appear on the ballot.

In addition to reviewing documentation provided by candidates, the Department, with input from certified translators, considers if a submitted name conveys subjective qualities about a candidate beyond stating a name for voters who rely on character-based information. Also, all rules for placing candidate names on ballots also applies to any character-based names that candidates might submit such as the disallowance of using titles or educational degrees.

Attachment 5 represents the Department's processes related to character-based names from the candidates' submission of documents to when the Department would approve a name for placement on a ballot. Attachment 5 demonstrates the Department's approach not only to submitted names, but also transliterated names provided by candidates.

I will be glad to answer any additional questions.

Enclosures:

1. Attachment 1, San Francisco Municipal Code Section 401, regarding candidate names appearing in Chinese characters.
2. Attachment 2, California Elections Code Section 13211.7, regarding character-based names appearing on the ballot.
3. Attachment 3, Department form for candidates to indicate if they are requesting a character-based name to appear on the ballot for local offices.
4. Attachment 4, SOS form for statewide candidates to indicate they are requesting a character-based name to appear on the ballot for state and federal offices.
5. Attachment 5, Department procedures associated with character-based names.

CC: Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
Kelly Groth, Legislative Aide to Supervisor Chan

SEC. 401. CANDIDATES' NAMES APPEARING IN CHINESE CHARACTERS.

(a) The names of candidates for local, state and federal office shall appear on all official ballots, including polling place ballots and absentee ballots, and all sample ballots in Chinese characters as well as in English or any other languages required by law or selected by the Director of Elections.

(b) For purposes of this Section:

(1) "Translation" shall mean the selection of Chinese characters to represent the parts of a Chinese name, or a name in any other language that traditionally is written using Chinese characters.

(2) "Transliteration" shall mean the selection of Chinese characters to represent the phonetic equivalent of the syllables of an English name, or a name in any other language that is not traditionally written using Chinese characters.

(c) The Director of Elections shall cause a translation or transliteration of the names of all candidates to be prepared by a qualified Chinese-language interpreter according to generally-accepted professional standards. A candidate may submit documentary evidence demonstrating established use of a particular translation or transliteration of his or her name to assist the interpreter, but the Director of Elections' decision to accept the translation or transliteration of a candidate's name submitted by the Department's interpreter shall be final. Translated or transliterated names accepted by the Director shall be available for public review for ten days, and the Director's decision may be challenged pursuant to California Elections Code Section 13313.

(Added by Ord. 233-99, File No. 991282, App. 8/20/99)

**DIVISION 13. BALLOTS, SAMPLE BALLOTS, AND VOTER PAMPHLETS**

**13211.7.**

(a) (1) In jurisdictions required to provide translated ballot materials pursuant to Section 203 of the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10503), as that section may be amended from time to time, any ballot that provides a translation of a candidate's name shall contain a phonetic transliteration of the candidate's name, except as provided in subdivision (b).

(2) This section applies only to character-based languages, including, but not limited to, Mandarin Chinese, Cantonese, Japanese, and Korean.

(3) If a candidate's name is to appear on the ballot in more than one jurisdiction in an election, all of those jurisdictions required to provide translated ballot materials pursuant to Section 203 of the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10503) shall use the same phonetic transliteration or character-based translation of the name.

(4) (A) In a jurisdiction in which separate ballots containing translations of the candidates' names are printed in different languages, both the alphabet-based names and the translations of the candidates' names, for candidates that have translated names, shall appear on the translated ballot.

(B) If a jurisdiction is unable to comply with subparagraph (A) due to limitations of its existing voting system, any new voting system purchased by the jurisdiction after July 1, 2020, shall be able to accommodate the requirements of subparagraph (A).

(b) If a candidate has a character-based name by birth, that can be verified by birth certificate or other valid identification, the candidate may use that name on the ballot instead of a phonetic transliteration. A candidate who does not have a character-based name by birth, but who identifies by a particular character-based name and can demonstrate to the local elections official that the candidate has been known and identified within the public sphere by that name over the past two years, may use that name instead of a phonetic transliteration.

*(Added by Stats. 2019, Ch. 82, Sec. 1. (AB 57) Effective January 1, 2020.)*





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS**

John Arntz, Director

Chinese Characters Form

SF MEC §401

Official Filing Form

County Elections Official

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Candidate Name and Office</b>	<b>1</b>	Candidate Name: _____  Office: _____
----------------------------------	----------	--

<b>Name in Chinese Characters</b>	<b>2</b>	<p>The names of candidates appear on the official ballot in traditional Chinese characters as well as in English. The Department of Elections can provide this transliteration or translation for candidates for local office, or the candidate may provide documentation of established use of a name in Chinese. If a candidate has a character-based name by birth, that can be verified by birth certificate or other valid identification, the candidate may use that name on the ballot instead of a phonetic transliteration.</p> <p>A candidate who does not have a character-based name by birth, but who identifies by a particular character-based name and can credibly demonstrate that they have been known and identified within the public sphere by that name, may use that name instead of a phonetic transliteration. The California Secretary of State provides Chinese transliterations for candidates running for state and federal offices.</p> <p><b>Check one option:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> I request that the Department of Elections, working with a qualified Chinese-language translator, provide a Chinese transliteration or translation of my name for all materials where it is legally required.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> I am providing documentation of established use of a particular Chinese transliteration or translation of my name for the Department to review. I understand that the Department's decision whether to accept a proposed transliteration or translation is final.</p>
-----------------------------------	----------	--



California Secretary of State  
**STATEWIDE CANDIDATE: CHARACTER-BASED NAME FORM**  
 (Elections Code § 13211.7)

Attachment 4

Statewide  
 Candidate  
 Name,  
 Character-  
 based name  
 and language,  
 and Office

1

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Character-based Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Character-based Language: \_\_\_\_\_

Office: \_\_\_\_\_

Character-  
 based name  
 Attach  
 supporting  
 documents

2

**Check one box below and attach supporting documents**

- I would like to use a character-based name given by birth (please provide a birth certificate or valid identification for verification). *Attach supporting documentation and provide a description:*

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

- I do not have a character-based name by birth, but I identify by a particular character-based name (please provide proof you have been known and identified within the public by that character-based name for the past two years). *Attach supporting documentation and provide a description:*

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_

X

**Signature of Candidate**

**This form is for use by statewide candidates only.** For your reference, attached is [Elections Code section 13211.7](#).

## Background on Chinese names for candidates, from Candidate Guide:

Each candidate's name will appear on the ballot in Chinese characters, as well as in English. Candidates may, but are not required to, submit a proposed translated or transliterated Chinese name. Please note that the Department uses traditional Chinese characters, rather than simplified. If a candidate does not submit a proposed Chinese name, the Department's translation vendor will prepare a transliteration of the candidate's name.

The Director of Elections determines whether to accept a candidate's proposed Chinese name based on:

- a. Any information submitted by the candidate regarding established use of the proposed name
- b. Information regarding how the Chinese community refers to the candidate at community meetings or in the media
- c. Whether or not a proposed translation or transliteration has another meaning in the Chinese language
- d. Any other information the Director deems relevant in order to prevent voter confusion

The Director of Elections' determination whether to accept a candidate's proposed Chinese name shall be final. The submitted or transliterated Chinese names of all candidates for local office are available for public review and possible legal challenge for ten calendar days.

## Procedures:

### A. If the candidate requested a Chinese name:

1. Review the request Chinese names against the criteria above.
  - a. If the name does not seem to meet the criteria, or if it seems to give a candidate a subjective preference over another candidate, discuss the possible issue with your supervisor
  - b. Make sure that they requested name does not include a title such as ""Doctor" or "Professor" (sometimes candidates submit business cards that might include titles along with their names in Chinese). If there is a title, Campaign Services will advise the candidate that we will delete the title and use the name, if it is acceptable).
2. If the name is acceptable, enter the requested Chinese name into EIMS (see below)

### B. If the candidate did not request a Chinese name:

1. Check whether we have a Chinese transliteration of the name in our records (a transliteration is a phonetic representation of the candidate's name in the corresponding Chinese characters):

#### a. How to tell if a Chinese name is a transliteration

1. If a Chinese name has dots separating the first and last names or if there is an initial, it is a transliteration

加文 · 紐森

丹尼斯 · J · 赫雷拉

2. If a Chinese name does not have dots separating the first and last names, it may or may not be a transliteration. Check with a colleague who reads Chinese.
  - a. If it is a Chinese transliteration, we will use it, but it must match the candidate's ballot name for this election (in other words, if the name in English has a middle name or initial, the transliteration must also include the middle name or initial).
  - b. If it is a Chinese name (*not* a transliteration), we can use this name only if the candidate has requested it in writing for this election or it appears on the Chinese version of the Secretary of State's certified list of candidates

- b. Where to look for a previous Chinese transliteration of a candidate's name:**
  - i. If the candidate is an incumbent, check the Chinese name used on the ballot when the candidate ran for the office. If it was a transliteration, use this.
  - ii. Check the Candidate database to see whether we have a transliteration on file. If so, use this.
- b. If we have a previous Chinese transliteration of the candidate's name, enter the transliteration into EIMS (see below)
- c. If we do not have a transliteration on file, we will request that the translation vendor provide one.

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD**

Phone: (415) 554-5184  
Email: [Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org)



October 25, 2023

John Arntz, Director  
Department of Elections  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 48  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Via Email: [John.Arntz@sfgov.org](mailto:John.Arntz@sfgov.org)

Dear Director Arntz

At the October 24, 2023, Board of Supervisors meeting, Supervisor Connie Chan issued the attached inquiry to the Department of Elections. Please review the attached introduction form, which provides the Supervisor's request.

The inquiry, in summary, requests a report on the Department of Elections' process of reviewing and approving submitted translations of non-character-based language names for use on San Francisco ballots, and a comparison with the requirements set forth in California Assembly Bill No. 57 (Low).

Please contact Kelly Groth, [Kelly.Groth@sfgov.org](mailto:Kelly.Groth@sfgov.org), Legislative Aide to Supervisor Chan, for any questions related to this request, and copy [BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) on all communications to enable my office to track and close out this inquiry. Please provide your response no later than November 27, 2023.

For questions pertaining to the administration of this inquiry, do not hesitate to contact me in the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

WN/JA

Attachment:

- Introduction Form

# Item 3

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for October 2023  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 12:06:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for October 2023.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for the CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for October 2023, submitted by the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector, pursuant to CA State Government Code, Section 53646.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <[ichieh.dion@sfgov.org](mailto:ichieh.dion@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:23 AM  
**To:** Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <[ichieh.dion@sfgov.org](mailto:ichieh.dion@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for October 2023

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of October attached for your use.

Regards,



**Ichieh C. Dion**  
*Investment Settlement Operations/Reporting*  
Investments  
Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector  
Office: 415.554.5433  
San Francisco only, call 311  
[sftreasurer.org](http://sftreasurer.org)

**Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector  
City and County of San Francisco**



José Cisneros, Treasurer

Tajel Shah, Chief Assistant Treasurer  
Hubert R White, III CFA, CTP, Chief Investment Officer

Investment Report for the month of October 2023

November 15, 2023

**The Honorable London N. Breed  
Mayor of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638**

**The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638**

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of October 31, 2023. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of October 2023 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

**CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics \***

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	<b>Current Month</b>		<b>Prior Month</b>	
	<u>Fiscal YTD</u>	<u>October 2023</u>	<u>Fiscal YTD</u>	<u>September 2023</u>
Average Daily Balance	\$ 15,394	\$ 15,197	\$ 15,462	\$ 15,364
Net Earnings	161.94	41.21	120.73	40.34
Earned Income Return	3.13%	3.20%	3.11%	3.20%

**CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics \***

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	<b>% of Portfolio</b>	<b>Book Value</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Wtd. Avg. Coupon</b>	<b>Wtd. Avg. YTM</b>	<b>WAM</b>
<b>Investment Type</b>						
U.S. Treasuries	21.84%	\$ 3,440.5	\$ 3,218.1	0.92%	0.96%	615
Federal Agencies	44.08%	6,716.8	6,494.2	2.85%	2.99%	618
Public Time Deposits	0.27%	40.0	40.0	5.47%	5.47%	57
Negotiable CDs	15.71%	2,315.0	2,314.5	5.73%	5.73%	193
Commercial Paper	4.40%	648.7	648.9	0.00%	5.67%	109
Money Market Funds	9.70%	1,429.9	1,429.9	5.28%	5.28%	1
Supranationals	4.00%	612.3	588.9	2.35%	2.08%	475
<b>Totals</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 15,203.1</b>	<b>\$ 14,734.4</b>	<b>2.98%</b>	<b>3.29%</b>	<b>466</b>

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

**José Cisneros  
Treasurer**

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty  
Ben Rosenfield - Controller, Office of the Controller  
Mark de la Rosa - Director of Audits, Office of the Controller  
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance  
San Francisco County Transportation Authority  
San Francisco Public Library  
San Francisco Health Service System

# Portfolio Summary

## Pooled Fund

As of October 31, 2023

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
<b>Security Type</b>	<b>Par Value</b>	<b>Book Value</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Market/Book Price</b>	<b>Current % Allocation</b>	<b>Max. Policy Allocation</b>	<b>Compliant?</b>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 3,445.0	\$ 3,440.5	\$ 3,218.1	93.53	22.63%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	6,722.7	6,716.8	6,494.2	96.69	44.18%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	-	-	-	-	0.00%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	40.0	40.0	40.0	100.00	0.26%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	2,315.0	2,315.0	2,314.5	99.98	15.23%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	660.0	648.7	648.9	100.03	4.27%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	-	-	-	-	0.00%	30%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	1,429.9	1,429.9	1,429.9	100.00	9.41%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	610.4	612.3	588.9	96.18	4.03%	30%	Yes
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 15,222.9</b>	<b>\$ 15,203.1</b>	<b>\$ 14,734.4</b>	<b>96.92</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a book value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.




# City and County of San Francisco

## Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended October 31, 2023



Average Daily Balance	\$15,196,720,280
Net Earnings	\$41,213,617
Earned Income Return	3.20%
Weighted Average Maturity	466 days

Investment Type	(\$ million)	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries		\$ 3,445.0	\$ 3,440.5	\$ 3,218.1
Federal Agencies		6,722.7	6,716.8	6,494.2
Public Time Deposits		40.0	40.0	40.0
Negotiable CDs		2,315.0	2,315.0	2,314.5
Commercial Paper		660.0	648.7	648.9
Money Market Funds		1,429.9	1,429.9	1,429.9
Supranationals		610.4	612.3	588.9
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 15,222.9</b>	<b>\$ 15,203.1</b>	<b>\$ 14,734.4</b>





# Portfolio Analysis

## Pooled Fund



# Yield Curves



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

As of October 31, 2023

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	912797FJ1	U.S. Treasury Bill	9/7/2023	11/9/2023	0.00	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 9,907,775	\$ 9,986,825	\$ 9,988,300
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	U.S. Treasury Note	12/17/2019	11/15/2023	2.75	50,000,000	51,960,938	50,020,584	49,949,500
U.S. Treasuries	912797FL6	U.S. Treasury Bill	9/7/2023	11/24/2023	0.00	10,000,000	9,885,232	9,964,687	9,966,200
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/19/2021	12/15/2023	0.13	50,000,000	49,767,578	49,989,551	49,683,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	U.S. Treasury Note	12/9/2021	12/15/2023	0.13	50,000,000	49,402,344	49,963,459	49,683,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	U.S. Treasury Note	12/15/2021	12/15/2023	0.13	50,000,000	49,443,359	49,965,687	49,683,500
U.S. Treasuries	9128285Z9	U.S. Treasury Note	10/4/2021	1/31/2024	2.50	50,000,000	52,511,719	50,272,177	49,638,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	U.S. Treasury Note	2/23/2022	1/31/2024	0.88	50,000,000	49,390,625	49,920,704	49,443,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	U.S. Treasury Note	4/11/2022	1/31/2024	0.88	50,000,000	48,605,469	49,805,611	49,443,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828B66	U.S. Treasury Note	4/11/2022	2/15/2024	2.75	50,000,000	50,250,000	50,039,630	49,611,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBR1	U.S. Treasury Note	3/8/2022	3/15/2024	0.25	50,000,000	48,708,984	49,762,089	49,051,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCC3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	5/15/2024	0.25	50,000,000	49,718,750	49,947,131	48,617,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828XT2	U.S. Treasury Note	7/6/2021	5/31/2024	2.00	50,000,000	52,263,672	50,454,870	49,004,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	7/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	49,998,047	49,998,531	48,246,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/9/2021	7/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	49,960,938	49,990,590	48,246,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	4/12/2022	7/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	47,572,266	49,240,781	48,246,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	U.S. Treasury Note	3/30/2021	7/31/2024	1.75	50,000,000	52,210,938	50,496,962	48,631,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	U.S. Treasury Note	8/25/2021	8/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	49,898,438	49,972,973	48,041,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	10/31/2024	1.50	50,000,000	51,746,094	50,493,491	48,094,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	U.S. Treasury Note	3/9/2021	11/15/2024	2.25	50,000,000	53,160,156	50,893,853	48,398,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	U.S. Treasury Note	3/12/2021	11/15/2024	2.25	50,000,000	53,228,516	50,915,227	48,398,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	U.S. Treasury Note	3/15/2021	12/31/2024	1.75	50,000,000	52,226,563	50,685,467	47,969,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	U.S. Treasury Note	3/30/2021	1/31/2025	1.38	50,000,000	51,515,625	50,494,766	47,627,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	1/31/2025	1.38	50,000,000	51,507,813	50,497,893	47,627,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/15/2021	2/28/2025	1.13	50,000,000	51,011,719	50,340,038	47,332,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/31/2021	2/28/2025	1.13	50,000,000	50,998,047	50,332,196	47,332,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	3/31/2025	0.50	50,000,000	49,779,297	49,921,090	46,773,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	U.S. Treasury Note	4/19/2021	3/31/2025	0.50	50,000,000	49,839,844	49,942,579	46,773,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	U.S. Treasury Note	5/18/2021	4/30/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,615,234	49,854,146	46,531,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	U.S. Treasury Note	9/2/2021	5/15/2025	2.13	50,000,000	52,849,609	51,185,404	47,728,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	3/8/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,140,625	49,668,254	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	3/9/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,042,969	49,630,321	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,710,596	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,183,594	49,671,057	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/18/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,253,906	49,698,388	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,310,547	49,710,706	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/5/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,500,000	49,786,667	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,406,250	49,746,489	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	12/7/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	48,628,906	49,359,243	46,111,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/5/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,458,984	49,762,563	45,939,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,363,281	49,720,369	45,939,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/7/2022	9/15/2025	3.50	50,000,000	48,968,750	49,342,266	48,556,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/12/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,109,375	49,610,838	45,635,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/26/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,670,514	45,635,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,700,084	45,459,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/2/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,078,125	49,604,524	45,459,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/4/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,048,828	49,591,477	45,459,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,455,078	49,756,171	45,301,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/26/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,271,484	49,673,836	45,301,000

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	6/28/2021	4/30/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,662,109	49,825,605	45,113,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	4/30/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,730,469	49,860,571	45,113,500
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	7/23/2021	5/15/2026		1.63	50,000,000	52,203,125	51,162,377	46,053,000
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	8/27/2021	5/15/2026		1.63	50,000,000	51,890,625	51,017,775	46,053,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,931,641	49,963,534	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/14/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,037,756	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,345,703	50,186,457	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,328,125	50,176,977	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,406,250	50,220,951	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/10/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	50,240,234	50,130,951	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	9/24/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,937,500	49,965,050	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	10/14/2021	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,593,750	49,770,185	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	1/4/2022	6/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,027,344	49,422,226	45,039,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	U.S. Treasury Note	9/28/2021	8/31/2026		0.75	50,000,000	49,449,219	49,682,949	44,554,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,689,453	49,818,079	44,638,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,671,875	49,807,782	44,638,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/19/2021	9/30/2026		0.88	50,000,000	49,318,359	49,598,258	44,638,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/3/2021	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	50,072,266	50,044,636	44,851,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/7/2021	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	50,117,188	50,072,542	44,851,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	11/30/2026		1.25	50,000,000	47,078,125	48,072,624	44,851,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	12/31/2026		1.25	50,000,000	47,107,422	48,074,388	44,761,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	U.S. Treasury Note	4/6/2022	3/31/2027		2.50	25,000,000	24,757,813	24,834,062	23,161,250
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>0.92</b>	<b>\$ 3,445,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,444,646,522</b>	<b>\$ 3,440,517,086</b>	<b>\$ 3,218,077,750</b>
Federal Agencies	313384NX3	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	6/20/2023	11/6/2023		0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,008,660	\$ 49,957,208	\$ 49,963,500
Federal Agencies	313384PG8	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	9/7/2023	11/15/2023		0.00	17,500,000	17,322,565	17,461,427	17,465,175
Federal Agencies	313384PM5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	9/6/2023	11/20/2023		0.00	10,000,000	9,889,896	9,970,639	9,972,900
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	25,000,000	24,963,750	24,998,456	24,896,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	25,000,000	24,963,750	24,998,456	24,896,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/3/2021	12/1/2023		0.50	75,000,000	74,891,250	74,995,369	74,688,000
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/10/2021	12/8/2023		2.25	10,000,000	10,301,000	10,015,712	9,966,200
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/10/2021	12/8/2023		2.25	30,000,000	30,903,000	30,047,135	29,898,600
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	25,000,000	24,987,600	24,999,151	24,835,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	25,000,000	24,988,000	24,999,178	24,835,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2021	12/20/2023		0.68	62,000,000	61,970,488	61,997,979	61,591,420
Federal Agencies	3130AU4V3	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/8/2022	1/8/2024		4.80	11,000,000	10,998,900	10,999,808	10,982,400
Federal Agencies	3130AU4V3	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/8/2022	1/8/2024		4.80	25,000,000	24,987,500	24,997,822	24,960,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/3/2022	1/18/2024		0.90	11,856,000	11,738,815	11,842,505	11,736,610
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/1/2022	1/18/2024		0.90	50,000,000	49,701,000	49,967,010	49,496,500
Federal Agencies	313384ST7	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	4/21/2023	2/6/2024		0.00	10,650,000	10,236,780	10,510,840	10,501,220
Federal Agencies	3130AFW94	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/12/2021	2/13/2024		2.50	39,010,000	40,648,810	39,219,083	38,674,904
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/18/2020	2/14/2024		1.43	20,495,000	20,950,604	20,528,819	20,249,265
Federal Agencies	3130AUYG3	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/16/2023	2/16/2024		5.10	25,000,000	24,996,500	24,998,964	24,959,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	5,000,000	4,998,200	4,999,806	4,914,050
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	5,000,000	4,998,200	4,999,806	4,914,050
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/26/2021	2/26/2024		0.25	100,000,000	99,964,000	99,996,121	98,281,000
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/25/2022	2/28/2024		2.13	11,000,000	10,987,460	10,997,866	10,876,360
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/25/2022	2/28/2024		2.13	25,000,000	24,971,500	24,995,149	24,719,000
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/15/2022	3/8/2024		4.75	10,000,000	10,013,300	10,003,582	9,973,900
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2022	3/8/2024		4.75	20,000,000	20,000,800	20,000,217	19,947,800

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/8/2022	3/8/2024	4.75	25,000,000	24,982,000	24,994,908	24,934,750
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2022	3/8/2024	4.75	30,000,000	30,001,800	30,000,488	29,921,700
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/8/2022	3/8/2024	4.75	30,000,000	29,978,400	29,993,889	29,921,700
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/18/2021	3/18/2024	0.30	50,000,000	49,939,500	49,992,327	49,042,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/18/2021	3/18/2024	0.30	50,000,000	49,939,450	49,992,321	49,042,000
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/4/2021	4/22/2024	0.35	16,545,000	16,549,633	16,545,744	16,140,475
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/4/2021	4/22/2024	0.35	29,424,000	29,432,239	29,425,322	28,704,583
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/4/2021	4/22/2024	0.35	39,000,000	39,010,920	39,001,753	38,046,450
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/16/2022	5/16/2024	2.63	45,000,000	44,939,250	44,983,545	44,319,600
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/16/2022	5/16/2024	2.63	50,000,000	49,932,500	49,981,717	49,244,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENYH7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/10/2022	6/10/2024	2.63	100,000,000	99,871,000	99,960,647	98,303,000
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/18/2022	6/14/2024	2.88	15,955,000	16,008,449	15,971,007	15,699,241
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/18/2022	6/14/2024	2.88	17,980,000	18,043,829	17,999,115	17,691,781
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/12/2022	6/14/2024	2.88	25,500,000	25,552,530	25,515,608	25,091,235
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/16/2022	6/14/2024	2.88	50,000,000	50,204,000	50,060,932	49,198,583
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/22/2022	6/14/2024	3.13	28,000,000	27,904,520	27,968,724	27,598,760
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/22/2022	6/14/2024	3.13	28,210,000	28,114,932	28,178,859	27,805,751
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/17/2022	6/17/2024	3.25	25,000,000	24,970,500	24,990,718	24,667,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/17/2022	6/17/2024	3.25	25,000,000	24,970,750	24,990,797	24,667,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/17/2022	6/17/2024	3.25	50,000,000	49,970,000	49,990,561	49,334,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/28/2022	6/28/2024	3.10	25,000,000	24,987,500	24,995,879	24,604,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/28/2022	6/28/2024	3.10	25,000,000	24,986,500	24,995,549	24,604,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/28/2022	6/28/2024	3.10	50,000,000	49,973,000	49,991,098	49,208,000
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,111,264	24,111,264	24,175,000
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,111,264	24,111,264	24,175,000
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,111,264	24,111,264	24,175,000
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	10,000,000	9,980,600	9,983,339	9,832,000
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	15,000,000	14,970,900	14,990,008	14,748,000
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	17,500,000	17,466,050	17,488,343	17,206,000
Federal Agencies	3130AWFH8	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2023	7/12/2024	5.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,859,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/6/2021	7/23/2024	0.45	50,000,000	50,092,000	50,022,617	48,233,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	10,000,000	9,995,700	9,997,681	9,950,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	20,000,000	19,992,000	19,995,686	19,900,400
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	25,000,000	24,990,000	24,994,607	24,875,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ84	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/26/2022	8/26/2024	3.38	50,000,000	49,916,500	49,965,732	49,127,000
Federal Agencies	3130ATVD6	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/10/2022	9/13/2024	4.88	50,000,000	50,062,000	50,029,296	49,726,000
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	25,000,000	24,974,750	24,992,443	23,906,000
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,984,887	47,812,000
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,984,887	47,812,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENP79	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/26/2022	9/26/2024	4.25	50,000,000	49,996,000	49,998,189	49,475,500
Federal Agencies	3130ATT31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/1/2022	10/3/2024	4.50	50,000,000	49,860,500	49,932,833	49,565,500
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,872,750
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,872,750
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,745,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/28/2023	10/28/2024	4.50	20,000,000	19,968,400	19,979,106	19,794,400
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/28/2023	10/28/2024	4.50	25,000,000	24,959,000	24,972,891	24,743,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,995,971	9,543,400
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,988,500	9,543,400
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	50,000,000	49,942,500	49,979,854	47,717,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ94	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2022	11/18/2024	4.50	25,000,000	24,973,500	24,986,079	24,739,500

