FILE NO. 260293

Petitions and Communications received from March 12, 2026, through March 19, 2026, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on March 24, 2026.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Offices of Supervisors Jackie Fielder, Myrna Melgar, Danny Sauter, and Chyanne Chen, submitting excusal notices from Board of Supervisors meeting of March 17, 2026. 3 Notices. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Department of Public Health (DPH), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Form. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Controller (CON), submitting the Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-92, submitting the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund Annual Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Department of Public Works (DPW), submitting a response to a Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Stephen Sherrill at the February 10, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Office of the City Administrator (ADM) and San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD), submitting responses to a Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Danny Sauter at the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting. 2 Responses. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the San Francisco Arts Commission (ART), submitting agendas for the March 16, 2026, Civic Design Review Committee meeting; March 18, 2026, Visual Arts Committee meeting; and March 25, 2026, Executive Committee meeting. 3 Agendas. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Human Rights Commission (HRC), submitting HRC Resolution No. 26-01: Support for the HRC’s March 2, 2026, Budget Submission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the San Francisco Health Service System (HSS), pursuant to City Charter, Sections 4.102; 4.103 and Administrative Code, Sections 15.56; 2A.30, submitting the HSS 2025 Annual Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) agenda for the March 26, 2026, ISCOTT meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco budget. 8 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From members of the public, regarding California Assembly Bill (AB) 1775: Cannabis: retail preparation, sale, and consumption of non-cannabis food and beverage products (Haney). 13 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Sarianne Huyett Madsen, regarding the renovation of the Crocker Amazon baseball and softball fields. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From EA Behrens, regarding Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From and Alliance for a Better District 6, regarding a proposed project at 1500-1540 Market Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From James L. Chaffee, Jr., regarding the San Francisco Library Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Save Mart, pursuant to Police Code, Article 57, submitting a notice of supermarket closure. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From members of the public, regarding bike lanes on Valencia Street, south of 23rd Street. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Peter Chovanes, regarding vehicles on Market Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Anastasia Glikshtern, regarding election ballots. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), regarding the Resolution re-affirming the City's continued efforts to acquire the Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) assets necessary to provide clean, green, and affordable electric power delivery and service in San Francisco and ensure system reliability, sustainability, and safety; the Hearing to discuss the cause(s), escalation, response, and impacts of the widespread power outages that began on December 20, 2025, and which have disproportionately affected residents and small businesses in the Richmond, Sunset, Presidio, Civic Center, South of Market (SOMA), and other San Francisco neighborhoods, to understand how a localized substation incident escalated to affect nearly one-third of the City; to assess communication failures and gaps in emergency response protocols; to evaluate economic impacts on small businesses and hardships faced by seniors, persons with

disabilities, and other vulnerable residents; to discuss and understand the remedies, claims processes and support being provided to affected residents and businesses; and requesting the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to report. File Nos. 260030 (Resolution No. 89-26) and 260035. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Dave Getzler, regarding bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Lori Tooker, regarding Cesar Chavez Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Latrice McNealy, regarding Taylor Street between Turk Street and Eddy Street Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Judi Gorski and Patricia Wise, regarding Sunset Dunes Park. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Patrick Monette-Shaw, regarding the proposed Motion establishing priorities for the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the process to guide the Board's deliberations on the City budget, for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2026-2027 and 2027-2028. File No. 260199. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Dave Getzler, regarding the Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy. File No. 231016; Resolution No. 481-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From the Women's Political Committee (SFWPC), regarding Hearing to discuss the conditions for women in custody at local jails, including personal safety, programming, services and resources available; examine the oversight of hiring and assignment process for jail staffing, complaint process for women in custody, and disciplinary policies and procedures for Sheriff's deputies who commit misconduct; and requesting the Sheriff's Department, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Human Rights Commission, Mayor's Office of Victim's Rights, Department of Police Accountability, and Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention to report. File No. 251197**.** Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Saad Mirza, regarding the Resolution approving the second contract amendment with LAZ Parking California, LLC, and the City and County of San Francisco, by and through the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, for the management of two off-street parking facilities under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, located at Triangle Lot and Seawall 321, for an increased amount not to exceed $9,000,000 for a total contract amount not to exceed $189,000,000 effective on March 1, 2026, with no changes to the term of February 1, 2023, through January 31, 2032. File No. 260020; Resolution No. 91-26. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From the San Francisco Flower Market Inc. (SFFM), regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to enhance and modify the sidewalk flower stand permit program by, among other things, 1) updating and clarifying the applicable fees, 2) authorizing Public Works to consult with the Office of Small Business to identify and evaluate eligible permit applicants, 3) updating permit application requirements, 4) supplementing permit conditions and restrictions, 5) establishing minimum operating hours of 35 hours per week, 6) requiring permittees to be present at sidewalk flower stands for at least 50% of the applicable hours of operation and to dedicate a minimum of 75% of the sidewalk flower stand for the sale or storage of certain eligible flowers and plants, 7) prohibiting the leasing or sub-leasing of sidewalk flower stands, and 8) prohibiting the sale, assignment, inheritance, or transfer of flower stand permits; amending the Police Code to authorize Public Works to impose, assess, and collect administrative penalties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. File No. 260133. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From various organizations, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Environment Code to update the City's climate action goals and planning process, and to update City Departments' roles and responsibilities regarding the City's climate action goals; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. File No. 260177. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From various organizations, regarding the proposed Resolution urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan, led and coordinated by the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women, with full cross-departmental participation and dedicated budgetary support to ensure effective implementation. File No. 260127. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution supporting and recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and encouraging the San Francisco Unified School District to continue to provide high school students the opportunity to participate and ensure the program is preserved as currently constituted. File No. 260223. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From members of the public, regarding the intersection of 4th Street and Channel Street. 37 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed housing development at 15 Marina Boulevard. 66 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From members of the public, regarding the Balboa Skate Park. 67 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution urging the California State Legislature and the Governor of California to allow local communities the right to

vote on whether autonomous vehicles can operate in their counties. File No. 251117. 26 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (37)

From members of the public, regarding the Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings. File No. 251247; Ordinance No. 39-26. 102 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (38)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment; Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies; and Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026. File Nos. 260147, 260217, and 260225 (Motion No. M26-23). 77 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (39)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to, for transfers occurring on or after July 1, 2026: halve the real property transfer tax rate from 5.5% to 2.75% when the consideration or value of the property conveyed equals or exceeds $10,000,000 but is less than $25,000,000, and from 6% to 3% when the consideration or value equals or exceeds $25,000,000; exempt from these reductions the transfer of single-family residences; and reduce the penalties for delinquent real property transfer taxes; and affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. File No. 260178. 28 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (40)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution expressing the intent of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District to recognize and preserve the history, legacy, and living cultural traditions of the Irish and Irish American community in San Francisco's Sunset District. File No. 260267. 674 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (41)

From members of the public, regarding the Sheriff’s Oversight Board. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (42)

From:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); BOS-IT; BOS-Operations
Subject:	Requests for Excusal from today"s Board Meeting
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:42:14 AM
Attachments:	Supervisor Sauter excusal memo 3-17-26.pdf Fielder Memo Excused Absence BOS 3_17, 3_24.docx.pdf Melgar - Memo - Request for Excused Absence - March 12, 2026.pdf
Importance:	High

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached memos requesting excusal from today's Board Meeting from Supervisors Fielder, Melgar, and Sauter.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 9

City and County of San Francisco

JACKIE FIELDER

March 17, 2026

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Absence at Board of Supervisors Meetings 3/17/26, 3/24/26

Dear Clerk Calvillo,

I am writing to inform your office that I will regretfully be absent from the Board of Supervisors meeting scheduled for today, Tuesday March 17th, and next week, Tuesday March 24th. I am requesting to be excused. Please notify my office if you have any questions. Thank you for your understanding.

Sincerely,

Jackie Fielder
San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 9

CC: Rafael Mandelman, Board President

###

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 244 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689 • (415) 554-5144
TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 7

City and County of San Francisco

MYRNA MELGAR

DATE: March 12, 2026

TO: President Rafael Mandelman

FROM: Supervisor Myrna Melgar

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Office of the Clerk
John Carroll, Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee
Melanie Matthewson, Legislative Aide, Office of President Rafael Mandelman
Grace Huang, Legislative Aide, Office of President Rafael Mandelman

RE: Request to be Excused for the Board of Supervisors Meeting on March 17, 2026

Dear President Mandelman,

I will be in Washington, D.C. as part of the CityTrip DC delegation and will miss the Land Use and Transportation Committee on Monday, March 16, 2026 and the Board of Supervisors meeting on Tuesday, March 17, 2026. I am formally requesting to be excused from the Board meeting.

Vice Chair Chen will preside over the Land Use and Transportation Committee and Supervisor Dorsey graciously offered to be temporarily assigned to the Committee in my absence.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you for your consideration.

City Hall · 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 · San Francisco, California 94102-4689 · (415) 554-6516
Fax (415) 554-6546 · TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 · E-mail: Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 3

City and County of San Francisco

DANNY SAUTER
李爾德

MEMORANDUM

TO: President Rafael Mandelman
Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

FROM: Tita Bell, Chief of Staff, District 3 Supervisor Danny Sauter

DATE: March 16, 2026

SUBJECT: Request for Excusal of Supervisor Danny Sauter from Board of Supervisors Meeting, March 17, 2026

Dear President Mandelman and Madam Clerk,

Supervisor Danny Sauter will be absent from the Board of Supervisors meeting on March 17, 2026. He respectfully requests to be excused from the meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 11

City and County of San Francisco

CHYANNE CHEN

March 17, 2026

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Absence at Board of Supervisors Meeting 3/17/2026

Dear Clerk Calvillo,

I am writing to request to be excused from the regularly scheduled Board of Supervisors meeting scheduled today, Tuesday March 17, effective 4:56pm. Please notify my office if you have any questions. Thank you for your understanding.

