

File No. 150026

Committee Item No. 1

Board Item No. 14

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

#### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Government Audit and Oversight

Date May 7, 2015

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date MAY 19, 2015

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- Board of Supervisors Motion No. M15-002
- Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 345-14
- EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE
- UPDATED CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE - 05/05/2015
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Completed by: Erica Major

Date May 1, 2015

Completed by: JM

Date MAY 12, 2015

1 [Follow-Up Board Response - 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report - "Rising Sea Levels...At  
2 Our Doorstep"]

3 **Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the**  
4 **Board of Supervisors' responses to Recommendation Nos. 3 and 12b contained in the**  
5 **2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep"; and**  
6 **urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through**  
7 **his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.**

8  
9 WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled  
10 "Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep" (Report) in June 2014; and

11 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee  
12 (GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on September 11, 2014,  
13 and the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 345-14 reflecting the GAO responses to  
14 the Report on September 16, 2014, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of  
15 Supervisors in File No. 140940; and

16 WHEREAS, GAO conducted an additional hearing on December 11, 2014, to receive  
17 an update from City departments on Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b, and  
18 adopted Motion No. M15-002 reflecting the GAO responses to the Report on January 13,  
19 2015, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 140792;  
20 and

21 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's  
22 Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts  
23 associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2)  
24 construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the  
25 impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king

1 tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not  
2 necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4)  
3 provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years”; and

4 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded by  
5 Resolution No. 345-14 that Recommendation No. 3 “requires further analysis, for reasons as  
6 follows: Future implementation of new Building Code provisions will require specific,  
7 prescriptive changes that account for flexibility. Further analysis and coordination between  
8 the scientific community and affected agencies must be performed to develop consistent,  
9 effective and practical policies, including Building or Planning Code changes, to address sea  
10 level rise. As such, the recommendation requires further analysis, and the Board of  
11 Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from the date of the  
12 issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014”; and

3 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on January 13, 2015, responded in Motion No.  
14 M15-002 that the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 3 “will require  
15 further analysis, for reasons as follows: City departments are actively working with one  
16 another and with regional and state agencies to evaluate and develop consistent guidance  
17 and policies to address sea level rise”; and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12b states: “The City should create a local working  
19 group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group”; and

20 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in  
21 Resolution No. 345-14 that Recommendation No. 12b “requires further analysis, for reasons  
22 as follows: The Board of Supervisors agrees that community and stakeholder involvement in  
23 the process of adapting to sea level rise is essential. The exact nature of the outreach and  
24 involvement has not yet been determined; as such, the recommendation requires further  
25

1 analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than six  
2 months from the date of the issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014"; and

3 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on January 13, 2015, responded in Motion No.  
4 M15-002 that the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 12b "will be  
5 implemented in the future, as follows: The proposed work program for developing a  
6 comprehensive citywide sea level rise adaptation plan would provide for robust outreach to  
7 and collaboration with local and regional community members and stakeholders"; and

8 WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury requested that the  
9 Board of Supervisors provide a status update on the responses to Recommendation Nos. 3  
10 and 12b; and

11 WHEREAS, GAO conducted an additional hearing on May 7, 2015, to receive an  
12 update from City departments on Recommendation Nos. 3, and 12b; now, therefore, be it

13 MOVED, That Recommendation No. 3 will not be implemented as the Sea Level Rise  
14 Coordinating and Technical Committees are already coordinating efforts to address these  
15 issues; and, be it

16 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. 12b will not be implemented as the  
17 Mayor's Office and City departments have already taken steps to address this issue by  
18 creating a Sea Level Rise Committee that seeks involvement from the community, private  
19 sector, and stakeholders, who will also need to note the regional nature of this requirement;  
20 and, be it

21 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the  
22 implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through  
23 the development of the annual budget.

RECEIVED VIA EMAIL  
5/5/2015

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE  
MAYOR

May 5, 2015

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
Attn: Government Audit and Oversight Committee  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Attached please find a summary of the status of recommendation updates for the following Civil Grand Jury recommendations:

- 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, *Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep*, Recommendation 3 and 12b.

This status of recommendations report should be included in the official legislative file for consideration at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kate Howard".