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/3/2019	12/3/2024	1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,991,264	24,006,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/9/2021	12/9/2024	0.92	50,000,000	49,985,000	49,994,457	47,520,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/9/2021	12/9/2024	0.92	50,000,000	49,963,000	49,986,328	47,520,000
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	10,000,000	9,982,900	9,990,269	9,863,400
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	25,000,000	24,954,500	24,974,107	24,658,500
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	25,000,000	24,954,500	24,974,107	24,658,500
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,861,000
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,861,000
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,861,000
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,861,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	20,000,000	19,955,000	19,982,140	19,028,600
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,977,675	23,785,750
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,977,675	23,785,750
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	Fannie Mae	4/21/2021	1/7/2025	1.63	39,060,000	40,632,556	39,562,940	37,387,451
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	10,000,000	9,999,400	9,999,669	9,929,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	20,000,000	19,998,800	19,999,338	19,859,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	20,000,000	19,999,580	19,999,768	19,859,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/10/2023	2/10/2025	4.25	10,000,000	9,947,200	9,966,196	9,850,900
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/10/2023	2/10/2025	4.25	29,875,000	29,716,065	29,773,247	29,429,564
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,008	4,765,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,008	4,765,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,008	4,765,150
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,997,025	14,295,450
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,990,085	47,651,500
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	4/21/2021	2/12/2025	1.50	53,532,000	55,450,052	54,179,153	51,017,602
Federal Agencies	3130AUJZ4	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/13/2023	2/13/2025	4.50	50,000,000	49,921,500	49,949,421	49,453,000
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/3/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	25,000,000	24,967,000	24,977,970	24,887,250
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/3/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	35,000,000	34,953,800	34,969,158	34,842,150
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/23/2020	3/3/2025	1.21	16,000,000	15,990,720	15,997,487	15,132,800
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/23/2020	3/3/2025	1.21	24,000,000	23,964,240	23,990,317	22,699,200
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/21/2021	4/21/2025	0.60	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,990,242	46,624,000
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	37,938,000	37,367,792	37,688,331	35,472,409
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	7/12/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	50,000,000	50,108,000	50,042,183	46,750,500
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	50,000,000	49,243,950	49,668,959	46,750,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2022	5/23/2025	2.85	6,000,000	5,991,600	5,995,631	5,774,640
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2022	5/23/2025	2.85	20,000,000	19,972,000	19,985,438	19,248,800
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	10,000,000	9,991,700	9,993,314	9,891,600
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	15,000,000	14,987,550	14,989,971	14,837,400
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	25,000,000	24,979,250	24,983,286	24,729,000
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	52,000,000	51,956,840	51,965,234	51,436,320
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/4/2022	6/13/2025	3.38	11,940,000	12,000,178	11,974,066	11,596,606
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/3/2022	6/13/2025	3.38	12,700,000	12,806,045	12,759,974	12,334,748
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/10/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	3,000,000	3,012,270	3,009,479	2,959,620
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/8/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	9,915,000	9,975,878	9,961,909	9,781,544
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/8/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	10,000,000	10,065,000	10,050,085	9,865,400
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/11/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	10,000,000	10,036,000	10,027,848	9,865,400
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/17/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	24,000,000	24,079,440	24,061,938	23,676,960
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/9/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	25,500,000	25,624,695	25,596,207	25,156,770
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/25/2023	6/13/2025	5.13	10,800,000	10,818,036	10,815,471	10,778,832
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/25/2023	6/13/2025	5.13	48,150,000	48,241,967	48,228,886	48,055,626

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,988,383	14,992,480	14,762,550
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,989,800	14,993,397	14,762,550
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,989,050	14,992,912	14,762,550
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/13/2022	6/13/2025	2.95	50,000,000	49,975,500	49,986,789	48,106,000
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	6/17/2025	0.50	4,655,000	4,556,640	4,609,527	4,317,047
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	6/17/2025	0.50	10,000,000	9,789,600	9,902,729	9,274,000
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.70	17,680,000	17,734,631	17,702,923	16,416,057
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	25,000,000	24,982,000	24,985,631	24,481,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	26,500,000	26,483,835	26,487,095	25,949,860
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	30,000,000	29,981,700	29,985,391	29,377,200
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	3/4/2021	8/25/2025	0.38	25,000,000	24,684,250	24,871,769	22,934,250
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	2/25/2021	8/25/2025	0.38	72,500,000	71,862,000	72,242,002	66,509,325
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2021	9/12/2025	1.75	10,295,000	10,575,333	10,430,594	9,672,770
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	8,230,000	8,224,074	8,224,447	8,191,648
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	15,000,000	14,981,850	14,982,992	14,930,100
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	20,000,000	19,975,800	19,977,323	19,906,800
Federal Agencies	3137EAXE3	Freddie Mac	3/4/2021	9/23/2025	0.38	22,600,000	22,295,352	22,473,124	20,666,796
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/15/2023	10/1/2025	4.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,729,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	24,000,000	23,923,440	23,924,592	23,900,080
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	25,000,000	24,985,500	24,985,718	24,979,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	35,000,000	34,972,350	34,972,766	34,970,950
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	50,000,000	49,972,000	49,972,421	49,958,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025	1.05	39,675,000	39,622,232	39,647,984	36,507,745
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025	1.05	55,000,000	54,923,000	54,960,578	50,609,350
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025	1.17	45,000,000	44,954,100	44,975,589	41,404,050
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025	1.17	50,000,000	49,949,000	49,972,877	46,004,500
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	15,000,000	14,954,700	14,967,348	14,638,050
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	20,000,000	19,939,600	19,956,464	19,517,400
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	25,000,000	24,923,750	24,945,039	24,396,750
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026	4.00	20,000,000	19,982,400	19,987,073	19,515,200
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026	4.00	30,000,000	29,977,200	29,983,254	29,272,800
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/9/2023	2/6/2026	4.01	21,100,000	20,985,427	21,013,101	20,650,781
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026	3.63	25,000,000	24,928,500	24,940,357	24,200,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026	3.63	30,000,000	29,905,500	29,921,172	29,040,600
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,953,500	24,964,107	24,614,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	28,000,000	27,954,080	27,964,554	27,567,680
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	50,000,000	49,918,000	49,936,704	49,228,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/25/2022	2/25/2026	3.32	35,000,000	34,957,650	34,971,943	33,616,450
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/9/2021	4/6/2026	0.69	15,500,000	15,458,150	15,478,152	13,965,035
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026	2.64	20,000,000	19,961,200	19,976,364	18,898,800
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026	2.64	30,000,000	29,941,800	29,964,546	28,348,200
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/10/2023	6/12/2026	3.75	17,045,000	16,991,479	16,999,727	16,513,878
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/17/2023	6/12/2026	3.75	20,000,000	19,939,200	19,948,250	19,376,800
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026	4.00	10,000,000	9,934,300	9,943,321	9,749,100
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026	4.00	15,000,000	14,899,350	14,913,170	14,623,650
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/10/2023	6/12/2026	4.75	50,000,000	49,856,000	49,871,236	49,616,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	20,000,000	19,969,200	19,973,078	19,590,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	24,700,000	24,640,226	24,647,752	24,194,638
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	30,000,000	29,951,400	29,957,519	29,386,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,988,322	24,588,250



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,988,322	24,588,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,976,643	49,176,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	10,000,000	9,991,700	9,992,125	9,922,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	19,000,000	18,984,800	18,985,579	18,852,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	21,000,000	20,982,780	20,983,663	20,837,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,449,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,449,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,449,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,449,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,428,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,428,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,428,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,428,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	3,000,000	2,991,930	2,991,938	2,996,340
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	9,615,000	9,589,136	9,589,161	9,603,270
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	16,000,000	15,956,960	15,957,003	15,980,480
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	25,000,000	24,936,750	24,936,813	24,969,500
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,381,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,381,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,381,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,381,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/14/2023	8/14/2026	4.50	50,000,000	49,885,000	49,893,184	49,381,000
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,339,750
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,339,750
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,339,750
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/18/2023	9/11/2026	4.88	11,895,000	11,821,965	11,822,861	11,850,275
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,504,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,504,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,504,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,504,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026	4.88	14,000,000	13,904,940	13,905,894	13,948,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026	4.88	30,000,000	29,834,100	29,835,765	29,889,000
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,666,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,666,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,666,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,666,500
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,557,000
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,557,000
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,557,000
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,557,000
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,543,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,543,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,543,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,543,250
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,860,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,860,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,860,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	22,860,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/16/2022	3/10/2027	1.68	48,573,000	47,432,020	47,804,406	43,584,067

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Amortized			
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	22,500,000	22,392,338	22,426,141	20,790,675
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	24,500,000	24,377,010	24,415,625	22,638,735
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	25,000,000	24,804,000	24,865,539	23,100,750
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	4,650,000	4,646,792	4,647,471	4,513,895
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	5,000,000	4,996,550	4,997,281	4,853,650
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	21,000,000	20,987,001	20,989,756	20,385,330
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	25,000,000	24,982,750	24,986,406	24,268,250
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	10,000,000	10,141,500	10,104,384	9,495,600
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	12,375,000	12,552,829	12,506,184	11,750,805
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/20/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	21,725,000	22,016,550	21,940,195	20,629,191
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2027	4.13	28,940,000	28,911,928	28,914,580	28,074,405
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/7/2022	6/28/2027	3.24	27,865,000	28,099,066	28,037,104	26,207,033
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	Freddie Mac	6/29/2023	6/29/2027	5.94	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,776,750
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	Freddie Mac	6/29/2023	6/29/2027	5.94	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,776,750
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	Freddie Mac	6/29/2023	6/29/2027	5.94	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,553,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYYG1	Freddie Mac	8/16/2023	8/16/2027	6.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,788,750
Federal Agencies	3134GYYG1	Freddie Mac	8/16/2023	8/16/2027	6.00	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,788,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	8/23/2027	4.13	10,000,000	9,974,000	9,977,959	9,686,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/7/2023	8/7/2028	4.25	19,500,000	19,412,250	19,414,333	18,833,685
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	10,000,000	9,979,100	9,979,832	9,759,100
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	15,000,000	14,962,800	14,964,103	14,638,650
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	25,000,000	24,943,500	24,945,479	24,397,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	33,000,000	32,904,960	32,908,289	32,205,030
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>2.85</b>	<b>\$ 6,722,653,000</b>	<b>\$ 6,716,987,158</b>	<b>\$ 6,716,771,321</b>	<b>\$ 6,494,244,775</b>
Public Time Deposit	PPG62B630	Bank of San Francisco	6/5/2023	12/4/2023	5.46	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposit	PPG42YDZ6	Bridge Bank NA	6/19/2023	12/18/2023	5.37	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposit	PPG24NBE1	Bank of San Francisco	7/10/2023	1/8/2024	5.54	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposit	PPG250Y96	Bridge Bank NA	7/17/2023	1/16/2024	5.49	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>5.47</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>
Negotiable CDs	13606KRZ1	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	1/10/2023	11/6/2023	5.32	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,998,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BJX9	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	12/2/2022	11/20/2023	5.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,998,000
Negotiable CDs	06417MN84	Bank of Nova Scotia/HOU	12/5/2022	11/21/2023	5.50	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,997,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JPE1	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	12/19/2022	12/18/2023	5.37	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,982,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JRE9	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	1/5/2023	12/29/2023	5.43	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,961,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BPB0	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	1/5/2023	1/3/2024	5.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,977,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BPF1	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	1/5/2023	1/5/2024	5.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,975,500
Negotiable CDs	06367D3V5	Bank of Montreal/CHI	1/13/2023	1/12/2024	5.24	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,000,000	69,930,700
Negotiable CDs	89115BQB9	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	1/17/2023	1/17/2024	5.24	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,943,500
Negotiable CDs	65603AMM0	Norinchukin Bank/NY	9/21/2023	1/23/2024	5.65	55,000,000	55,000,000	55,000,000	55,001,100
Negotiable CDs	89115BST8	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	1/30/2023	1/29/2024	5.21	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,844,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BY79	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/8/2023	1/29/2024	5.75	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,990,000
Negotiable CDs	06417MT47	Bank of Nova Scotia/HOU	2/10/2023	2/9/2024	5.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,954,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BWK2	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/1/2023	2/22/2024	5.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,959,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BXF2	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/6/2023	3/6/2024	5.60	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,953,500
Negotiable CDs	65603APG0	Norinchukin Bank/NY	10/25/2023	4/23/2024	5.83	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BNG1	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	6/27/2023	6/5/2024	5.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,968,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DBJ3	Bank of Montreal/CHI	7/17/2023	6/7/2024	5.89	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,990,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DAU9	Bank of Montreal/CHI	6/27/2023	6/21/2024	5.87	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,949,000

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Negotiable CDs	78015JXW2	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	6/28/2023	6/28/2024	5.89	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,986,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DAX3	Bank of Montreal/CHI	7/5/2023	7/1/2024	6.00	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,029,000	100,029,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DBR5	Bank of Montreal/CHI	7/24/2023	7/1/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,998,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KZR0	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	8/7/2023	7/1/2024	5.89	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,990,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BRG7	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/6/2023	7/1/2024	6.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,023,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BS84	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/17/2023	7/1/2024	5.91	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,982,000
Negotiable CDs	89115BSQ4	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/24/2023	7/1/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,990,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BV80	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	8/2/2023	7/3/2024	5.90	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,983,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DBW4	Bank of Montreal/CHI	8/1/2023	7/29/2024	5.97	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,007,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KZN9	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	8/2/2023	7/29/2024	5.92	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	59,988,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DDS1	Bank of Montreal/CHI	10/10/2023	8/9/2024	5.88	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,998,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KD78	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	9/20/2023	8/12/2024	5.92	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,006,000
Negotiable CDs	78015J7F8	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/20/2023	8/12/2024	5.93	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,018,600
Negotiable CDs	06367DCF0	Bank of Montreal/CHI	8/28/2023	8/14/2024	6.01	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,031,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JE37	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	10/31/2023	8/15/2024	5.86	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KF92	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	10/10/2023	8/16/2024	5.88	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,997,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JE78	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	10/31/2023	8/26/2024	5.86	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KC38	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	9/11/2023	9/9/2024	5.94	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,007,500
Negotiable CDs	78015J5K9	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/12/2023	9/9/2024	5.90	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	59,991,600
Negotiable CDs	06367DD44	Bank of Montreal/CHI	9/22/2023	9/23/2024	5.97	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,023,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JAK3	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/22/2023	9/23/2024	5.96	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,025,800
Negotiable CDs	89115BH52	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	10/26/2023	10/21/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,003,500
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>5.73</b>	<b>\$ 2,315,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,315,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,315,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,315,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,314,455,800</b>
Commercial Paper	89233HY65	Toyota Motor Credit	6/26/2023	11/6/2023	0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 48,987,722	\$ 49,954,333	\$ 49,955,500	49,955,500
Commercial Paper	89233HY81	Toyota Motor Credit	8/2/2023	11/8/2023	0.00	50,000,000	49,250,028	49,938,778	49,938,778	49,941,000
Commercial Paper	89233HYN8	Toyota Motor Credit	9/5/2023	11/22/2023	0.00	50,000,000	49,415,000	49,835,000	49,835,000	49,837,000
Commercial Paper	59515NYW7	Microsoft	10/11/2023	11/30/2023	0.00	35,000,000	34,739,444	34,843,667	34,843,667	34,844,600
Commercial Paper	62479MZ63	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/11/2023	12/6/2023	0.00	35,000,000	34,703,278	34,809,250	34,809,250	34,813,100
Commercial Paper	62479MZN6	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/27/2023	12/22/2023	0.00	50,000,000	49,576,111	49,606,389	49,606,389	49,613,500
Commercial Paper	59515MAV7	Microsoft	10/27/2023	1/29/2024	0.00	50,000,000	49,301,528	49,331,250	49,331,250	49,329,000
Commercial Paper	62479LBC8	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/27/2023	2/12/2024	0.00	20,000,000	19,661,600	19,674,133	19,674,133	19,675,400
Commercial Paper	62479LBP9	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/26/2023	2/23/2024	0.00	50,000,000	49,058,333	49,097,569	49,097,569	49,104,000
Commercial Paper	62479LCR4	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	9/21/2023	3/25/2024	0.00	60,000,000	58,239,200	58,617,867	58,617,867	58,639,200
Commercial Paper	89233GE36	Toyota Motor Credit	8/8/2023	5/3/2024	0.00	60,000,000	57,489,333	58,273,333	58,273,333	58,312,200
Commercial Paper	89233GE69	Toyota Motor Credit	8/15/2023	5/6/2024	0.00	50,000,000	47,938,889	48,537,778	48,537,778	48,570,500
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/26/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,046,042	48,085,278	48,085,278	48,091,500
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	Toyota Motor Credit	10/23/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,036,500	48,098,833	48,098,833	48,149,000
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.00</b>	<b>\$ 660,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 644,443,008</b>	<b>\$ 648,703,458</b>	<b>\$ 648,703,458</b>	<b>\$ 648,875,500</b>
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.24	\$ 12,798,719	\$ 12,798,719	\$ 12,798,719	\$ 12,798,719	12,798,719
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.28	739,335,397	739,335,397	739,335,397	739,335,397	739,335,397
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fi	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.26	12,074,187	12,074,187	12,074,187	12,074,187	12,074,187
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Manageme	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.23	15,091,552	15,091,552	15,091,552	15,091,552	15,091,552
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MM	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.27	634,320,868	634,320,868	634,320,868	634,320,868	634,320,868
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity F	10/31/2023	11/1/2023	5.25	16,238,263	16,238,263	16,238,263	16,238,263	16,238,263
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>5.28</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>	<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>

## Investment Inventory Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Supranationals	45906M3B5	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	3/23/2022	6/14/2024	1.98	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 97,634,000	
Supranationals	4581X0EE4	Inter-American Development Bank	7/1/2022	7/1/2024	3.25	80,000,000	79,992,000	79,997,330	78,757,600	
Supranationals	459056HV2	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	11/2/2021	8/28/2024	1.50	50,000,000	50,984,250	50,288,586	48,373,500	
Supranationals	4581X0DZ8	Inter-American Development Bank	11/4/2021	9/23/2024	0.50	50,000,000	49,595,500	49,874,121	47,790,000	
Supranationals	45950VQG4	International Finance Corp	10/22/2021	9/23/2024	0.44	10,000,000	9,918,700	9,975,008	9,532,600	
Supranationals	4581X0CM8	Inter-American Development Bank	4/26/2021	1/15/2025	2.13	100,000,000	105,676,000	101,844,700	96,117,000	
Supranationals	459058JB0	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	7/23/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	40,000,000	40,086,000	40,033,860	37,350,000	
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	Inter-American Development Bank	11/1/2021	7/15/2025	0.63	28,900,000	28,519,098	28,724,481	26,729,899	
Supranationals	45950VRU2	International Finance Corp	1/26/2023	1/26/2026	4.02	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	97,252,000	
Supranationals	45818WDG8	Inter-American Development Bank	8/25/2021	2/27/2026	0.82	19,500,000	19,556,907	19,529,369	17,568,135	
Supranationals	45906M4C2	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	5.75	32,000,000	32,000,000	32,000,000	31,792,960	
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>2.35</b>	<b>\$ 610,400,000</b>	<b>\$ 616,328,455</b>	<b>\$ 612,267,455</b>	<b>\$ 588,897,694</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>						<b>2.98</b>	<b>\$ 15,222,911,985</b>	<b>\$ 15,207,264,129</b>	<b>\$ 15,203,118,305</b>	<b>\$ 14,734,410,504</b>

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

For month ended October 31, 2023

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	912797FJ1	B 0.000 11/09/2023	\$ 10,000,000		45,381	\$	45,381
U.S. Treasuries	912797FL6	B 0.000 11/24/2023	10,000,000		45,613		45,613
U.S. Treasuries	912797HC4	B 0.000 10/24/2023			166,750		166,750
U.S. Treasuries	9128285Z9	T 2.500 01/31/2024	50,000,000	105,299	(91,712)		13,587
U.S. Treasuries	912828B66	T 2.750 02/15/2024	50,000,000	115,829	(11,481)		104,347
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	T 2.250 11/15/2024	50,000,000	94,769	(72,728)		22,041
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	T 2.250 11/15/2024	50,000,000	94,769	(74,467)		20,302
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	68,444	(38,871)		29,573
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	68,444	(34,036)		34,409
U.S. Treasuries	912828WE6	T 2.750 11/15/2023	50,000,000	115,829	(42,540)		73,289
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	T 2.125 05/15/2025	50,000,000	89,504	(65,387)		24,117
U.S. Treasuries	912828XT2	T 2.000 05/31/2024	50,000,000	84,699	(66,202)		18,498
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	T 1.750 07/31/2024	50,000,000	73,709	(56,226)		17,484
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	T 1.500 10/31/2024	50,000,000	63,202	(41,798)		21,403
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	T 1.750 12/31/2024	50,000,000	73,709	(49,765)		23,945
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	T 1.375 01/31/2025	50,000,000	57,914	(33,489)		24,426
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	T 1.375 01/31/2025	50,000,000	57,914	(33,700)		24,214
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	T 1.125 02/28/2025	50,000,000	47,905	(21,690)		26,216
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	T 1.125 02/28/2025	50,000,000	47,905	(21,636)		26,269
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	50,000,000	21,175	4,732		25,906
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	50,000,000	21,175	3,443		24,618
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	T 0.375 04/30/2025	50,000,000	15,800	8,266		24,066
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	16,915		27,445
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	18,849		29,379
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	14,756		25,286
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	16,772		27,302
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	15,378		25,908
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	14,750		25,280
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	10,877		21,407
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	12,926		23,456
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,530	32,670		43,200
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,530	11,519		22,049
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,530	13,566		24,096
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,587	17,234		27,822
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,587	14,592		25,179
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,534	12,719		23,252
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,534	16,771		27,305
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,534	17,325		27,858
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	T 0.125 12/15/2023	50,000,000	5,294	7,198		12,492
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	T 0.125 12/15/2023	50,000,000	5,294	25,173		30,467
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBA8	T 0.125 12/15/2023	50,000,000	5,294	23,638		28,932
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	50,000,000	15,795	9,544		25,339
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	50,000,000	15,795	12,767		28,561
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBR1	T 0.250 03/15/2024	50,000,000	10,646	54,230		64,875
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	31,601	5,928		37,529
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	31,601	4,739		36,340
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCC3	T 0.250 05/15/2024	50,000,000	10,530	8,319		18,849
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	1,162		38,016

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	(1,203)		35,652
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	(5,941)		30,914
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	(5,639)		31,216
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	(7,040)		29,815
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	(4,172)		32,682
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	1,114		37,968
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	7,322		44,177
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,855	18,408		55,263
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,795	56		15,851
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,795	1,131		16,926
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,795	91,224		107,019
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	T 0.375 08/15/2024	50,000,000	15,795	2,899		18,694
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	T 0.750 08/31/2026	50,000,000	31,937	9,496		41,433
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	37,056	5,295		42,351
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	37,056	5,595		42,651
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	37,056	11,694		48,750
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	52,937	(1,229)		51,708
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	52,937	(1,997)		50,940
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	52,937	53,063		106,000
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	T 1.250 12/31/2026	50,000,000	52,649	51,594		104,243
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	T 0.875 01/31/2024	50,000,000	36,855	26,719		63,574
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDV0	T 0.875 01/31/2024	50,000,000	36,855	65,501		102,355
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	T 2.500 03/31/2027	25,000,000	52,937	4,125		57,062
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	T 3.500 09/15/2025	50,000,000	149,038	29,766		178,805
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 3,445,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,643,570</b>	<b>\$ 286,555</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,930,125</b>
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	\$ 25,500,000	\$ 61,094	\$ (2,131)	\$	58,962
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	50,000,000	119,792	(8,321)		111,471
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	17,980,000	43,077	(2,610)		40,467
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	15,955,000	38,226	(2,186)		36,040
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FHLB 2.250 12/08/2023	30,000,000	56,250	(38,452)		17,798
Federal Agencies	3130A3VC5	FHLB 2.250 12/08/2023	10,000,000	18,750	(12,817)		5,933
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	FHLB 1.750 09/12/2025	10,295,000	15,014	(6,163)		8,850
Federal Agencies	3130AFW94	FHLB 2.500 02/13/2024	39,010,000	81,271	(61,729)		19,542
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025	17,680,000	10,313	(1,169)		9,145
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FHLB 2.125 02/28/2024	25,000,000	44,271	1,253		45,524
Federal Agencies	3130ARHG9	FHLB 2.125 02/28/2024	11,000,000	19,479	551		20,031
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	12,700,000	35,719	(3,146)		32,573
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	11,940,000	33,581	(1,787)		31,794
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	12,375,000	36,094	(3,083)		33,011
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	10,000,000	29,167	(2,453)		26,713
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	21,725,000	63,365	(5,058)		58,307
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	28,000,000	72,917	4,271		77,188
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	28,210,000	73,464	4,253		77,716
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	15,000,000	37,500	1,234		38,734
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	17,500,000	43,750	1,440		45,190
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	10,000,000	25,000	823		25,823
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	10,000,000	36,458	(2,627)		33,831
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	9,915,000	36,148	(2,461)		33,688
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	25,500,000	92,969	(5,046)		87,922
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	3,000,000	10,938	(497)		10,440
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	10,000,000	36,458	(1,461)		34,998
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	24,000,000	87,500	(3,249)		84,251
Federal Agencies	3130ATT31	FHLB 4.500 10/03/2024	50,000,000	187,500	6,160		193,660
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024	10,000,000	39,583	(861)		38,723
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024	20,000,000	79,167	(52)		79,115
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024	30,000,000	118,750	(117)		118,633
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024	30,000,000	118,750	1,468		120,218
Federal Agencies	3130ATUQ8	FHLB 4.750 03/08/2024	25,000,000	98,958	1,224		100,182
Federal Agencies	3130ATVD6	FHLB 4.875 09/13/2024	50,000,000	203,125	(2,856)		200,269
Federal Agencies	3130AU4V3	FHLB 4.800 01/08/2024	11,000,000	44,000	86		44,086
Federal Agencies	3130AU4V3	FHLB 4.800 01/08/2024	25,000,000	100,000	979		100,979
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	FHLB 4.010 02/06/2026	21,100,000	70,509	3,250		73,759
Federal Agencies	3130AUVZ4	FHLB 4.500 02/13/2025	50,000,000	187,500	3,329		190,829
Federal Agencies	3130AUYG3	FHLB 5.100 02/16/2024	25,000,000	106,250	297		106,547
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025	25,000,000	104,167	1,405		105,572

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025	35,000,000	145,833	1,967		147,801
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	17,045,000	53,266	1,470		54,735
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	20,000,000	62,500	1,680		64,180
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	15,000,000	50,000	2,819		52,819
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	10,000,000	33,333	1,840		35,173
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	25,000,000	96,354	887		97,241
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	15,000,000	57,813	532		58,345
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	52,000,000	200,417	1,845		202,262
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	10,000,000	38,542	355		38,897
Federal Agencies	3130AWFH8	FHLB 5.510 07/12/2024	50,000,000	229,583			229,583
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	48,150,000	205,641	(4,138)		201,503
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	10,800,000	46,125	(811)		45,314
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026	50,000,000	197,917	4,180		202,096
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	FHLB 4.875 09/11/2026	11,895,000	20,940	966		21,906
Federal Agencies	313384MQ9	FHDN 0.000 10/06/2023			20,417		20,417
Federal Agencies	313384MQ9	FHDN 0.000 10/06/2023			24,500		24,500
Federal Agencies	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023			80,000		80,000
Federal Agencies	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023			53,333		53,333
Federal Agencies	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023			34,167		34,167
Federal Agencies	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023			34,167		34,167
Federal Agencies	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023			90,514		90,514
Federal Agencies	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023			139,942		139,942
Federal Agencies	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023			112,532		112,532
Federal Agencies	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023			150,435		150,435
Federal Agencies	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023			3,646		3,646
Federal Agencies	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023			3,646		3,646
Federal Agencies	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023			3,646		3,646
Federal Agencies	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023			3,646		3,646
Federal Agencies	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023			7,319		7,319
Federal Agencies	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023			7,319		7,319
Federal Agencies	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023			7,319		7,319
Federal Agencies	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023			7,319		7,319
Federal Agencies	313384NX3	FHDN 0.000 11/06/2023	50,000,000		221,090		221,090
Federal Agencies	313384PG8	FHDN 0.000 11/15/2023	17,500,000		79,717		79,717
Federal Agencies	313384PM5	FHDN 0.000 11/20/2023	10,000,000		45,510		45,510
Federal Agencies	313384ST7	FHDN 0.000 02/06/2024	10,650,000		44,020		44,020
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		3,642		3,642
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		3,642		3,642
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		3,642		3,642
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FFCB 1.625 12/03/2024	25,000,000	33,854	679		34,533
Federal Agencies	3133ELNE0	FFCB 1.430 02/14/2024	20,495,000	24,423	(9,891)		14,533
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025	24,000,000	24,200	614		24,814
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025	16,000,000	16,133	159		16,293
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	25,000,000	8,958	714		9,673
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	50,000,000	17,917	1,428		19,345
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	50,000,000	17,917	1,428		19,345
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FFCB 0.250 02/26/2024	5,000,000	1,042	51		1,093
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FFCB 0.250 02/26/2024	5,000,000	1,042	51		1,093
Federal Agencies	3133EMRZ7	FFCB 0.250 02/26/2024	100,000,000	20,833	1,019		21,853



# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024	50,000,000	12,500	1,711		14,211
Federal Agencies	3133EMTW2	FFCB 0.300 03/18/2024	50,000,000	12,500	1,713		14,213
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	FFCB 0.450 07/23/2024	50,000,000	18,750	(2,636)		16,114
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	FFCB 0.600 04/21/2025	50,000,000	25,000	562		25,562
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	39,000,000	11,375	(312)		11,063
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	29,424,000	8,582	(236)		8,346
Federal Agencies	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	16,545,000	4,826	(132)		4,693
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	FFCB 0.690 04/06/2026	15,500,000	8,913	763		9,675
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	21,000,000	72,188	245		72,433
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	5,000,000	17,188	65		17,253
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	4,650,000	15,984	61		16,045
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	25,000,000	85,938	326		86,263
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	394		53,519
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	346		53,471
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	372		53,497
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	25,000,000	88,542	1,930		90,471
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	10,000,000	35,417	725		36,142
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	25,000,000	88,542	1,930		90,471
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	15,000,000	50,000	1,281		51,281
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	25,000,000	83,333	2,157		85,490
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	20,000,000	66,667	1,708		68,375
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	30,000,000	100,000	645		100,645
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	20,000,000	66,667	498		67,164
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	55,000,000	48,125	1,634		49,759
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	39,675,000	34,716	1,120		35,835
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	50,000,000	36,458	1,626		38,085
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	10,000,000	7,292	325		7,617
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	10,000,000	7,292	325		7,617
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	FFCB 0.500 12/01/2023	25,000,000	10,417	1,544		11,960
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	FFCB 0.500 12/01/2023	75,000,000	31,250	4,631		35,881
Federal Agencies	3133ENGF1	FFCB 0.500 12/01/2023	25,000,000	10,417	1,544		11,960
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	50,000,000	38,333	424		38,758
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	50,000,000	38,333	1,047		39,380
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	45,000,000	43,875	974		44,849
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	50,000,000	48,750	1,082		49,832
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FFCB 0.680 12/20/2023	62,000,000	35,133	1,253		36,387
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FFCB 0.680 12/20/2023	25,000,000	14,167	527		14,693
Federal Agencies	3133ENHR4	FFCB 0.680 12/20/2023	25,000,000	14,167	510		14,676
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	FFCB 3.320 02/25/2026	35,000,000	96,833	1,026		97,859
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ84	FFCB 3.375 08/26/2024	50,000,000	140,625	3,541		144,166
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	20,000,000	18,750	1,279		20,029
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	25,000,000	23,438	1,598		25,036
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	25,000,000	23,438	1,598		25,036
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FFCB 0.900 01/18/2024	50,000,000	37,500	12,946		50,446
Federal Agencies	3133ENLF5	FFCB 0.900 01/18/2024	11,856,000	8,892	5,296		14,188
Federal Agencies	3133ENP79	FFCB 4.250 09/26/2024	50,000,000	177,083	170		177,253
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	FFCB 1.680 03/10/2027	48,573,000	68,002	19,434		87,436
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	24,500,000	53,083	2,089		55,172
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	22,500,000	48,750	1,829		50,579

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	25,000,000	54,167	3,329		57,496
Federal Agencies	3133ENU00	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	20,000,000	44,000	823		44,823
Federal Agencies	3133ENU00	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	30,000,000	66,000	1,235		67,235
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FFCB 2.625 05/16/2024	45,000,000	98,438	2,576		101,014
Federal Agencies	3133ENWP1	FFCB 2.625 05/16/2024	50,000,000	109,375	2,863		112,238
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025	6,000,000	14,250	238		14,488
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025	20,000,000	47,500	792		48,292
Federal Agencies	3133ENYH7	FFCB 2.625 06/10/2024	100,000,000	218,750	5,471		224,221
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025	50,000,000	122,917	693		123,610
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	50,000,000	135,417	1,272		136,689
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	25,000,000	67,708	1,251		68,959
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	25,000,000	67,708	1,240		68,949
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	20,000,000	81,250	47		81,297
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	10,000,000	40,625	23		40,648
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	20,000,000	81,250	16		81,266
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ94	FFCB 4.500 11/18/2024	25,000,000	93,750	1,124		94,874
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027	27,865,000	75,236	(3,993)		71,242
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	25,000,000	64,583	530		65,113
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	50,000,000	129,167	1,145		130,312
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	25,000,000	64,583	573		65,156
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025	29,875,000	105,807	6,740		112,547
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025	10,000,000	35,417	2,239		37,656
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	10,000,000	40,625	244		40,869
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	25,000,000	101,563	567		102,129
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	20,000,000	81,250	453		81,703
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	2,319		184,611
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	1,315		92,461
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	28,000,000	102,083	1,299		103,382
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	FFCB 4.125 08/23/2027	10,000,000	34,375	491		34,866
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	FFCB 4.850 10/01/2025	50,000,000	202,083			202,083
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	20,000,000	75,000	1,784		76,784
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	25,000,000	93,750	2,315		96,065
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026	30,000,000	90,625	2,909		93,534
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026	25,000,000	75,521	2,201		77,722
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	26,500,000	88,333	609		88,942
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	30,000,000	100,000	689		100,689
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	25,000,000	83,333	678		84,011
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	30,000,000	106,250	1,375		107,625
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	20,000,000	70,833	871		71,705
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	24,700,000	87,479	1,691		89,170
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	FFCB 4.125 06/15/2027	28,940,000	99,481	596		100,077
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	750		183,041
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	375		91,521
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	375		91,521
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	FFCB 4.250 08/07/2028	19,500,000	69,063	1,489		70,551
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	FFCB 4.500 08/14/2026	50,000,000	187,500	3,253		190,753
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	10,000,000	37,500	355		37,855
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	25,000,000	93,750	959		94,709
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	15,000,000	56,250	631		56,881

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	33,000,000	123,750	1,613		125,363
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	19,000,000	75,208	456		75,664
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	10,000,000	39,583	249		39,832
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	21,000,000	83,125	516		83,641
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	8,230,000	34,292	251		34,543
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	15,000,000	62,500	770		63,270
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	20,000,000	83,333	1,026		84,360
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	50,000,000	78,299	460		78,758
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	25,000,000	39,149	238		39,387
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	35,000,000	54,809	454		55,263
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	24,000,000	37,583	1,257		38,840
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	30,000,000	44,688	1,816		46,504
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	14,000,000	20,854	1,041		21,895
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	25,000,000	3,472	126		3,598
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	3,000,000	417	16		433
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	9,615,000	1,335	52		1,387
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	16,000,000	2,222	86		2,308
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	FHLMC 5.940 06/29/2027	50,000,000	247,500			247,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	FHLMC 5.940 06/29/2027	25,000,000	123,750			123,750
Federal Agencies	3134GYUV2	FHLMC 5.940 06/29/2027	25,000,000	123,750			123,750
Federal Agencies	3134GYYG1	FHLMC 6.000 08/16/2027	25,000,000	125,000			125,000
Federal Agencies	3134GYYG1	FHLMC 6.000 08/16/2027	25,000,000	125,000			125,000
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	50,000,000	26,042	(2,426)		23,616
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	37,938,000	19,759	14,359		34,119
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	50,000,000	26,042	19,039		45,081
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	10,000,000	4,167	5,068		9,235
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	4,655,000	1,940	2,369		4,309
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	72,500,000	22,656	12,045		34,701
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	25,000,000	7,813	5,987		13,799
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	FNMA 1.625 01/07/2025	39,060,000	52,894	(35,924)		16,969
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	50,000,000	221,667			221,667
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	25,000,000	110,833			110,833
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	25,000,000	110,833			110,833
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	15,000,000	18,750	196		18,946
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	65		6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	65		6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	65		6,315
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	50,000,000	62,500	654		63,154
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	53,532,000	66,915	(42,685)		24,230
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	FHLMC 0.375 09/23/2025	22,600,000	7,063	5,676		12,738
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 6,722,653,000</b>	<b>\$ 15,037,947</b>	<b>\$ 1,195,950</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 16,233,897</b>

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Public Time Deposits	PPG24NBE1	BKSANF 5.540 01/08/2024	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 47,706			\$ 47,706
Public Time Deposits	PPG250Y96	BRIDGE 5.490 01/16/2024	10,000,000	46,627			46,627
Public Time Deposits	PPG42YDZ6	BRIDGE 5.370 12/18/2023	10,000,000	45,608			45,608
Public Time Deposits	PPG62B630	BKSANF 5.460 12/04/2023	10,000,000	47,017			47,017
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 40,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 186,958</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 186,958</b>
Negotiable CDs	06367CXA9	BMOCHG 4.970 10/06/2023		\$ 34,514			\$ 34,514
Negotiable CDs	06367D3V5	BMOCHG 5.240 01/12/2024	70,000,000	315,856			315,856
Negotiable CDs	06367D4E2	BMOCHG 5.420 10/24/2023		346,278			346,278
Negotiable CDs	06367DAU9	BMOCHG 5.870 06/21/2024	100,000,000	505,472			505,472
Negotiable CDs	06367DAX3	BMOCHG 6.000 07/01/2024	100,000,000	516,667			516,667
Negotiable CDs	06367DBJ3	BMOCHG 5.890 06/07/2024	50,000,000	253,597			253,597
Negotiable CDs	06367DBR5	BMOCHG 5.930 07/01/2024	50,000,000	255,319			255,319
Negotiable CDs	06367DBW4	BMOCHG 5.970 07/29/2024	50,000,000	257,042			257,042
Negotiable CDs	06367DCF0	BMOCHI 6.010 08/14/2024	50,000,000	258,764			258,764
Negotiable CDs	06367DD44	BMOCHG 5.970 09/23/2024	50,000,000	257,042			257,042
Negotiable CDs	06367DDS1	BMOCHG 5.880 08/09/2024	50,000,000	179,667			179,667
Negotiable CDs	06417MN84	BNSHOU 5.500 11/21/2023	50,000,000	236,806			236,806
Negotiable CDs	06417MT47	BNSHOU 5.430 02/09/2024	50,000,000	233,792			233,792
Negotiable CDs	13606KC38	CIBCNY 5.940 09/09/2024	50,000,000	255,750			255,750
Negotiable CDs	13606KD78	CIBCNY 5.920 08/12/2024	50,000,000	254,889			254,889
Negotiable CDs	13606KF92	CIBCNY 5.880 08/16/2024	50,000,000	179,667			179,667
Negotiable CDs	13606KRZ1	CIBCNY 5.320 11/06/2023	50,000,000	229,056			229,056
Negotiable CDs	13606KZN9	CIBCNY 5.920 07/29/2024	60,000,000	305,867			305,867
Negotiable CDs	13606KZR0	CIBCNY 5.890 07/01/2024	50,000,000	253,597			253,597
Negotiable CDs	65603AMM0	NORNY 5.650 01/23/2024	55,000,000	267,590			267,590
Negotiable CDs	65603APG0	NORNY 5.830 04/23/2024	50,000,000	56,681			56,681
Negotiable CDs	78015J5K9	RY 5.900 09/09/2024	60,000,000	304,833			304,833
Negotiable CDs	78015J7F8	RY 5.930 08/12/2024	60,000,000	306,383			306,383
Negotiable CDs	78015JAK3	RY 5.960 09/23/2024	60,000,000	307,933			307,933
Negotiable CDs	78015JE37	RY 5.860 08/15/2024	50,000,000	8,139			8,139
Negotiable CDs	78015JE78	RY 5.860 08/26/2024	50,000,000	8,139			8,139
Negotiable CDs	78015JMJ3	RY 5.460 10/23/2023		166,833			166,833
Negotiable CDs	78015JPE1	RY 5.370 12/18/2023	50,000,000	231,208			231,208
Negotiable CDs	78015JRE9	RY 5.430 12/29/2023	100,000,000	467,583			467,583
Negotiable CDs	78015JXW2	RY 5.890 06/28/2024	50,000,000	253,597			253,597
Negotiable CDs	89115BC73	TDNY 5.570 10/23/2023		170,194			170,194
Negotiable CDs	89115BH52	TDNY 5.930 10/21/2024	50,000,000	49,417			49,417
Negotiable CDs	89115BJX9	TDNY 5.510 11/20/2023	50,000,000	237,236			237,236
Negotiable CDs	89115BNG1	TDNY 5.850 06/05/2024	50,000,000	251,875			251,875
Negotiable CDs	89115BPB0	TDNY 5.430 01/03/2024	50,000,000	233,792			233,792
Negotiable CDs	89115BPF1	TDNY 5.430 01/05/2024	50,000,000	233,792			233,792
Negotiable CDs	89115BQB9	TDNY 5.240 01/17/2024	50,000,000	225,611			225,611
Negotiable CDs	89115BRG7	TDNY 6.050 07/01/2024	50,000,000	260,486			260,486
Negotiable CDs	89115BS84	TDNY 5.910 07/01/2024	50,000,000	254,458			254,458
Negotiable CDs	89115BSQ4	TDNY 5.930 07/01/2024	50,000,000	255,319			255,319
Negotiable CDs	89115BST8	TDNY 5.210 01/29/2024	100,000,000	448,639			448,639
Negotiable CDs	89115BV80	TDNY 5.900 07/03/2024	50,000,000	254,028			254,028

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Negotiable CDs	89115BWK2	TDNY 5.580 02/22/2024	50,000,000	240,250			240,250
Negotiable CDs	89115BXF2	TDNY 5.600 03/06/2024	50,000,000	241,111			241,111
Negotiable CDs	89115BY79	TDNY 5.750 01/29/2024	50,000,000	247,569			247,569
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 2,315,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 11,112,338</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 11,112,338</b>
Commercial Paper	59515MAV7	MSFT 0.000 01/29/2024	\$ 50,000,000		\$ 37,153		\$ 37,153
Commercial Paper	59515NYW7	MSFT 0.000 11/30/2023	35,000,000		109,433		109,433
Commercial Paper	62479LBC8	MUFGBK 0.000 02/12/2024	20,000,000		15,667		15,667
Commercial Paper	62479LBP9	MUFGBK 0.000 02/23/2024	50,000,000		47,083		47,083
Commercial Paper	62479LCR4	MUFGBK 0.000 03/25/2024	60,000,000		293,467		293,467
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFGBK 0.000 07/01/2024	50,000,000		47,083		47,083
Commercial Paper	62479MZ63	MUFGBK 0.000 12/06/2023	35,000,000		111,271		111,271
Commercial Paper	62479MZN6	MUFGBK 0.000 12/22/2023	50,000,000		37,847		37,847
Commercial Paper	89233GE36	TOYCC 0.000 05/03/2024	60,000,000		289,333		289,333
Commercial Paper	89233GE69	TOYCC 0.000 05/06/2024	50,000,000		241,111		241,111
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024	50,000,000		70,125		70,125
Commercial Paper	89233HY65	TOYCC 0.000 11/06/2023	50,000,000		235,944		235,944
Commercial Paper	89233HY81	TOYCC 0.000 11/08/2023	50,000,000		237,236		237,236
Commercial Paper	89233HYN8	TOYCC 0.000 11/22/2023	50,000,000		232,500		232,500
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 660,000,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,005,254</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,005,254</b>
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	\$ 15,091,552	\$ 66,636			\$ 66,636
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fund	12,074,187	53,605			53,605
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	12,798,719	56,869			56,869
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	739,335,397	3,293,314			3,293,314
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	16,238,263	1,786,811			1,786,811
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	634,320,868	2,441,414			2,441,414
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 1,429,858,985</b>	<b>\$ 7,698,650</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 7,698,650</b>
Supranationals	45818WDG8	IADB 0.820 02/27/2026	\$ 19,500,000	\$ 13,325	\$ (1,071)		\$ 12,254
Supranationals	4581X0CC0	IADB 3.000 10/04/2023		6,439	(4,932)		1,507
Supranationals	4581X0CM8	IADB 2.125 01/15/2025	100,000,000	177,083	(129,379)		47,704
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	IADB 0.625 07/15/2025	28,900,000	15,052	8,734		23,786
Supranationals	4581X0DZ8	IADB 0.500 09/23/2024	50,000,000	20,833	11,897		32,730
Supranationals	4581X0EE4	IADB 3.250 07/01/2024	80,000,000	216,667	339		217,006
Supranationals	459056HV2	IBRD 1.500 08/28/2024	50,000,000	62,500	(29,623)		32,877
Supranationals	459058JB0	IBRD 0.626 04/22/2025	40,000,000	20,867	(1,947)		18,919
Supranationals	45906M3B5	IBRD 1.980 06/14/2024	100,000,000	165,000			165,000
Supranationals	45906M4C2	IBRD 5.750 06/15/2026	32,000,000	153,333			153,333
Supranationals	45950VQG4	IFC 0.440 09/23/2024	10,000,000	3,667	2,362		6,029
Supranationals	45950VRU2	IFC 4.023 01/26/2026	100,000,000	335,250			335,250
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 610,400,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,190,016</b>	<b>\$ (143,621)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,046,395</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>			<b>\$15,222,911,985</b>	<b>\$ 37,869,479</b>	<b>\$ 3,344,138</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 41,213,617</b>

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

For month ended October 31, 2023

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
57753	Buy	06367DDS1	BMOCHG 5.880 08/09/2024	\$ 100.00	10/10/23	10/10/23	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	-	\$ 50,000,000
57754	Buy	13606KF92	CIBCNY 5.880 08/16/2024	100.00	10/10/23	10/10/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57755	Buy	62479MZ63	MUFGBK 0.000 12/06/2023	99.15	10/11/23	10/11/23	35,000,000	34,703,278	-	34,703,278
57756	Buy	59515NYW7	MSFT 0.000 11/30/2023	99.26	10/11/23	10/11/23	35,000,000	34,739,444	-	34,739,444
57760	Buy	3130AXCP1	FHLB 4.875 09/11/2026	99.39	10/18/23	10/18/23	11,895,000	11,821,965	37,048	11,859,013
57757	Buy	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	99.94	10/20/23	10/20/23	50,000,000	49,972,000	-	49,972,000
57758	Buy	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	99.94	10/20/23	10/20/23	25,000,000	24,985,500	-	24,985,500
57759	Buy	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	99.92	10/20/23	10/20/23	35,000,000	34,972,350	-	34,972,350
57761	Buy	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	99.45	10/20/23	10/20/23	30,000,000	29,834,100	-	29,834,100
57762	Buy	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	99.32	10/20/23	10/20/23	14,000,000	13,904,940	-	13,904,940
57763	Buy	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	99.68	10/20/23	10/20/23	24,000,000	23,923,440	-	23,923,440
57764	Buy	89233GG18	TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024	96.07	10/23/23	10/23/23	50,000,000	48,036,500	-	48,036,500
57765	Buy	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	99.99	10/24/23	10/24/23	25,000,000	24,996,354	-	24,996,354
57766	Buy	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	99.99	10/24/23	10/24/23	25,000,000	24,996,354	-	24,996,354
57767	Buy	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	99.99	10/24/23	10/24/23	25,000,000	24,996,354	-	24,996,354
57768	Buy	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	99.99	10/24/23	10/24/23	25,000,000	24,996,354	-	24,996,354
57769	Buy	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023	99.99	10/25/23	10/25/23	50,000,000	49,992,681	-	49,992,681
57770	Buy	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023	99.99	10/25/23	10/25/23	50,000,000	49,992,681	-	49,992,681
57771	Buy	65603APG0	NORNY 5.830 04/23/2024	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57776	Buy	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023	99.99	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	49,992,681	-	49,992,681
57777	Buy	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023	99.99	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	49,992,681	-	49,992,681
57778	Buy	62479LBP9	MUFGBK 0.000 02/23/2024	98.12	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	49,058,333	-	49,058,333
57779	Buy	62479LG17	MUFGBK 0.000 07/01/2024	96.09	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	48,046,042	-	48,046,042
57780	Buy	89115BH52	TDNY 5.930 10/21/2024	100.00	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57781	Buy	59515MAV7	MSFT 0.000 01/29/2024	98.60	10/27/23	10/27/23	50,000,000	49,301,528	-	49,301,528
57782	Buy	62479LBC8	MUFGBK 0.000 02/12/2024	98.31	10/27/23	10/27/23	20,000,000	19,661,600	-	19,661,600
57783	Buy	62479MZN6	MUFGBK 0.000 12/22/2023	99.15	10/27/23	10/27/23	50,000,000	49,576,111	-	49,576,111
57772	Buy	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	99.75	10/30/23	10/30/23	25,000,000	24,936,750	-	24,936,750
57773	Buy	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	99.73	10/30/23	10/30/23	3,000,000	2,991,930	-	2,991,930
57774	Buy	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	99.73	10/30/23	10/30/23	9,615,000	9,589,136	-	9,589,136
57775	Buy	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	99.73	10/30/23	10/30/23	16,000,000	15,956,960	-	15,956,960
57784	Buy	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	96.45	10/31/23	10/31/23	25,000,000	24,111,264	-	24,111,264
57785	Buy	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	96.45	10/31/23	10/31/23	25,000,000	24,111,264	-	24,111,264
57786	Buy	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	96.45	10/31/23	10/31/23	25,000,000	24,111,264	-	24,111,264
57787	Buy	78015JE37	RY 5.860 08/15/2024	100.00	10/31/23	10/31/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57788	Buy	78015JE78	RY 5.860 08/26/2024	100.00	10/31/23	10/31/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 99.19</b>			<b>\$ 1,258,510,000</b>	<b>\$ 37,048</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,248,338,885</b>

## Investment Transactions Pooled Fund

<u>Accounting ID</u>	<u>Transaction Type</u>	<u>Cusip</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Settlement Date</u>	<u>Posted Date</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Accrued Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
47254	Maturity	4581X0CC0	IADB 3.000 10/04/2023	\$ 100.00	10/4/23	10/4/23	\$ 25,756,000.00	\$ 25,756,000.00	-	\$ 25,756,000.00
47479	Maturity	06367C YA9	BMOCHG 4.970 10/06/2023	100.00	10/6/23	10/6/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57637	Maturity	313384MQ9	FHDN 0.000 10/06/2023	100.00	10/6/23	10/6/23	30,000,000	30,000,000	-	30,000,000
57640	Maturity	313384MQ9	FHDN 0.000 10/06/2023	100.00	10/6/23	10/6/23	36,000,000	36,000,000	-	36,000,000
57626	Maturity	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023	100.00	10/11/23	10/11/23	60,000,000	60,000,000	-	60,000,000
57627	Maturity	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023	100.00	10/11/23	10/11/23	40,000,000	40,000,000	-	40,000,000
57648	Maturity	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023	100.00	10/11/23	10/11/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57649	Maturity	313384MV8	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2023	100.00	10/11/23	10/11/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57634	Maturity	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023	100.00	10/20/23	10/20/23	35,000,000	35,000,000	-	35,000,000
57635	Maturity	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023	100.00	10/20/23	10/20/23	54,113,000	54,113,000	-	54,113,000
57674	Maturity	313384NE5	FHDN 0.000 10/20/2023	100.00	10/20/23	10/20/23	40,613,000	40,613,000	-	40,613,000
57508	Maturity	78015JM J3	RY 5.460 10/23/2023	100.00	10/23/23	10/23/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
47498	Maturity	89115BC73	TDNY 5.570 10/23/2023	100.00	10/23/23	10/23/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57598	Maturity	06367D4E2	BMOCHG 5.420 10/24/2023	100.00	10/24/23	10/24/23	100,000,000	100,000,000	-	100,000,000
57691	Maturity	912797HC4	B 0.000 10/24/2023	100.00	10/24/23	10/24/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57690	Maturity	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	43,944,000	43,944,000	-	43,944,000
57765	Maturity	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57766	Maturity	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57767	Maturity	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57768	Maturity	313384NK1	FHDN 0.000 10/25/2023	100.00	10/25/23	10/25/23	25,000,000	25,000,000	-	25,000,000
57769	Maturity	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023	100.00	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57770	Maturity	313384NL9	FHDN 0.000 10/26/2023	100.00	10/26/23	10/26/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57776	Maturity	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023	100.00	10/27/23	10/27/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
57777	Maturity	313384NM7	FHDN 0.000 10/27/2023	100.00	10/27/23	10/27/23	50,000,000	50,000,000	-	50,000,000
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 100.00</b>			<b>\$ 1,015,426,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>1,015,426,000</b>

# Interest Received

## Pooled Fund

For month ended October 31, 2023

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
57606	Interest Income	3133EPDL6	FFCB 4.850 10/01/2025	10/2/2023	\$ 1,212,500	\$	1,212,500
57611	Interest Income	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	10/2/2023	671,875		671,875
57612	Interest Income	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	10/2/2023	671,875		671,875
57613	Interest Income	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	10/2/2023	671,875		671,875
57614	Interest Income	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	10/2/2023	671,875		671,875
47014	Interest Income	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	10/2/2023	125,000		125,000
47017	Interest Income	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	10/2/2023	125,000		125,000
47043	Interest Income	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	10/2/2023	62,500		62,500
47105	Interest Income	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	10/2/2023	62,500		62,500
47172	Interest Income	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	10/2/2023	218,750		218,750
47173	Interest Income	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	10/2/2023	218,750		218,750
47176	Interest Income	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	10/2/2023	218,750		218,750
47342	Interest Income	91282CEF4	T 2.500 03/31/2027	10/2/2023	312,500		312,500
57608	Interest Income	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	10/3/2023	1,330,000		1,330,000
57609	Interest Income	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	10/3/2023	665,000		665,000
57610	Interest Income	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	10/3/2023	665,000		665,000
47496	Interest Income	3130ATT31	FHLB 4.500 10/03/2024	10/3/2023	1,125,000		1,125,000
47254	Interest Income	4581X0CC0	IADB 3.000 10/04/2023	10/4/2023	386,340		386,340
47339	Interest Income	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	10/5/2023	318,500		318,500
47340	Interest Income	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	10/5/2023	292,500		292,500
47341	Interest Income	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	10/5/2023	325,000		325,000
47122	Interest Income	3133EMZ21	FFCB 0.690 04/06/2026	10/6/2023	53,475		53,475
47479	Interest Income	06367CYA9	BMOCHG 4.970 10/06/2023	10/6/2023	2,519,514		2,519,514
47337	Interest Income	3133ENUD0	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	10/10/2023	264,000		264,000
47338	Interest Income	3133ENUD0	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	10/10/2023	396,000		396,000
47018	Interest Income	3133EMWT5	FFCB 0.600 04/21/2025	10/23/2023	150,000		150,000
47027	Interest Income	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	10/23/2023	68,250		68,250
47028	Interest Income	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	10/23/2023	51,492		51,492
47029	Interest Income	3133EMWV0	FFCB 0.350 04/22/2024	10/23/2023	28,954		28,954
47092	Interest Income	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	10/23/2023	156,250		156,250
47100	Interest Income	459058JB0	IBRD 0.626 04/22/2025	10/23/2023	125,200		125,200
47240	Interest Income	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	10/23/2023	118,556		118,556
47242	Interest Income	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	10/23/2023	156,250		156,250
47498	Interest Income	89115BC73	TDNY 5.570 10/23/2023	10/23/2023	2,746,319		2,746,319
57508	Interest Income	78015JMJ3	RY 5.460 10/23/2023	10/23/2023	2,585,917		2,585,917
57598	Interest Income	06367D4E2	BMOCHG 5.420 10/24/2023	10/24/2023	3,568,167		3,568,167
57630	Interest Income	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	10/30/2023	450,000		450,000
57631	Interest Income	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	10/30/2023	562,500		562,500
46939	Interest Income	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	10/31/2023	62,500		62,500
46946	Interest Income	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	10/31/2023	62,500		62,500
46953	Interest Income	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	10/31/2023	62,500		62,500
47012	Interest Income	912828YM6	T 1.500 10/31/2024	10/31/2023	375,000		375,000
47052	Interest Income	912828ZL7	T 0.375 04/30/2025	10/31/2023	93,750		93,750
47068	Interest Income	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	10/31/2023	187,500		187,500
47076	Interest Income	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	10/31/2023	187,500		187,500
<b>Activity Total</b>					<b>\$ 25,383,184</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>25,383,184</b>



# Money Market Fund Activity

## Pooled Fund

For month ended October 31, 2023

Ticker	Description	Activity Date	Transaction Type	Transaction Amount
TSTXX	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	10/2/23	Interest Received	\$ 67,262
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 67,262</b>
FRGXX	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	10/31/23	Interest Received	\$ 3,293,314
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 3,293,314</b>
GOFXX	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fund	10/31/23	Interest Received	\$ 53,605
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 53,605</b>
DGCXX	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	10/31/23	Interest Received	\$ 66,636
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 66,636</b>
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/3/23	Deposit	\$ 10,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/12/23	Deposit	13,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/13/23	Deposit	12,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/16/23	Deposit	65,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/17/23	Deposit	30,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/24/23	Deposit	150,000,000
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/31/23	Withdrawal	(85,000,000)
OPGXX	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	10/31/23	Interest Received	2,441,414
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ 197,441,414</b>
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/2/23	Withdrawal	\$ (110,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/4/23	Withdrawal	(12,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/6/23	Withdrawal	(10,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/10/23	Withdrawal	(105,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/11/23	Withdrawal	(5,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/18/23	Withdrawal	(20,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/18/23	Withdrawal	(15,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/19/23	Withdrawal	(10,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/20/23	Withdrawal	(10,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/23/23	Withdrawal	(32,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/25/23	Withdrawal	(40,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/26/23	Withdrawal	(106,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/27/23	Withdrawal	(120,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/30/23	Withdrawal	(10,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/31/23	Withdrawal	(70,000,000)
IMPXX	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	10/31/23	Interest Received	1,786,811
<b>Activity Total</b>				<b>\$ (673,213,189)</b>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Notice of Electronic Document Transmittal: Draft EIR for the Islais Creek Bridge Project  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 9:57:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Notice of Electronic Transmittal to the BOS Islais Creek Bridge Nov292023.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Islais Creek Bridge, submitted by the Planning Department pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 8.12.5.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** White, Elizabeth (CPC) <[elizabeth.white@sfgov.org](mailto:elizabeth.white@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 4:59 PM  
**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Fordham, Chelsea (CPC) <[chelsea.fordham@sfgov.org](mailto:chelsea.fordham@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Notice of Electronic Document Transmittal: Draft EIR for the Islais Creek Bridge Project

Hello,

Please see the attached notice of electronic document transmittal and enclosed link to download the documents associated with the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Islais Creek Bridge Project.