Sincerely,



Chyanne Chen
San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 11

Cc: Rafael Mandelman
Eileen McHugh
Alisa Somera
Brad Russi

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 244 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689
Office (415) 554-6975 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: CMD12B0004796 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:25:24 AM
Attachments:	image CMD12B0004796.pdf image002.png

Hello,

Please see attached one 12B waiver request form.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: CCSF IT Service Desk <ccsfdt@service-now.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CMD12B0004796 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)

Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0004796 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Alejandro Garcia
Department: DPH
Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
Supplier ID: 0000038638
Requested total cost: $1,564.95
Short Description: SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy: True Test Patch Test, Panels, allergens

Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS6528239_vEwITD6khXzHxfwx0kkD

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details

Run Date and Time: 2026-03-19 10:24:33 Pacific Daylight Time

Run by: ServiceNow Admin

Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0004796	Request Status:	Completed
Requested for:	Alejandro Garcia	State:	Completed
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
		12B Waiver Type:	Standard
Opened:	2026-03-12 12:18:21	Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	(628) 206-7456
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Alejandro Garcia
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy: True Test Patch Test, Panels, allergens

Supplier ID:	0000038638	Requested Amount:	$1,564.95
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	$0.00
		Previously Approved Amount:	$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Total Requested Amount:	$1,564.95
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0001018693
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2026-03-01
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2026-12-31

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy provides a an allergy dermatitis diagnose. This test is used for testing for specific skin allergies and is an essential tool to manage patients with allergic contact dermatitis, which is a common diagnosis made in our clinic. Not having access to these tests will mean we have to refer all these patients to UCSF for this testing, which is much more costly to the system.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Yes, we have encourage the vendor to become compliant by providing all the apropiate information on how to do so
SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy is under pending compliance. In the interim, SFDPH is seeking a waiver for this procurement needed for skin allergies.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Ruth Santana	CMD Director:	Regina Chan
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	Supplier provides an allergy dermatitis diagnostic test. The test is used to identify specific skin allergies and is an essential tool for managing patients with allergic contact dermatitis, a common diagnosis in our clinic. It is not cost-effective to refer patients to UCSF for this testing.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Regina Chan	CMD Director Decision:	Reviewed and Approved

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:	
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water:	false
Bulk Power:	false
Bulk Gas:	false
12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:	

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy provides a an allergy dermatitis diagnose. This test is used for testing for specific skin allergies and is an essential tool to manage patients with allergic contact dermatitis, which is a common diagnosis made in our clinic. Not having access to these tests will mean we have to refer all these patients to UCSF for this testing, which is much more costly to the system.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

SmartPractice Dermatology Allergy provides a an allergy dermatitis diagnose. This test is used for testing for specific skin allergies and is an essential tool to manage patients with allergic contact dermatitis, which is a common diagnosis made in our clinic. Not having access to these tests will mean we have to refer all these patients to UCSF for this testing, which is much more costly to the system.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

The SmartPractice Dermatology has been providing skin allergies testing to the Public Health Laboratory for years. As a result, SFDPH will need to continue using their services to ensure its data uses the same base and standardization. Pivoting to another vendor may jeopardize treatments.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

This is an essential service needed for the ZSFGH and their patients, and this supplier is used to maintain standardization across many years to not disrupt treatments.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:	
Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0004796
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	2026-03-12 12:22:03		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance

12 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2026-03-12 12:18:25	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Draft	2026-03-12 12:18:21	2026-03-12 12:22:03	3 Minutes	true
2026-03-12 12:22:05	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Dept. Head approval	2026-03-12 12:22:03	2026-03-12 12:22:03	0 Seconds	true
2026-03-12 12:22:05	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Draft	2026-03-12 12:22:03	2026-03-17 09:23:28	4 Days 21 Hours 1 Minute	true
2026-03-17 09:23:30	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2026-03-17 09:23:28	2026-03-17 09:41:20	17 Minutes	true
2026-03-17 09:41:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2026-03-17 09:41:20	2026-03-18 08:45:12	23 Hours 3 Minutes	true
2026-03-18 08:45:16	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Completed	2026-03-18 08:45:12			false
2026-03-12 12:18:25	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Draft	2026-03-12 12:18:21	2026-03-12 12:22:03	3 Minutes	true
2026-03-12 12:22:05	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Dept. Head approval	2026-03-12 12:22:03	2026-03-12 12:22:03	0 Seconds	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2026-03-12 12:22:05	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Draft	2026-03-12 12:22:03	2026-03-17 09:23:28	4 Days 21 Hours 1 Minute	true
2026-03-17 09:23:30	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2026-03-17 09:23:28	2026-03-17 09:41:20	17 Minutes	true
2026-03-17 09:41:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2026-03-17 09:41:20	2026-03-18 08:45:12	23 Hours 3 Minutes	true
2026-03-18 08:45:16	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004796	Completed	2026-03-18 08:45:12			false

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-25
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 4:42:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png Rate_Year_2024-25_Annual_Assessment_-_Final_Version.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from the Office of the Controller, submitting the Office of Refuse Rate Administration Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-2025.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Reports, Controller (CON) <controller.reports@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:54 PM
To: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-25

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration in the Controller's Office is providing the **Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-25** as general information.

Please refer to the distribution email below.

Office of the Controller
City & County of San Francisco

The Controller's Office has published the Annual Refuse Rate Assessment for Rate Year 2024-25 to report on Recology's financial and operational performance. The Controller's Office analyzed data from Recology's rate reports, annual audited financial statements, 311 data, Recology customer call data, and other reports and data provided by Recology. The Controller's Office also conducted site visits, ride-alongs, and was in regular consultation with relevant stakeholders.

Findings From the Report

Financial Performance

- **Profit Margin:** Recology's Profit Margin was 5.3%, which is 3.7% below the allowable 9.0% threshold and represents a $13.8 million shortfall. The primary driver of this shortfall was over-projection of revenues.
- **Balancing Account:** The resulting balance in the Balancing Account is -$9.1 million, which is more favorable than the -$12.5 million balance projected in the 2025 Rate Order. The $3.4 million variance can be used to offset rate increases and the Refuse Rates Administrator recommends applying this balance during the upcoming rate-setting process.

Operational Performance

- **Environmental Goals:** The Climate Action Plan 2021 set a goal to reduce landfill disposal by 50% relative to 2015 levels. Recology's portion of landfill disposal shows no net change in landfill tonnage between Rate Year 2015 and Rate Year 2025.
- **Collections Performance:** Recology showed improved operational efficiency between Rate Year 2024 and Rate Year 2025 as Lifts per Route Hour increased by 1.7%. Financial benefit from productivity gains were offset by cost increases (mainly increased staffing) as Cost per Lift grew by 4.5% and Cost per Route Hour grew by 6.3%.
- **Post-Collections Performance:** Landfill and organics processing rates per ton remain significantly below comparable jurisdictional averages. Recycling costs are 10% above average.
- **Customer Service:** Recology handled 359k calls and emails in Rate Year 2025, 76% of which were related to service changes while 7% were related to services issue—primarily missed or partial pickups. Recology achieved a rate of 2.8 missed pick-ups per 10,000 lifts, comparing favorable to a national survey average of 7.7.

Compliance Overview

- A comprehensive review of adherence to the 2023 Rate Order and associated agreements found no significant compliance issues.
- **Abandoned Material Collections:** Achievement of AMC response times rose from 94.3% in Rate Year 2025, up from 33.8% in Rate Year 2023 before the SLA was established.
- **Public Receptacles Collections:** Achievement of PRC response times rose from 95.7% in Rate Year 2025, up from 37.5% in Rate Year 2023 before the SLA was established.

View the full report

Sign up to receive news and updates

Search all Controller's Office reports

This is a send-only email address.

For questions about the report, please contact Jay Liao, Refuse Rates Administrator at jay.liao@sfgov.org.

For press queries, please contact the Controller's Office at controller@sfgov.org.

City & County of San Francisco

Annual Rate Assessment
For Rate Year 2024-25





Prepared by

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

OFFICE OF REFUSE RATES ADMINISTRATION

March 9, 2026

Refuse Rate Board:
City Administrator Carmen Chu, *Chair*
SF PUC General Manager Dennis Herrera, *Member*
Ratepayer Representative Steve Bowdry, *Member*

Office of Refuse Rates Administration:
Greg Wagner, *Controller*
ChiaYu Ma, *Deputy Controller*
Jay Liao, *Refuse Rates Administrator*
Ben Becker, *Refuse Rates Principal Analyst*
Michael Nguyen, *Refuse Rates Analyst*

Contact Information:
To learn more about the City's Refuse Rates Administration, please visit our website at
https://sf.gov/refuse-rates-administration-office-controller

Or contact us at:
con.refuserates@sfgov.org

Media inquiries:
con.media@sfgov.org

sf.gov/controller

@sfcontroller

Controller's Office LinkedIn

About the Controller's Office

The Controller is the chief financial officer and auditor for the City and County of San Francisco. We produce regular reports on the City's financial condition, economic condition, and the performance of City government. We are also responsible for key aspects of the City's financial operations — from processing payroll for City employees to processing and monitoring the City's budget.

Our team includes financial, tech, accounting, analytical and other professionals who work hard to secure the City's financial integrity and promote efficient, effective, and accountable government. We strive to be a model for good government and to make the City a better place to live and work.

About Proposition F

Proposition F was an amendment to the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance that voters approved in June 2022. The amendment requires that the Refuse Rates Administrator conduct periodic, comprehensive financial and performance evaluations of the City's refuse collection, disposal, and remediation programs and facilitate the process by which refuse rates are established. The Refuse Rates Administrator ensures the financial integrity of the refuse rate-setting process, and promotes efficient, effective, and accountable service by:

- Regularly monitoring refuse rates and reporting before the Refuse Rates Board, including recommended rate adjustments or proposed programmatic changes.
- Providing actionable recommendations to city leaders to promote and enhance accountability and improve overall cost-effectiveness, performance and efficiency of refuse collection, processing, and remediation.
- Facilitating and helping to ensure transparency of the refuse rate-setting process and reporting, so the public can timely access and review information.
- Promote public input including comment, objection and protest procedures pursuant to San Francisco Proposition F (June 2022) as well as California Proposition 218

Table of Contents

Table of Contents **3**
Executive Summary **4**
Background **7**
Financial Performance **8**
Operating Ratio 8
Revenue Variance Detail 9
Expenditure Variance Detail 11
Balancing Account 16
Operational Performance **17**
Collections Operating Metrics 17
Post-Collections Operating Metrics 19
Customer Service Performance 22
Rate Order Compliance **26**
Compliance Overview 26
Project Reviews 30
Impound Account **32**
Fund Overview 32
Environment Department 33
Public Works 35
Office of the Controller 38
Appendix A: Reference Files **40**

Executive Summary

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration monitors the financial and operational performance of refuse collectors. This report consolidates rate-monitoring activities for Rate Year 2025.

Financial Performance

The 2023 Rate Order[1] established an allowable operating ratio of 91.0 percent (representing a 9.0% profit margin) for Rate Years 2024 and 2025.

Operating Ratio on Profit Eligible Expenses	Allowable	Actual
Sunset Scavenger and Golden Gate	91.0%	96.2%
Recology San Francisco	91.0%	92.1%
Combined	**91.0%**	**94.7%**

In Rate Year 2025, Recology generated $521.1 million in total revenue against $502.8 million in total expenditures, resulting in a net profit of $18.3 million.

- **Profit Margin:** Recology's profit margin was 5.3%, which is 3.7% below the allowable 9.0% threshold.
- **Revenue Shortfall:** The primary driver of this shortfall was an over-projection of revenues. While the 2023 Rate Order assumed 2% annual growth, this did not materialize, leading to an $18.2 million revenue deficit.
- **Expenditures:** Costs were $4.4 million under projection, partially offsetting the revenue shortfall for a net impact of $13.8 million.
- **Cost Eligibility:** The Office of Refuse Rates Administration also conducted a line-item review of every expenditure and audited major variances and found no ineligible costs.

The 2023 Rate Order established a balancing account to capture 50% of any profit or loss variances relative to the 91.0% Operating Ratio target.

- **Current Balance:** 50% of the $13.8 million shortfall was added to the existing –$1.9 million balance. The resulting balance is –$9.1 million.
- **2025 Rate Order Projection:** This outcome is more favorable than the –$12.5 million balance projected in the 2025 Rate Order. The difference between projected and actual balances is $3.4 million.
- **Rate Mitigation:** The $3.4 million variance can be used to offset rate increases between Rate Years 2027 and 2031.

Recommendation: The Refuse Rates Administrator recommends applying this balance during the upcoming rate-setting process.