Kate Howard  
Mayor's Budget Director

**Status of Recommendations: 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep, Recommendation 3 and 12b.**

RECOMMENDATION	RESPONSE REQUIRED	ACTION PLAN	RESPONSE TEXT
<p>3: The City's Building Code and the Port's Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2) construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4) provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years.</p>	<p>Board of Supervisors- Planning</p>	<p>Recommendation Will Not Be Implemented</p>	<p>Building standards for development in flood prone areas are already provided in the City's Floodplain Management Ordinance. The Floodplain Management Ordinance applies to new development and substantial improvements to existing development that is located within the City's 100-year Flood Hazard Zone. FEMA is currently in the process of developing new flood hazard maps for the City's western shoreline that account for projected sea level rise under a West Coast Sea Level Rise Pilot Study, and it is anticipated that future flood hazard maps will account for flood risks related to sea level rise. Within our current regulatory structure, the California Environmental Quality Act provides the City with sufficient authority to require projects to be designed to minimize and mitigate potential flooding hazards related to sea level rise. The best investment that the City can make at this time is to examine the issue; build upon the newly adopted "Guidance for Incorporating on Sea Level Rise Into Capital Planning"; and create an action plan for longer term solutions beyond a building-by-building approach that the Code changes would govern. While the interdepartmental Coordinating and Technical Committees on sea level rise described in detail in our response to 12b below may consider building code changes in the future, that work will require further research and public dialog. As such, the recommended building code amendments are not appropriate at this time.</p>
<p>12b: The City should create a local working group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group.</p>	<p>Mayor Board of Supervisors Planning</p>	<p>Will Be Implemented in the Future</p>	<p>This year, Mayor Edwin M. Lee established two interdepartmental committees to manage the City's efforts on addressing sea level rise: the Sea Level Rise (SLR) Coordinating and SLR Technical Committees. The SLR Coordination Committee is a director-level committee co-chaired by Gil Kelley, Director of Citywide Planning at the Planning Department and Fuad Sweiss, City Engineer and Deputy Director at the Department of Public Works. SLR Coordination Committee members also include the Chief Resiliency Officer, and senior staff from the Mayor's Office, the City Administrator's Office, SFO, the Port of San Francisco, the SFPUC, SFMTA, DBI, OCII, OEWD, and the Capital Planning Committee. The responsibilities of the Coordination Committee are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coordinate the efforts of city departments and advise the Mayor's Office on policies, strategies, initiatives, and resolutions to deal with and plan for potential impact on San Francisco from sea level rise;</li> </ol>

			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Coordinate local efforts and initiatives with the work of other governmental entities and various stakeholders at the regional, state, and national levels such as EPA, HUD, Department of the Interior, California Coastal Commission, California Ocean Protection Council, Bay Conservation and Development Commission, etc.;</li> <li>3. Provide guidance and specific recommendations to City departments with regard to land use and strategies to protect assets and communities along the shoreline;</li> <li>4. Oversee and guide the existing SLR Technical Committee and implementation of the Capital Plan Guidance to address vulnerability and risks, and adaptability of the city's physical infrastructure; and</li> <li>5. Promote coordination and collaboration among city departments, private utility providers, and other stakeholders.</li> </ol> <p>The newly formed SLR Coordinating Committee is first charged with assessing the City's risk to sea-level rise. Once the data analysis phase is complete, the SLR Coordinating Committee will coordinate the City's SLR vulnerability assessment and adaptation planning efforts with local, regional, and national governmental and non-governmental organizations and with community stakeholders, as needed. Key to this effort will be determining how to best involve the community.</p> <p>The SLR Technical Committee is comprised of the same membership that developed the Capital Planning Committee's Sea Level Rise Guidance, including the SFPUC, Port, DPW, SFO, SFMTA, SFMTA, Capitol Planning, and the Planning Department, and is chaired by David Behar with SFPUC. This committee is charged with assisting all city agencies with consistent implementation of the Guidance, revising the Guidance as needed, and assisting the SLR Coordinating Committee as requested. In addition to being adopted by the Capital Planning Committee, the Sea Level Rise Guidance was also presented to the following Commissions: SFPUC, SFMTA, SFO, SF Port, and Planning.</p>
--	--	--	---

## Major, Erica (BOS)

---

**From:** Kim, Roger (MYR)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 06, 2015 9:54 AM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS)  
**Cc:** Kelley, Gil (CPC)  
**Subject:** Re: CGJ Response for Hearing

Yes - this is a consolidated response for all departments.

On May 6, 2015, at 9:52 AM, "Major, Erica (BOS)" <[erica.major@sfgov.org](mailto:erica.major@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Hey Roger,

So I had a question for recommendation 3--Planning and Board is listed in the response sheet attached but *DBI, Port*, and Planning were a part of the required response. Is this to be considered a consolidated response from all departments (Mayor, DBI, Port, and Planning)? If so, could I have that in writing? Just need a little clarity tracking-wise for our record retention.