Thank you!

**Elizabeth White, Senior Environmental Planner**  
**Environmental Planning Division**  
San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7557 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



## NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMITTAL

**DATE:** November 29, 2023  
**TO:** Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** Elizabeth White, EIR Coordinator, 628.652.7557  
or [CPC.IslaisCreekBridgeProject@sfgov.org](mailto:CPC.IslaisCreekBridgeProject@sfgov.org)  
**RE:** Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Islais Creek Bridge

In compliance with San Francisco's Administrative Code Section 8.12.5 "Electronic Distribution of Multi-Page Documents," the Planning Department is submitting a link to the Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Islais Creek Bridge Project document in digital format to the Clerk of the Board for distribution to the members of the board of supervisors. The Planning Commission will hold a hearing on the San Francisco Gateway Project's Draft EIR on January 4, 2024.

For questions regarding the environmental review for this project, please contact Elizabeth White at the above contact information.

Link: [Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Islais Creek Bridge Project](#)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 2 Approved Requests to Waive 12B Requirements  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:32:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2 Approved Requests to Waive 12B Requirements.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for 2 approved requests to waive 12B requirements.

**Requester:** Sherri Li  
**Department:** CON  
**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)  
**Supplier ID:** 0000053297  
**Requested total cost:** \$7,603.75  
**Short Description:** Youth Physical Materials

**Requester:** Sherri Li  
**Department:** CON  
**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)  
**Supplier ID:** 0000021333  
**Requested total cost:** \$387.06  
**Short Description:** Diaper Pail and Refills

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** CMD12B0003128 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert)  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:39:09 AM  
**Attachments:** [image](#)

---



## Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003128 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

### Summary of Request

**Requester:** Sherri Li

**Department:** CON

**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

**Supplier ID:** 0000021333

**Requested total cost:** \$387.06

**Short Description:** Diaper Pail and Refills

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact [cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org](mailto:cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org)

Thank you.

Ref:TIS4672589\_UhDf353sOt5hNRFdaWKY

**From:** [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** CMD12B0003119 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert)  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 9:19:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [image](#)

---



## Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003119 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

### Summary of Request

**Requester:** Sherri Li

**Department:** CON

**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

**Supplier ID:** 0000053297

**Requested total cost:** \$7,603.75

**Short Description:** Youth Physical Materials

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact [cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org](mailto:cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org)

Thank you.

Ref:TIS4664458\_vk6QXxLZDIZMEvy88yDG

# Item 6

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 20 Letters regarding File No. 230446  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:35:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [20 Letters regarding File No. 230446.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 20 letters regarding File No. 230446, which is Item No. 32 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

**File No. 230446** - Planning and Subdivision Codes, Zoning Map - Housing Production  
(Mayor, Engardio, Dorsey)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Meg Fitzgerald](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Rezoning - West Side of Emerson Street  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:12:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [Emerson Street San Francisco.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Regarding the city rezoning, Item 32 on the agenda for November 28, 2023, I am writing to request that the Board of Supervisors consider removing the west side of Emerson Street from the rezoning proposal. The west side of Emerson Street is currently zoned residential with a 40-foot height limit (for ease of reference see pictures attached of the west side of Emerson Street).

Rezoning up to 240 feet is not supported by the stated policies that rezoning to heights such as 240-300 feet should be in established neighborhood commercial areas. The west side of Emerson is a residential street currently zoned for 40 feet. Wood Street, which abuts the west side of Emerson, is also residential and zoned for 40 feet. None of the neighbors were notified by this, we learned about this from a neighbor who lives several blocks away. We are very confused about what is being proposed as there has been no interaction with anyone at the City and we don't know why our quiet residential street would be targeted for rezoning from 40 feet to 240 feet. We are hoping that this is a mistake or a misprint in the printed map provided by SF Planning as we cannot find any reference of this in the supporting materials.

If this was not a mistake in the printing of the map, then I request that the Board of Supervisors please reconsider and not rezone this quiet 40-foot residential street to 240 feet.

Thank you for considering our comments.

Meg Fitzgerald














**From:** [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Christopher Roach](#)  
**Cc:** [Kevin Riley; Board of Supervisors \(BOS\); Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\); Low, Jen \(BOS\); Preston, Dean \(BOS\); Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\); Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\); Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: AIA SF letter in support of Constraints Removal ordinance - BOS File No. 230446  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:23:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[AIASF Housing for All ordinance Support with members 11.27.pdf](#)

---

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am adding your commentary to the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 230446](#)

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Christopher Roach <chris@studiovara.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 6:24 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Kevin Riley <kriley82@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** AIA SF letter in support of Constraints Removal ordinance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please find attached the letter of support for the Constraints Removal ordinance (BOS file #230446), along with signatures from the architecture community, respectfully submitted for the Land Use and Transportation Committee hearing today.

Best regards,

+

Christopher A. Roach AIA IIDA LEED *(he/him/his)*

**Principal**

**Studio VARA**

3130 20Th St. Suite 190  
San Francisco, CA 94110

[studiovara.com](http://studiovara.com)

T. 415 826-1367

M. 415 609-1264



Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

July 12, 2023

Re: Project Name: Constraints Reduction (AKA Housing Production)  
Case Number: 2023-003676PCAMAP  
Board File No. 230446  
By Mayor Breed

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

AIA San Francisco Public Policy and Advocacy Committee are writing to express our support for the proposed 'Housing for All' ordinance.

We commend the efforts made under the 2022 Housing Element Update, which focuses on racial and social equity, to address San Francisco's housing challenges. With a state-mandated goal of constructing 82,000 housing units within the next eight years, this plan aims to provide diverse housing options that strengthen our communities and improve overall affordability and diversity.

The 'Housing for All' ordinance aligns with several policies outlined in the Housing Element. It specifically targets the removal of obstacles that hinder housing construction, especially based on subjective criteria. The proposed changes include process improvements, development standards modifications, and expanded housing development incentives throughout the city. Implementing these changes will offer diverse housing options for all residents of San Francisco, thereby expanding affordability and opportunity.

**Process Improvements:**

The ordinance introduces several changes to eliminate costly and time-consuming requirements that impede housing construction and increase costs. We can save valuable time and resources by exempting code-compliant projects from certain processes like Conditional Use permits, the 311 process, and public hearings for projects outside the Priority Equity Geographies SUD. Additionally, allowing "as of right" development for heights and large lot projects, streamlining the approval of State Density Bonus Projects, enabling senior housing development wherever housing is permitted, and providing administrative approval for reasonable accommodations will further facilitate housing construction.

**Development Standards:**

The proposed ordinance brings about standardization and changes in development standards to foster creativity and high-quality housing. Consolidating rear yard requirements, reducing front setbacks, and adjusting minimum lot widths and areas will allow for greater flexibility in designing housing that meets the higher densities mandated by the Housing Element. Other changes, such as allowing open space in specific locations and reevaluating street-facing ground floor uses, will contribute to a more inclusive and vibrant urban environment.



**Expand Affordable Housing Incentives:**

The ordinance includes code changes that simplify the process of building affordable housing. Expanding fee waivers for all 100% affordable projects, broadening the eligibility for Home SF, and removing restrictions on eligibility requirements will increase the availability of affordable units to individuals with modest incomes. These measures will help address San Francisco's pressing need for affordable housing options.

We can expand housing options for all San Francisco residents by passing the 'Housing for All' ordinance. The correlation between supply and demand is undeniable, and the lack of adequate housing significantly contributes to the city's high cost of living. Private market-driven housing construction, with limited public subsidies, is the foundation of housing in San Francisco, the state, and the entire country. Streamlining the process and allowing developers to increase density will reduce construction costs per unit, ultimately benefiting renters and homeowners.

Higher density in our neighborhoods will promote stronger communities as it increases the number of individuals actively observing and engaging with their surroundings. Moreover, a denser population in our neighborhood commercial districts will create opportunities for residents to successfully launch and operate small retail businesses, surpassing the impact of mandated ground-floor retail spaces.

Expanding the inventory of housing options in San Francisco will foster greater neighborhood diversity, provide better housing opportunities for vulnerable populations, and contribute to a thriving city culture where everyone can flourish.

We urge the Land Use Committee and the Board of Supervisors to approve the "Housing for All" ordinance. Together, we can create a more inclusive and affordable housing landscape for all residents of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

AIA San Francisco Public Policy and Advocacy Committee

**AIA Members**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>
Christopher A. Roach	Studio VARA	AIASF PPAC Chair
John Maniscalco	John Maniscalco Architecture	AIASF PPAC
Susanna Douglas	Susanna Douglas Architecture	AIASF Small Firms Co-Chair
Felicia Nitu	CityStructure	AIASF
John Long, AIA	Perkins&Will	AIASF
Gerry Tierney	Perkins&Will	AIAEB
Beth Morris	BMA	AIASF

Robert Jackson	Perkins&Will	USFCA
Mark Davis	Mark Davis Design	AIASF
Mark Kelly	BAR Architects & Interiors	AIASF COTE Co-Chair
Ellen Lou	Skidmore, Owings & Merrill	AIASF
James Hill	James Hill Architect	AIASF
Lena Zhang	Z Studio Architects	AIASF
Ariane Fehrenkamp	Perkins&Will	AIASF
Nish Kothari	HKS Architects	AIASF
Heather Chicoine	Chicoine Studio	AIASF
Christian Dauer	ChrDAUER Architects	
Un Hui Chang	HKS Architects	AIASF
Bruce Albert	The Albert Group	AIASF
David Marlatt	DNM Architecture	AIASF
Dawn Ma	Q-Architecture	AIASF
Theo Revlock	Q-Architecture	AIASF
Patricia Centeno	BAR Architects & Interiors	AIASF
Joel David	Jackson Liles Architecture	
Julie Jackson	Jackson Liles Architecture	AIASF Board of Directors, PPAC
Brian Liles	Jackson Liles Architecture	AIASF
Jon Peterson	Design Conspiracy A+D	
Robo Gerson	Siol	AIASF
Gregg Novicoff	LMS Architects	AIASF Board of Directors, PPAC
Michael S. Bernard	Virtual Practice	Former Board member, AIACC & AIASF
Shawn Fritz	Shawn Fritz Architect	AIASF
Joel M. Smith	Noel Cross + Architects	AIASF
Killian O'Sullivan	O'Sullivan Architecture	AIASF
Howard Blecher	Blecher Building + Urban Design   BBUD	AIASF
Melissa Thorn	Thorn Architects	
Scott McGlashan	McGlashan Architecture	
Eliza Hart	Hart Wright Architects	
Ines Lejarraga	Lejarraga Studio	AIASF Small Firms Chair
Joshua Aidlin	Aidlin Darling Design	AIASF
Kathleen Bost	KBA+D	AIASF

Karin Payson	Karin Payson architecture + design	Former Board member AIASF + AIACC
Mark English	Mark English architects	Former AIASF board member
Brian Nee	Perkins&Will	AIASF
Cary Bernstein	Cary Bernstein Architect	AIASF / AIA CA
Peter Liang	Blue Truck Studio	AIASF
Chandra Baerg	OCBA	AIASF
Anne Fougeron	Fougeron Architecture	AIASF
Irving A Gonzales	G7A   Gonzales Architects	AIASF, 2015 Board Chapter President; NOMA
Eric Hartz	Gast Architects	AIASF
Matt Williams	Gast Architects	AIASF Assoc
Michelle Kriebel	Lundberg Design	
Nana Koami	Koami Architecture	AIA East Bay
Pam Goode	Van Meter Williams Pollack	
Cameron Cooper	Lundberg Design	
Dennis Budd	Gast Architects	AIASF
J. Hulett Jones	jones   haydu	AIASF
Paul Haydu	jones   haydu	AIASF
Geoffrey S Gainer	Actual-Size Architecture	
Jim Zack	Zack/de Vito Architecutre + Construction	
David Gast	Gast Architects	AIA SF
Javier Medina	Mark Davis Design	
Vivian Dwyer	Dwyer Design	AAIASF
Sarah Willmer	Studio Sarah Willmer Architecture	AIA SF
Paul Adamson	as design	AIA SF
Maura Fernandez Abernethy	Studio VARA	AIA SF Assoc
Rachel Malchow	Rachel Malchow architect Inc	AIA SF
Ryan Knock	Knock Architecture and Design	AIA SF
Larry Paul	L. A. Paul & Associates	AIA SF
Caroline Nassif	Studio Ovo	AIA SF, NOMA
Jackie Detamore	building Lab	AIA SF
A. Bryan Fox	Five Design	AIA SF
E.B. Min	Min Design	AIA SF

Ernest Theurer	Min Design	
Phil Rossington	Rossington Architecture	
Laura Boutelle	Boutelle Architecture	AIA EB
Tristan Warren	Tristan Warren Architect	AIA
Kayla Bien	Min Design	
John Klopf	Klopf Architecture	AIASF
Dan Spiegel	Spiegel Aihar Workshop, Inc	
Megumi Aihara	Spiegel Aihar Workshop, Inc	
Karen Curtiss	Red Dot Studio	AIA SF
Jim Westover	William Duff Architects, Inc	AIA SF
David Plotkin	William Duff Architects, Inc	AIA SF
Ross Levy	Levy Art & Architecture	AIA SF, past chair PPAC
Neal J.Z. Schwartz, FAIA	S^A   Schwartz and Architecture	AIA SF, Founding Chair PPAC
Heidi Liebes	Liebes Architects	
Sophie Bae		

**From:** [Kathy Howard](#)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [info@engardio.com](mailto:info@engardio.com); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** LUTC - Agenda item #5 - Housing Ordinance File #230446 - Please vote against this  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 3:34:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [CEQA Fact Sheet-2.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote against this ordinance and start again.

This ordinance will eliminate environmental and community review protections. In addition, it will encourage demolitions and allow more luxury development, employing new building materials, a further burden on the environment.

As Senator Wiener and the YIMBY's unjustly attack CEQA, we lose and the environment loses.

Please review the attached Sierra Club information sheets on CEQA and the Sierra Club article on the importance of upholding environmental protection.

*“CEQA is one of the state’s most powerful laws to achieve sustainable and resilient communities. It provides a public process that can address environmental injustice, public health hazards, and greenhouse gas emissions. It’s not infallible, and like many laws, it can be employed in ways its drafters never considered. But legislators shouldn’t heed the call of corporate developers, gut or reform CEQA to assuage their concerns, and throw the baby out with the bathwater. . .”*

<https://www.sierraclub.org/california/letter-sacramento-let-s-talk-about-ceqa>


Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
*Katherine Howard*  
Outer Sunset



# The California Environmental Quality Act

Protects our environment. Keeps Californians healthy. Promotes transparency.



The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), passed in 1970 and signed into law by then-Governor Ronald Reagan, is one of the foundational environmental laws in California.

CEQA requires that the environmental impacts of significant projects—from skyscrapers to freeways to sports stadiums—have been publicly disclosed, analyzed and, where feasible, mitigated.

It facilitates compliance with other environmental laws and regulations, and makes sure that responsible parties clean up their pollution.

## CEQA BENEFITS

CEQA has a range of benefits for all Californians. It:

- **Sets up an orderly, manageable track that project proponents and residents can follow as projects are developed.** It helps remove surprise and unpredictability from the construction permitting process.
- **Helps California protect public health and reach its ambitious environmental goals.** The CEQA process has been used to help cut climate pollution, reduce air and water pollution and protect open space, wildlife habitats and farmlands.
- **Ensures that environmental justice and equity are part of the development decision-making process.**



- **It's about transparency.** CEQA gives all Californians the opportunity to know what is planned in their communities and then weigh in to help reduce health and environmental impacts.
- **Holds government agencies and developers accountable.** CEQA ensures that public agencies and private proponents comply with air and water standards.
- **Minimizes court challenges to projects.** CEQA allows concerns to be addressed early in the development process. As a result, numerous studies have routinely shown that CEQA litigation occurs for only about 1% of all projects that must comply with the law.
- **Supports California's economic growth.** Studies have documented that since its enactment in 1970, CEQA has not prevented California from building and thriving.
- **Reflects a changing California.** CEQA is a living document and has been amended continuously since its enactment to make the review process function efficiently.

## **CEQA is working to protect California's environment and communities.**

### **Sierra Club California**

909 12th Street, Suite 202, Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 557-1100 • Fax (916) 557-9669 • [www.sierraclubcalifornia.org](http://www.sierraclubcalifornia.org)

**From:** [Bronwen Lemmon](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 11:19:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a tax paying voter of San Francisco I join all my fellow neighbors in saying that it's time to **stop**.

Stop wasting time negotiating amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance. It is a broken ordinance and need overhauling from the ground up. We want all of our SF supervisors to get behind and support a GUT & REPLACE. Only then will a housing ordinance being to TRULY represent what it's meant to do, namely

- 1) to provide 100% truly affordable housing for families who really do make less than \$80,000 per year. Add it stands the housing is for mostly people who earn \$230K, which is for the low income. There is plenty of SF housing for the upper income brackets already.
- 2) to stay true to your voting public and civil rights by protect all current San Francisco laws which ensure environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.
- 3) it's time to do to things well for everyone, not just the few.

Bronwen Lemmon  
L & B Psychotherapy  
CA 94122



**From:** [herbert weiner](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [aishasafai@sfgov.org](#); [hillaryronen@sfgov.org](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Connie Chan](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Joel Engardio](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** GUT&REPLACE: Engardio-Breed-Dorsey"Housing" Ordinance File 230446  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 7:35:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The proposed housing ordinance by Supervisors Engardio and Dorsey and Mayor London Breed is destructive to the city's economy, environment and culture.

It would sacrifice environmental protections, so necessary to the city's ecology and health. The protections were instituted for a purpose, i.e., protecting the health of the environment.

Radioactive waste and other pollutants will menace tenants and the environment. It is disgraceful that the Mayor and members of the Board endorse this proposed ordinance in light of this.

In addition, affordable housing applies to those making incomes in excess of six digits, placing housing, so necessary to prevent homelessness, out of affordability for so many.

Demolition protections are also endangered.

Whatever ordinance is approved, it should not permit those who demolish housing building new affordable housing which is a double benefit. New contractors, who did not demolish housing, should be in charge of new housing.

The increase in "affordable" housing units should not drive already high rents in this city higher. High priced housing and rents from these new structures will encourage higher prices in already existing homes and apartments, forcing tenants to move out of the city and state. Homelessness will also increase.

Another casualty will be the culture of the city which has greatly suffered from corporate hustlers and the gig economy. The city used to welcome artists, poets and liberal, free spirited individuals. Now, it welcomes those

who wish to make a pile of cash and leave the city in ruin. That is the present state of the city where vultures, disguised as humans, rob businesses with abandon, wrecking the economy and placing shoppers in danger. The neighborhoods, the heart and soul of San Francisco, are being eclipsed by this new culture of greed and selfishness.

We need decent, safe housing, free of environment threats. This proposed ordinance will have a destructive impact.

This proposed measure should be squelched at the meeting of the Land Use Committee.

Sadly, I cannot use remote commentary which places a burden on me, due to my residence in assisted living.

Please put this proposed ordinance in the waste basket of proposed measures.

Many residents of the city will be grateful for this service.

Herbert J. Weiner  
Native San Francisco  
District 11

**From:** [Aaron Goodman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [gac@sfmta.com](mailto:gac@sfmta.com)  
**Subject:** Trackless trains / sunset Blvd ? Geneva harney ? Presidio?  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 12:45:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Why more housing without a transit solution. Don't allow density without an adequate and shovel ready transit plan.

If you don't have the money for transit and subway or tram systems outside the downtown you do not density.

Cart in front of the horse or behind the horse...

Simple solution may be simpler than u think by linking from presidio and marina green to sunset and around to Caltrains Schlage lock get it done and u can go on your planning density. Ignore it and we are all stuck in traffic.

Ag D11

[https://url.avanan.click/v2/?\\_\\_https://fb.watch/ozjRFOqTFj?mibextid=cr9u03...YXAoOnNmZHOzOmE6bzpmOTkxYzI2YmQlZTNmYTlwZTY0ZmNlN2NkZiQzYmY1NzozOmRlYjM6ZiQwMzlkYTl0NWFjZjg3ZDVjMzZlYmUxZDQwYjM2NDRlMjFhZjlmMzA4M2M0MTc0MDEwNGUwMGVjOTRjMjRkNTpwOjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/?__https://fb.watch/ozjRFOqTFj?mibextid=cr9u03...YXAoOnNmZHOzOmE6bzpmOTkxYzI2YmQlZTNmYTlwZTY0ZmNlN2NkZiQzYmY1NzozOmRlYjM6ZiQwMzlkYTl0NWFjZjg3ZDVjMzZlYmUxZDQwYjM2NDRlMjFhZjlmMzA4M2M0MTc0MDEwNGUwMGVjOTRjMjRkNTpwOjQ)

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Steve Ward](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#); [Tom/glassman Andre](#); [Thomas Soper AIA](#); [Greg Gotelli](#); [Asima Arif](#); [nlfederico@msn.com](mailto:nlfederico@msn.com); [Mari Eliza](#); [Michael Nohr](#)  
**Subject:** "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 2:05:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Leaders,

Reject Housing' ordinance File #230446 gutting environmental and community protections against bad real estate development in San Francisco.

SF has the most density west of New York. Look how affordable NYC is. Adopting this ordinance amounts to abandoning your duty to protect quality of life and the beauty and character of San Francisco. Instead of capitulating to Sacramento extortion and encouraging corporate real estate dominance, fill 60,000 empty housing units and 81 million square feet of vacant Office Space 'BEFORE' we allow developers and density advocates to undermine environmental values, quality of life, aesthetic continuity and the character of our neighborhoods while making the people who live here voiceless.

There are sensible alternatives,  
Remember the Fontana Building Revolt of the sixties,  
Reject Ordinance 234460 and support " Our Neighbor Voices Initiative" to amend the state constitution. The majority of Californians do.

"Gut & Replace" strategy to completely delete all of the Mayor's text and replace it with a new ordinance that will produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and which will protect all existing public noticing and hearings for real estate projects.  
.org,peskinstaff@sfgov.org,dean.preston@sfgov.org,Kyle.Smeallie@sfgov.org,prestonstaff@sfgov.org  
Sun, Oct 29 at 7:55 PM  
Dear Leaders,

Reject Housing' ordinance File #230446 gutting environmental and community protections against bad real estate development in San Francisco.

Steve Ward  
2nd Gen SFer  
La Playa Village  
Outer Sunset SF

**From:** [Eric Brooks](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** For LAND USE Nov 27: \*SUE\* vs CA Housing Mandates - Gut & Replace Mayor"s "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 21, 2023 7:13:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisors,

Between the Budget and Legislative Analyst's (BLA's) recent housing vacancy report (link below) and clear reports about the \*decline\* of the California and San Francisco populations following the pandemic, it is abundantly obvious to anyone with a junior high school education that bills like SB 423 to force obviously unneeded housing projects down the throats of California municipalities, are flat-out completely out of touch with reality, legally ridiculous, and can likely be effectively challenged in court. See the BLA vacancy report at: [https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/BLA.Residential\\_Vacancies.Update.102022.pdf](https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/BLA.Residential_Vacancies.Update.102022.pdf)

Regardless, there is no reason whatsoever to 'negotiate' with a hostile Mayor (who is completely coopted by real estate investment interests) over amendments to her disastrous 'Housing' ordinance File #230446. If we feel we need to put forward a housing ordinance to placate state officials on a deadline by early next year, then that ordinance needs to be written by San Francisco Supervisors, and community organizers, who are strong on affordable housing and environmental policy (\*not\* written by Mayor Breed and her Big Tech and Big Real Estate donor cronies). **\*\*Sue The State, To Block Housing Mandates\*\*** See the following link to an article which properly highlights the total insanity of the state's forced housing policy, and shows why the Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney need to move immediately, to sue and file for injunctions, to block the state of California's fantasyland housing mandates. "California's population is still shrinking. These Bay Area counties lost people" by Sophia Bollag for the SF Chronicle LINK:

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/california-population-numbers-18000501.php>

**\*\*GUT & REPLACE Mayor's 'Housing' Ordinance\*\*** It is imperative that you STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance that will:

- 1) produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and
- 2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is \*not\* like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The

Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal

agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").

- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators. **Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,

Eric Brooks, Coordinator  
Our City SF, and San Francisco CEQA Defenders  
415-756-8844

<http://ourcitysf.org/campaigns/DefendingAffordableHousing.html>

**From:** [Dave Rhody](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 21, 2023 12:42:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors:

Please stop trying to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance. It needs to be gutted and replaced with whole new house bill one that:

- 1) Produces 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- 2) Fully protects all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is not like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced



housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!

- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").
- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators. **Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Respectfully,

-Dave Rhody  
1594 45th Ave.  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [Celeste Marty](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 4:57:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

It is imperative that you STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance that will:

- 1) produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and
- 2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is \*not\* like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- 
- 
- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell

housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.

- 
- 
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!
- 
- 
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- 
- 
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- 
- 
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").
- 
- 
- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators.

**Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,

Celeste Marty  
Sunset Resident  
94122

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [David Romano](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 3:45:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Peskin,

Please stop seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully **GUT & REPLACE** the text with a new ordinance that will:

- 1) produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and
- 2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is not like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- 

**A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is an extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.

- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").
- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators. **Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,

David J. Romano  
San Francisco CA 94121

**From:** [Jean Barish](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** GUT & REPLACE Housing Ordinance File #230446 - SF Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee Nov. 27 Agenda Item #5  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 6:04:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to **GUT & REPLACE** the pending Engardio-Breed-Dorsey Housing Ordinance, and draft a new ordinance that will produce the housing that San Francisco desperately needs - 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000. A replacement ordinance must also protect San Francisco's laws ensuring community noticing and Discretionary Review, Demolition Review, Conditional Use Review, and Appeal hearings.

Tweaking this proposed Ordinance will not solve its many problems. You must **GUT & REPLACE** it. The pending Ordinance contains unprecedented and unacceptable waivers of many local environmental, community and demolition reviews, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

There are many reasons this Ordinance should not be approved:

**The Decision-Making Process is Undemocratic.** We need to scrap this Ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year. This Ordinance does not do that, and it must be discarded. Start over. Pay attention to the needs of all San Franciscans, not just the desires of greedy, rapacious developers who cannot wait to create market-rate housing that only the wealthy will be able to afford. Amending this misguided legislation will not remedy its problems. You must GUT & REPLACE it with carefully considered legislation that will meet the true housing needs of the San Franciscans you represent.

**This Ordinance Will Exacerbate, not Help Solve, the Homelessness Crisis.** This Ordinance will drive most rents citywide even higher, pushing more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city or onto our streets, where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.

**This Ordinance Will Exacerbate, not Help Solve, the Unaffordable Housing Crisis.** This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not



affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". There is already a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels.

**There are Already More Than Enough Market-Rate Units.** San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction. We need to make our existing housing space affordable!

**The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").

In addition, this ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

**In Conclusion**, this Ordinance is an unacceptable attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity. Please **GUT & REPLACE** this Ordinance.

Thank you,

*Jean B Barish*  
*D1 Resident*

**From:** [JJ Hollingsworth](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 7:11:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

It is imperative that you STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance that will:

- 1) produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and
- 2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is *\*not\** like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- 
- 
- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just

rent controlled housing.

- 
- 
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!
- 
- 
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- 
- 
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
  
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").
- 
- 
- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators.

**Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,

JJ Hollingsworth  
Sunset District Resident

**From:** [Catherine Magee](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Item #32 for Nov 28th  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 11:09:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to request that the Board of Supervisors consider a couple items for Item #32:

1) removing the west side of Emerson Street from the rezoning proposal. The west side of Emerson Street is currently zoned residential with a 40-foot height limit. Rezoning up to 240 feet is not supported by the stated policies that rezoning to heights such as 240-300 feet should be in established neighborhood commercial areas. The west side of Emerson is a residential street currently zoned for 40 feet. Wood Street, which abuts the west side of Emerson, is also residential and zoned for 40 feet. Also, we are very confused about what is being proposed as there has been no interaction with anyone at the City and we don't know why our quiet residential street would be targeted for rezoning from 40 feet to 240 feet. We are hoping that this is a mistake or a misprint in the printed map provided by SF Planning. If this was not a mistake in the printing of the map, then I request that the Board of Supervisors please reconsider and not rezone our quiet 40-foot residential street to 240 feet.