[1] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1001, Page 22

Operational Performance

Environmental Goals

The Climate Action Plan 2021 set a goal to reduce landfill disposal by 50% relative to 2015 levels. Currently, there is no net change in landfilled tonnage when comparing Rate Year 2015 to Rate Year 2025. Stagnation in reaching these goals is attributed to economic and population changes as landfill disposal rose with population growth post-2015 before dipping during the pandemic to return to 2015 baseline levels. In addition, recovery rates have declined due to end of sustainable crushing, China's National Sword policy, and organics pre-processing that increased residual waste sent to landfills.

Collections Performance

In Rate Year 2025, Recology served 162,571 customers, collected 485,152 tons, and conducted over 32 million lifts. This was achieved through 913,058 route hours utilizing 605 personnel and 369 active vehicle units.

Collections Metrics	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Lifts per Route Hour	34.9	35.5
Cost per Lift	$9.66	$10.10
Cost per Route Hour	$364.22	$387.12

- **Operational Efficiency:** Lifts per route hour improved between Rate Year 2024 and 2025, however staffing increases to meet approved staffing levels have offset any financial benefit from productivity gains.
- **Cost Efficiency:** Between Rate Year 2024 and 2025, cost per lift grew by 4.5% and the cost per route hour grew by 6.3%.

Post Collections

Rate Year 2025 saw a broad reduction in tonnage processed across all operations. To assess cost-effectiveness, Recology's rates were compared against 16 other California jurisdictions surveyed by HF&H.

Post Collection Operations	Rate Year 2024 Avg. per Ton Rate	Rate Year 2025 Avg. per Ton Rate	Comp Avg
Landfill	$37.96	$40.31	$60.05
BVON Organics	$92.23	$94.72	$116.67
Recycling (Incl Transport)	N/A	$190.79	$173.67

- **Regional Benchmarking:** Landfill and organics processing rates remain significantly below the jurisdictional average. Recycling costs, which include transport and freight offsets by commodity sales, are 10% above average. Post-Collections operations also include Transfer Station operations, which can be measured through tons per load.
- **Transfer Station Efficiency:** Post-collections operations also include transfer station activities. Operations are measured by payload density (tons per load). Mixed solid waste averaged 25.6 tons per load, while organics averaged 22.7 tons.

Customer Service

Recology handled 359,080 customer inquiries in Rate Year 2025. While 76% of contacts concerned service changes, 7% were related to service issues—primarily missed or partial pickups. Recology achieved a rate of 2.8 missed pick up calls per 10,000 lifts, comparing favorably to a national survey average of 7.7.

Compliance Overview

A comprehensive review of adherence to the 2023 Rate Order and associated agreements found no significant compliance issues. Key performance improvements were driven by new Service Level Agreements (SLAs) developed between Recology and Public Works for Abandoned Material Collections (AMC) and Public Receptacle Collections (PRC). These agreements established strict response time parameters during designated service periods.

Abandoned Material Collections

Recology demonstrated substantial progress in addressing abandoned materials discarded in the public right-of-way. Despite a significant increase in ticket volume, response reliability reached record highs.

- **SLA Achievement:** Compliance with AMC response times improved from 33.8% in Rate Year 2023 to 94.3% in Rate Year 2025.
- **Service Demand:** Total tickets rose to 112,562 in Rate Year 2025, a 14.7% increase over the prior year.
- **Cost Efficiency:** The cost per AMC ticket declined from $81 in Rate Year 2024 to $74 in Rate Year 2025.

Public Receptacle Collections

The Public Receptacle Collections program focuses on managing public trash cans through regular routes and timely response to sensor and non-sensor overflow requests.

- **SLA Achievement:** Achievement of PRC response standards rose to 95.7% in Rate Year 2025, up from only 37.5% in Rate Year 2023 before the SLA was established.
- **Ticket Volume:** Total requests decreased to 86,699 in Rate Year 2025, down from over 104,000 in Rate Year 2024.
- **Operational Costs:** The cost per PRC ticket rose to $136 in Rate Year 2025, while the annual cost per receptacle increased slightly to $4,246.

Reporting and Project Status

Recology also achieved a 50.9% improvement in reporting timeliness, delivering 86.0% of required reports on time in Rate Year 2025. While core collections and street-level services are fully compliant, the City is actively working with Recology to resolve identified billing process issues through a new Billing SLA established in the 2025 Rate Order. In addition, Recology completed all approved projects funded through the 2023 Rate Order.

Background

Voters passed Proposition F[2] in 2022 designating the Controller the Refuse Rates Administrator. In response, the Controller established the Office of Refuse Rates Administration to rate monitoring and facilitate the rate-setting process, propose rate adjustments, and to meet Proposition F mandates to monitor financial and operational performance of refuse collectors.

The City's refuse services are governed by the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance[3] originally passed by voters in 1932. This ordinance allows waste haulers to operate in San Francisco through route permits and vehicles licenses issued by the Department of Public Health. Currently, Recology holds all such permits and licenses making it the sole waste hauling service in San Francisco.

The Refuse Rates Administrator conducts financial and operational monitoring of three Recology subsidiaries:

- **Collections:** Recology Golden Gate and Recology Sunset Scavenger
- **Post-Collections:** Recology San Francisco, which operates the transfer station and recycling facility.

The 2023 Rate Order, approved by the Refuse Rate Board on August 31, 2023 establishes the maximum rates Recology may charge San Francisco residents for Rate Years 2024 and 2025, and defines their service responsibilities. This rate order serves as the basis for monitoring activities and assessments detailed in this report.

This report evaluates the following key areas:

- **Financial Performance:** An assessment of Recology's profit margin, audit of revenue and expenditure variances, and the resulting impact on the balancing account.
- **Operational Performance:** This section analyzes operational metrics related to operational efficiency and cost efficiency in collections and post-collections operations as well as landfill diversion and recovery rates, and customer service performance.
- **Compliance:** A review of adherence to the 2023 Rate Order, including the completion of agreed-upon activities and compliance with Service Level Agreements (SLAs).
- **Impound Account:** Review of impound account funding levels and activities conducted with impound account funding.

This assessment is conducted through review and analyses of data from Recology's rate reports, audited financial statements, 311 data, customer call data, and other reports and data provided by Recology. The Office of Refuse Rates Administration also makes information and data requests, conducts site visits, ride-alongs, and is in regular consultation with Recology and relevant stakeholders.

[2] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1004
[3] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1005

Financial Performance

OPERATING RATIO[4]

The Rate Order utilizes a ratemaking formula designed to cover Recology's costs while allowing for a fair profit margin, calculated via an Operating Ratio (OR). Certain costs, such as intercompany expenses, permits, and fees, are excluded from profit eligibility. In 2023, a study of 38 California cities conducted by HF&H Consultants found an average OR of 88.43% (an 11.57% profit margin).[5] For the 2023 Rate Order, the Board approved a 91.0% allowable OR, representing a 9.0% profit margin.

Table 1: Operating Ratio

Operating Ratio on Profit Eligible Expenses	Allowable	Actual
Sunset Scavenger and Golden Gate	91.0%	96.2%
Recology San Francisco	91.0%	92.1%
Combined	**91.0%**	**94.7%**

Recology did not achieve the allowable profit margin in Rate Year 2025. The combined companies reached a 94.7% OR, resulting in a 5.3% profit margin—3.7% below the authorized target.[6] The primary cause of this shortfall was the over-projection of revenues. The 2023 Rate Order assumed a 2.0% annual economic growth rate. However, Rate Year 2024 saw a revenue decline, and Rate Year 2025 grew by only 1.3%, leading to a significant revenue deficit.

Table 2: Total Sources and Uses Rate Year 2024-25

Sources and Uses	Actuals	Variance from Projection	% Variance
Total Sources	$521,126,220	-$18,168,661	-3.40%
Total Uses	$502,784,448	-$4,393,159	-0.90%

The impact of the two-year over-projection is a variance of $18.2 million below projected, representing a 3.4% shortfall in total sources[7]. This was offset by Total Uses that came in under-projection by $4.4 million (-0.90% variance)[8].


[4] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1001, Page 22
[5] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1007
[6] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 13
[7] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 11
[8] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 13

REVENUE VARIANCE DETAIL

Collections Revenues

Collections revenue is derived from Residential, Apartment, and Commercial services, alongside other sources like interest and open market debris boxes.

Chart 1: Total Sources for Recology Sunset Scavenger and Recology Golden Gate[9]



In Rate Year 2024-25, Recology Sunset Scavenger and Recology Golden Gate's combined sources totaled $388.4 million. Residential and Apartment revenues make up 48% of total sources, representing $184.8 million. Commercial revenues make up 44% of total sources, representing $171.3 million.

Table 3: Revenue Variance Detail

Revenue Category	Projected	Actuals	Variance from Projected	% Variance
Residential	$105,496,490	$101,828,786	-$3,667,704	-3.5%
Apartment	$86,619,460	$82,956,796	-$3,662,664	-4.2%
Commercial	$175,751,123	$171,317,108	-$4,434,015	-2.5%
Other Rate Revenue	$4,205,669	$4,235,680	$30,011	0.7%
Total Sources	**$372,072,742**	**$360,338,370**	**-$11,734,372**	**-3.2%**


[9] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 5

Residential and Apartment revenues combined saw a shortfall of approximately $7.3 million. Residential revenue was 3.5% below projection, while apartment revenue saw a 4.2% deficit. Commercial revenue was $4.4 million over projected representing a -2.5% variance. Other Rate Revenue includes non-ratepayer revenue factored into the rate-making process such as CalRecycle payments, interest income, amortization of the 2023 Notional Balancing Account, amortization of the Rate Stabilization fund, and other miscellaneous income. Because these sources are not driven by broader economic factors, they remained stable, ending the year with a slight $30k surplus relative to projections.

Post-Collections Revenues

Post-collections revenue is primarily driven by total tonnage and the "tipping fee," a per-ton charge for materials delivered to the Transfer Station. These fees are generated from materials dropped off by Recology Sunset Scavenger, Recology Golden Gate, and other private accounts or individuals. Non-tipping fee revenue includes "Net Recycling Revenue" from the sale of processed recyclables, as well as rental income and miscellaneous services like intercompany drayage.

Chart 2: Total Post-Collections Sources[10]



[10] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 6

In Rate Year 2024-25, all Recology San Francisco sources totaled $161.1 million. Revenue from Collections Tipping Fee totaled $121.8 million (76% of total sources). Net Recycling Revenue totaled $21.4 million (9% of total sources). Other Tipping Fee Revenue totaled $13.7 million in revenue (9% of total sources). Other Revenue totaled $4.1 million (2% of total sources).

Post-collections revenue is tied directly to collections tonnage, which was projected to grow by 2% annually. Because this growth did not materialize, revenues were significantly over-projected, resulting in a $6.1 million (3.7%) shortfall.