Thank you for your continued support.

Best,

**Erica Major**  
Assistant Committee Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[Erica.Major@sfgov.org](mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

<image001.png> Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Simi, Chris (MYR)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 05, 2015 8:15 PM  
**To:** Major, Erica (BOS)  
**Cc:** Kim, Roger (MYR); Wheaton, Nicole (MYR)  
**Subject:** CGJ Response for Hearing

Hi Erica,

Attached, please find City depts' responses to recommendations 3 and 12b of the Rising Sea Levels Civil Grand Jury report.

Best,



Chris Simi  
Fiscal and Policy Analyst  
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance  
City and County of San Francisco  
(415) 554-4861

<May 5 2015 Response to CGJ.pdf>

## Major, Erica (BOS)

---

**From:** Somera, Alisa (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 06, 2015 4:52 PM  
**To:** Moyer, Monique (PRT); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Rahaim, John (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); Hui, Tom (DBI); Strawn, William (DBI); Jayin, Carolyn (DBI); Simi, Chris (MYR)  
**Cc:** Major, Erica (BOS)  
**Subject:** RE: 5/7 GAO Hearing: Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels

One additional item Supervisor Christensen asked to be included is how CEQA may already be providing authority, and what additional municipal code amendments would be proposed that are not currently addressed under CEQA.

### *Alisa Somera*

Assistant Clerk  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.4447 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

~~~~~

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Somera, Alisa (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 06, 2015 4:44 PM  
**To:** Moyer, Monique (PRT); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Rahaim, John (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); Hui, Tom (DBI); Strawn, William (DBI); Jayin, Carolyn (DBI); Simi, Chris (MYR)  
**Cc:** Major, Erica (BOS)  
**Subject:** 5/7 GAO Hearing: Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels

Responding Department,

On May 7, 2015, the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will be holding a follow-up hearing on the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels," (report attached) to consider two remaining recommendations.

Supervisor Christensen has requested that the Port, Planning, and Department of Building Inspection provide an update on one of the recommendations and have a representative present at the hearing to answer questions.

Specifically, the Supervisor has asked the department(s) provide a written update on Recommendation No. 3. A one-page memo providing the status of the implementation of the recommendation, with steps that have already been taken, should be sufficient:

Recommendation No. 3: "The City's Building Code and the Port's Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2) construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4) provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years."

The consolidated departments' responses, previously submitted on the dates indicated; are provided for your reference:

| Response - 09/11/2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Response - 12/11/2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>The recommendation requires further analysis.</b> Although CEQA provides the City with sufficient authority to require projects to be designed to minimize and mitigate potential hazards related to sea level rise, City departments are working with one another and with regional and state agencies to evaluate and develop consistent guidance and policies to address sea level rise. This includes researching adaptation and resiliency measures implemented by other municipalities, including building and planning code changes; and considering incorporating similar changes to the City's codes. The sea level rise projections will continue to evolve as new science and prediction methods become available. Therefore, any future implementation of new building code provisions will require specific, prescriptive changes that account for flexibility. Further analysis and coordination between the scientific community and affected agencies must be performed to develop consistent, effective, and practical policies, including possibly building or planning code changes, to address sea level rise.</p> | <p><b>Requires Further Analysis</b><br/>City departments are actively working with one a state agencies to evaluate and develop consisten address sea level rise. This includes researching a measures implemented by other municipalities, i code changes; and considering incorporating sim The Planning Department is currently seeking fur adaptation planning to its work program. The prc consideration and development of municipal cod implement the adaptation plan.</p> |

Please provide the written response no later than Wednesday, April 29<sup>th</sup>, for inclusion with the packet materials for this matter, and confirm a representative from your department will be in attendance at GAO on May 7<sup>th</sup> @ 10:30 a.m.

Thank you in advance.

*Alisa Somera*

Assistant Clerk  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
415.554.4447 direct | 415.554.5163 fax  
[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