2) changing the zoning height for Masonic - the bus yard. This is a one - two block transit corridor that is primarily surrounded by residential buildings with upper heights of only 40 feet. Putting in 24-30 story highrises will not only take away sunlight for these neighbors in the western edition and laurel heights, but it will take away the character of the neighborhood and have a monolith tower forever dividing the neighborhood. Housing is needed but not at these obscene heights here -- only developers will reap the benefits through outrageous profits, while the long-established small homeowners and residents are left behind having their dwellings get swallowed up in the shadows of greed.

Please don't neglect and ignore the character of the neighborhoods, the need for sunlight and the beauty of our city with 24 foot highrises belonging downtown, not among residential neighborhoods with 40-foot heights.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Catherine

**From:** [Arlene](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Item 32- Board of Supervisors Hearing- November 28, 2023- West Side of Emerson Street  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 9:19:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am requesting that the Board of Supervisors remove the west side of Emerson Street from the rezoning proposal. The west side of Emerson Street is a quiet residential street currently zoned for a 40 foot height limit. But now, I learn that the City intends to rezone this street from the reasonable 40 foot height limit to a towering 240 foot height limit. As a neighbor living directly behind Emerson Street, I would like to ask for what reason was such a proposal made and why as neighbors were we not consulted or asked for our opinions. I ask that the Board of Supervisors please reconsider and not rezone this street from the 40 foot height limit to a 240 foot height limit.

Arlene Filippi  
42 Wood Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [Judy Yamamoto](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Rezoning of Emerson Street  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 8:13:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am submitting my comment because I am shocked to know there is a hearing to consider rezoning Emerson Street scheduled for tomorrow. Property owners who are directly involved with this plan has not made any attempt to communicate this with us.

Our street is a dead-end street with only 10 or so single family homes. Traffic is already a major problem with ingress and egress onto Geary Street.

I am upset and disillusioned of the proposed change.

Judy Yamamoto  
43 Emerson Street  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [Mary OConnor](#)  
**To:** [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Subject: Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 5:56:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

It is imperative that you STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance that will:

- 1) produce truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and
- 2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is \*not\* like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

**The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!**

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce **affordable** housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- 
- 
-

**Corporate Housing Takeovers - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.**

- 
- 
- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". **We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!**
- 
- 
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- 
- 
- The Vacant Housing Crisis - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and**



**federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").**

**:**

- . The Climate Crisis - This ordinance is bad for the environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.**

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators.

**Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,

*Mary Ellen O'Connor*

94122

**From:** [Michael Woods](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment from Michael Woods: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 1:16:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Since the 1970s the affordability of my rent controlled apartment near Haight Ashbury is the only thing that has allowed me to remain in the city I love. Unlike so many friends and neighbors who were driven out over the decades due to **gentrification**, I have stubbornly hung on.

I have no regrets, and don't live in the past, but I miss the diversity of my neighborhood when people of modest income could afford to live here before the first tech boom. The community of those times not only provided needed services but also contributed to our world famous cultural, musical and artistic identity.

**PLEASE** scrap the Mayor's massive, destructive ordinance that will further gentrify neighborhoods all over the city and **draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.**

Affordability is the road back to a culturally diverse and thriving community. Please don't hand the keys of the city over to developers who will build vast amounts of market rate housing for the affluent, perpetuating the decline of what makes our city unique and worth calling "home" for people of all income levels.

Thank you,  
Michael Woods

**From:** [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Thomas Soper AIA](#)  
**Cc:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Public Comment; Ordinance File #230446 MON, NOV 27 HEARING: Demand Supervisors "GUT & REPLACE" Mayor"s Attack on Environment & Affordable Housing  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:24:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am adding your commentary to the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 230446](#)

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Thomas Soper AIA <tsarchaia@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 1:47 AM

**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Melgar,

Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Groth, Kelly (BOS) <kelly.groth@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Thongsavat, Adam (BOS) <adam.thongsavat@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Herrera, Ana (BOS) <ana.herrera@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Buckley, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.buckley@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS) <Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Goldberg, Jonathan (BOS) <jonathan.goldberg@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Tam, Madison (BOS) <madison.r.tam@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Barnes, Bill (BOS) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <lila.carrillo@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Public Comment; Ordinance File #230446 MON, NOV 27 HEARING: Demand Supervisors "GUT & REPLACE" Mayor's Attack on Environment & Affordable Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Subject: Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance File #230446**

Dear Supervisors,

As an Architect and Planner who has practiced over 40 years from this City and with this City and one old enough to be knowledgeable of the legislative devastating mistakes of U.S. urban housing history, but has also lived in, practiced, and seen its disastrous consequences across this Country, the Board teeters once again on acting out of desperation rather than providing housing for the right reasons.

To illustrate this is not personal opinion but an essential public debate, the Press has described the issue (Chronicle 11-22-23), making conspicuous City Officials' contradictory comments and double talk which are alarmingly transparent: these are comments by our representatives being offered up as a diversion rather than the source of problem solving for the City's Housing needs.

Supervisor Melgar said of this legislation in the Chronicle, "We will get it passed" and the timing of the legislation would avoid "the "builder's remedy" kicking in, the 50-story tower at the beach, or any other nonsense." Unfortunately, she cannot guarantee both the Tower's dismissal or any other nonsense. But more importantly: is this reference to "Builder's remedy" a scare tactic to the people of San Francisco or rather, an authoritarian, uniformed, non-democratic reactionary response? The Press writes so.

To illustrate additional misleading portions in this Supervisors repose, “Timing” ..and getting housing done “right”..... are two different objectives and shortcuts always produce urban decay while the other alternatives, creates urban equilibrium. Please stop and consider the superficiality of legislating by “Builders remedy” or any other cursory methods. Please travel to Asia and see what that method produces.

Even Planning Director Hillis shrinks from this threatening view that ,“There might be one or more builder’s remedy projects that would come in (?), but the 2700 is the one we know about for certain,” (?) What is that withheld information? This too is misleading speculation but still at odds with what Supervisor Melgar alleges is what the City, not the Constituency, must avoid. The Supervisors need to get some “skin” in this game to understand. And equally important, Hillis’ statement doesn’t recognize the vagaries of how developers make money or what they will shortcut. Thus the need to structure any corporate involvement by thoughtful legislation is necessary, not cut and paste.

The confusion continues in the Board’s remarks: at the Board level, doing the “right thing” for the West Side is largely unknown and purposefully unclucid to both the public and apparently other Supervisors.

Supervisor Engardio argues in the Chronical, “Let’s meet the State deadline and avoid the consequences of builders (remedy) being able to do whatever they want,” He continued, “A Salesforce-sized tower plopped on the sand next to the ocean is wrong for so many reasons.” How about asking what else is wrong about the block by block plan for destructing the Dolger plan of the West side.?

Does this Supervisor think developers will not do damage to the existing fabric of his District, left to poorly written, cut and paste legislation? The truth is there is no Master Plan for his District, only a euphemism called “soft sites”.

With all due respect, this Supervisor’s fascination with Paris, is cursory and not a model. Vienna or even Cambridge, Mass. might be useful as a model but nonetheless requires professionals to guide a new model, not legislators. As a society, we do not allow legislators to do heart surgery. There is no independent third party review (which is normal professional due diligence) to legitimate development claims and then legislate.

Engardio seems to understand when a housing proposal is obscenely absurd but, offering up a little “Domes-City” from a colleague is not how genuinely affordable housing is done within our society’s delivery system.

What Supervisors Melgar and Engardio seem to share is an amorphous need to avoid a threat, (which they know is quite probable): Housing non-sense.

**These Supervisors admit** housing development based on the “builders remedy”, is antithetical somehow. But we already know that the City’s arbitrary process of “soft” or “opportunity sites” is not housing by design. It is design without concern for “unintended consequences” or heeding attention to historical lessons learned..

If this legislation is driven by Sacramento’s punitive plan against the City mismanagement or misunderstanding, (such as what is buried in the flawed RHNA system), then the more important duty of the Board of Supervisors is facilitating housing for the right reasons.

The first step is to recognize it is imperative that the Board represent both property owner and renter welfare in this City and STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-

Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance based on the following core values:

1) **Revise the State's Rhetoric on Affordable housing**- We do not have a Housing crisis as a few brave supervisors admit; we have an unaffordable Housing crisis. Two very different things.

The focus of this legislation needs to shift to assure the delivery (not just checking a box) of livable units for families and individuals making less than \$80,000 per year from "cradle to grave".

The City already has precedents to draw from local success stories: 833 Bryant, 4900 Geary , 1369 43<sup>rd</sup> Ave, Valencia Gardens in the Mission, and 365 Fulton Street projects, all projects that are site specific based, which is a time honored planning principle exclusively performed by licensed professionals (not legislators). This legal structure is unknowingly being circumvented by this legislation.

All of these examples above can be greatly improved upon especially regarding their fiscal procurement method. But such examples as 370 Stanyan and 2550 Irving and others are disgraceful environments to their future occupants. And remember that SB 35, 9 and 10 are cut from the same unprofessional mind-set in Sacramento.

This alternate recommended combination of rational design analysis can produce a new model legislation for the right reasons. This insight will uniquely recognize the needs of homelessness as a separate medical solution as evidenced in the 365 Fulton solution. But contrary to the medical professional's recommendations, they retreat from the City's machinations. But it's more basic: Density with dignity is the mantra to guide legislation. (see item 3 for situational parallel)

2) **The Downtown Core is priority one**- Considering the 35 % vacancy rate of existing office space downtown, the massive loss of tax revenue, with property laying fallow in a once vibrant and destination Downtown. This is a Detroit-like plan for its demise. I lived through and in this tragedy. This is not "dystopian" commentary as Supervisor Engardio has described to cloud the crisis. This City should concentrate on the restoration of the downtown core as New York City is doing right now.

-  
The West side is a diversion from the existential necessity of the downtown core conversion. Its scale and density demands this as urbanists confirm. Temporarily facilities are also feasible and so is Public land. The Planning Department has toyed with these options.

But then why does this legislation invent a need for a range income from \$150 k to 200K? This range is not the priority or the problem. The "missing middle" is something else according to experts. This legislation will only cause more gentrification under the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey fantasy. The private market can manage this range.

3) **The Simultaneity of the Global Warming Crisi , Equity, and the Environmental Threat**- As a LEED AP and a practitioner from as far back as Ian McHarg's admonitions, this is a multiple emergency and should be understood as such. It is a profound and unprecedented existential threat. The "Builder's remedy is a simplistic un professional reaction to the reality and science of Global Warming and Climate change science.

But even more complex, because it is a multiple phenomenon, it has been exacerbated by

Governmental outdated systemic inabilities to deal with it to be sure. The City as well as the State compartmentalizes these problems and thus prevents the necessary collaboration of their solutions. The problem is not exclusively legal and for the City Attorney to solve it is folly. It is multi-dimensional. The present single line City approval process for its resolve, is obsolete.

Unbeknownst to the many regulatory agencies, City and State, they are all compartmentalized. The Global warming Crisis is critically intertwined with the Equity crisis and other social issues. Each agencies define their boundaries too short. Particularly, we know providing housing for income brackets \$80,000 K and downward have inadequate fiscal systems to realize this necessity. There is little incentive for the private sector to participate but equally, because Non-profits mechanisms consistently practice unacceptable compromises for below market rate housing, they too are not the answer. But with proper legislation, there is prospect.

These BMR income groupings should be your focus: they need the same basic health, safety and socio-psychological housing features to their homes as other higher brackets of income. In short, all housing is medical, (this is buried in our codes) and this reality should be renewed for regulatory agencies.

It is understandable that legislators might not fully understand this change if they are not currently licensed to practice the design of housing. One such publicized instance the City and State is presently allowing (but should never be allowed) is building for BMR folks on contaminated land. Another is over densifying like 370 Stanyan and 2550 Irving. HCD used to admonish practitioners to not overconcentrate. But the meaning of the word "Density" has been left to unlicensed opinion. This is what other authoritarian governments do, and for lack of genuine considerations of family health, our success stories offer a way forward.. Vive Valencia Gardens for families.

Furthermore, master planning for housing that supplies the need for \$80 K AI and below, while presently non-existent, must be a balancing act that legislation needs to reflect. Present City approval process cannot accommodate this. Calls for streamlining without understanding the administrative obstacles, miss the point and promote a further downward spiral, as evidenced by continued exponential increase of graft already published regarding specific City departments, much like what happened to Detroit but for different circumstances.

We need legislation that has the ability to adapt intelligently to this multiple crisis and a foundation of human-based processes and design principles. That is why all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings should be re-integrated into this legislation, but also along with a commitment from the City to civic government restructuring in response to existential global and humanitarian changes..

It is helpful to put this exigency in perspective: it is tantamount to what the Federal Government did in 1942 and this is a circumstance for adaptive existential change for this unprecedented new crisis. We also cannot forget to include the societal changes that have been brought on by the pandemic and how this affects housing and City Planning overall. Sacramento's thinking is pre-dated to these lessons from the pandemic and must be convinced to recognize this.

In summary, this ordinance is not like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive. 'Negotiations' would result in unintended consequences like Detroit's experience. It is still

trying to rise from its ashes.

The serious damage this legislation would cause to San Francisco is in your hands. Its neighborhoods need masterplans for community well-being not reckless densification. And the elusive goal of affordable housing are pushed out even farther out by this legislation's proposed provisions.

Thank you,

Thomas Soper

Thomas Soper AIA

Architect

P 1.415.902.9457

F 1.415.566.0465



**From:** [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [RL](#)  
**Cc:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Groth, Kelly \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Thongsavat, Adam \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Herrera, Ana \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Buckley, Jeff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Tam, Madison \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Barnes, Bill \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Carrillo, Lila \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: OPPOSE THIS ORDINANCE: Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing" Ordinance File #230446  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:24:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am adding your commentary to the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 230446](#)

**John Carroll**  
**Assistant Clerk**

Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** RL <redpl@aol.com>

**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 4:26 PM

**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Melgar,

Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Groth, Kelly (BOS) <kelly.groth@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Thongsavat, Adam (BOS) <adam.thongsavat@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Herrera, Ana (BOS) <ana.herrera@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Buckley, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.buckley@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS) <Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Goldberg, Jonathan (BOS) <jonathan.goldberg@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Tam, Madison (BOS) <madison.r.tam@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Barnes, Bill (BOS) <bill.barnes@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <lila.carrillo@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** OPPOSE THIS ORDINANCE: Public Comment: GUT & REPLACE Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance File #230446

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I have written 3-4 prior as my comments & thoughts have not changed!!

Please FORGIVE THE CAPS but felt needed to highlight points. Also, I may be repeating some of those points/comments from previous letters but felt important to repeat and emphasize them.

1) There is NO reason to keep creating ordinances like this or any others. They will DESTROY OUR NEIGHBORHOODS for MANY reasons (e.g.

INFRASTRUCTURE, TRAFFIC, ENVIRONMENT ETC.) as to BUILD ON EVERY CORNER / 4 PLEXES ON EVERY SFR LOT REGARDLESS OF THE HEIGHT BUT ESPECIALLY DO NOT BUILD OVER 2 STORIES

2) The POPULATION HAS & IS STILL DECLINING! There are ALREADY APPROXIMATELY 143,000 units (that will be, are & in the pipeline) AVAILABLE. The MATH is SIMPLE!  $143,000 - 82,000 \text{ RHNA #'S} = 61,000 \text{ LEFT}$ . THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS - AKA CONVERTING EXISTING UNITS/HOUSING!

3) There are NO reasons to BUILD MORE HOUSING when there are PLENTY VACANT UNITS (SFR/OTHER) that can be CONVERTED.

4) RHNA (HCD) has INCORRECTLY OVER-INFLATED THE # OF HOUSING WE NEED IN SF / CALIFORNIA. Support an AUDIT!

5) These type Ordinance will NOT BE FINANCIALLY BENEFICIAL TO THE PERSON SELLING THEIR HOME -

EXAMPLES:

a) Owner(s) will have to pay CAPITAL GAINS - CREATING LESS FINANCIAL POWER/FREEDOM available to Owner(s)

- b) Will NEED most likely to RENT somewhere while unit is being constructed and will DEplete THEIR FUNDS
- c) CREATES STRESS & COSTS OF MOVING OUT & BACK IN INTO A UNIT ONCE BUILT/AVAILABLE, which a UNIT MAY NOT EVEN BE AVAILABLE to the Owner(S) who sold property to build one of these NEW Housing Units/Projects
- d) Owner will have GONE THROUGH MORE FUNDS and have LESS FUNDS AVAILABLE TO THEM.
- e) WILL NOT have an ASSET TO LEAVE TO THEIR HEIRS

6) Here is what is going to happen, some of you may remember GENEVA TOWERS, some of you may have heard about it, but at any rate, this is URBAN RENEWAL 2.0! These will SIT VACANT & BECOME A BLIGHT ON THE COMMUNITY because they WILL NOT SELL. Projects like this are FOLLOWING THE SAME TRAJECTORY!

A current perfect example of this is THE WESTERLY @ 2800 SLOAT / WAWONA. This complex has been completed for 5 years and believe only 1/3 are sold at present (mostly to speculators). It appears a small percentage of these are actually owner occupied . Most seem to be occupied by renters or Airbnb which may NOT be allowed by the Complex By-laws. The REST SIT VACANT! The BUILDING has been FALLING APART ALREADY and they are STRIPPING DOWN THE SIDING THAT WAS

FALLING APART and CONSTRUCTED POORLY.  
This is a BLIGHT on the NEIGHBORHOOD.

7) If this Ordinance passes, it most likely will be MANAGED by a Non-Profit. We ALL know the ISSUES and how BADLY the NON-PROFITS MANAGE ANYTHING IN THIS CITY !

8) We should NOT allow DEVELOPERS, the CITY or the STATE to CREATE BILLS OR ORDINANCES TO BUILD UNDER THE GUISE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING. IT'S ANYTHING BUT AFFORDABLE, IT'S SUBSIDIZED HOUSING TO FUND DEVELOPERS, REAL ESTATE SPECULATORS & RETIREMENT FUNDS.

9) Finally, as much as I adore Paris, we are NOT PARIS! We are SAN FRANCISCO & UNIQUE! Please do NOT DESTROY our SFR NEIGHBORHOODS!

Please READ the LETTER below from The Coalition with ALL OTHER IMPORTANT POINTS.

Thank you.

Renee Lazear

D4 Resident

SON-SF ~ Save Our Neighborhoods SF

---

It is imperative that you STOP seeking to negotiate amendments to the Engardio-Breed-Dorsey 'Housing' Ordinance and instead move to fully GUT & REPLACE the text with a new ordinance that will:

1) produce 100% truly affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year, and

2) fully protect all current San Francisco laws ensuring environmental and community noticing, as well as Discretionary Review, Demolition, Conditional Use, and Appeal hearings.

This ordinance is *\*not\** like the previous, very limited Melgar 'Family Housing' ordinance. The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey ordinance is far more sweeping and destructive.

'Negotiations' would result in serious damage to San Francisco, its neighborhoods, and affordable housing.

The Engardio-Breed-Dorsey "Housing Production Ordinance" contains unprecedented citywide waivers of local environmental, community and demolition review that are absolutely unacceptable, all in the name of producing housing called "affordable" when most of that housing would be for families making over \$230,000 per year!

This ordinance would worsen:

- **A Bad Decision Making Process** - Allowing the Mayor and two Supervisors to ram forward a massive, destructive ordinance that will demolish and gentrify neighborhoods all over the city, while we grasp at straws to try to amend it, is extremely bad process. We need to scrap this ordinance and draft legislation that will produce 100% affordable housing for families making less than \$80,000 per year.
- **Corporate Housing Takeovers** - The five year "look back" provisions in the amendments are useless. Wall Street and other corporate speculators buy, demolish, build and sell housing in five year investment cycles. They will have no problem waiting five years to demolish a neighborhood and gentrify it. We need *ten year* prohibitions on corporate

housing speculation which apply to *all* housing, not just rent controlled housing.

- **The Unaffordable Housing Crisis** - This ordinance promotes building new high priced housing that is not affordable. It is ridiculous that the ordinance calls housing built mostly for families making over \$230,000 dollars per year "affordable". We already have a 50% oversupply of housing for those income levels!
- **The Homelessness Crisis** - The gentrification spurred by this ordinance would push most rents citywide even higher, driving more middle, working and lower class San Franciscans either out of the city, or onto our streets where they will face unacceptable dangers of declining health, street crime, and underemployment.
- **The Vacant Housing Crisis** - San Francisco has at least 60,000 vacant housing units, most of them *far* overpriced. We also have empty office space that can be converted into thousands more apartments. We do not need more housing construction, we need to make our existing housing space affordable!
- **The Environmental Justice & Equity Crisis** - This ordinance would gut environmental and community review protections and would establish "Urban Renewal" style redevelopment zones, setting precedents that would allow corporate real estate giants to even more easily build unhealthy housing on toxic and radioactive waste sites like those in Bayview Hunters Point and on Treasure Island (which local, state and federal agencies have falsely declared "cleaned up").
- **The Climate Crisis** - This ordinance is bad for the

environment. Allowing sweeping demolitions and expansions of existing homes and apartments, to replace them with luxury condo and rental towers, will use massive amounts of new cement and other building materials releasing more greenhouse gases, not less.

This ordinance would build housing for the wealthy, create more homelessness, and is an environmentally destructive giveaway to rapacious Wall Street and corporate real estate speculators. **Please GUT & REPLACE this unacceptable corporate attack on San Francisco's environmental, economic, cultural, and community integrity!**

Thank you,  
Renee Lazear  
D4 Resident  
SON-SF ~ Save Our Neighborhoods SF  
---



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Rezoning of Emerson  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:41:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Leala Jew regarding File No. 230446.

**File No. 230446** - Planning and Subdivision Codes, Zoning Map - Housing Production (Mayor, Engardio, Dorsey)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Leala Jew <jewlala@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 11:36 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Rezoning of Emerson

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To San Francisco Board of Supervisors

I am, too, writing to request that the Board of Supervisors consider removing the west side of Emerson Street from the rezoning proposal. The west side of Emerson Street is currently zoned residential with a 40-foot height limit. Rezoning up to 240 feet is not supported by the stated policies that rezoning to heights such as 240-300 feet should be in established neighborhood commercial areas. The west side of Emerson is a residential street currently zoned for 40 feet. Wood Street, which abuts the west side of Emerson, is also residential and zoned for 40 feet. Also, we are very confused about what is being proposed as there has been no interaction with anyone at the City and we don't know why our quiet residential street would be targeted for rezoning from 40 feet to 240 feet. We are hoping that this is a mistake or a misprint in the printed map provided by SF Planning. If this was not a mistake in the

printing of the map, then I request that the Board of Supervisors please reconsider and not rezone our quiet 40-foot residential street to 240 feet.

Please notify me as well on this rezoning issue.

Leala Jew  
34 Wood Street

# Item 7

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 69 Letters regarding File No. 230985  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:45:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [69 Letters regarding File No. 230985.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 69 letters regarding File No. 230985, which is Item No. 18 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

**File No. 230985** - Charter Amendment - Minimum Police Department Staffing and Five-Year Annual Funding Requirement (Safai)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Masood Samereie](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Janet Tarlov](#); [Mike Zwiefelhofer](#); [Tracey Sylvester](#); [Morgan Mapes](#); [Masood Samereie](#)  
**Subject:** BOS File #230985  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 4:30:36 PM  
**Attachments:** [SFBOS File # 230985 - Supervisor Dorsey Charter Amendment to withdraw support.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached,

With gratitude,

Masood Samereie  
Co-Owner | Aria Properties  
Co-Owner | The Artist's Gallery | ABMS

**President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants  
Associations**  
**Co-Founder, Avenue Greenlight**  
**Board Member, SFPUC Rate Fairness Board**  
**President Emeritus, Castro Merchants**

Mobile | [415.215.6017](tel:415.215.6017)  
Office | [415.552.5555](tel:415.552.5555)  
Email | [Msamereie@yahoo.com](mailto:Msamereie@yahoo.com)  
Email | [Samereie@gmail.com](mailto:Samereie@gmail.com)

Advocating for 94,000 tiny Businesses with 364,000 employees, many of them living and voting in SF (10 or fewer employees).



# San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

SFCDMA

Masood Samereie  
President

Janet Tarlov  
Vice-President

Morgan Mapes  
Secretary

Tracey Sylvester  
Secretary

Mike Zwiefelhofer  
Treasurer

## MEMBER ASSOCIATIONS

- Balboa Village Merchants Association
- Bayview Merchants Association
- Bernal Business & Artist Alliance
- Castro Merchants
- Clement St. Merchants Association
- Divisadero Merchants Association
- Excelsior Outer Mission Merchants
- Fillmore Merchants Association
- Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Assn.
- Glen Park Merchants Association
- Haight Ashbury Merchants Association
- Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association
- Merchant Group
- Ingleside Merchants Association
- Inner Sunset Merchants Association
- Lakeside Village Business Council
- Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors Assn.
- Marina Merchants Association
- Mission Merchants Association
- Noe Valley Merchants Association
- North Beach Business Association
- North East Mission Business Association
- Polk District Merchants Association
- Potrero Dogpatch Merchants Association
- South of Market Business Association
- The Outer Sunset Merchant & Professional Association
- Union Street Association
- Valencia Corridor Merchants Association
- West Portal Merchants Association

November 25, 2023

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On October 20, 2023, the Executive Board of the SFCDMA wrote in support of BOS File #230985, the ballot measure regarding SFPD staffing levels, which was subsequently amended in committee to mandate funding by voter approved taxation. On November 21, 2023, the SFCDMA Board of Directors voted to withdraw our support for this measure.

In our previous letter, we expressed significant concerns about the dangers presented by ongoing short-staffing at SFPD and our support for more aggressive investment in SFPD recruiting and retention efforts. We believe the amendment of the measure to specify funding through an additional tax will effectively halt progress intended in the original measure. Earlier this year, the Bay Area Council Economic Institute released a report finding the tax burden for San Francisco business far surpasses any other municipality in the Bay Area. Small businesses in San Francisco should not have to pay even more for basic public safety.

For 73 years, SFCDMA has sought to ensure that neighborhood commercial corridors flourish. We provide advocacy, education and resources for our members. We appreciate your attention to this matter and all your efforts to help improve the small business climate in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Masood Samereie, President

**From:** [Grazia Monares](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:30:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Grazia Monares, [gmonares67@yahoo.com](mailto:gmonares67@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Monica McFadden](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:27:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Monica McFadden, [monicaocal@hotmail.com](mailto:monicaocal@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of District 8

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Michael Murano](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:27:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Michael Murano, mmurano@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Erica A](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:18:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Erica A, [easanfrancisco2@gmail.com](mailto:easanfrancisco2@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Patrick Mullen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:18:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Patrick Mullen , [patrick.mullen@yahoo.com](mailto:patrick.mullen@yahoo.com)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

Poor decisions and poor policies are the reason for police staffing issues.

---

**From:** [William Fitzgerald](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:15:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** William Fitzgerald, [studatty@aol.com](mailto:studatty@aol.com)

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely. And the heck if I'd ever vote for Safari for anything- not even dogcatcher

---

**From:** [Kathleen Kraus](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:03:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Kathleen Kraus, [kkraussf@gmail.com](mailto:kkraussf@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Halle Cane](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:57:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Halle Cane, hallecane@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Mary burns](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:57:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Mary burns, mfb613@aol.com

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Matthew Faliano](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:55:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Matthew Faliano, faliano3342@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [JAMES E PORTER](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:54:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** JAMES E PORTER, [JIM\\_PORTER@MERRYSALES.COM](mailto:JIM_PORTER@MERRYSALES.COM)

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Susan McDonough](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:39:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Susan McDonough, [sdrcrm@hotmail.com](mailto:sdrcrm@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Constance Fitzgerald](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:24:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Constance Fitzgerald, cfitzgerald1059@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Linda Chong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:21:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Linda Chong, [Ichong@anchorvest.com](mailto:Ichong@anchorvest.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Kimberly Tam](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:18:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Kimberly Tam, [kimberlytam@hotmail.com](mailto:kimberlytam@hotmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [carter agar](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:15:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** carter agar, [cagar@lenovo.com](mailto:cagar@lenovo.com)

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Garrett Hayashida](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:15:28 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Garrett Hayashida, gthruns@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 8

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [victoire.reynal](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:12:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** victoire reynal, victoirereynal@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Peter Christodoulo](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:12:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Peter Christodoulo, christodoulo@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Courtney Dickson](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:12:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Courtney Dickson, dicksonc85@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Cullen Roche](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:09:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Cullen Roche, [cullen.roche1992@gmail.com](mailto:cullen.roche1992@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Eamon Roche](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:09:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Eamon Roche, [eamon415roche@gmail.com](mailto:eamon415roche@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Kevin Roche](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:06:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Kevin Roche, krochemusic@aol.com

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Christina Pappas](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:03:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Christina Pappas, scoutca66@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Leanna Dawydiak](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:00:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Leanna Dawydiak, LDawydiak@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Victoria Barret](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:00:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Victoria Barret, vbarret@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Pete Mathews](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:57:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Pete Mathews, pmathews@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Linda Mathews](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:54:45 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Linda Mathews, [linda.mathews@yahoo.com](mailto:linda.mathews@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

This is simply just more of your garbage. How do any of you sleep at night? Maybe with your private security?