Table 4: Post-Collections Revenue Detail[11]

Revenue Category	Projected	Actuals	Variance from Projected	% Variance
Recyclables Collections	$32,919,438	$28,977,150	-$3,942,288	-12.0%
Organics Collections	$34,793,224	$31,968,611	-$2,824,613	-8.1%
Trash Collections	$57,884,439	$58,630,681	$746,242	1.3%
iMRF and Other Revenue	$2,986,665	$2,230,392	-$756,273	-25.3%
Customer Drop-Off	$16,790,043	$13,747,458	-$3,042,585	-18.1%
Net Recycling Revenue	$18,198,887	$21,448,895	$3,250,008	17.9%
Other Revenue	$3,649,443	$4,069,531	$420,088	11.5%
Total Sources	**$167,222,139**	**$161,072,718**	**-$6,149,421**	**-3.7%**

The largest deficit came from Recyclables collections, which finished $3.9 million (12.0%) below projection. Organics collections and Customer Drop-off also saw significant shortfalls of $2.8 million and $3.0 million respectively. These deficits were partially mitigated by Net Recycling Revenue, which exceeded projections by $3.2 million (17.9%)[12] due to higher-than-expected commodity market prices. Additionally, Trash Collections and other miscellaneous revenues generated a combined surplus of approximately $1.1 million.[13]

EXPENDITURE VARIANCE DETAIL

Recology's operations involve the joint collection and processing of both regulated (e.g., residential customer collections) and unregulated (e.g., contract services) refuse. While unregulated expenses are allocated out of total costs during the rate-setting process, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration analyzes them together for rate monitoring to ensure a thorough line-item review.

[11] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 6
[12] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 7
[13] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 6

Table 5: Total Uses Summary[14]

Total Uses	Projection	Actuals	$ Variance	% Variance
Collections Total Uses	$351,925,925	$352,010,637	$84,712	0.02%
Post-Collections Total Uses	$155,251,682	$150,773,811	-$4,477,871	-2.88%
Total Uses Combined	$507,177,607	$502,784,448	-$4,393,159	-0.87%

Overall, expenditures aligned closely with projections, with a total combined variance of –$4.4 million (-0.87%). This was primarily driven by post-collections uses, which were $4.5 million (-2.88%) under projection. Total collections uses remained nearly flat, ending $85k (0.02%) above projection.

Reviewing expenditure variances is critical for identifying potential fraud, errors, overspending, or operational inefficiencies. Because certain costs—such as disposal fees—are a direct function of tonnage collected, standard variance analysis can be misleading if projected service levels are not met.


Expenditure variance review is important for identifying fraud, error, overspending, or inefficiencies. Projections of line-item expenditures are typically rooted in a combination of expected changes to service levels and projected inflation or other projected cost of business change. To accurately assess performance, projections are adjusted to account for actual service levels and line-item variances are analyzed by comparing actuals to revenue-adjusted projections[15]. While every line item is analyzed, this section highlights variances exceeding 5% and $500k. Intercompany processing and disposal are reviewed as a combined expense, as these categories are inversely related; as processing costs increase, disposal costs typically decrease.

[14] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 11 and 12
[15] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1009, Page 98

Collections Expenditures

Reported collections expenditures represent the combined expenses of the two collections companies, Sunset Scavenger and Golden Gate.

Chart 3: Total Regulated and Unregulated Collections Expenditures[16]



In Rate Year 2025, total expenditures for these companies reached $353.5 million. Operating expenses totaled $170.3 million (48% of total expenditures). Payroll & related expenses totaled $151.9 million (43% of total expenditures). Administrative costs totaled $27.8 million (8% of total expenditures). Other Expenses totaled $3.3 million (1% of total expenditures).

[16] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 10

Table 6: Variances Greater than $500k and 5.0%[17]

Expense Category	Projections	Revenue Adjusted Projection	Actuals	$ Variance	% Variance
Total Payroll & Related	$146,607,632	$144,721,059	$151,988,681	$7,267,622	5.0%
Bad Debt	$572,272	$563,248	$2,042,761	$1,479,513	262.7%
Taxes	$4,632,325	$4,617,716	$5,964,563	$1,346,847	29.2%
Liability Insurance	$5,914,928	$5,896,274	$9,117,855	$3,221,581	54.6%
Total Other	$2,335,763	$2,335,763	$3,341,117	$1,005,354	43.0%

Table 6 compares actual costs against revenue-adjusted projections of significant fiscal deviations. Five major variances were identified:

Total Payroll & Related: Payroll cost variance was due to projection error. Projections were based on 2023 actuals but did not account for timing of union wage increases, which occurred on January 1 rather than the start of the rate year in October. Projections would have been higher had this timing difference been taken into account. The timing error has additional impacts on payroll tax costs, which further increased the projection error. In addition, certain approved positions in the Rate Order were excluded from the cost. The combined impact of the material mistakes reduces the variance to $2.3 million, representing a 1.6% variance, which would not have put payroll costs under scrutiny had the error not been made.

Bad Debt: Projections assumed a write-off of $1.8 million in old bad debt, but this administrative cleanup was postponed until Rate Year 2026.

Taxes: Tax burden for the collections companies assumed 3% growth each year. However, voters passed Proposition M in 2024[18], which increased the local business tax burden. The estimated the impact of Proposition M represents a 38% increase in tax burden. Factoring the decline in revenue, Proposition M accounts for almost the entirety of the $1.3 million variance over projection.

Liability Insurance: Recology allocates insurance costs from its partially self-insured corporate parent to its subsidiaries based on 2022 actuals. During the 2025 Rate-Setting process, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration reviewed the allocation of these costs and found the allocation methodology reasonable. Costs exceeded projections due to three factors:

- Post-Pandemic Catch-Up: Insurers implemented double-digit rate increases after the California Department of Insurance (CDI) lifted pandemic-era restrictions.
- Rising Repair Costs: Inflation in the automotive and home rebuilding sectors outpaced the general economy.

[17] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1022
[18] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1028


- Climate Risks: CDI now permits "catastrophe modeling" based on future climate projections, leading to higher premiums in high-risk areas and reduced market competition[19].

Total Other: Recology has stated that the main drivers of costs exceeding projections are bank service charges, which made up $600k of the variance, and community outreach which accounts for $250k of the variance.

Post-Collections Expenditures

Reported post-collections expenditures represent the expenses related to disposal and processing for Recology San Francisco.

Chart 4: Total Regulated and Unregulated Post-Collections Expenditures



In Rate Year 2025, Recology San Francisco total expenditures reached $150.8 million. Payroll & related expenses totaled $78.9 million (52% of total expenditures). Operating expenses totaled $62.9 million (42% of total expenditures). Administrative expenses totaled $8.0 million (5% of total expenses). Other costs accounted for $844k, making up less than 1% of total expenditures.

Post-collections expenses did not exhibit any variances exceeding the monitoring threshold of 5% and $500,000. The largest variance was in Payroll & Related expenses, which ended $1.9 million (2.5%) over

[19] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1029

projection. This was primarily caused by the same payroll projection timing error identified in the Collections Expenditure section. This overage was offset by Administrative and Operating costs which came in $1.9 million and $4.5 million under projection, respectively. Overall, post-collection expenses were $4.5 million (2.9%) below projection.

BALANCING ACCOUNT[20]

Rate Year 2025 includes activity from two balancing accounts including a December 2022 Settlement Agreement Balancing Account and a balancing account established by the 2023 Rate Order.

Table 7: Balancing Accounts[21]

Balancing Accounts	Total Balance	Amortized to Date	Current Balance	2025 Rate Order Projected Balance	Variance from Projected
2022 Settlement Balancing Account	$1,424,341	$284,868	$1,139,473	$1,139,473	$0
2023 Rate Order Balancing Accounts	-$9,114,936	$0	-$9,114,936	-$12,483,524	$3,368,588

The December 2022 Settlement Agreement established a $25 million balancing account where any balance remaining after Rate Year 2023 would be spread evenly to offset rate increases between Rate Year 2025 and Rate Year 2029[22]. The remaining balance was $1.4 million, which is being amortized over 5 years at $285k per year, beginning with Rate Year 2025.

The 2023 Rate Order established a balancing account that would adjust by 50% of above- or below-target profit earned or losses incurred based on the 91% Operating Ratio for Rate Years 2024 and 2025[23]. Any balance, positive or negative could be used to adjust rates in subsequent years, and balance can be spread across multiple years, no more than five years.

The net profit shortfall across Rate Year 2024 and Rate Year 2025 amounted to $18.2 million. At 50% below-target, the 2023 Rate Order balancing account saw a balance of -$9.1 million. The 2025 Rate Order anticipated a much higher shortfall and projected a balance of -$12.5 million[24], which was built into the rates for Rate Years 2026 through 2028. The $3.4 million variance can be applied to lower rates beginning in Rate Year 2027.

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration recommends applying this variance beginning in Rate Year 2029 as part of the next rate-setting process.

---

[20] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1001, Page 103
[21] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 21 and 22
[22] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1013
[23] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 6
[24] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1003, Page 180


Operational Performance

COLLECTIONS OPERATING METRICS

Service Volume and Resource Utilization

Demand for collection services is a direct function of total refuse volume. Total tonnage fell significantly during the pandemic and has not recovered.

Chart 5: Tons Collected and Collected Tons per Account Since RY18



Tons collected from Residential, Apartment, and Commercial services dropped from 652k in Rate Year 2018 to 485k in Rate Year 2025, a 30% decrease. Similarly, tonnage per account fell from 4.4 to 3.6 tons over the same period—a 19.2% reduction likely driven by the decline in commercial accounts. Since 2021, collection volumes have remained relatively constant.

Under the 2023 Rate Order, Recology began reporting additional operating metrics that allow the City to better track service volume and resource utilization.

Table 8: Collections Service Volume RY24-25[25] [26]

Collections Service Volume	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Tons from Collections	484,359	485,152
Collections Accounts	162,009	162,571
Routes	472	472
Lifts	32,269,848	32,380,036

Service levels remained stable between Rate Year 2024 and Rate Year 2025, with tonnage and account numbers growing by 0.2% and 0.3%, respectively. Recology serviced these accounts across 472 routes and conducted 32.4 million lifts in Rate Year 2025. To complete this service, Recology's resource utilization can be measured by total route hours, active fleet units, and personnel.

Table 9: Resource Utilization RY24-25[27] [28]

Resource Utilization	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Total Route Hours	925,721	913,058
Active Fleet Units	369	369
Total Route and Maintenance Personnel	585	605
Maintenance Staff	*53*	*59*
Operations Staff	*532*	*546*
Drivers	*436*	*448*

Total route hours declined by 1.4% across the two rate years. Route and Maintenance Personnel were increased and brought up closer to approved staffing levels in Rate Year 2025. This represents a 3.4% increase in staffing.

Operational and Cost Efficiency

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration monitors several metrics to track productivity and cost-efficiency.

Table 10: Operational Efficiency RY24-25[29] [30]

Operational Efficiency	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025	% Change
Lifts per Route Hour	34.9	35.5	1.7%
Fleet to Maintenance Personnel Ratio	7.0	6.3	-10.2%
Route Hour per Driver	2123	2038	-4.0%

[25] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 4
[26] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1013, Page 4
[27] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 8 and Page 10
[28] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1013, Page 8 and Page 10
[29] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 8-10
[30] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1013, Page 8-10

Between Rate Years 2024 and 2025, Recology saw some productivity gains where lifts per route hour improved by 1.7%. Fully staffing in Rate Year 2025 reduced the Route Hour per Driver ratio by 4.0% and the Fleet to Maintenance Personnel by 10.2%.

While operational efficiency in lifts per route hour have improved, cost increases, particularly in labor costs as indicated by the personnel metrics, have offset any financial benefit from productivity gains.