~~~~~

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding*

*pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**Major, Erica**

---

**From:** Major, Erica  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 11, 2015 3:59 PM  
**To:** Simi, Chris (MYR); Kim, Roger (MYR); Wheaton, Nicole (MYR); Rahaim, John (CPC); Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Kern, Christopher (CPC); Hui, Tom (DBI); Strawn, William (DBI); Jayin, Carolyn (DBI); Moyer, Monique (PRT); Forbes, Elaine (PRT); Eisele, Lauren (PRT); Prasad, Uday (PRT)  
**Cc:** Somera, Alisa (BOS); Mormino, Matthias (BOS); Steeves, Asja (CON); Johnston, Conor (BOS); Quizon, Dyanna (BOS)  
**Subject:** TENTATIVE - 6 Month Follow Up - Government Audit and Oversight Committee - Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep

Greetings:

The anticipated hearing date to follow-up on the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled, "Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep" **is tentatively scheduled for May 7, 2015, at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting in City Hall, Room 263 at 10:30 a.m.** Please submit any updates or reports your department may have on the current status of Recommendation Nos. 3 and 12b of the Report for the Supervisors consideration during the hearing (see below for specifics on language and responding departments). As a reminder a department representative is required to attend and answer any questions raised. The hearing will be following up on the below recommendations:

<p><b>Recommendation 3:</b> The City's Building Code and the Port's Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2) construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4) provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years</p>	<p>Board of Supervisors Department of Building Inspection Planning Department Port</p>
<p><b>Recommendation 12b:</b> The City should create a local working group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group</p>	<p>Board of Supervisors Mayor Planning Department</p>

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thank you in advance.

**Erica Major**  
Assistant Committee Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

1 [Follow-Up Board Response - 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report - "Rising Sea Levels...At  
2 Our Doorstep"]

3 **Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury request to provide a status update on the**  
4 **Board of Supervisors' responses to Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b**  
5 **contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels...At**  
6 **Our Doorstep;" and urging the Mayor to cause implementation of accepted findings**  
7 **and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development**  
8 **of the annual budget.**

9  
10 WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled  
11 "Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep" (Report) in June 2014; and

12 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee  
13 (GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on September 11, 2014,  
14 and the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 345-14 reflecting the GAO responses to  
15 the Report on September 16, 2014; and

16 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1a states: "The City should prepare and adopt a risk  
17 assessment in preparation for developing its comprehensive plan regarding the rising sea  
18 level issue" and the Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in Resolution  
19 No. 345-14 that Recommendation No. 1a "has not been implemented but will be implemented  
20 in September 2014, as follows: The draft comprehensive plan referenced in Finding No. 1 was  
21 presented to the Capital Planning Committee in May 2014 and will be adopted in September  
22 2014. The draft plan provides a framework that can be used in assessing risk associated with  
23 development along San Francisco's shoreline and in addressing that risk;" and

24 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1b states: "The City should adopt a citywide  
25 comprehensive plan for adaptation to rising sea levels, especially along its shores and its

1 floodplains. Said plan should include the provision that construction projects approval should  
2 take into account the anticipated lifespan of each project and the risks faced as outlined in  
3 said plan. Special consideration should be given to those anticipated to survive for more than  
4 30 years. Said plan should include a provision that the plan be reviewed and reassessed  
5 every 5 years” and the Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in Resolution  
6 No. 345-14 that Recommendation No. 1b “has not been implemented but will be implemented  
7 in September 2014, as follows: CEQA provides the Planning Department with the authority to  
8 require that projects be designed to minimize and mitigate potential hazards related to sea  
9 level rise and takes into account the asset life cycle in its evaluation;” and

10 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2a states: “The Planning Code should be amended  
11 to include maps showing the areas in the City that are most at risk from the impacts of sea  
12 level rise. The Planning Code should be amended to prohibit development in said at-risk  
13 areas unless there is compliance with the provisions of the City’s Building Code and the Port’s  
14 Building Code (if applicable to the project) outlined in Recommendations 3a and 3b. The  
15 Planning Code should include a provision that the amended sections of the Code regarding  
16 the impact of rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years” and the Board of  
17 Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in Resolution No. 345-14 that  
18 Recommendation No. 2a “requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: While this  
19 recommendation does not directly fall under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the  
20 San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) and Port have published maps depicting  
21 areas along San Francisco’s bay and ocean shorelines that are potentially vulnerable to future  
22 flooding due to sea level rise through 2100, and the Planning Department considers these  
23 maps in evaluating the potential flood hazards for projects located in areas vulnerable to sea  
24 level rise under CEQA; as such, the recommended Planning Code amendments require



1 further analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than  
2 six months from the date of the issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014;" and