I am embarrassed for you! Anyone who votes for this will never get my vote (as well as everyone that hears about this because we will all work together to ensure the San Francisco voters know who you are). There will be NO escaping this vote should you go along with Safai and Walton as we will be sure EVERYONE knows you want to increase our taxes so we can have basic public safety in San Francisco.

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider

ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Schuyler Kkandarian](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:54:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Schuyler Kkandarian , tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Keith Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:51:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Keith Kandarian, tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:51:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Teresa Shaw, tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Madelon Podell](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:51:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Madelon Podell, [madelon@podell.com](mailto:madelon@podell.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Nicholas Podell jr](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:51:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Nicholas Podell jr, [nicky@podell.com](mailto:nicky@podell.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Nick Podell](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Nick Podell, [nick@podell.com](mailto:nick@podell.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Natalie Podell](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Natalie Podell, [natalie@podell.com](mailto:natalie@podell.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Chris Chang](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Chris Chang, [chriskchang@gmail.com](mailto:chriskchang@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 6

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Leslie Boin Podell](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Leslie Boin Podell, [leslie@podell.com](mailto:leslie@podell.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Robert Lowe](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Robert Lowe, Ctabc@yahoo.com

**I am a resident of** District 11

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:48:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Stephanie Lehman, slehman21@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Darcy Brown](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:39:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Darcy Brown, darcybrown7@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Mikhail Kouznetsov](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:33:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Mikhail Kouznetsov, [mikhail.kouznetsov@gmail.com](mailto:mikhail.kouznetsov@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Lorenzo Donati](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:21:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Lorenzo Donati, [jdx402@yahoo.com](mailto:jdx402@yahoo.com)

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Purvi Sahu](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:18:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Purvi Sahu, pup.triumph-0@icloud.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Gail O'Connor](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:15:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Gail O'Connor, gailmacd@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Maria Cruz](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 8:50:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Maria Cruz, mdsf94107@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 6

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Ellen Ward](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 8:30:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Ellen Ward, [ellen.ward@prodigy.net](mailto:ellen.ward@prodigy.net)

**I am a resident of** District 5

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Leanna Louie](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 8:00:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Leanna Louie, leannalouie28@yahoo.com

**I am a resident of** District 11

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Rosemary Mckay](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 8:00:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Rosemary Mckay, [siobhanorford@gmail.com](mailto:siobhanorford@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Aislin Palladino](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:54:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Aislin Palladino , [aislin.palladino@gmail.com](mailto:aislin.palladino@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Donna Brown](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:54:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Donna Brown, [donna.brown05@gmail.com](mailto:donna.brown05@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Howard Epstein](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:45:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Howard Epstein , [hepstein@gmail.com](mailto:hepstein@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Tami Epstein](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:42:36 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Tami Epstein, [tl.epstein@yahoo.com](mailto:tl.epstein@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Nika Terjesen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:33:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Nika Terjesen, [nikacnika@yahoo.com](mailto:nikacnika@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Kathy Kelly](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 6:36:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Kathy Kelly, [kathykelly44@yahoo.com](mailto:kathykelly44@yahoo.com)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Sandra Ospina](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 6:29:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sandra Ospina , ospina\_sandra@yahoo.com

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Jim Horan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** "Cop Tax"  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 5:17:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm backing Supervisor Dorsey's revised proposal for funding and hiring police, as opposed to Supervisor Safai's new tax proposal. We already pay enough taxes for City services, including police services. We're hoping that there are enough supervisors who are of fair mind will not move Safai's Charter proposal to the ballot.

Jim and Rosie Horan  
District 4 Residents

cc: Supervisor Dorsey  
Supervisor Engardio  
Supervisor Mandelman  
Supervisor Stephani  
Supervisor Melgar  
Supervisor Peskin  
Supervisor Chan

**From:** [pelichoff@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:pelichoff@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Scott Pelichoff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 1:06:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Scott Pelichoff

**From:** [davidrandolphdriver@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:davidrandolphdriver@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Driver](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:19:49 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
David Driver

**From:** [alanburradell@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alanburradell@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alan Burradell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 9:11:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Alan Burradell

**From:** [Katie Chen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 9:07:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Katie Chen, [Katie.chen@gmail.com](mailto:Katie.chen@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your insane #coptax - no one in SF should have to pay extra for basic services like public safety.

I am not sure what sort of game you are playing, but it's regular citizens who will use.

Please come to your senses. You are smarter than this.

Thanks,  
Katie



**From:** [htimsm1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:htimsm1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mitchell Smith](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 8:50:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Mitchell Smith

**From:** [michelle\\_artugue@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:michelle_artugue@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michelle Sabtos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 1:40:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Sabtos

**From:** [Elaine Bitzel](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 9:59:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Elaine Bitzel, [dcl.reb@sbcglobal.net](mailto:dcl.reb@sbcglobal.net)

**I am a resident of** District 8

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [drewtlalli@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:drewtlalli@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Drew Lalli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Friday, November 24, 2023 5:48:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Drew Lalli

**From:** [james.a.redfern@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:james.a.redfern@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [James Redfern](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Friday, November 24, 2023 11:48:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
James Redfern

**From:** [moto714man@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:moto714man@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gary OVERBY](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Thursday, November 23, 2023 11:54:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Gary OVERBY

**From:** [hannahcenter246@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hannahcenter246@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Hannah Maverick](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 5:00:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Hannah Maverick



**From:** [pattired12@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:pattired12@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Patti McMahon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 21, 2023 8:37:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Patti McMahon

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 58 Letters regarding File No. 230985  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:49:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [58 Letters regarding File No. 230985.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 58 letters regarding File No. 230985.

**File No. 230985** - Charter Amendment - Minimum Police Department Staffing and Five-Year Annual Funding Requirement (Safai)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Joe A. Kunzler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: For the official record  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:47:33 PM  
**Attachments:** [image.png](#)  
[2023-11-28 Supervisor Catherine Stefani on Minimum SFPD Staffing - Made with Clipchamp otter\\_ai.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Clerks, and Fellow Concerned Americans;

I am also going to take the *responsibility* of putting Supervisor Stefani's speech into the record as transcribed by Otter.ai and lightly edited by me.

The speech is also on my personal YouTube:

**2023-11-28 SF Supervisor Catherine Stefani on Minimum SFPD Staffing**

<https://youtu.be/pzCp6tkGZNE?si=sKvp8CR58liq9jre>

I enter these items into the record, considering the wild misinformation out there on Twitter/X. I hope I also hammer home to Supervisor Stefani and her colleagues that you need to stand up for your awesome self, but how in an era of social media. Frankly, no book about Zelensky would teach as much as this lesson, but that's me.

Merry Christmas;

Joe A. Kunzler  
[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)

On Wed, Nov 29, 2023 at 12:13 PM Joe A. Kunzler <[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Supervisors and Friends of SF;

I want to enter into the official record the below. The STRONG Supervisor apparently is being devoured on X/Twitter and so knowing some people actually read the weekly correspondence - thank you - here you go:



## YES ON THE SF POLICE FULL STAFFING ACT

**“I will support any measure that increases police staffing and allows San Francisco to hire more police officers without forcing layoffs of firefighters, nurses, 911 dispatchers and other public safety workers to pay for it”**



Catherine Stefani  
Board of Supervisors, District 2



It is clear that some of you need a masterclass in standing up for yourself. Or your wingwoman. Just search Twitter for @Stefani4ca to learn HOW.

I could gripe about my remote testimony jet being stuck in chocks and chained, but this isn't the time. There you go.

Thank you for your continued public service;

Joe A. Kunzler  
[growlernoise@gmail.com](mailto:growlernoise@gmail.com)

# 2023-11-28 Supervisor Catherin...Staffing - Made with Clipchamp

Wed, Nov 29, 2023 1:21PM • 9:56

## SUMMARY KEYWORDS

vote, voters, public safety, asides, city, police officers, police, set, set asides, tax, board, san francisco, funding stream, dispatchers, measure, issues, supervisor, pay, concerns, policy

## SPEAKERS

Catherine Stefani

### **Catherine Stefani** 00:00

Colleagues, thank you so much for your comments. Thus far, they've really helped to solidify how I'm going to be voting. And I do want to say a few things about some of the comments that were said in terms of policing right now, I'm pleased that our downtown right now, most of them are there on overtime. And the Tenderloin station is the highest staffed station in this city. They get the most resources of any station to deal with the problems and the issues that are there. And also, I just want to clarify, too, that the POA did ask for a hiring bonus during negotiations and the city denied it. So just wanted to get that out and be clear on a few facts. And, you know, to be honest, the contempt and bitterness around this vote is beyond distressing to me. I find it extremely unproductive and hurtful to a lot of people who are just trying to make a difference, and tackle our most pressing problems. And the way this has unfolded and the things that have been said to one another have been to me problematic.

### **Catherine Stefani** 01:08

I consider you know this San Francisco to be the City of St. Francis, I say it all the time in these chambers, I hold myself to the goal to always try to be a channel of peace. And that means when there's hatred, bringing love when there's discord bringing harmony when there's air, bringing truth, and when there's despair, bringing hope, may sound corny to some but it hasn't let me down yet, and I am apoaching today's vote with that in mind. I have had to put all the noise aside with this because there's a lot and ask myself what I really think is the right thing to do here and what I think will get us to what the goal was in the first place: more police officers, which is the entire reason this policy was put forth in the first place.

### **Catherine Stefani** 01:52

I started down this path with Supervisor Dorsey because I absolutely agree with him that we don't have enough police officers to address the concerns that our constituents are voicing to us on a daily basis. And I agree with Supervisor Walton, when he says we haven't done enough to make it interesting enough for want for people to want to even become police officers. And that's where we also need to

be focusing our efforts. Public Safety, as I've said over and over again, here is a baseline obligation of what a well functioning city government should do. And I do believe it's an absolute crisis that we are 600 police officers short of what's needed to meet the demand for service that's based on a formula that we have agreed to and that has been approved, and that Chief Scott has routinely come to this board and has informed us of like I said, public safety is foundational our ability to recover from the pandemic is continuing to be hampered by the police officer shortage in a myriad of ways. It's something that I see in my district every day and I see throughout the city. But I do believe we need a fiscally responsible plan to get there. And I will support any measure that increases police staffing without forcing layoffs of other essential workers to pay for it, including what many people have mentioned today 911, dispatchers, nurses and other public safety workers. I've approached this decision with the same seriousness and focus I have with previous public safety matters during my almost now six year tenure in this seat.

**Catherine Stefani 03:24**

And I'm really become a pro at taking a lot of serious heat on my past decisions, no matter where it's coming from. So I'm used to it, but I will always do what I think is right in a situation. I've consistently supported the police and champion public safety policies is why I'm supporting the mayor's Safer San Francisco proposal. And despite the recent political fervor surrounding this issue, I want to emphasize that I will always endorse proposals aimed at enhancing public safety. I have stated too I am not inclined I've stated this on some housing issues on other different policies, I'm not inclined to let perfect be the enemy of the good. My position on this matter is consistent with my past actions such as my refusal to vote for the 2020 city budget due to what I thought at the time was insufficient funding for the police and inadequate support for struggling small businesses in the middle of the pandemic. My voting history on police commission appointees reflects my commitment to scrutinizing choices for the betterment of public safety. And again, I've taken other serious votes on police issues here at the board that I standby and like I said has brought me a lot of flack.

**Catherine Stefani 04:33**

But recent incidents in district two including an attack on a Muni driver shootings, retail theft and my firsthand experiences like car break ins and home burglary, underscore the urgency to address the safety concerns in our community. One of our most basic functions is to ensure resident safety and peace of mind. It is evident to me evident to me that we have fallen short in this regard, and I am determined to see tangible improvement. Hence, my priority is to make decisions that yield what I think are positive outcomes.

**Catherine Stefani 05:07**

I had concerns with this measures first proposed due to the set-aside allocations, and I knew it was going to be a problem getting through this board. In San Francisco alone, we have 22 baseline set asides already. That exceeds the total in every other city and county in the state combined. In fact, local governments in the rest of California have a total of 10 similar funding requirements for comparison to other cities. Los Angeles has adopted two requirements San Diego has one in San Jose has none. And that's based on a controller's report. Concerns was set asides are not new to anyone who has been paying attention to San Francisco politics. And in 2008, the voters told us that they had had enough of set asides. Prop S set a policy back then, which passed by the voters albeit non binding, just like some

of the other policy statements we're putting on the ballot in March, that set asides This is what profit proposition s said in 2008, that set asides would be voted on only if paired with an adequate new source of funds so that the implementation of the set aside will not cause a net decrease in general fund revenues. Besides because set-asides limit discretionary spending, if further can trick constricts our spending, in times of economic downturns, which we all know, are on the horizon. total funding set-asides for this year's budget amount to \$2.1 billion out of a total of \$4.5 billion in general fund aggregate discretionary revenue, leaving us with \$2.4 billion in discretionary revenue. That is not a lot out of a \$14 plus billion dollar budget in San Francisco.

**Catherine Stefani 07:01**

So my point is that it's hard when you're talking about set-asides, they're very hard to legislate, especially here at the board. And it is not shocking that other public safety personnel like 911, dispatchers, who are also working double shifts get a little nervous and feel a little left out during this process. So the question naturally becomes how do we pay for this? It certainly does not have to be with the new and future tax measure the language states it could be paid for by a measure that would amend an existing general or special tax to dedicate and or increased tax revenue to support please staffing and recruitment at the new minimum levels. So we don't have to pass a new tax for this to be meaningful. I do want to thank Matt, Supervisor Dorsey, for even bringing us to this point. We do have people that probably haven't agreed to before, at least publicly that we do need more officers, that the minimum staffing number will actually be in the charter with meaning behind it, not just a number that someone came up with. And if the voters agree, we will know we will have to find a way to pay for it. And my goal would be to redirect dollars from existing tax, which I think is very doable. I think that is moving the needle in the right direction. I know it's not exactly how it was envisioned to begin with. And I know this has been mentioned as a poison pill but...

**Catherine Stefani 08:26**

Supervisor Dorsey through the president, I think you've actually planted a seed and have pushed this board to commit to doing more on this issue. The proposed measure provides voters with a chance to express we're not voting on anything other than giving the voters to tell us what they think that's what we're doing. We're putting something on the ballot for the voters to tell us how they think, a chance to express whether they desire more police presence. If that is the case, the real work will commence to establish a dedicated and meaningful funding stream for our police department. And I repeat, it doesn't have to be a new tax. We are not sending a tax measure to the voters today. I guarantee you, I will be working on a way to make certain we have real funding streams in place and will continue to vote for more police officers like I have consistently done on this board. And that includes figuring out ways again, as Supervisor Walton said, to figure out how to get more police officers to want to come here and to even be police officers in the first place. We can continue to vote here at the board on recruitment retention over time and other ways to bring more officers regardless of what's going on here. Regardless of what goes to the voters. We still have jobs to do here and we can still focus on those issues and try to bring solutions here and now.

**Catherine Stefani 09:43**

Today I will be voting in the affirmative to give voters a chance to let us know how they to feel on this issue. Thank you.

**From:** [Mark Schumann](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 11:30:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Mark Schumann, mschumann415@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Nikolas Schumann](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 10:48:39 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Nikolas Schumann, schumannnikolas@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [David Archibeque](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 5:08:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** David Archibeque , [archibeque.db@gmail.com](mailto:archibeque.db@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 6

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Patrick Kelliher](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 7:38:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Patrick Kelliher, [jm.kelliher@comcast.net](mailto:jm.kelliher@comcast.net)

I am a resident of District 8

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Yvette Corkrean](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:09:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Yvette Corkrean , ymc72@hotmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 5

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Sydney Lo](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:24:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sydney Lo, inseams.din-0a@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Tessa Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:13:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Tessa Sapiro, hiatal-curly-0h@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Cole Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:13:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Cole Sapiro, hiatal-curly-0h@icloud.com

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Eddy Sapiro](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:11:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Eddy Sapiro, hiatal-curly-0h@icloud.com

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Danlupo1990@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Danlupo1990@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dan Lupo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 10:45:04 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Dan Lupo

**From:** [Keith Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 8:36:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Keith Kandarian, tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 8:35:55 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Teresa Shaw, tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Torr Melling](#)  
**To:** [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 8:30:01 AM

---

Good morning, Supervisor Safai,

Thank you for your response. I should add that any budget deficiencies for law enforcement funding should be taken out of the city's homelessness budget. While I can empathize with homelessness and housing affordability in San Francisco, it should not come at the cost of public safety and accountability. Protecting all people, but particularly those tax-payers shouldering the majority of the tax base, should be your priority.

I also found it remarkable that EVERY article I saw about APEC that remarked about how clean the city was included comments like, "why can't it always be like this?". Your constituents are getting tired of the shit show that the city government has created and allowed to continue.

Thank you.

[torrmelling@gmail.com](mailto:torrmelling@gmail.com) .. mobile/text 415.728.8002

On Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 10:10 AM Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Hi Torr,

As the Primary Sponsor of the Minimum Police Staffing Charter Amendment, I strongly support police funding and continue to support increased funding for police officer recruitment.

We can achieve minimum police staffing by **adjusting existing revenue** and ensure we are not pitting the funding of police recruitment against 911 call operators, emergency services, firefighters, and Sheriff's, all part of what's needed for comprehensive public safety measure and all short staffed now.

We have put hundreds of millions into the police department budget in the past few years including an additional \$25 million budget supplement last year that I supported. I stand by my decision.

This upcoming year, we are facing over a half a billion dollar budget deficit and growing. We need to use our existing budget to pay for basic services like police, firefighters, Muni service, mental health services, and more.

We can achieve minimum police staffing and be fiscally responsible.

Sincerely,

Supervisor Ahsha Safai

---

**From:** Torr Melling <[noreply@jotform.com](mailto:noreply@jotform.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2023 7:09 PM

**To:** Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <[DorseyStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:DorseyStaff@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Young, Victor (BOS) <[victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

### Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Torr Melling, [tormelling@gmail.com](mailto:tormelling@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 8

**Message:** I OPPOSE Safai's charter amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisor Safai,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE your amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [kathy.the.chen@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kathy.the.chen@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Chen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 8:24:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Chen

**From:** [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 7:04:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Dena Aslanian-Williams, [denawilliams@msn.com](mailto:denawilliams@msn.com)

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Sydney Lo](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 6:13:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sydney Lo, inseams.din-0a@icloud.com

I am a resident of District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Sanjay Bhatnagar](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:32:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sanjay Bhatnagar, xanjay@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.



**From:** [kaylakm7@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kaylakm7@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kayla M](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 12:45:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Kayla M

**From:** [Madeleine Galletti](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:45:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Madeleine Galletti, madgalletti@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 5

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Lorna Walker](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:30:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Lorna Walker, [sf.litna@gmail.com](mailto:sf.litna@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable.

I do not support the amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Randa Ghnaim](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:09:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Randa Ghnaim, [randaghnaim@comcast.net](mailto:randaghnaim@comcast.net)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Lisa Presta](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:03:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Lisa Presta, [lisapresta@yahoo.com](mailto:lisapresta@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Lisa Ortiz](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:51:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Lisa Ortiz, [lortiz.souza@comcast.net](mailto:lortiz.souza@comcast.net)

I am a resident of District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [archaea.filet.0e@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:archaea.filet.0e@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Williams Garcia Ojeda](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:42:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Williams Garcia Ojeda

**From:** [Sara Schumann](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 9:11:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sara Schumann, [saraschumann@me.com](mailto:saraschumann@me.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Laurie Dolly](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 7:24:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Laurie Dolly, [lauriedolly@gmail.com](mailto:lauriedolly@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 11

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.



**From:** [Chuchy2000@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Chuchy2000@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Maria Gonzalez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In Opposition to File #230985 - Supervisor Dorsey's Police Staffing Charter Amendment as Amended  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 5:41:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to express my opposition to the San Francisco Police Full Staffing Act as amended by Supervisor Safai and to urge you to vote against it.

Safai's amendment ties increased public safety to an unknown future tax that voters must approve and leaves the legislation without an actual funding source. Asking voters to raise taxes in order to get a fully staffed police department, a basic city service that should be included in a \$14 billion budget, is both bad budgetary policy and bad governance.

As San Francisco continues to grapple with severe public safety challenges including rampant car break-ins, shoplifting, and unprecedented open air drug markets, we as a City must do everything we can to increase public safety, including addressing the ongoing officer shortage in the San Francisco Police Department.

Unfortunately due to Supervisor Safai's amendment, this legislation will not help us address these issues, but instead prevent SFPD from recruiting and hiring the officers needed to keep residents and small business owners safe. As President of the Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin should do everything in his power to halt this measure from going to voters.

I hope you will vote in opposition to this legislation and work with Supervisor Dorsey to draft legislation that will actually prioritize the safety of your constituents, as well as residents of and visitors to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Maria Gonzalez

**From:** [heather luongo](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 5:03:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** heather luongo, [heather.luongo@gmail.com](mailto:heather.luongo@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Brian Lambrechts](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 4:00:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Brian Lambrechts , [brianlambrechts@yahoo.com](mailto:brianlambrechts@yahoo.com)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Josie Su](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:58:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Josie Su, [josiesu@gmail.com](mailto:josiesu@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Spencer guthrie](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:52:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Spencer guthrie, [spencer.guthrie@gmail.com](mailto:spencer.guthrie@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Peter Elden](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:41:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Peter Elden, [peterelden@sbcglobal.net](mailto:peterelden@sbcglobal.net)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Josephine Zhao](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:16:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Josephine Zhao, josephine\_zhao@yahoo.com

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Leila Sen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:12:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Leila Sen, [leila@leilasen.com](mailto:leila@leilasen.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Steven Bartolotti](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 2:48:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Steven Bartolotti, [sabartolotti@gmail.com](mailto:sabartolotti@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Henry Hunter](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 2:19:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Henry Hunter, [capthunter@comcast.net](mailto:capthunter@comcast.net)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Adrian Fox](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 2:08:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Adrian Fox, [adrian.fox@gmail.com](mailto:adrian.fox@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Thomas Lai](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 1:25:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Thomas Lai, tom@hydracompanies.com

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Sal Novoa](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 1:09:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Sal Novoa, [salnovoa@gmail.com](mailto:salnovoa@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 8

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Louise Whitlock](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 1:09:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Louise Whitlock, lcwhitlock@ymail.com

**I am a resident of** District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Heather Kirkpatrick](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 1:06:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Heather Kirkpatrick, h.kirkpatrick3@gmail.com

**I am a resident of** District 3

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Dushyanth Nataraj](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 1:03:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Dushyanth Nataraj, [dushyanth.nataraj@gmail.com](mailto:dushyanth.nataraj@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Veronica Flanagan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:57:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Veronica Flanagan , [veflanag@gmail.com](mailto:veflanag@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Jeremiah Boehner](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:54:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Jeremiah Boehner, [Jeremiahboehner@gmail.com](mailto:Jeremiahboehner@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 2

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Susan Scarabelli](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:51:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Susan Scarabelli, [thesaucyone@me.com](mailto:thesaucyone@me.com)

**I am a resident of** District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Andrew Oglesby](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:36:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Andrew Oglesby, [fixshotwell@gmail.com](mailto:fixshotwell@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 9

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Phyllis Lim](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:33:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Phyllis Lim, [kwidoy@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kwidoy@sbcglobal.net)

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.



I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Rola Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:33:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Rola Johnson, [rola.johnson@yahoo.com](mailto:rola.johnson@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

From: [Allen Jones](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#); [Dustin Aaron \(BOSS\)](#); [muto@sfchronicle.com](#); [Bill Tucker](#); [Miller Katherine \(LUV\)](#); [Margaret Brodin](#)  
Subject: Latest juvenile hall count  
Date: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:28:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I was told that the current count for detainees at our Juvenile Justice Center is about "45."

For several years, it would have been an eye-opener to hear the count go past 20. And who could forget the San Francisco Chronicle "Vanishing Violence" series that led to members on the 2020 board to call for and vote to close the current 150-bed (15-year-old) facility by the end of 2021?  
[https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/juvenile-hall-san-francisco-18361973.php\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz0lNDE3MjRmNTRmMDg1NWlEODRkMmZjMmM5YjFjMTM1NT020mVINTA6MDk3OTlhZDk5OGQ0MjwNTNkNWY0NmVhY2I0MzZDRkNjE4NzI5NTYyZjNhMzc5MjZjNDY5ZjhhYkYp0OIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/juvenile-hall-san-francisco-18361973.php__YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz0lNDE3MjRmNTRmMDg1NWlEODRkMmZjMmM5YjFjMTM1NT020mVINTA6MDk3OTlhZDk5OGQ0MjwNTNkNWY0NmVhY2I0MzZDRkNjE4NzI5NTYyZjNhMzc5MjZjNDY5ZjhhYkYp0OIQ)

At the risk of saying I told you so, the plans to build a smaller facility is still a silly idea that needs to be squashed. And the need to create better education and training programs for those who will be housed for more extended periods at the JJC should be the focus.

I am no fan of the current building based on what I have been told about it's construction. However, I still believe that those who are pushing to close the existing facility are not only being shortsighted but also lack any actual knowledge of juvenile delinquent trends.

Allen Jones  
(415) 756-7733  
jones-allen@att.net  
Californiaclemency.org

*The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.*

**From:** [Angela Tickler](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:21:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Angela Tickler, [angela.tickler@gmail.com](mailto:angela.tickler@gmail.com)

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [John Hurabiell, Sr.](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:15:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** John Hurabiell, Sr., [lotusman@pacbell.net](mailto:lotusman@pacbell.net)

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

You people piss away far too much money—my money as a lifelong SF taxpayer. You waste money on your woke crap programs and the crooks that appear to populate several City agencies

---



**From:** [Operation HOPE](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Empower Dreams this Giving Tuesday: Help Us Reach Our \$30,000 Goal for Financial Dignity at Operation HOPE  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 12:10:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Alternate text



## It's Giving Tuesday!

It's Giving Tuesday! Today, thousands of people are giving to help make a difference in the lives of others.

Operation HOPE has a goal of raising **\$30,000** today and we're close to hitting the half-

way mark. Your financial gift will help us both meet *and* exceed our goal by the end of the day.

When you give to Operation HOPE you help empower men, women, and children to live their lives on *their* terms with a sound financial mindset and equal access to opportunity.

Your support today will help thousands of first-time homeowners, youth innovators, disaster survivors, and small business owners across the nation access the American dream through the power of financial literacy.

[Click here](#), you can join us in sharing the joy of giving and uplifting everyday families with financial dignity.

Thank you for helping to bring financial literacy to all.

Happy Giving Tuesday!

Donate

[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt Out](#) using TrueRemove™  
Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.  
View this email [online](#).

191 Peachtree Street NE Suite 3840 | Atlanta, GA 30303 US

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org.  
To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.



**From:** [Marie Hurabiell](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:57:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Marie Hurabiell, mhurabie@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Bruce Bennett](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:57:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Bruce Bennett, [condimentarian@gmail.com](mailto:condimentarian@gmail.com)

**I am a resident of** District 5

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

**From:** [Maureen Hurley](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:42:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Maureen Hurley, [maureen\\_hurley@yahoo.com](mailto:maureen_hurley@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 7

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

Are you KIDDING with this proposal?

This is non sensical and will be remembered at election time  
- :(

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working

residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.



**From:** [Irene Deutsch](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:39:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee and the Board of Supervisors

**From your constituent:** Irene Deutsch, ideut8@comcast.net

**I am a resident of** District 4

**Message:** No Cop Tax!! I OPPOSE Safai's amendment to increase taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to vehemently OPPOSE Supervisor Safai's amendment to Supervisor Dorsey's SFPD staffing plan. Increasing our taxes to solve the SFPD staffing crisis is irresponsible and demonstrates a disregard for residents who struggle to afford to live in San Francisco, and who expect public safety and a fully staffed police department to be part of the basic city services we already pay for.