Table 11: Cost Efficiency RY24-25

Cost Efficiency	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025	% Change
Regulated Cost Per Lift	$9.66	$10.10	4.5%
Regulated Cost per Ton Collected	$644	$674	4.7%
Total Cost per Route Hour	$364	$387	6.3%

Between Rate Year 2024 and 2025, Regulated Costs per Lift grew by 4.5% and similarly, the cost per ton grew by 4.7%. The total cost per route hour grew by 6.3%.

POST-COLLECTIONS OPERATING METRICS


Core post-collections operations are conducted through Recology San Francisco and include disposal of trash at the Hay Road Landfill, processing of organics at Blossom Valley Organics, processing of recyclables at Pier 96, and the operation of the Transfer Station. The Hay Road Landfill and Blossom Valley Organics (BVON) sites are not regulated entities and considered inter-company costs, while Pier 96 and the Transfer Station are operated by Recology San Francisco. The reporting for post-collections costs are blended and allocation of costs are necessary to understand the composition of programs and operations at Recology San Francisco. In addition to core processing operations, Recology San Francisco costs include operating the Public Recycling and Reuse Area, managing the Household Hazardous Waste Program, Waste Zero program and the Sustainable Education Program.

Recovery Rate and Zero Waste Goals

Post-collections operations are driven by the volume of tonnage directed to each processing facility. As part of its operational evaluation, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration measures recovery rates and waste reduction against the targets established in the City's Climate Action Plan 2021[31]. Note that because reporting methodologies for recovery rates have evolved over time, these differences must be reconciled for long-term comparative accuracy.

[31] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1010, Page 110-111

Chart 6: Recovery Rates of Collected Materials Since RY2018



The recovery rate serves as a key indicator of the system's effectiveness in diverting solid waste from landfills. Since Rate Year 2018, the recovery rate has declined from 44.6% to 36.5%. Overall decline in recovery rate is significantly influenced by the exclusion of "sustainable crushing" operations, which previously accounted for an average of 8.8% of total annual tonnage. Another factor in the decline of the recovery rate is the use of an organics pre-processing trommel, which was designed to remove contamination and resulted in a higher volume of residual organics being sent to the landfill. The 2025 Rate Order authorizes the sale of the trommel to be replaced by a new press. This press is expected to recover 85% of processed material, potentially diverting an estimated 17.5k tons of organics that previously went to the landfill.

The Climate Action Plan 2021 adopted a goal to reduce landfill by 50% by 2030 compared to 2015 levels[32]. While the 2015 baseline includes all San Francisco disposal (including sources outside Recology), data within the Recology system shows that landfill tonnage has remained stagnant over the last decade.

[32] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1010, Page 110

Table 12: Landfill Disposal RY15 vs. RY25

Reduction Goals	Rate Year 2015	Rate Year 2025	% Change
Landfill Disposal (Target -50%)	389,559	392,385	0.7%

Historical data indicates that solid waste generation and landfilling in the Recology system correlate most closely with population and economic fluctuations. Following 2015, disposal tonnage increased alongside economic growth before receding during the pandemic. External factors have also impacted these rates, such as China's National Sword Policy (2017)[33], which eliminated markets for certain recyclables and forced an increase in landfill disposal.

Processing and Disposal Cost Comparisons

Landfill disposal is managed through contract by the Environment Department, while organics processing is conducted at BVON as a subsidiary of Recology Inc. that is not regulated by the Office of Refuse Rates Administration. Costs at BVON are negotiated by Recology San Francisco and treated as intercompany costs. Recology San Francisco directly manages and operates recyclables processing at Pier 96.

To evaluate these costs, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration has estimated a per ton cost for each operation and compared them against a survey of 16 jurisdictions in California conducted by HF&H[34].

Table 13: Post Collections Operations RY24-25[35] [36] [37] [38] [39]

Post Collection Operations	Rate Year 2024			Rate Year 2025			Comp Avg
	Tons	Cost	Avg Rate	Tons	Cost	Avg Rate	
Landfill	406,451	$15,430,336	$37.96	392,385	$15,815,386	$40.31	$60.05
BVON Organics	113,578	$10,475,578	$92.23	107,968	$10,227,050	$94.72	$116.67
Recycling (incl. transport)	132,954			128,634	$24,542,616	$190.79	$173.67

In Rate Year 2025, the average landfill rate of $40.31 per ton was 33% below the jurisdictional average of $60.05. Organics processing was charged at an estimated $94.72 per ton, which is 19% below the commercial organics average ($116.67) and 2% below the residential organics average. Conversely, recycling processing at Pier 96 (inclusive of transport) was $190.79 per ton, roughly 9.9% higher than the jurisdictional average. Notably, recycling performance varies widely across jurisdictions based on specific system configurations.

[33] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1020
[34] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1007
[35] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 4
[36] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1014, Page 4
[37] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1002, Page 10
[38] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1021, Page 10
[39] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1023

In addition to processing fees, landfill and organics operations incur significant transportation costs, including repairs, fuel, leases, insurance, and parts.

Table 14: Transportation Cost Efficiency RY24-25

Transportation Cost Efficiency	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Transport Costs	$22,682,609	$22,822,577
Transport Cost per Recycling/Organics Ton	$43.62	$45.61

While the estimates in Table 14 may overstate these costs by including vehicles not used for landfill or organics transport, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration assumes the vast majority of these expenses are related to those waste streams. Estimated transport costs for landfill and organics at approximately $45.60 per ton for Rate Year 2025. This aligns with the HF&H study, which found average transport costs of $46.41 for landfill and $50.26 for commercial organics across surveyed jurisdictions[40].

Transfer Station Performance

Transfer stations serve as critical links that consolidate waste from small collection vehicles into larger trailers for long-haul transport. While further investigation is required to distill specific operational costs for various programs and pit operations, efficiency is currently measured by tons per load.

Table 15: Material Delivered by Waste Stream RY24-25[41]

Material Delivered	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Mixed Solid Waste		
Loads	14,556	14,745
Tons per Load	25.9	25.6
Organics		
Loads	3,763	2,875
Tons per Load	23.1	22.7

In Rate Year 2025, Recology delivered 25.6 tons per load of mixed solid waste to landfill and 22.7 tons per load of organics to BVON. Comparable data is limited, but Recology operates within similar ranges or better when compared to estimates found by other haulers[42].

CUSTOMER SERVICE PERFORMANCE

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration monitors residential and apartment customer service performance by analyzing customer call and email data for service issues and by reviewing certain call center metrics.


[40] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1011
[41] Number of hauls provided by Recology
[42] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1027

Table 16: Modes of Customer Service Tickets RY24-25[43] [44]

Residential/Apartment Ticket Types	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025	% Change
Calls	257,054	285,335	11%
Email	54,301	73,745	36%
Total	311,355	359,080	15%

Rate Year 2025 saw significant increases in residential and apartment ticket volumes, with overall inquiries rising by 15%. Commercial customer tickets followed a similar trend, growing to 142,288 in Rate Year 2025—a 13.6% increase over the prior year.

Chart 7: Types of Customer Service Tickets[45] [46]



In Rate Year 2025, the majority of Recology's customer tickets (75%) related to service changes, followed by billing inquiries or payment method updates (12%). Service issues, such as missed pickups, accounted for 7% of tickets in Rate Year 2025. Notably, total service issues declined by 34%, falling from 23,516 in Rate Year 2024 to 15,557 in Rate Year 2025. This improvement is likely attributed to expanded staffing levels (more

[43] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 16
[44] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1014, Page 16
[45] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 16
[46] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1014, Page 16

replacement drivers) that led to improved service delivery and a cyberattack at the beginning of Rate Year 2024 which negatively impacted route software and response times[47]. After resolution of the cyberattack the number of service complaints declined significantly. This recovery is most evident in missed pickup data where tickets for missed pickups fell by 63%, from 20,722 in Rate Year 2024 to 7,762 in Rate Year 2025.


The Office of Refuse Rates Administration tracks operational reliability by calculating the ratio of service issues and missed pickups per 10,000 lifts.

Table 17: Service Issue Ratio RY24-25

Service Issue Ratio	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Service Issues per 10,000 Lifts	8.7	6.1
Missed Pick-Ups per 10,000 Lifts	7.7	2.8

In Rate Year 2025, the missed pickup rate declined sharply to 2.8 per 10,000 lifts. While benchmark data is limited, a national survey of 13 cities showed an average rate of 7.7, with large, dense cities like New York reporting a rate of 10[48]. Current data suggests that 2.8 missed pickups per 10,000 lifts is a high-performance result for San Francisco.

Chart 8: Top Customer Service Issues[49]



[47] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1012
[48] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1026, Page 410
[49] Files related to customer service issues that are not already publicly available or contain customer information will be kept confidential due to customer information data

Most Service Issue calls are related to missed pickups, which account for 89% of all service issues calls. The next most common service issue is a partially completed pickup making up 7.5% of calls and missed bulky item pickup making up 1.9% of service issue calls. The next most frequent issues are Online Payment Accessibility and Noise Complaints each make up 0.4% of service issues calls.

Lastly, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration tracks call center performance through several key metrics including unanswered call rates, call wait times, and talk time.

Table 18: Call Center Performance RY24-25[50] [51]

Call Center Performance	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Unanswered call rate	11.4%	2.1%
Average call wait times	6 min 33 sec	54 sec
Average call talk times	3 min 54 sec	3 min 57 sec
Calls requiring language support	4.6%	3.3%

The 2024 system hack impacted the unanswered call rate and average call wait times significantly. These metrics help track speed and accessibility. In Rate Year 2024, 11.4% of calls went unanswered compared to 2.1% in Rate Year 2025. Average call wait times dropped from over 6.5 minutes in Rate Year 2024 to less than a minute in Rate Year 2025. Call talk times are a measure of how quickly agents can resolve inquiries or issues. This metric has remained relatively stable across the two rate years at just under 4 minutes on average. Recology was able to provide language support in over 8 different languages. In Rate Year 2025, language support was needed in 3.3% of calls.

[50] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 16
[51] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1014, Page 16

Rate Order Compliance

COMPLIANCE OVERVIEW

Recology's services under the refuse rates can be delineated into 14 different programs. In addition, the 2023 Rate Order outlines several reporting requirements[52]. The Office of Refuse Rates Administration conducts review of compliance with agreed upon service levels for each of these program areas. For some programs, specific service level agreements are detailed, in which case, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration will review compliance to those specific service level agreements. For programs where there is no service level agreement, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration assesses whether Recology has conducted those activities based upon details of those programs within their rate application and descriptions of those programs during hearings associated with the 2023 Rate Order.

Chart 9: Service Level Agreement Compliance Status

Service Level Agreement Area	Compliance Status
Core Collections	
Abandoned Materials Collections	
Facilities	
Billing	
Customer Service	
Bulky Item Collection	
Holiday Tree Collection	
Household Hazardous Waste	
Public Disposal and Recycling Area	
Public Receptacle Collection	
City Self-Haul of Material	
Sustainability Education Program	
Waste Zero Program	
Weekend Cleanup Events	
Reporting Requirements	

Status Legend	
Compliant	
Partially Compliant	
Not Compliant	
N/A	

Recology has broadly met general compliance requirements for services they agreed to conduct under the rates. Billing and Customer services are areas that were not discussed or detailed in the 2023 Rate-Setting process but are areas that the Office of Refuse Rates Administration has reviewed. Reporting Requirements is the only area that was found to be partially compliant.