3 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's  
4 Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts  
5 associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2)  
6 construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the  
7 impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king  
8 tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not  
9 necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4)  
10 provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years" and the  
11 Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in Resolution No. 345-14 that  
12 Recommendation No. 3 "requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: Future  
13 implementation of new Building Code provisions will require specific, prescriptive changes that  
14 account for flexibility. Further analysis and coordination between the scientific community and  
15 affected agencies must be performed to develop consistent, effective and practical policies,  
16 including Building or Planning Code changes, to address sea level rise. As such, the  
17 recommendation requires further analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report back to the  
18 Grand Jury no later than six months from the date of the issuance of the report or by  
19 December 25, 2014;" and

20 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11d states: "The City should request an insurance  
21 premium estimate from [Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)] and then compare  
22 that estimate with the funding it could acquire from FEMA for mitigation and adaptation  
23 against future flooding" and the Board of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in  
24 Resolution No. 345-14 that Recommendation No. 11d "requires further analysis, for reasons  
25 as follows: While this recommendation does not fall directly under the jurisdiction of the Board

1 of Supervisors, City staff are currently pursuing all available opportunities to work with the  
2 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) on sea level rise mitigation measures; as  
3 such, the recommendation requires further analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report  
4 back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from the date of the issuance of the report or  
5 by December 25, 2014;" and

6 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12b states: "The City should create a local working  
7 group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group" and the Board  
8 of Supervisors on September 16, 2014, responded in Resolution No. 345-14 that  
9 Recommendation No. 12b "requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: The Board of  
10 Supervisors agrees that community and stakeholder involvement in the process of adapting to  
11 sea level rise is essential. The exact nature of the outreach and involvement has not yet been  
12 determined; as such, the recommendation requires further analysis, and the Board of  
13 Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from the date of the  
14 issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014;" and

15 WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 City and County of San Francisco Civil Grand Jury  
16 requested that the Board of Supervisors provide a status update on the responses to  
17 Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b; and

18 WHEREAS, GAO conducted an additional hearing on December 11, 2014, to receive  
19 an update from City departments on Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b; now,  
20 therefore, be it

21 MOVED, That Recommendation No. 1a has been implemented as reported by Mayoral  
22 staff at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting on December 11, 2014, as  
23 follows: On September 22, 2014, the Capital Planning Committee adopted the "Guidance for  
24 Incorporating Sea Level Rise Into Capital Planning in San Francisco: Assessing Vulnerability,  
25 Risk to Support Adaptation;" and, be it

1 FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. 1b has been implemented as reported  
2 by Mayoral staff at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting on December 11,  
3 2014, as follows: On September 22, 2014, the Capital Planning Committee adopted the  
4 "Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise Into Capital Planning in San Francisco: Assessing  
5 Vulnerability, Risk to Support Adaptation;" and, be it

6 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No.  
7 2a will not be implemented, for reasons as follows: The San Francisco Public Utilities  
8 Commission (SFPUC) and Port have published maps depicting areas along San Francisco's  
9 bay and ocean shorelines that are potentially vulnerable to future flooding due to sea level rise  
10 through 2100. Furthermore, CEQA provides the Planning Department with sufficient authority  
11 to require projects to be designed to minimize and mitigate potential hazards related to  
12 impacts from sea level rise and thus amendments to the Planning Code are not warranted;  
13 and, be it

14 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No.  
15 3 will require further analysis, for reasons as follows: City departments are actively working  
16 with one another and with regional and state agencies to evaluate and develop consistent  
17 guidance and policies to address sea level rise; and, be it

18 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No.  
19 11d will not be implemented, for reasons as follows: FEMA's National Flood Insurance  
20 Program (NFIP) does not offer flood coverage to municipalities; only to private property  
21 owners in jurisdictions that participate in the program; and, be it

22 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No.  
23 12b will be implemented in the future, as follows: The proposed work program for developing  
24 a comprehensive citywide sea level rise adaptation plan would provide for robust outreach to  
25 and collaboration with local and regional community members and stakeholders; and, be it

1           FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the  
2 implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through  
3 the development of the annual budget.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion: M15-002

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 140792

Date Passed: January 13, 2015

Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury request to provide a status update on the Board of Supervisors' responses to Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels..At Our Doorstep;" and urging the Mayor to cause implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

September 11, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - HEARD AND TABLED

December 11, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - PREPARED IN COMMITTEE AS A MOTION

December 11, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED

January 13, 2015 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 140792

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 1/13/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE  
09/11/2014

FILE NO. 140940

RESOLUTION NO. 345-14

1 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep]