We need the restoration of public safety now so that residents and business owners can feel reasonably safe again. This is a top priority for the majority of San Franciscans, and a fully staffed police force is needed to do the work that is necessary.

The net amount needed to fund the ORIGINAL Dorsey Charter amendment is estimated to be \$20M annually (after accounting for overtime savings).

We are hard-pressed to believe that the money couldn't be found in the city budget. Perhaps you should consider ending the funding of just one ineffective non-profit annually to cover that cost.

You have recently overseen a city budget that has DOUBLED since 2017, and you are telling the working residents of San Francisco that taxes must be raised for the city to provide basic law enforcement services and address a public safety crisis that city leaders should have handled long ago.

This is unacceptable and outrageous.

I do not support your amendment that guts Supervisor Dorsey's charter amendment for SFPD staffing and request that you withdraw it immediately or kill the bill entirely.

# Item 8

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CHA Letter Supporting Resolution #231175  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:50:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [CHA Letter to BOS Land Use Committee resolution 231175.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Cow Hollow Association regarding File No. 231175, which is Item No. 35 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

File No. 231175 - Directing the City Attorney and City Lobbyist to Request HCD Extend the Housing Element Implementation Action Plan Deadline and Revise and Correct the "Policy and Practice Review" Letter (Peskin, Chan, Mandelman)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

-----Original Message-----

From: Lori Brooke <[lorimbroke@gmail.com](mailto:lorimbroke@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:08 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; ChanStaff (BOS) <[chanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:chanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <[joel.engardio@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.engardio@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <[DorseyStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:DorseyStaff@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <[mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <[waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org)>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <[rich.hillis@sfgov.org](mailto:rich.hillis@sfgov.org)>; Bell, Tita (BOS) <[Tita.Bell@sfgov.org](mailto:Tita.Bell@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: CHA Letter Supporting Resolution #231175

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please see the attached letter from the Cow Hollow Association supporting resolution #231175.

Respectfully submitted,

Lori Brooke  
President, Cow Hollow Association

cc: CHA Board  
Rich Hillis, Planning Department, Director



November 27, 2023

President, Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Aaron Peskin  
Chair of the Land Use & Transportation Committee, Supervisor Melgar  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

**Re: Support for Resolution #231175 — “Policy and Practice Review” from the State of California's Department of Housing and Community Development**

The Cow Hollow Association (CHA) represents approximately 1,100 households in District 2. We support effective housing measures - local and statewide - that address San Francisco's affordable housing needs in ways that also protect and preserve the residential character and quality of life in our neighborhoods.

We are concerned that HCD, through its Policy and Practice Review (PPR) seeks to usurp the City's own legislative authority while imposing time frames for moving legislation that are out of sync with the process for that legislation.

It is in the best interest of the City for the Board of Supervisors to pass Resolution #231175 to urge that HCD revise and correct the PPR and commensurately provide San Francisco with more time to respond to deadlines detailed in the PPR.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Lori Brooke', written over a white rectangular background.

Lori Brooke  
President, Cow Hollow Association

cc: Rich Hillis, Director of SF Planning Department  
CHA Board

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for Resolution File #231175  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:16:00 PM

---

**From:** Erica <d4ward.info@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 2:12 AM  
**To:** MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <info@engardio.com>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support for Resolution File #231175

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Land Use and Transportation Committee members, Board of Supervisors, Joel Engardio District 4 Supervisor,

D4ward, is a residents group which focuses, among other local issues, on advocating for AFFORDABLE HOUSING in District 4 and the west side of San Francisco.

We are in strong support of Board President Aaron Peskin's Resolution, file #231175 being heard on Monday 11/27/23 at the BOS Land Use Committee and perhaps for a vote on Tuesday 11/28/23 at the full board.

In addition to the many inaccuracies in the State HCD letter and the demands put forth to SF City and County, the HCD letter does not provide the needed time for review by the Planning Department, the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and other parties to bring San Francisco's plan into compliance in the timeframe HCD expects.

It is a no-brainer that ALL parties, including the City Attorney, jump on it and do the job of advocating for San Francisco. The time limits set by HCD need be

quickly amended!

Existing affordable housing is in jeopardy and must be amended by HCD. Please support the Board President's resolution.

Thankyou for your consideration.

D4ward

Affiliate of REP (Race and Equity in all Planning coalition)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Item 6 File #231175 In Support of Resolution  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:50:00 AM

---

---

**From:** T Flandrich <tflandrich@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 10:55 AM  
**To:** Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Item 6 File #231175 In Support of Resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

24. November 2023

Dear Land Use Committee Members Supervisors Melgar, Preston, and Peskin,  
I am writing to state my support for Resolution File Number 231175 for ALL of the reasons enumerated in this document.

I urge all members of the Land Use Committee to pass this resolution, send on to the Full Board meeting on November 28th, and ask that all District Supervisors vote in favor of passing this resolution. This is not only the right thing to do for San Francisco, it is the one thing this Board must do.

Sincerely,

Theresa Flandrich  
North Beach Tenants Committee

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Policy to promote community service  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:54:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Nicholas Mangrum regarding community service.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nicholas Mangrum <[nicholasmangrum1@gmail.com](mailto:nicholasmangrum1@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2023 12:06 PM  
**To:** Cabrera, Stephanie (BOS) <[stephanie.cabrera@sfgov.org](mailto:stephanie.cabrera@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Policy to promote community service

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon Ms. Cabrera,

I like to suggest a policy to promote community service and save the city money. I volunteer at the local food bank in the tenderloin and I see we need more volunteers. I know the city saves money when we volunteer. My suggestion for a policy to promote volunteering is a simple incentive of 100 hours of community service will guarantee a interview for any entry level position in the city. It obviously would need to exclude things like project 20. I feel so often good applicants are bypassed because they didn't meet a minimum degree. A person that cares about their community and has the skill set deserves a opportunity and we need volunteers. It's a win win for the city. If I've messaged the wrong person about this please let me know as I want San Francisco to prosper and the people as well. I know this is a great thing for both.

Regards,  
Nicholas Mangrum  
(408) 882-7718



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Property tax mistake  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:57:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from M. D. Ballard regarding fees for online property tax filings.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mariclare Ballard <[marcyballard@me.com](mailto:marcyballard@me.com)>  
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2023 2:03 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Property tax mistake

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The current property tax invoice sent out advertised paying online at no cost.

Guess what?

When I went online. A PAYMENT OF 2.5 IS REQUIRED USING CREDIT CARD, DEBIT....

Please ;see if the simplest misrepresentation affecting every home owner in S.F.gets attention And ensure the tax office knows and does not charge tax payers.

M. D. Ballard  
109 S Lake Merced Hill  
San Francisco, Ca 94132

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: housing and more  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 9:42:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Sara Powell regarding Section 8 housing vouchers.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** sara powell <kaleidoscopesf@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:02 AM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** housing and more

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is unacceptable.

Yesterday, I was notified by the San Francisco Housing Authority, that I did not even get put on a waiting list for a Section 8 voucher for housing.

Every person who applies and is qualified should at least be put on the waiting list.

Policies in place in this country have impoverished me.

Education:

I did not finish my PhD because as a grad student I did not qualify for subsidized child care.

I did not finish my PhD because I capped out student loans and had to go back to work full time.

Now I have insurmountable student debt and I didn't even get the degree.

Social Security:

My social security is low because the years I took care of my dying parents is unpaid work.

My social security is low because almost ten years of social security that I payed into the system was not credited to me.

How, you ask? Because my husband and I owned a business and filed jointly, and apparently it was all credited to my husband.

Moreover, I can't even fight it without the 30+ year old tax returns that I cannot request without my ex-husband's social security number.

Like many women, I left the marriage because of abuse. Yet now I have to ask him for his social security number to get copies of my tax returns. Yeah, right.

My social security is \$669 a month. In San Francisco. SSI increases it by only \$160 a month.

2008:

I lost all my money in the stock market crash. I could not afford to leave it in the market because as a middle aged woman (who didn't finish her PhD) I was unable to find a job and I had to pull out what was left to live on.

The banks were bailed out.

Individuals were left to fend for themselves.

Health:

Since then, I have struggled to stay afloat. Somehow, the city of San Francisco turned me down for Healthy SF and subsequent subsidized health care plans repeatedly. I was only able to get on a plan a few months before I turned 65 and was forced to enrol in Medicare, because if you decide you can't afford it, and you've lived without medical care for almost 20 years, you're penalized if you ever do want to enrol at a later date. So long without medical care has taken a toll on my health, of course.

Housing:

My housing is not stable and is not good.

Because my housemate owns the flat I live in, I have no tenant's rights. In January, she raised my rent 25%.

I currently pay about 60% of my total income in rent.

Moreover, she has informed me that at some as yet undisclosed date in the (presumably near) future, she will be asking me to leave so that her daughter's friend can move in.

My son is homeless. He was displaced from his home when it sold in 2017.

After struggling to rebuild, he finally got good work and a place to live in LA, but lost both job and housing during the pandemic. He is back in SF to be closer to me so I can help him, and I do to the best of my ability, but I have no resources left, myself.

He has emotional issues and physical health issues.

Though we finally were able to get him back on EBT and health care (months after being approved, though, he has still not received his card), and a whopping \$97 a month in general assistance, he has been told he must work for the GA.

Yet how is someone unhoused supposed to work?

He has a support dog that has literally kept him from killing himself at least once when his despair was overwhelming.

Where is he supposed to leave his dog while he works?

He has vision, motor issues, and crippling headaches from an as yet undiagnosed ailment, though an MRI some months ago showed a mass behind his eye.

How is he supposed to go to the hospital when he has nowhere to leave his dog?

I can't keep the dog. My housemate has a dog and two cats, and my son and his dog are not welcome here.

My son and I need a place to live. We could help each other. Both of us could once again become functioning, contributing members of society, but the likelihood is that we will both end up on the streets with nothing.

When and if that happens, we will join the already unacceptable number of homeless people existing--you can't call it living--on the streets of San Francisco.

This, when the UN reported that San Francisco's homelessness is a violation of human rights.

This, when there are entire empty buildings in San Francisco.

This, when San Francisco wastes money on a bicycle lane that is rapidly killing off the small businesses on Valencia Street.

This, when the city spends \$10,000-\$20,000 on trash cans, after removing most of the old ones (at least here in the Mission) resulting in a revolting amount of trash on the streets.

This, when San Francisco sends over \$13 million every year to a foreign nation that is literally committing genocide as the world watches.

And I can't even get on a waiting list for housing?

This, all this, is unacceptable.

Shame on you.

Sara Powell  
citizen

--

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW:  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 9:45:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Denise Dickerman regarding pet care.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Dmarie D <devinpaws@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:55 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:**

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

If you're having trouble loading, visit the [Gmail help center](#).

'Smashed with trash'

SMASHED WITH TRASH!

Inbox



“Good Morning, my name is Denise Dickerman, and I am a resident of San Francisco. I recently adopted an adorable orphaned kitten I rescued because I heard her cries. She was trapped inside a box , hungry, alone, scared, and barely escaped the horror of being buried alive at the City Dump.

I am writing Board of Supervisors with an idea I believe could potentially save lives, for your review and consideration.

My idea came to me from my experience with my kitten I saved and from watching YouTube

videos showing countless live animal rescues. Thinking about all the live animal pets that weren't rescued because no one knew these pets were in danger. I believe that if Recology invested in highly sensitive listening devices to be placed within the interior of every garbage truck could significantly enhance the safety and efficiency of our waste management system, particularly in relation to the protection of Humans during this Fentanyl Crisis and Animal Life like puppies and kittens discarded by the hands of heartless humans.

1. Hightech Devices could play a crucial role in rescuing individuals who are unaware under the influence of substances such as fentanyl. "Around the clock" Homeless frequent dumpsters rich in household commodities that have value they collect for free. It's reasonable to assume (on Fentanyl) a homeless person could accidentally fall asleep to awaken inside of a garbage truck when it's too late.
2. Smart Listening or Thermal Devices: designed to detect sounds of distress, such as cries or scratching from animals trapped within the internal garbage truck system, or a person passed out on Fentanyl. These Hightech State of the Art devices could be invaluable to alert the driver to immediately stop collecting garbage and dispatch Animal Care in Control or an Ambulance thru 911. These devices would have to be sophisticated and able to distinguish noise from living beings in distress verses the noise from garbage settling and pests like insects and rodents.
3. A "Get Out and Look" Policy: I propose the implementation of a policy requiring operators to inspect dumpsters for live animals and other valuable items, such as bags of unexpired pet food at corporate petstores. This policy could also extend to issuing fines to businesses that fail to comply.
4. Holding Pet Store Businesses Accountable: It is distressing to note that there have been instances where unsellable animals have been discarded in the same shipping box provided by live animal suppliers. These boxes are unique and easy to identify. YouTube has countless videos of parakeets, baby exotic animals, and even salt water fish from our oceans are recorded being rescued from PetSmart and Petco dumpsters all over the United States. It's Urgent for a "Get Out and Look" policy inspected by Recology Truck Drivers at these "High Risk For Life" locations. This Policy could contribute significantly to the welfare of our community and environment.

I strongly believe these measures will prevent tragic accidents and make our waste management system safer for everyone. Thank you for considering my proposal..

Sincerely,

Denise Dickerman

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for the Budget Supplemental for the San Francisco Police Department  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 9:47:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Christopher Eldemir regarding File No. 230158, Ordinance No. 43-23.

**File No. 230158, Ordinance No. 43-23** - Appropriation - General Reserve - \$25,371,063 - Other Revenue - \$587,029 - Fund Balance - \$834,204 - Police Department - Overtime - De-Appropriation and Re-Appropriation - \$31,999,402 - Releasing \$7,430,008 from Reserve - FY2022-2023 (Mayor, Stefani, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Safai)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Christopher Eldemir <info@email.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 2:26 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Support for the Budget Supplemental for the San Francisco Police Department

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

General email Board Of Suervisors,

Dear Supervisors,

I support the Budget Supplemental for the San Francisco Police Department.

If the Budget Supplemental does not pass by the end of March, SFPD will have to immediately cut police hours and patrols in our neighborhoods. Hiring of new police officers will be halted, at a time when SF has 340 fewer police than it did in 2019. This is unacceptable.

The SFPD Budget Supplemental will provide \$27 million of immediate funding to the SF Police Department to maintain overtime police hours. This allows SFPD to continue to staff its efforts to continue to meet current levels of service, disrupt drug dealing in the Tenderloin and continue neighborhood patrols.

Increasing SFPD officer overtime as a short-term remedy for our current shortage of SFPD officers is urgently needed to keep San Franciscans safe.

Thank you.

Christopher Eldemir  
[celdemir@gmail.com](mailto:celdemir@gmail.com)

530 day street  
San Francisco , California 94131



# Item 14

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Clean cars and fines needed / damage noted today appears to be multiple issues by one rider  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 9:52:00 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Aaron Goodman regarding the cleanliness of BART cars and stations.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <[amgodman@yahoo.com](mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com)>  
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 3:06 PM  
To: [boardofdirectors@bart.gov](mailto:boardofdirectors@bart.gov); Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Clean cars and fines needed / damage noted today appears to be multiple issues by one rider

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Bart seats getting destroyed graffiti pen marks on a seat and cigarette butts and banana peels cannot allow people to sleep on trains when they destroy community spaces and public areas..

Please enforce crime issues vs new 3 tvs in Powell station noted on walls of Bart platforms

Ads are in poor taste when you cannot keep the station cars and platforms working including escalators

Ag D11

Bart car 4208x 3:02pm 11/29/23

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 3 Letters regarding File No. 230948  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:36:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [3 Letters regarding File No. 230948.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 3 letters regarding File No. 230948.

**File No. 230948** - Commemorative Street Name Designation - "Panos Place" - 100-200 Block of Corbett Avenue (Mandelman)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Lucille Henry](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Paula Macchello](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 230948 Commemorative street name "Panos Place"  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 5:10:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You cannot walk by the Corbin Place without being drawn to the magic of the garden that Panagiotis Koutsoyannis has labored in creating for the delight of all the neighbors and people walking on Corbett Avenue.

I fully support the Resolution adding the commemorative street name "PANos Place" to Corbin Place between the 100 and 200 block of Corbett Avenue.

I reside at 181A Corbett Avenue, San Francisco and I benefit from the love, care, and gardening genius of PANos. We get to mark the passing of seasons and holidays. Children are invited to "find surprises" amongst the sculpture and whimsy that PANos creates in the open spaces.

It is terrific that a neighbor thought to honor all of his volunteer labor and purchases for the garden by getting this commemorative designation made for "PANos Place"  
Let's do this!

**From:** [Paula Macchello](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 230948 adding to st. name "Panos Place"  
**Date:** Friday, November 24, 2023 5:23:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Cavillo, Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 244  
San Francisco CA 94102

To the Board Of Supervisors:

I have lived on Corbett Ave. within view of the Corbin stairs since 1990. I have had the pleasure of watching the transformation of this space over the years through the care and hard work of Panagiotis Koutsoyannis. He has spent countless hours beautifying the garden he has planted and cultivated. His continually changing themes, and decorations delight all of us who walk by. This level of commitment and community contribution is rare. I heartily support recognizing him by adding the commemorative street name.

Paula Macchello  
181 Corbett Ave.

--

"Be not dismayed by these terrible times. You possess power, great power. Our problem is to harness and hitch it up for action on the broadest, daring, and most gigantic scale."  
*A. Phillip Randolph*

**From:** [teddytei](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter In Support of Changing Corbin Pl to Panos Place  
**Date:** Friday, November 24, 2023 1:06:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Angela Cavillo, Clerk of the Board  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 244  
San Francisco CA 94102

To the Board Of Supervisors. As residents very close to the Corbin Pl stairs, I heartily endorse changing the name to "Panos Place".

Theodore and Marcia Teipel  
4373 17th St  
San Francisco CA 94114

# Item 17

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 2 Letters regarding File No. 231180  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:04:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2 Letters regarding File No. 231180.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding File No. 231180.

**File No. 231180** - Reaffirming Support For An Independent, Impartial and Qualified Judiciary  
(Peskin, Walton, Chan)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Kate English](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** No changes to judicial elections  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 11:37:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a District 1 resident in San Francisco I strongly urge you not to support Supervisor Peskin's attempt to interfere with the election of judges.

Thank you,  
Kate English



**From:** [RAMINDER RANDHAWA](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Judicial elections  
**Date:** Thursday, November 16, 2023 6:33:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,  
Specifically, supervisor Peskin and Ronen,  
Please do not interfere with our constitutional right to elect our judges.  
All the best ! Enjoy your Japanese boondoggle Supervisor Ronen at our expense.  
R. Randhawa

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 2177 Jerold Ave  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:11:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Allen Jones regarding File No. 231197.

**File No. 231197** - Real Property Lease - LAWRENCE B. STONE PROPERTIES #08, LLC - 2177 Jerrold Avenue - Temporary Shelter - Not to Exceed Annual Base Rent of \$2,469,606 - Tenant Improvements \$5,866,869 (Mayor, Walton)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 11:28 AM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** 2177 Jerold Ave

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attention: All Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Can someone explain how I (Allen Jones) could live in a pickup truck from 2009 to 2019 on the streets of San Francisco, costing the city zero dollars versus a cost of the city throwing "\$ 140,000" per spot per year for a single vehicle space under the 2177 Jerold Ave. site?

Allen Jones  
(415) 756-7733  
[jones-allen@att.net](mailto:jones-allen@att.net)  
Californiaclemency.org

*The only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.*

# Item 19

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: JFK  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:28:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Rod Forbes regarding John F. Kennedy Drive.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** rod forbes <rod.forbes.494209039@advocatesmessage.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 11:00 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** JFK

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Ableism and gatekeeping have no place in San Francisco. The current closure of JFK Drive is unfortunately both of those things.

The time for "close first, ask questions later" is over. It is time to revert back to the compromise that was struck over a decade ago and restore access for all to Golden Gate Park.

rod forbes

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Vendors on Mission Street  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:31:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Anna Contreras regarding a street vending ban in the Mission Street corridor.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Anna Contreras <flagstaff4me@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2023 9:09 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Vendors on Mission Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for sticking to your guns on eliminating the street vendors. Your solution is something that they will help eliminate crime. The amount of trash, violence and stolen goods really brought down the neighborhood and made it nearly impossible for small business owners to get the foot traffic needed to continue keeping their doors open. Keep your foot on the pedal and don't give in to the protesters. Thank you again!!

# Item 21

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 4 Letters from Monica D.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:35:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [4 Letters from Monica D..pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 4 letters from Monica D. regarding various topics.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**

**Office of the Clerk of the Board**

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**

**San Francisco, CA 94102**

**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**

**[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)**

**From:** [Monica D](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov](mailto:senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov](mailto:gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov); [assemblymember.ting@assembly.ca.gov](mailto:assemblymember.ting@assembly.ca.gov)  
**Subject:** Dems will pay in 2024  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 1:56:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



California doesn't have a prison overcrowding problem. We have a governor and Legislature problem.  
[ocregister.com](http://ocregister.com)

***KARMA IS A BITCH IN 2024!***  
***TRANSpartying!***

~ livid SF taxpayer/voter  
(TRANSpartying)

**From:** [Monica D](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov](#); [assemblymember.ting@assembly.ca.gov](#)  
**Subject:** APEC Audit  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 1:53:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Yeah, don't fuckin have APEC here ever again! It was miserable for us residents/taxpayers. We all stayed as far away from spending in SF! How dare all you wokesters block out and clean our streets for YOUR safety for that week when you can't extend the same services we pay for to us on the daily basis! You are all for the show...with really not much to show for it. Fraudsters. Fuck off! TRANSpartying.



APEC: SF Supervisor Calls for Hearing on Small Business Impacts  
sfstandard.com

***KARMA IS A BITCH IN 2024!***

***TRANSpartying!***

~ livid SF taxpayer/voter  
(TRANSpartying)



**From:** [Monica D](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov](#); [assemblymember.ting@assembly.ca.gov](#)  
**Subject:** Ahsha Safai & Shamann Walton  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 8:14:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Woke ass Safai and Walton,

Everyone is watching you, Fuckers!

Same goes for the other Wokesters Preston, Ronen, Chan, and Peskin!  
You fuckers ruined this city!



Dorsey: 'Cop Tax' shows how supes halt progress  
sfexaminer.com

***KARMA IS A BITCH IN 2024!***  
***TRANSpartying!***

~ livid SF taxpayer/voter  
(TRANSpartying)

**From:** [Monica D](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#); [District Attorney, \(DAT\)](#); [senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [Yee, Larry \(POL\)](#); [Byrne, Jim \(POL\)](#); [Yanez, Jesus \(POL\)](#); [Benedicto, Kevin \(POL\)](#); [Walker, Debra \(POL\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [gavin.newsom@gov.ca.gov](#); [assemblymember.ting@assembly.ca.gov](#)  
**Subject:** Newsom's shadow campaign  
**Date:** Thursday, November 23, 2023 6:09:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

So Newsom can turn the entire USA into a big tent California???? NOT A FUCKIN CHANCE! This Matrix douchebag has been a BIG FAILURE since 2004! TRANSpartying!



DeSantis exposes Newsom's plan to replace Biden | Blaze Media  
theblaze.com

***KARMA IS A BITCH IN 2024!***  
***TRANSpartying!***

~ livid SF taxpayer/voter  
(TRANSpartying)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 27 Letters regarding File No. 231016, Resolution No. 481-23  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:41:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [27 Letters regarding File No. 231016.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 27 letters regarding File No. 231016, Resolution No. 481-23.

**File No. 231016, Resolution No. 481-23** - Urging the MTA to Prohibit Right Turns on Red  
(Preston)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Scott Hall](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [MTABoard@sfmta.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MOD. \(ADM\)](#); [cac@sfmta.com](#); [clerk@sfcta.org](#); [MDC \(ADM\)](#); [Youthcom. \(BOS\)](#); [sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com](#); [LukeBornheimer@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urging you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy before the next SFMTA Board meeting  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 7:41:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Mayor Breed,

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy by the next SFMTA Board meeting — scheduled for December 5th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

In response to the citywide No Turn On Red campaign and overwhelmingly positive support from the public, the Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Supervisor Preston's resolution urging you and SFMTA to approve a citywide NTOR policy now, and the people of San Francisco need you to take immediate action and lead on this issue for their safety, roadway safety generally in the city, and climate action, among other related matters.

SFMTA's own evaluation showed that 92% of drivers complied with No Turn On Red, close calls decreased by 80%, and drivers blocking crosswalks decreased 72% after SFMTA implemented NTOR at 50 intersections in the Tenderloin in 2021. No Turn On Red increases safety, access, and comfort for people, and an overwhelming majority of drivers comply with NTOR, even with traffic enforcement at historic lows. A citywide No Turn On Red policy is an easy win for roadway safety and having a citywide policy will only increase compliance. A citywide policy also has widespread public support, including from people who primarily drive, some of whom talk about how a citywide policy would make driving more intuitive and reduce stress from other drivers aggressing at them when the driver behind them wants to turn on red.

Furthermore, an analysis from Washington, DC showed that drivers failing to yield to people crossing the street on a green decreased by nearly 60% — No Turn On Red increases safety for people during green lights in addition red lights. That same analysis showed that No Turn On Red decreased conflicts between cars by 97% — No Turn On Red makes streets significantly safer for car drivers and passengers. Other studies found that allowing turns on red significantly increases crashes and injuries for people walking and people on bikes. The data is clear: Implementing a citywide No Turn On Red policy will make streets safer for all people, especially children, seniors, people living with disabilities, and people walking and on bikes.

You can find all of the information (and related sources) at [NTORsf.com](#).

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis, with nearly 40 people being killed on our streets last year — the most since we committed to Vision Zero in 2014 — and supporting this resolution is the least we can do to address that crisis. The people of San Francisco need leadership on our roadway safety crisis, and this is a small but impactful thing you can do to help our city take action in addressing that crisis.

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy by the next SFMTA Board meeting — scheduled for December 5th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

~Scott

**From:** [Patrick Linehan](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [MTABoard@sfmta.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MOD. \(ADM\)](#); [cac@sfmta.com](#); [clerk@sfcta.org](#); [MDC \(ADM\)](#); [Youthcom. \(BOS\)](#); [sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com](#); [LukeBornheimer@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urging you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy before the next SFMTA Board meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 5:46:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Mayor Breed,

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy by the next SFMTA Board meeting — scheduled for December 5th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

In response to the citywide No Turn On Red campaign and overwhelmingly positive support from the public, the Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Supervisor Preston's resolution urging you and SFMTA to approve a citywide NTOR policy now, and the people of San Francisco need you to take immediate action and lead on this issue for their safety, roadway safety generally in the city, and climate action, among other related matters.

SFMTA's own evaluation showed that 92% of drivers complied with No Turn On Red, close calls decreased by 80%, and drivers blocking crosswalks decreased 72% after SFMTA implemented NTOR at 50 intersections in the Tenderloin in 2021. No Turn On Red increases safety, access, and comfort for people, and an overwhelming majority of drivers comply with NTOR, even with traffic enforcement at historic lows. A citywide No Turn On Red policy is an easy win for roadway safety and having a citywide policy will only increase compliance. A citywide policy also has widespread public support, including from people who primarily drive, some of whom talk about how a citywide policy would make driving more intuitive and reduce stress from other drivers aggressing at them when the driver behind them wants to turn on red.

Furthermore, an analysis from Washington, DC showed that drivers failing to yield to people crossing the street on a green decreased by nearly 60% — No Turn On Red increases safety for people during green lights in addition red lights. That same analysis showed that No Turn On Red decreased conflicts between cars by 97% — No Turn On Red makes streets significantly safer for car drivers and passengers. Other studies found that allowing turns on red significantly increases crashes and injuries for people walking and people on bikes. The data is clear: Implementing a citywide No Turn On Red policy will make streets safer for all people, especially children, seniors, people living with disabilities, and people walking and on bikes.

You can find all of the information (and related sources) at [NTORSf.com](#).

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis, with nearly 40 people being killed on our streets last year — the most since we committed to Vision Zero in 2014 — and supporting this resolution

is the least we can do to address that crisis. The people of San Francisco need leadership on our roadway safety crisis, and this is a small but impactful thing you can do to help our city take action in addressing that crisis.

