File No.	201251

Committee Item No.	
Board Item No.	35

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:		Date:		
Board of Sup	pervisors Meeting	Date:	December 8, 2020	
	d Motion Resolution Ordinance			
	Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence			
OTHER				
Prepared by: Prepared by:	Jocelyn Wong	Date:	December 4, 2020	

1	Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Community Plan Evaluation - 350-352 San Jose
	Avenue]
2	
_	

3 Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing a Community Plan

4 Evaluation determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 350-

352 San Jose Avenue is exempt from further environmental review under a Community

Plan Evaluation.

WHEREAS, On September 23, 2020, the Planning Department issued a Community Plan Evaluation and an Initial Study ("environmental determination"), pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, 14 Cal. Code of Reg. sections 15000 et seq., and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, finding that the proposed project at 350-352 San Jose Avenue ("Project") is consistent with the development density established by zoning, community plan, and general plan policies in the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans (the "Area Plan") for the project site, for which a Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (the "PEIR") was certified; and

WHEREAS, The approximately 7,150-square-foot project site is located on the west side of San Jose Avenue, on the block bounded by 25th Street to the north, Valencia Street to the east, Guerrero Street to the west, and 26th Street to the south, in the Mission neighborhood; the existing on-site building is an approximately 3,560-square-foot, approximately 34-foot-tall, two-story-over-basement residential building constructed circa 1875; the existing building contains four dwelling units and is set back 40 feet from the front property line; and

WHEREAS, The Project would move the existing on-site building 23 feet eastward on the lot (toward the San Jose Avenue frontage), reducing the front set back from approximately 40 feet to approximately 17 feet; the Project would also include horizontal and vertical

additions to the building that would increase the residential square footage by approximately
8,670 square feet to a new total of approximately 12,235 square feet; one vertical floor would
be added to the building, with a resulting height of 40 feet, with an additional 3 feet to the top
of the rooftop mechanical features; the proposed vertical addition is within the existing 40-X
height limit allowed on the site; eight dwelling units would be added to the building - at the
basement, first, second, and third floors, for a total of 12 dwelling units and an accessory
dwelling unit ("ADU"); the ADU unit would be added on the basement level. The final unit mix
would be six one-bedroom units, six two-bedroom units, and one two-bedroom ADU; the
existing curb cut would be removed and a new 10-foot curb cut would be installed in the same
location; the Project would not include any off-street vehicle parking; space for 10 Class 1
bicycle spaces would be provided in the rear yard; and
WHEREAS, On December 10, 2019, a request for Discretionary Review ("DR") was

WHEREAS, On December 10, 2019, a request for Discretionary Review ("DR") was filed; subsequent DRs were filed and the project sponsor entered into negotiations with the DR filers; these negotiations led to changes in the Project, which are reflected in a September 17, 2020, plan set; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission considered the Project on September 24, 2020, and took discretionary review for the Project by Planning Commission Discretionary Review Action DRA-722, which constituted the approval action under Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, On October 26, 2020, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of Elisabeth Kranier, filed an appeal of the Environmental determination; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated November 2, 2020, determined that the appeal had been timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On December 8, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the environmental determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the Environmental determination; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the determination that the Project did not require further environmental review subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the environmental determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 201248 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the environmental determination issued by the Planning Department for the Project.

n:\land\as2019\1900434\01497187.docx

24

25

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

		or meeting date			
·	•				
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).					
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Refere	ence to Committee.				
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Com	mittee.				
4. Request for letter beginning: "Supervisor		inquiries"			
5. City Attorney Request.					
6. Call File No. fr	rom Committee.				
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion	n).				
8. Substitute Legislation File No.					
9. Reactivate File No.					
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance befo	ore the BOS on				
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed le	egislation should be forwarded to the follo	owing:			
Small Business Commission	outh Commission	ommission			
☐ Planning Commission	Building Inspection Commissi	ion			
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not	on the printed agenda), use the Impera	ntive Form.			
Sponsor(s):					
Clerk of the Board					
Subject:					
Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Community Pl	lan Evaluation - 350-352 San Jose Avenu	e			
The text is listed:					
Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare fin the Planning Department that a proposed project at 35 review under a Community Plan Evaluation.					
Signature of Sponsor	ring Supervisor:				

For Clerk's Use Only