2  
3 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings**  
4 **and recommendations contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled**  
5 **“Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep;” and urging the Mayor to cause the**  
6 **implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her**  
7 **department heads and through the development of the annual budget.**

8  
9 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of  
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior  
11 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

12 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or  
13 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a  
14 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head  
15 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the  
16 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over  
17 which it has some decision making authority; and

18 WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Rising Sea Levels...At  
19 Our Doorstep” is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 140940, which is  
20 hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

21 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond  
22 to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 2a, 2b,  
23 3, 5, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 12a, and 12b contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

24 WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: “The City does not have a citywide comprehensive  
25 plan that addresses the rising sea level issue;” and

1           WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "The City's Planning Code has no provisions  
2 addressing the impacts associated with rising sea levels. Without appropriate provisions  
3 within the City's Planning Code, there are no effective means to insure sustainable  
4 development on land vulnerable to rising sea levels;" and

5           WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's Building  
6 Code have no provisions addressing the impacts associated with rising sea levels. Without  
7 appropriate provisions within the city's Building Code and the Port's Building Code, there are  
8 no effective means to control construction methods that would insure a project's resistance to  
9 the impacts of rising sea levels;" and

10           WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "A comprehensive risk assessment of Ocean Beach,  
11 with mitigation recommendations made to the City regarding rising sea levels, was completed  
12 by SPUR, with City, State of California and U.S Corps of Engineers involvement, resulting in  
13 the Ocean Beach Master Plan, dated May, 2012;" and

14           WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: "The City has not set aside funds for the cost of  
15 adaptation to sea level rise;" and

16           WHEREAS, Finding No. 12 states: "Rising sea levels is a regional problem. What one  
17 community does to protect its shorelines may have a negative impact on a neighboring  
18 community. This has been successfully accomplished by four counties on the east coast of  
19 Florida, as an example;" and

20           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1a states: "The City should prepare and adopt a  
21 risk assessment in preparation for developing its comprehensive plan regarding the rising sea  
22 level issue;" and

23           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1b states: "The City should adopt a citywide  
24 comprehensive plan for adaptation to rising sea levels, especially along its shores and its  
25 floodplains. Said plan should include the provision that construction projects' approval should

1 take into account the anticipated lifespan of each project and the risks faced as outlined in  
2 said plan. Special consideration should be given to those anticipated to survive for more than  
3 30 years. Said plan should include a provision that the plan be reviewed and reassessed  
4 every 5 years;" and

5 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1c states: "The City should build infrastructure  
6 systems that are resilient and adaptable to rising sea levels. That the City, through its  
7 planning and building departments, require that any construction project vulnerable to future  
8 shoreline or floodplain flooding be designed to be resilient to sea level rise at the 2050  
9 projection, e.g., 16 inches if the construction is not expected to last longer than 2050. For  
10 construction intended to last longer than 2050, that the City require that the project be  
11 designed to address sea level rise projections for the longer term;" and

12 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1d states: "That City departments that would  
13 necessarily be involved in adaptation to rising sea levels, such as Department of Public  
14 Works, Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, the Port, coordinate  
15 their projects with each other and with utility companies, such as PG&E, Comcast, and AT&T,  
16 to minimize inconvenience to the public, and to businesses, and to further avoid repetition of  
17 efforts and inefficient use of funds, labor, and time;" and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2a states: "The Planning Code should be amended  
19 to include maps showing the areas in the City that are most at risk from the impacts of sea  
20 level rise. The Planning Code should be amended to prohibit development in said at-risk  
21 areas unless there is compliance with the provisions of the City's Building Code and the Port's  
22 Building Code (if applicable to the project) outlined in Recommendations 3a and 3b. The  
23 Planning Code should include a provision that the amended sections of the Code regarding  
24 the impact of rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years;" and  
25



1           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2b states: "The Planning Code should be amended  
2 to discourage permanent development in at risk areas where public safety cannot be  
3 protected;" and

4           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's  
5 Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts  
6 associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2)  
7 construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the  
8 impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king  
9 tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not  
10 necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4)  
11 provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years;" and

12           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 5 states: "The City should consider implementation  
13 of recommendations that are most pertinent to the City, as set forth in the Ocean Beach  
14 Master Plan of May 2012;" and

15           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11a states: "The City should start a reserve fund for  
16 adaptation for rising sea levels, a portion of which could be obtained from a surcharge on  
17 development planned for areas vulnerable to said eventuality;" and

18           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11b states: "The City should assess costs of both  
19 implementation of adaptation strategies and potential losses from failing to do so;" and