[52] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 65

Abandoned Materials Collections

The 2023 Rate Order explicitly defined Service Level Agreements (SLAs) for Abandoned Materials Collections (AMC)[53]. Recology conducts proactive abandoned materials collection across several areas of the City. Additionally, Recology has 6 routes where it must service 311 requests for abandoned materials collection within four hours on weekdays and eight hours on weekends/holidays during designated times. To support these goals, the 2023 Rate Order approved an additional zone, two drivers, and two trucks to increase capacity and improve response times.

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration has independently analyzed AMC data and is reporting different numbers than Recology due to methodological differences, particularly in calculating response times.

Table 19: AMC Service Levels RY23-25[54]

AMC Service Levels	RY 2023	RY 2024	RY 2025
Total Tonnage Collected	4,665	4,514	4,249
Proactive Tonnage	*N/A*	*1,855*	*1,746*
Responsive Tonnage	*N/A*	*2,659*	*2,503*
Total Requests	95,641	98,103	112,562
SLA	*59,837*	*63,188*	*73,525*
Non-SLA	*35,804*	*34,915*	*39,037*

In Rate Year 2025, Recology collected 4,249 tons of abandoned materials that were discarded on the street or in the public right of way[55], a 6% decline in tonnage from the prior year. Proactive collection in the six zones account for 41% of the tons collected while response to 311 requests accounted for 59% of total tons collected, consistent with prior year. While tonnage declined, the number of tickets increased by 14.7% in Rate Year 2025 indicating a lower average tonnage per pickup.

Table 20: Average Response Times and SLA Achievement RY23-25[56] [57]

Response Times and SLA Achievement (Hours)	RY 2023	RY 2024	RY 2025
Average Response Time within weekday SLA	9.93	2.8	1.9
Average Response Time within weekend SLA	12.94	3.68	1.2
Average Response Time outside of weekday SLA	15.8	13	12.8
Average Response Time outside of weekend SLA	18.5	13.6	13.5
% SLA Achieved	**33.8%**	**87.8%**	**94.3%**

[53] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006. Page 78
[54] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1018
[55] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008
[56] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1018
57 To calculate average response times, cases were extracted from a publicly accessible, City-managed 311 database. Tickets entered into the 311 database are sometimes administratively closed, particularly if an inordinate amount of time has elapsed. This is often due to error in the referral process and generally not related to service. For the purpose of estimating response times, tickets closed after 48 hours were determined to be administrative closures and excluded from the estimate.

During Rate Year 2025, average response times reached 1.9 hours on weekdays and 1.2 hours on weekends—a year-over-year improvement of 1 and 2.5 hours, respectively. Compared to Rate Year 2023 (pre-SLA), this represents an 8-hour improvement on weekdays and a nearly 12-hour improvement on weekends. Recology met SLA requirements 94.3% of the time in Rate Year 2025, a 60.6% improvement over Rate Year 2023.

Table 21: AMC Cost Efficiency RY23-25[58]

AMC Cost Efficiency	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Total Estimated Costs	$7,949,925	$8,288,817
Cost Per Ticket	$81.04	$73.64

In Rate Year 2025, the abandoned materials collections program is estimated to cost approximately $8.3 million or $73.64 per ticket, which is a 9.1% improvement over Rate Year 2024.

Public Receptacle Collections

The 2023 Rate Order established SLAs for Public Receptacle Collections (PRC), requiring responses to 311 requests within two hours for non-sensor notices and eight hours for sensor notices. The Order also added two routes, two drivers, and two trucks to increase program capacity.[59]

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration has independently analyzed PRC data and is reporting different numbers than Recology due to methodological differences, particularly in calculating response times.

Table 22: PRC Service Levels RY23-25[60]

PRC Service Levels	RY 2023	RY 2024	RY 2025
Total Tonnage Collected	3,845	5,340	5,067
Active Public Receptacles	N/A	2,768	2,783
Total Requests	101,864	104,553	86,699
Non-Sensor during SLA	*13,466*	*14,925*	*10,446*
Sensor during SLA	*29,819*	*29,271*	*27,321*
Non-Sensor outside of SLA	*7,989*	*15,027*	*7,556*
Sensor outside of SLA	*50,590*	*45,330*	*41,376*

In Rate Year 2025, Recology collected an estimated 5,067 tons from public receptacles[61]. There were 10,446 overflow requests (a 30% decrease) and 27,321 sensor requests (a 6.7% decrease). Sensor notifications occur

[58] Total estimated costs provided by Recology
[59] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 60
[60] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1018
[61] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 14

when a receptacle reaches 80% capacity and do not necessarily indicate an overflow. It should be noted that tonnage for PRC is estimated and methodology was changed in Rate Year 2024. In addition, the number of active public receptacles were reduced and Recology had noted some changes to the number of passes to certain receptacles, which makes tracking year-over-year tonnage related metrics difficult.

Table 23: PRC Service Levels (Hours) RY23-25[62] [63]

PRC Service Levels (Hours)	RY 2023	RY 2024	RY 2025
Average Response Time within SLA			
Non-Sensor	20.7	2.2	1.3
Sensor	17.1	2.7	1.2
Average Response Time outside of SLA			
Non-Sensor	17.5	4.5	3.4
Sensor	16.3	5.8	6.8
% SLA Achieved	**37.5%**	**88.1%**	**95.7%**

In Rate Year 2025, Recology achieved the PRC SLA 95.7% of the time, a 58.2% improvement over Rate Year 2023. The average non-sensor response time within the SLA period improved to 1.3 hours.

Table 24: PRC Cost Efficiency RY24-25[64]

PRC Cost Efficiency	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Total Estimated Costs	$11,470,369	$11,817,597
Cost Per Ton	$4,144	$4,246

Estimated program costs for Rate Year 2025 are $11.8 million, with service costs reaching $4,246 per receptacle.

Lastly, Recology is required to conduct maintenance on doors and liners.

Table 25: Door and Liner Replacement RY23-25[65]

Door and Liner Replacement	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Total Requests	452	946
SLA Achieved %	95.8%	93.9%

In Rate Year 2025, there were 946 requests for door and liner replacement. 93.9% of these requests were fulfilled.

---

[62] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1018

[63] To calculate average response times, cases were extracted from a publicly accessible, City-managed 311 database. Tickets entered into the 311 database are sometimes administratively closed, particularly if an inordinate amount of time has elapsed. This is often due to error in the referral process and generally not related to service. For the purpose of estimating response times, tickets closed after 48 hours were determined to be administrative closures and excluded from the estimate.

[64] Total estimated costs provided by Recology

[65] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1018

Reporting Requirements

Table 26: Report Tracking RY24-25

Report Tracking	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
Total Reports	57	57
On Time %	35.1%	86.0%

In the 2023 Rate Order, 57 reports were required of Recology. In Rate Year 2025, 86.0% of reports were delivered on time, a 50.9% improvement over prior year when several new reporting requirements and report formats were still being developed. The late reports in Rate Year 2025 were four Monthly Route Collections Reports, and four AR/ALTAR reports were delayed by either one or two days in Rate Year 2025.

Billing

The Environmental Health Division of the Department of Public Health (DPH) is responsible for adjudicating billing disputes between Recology and ratepayers. To initiate this process, Recology must submit a formal complaint of nonpayment (an "affidavit") containing all information required by the San Francisco Health Code and the Delinquent Account Reports section of the 2023 Rate Order. Once DPH receives the affidavits and necessary files, the department reimburses Recology for the delinquent payments. DPH then retains the authority to place liens on ratepayer properties to recover those costs.

Through routine quality management, DPH has identified several issues within Recology's billing processes related to accuracy of information. DPH, Recology, and the Refuse Rates Administrator are currently working to resolve these issues which include but are not limited to: rounding of fees below the fraction of a penny, dates posted on ratepayer bills/invoices, lack of bill/invoice for ratepayer accounts with positive balances, and irregular application of certain charges or credits. The 2025 Rate Order created a SLA[66] for Recology's billing operations, and all parties have been engaged in the development of Recology's new billing platform. The City expects to provide additional input and work with Recology to resolve these issues through both software and operational improvements.

PROJECT REVIEWS

The 2023 Rate Order approved the completion of several projects. This section provides a summary of outcomes for those projects.

Pier 96 Study[67]: Recology's lease agreement with the Port included a seismic study to be conducted at Pier 96. The overall assessment resulted in estimated upgrades of $52.4 million in 2029 dollars. The study was conducted and completed by Degenkolb in September 2025 and included three components:

- ***Recology Roof Assessment:*** Concluded that both the North and the South Roof Sections were in poor condition and should be replaced. Estimated cost combined is around $15.1 million.

[66] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1009, Page 47
[67] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 64

- *Facility Condition Assessment:* Overall, the structure is in good condition with main conditions requiring rehabilitation at the concrete pedestals and grout pads of various columns, and the replacement of a door header beam. Parking lot is in good condition, but accessible parking areas should be repaved and striped to meet code compliance. Women's restroom and the office are not in ADA compliance. Electrical equipment inside the warehouse is approaching end of useful life and should be replaced. Estimated facilities total repair is estimated at $4.4 million.
- *Seismic Evaluation & Retrofit Concept Report:* The report outlined several seismic deficiencies and retrofit options and the seawall was found to be inadequate to support the seismic demands. Recommendation was made to install a new sheet pile wall and grout injection in front of and behind the new wall. The combined seismic upgrades for the South and East Seawall are estimated at $32.8 million.

Contamination Program[68]:

Recology and the San Francisco Department of the Environment developed contamination protocols for Rate Year 2024 and Rate Year 2025 that includes 3 initial warnings to customers for contaminated bins. After the 3rd warning, the customer is given a 30-day period to eliminate contaminated waste from their bins, before a 50% contamination charge is applied for 2 billing cycles. If contamination persists, a 100% charge is applied and the customer may lose access to the diversion discount.

Table 27: Contamination Charges RY24-25[69]

	Rate Year 2024		Rate Year 2025	
Waste Type	**Total Charges**	**Count**	**Total Charges**	**Count**
Organics	$ 124,443	346	$ 246,976	606
Recycling	$ 399,013	621	$ 1,058,025	1235
Trash	$ 988,901	1808	$ 1,253,106	1760
Total	$ 1,512,358	2775	$ 2,558,107	3601

In Rate Year 2025, there were 3,601 charges applied, 30% more charges than last year, generating $2.5 million in contamination charge revenue, a 69% increase from the prior rate year. The 2023 Rate Order approved of a contamination camera pilot to reduce contamination and improve diversion by installing six on-board cameras. This pilot accounts for $29k in contamination revenue in Rate Year 2024, and $190k in revenue in Rate Year 2025.

Pilot results were impacted by operational challenges, such as camera misalignment and interference from debris and mechanical components. Logistical events further complicated pilot results, including route and commodity reassignments or routine maintenance, which temporarily decommissioned camera-equipped trucks. In Rate Year 2025, these issues led to 25% system downtime impacting the consistency of pilot results. Software issues also contributed to downtime which impacted the camera's ability to flag contamination or properly store images.

[68] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1019

[69] Files related to contamination charges that are not already publicly available or contain customer information will be kept confidential due to customer information data

Further on the backend, matching captured images to customer accounts and determining contamination is performed manually. In Rate Year 2025, the contamination cameras captured 10,000 images and manually assessed 231 instances of contamination and notified customers.