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy by the next SFMTA Board meeting — scheduled for December 5th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

**From:** [Barry Grosfield](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 5:22:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

**I woke up in Zuckerberg General Hospital because of a right on red. OH! And the driver took off because they were probably drunk.**

-----

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Barry Grosfield  
bfgrosfield@gmail.com

Berkeley, California 94703



**From:** [Jakob Quezada](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:34:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Jakob Quezada  
jakobquezada@gmail.com  
289 Juanita Way  
San Francisco, California 94127



**From:** [Krissa Cavouras](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:22:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Krissa Cavouras  
krissa@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112



**From:** [Michael Sztenderowicz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 10:21:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Michael Sztenderowicz  
msztende82@gmail.com  
145 Webster street  
San Francisco, California 94117



**From:** [Chinmay Nirkhe](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Monday, November 27, 2023 7:16:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Chinmay Nirkhe  
cnirkhe@gmail.com  
18 Adrian St Unit 1  
Somerville, Massachusetts 02143





**From:** [Aaron Almanza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy!!  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 7:27:06 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Aaron Almanza  
j.aaron.almanza@gmail.com  
87 Miguel Street  
San Francisco, California 94131



**From:** [Austin Isaacsohn](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 6:43:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Austin Isaacsohn  
aisaacson@gmail.com  
1806, 15th St  
San Francisco, California 94103



**From:** [Darrell Rodgers](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 4:12:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Darrell Rodgers  
igotwaterhere@gmail.com  
143 Broderick St  
San Francisco, California 94117



**From:** [David Robertson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 4:04:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

David Robertson  
lego@sonic.net  
26 Jasper Place  
San Francisco, California 94133





**From:** [Nicola Pitchford](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 2:03:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Nicola Pitchford  
npitchford@me.com  
178 Palm Ave  
San Rafael, California 94901



**From:** [Taylor Ahlgren](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 1:06:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Taylor Ahlgren, San Francisco District 9 Resident

Taylor Ahlgren  
taylor.ahlgren@gmail.com  
1350 Shotwell Street

San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Jonathan Bünemann](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 12:37:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Jonathan Bünemann  
jonathanbuenemann@gmail.com  
1971 Green Street Apt B  
San Francisco, California 94123



**From:** [Gabriel Goffman](mailto:Gabriel.Goffman@sfmta.com)  
**To:** [mtaboard@sfmta.com](mailto:mtaboard@sfmta.com)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](mailto:Breed.Mayor.London@sfmta.com); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfmta.com); [MOD. \(ADM\)](mailto:MOD.(ADM)@sfmta.com); [cac@sfmta.com](mailto:cac@sfmta.com); [clerk@sfcta.org](mailto:clerk@sfcta.org); [MDC \(ADM\)](mailto:MDC(ADM)@sfmta.com); [Youthcom. \(BOS\)](mailto:Youthcom.(BOS)@sfmta.com); [sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com](mailto:sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com); [LukeBornheimer@gmail.com](mailto:LukeBornheimer@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Urging you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy at your next meeting  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 8:58:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi SFMTA Board of Directors,

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy at your next board meeting — scheduled for November 7th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

In response to the citywide No Turn On Red campaign and overwhelmingly positive support from the public, the Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Supervisor Preston's resolution urging you and SFMTA to approve a citywide NTOR policy now, and the people of San Francisco need you to take immediate action and lead on this issue for their safety, roadway safety generally in the city, and climate action, among other related matters.

SFMTA's own evaluation showed that 92% of drivers complied with No Turn On Red, close calls decreased by 80%, and drivers blocking crosswalks decreased 72% after SFMTA implemented NTOR at 50 intersections in the Tenderloin in 2021. No Turn On Red increases safety, access, and comfort for people, and an overwhelming majority of drivers comply with NTOR, even with traffic enforcement at historic lows. A citywide No Turn On Red policy is an easy win for roadway safety and having a citywide policy will only increase compliance. A citywide policy also has widespread public support, including from people who primarily drive, some of whom talk about how a citywide policy would make driving more intuitive and reduce stress from other drivers aggressing at them when the driver behind them wants to turn on red.

Furthermore, an analysis from Washington, DC showed that drivers failing to yield to people crossing the street on a green decreased by nearly 60% — No Turn On Red increases safety for people during green lights in addition red lights. That same analysis showed that No Turn On Red decreased conflicts between cars by 97% — No Turn On Red makes streets significantly safer for car drivers and passengers. Other studies found that allowing turns on red significantly increases crashes and injuries for people walking and people on bikes. The data is clear: Implementing a citywide No Turn On Red policy will make streets safer for all people, especially children, seniors, people living with disabilities, and people walking and on bikes.

You can find all of the information (and related sources) at [NTORsf.com](http://NTORsf.com).

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis, with nearly 40 people being killed on our streets last year — the most since we committed to Vision Zero in 2014 — and supporting this resolution is the least we can do to address that crisis. The people of San Francisco need leadership on our roadway safety crisis, and this is a small but impactful thing you can do to help our city take action in addressing that crisis.

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy at your next board meeting — scheduled for November 21st — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

Gabriel Goffman CFA  
Paragon Energy Capital

202-445-0878



**From:** [Ellen Koivisto \(offstage@earthlink.net\)](mailto:Ellen.Koivisto@earthlink.net) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 8:10:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Ellen Koivisto  
1556 Great Hwy  
SF, CA 94122  
[offstage@earthlink.net](mailto:offstage@earthlink.net)  
(415) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Michael Spring](#)  
**To:** [mtaboard@sfmta.com](mailto:mtaboard@sfmta.com)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MOD. \(ADM\)](#); [cac@sfmta.com](mailto:cac@sfmta.com); [clerk@sfcta.org](mailto:clerk@sfcta.org); [MDC \(ADM\)](#); [Youthcom. \(BOS\)](#); [sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com](mailto:sfbicycleadvisorycommittee@gmail.com); [LukeBornheimer@gmail.com](mailto:LukeBornheimer@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Urging you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy at your next meeting  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 11:09:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi SFMTA Board of Directors,

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy at your next board meeting — scheduled for November 7th — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

In response to the citywide No Turn On Red campaign and overwhelmingly positive support from the public, the Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Supervisor Preston's resolution urging you and SFMTA to approve a citywide NTOR policy now, and the people of San Francisco need you to take immediate action and lead on this issue for their safety, roadway safety generally in the city, and climate action, among other related matters.

SFMTA's own evaluation showed that 92% of drivers complied with No Turn On Red, close calls decreased by 80%, and drivers blocking crosswalks decreased 72% after SFMTA implemented NTOR at 50 intersections in the Tenderloin in 2021. No Turn On Red increases safety, access, and comfort for people, and an overwhelming majority of drivers comply with NTOR, even with traffic enforcement at historic lows. A citywide No Turn On Red policy is an easy win for roadway safety and having a citywide policy will only increase compliance. A citywide policy also has widespread public support, including from people who primarily drive, some of whom talk about how a citywide policy would make driving more intuitive and reduce stress from other drivers aggressing at them when the driver behind them wants to turn on red.

Furthermore, an analysis from Washington, DC showed that drivers failing to yield to people crossing the street on a green decreased by nearly 60% — No Turn On Red increases safety for people during green lights in addition red lights. That same analysis showed that No Turn On Red decreased conflicts between cars by 97% — No Turn On Red makes streets significantly safer for car drivers and passengers. Other studies found that allowing turns on red significantly increases crashes and injuries for people walking and people on bikes. The data is clear: Implementing a citywide No Turn On Red policy will make streets safer for all people, especially children, seniors, people living with disabilities, and people walking and on bikes.

You can find all of the information (and related sources) at [NTORsf.com](http://NTORsf.com).

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis, with nearly 40 people being killed on our streets last year — the most since we committed to Vision Zero in 2014 — and supporting this resolution is the least we can do to address that crisis. The people of San Francisco need leadership on our roadway safety crisis, and this is a small but impactful thing you can do to help our city take action in addressing that crisis.

I urge you to propose and approve a citywide No Turn On Red (NTOR) policy at your next board meeting — scheduled for November 21st — to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street, especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities, while making streets safer and more predictable for car drivers and safer for people on bikes, scooters, and other forms of active transportation.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Nick Martinelli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...  
**Date:** Saturday, November 25, 2023 11:00:13 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Nick Martinelli  
nichom@gmail.com  
168 Andover Street  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [John Oda \(jandjoda@aol.com\)](mailto:jandjoda@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 11:51:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

John Oda  
2000 post  
San Francisco, CA 94115  
[jandjoda@aol.com](mailto:jandjoda@aol.com)  
(415) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Karen Kirschling \(kumasong@icloud.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:kumasong@icloud.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 11:08:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Karen Kirschling  
633 Oak  
SF, CA 94117  
kumasong@icloud.com  
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [J. Barry Gurdin \(gurdin@hotmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:gurdin@hotmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 10:03:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

J. Barry Gurdin  
247 Ortega Street  
San Francisco, CA 94122  
gurdin@hotmail.com  
(415) 734-8425

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Peter Belden \(pbelden@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:pbelden@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 7:32:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Peter Belden  
519 VERMONT ST  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
pbelden@gmail.com  
(650) 387-2218

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.



**From:** [Barbara Scrafford \(bscrafford@aol.com\)](mailto:bscrafford@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 10:54:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I do not drive, am a full time pedestrian, and have been nearly hit several times with cars making right turns. This danger has gotten worse, since my vision has changed due to aging. The world is dangerous enough without this.

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Barbara Scrafford  
3227 Balboa St.  
San Francisco, CA 94121  
[bscrafford@aol.com](mailto:bscrafford@aol.com)  
(415) 385-3270

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Jeffrey Hurwitz \(jahurwitzhome@cs.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:jahurwitzhome@cs.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 10:18:11 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Hurwitz  
584 42nd Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94121  
jahurwitzhome@cs.com  
(888) 888-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Phyllis Chu \(eastwest2me@sbcglobal.net\)](mailto:Phyllis.Chu.(eastwest2me@sbcglobal.net)) Sent You a Personal Message  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 10:09:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I have experienced right turn drivers cutting me off as I was attempting to cross the street on green light. Instead of No Turn on Red please consider making all the pedestrian walks so people can crisscross if they need to. This way all cars are stopped, pedestrians can cross diagonally if they wish to save waiting for 2 different lights. It's much safer for everyone!!!

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Chu  
10 Sparta St  
San Francisco, CA 94134  
[eastwest2me@sbcglobal.net](mailto:eastwest2me@sbcglobal.net)  
(415) 468-5400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Sarah Boudreau \(boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 9:38:16 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Sarah Boudreau  
455 25th Avenue, Apt 2  
San Francisco, CA 94121  
[boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com](mailto:boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com)  
(508) 983-4372

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Allyson Bishop \(sugarpine61@rocketmail.com\) Sent You a Personal Message](mailto:sugarpine61@rocketmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support No Turn on Red in all of San Francisco  
**Date:** Friday, November 17, 2023 8:38:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a city-wide No Turn on Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. This is important because this will also promote sustainable transportation, particularly walking, biking and transit.

No Turn on Red has been proven to increase safety ? especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities ? including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn on Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn on Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

Sincerely,

Allyson Bishop  
836 , Page  
San Francisco, CA 94117  
sugarpine61@rocketmail.com  
(415) 465-2061

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at [member.care@sierraclub.org](mailto:member.care@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5673.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Music is far too loud and disturbing neighbors  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:46:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Jason Schlachet regarding File No. 230469, Resolution No. 341-23.

**File No. 230649, Resolution No. 341-23** - Liquor License Issuance - 2565 Mission Street - Arena SF

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Jason Schlachet <[jss@offramp.org](mailto:jss@offramp.org)>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 17, 2023 12:01 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Mark Andrews <[mark@planetandrews.com](mailto:mark@planetandrews.com)>; Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Lerma, Santiago (BOS) <[santiago.lerma@sfgov.org](mailto:santiago.lerma@sfgov.org)>; Azevedo, Kaitlyn (ADM) <[kaitlyn.azevedo@sfgov.org](mailto:kaitlyn.azevedo@sfgov.org)>; Monica Blaylock <[monica.blaylock@gmail.com](mailto:monica.blaylock@gmail.com)>; Emily Kurland <[capp.community.watch@gmail.com](mailto:capp.community.watch@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Music is far too loud and disturbing neighbors

Dear BoS,

I would like to add to Mark's comments on the continuing problem with this business.

You held a liquor license issuance hearing (File 230469; Introduced 4/21/2023, Enacted 7/6/2023.) I wanted to bring attention. One of the [documents](#) is a letter from Mark E Rennie, Attorney at Law. He writes,

“Arena SF is part of the Arena Nightlife Group which owns music venues in San Francisco, Orange County, Los Angeles, and Mountain View. Arena SF has been well-managed and non- problematic since 2019. There have been no noise complaints and minimal police issues since the business opened four years ago.”

This is factually incorrect. We worked with the Entertainments Commission on complaints about this business in January of 2020. We have email correspondence with Jordan Roberts (Senior Inspector), Kaitlyn Azevedo (ADM), and Antonio Savino (ADM). We had further interactions about this same issue in November of 2021.

So there were complaints before, they approached the BoS asking for a license, saying they had no complaints, and the board granted their license. Today we are still having problems with their sound levels.

Thanks,  
Jason Schlachet

On Nov 17, 2023, at 11:23 AM, Mark Andrews <[mark@planetandrews.com](mailto:mark@planetandrews.com)> wrote:

To further emphasize the nuisance this issue is causing. My partner had to sleep in another room last night because of the disruption. It's not acceptable for any business to violate the health and wellbeing of neighbors in this manner.

Last week, I filed a noise complaint and an inspector responded to the 311 ticket stating, 'Echo is in compliance of a new adjusted sound limit'. I want to stress that this internal sound limit has not had the effect it needs to (if they are truly maintaining the limit as requested, that is). There is excessive bass leakage from Echo, to the extent that neighbors directly behind the club can hear the bass indoors with windows closed. No reasonable person would accept that this situation is acceptable.

On Thu, Nov 16, 2023 at 9:47 PM Mark Andrews <[mark@planetandrews.com](mailto:mark@planetandrews.com)> wrote:

All,

This issue persists, I'm trying to enjoy watching a show on a Thursday night after a long work week, and the bass from the club is yet again interfering with my personal enjoyment of my own home. This is not acceptable.

I've had a lawyer issue notice to Echo today (attached), and I will pursue legal remedy if this issue is not resolved within 14-days. I've had more than enough patience managing this process with the Entertainment Commission, and have had no communication from Echo since their terse response on October 28th that they would not be doing anything because the Entertainment Commission said they were within compliance.

I'll repeat here, they are breaking the law, as detailed in my lawyer's analysis, and the city government is not upholding ordinance and law to protect San Francisco

residents in this case.

**I request at this point that you suspend Echo's ABC license as they are clearly not even attempting to resolve the issue.**

Thank you.

Mark Andrews.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose the plan to extend parking meter hours!  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:49:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Emilie Kim regarding File No. 230587, Resolution No. 289-23.

**File No 230587, Resolution No. 289-23** - Urging SFMTA to Delay Implementation of Meter Hour Extension (Peskin, Walton, Chan, Safai)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** Emilie Kim <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 3:34 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com  
**Subject:** I oppose the plan to extend parking meter hours!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent      Emilie Kim  
Email                              [emilie\\_kim@yahoo.com](mailto:emilie_kim@yahoo.com)

I live in District

**I oppose the plan to extend parking meter hours!**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors, Mayor Breed, Mr. Tumlin and

SFMTA Board Members,

I write to oppose the plan to extend parking meter hours and to support the Board of Supervisors' resolution 230587. Extending meter hours will negatively impact local businesses, discourage out-of-town visitors and add financial stress to local residents who already feel the instability and impact of an impending recession.

San Franciscans and tourists visit neighborhood business districts in the evenings to relax, unwind, and share a meal with their loved ones. Expanded parking meter hours will burden potential customers (especially seniors, the disabled, and families) with an additional cost, detracting from their overall enjoyment and inhibiting them from such activities.

Meter hours until 10pm will materially impact restaurant and retail workers who will be feeding meters and spending 2 to 3 times more on parking. Many service employees live outside San Francisco, and public transportation is frequently not an option.

If we want to boost our local economy and revitalize restaurants and tourist areas, we need to incentivize evening and Sunday customers, take care of workers, and not pile on additional costs at a time when rents and the price of food and necessary items are already so high.

I sincerely hope the Board of Supervisors votes to reject this plan. Please consider the needs of our local businesses and residents, as well as the overall interests of San Francisco. Thank you for your careful consideration of this matter.

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 2 Letters regarding Quality of Life Concerns  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:57:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2 Letters regarding quality of life concerns.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding quality of life concerns.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**

**Office of the Clerk of the Board**

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**

**San Francisco, CA 94102**

**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**

**[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)**

**From:** [tLW tLW](#)  
**To:** [ariana.bindman@sfgate.com](mailto:ariana.bindman@sfgate.com); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Unheard of crimes  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 5:18:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

And of course, this has absolutely nothing to do with Newsom, prop, 47 or liberal policies. Absolutely nothing to do with that. Wake up, losers. YIKES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Terry

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Julien DeFrance](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Souza, Sarah \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sawyer, Jason \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station, \(POL\)](#); [Info@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com](#); [Chris Schulman](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: San Francisco Has Some Serious Explaining To Do  
**Date:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 1:36:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The city's last-minute cleanup prior to hosting this month's international summit is an outright scandal—not because the effort failed, but because it succeeded.

San Francisco Has Some Serious  
Explaining To Do  
[newsbreakapp.com](#)



Please advise.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 2 Letters regarding Small Businesses  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 3:02:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [2 Letters regarding Small Businesses.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding small businesses.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**

**Office of the Clerk of the Board**

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**

**San Francisco, CA 94102**

**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**

**[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)**


**From:** [Philip Cropp](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#)  
**Subject:** Small business  
**Date:** Friday, November 24, 2023 6:44:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your city...congratulations!

Business owner says he's 'done' with San Francisco, claims government cares more about injection sites

<https://www.foxnews.com/media/business-owner-says-done-san-francisco-claims-government-cares-more-injection-sites>



9:42 5G 86%

< AAA

**MEDIA** 1 hour ago

# Business owner says he's 'done' with San Francisco, claims government cares more about injection sites

The business owner noted the grim irony that one can 'put a tent in front of someone's front door and sleep' but 'the city comes after him for regulation violations'

By Alexander Hall | Fox News

LIVE



SAN FRANCISCO  
MONDAY



TOM WONG | SAN FRANCISCO BUSINESS OWNER

SF MAYOR: "VIRAL VIDEOS" GIVING CITY A BAD RAP

**San Francisco mayor slammed for downplaying crime: 'Has to own up to her failure'**



PUMA Men's Roma...

\$39.99 ✓prime





**From:** [norma yee](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Help our SF small businesses  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 12:38:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

hello,

quote from sf chronicle article today:

"I can put a tent in front of someone's front door and sleep ... but the city comes after me for ADA compliance,"

this is the absolute joke, all of us sf citizens are having to deal with now.

clean up our city.

stop with the madness of 'safe injection' sites and open drug usage and tent sleeping.  
save our small and large businesses are leaving in droves.

otherwise, we might never be able to recover if our city does not get cleaned up.  
we can no longer allow people to break the law without consequences.

the only way to make all of this stop is for the consequences to be severe.

thank you,  
norma  
sf native / voter

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Vote NO to keep the SFMTA amendment OFF the ballot  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:59:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Dan Foldes regarding File No. 230986.

**File No. 230986** - Charter Amendment - Mayoral Authority to Disapprove Certain SFMTA Budget Proposals (Safai, Peskin, Ronen)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Dan Foldes <[info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 9:17 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Vote NO to keep the SFMTA amendment OFF the ballot

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to vote NO on Tuesday to keep the SFMTA charter amendment off the March ballot.

Giving the Mayor more power over Muni's ability to fund transit service and street improvements will not result in better service. Instead it will hamstring SFMTA's ability to recover from the pandemic and maintain transit service by making every fare increase and change to parking meters a political standoff.

The amendment is bad governance that obscures accountability for the service cuts that will inevitably flow from SFMTA's inability to raise revenue to fund transit operations. In the current budget environment, Muni needs access to every possible funding source to

continue its pandemic recovery.

We should be making it easier, not harder, for the agency to control its own future. Instead the proposed amendment will make every revenue measure a political fight, ensuring the system continues to struggle to serve the hundreds of thousands of daily riders who depend on it.

I'm counting on you to support a better future for transit in San Francisco. Please vote no on the proposed charter amendment.

Dan Foldes

[danfoldes@gmail.com](mailto:danfoldes@gmail.com)

1430 Cole #2

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Open up car parking all over the city to protect business.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:59:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Mari Eliza regarding parking on Valencia Street.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** zrants <zrants@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2023 5:42 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Open up car parking all over the city to protect business.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

November 21, 2023

Supervisors:

Thanks for helping to keep the businesses that are left stay alive by protecting parking options.

We know the math is not good for SFMTA. My proposal is simple. It appears that SFMTA is starting to listen to reason and the merchants as they appear to be altering the mess they made on Valencia. Here is their latest on that subject.

## Temporary Parking Changes Will Support Valencia Street Businesses

Given that we are trying to support both SFMTA MUNI and the merchants, it would be beneficial to increase the number of parking spaces while encouraging more people to pay to park in commercial zones. The net benefit is obvious. More people can get to their destinations, do their business and move on when parking is easier. The faster they can conclude their business the more turnover you will have. More business will boost sales taxes, improve the economy, and put money into MUNI operations.

Remove the time restrictions. Repaint the red, white, yellow, blue and green curbs that you used to remove paid parking spaces. Parklets are going away as the restaurants leave and that should return some of the revenue you lost.

Then there are the pesky bike rental stations that have eliminated parking spaces. If those are paying less than cars can, take some of them out and return the space to paying parkers. You might also consider how the loss of cars to bikes causes a loss of income and start charging bikes for something as they take up a larger percentage of the budget and the road.

Be honest. Admit that you need drivers to support the MUNI and businesses need them to survive. Eliminating cars did not boost the economy as anticipated. Market Street is a good example of a mistake. You need the private drivers to sustain MUNI for those who rely on it. The economy was better before the war on cars. Muni may have had economic problems but they were not as dire as they are now.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza  
[zrants@gmail.com](mailto:zrants@gmail.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Renaming Stow Lake  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 3:06:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Margaret Wrench regarding File No. 221088, Resolution No. 255-23.

**File No. 221088, Resolution No. 255-23** - Urging the Recreation and Park Commission to Remove the Name Stow from the Lake in Golden Gate Park, the Boathouse Located at the Lake, and the Drive Circling Strawberry Hill (Melgar, Chan, Peskin, Stefani, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Safai)

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** mwrench <mwrench@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 10:56 AM  
**To:** RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Renaming Stow Lake

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not name the lake after another person!!! The originators of GG Park were opposed to statuary and other "honorific" structures named after people because the park was supposed to be about a place where people could enjoy nature, not for memorials to people. Of the list submitted by Supervisor Melgar, Heron lake is probably the best, but Mallard Lake, Duck Lake, Stellar Jay Lake, or just Bird Lake would also be fine.

Thank you,  
Margaret Wrench  
49 year Sunset/Parkside resident  
Frequent appreciator of GG Park

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: No Ceasefire Resolution  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:26:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Hayward City Councilmember Dan Goldstein regarding a planned proposed Resolution calling for a cease-fire in the conflict between Israel and Hamas.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Dan Goldstein <dgold94544@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 1:10 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** No Ceasefire Resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I love your heart for defending the innocent but a) Israel and the US have already agreed[1] to protect innocent Palestinian lives going forward and provide all the aid necessary; b) any publicity makes an epic win for Hamas among other extremists and encourages more violence against Jews.

There are only 15 million Jews globally. We need our allies to stand up for us now against those who would rather see us dead and our ancestral homeland eradicated. I'm not asking for more hate or blame; quite the opposite. Please continue to lobby Congress for the strongest possible protections for innocent lives and for the dismantling of Hamas. Only then can a meaningful peace develop.

Source:

1. 11/30/2023 speech from Secretary Blinken

--Dan  
510-305-9949

Daniel Goldstein

Hayward City Council

I promise to do more for social justice and economic equality; to engage, reflect, and change.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please Invest in Solutions to Prevent Harmful Algae Blooms & Fish Kills  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:27:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below for communication from a member of the public regarding algal blooms.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

-----Original Message-----

From: [info@baykeeper.org](mailto:info@baykeeper.org) <[info@baykeeper.org](mailto:info@baykeeper.org)> On Behalf Of [news@baykeeper.org](mailto:news@baykeeper.org) via San Francisco Baykeeper  
Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2023 2:11 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Please Invest in Solutions to Prevent Harmful Algae Blooms & Fish Kills

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Mayor London Breed  
CC: SFPUC General Manager Dennis Herrera, SFPUC Commission, and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Mayor Breed,

I urge you to direct San Francisco's Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) to aggressively increase the city's investment in water recycling today.

Last summer, a large harmful algal bloom has spread across the Bay, leaving unimaginable numbers of dead fish in its wake. We're still learning about this particular bloom, but what we do know is that San Francisco's sewage effluent contributes to excessive levels of nutrients in San Francisco Bay that make the Bay fertile territory for the spread of harmful algae blooms.

Water recycling and other wastewater management technologies can help by reducing the volume of polluted discharges into the Bay. In addition, by producing potable supplies, water recycling will reduce San Francisco's reliance on water diverted from the Tuolumne River, increasing the city's resilience to climate change effects on water supply.

Other cities have learned the lessons of California's unpredictable climate and are quickly adopting water recycling to reduce their burden on the ecosystem, while increasing the reliability of their supply. Orange County gets more than 75 percent of its water through its water reuse program. Las Vegas recycles nearly all of its water used indoors. And Los Angeles is on the path to reusing 100 percent of its wastewater by 2035.

But, as San Francisco's draft Urban Water Management Plan recently revealed, the city currently has no plans to make recycled water widely available in the next 25 years. Instead, the city is pursuing multiple expensive and misguided lawsuits so that it can continue to rely, almost exclusively, on the Tuolumne River—one of the state's most overtapped rivers—for the next several decades. San Francisco and large agribusiness water districts divert four out of every five gallons of water that flow in the Tuolumne River during a typical year.

This overuse has caused the river's once mighty Chinook Salmon populations to crash. Meanwhile, low freshwater flows contribute to deteriorating water quality—including harmful algae blooms—in the Delta and San Francisco Bay.

I agree with Supervisor Aaron Peskin that “it is time for San Francisco and our sister cities in the nine Bay Area counties to start looking at what kinds of infrastructure investments will need to be made as this becomes, sadly, the new normal.” It's unacceptable for the city with the nation's greenest reputation to shirk its responsibilities to conserve California's precious and unpredictable water supply.

I support increasing river flows to protect San Francisco Bay's fisheries, water quality, and recreation. The city should do its part to protect the Bay and its rivers—water recycling is a common-sense way to limit the city's water use, increase the reliability of its supply, and protect the Bay from harmful wastewater treatment plant effluent.

Thank you,

Oakland, CA

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: I support Supervisor Matt Dorsey's request for an investigation of wealthy drug dealing defendants' eligibility for taxpayer-funded legal counsel.  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2023 2:53:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Melissa Ippolito regarding taxpayer funded legal counsel.

Sincerely,

**Joe Adkins**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102**  
**Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163**  
[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** Melissa Ippolito <noreply@jotform.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2023 4:29 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** I support Supervisor Matt Dorsey's request for an investigation of wealthy drug dealing defendants' eligibility for taxpayer-funded legal counsel.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent **Melissa Ippolito**

Email [melissaippo@gmail.com](mailto:melissaippo@gmail.com)

I live in District District 1

**I support Supervisor Matt Dorsey's request for an investigation of wealthy drug dealing defendants' eligibility for taxpayer-funded legal counsel.**

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I write to support Supervisor Matt Dorsey's request for an investigation of wealthy drug dealing defendants' eligibility for taxpayer-funded legal counsel from the S.F. Public Defender's Office.

Some current and former drug dealers recently told the San Francisco Chronicle that "they can make as much as \$350,000 a year – or even more if they help run a local operation." And it's tax-free.

Supervisor Dorsey is seeking information on policies and processes in our city's judiciary and criminal justice system to determine whether criminal defendants are eligible for free legal counsel funded by San Francisco taxpayers.

While criminal suspects have a constitutional right to legal counsel, there is no legal right to a publicly funded attorney for suspects who can afford to pay.

In fact, Section 6.104 of the San Francisco Charter explicitly states only that our "Public Defender shall, upon the request of an accused who is financially unable to employ counsel, or upon order of the Court ... defend criminal (suspects)."

Drug dealing is a plague on our city, and every legal tool available must be employed to fight the scourge of fentanyl and other deadly drugs, which are on pace to kill a record number of people in San Francisco.

I urge you to support this investigation and public hearings into how eligibility for free legal assistance is determined in San Francisco, including the study of other California counties' standards and implementation approaches.

Would you also let me know whether you support such public hearings and investigations? And if the results of the Budget Analyst's report turn out to confirm the fact that rich drug dealers are likely being represented by the Public Defender's office, would you support legislation to reduce or end this misuse of taxpayer funds?

Cc: District Attorney Brooke Jenkins  
Mayor London Breed

---