20           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11c states: "The City should explore applying for  
21 grants offered by Congress' Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program. Receipt of grants is based upon  
22 risk assessments that indicate that potential savings exceed the cost of implementation. The  
23 City should explore available matching funds from the Army Corps of Engineers and other  
24 federal sources;" and

25

1           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11d states: "The City should request an insurance  
2 premium estimate from FEMA and then compare that estimate with the funding it could  
3 acquire from FEMA for mitigation and adaptation against future flooding;" and

4           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12a states: "The City, through its Mayor and Board  
5 of Supervisors, should coordinate its efforts with other cities and organizations in the bay area  
6 by establishing a regional working group to address the impact of rising sea levels;" and

7           WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12b states: "The City should create a local working  
8 group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group;" and

9           WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of  
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior  
11 Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d,  
12 2a, 2b, 3, 5, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 12a, and 12b contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report;  
13 now, therefore, be it

14           RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the  
15 Superior Court that the Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with Finding No. 1, for  
16 reasons as follows: The City formed in 2013 a Sea Level Rise Committee which addressed  
17 sea level rise. A draft plan was presented to the City Administrator, department heads and the  
18 Capital Planning Committee in May 2014 and is currently going through review by City  
19 agencies; and, be it

20           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that the Board of  
21 Supervisors partially disagrees with Finding No. 2, for reasons as follows: While the Planning  
22 Code does not include provisions addressing impacts associated with sea level rise, the  
23 Planning Department evaluates whether proposed projects would expose people or structures  
24 to a significant risk of loss, injury or death due to flooding as a result of future sea level rise as  
25

1 part of the environmental review process required under the California Environmental Quality  
2 Act (CEQA); and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that the Board of  
4 Supervisors partially disagrees with Finding No. 3, for reasons as follows: While the Board of  
5 Supervisors does not have jurisdiction, the Board agrees that the City's Building Code and the  
6 Port's Building Code do not include provisions addressing impacts associated with sea level  
7 rise, the Planning Department does evaluate whether proposed projects would expose people  
8 or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death due to flooding as a result of future  
9 sea level rise as part of the environmental review process required under CEQA; and, be it

10 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that the Board of  
11 Supervisors agrees with Finding No. 5; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that the Board of  
13 Supervisors agrees with Finding No. 11, for the reason as follows: While the Board of  
14 Supervisors have not specifically set aside funds for addressing adaptation to sea level rise, it  
15 is being addressed through the draft comprehensive plan that will be addressed when working  
16 with the Capitol Planning Committee on future budget allocations on an annual basis; and, be  
17 it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that the Board of  
19 Supervisors agrees with Finding No. 12; and, be it

20 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
21 No. 1a has not been implemented but will be implemented in September 2014, as follows: The  
22 draft comprehensive plan referenced in Finding No. 1 was presented to the Capital Planning  
23 Committee in May 2014 and will be adopted in September 2014. The draft plan provides a  
24 framework that can be used in assessing risk associated with development along San  
25 Francisco's shoreline and in addressing that risk; and, be it

1 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
2 No. 1b has not been implemented but will be implemented in September 2014, as follows:  
3 CEQA provides the Planning Department with the authority to require that projects be  
4 designed to minimize and mitigate potential hazards related to sea level rise and takes into  
5 account the asset life cycle in its evaluation; and, be it

6 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
7 No. 1c will not be implemented, for reasons as follows: While the Board of Supervisors agrees  
8 that the City should build infrastructure that are resilient and adaptable to rising sea levels,  
9 requiring that construction projects should be designed to be resilient to the existing 2050  
10 projection does not take into account other factors that should influence projects, including  
11 exposure to storm surge or wave action, asset lifespan and location, and consequence of  
12 failure for a project; further, the draft comprehensive plan referenced in Finding No. 1 will  
13 address this issue; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
15 No. 1d has been implemented, as follows: While this recommendation does not directly fall  
16 under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, City departments currently coordinate  
17 projects with each other and various utility companies according to procedures established  
18 many years ago; and, be it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
20 No. 2a requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: While this recommendation does not  
21 directly fall under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Public  
22 Utilities Commission (SFPUC) and Port have published maps depicting areas along San  
23 Francisco's bay and ocean shorelines that are potentially vulnerable to future flooding due to  
24 sea level rise through 2100, and the Planning Department considers these maps in evaluating  
25 the potential flood hazards for projects located in areas vulnerable to sea level rise under