Cardboard Pickup Routes: The 2023 Rate Order approved additional resources to support abandoned cardboard collection across the City by adding two additional routes along with two additional drivers, and two additional trucks[70]. Prior to the 2023 Rate Order, no dedicated abandoned cardboard collection routes existed resulting in increased blight along commercial corridors. Total tonnage collected for Rate Year 2025 was 634.9[71] compared to 621.6[72] in Rate Year 2024 representing a 2.1% increase.

Safe Service Drivers: Two-man routes were approved to improve safety across routes that had several incidences. Between Rate Years 2022 and 2023, the two years prior to the implementation of Safe Service Drivers, there were 15 reported incidences along those routes compared to 1 in Rate Years 2024 and 2025.

Organics Pre-Processing[73]: A new trommel was operational January 2024. Recology targeted the most contaminated loads and processed nearly half of all inbound organic materials daily. The trommel substantially improved compost quality. However, it removed a substantial amount of material to landfill that otherwise could have been sent for organics processing. Recology provided data of weekly tonnage between June 2024 through June 2025, which shows that 49% of inbound organics are processed through the trommel. Of the processed material 53% is sent to BVON for processing with the remainder sent to landfill.

Impound Account

FUND OVERVIEW

The Solid Waste Impound Account[74] is funded through the refuse rates and contributes to San Francisco Environment Department and Public Works Department operations. This account supports programs related to the City's Zero Waste goals, the toxics reduction program, and the litter patrol and street sweeping programs.

Table 28: Solid Waste Impound Account Disbursements in Rate Year 2024 and 2025

Department	Rate Year 2024	Rate Year 2025
San Francisco Environment	$12,242,134	$11,429,050
Public Works	$11,961,629	$12,036,775
Total	**$24,203,763**	**$23,465,825**

[70] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 79
[71] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1008, Page 13
[72] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1014, Page 13
[73] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1025
[74] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 112

In Rate Year 2025, the Environment Department received $11. million in disbursements from the Solid Waste Impound Account and Public Works received $12.0 million. Reductions were made to the Environment Department disbursements in Rate Year 2025 to support projects where costs were incurred by Recology but approved for Impound Account fund balance use. The projects where costs were incurred by Recology include a trash processing pilot, a waste characterization study, and a seismic study at Pier 96, totaling $1.1 million in Rate Year 2025[75].

The 2023 Rate Order also approved City uses for Impound Account Fund Balance (both available unappropriated fund balances as well as unspent unencumbered appropriation balances) for one-time or episodic activities. This included funding for the Controller's Office of Refuse Rates Administration and Environment Department's landfill disposal work, Reuse Policy Compliance, and a Fiscal Year vs Rate Year timing true-up, and funding for procurement of new trash cans by Public Works. At the end of Fiscal Year 2024-25, the net available budgetary fund balance was $4,133,671.

ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

The Solid Waste Impound Account funds 58 Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) positions across four primary programs within the San Francisco Environment Department (SFE): Zero Waste, Toxics Reduction and Healthy Ecosystems, Communications, Community Partnerships Engagement Program, and Climate (including Environmental Justice and Green Building). The account also supports a portion of the department's administrative and overhead expenses.

Table 29: San Francisco Environment Department SWIA Funding Actuals and FTEs in FY24 and FY25

	Fiscal Year 2023-24		Fiscal Year 2024-25	
Program Areas	**Actuals**	**FTEs**	**Actuals**	**FTEs**
Zero Waste	$2,968,479	11.4	$3,322,909	12.6
Toxics Reduction and Healthy Communities	$1,449,997	8.7	$1,630,470	9.8
Communications and Community Engagement	$2,933,001	20.6	$4,006,963	18.8
Climate Team (Including Environmental Justice, Green Building)	$1,340,693	6.7	$1,120,070	6.6
Overhead - Administration (Excludes Transfers Out)	$3,313,890	9.8	$2,805,818	10.2
Total	**$12,006,060**	**57.2**	**$12,886,230**	**58.0**

Staffing variations across teams were driven by standard turnover, leaves, and hiring timelines rather than policy shifts or budgeted allocation changes. Notably, the 2023 Rate Order added one new FTE, a Senior Contracts and Grants Analyst, hired in Fiscal Year 2024 to oversee the landfill contract and support the upcoming Request for Proposal (RFP) process. The role's responsibilities include tracking waste tonnage that counts towards the tonnage limit, ensuring required reports are delivered on time and accurate, validating CPI increases, and ensuring landfill intercompany fees are charged properly. One recent example of the results delivered by this important role was when the analyst found that Recology overcharged certain landfill fees, and the analyst followed up with Recology and ensured the City was reimbursed.

[75] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1006, Page 123

Program Area Accomplishments

This section is a summary of Environment Department accomplishments.

Zero Waste Team: This program drives Recology to innovate their refuse management system to a zero waste model by installing new systems and programs and educates and enforces state and local laws, while also pioneering new zero waste programs.

- **Zero Waste Grants:** Awarded $340,370 to nonprofits to divert or recover material from landfill resulting in 1,014 tons not entering the refuse stream.
- **Edible Food Recovery Program:** Supported the recovery of 3,695 tons of edible food and delivering it to San Franciscans in need.

Toxics Reduction and Healthy Ecosystems: This program focuses on safer alternatives to toxic products and the proper disposal of hazardous waste, including Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Green Business Certification.

- **Hazardous Waste:** Collected a total of **1,006,563 pounds** of hazardous waste, including 165,329 pounds of batteries and 1,262,748 pounds of e-waste.
- **Household Hazardous Waste Program:** Served 10,987 households via collection facilities or free home pick-ups.

Communications and Community Engagement: This program is comprised of the Policy and Communications (PAC) and Community Partnerships and Engagement Programs (CPEP), which work in tandem to support the goals of the Zero Waste, Toxics Reduction, and Climate Programs. These teams implement policy and marketing to drive behavior change and ensure equitable compliance with environmental ordinances.

- **Outreach:** Conducted 8 Climate Action Plan events (700+ comments) and 80 residential zero waste events (5,224 conversations).
- **Education:** Delivered 221 zero waste lessons to 14,476 San Francisco students.
- **Toxics Reduction Outreach:** Distributed 378,642 battery recycling mailers to residents at a cost of approximately $96,000.

Climate: This program works collaboratively with other SFE program areas, City departments, community-based organizations, professional associations, city residents and other stakeholders to aggressively reduce carbon emissions while developing and implementing policies and practices to protect residents, businesses and visitors from the harmful impacts of climate change.

- **Circular Economy:** included in the City's Climate Action Plan (CAP) to lead City policy initiatives and advances cutting-edge practices in procurement of food and goods, and the design and construction activities of all new and existing buildings and infrastructure in San Francisco to ensure continued progress toward zero waste and zero carbon emission goals and requirements.
- **Environmental Justice:** Conducted 54 conversations at 5 affordable housing locations.

In addition to regular rate funded work, the 2023 Rate Order included approval for use of fund balance for certain projects including a trash processing pilot and a waste characterization study:

Trash Processing Pilot: During Rate Years 2024 and 2025, the Zero Waste team conducted two trash processing pilots of San Francisco municipal solid waste. The first test was conducted at Greenwaste's Charles Street Material Recovery Facility in San Jose and the Z-Best Compost Facility in cooperation with Recology San Francisco, with a resulting combined mass balance of 39.11% diversion (20.32% recyclables and 18.79% compostables)[76]. The second test was conducted at Colony Energy Partners' Caglia Environmental Material Recovery Facility in Fresno in cooperation with Recology San Francisco, with a resulting combined mass balance of 12.68% diversion (10.35% recyclables and 2.33% compostables)[77]. The Green Waste test was evaluated by HF&H and found that diversion was on the low end and just below expectations of 40%-60% of targeted material. In addition, compost quality testing was determined to be problematic in certain criteria requiring dilution. From a cost-effectiveness standpoint, HF&H determined that this would be comparatively high cost per ton compared to recyclables, organics, and C&D processing. Environment Department, in observing the test, noted that the material being sent for testing was not targeted material and has likely contributed the lower than expected diversion level. The 2025 Rate Order includes funding to support more trash processing evaluation.

Waste Characterization Study: In Rate Year 2025, San Francisco Environment Department also directed Recology to hire Cascadia Consulting Group, Inc. to conduct a material characterization of Recology-managed material generated in the City and County of San Francisco[78]. This work informs planning, policy, programs, infrastructure (trash processing and organics pre-processing), outreach, and other implementation measures, and supports measuring 2024 generation as accurately as possible to assess progress.

PUBLIC WORKS

The Solid Waste Impound Account (SWIA) funds four primary programs within San Francisco Public Works: the Outreach and Enforcement (OnE) Team, Litter Patrol, Mechanical Street Sweeping, and operations related to Public Refuse Receptacles such as cleaning, administration, maintenance, and replacement.

Table 30: Public Works SWIA Funding by Activity

Program Areas	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY2024-25	FTEs
OnE Team	$995,759	$2,068,663	$1,626,439	10
Litter Patrol	$3,950,420	$5,435,288	$5,366,421	30
Mechanical Street Sweeping	$0	$988,843	$2,509,678	
Refuse Cans	$1,764,689	$1,833,151	$2,042,860	1
Refuse Can Cleaning		$1,561,534	$1,655,949	
Refuse Can Administration		$0	$52,443	
Refuse Can Maintenance		$263,359	$241,759	
Refuse Can Replacement		$8,258	$92,709	
Total	**$6,710,868**	**$10,325,945**	**$11,545,398**	**41**


[76] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1015
[77] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1016
[78] See Appendix A: Reference Files, file number 1017

Prior to the 2023 Refuse Rate Order, Mechanical Street Sweeping was not funded through the Impound Account, but as part of a revenue source swap, funding from the Environment Department was reduced from the rates to be replaced by Mechanical Street Sweeping from Public Works. Beginning in Rate Year 2024, Public Works also fully-staffed their Litter Patrol and OnE Team, which had been understaffed during the COVID pandemic period. The inclusion of Mechanical Street Sweeping and increased staffing accounts for the increase in year-over-year actuals.

Program Performance and Accomplishments

Public Works Service provided through the Impound Account are only partially funded through refuse rates and are supported by other sources. The metrics described in this section represent the programs as a whole.


Chart 10: Total Tons of Debris Collected from the Public Right of Way



Mechanical Street Sweeping removes trash and debris from curb lanes, while Litter Patrol manages neighborhood trash pickup within the public right of way.

- **Tonnage Growth:** Mechanical sweeping collection tonnage increased by over 60% in FY25 compared to previous years.
- **Overall Consistency:** Despite the spike in sweeping results, total debris tonnage collected from the public right of way remained consistent with prior years.
- **Delayed Procurement:** While Public Works requested $15 million for new public refuse receptacles during the 2023 rate-setting process, contracting delays have postponed this procurement to Fiscal Year 2026.

Chart 11: OnE Team Activities by Type



Supported by 10 full-time employees, the OnE Team educates merchants, property owners, and residents on their responsibilities regarding sidewalk cleanliness while enforcing City sanitation codes. Total enforcement and outreach actions decreased in FY25 from FY24 levels largely attributable to vacancies on the team due to the City's hiring freeze, which have not allowed Public Works to backfill positions in a timely manner.

Refuse can services include monthly steam cleaning, maintenance of existing cans, and dedicated program management.