1 CEQA; as such, the recommended Planning Code amendments require further analysis, and  
2 the Board of Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from the  
3 date of the issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
5 No. 2b will not be implemented, for reasons as follows: CEQA provides the Planning  
6 Department with the authority to require projects to be designed to minimize and mitigate  
7 potential hazards related to sea level rise; and, be it

8 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
9 No. 3 requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: Future implementation of new Building  
10 Code provisions will require specific, prescriptive changes that account for flexibility. Further  
11 analysis and coordination between the scientific community and affected agencies must be  
12 performed to develop consistent, effective and practical policies, including Building or  
13 Planning Code changes, to address sea level rise. As such, the recommendation requires  
14 further analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than  
15 six months from the date of the issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014; and, be it

16 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
17 No. 5 has been implemented, as follows: SFPUC, MTA, Department of Public Works (DPW)  
18 and the Planning Department are actively working with SPUR, the California Coastal  
19 Commission, and other state and federal agencies and community stakeholders to implement  
20 the Ocean Beach Master Plan recommendations concerning coastal erosion, and this work is  
21 ongoing; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
23 No. 11a will not be implemented, for reasons as follows: A reserve fund for sea level rise  
24 adaptation is unnecessary since the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors allocate capital  
25

1 funds on an annual basis, and the City's 10-year Capital Plan can incorporate efforts to  
2 address sea level rise through its annual budgeting process; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
4 No. 11b has been implemented, as follows: The City identified both natural and man hazards  
5 facing the City as part of the 2014 San Francisco Hazard Mitigation Plan; future versions of  
6 the Hazard Mitigation Plan will incorporate the more recent work of the Sea Level Rise  
7 Committee by updating the sea level rise hazard profile and by including a vulnerability  
8 analysis for sea level rise; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
10 No. 11c has been implemented, as follows: While this recommendation does not fall directly  
11 under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, the City and its various agencies have  
12 taken the necessary steps to qualify for and receive federal funding. Although some efforts  
13 have yet to find success, City departments will continue to actively pursue these and other  
14 funding options; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
16 No. 11d requires further analysis, for reasons as follows: While this recommendation does not  
17 fall directly under the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, City staff are currently pursuing  
18 all available opportunities to work with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)  
19 on sea level rise mitigation measures; as such, the recommendation requires further analysis,  
20 and the Board of Supervisors will report back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from  
21 the date of the issuance of the report or by December 25, 2014; and, be it

22 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
23 No. 12a has been implemented, for reasons as follows: The City's Sea Level Rise Committee  
24 reached out to a number of other jurisdictions to assess sea level rise strategies being  
25 pursued in other locations; and a working group including the Airport, San Mateo County, Bay

1 Conservation and Development Commission, California Coastal Conservancy, and other  
2 stakeholders began meeting in August 2014 to address impacts of sea levels on the peninsula  
3 and will continue to do so; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation  
5 No. 12b requires further analysis, for as follows: The Board of Supervisors agrees that  
6 community and stakeholder involvement in the process of adapting to sea level rise is  
7 essential. The exact nature of the outreach and involvement has not yet been determined; as  
8 such, the recommendation requires further analysis, and the Board of Supervisors will report  
9 back to the Grand Jury no later than six months from the date of the issuance of the report or  
10 by December 25, 2014; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the  
12 implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department  
13 heads and through the development of the annual budget.



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails
Resolution

File Number: 140940

Date Passed: September 16, 2014

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels... At Our Doorstep," and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

September 11, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

September 11, 2014 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED AS A COMMITTEE REPORT

September 16, 2014 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Chiu, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 140940

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 9/16/2014 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[Handwritten signature of Angela Calvillo]
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

Unsigned
Mayor

9/26/14
Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

[Handwritten signature of Angela Calvillo]
Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board



Print Form

# Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2015 JAN 27 PM 1:16

Time stamp  
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor [ ] inquires"
- 5. City Attorney request.
- 6. Call File No. [ ] from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No. [ ]
- 9. Reactivate File No. [ ]
- 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on [ ]

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission     Youth Commission     Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission     Building Inspection Commission

**Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative Form.**

**Sponsor(s):**

Clerk of the Board

**Subject:**

Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep

**The text is listed below or attached:**

Hearing to receive updates from various City departments required to provide a response on the implementation of Recommendation Nos. 3 and 12b contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea Levels... At Our Doorstep;" and respond to the Civil Grand Jury on the status of these implementations.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: 

For Clerk's Use Only:

150026