- **Cleaning and Maintenance:** Contractors managed by Public Works performed 36,273 steam cleanings of public receptacles and their surroundings in FY25, averaging 3,022 cleanings per month.
- **Repairs:** 87 public receptacles were repaired during this period.
- **Staffing:** One dedicated FTE serves as the Trash Can Manager to oversee these contracted services.

Lastly, in the 2023 rate-setting process, Public Works requested the use of $15 million in Impound Account fund balance to procure new public refuse receptacles. Due to delays in contracting, that procurement had to be postponed to Fiscal Year 2026.

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

The Office of Refuse Rates Administration is a division of the Controller's Office. It is responsible for proposing new rates to the Refuse Rate Board, monitoring the financial and operational performance of refuse collectors, conducting studies and investigations, and advising the Board to ensure rates remain fair and reasonable. The 2023 Rate Order authorized the use of Impound Account fund balances to support rate administration, which can be represented by five major cost centers.

Table 31: Refuse Rates Administration Actuals by Activity for FY24 and FY25

Rate Administration Activities	Fiscal Year 2024	Fiscal Year 2025
Rate Administration Staff	$469,811	$484,659
Rate Monitoring	$117,267	$53,777
Rate Setting	$21,814	$582,361
Hearings and Notices	$3,532	$196,692
Admin/Overhead	$5,376	$73,774
Total	**$617,800**	**$1,391,263**

Fiscal Year 2025 expenditures for the Office totaled nearly $1.4 million. Fiscal Year 2025 expenditures totaled nearly $1.4 million. The primary driver of the cost increase between FY24 and FY25 was the 2025 Rate-Setting Process. These additional costs were largely due to Proposition 218 mailer requirements and consulting services utilized for the analysis of complex capital projects.

The 2025 Rate-Setting process was the City's second rate-setting process under Proposition F and culminated in the 2025 Rate Order, which achieved three major outcomes:

- **Savings to Ratepayers:** Negotiated rates that saved ratepayers nearly $70 million compared to Recology's initial application.
- **Accountability:** For the first time, the City documented all required services through 14 different Service Level Agreements (SLAs) and formalized reporting requirements.
- **Cost Controls:** Imposed stricter rules on cost recovery, granting the City greater discretion over cost overages and setting caps on major cost centers. Capital expenditures outside of the original plan now require explicit City review and approval.

Importantly, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration also achieved the goal of developing a process that is sustainable and transparent by establishing a replicable cadence for hearings and noticing, codifying requirements, solidifying a documentation process, and producing reports for better communication of decisions.

Cost Center Descriptions

- **Rate Administration Staff:** Rate Administration Staff costs represents salaries and benefits of full-time staff supporting the Office. For both Fiscal Years 2024 and 2025, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration was supported by two FTE, a Refuse Rate Administrator and a Principal Refuse Rates Analyst. In Fiscal Year 2025, the Office was also supported by a San Francisco Fellow whose costs were allocated across Rate Monitoring and Rate Setting activities.

- **Rate Monitoring:** Monitoring support in FY25 was primarily provided by the San Francisco Fellow, who conducted data analysis to support performance metrics and the evaluation of customer service data. In its first year of rate monitoring, the Office of Refuse Rates Administration identified a $24 million material mistake that was rebated to San Francisco ratepayers.
- **Rate Setting:** Professional services were the primary expense in this category. HF&H Consulting provided subject matter expertise for auditing, cost allocation reviews, and capital project assessments. InterEthnica was contracted to facilitate ratepayer focus groups. Additionally, the San Francisco Fellow contributed to rate modeling and the analysis of Recology's rate application.
- **Hearings and Notices:** Costs in this category are largely driven by inter-departmental services. The most significant expense was the printing of Proposition 218 mailers by Repro. Other costs included SFGovTV for broadcasting public hearings and InterEthnica for the design and translation of outreach materials.
- **Admin/Overhead:** These expenses represent standard overhead costs allocated to the division by the Controller's Office.

Appendix A: Reference Files

Reference Number	Title	Link
1001	Refuse Rate Order for Rate Year 2024 and Rate Year 2025	https://www.sf.gov/sites/default/files/2023-08/Refuse%20Rate%20Order%20RY2024%20and%20RY2025%20Detailed%20Report.pdf
1002	Financial Quarterly Rate Report San Francisco 4th Quarter of Rate Year 2025	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/Q4_RY2025_-_Financial_Rate_Report.pdf
1003	Refuse Rate Board – 2025 Rate Adjustment	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/2025_Refuse_Rate_Order_Resolution_-_Final_Signed.pdf
1004	Proposition F Legal Text	https://files.amlegal.com/pdffiles/sanfran/2022-06-07-PropF.pdf
1005	Sec. 290. Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance No. 17.083	https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/san_francisco/latest/sf_health/0-0-0-1149
1006	Refuse Rate Board – 2023 Rate Adjustment	https://www.sf.gov/sites/default/files/2023-09/2023%20Rate%20Order%20Resolution%20Final%20w%20Exhibits_Signed.pdf?_gl=1%2A1i88lbp%2A_ga%2AODExNjE2NjA0LjE2NjYzMDI1NDE.%2A_ga_BT9NDE0NFC%2AMTcwMzExMTQ4Ni41MTIuMS4xNzAzMTEzNTgzLjAuMC4w%2A_ga_63SCS846YP%2AMTcwMzExMTQ4Ni4zNzcuMS4xNzAzMTEzNTgzLjAuMC4w
1007	HF&H Recology Jurisdictions Rate Survey 2022	https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/HFH%20SF%20Jurisdiction%20Comparison%20Review.pdf
1008	Operational Quarterly Rate Report San Francisco 4th Quarter of Rate Year 2025	https://api.sf.gov/documents/50911/RY2025_-_Operational_Rate_Report.pdf
1009	Service Level Agreements for the Refuse Rate Administrator's 2025 Proposed Rate Order	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/RRA_Proposed_Service_Level_Agreements_2025.pdf
1010	San Francisco's Climate Action Plan 2021	https://www.sfclimateplan.org/sites/default/files/2023-02/cap_fulldocument_wappendix_web_220124.pdf
1011	Post Collection Benchmarking to the City	https://api.sf.gov/documents/51085/Post_Collection_Benchmarking_to_the_City_JivIeFh.xlsx
1012	San Francisco waste-hauling giant Recology hacked	https://sfstandard.com/2023/11/14/recology-san-francisco-cybersecurity-hack-breach-data/
1013	City and County of San Francisco December 2022 Settlement with Recology	https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/Settlement%202%2020221118.Final_unsigned.pdf
1014	Operational Quarterly Rate Report San Francisco 4th Quarter of Rate Year 2024	https://api.sf.gov/documents/36385/RY2024_-_Operational_Rate_Report.pdf

1015	Municipal Organic Waste Processing Audit – Greenwaste	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/HDR_GreenwasteMSWAudit_Technical_Memorandum_v2.pdf
1016	Municipal Organic Waste Processing Audit - Colony	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/HDR_ColonyMSWAudit_Technical_Memorandum_v2.pdf
1017	2024 San Francisco Waste Characterization Study	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/SanFranWCS_2024_Report_Final_25-1-10_Waste_Characterization_Study.pdf
1018	311 Cases	https://data.sfgov.org/City-Infrastructure/311-Cases/vw6y-z8j6/about_data
1019	Recology Contamination Protocol 2023	https://sf.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/Contamination%20Process.pdf
1020	What Is the National Sword?	https://www.cetonline.org/what-is-the-national-sword/
1021	Financial Quarterly Rate Report San Francisco 4th Quarter of Rate Year 2024	https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/RY2024_-_Financial_Rate_Report.pdf
1022	Recology Collection and Post Collection Expense Variances RY25	https://api.sf.gov/admin/documents/edit/51794/?next=%2Fadmin%2Fdocuments%2F%3Fordering%3D-created_at
1023	Landfill Disposal Reports	https://api.sf.gov/documents/51796/HRL_IC_SF_2016-2025_BKD_Summary_20260202.xlsx
1024	San Francisco Environment FY25 Metrics	https://api.sf.gov/documents/51829/SFE_FY25_Metrics_FINAL.xlsx
1025	Weekly Organics Processing Tonnage	https://api.sf.gov/documents/51799/Weekly_Organics_Processing_Tonnages_8.21.24_Study.xlsx
1026	Municipal Benchmarks by David Ammons	https://www.google.com/books/edition/Municipal_Benchmarks/Cm-_iyYGVqUC?hl=en&gbpv=1&pg=PA410&printsec=frontcover
1027	Sample tons per load by county	https://api.sf.gov/documents/51912/Tons_per_load_-_Appendix.xlsx
1028	Proposition M (2024) – Business Tax Reform	https://sftreasurer.org/proposition-m-2024-business-tax-reform
1029	Catastrophe Modeling and Ratemaking	https://www.insurance.ca.gov/01-consumers/180-climate-change/upload/Catastrophe-Modeling-and-Ratemaking-Final-Text-of-Regulation.PDF

item 4

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Annual Report on the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-92
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:42:33 AM
Attachments:	image001.png FY25 LCFS Memo.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), pursuant to Admin Code, Section 10.100-92, submitting the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund Annual Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Oliveros Reyes, Jennifer <JOliverosReyes@sfwater.org>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Cc: Spitz, Jeremy (PUC) <JSpitz@sfwater.org>; Halliday, Kylie (PUC) <KHalliday@sfwater.org>; Aboul Hosn, Samer (PUC) <SAboulHosn@sfwater.org>; Marquez, Jessica (PUC) <JMarquez@sfwater.org>
Subject: Annual Report on the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-92

Hello BOS team,

The following annual report has been prepared for the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 10.100-92 of the Administrative Code.

Best,
Jenny

Jennifer Oliveros Reyes (she/her/ella)
Policy & Government Affairs
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Cellphone: 628-249-8600
joliverosreyes@sfwater.org

From 3/3/2026 – 7/6/2026, my work hours are: 9am-1pm.

San Francisco Water Power Sewer
Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

San Francisco Water Power Sewer
Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Hetch Hetchy POWER

525 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
T (415) 551.4720
TTY (415) 554.3488
HHPower@sfwater.org

DATE: March 13, 2026

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Office of the Controller

THROUGH: Dennis Herrera, General Manager DJH
Barbara Hale, Assistant General Manager, Power MAH Acting for B. Hale

FROM: Michael Clark, Finance and Administration Manager, Power MC

SUBJECT: Annual Report on the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 10.100-92

The following annual report has been prepared for the Board of Supervisors (Board) in accordance with Section 10.100-92 of the Administrative Code.

In Ordinance No. 199-19, the Board established the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credits Sales Fund (Fund #25455) and authorized the General Manager of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) to enter into one or more agreements to sell Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits. The Board also required the SFPUC to "submit an annual written report to the Board of Supervisors and the Controller: (1) specifying the number of Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits sold during the previous fiscal year, the price received for each credit, and the total amount of the sales; and (2) describing the expenditures made from the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credits Sales Fund during the previous fiscal year."

This report meets the reporting requirements established by Section 10.100-92 of the Administrative Code for Fiscal Year 2025. Should you have any questions, please contact Barbara Hale, SFPUC Assistant General Manager, Power, at BHale@sfwater.org and 415-613-6341.

I. The City's Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credits

In Assembly Bill 32,[1] entitled The Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, the Legislature required California to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020.

To achieve this goal, the California Air Resources Board adopted measures and programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from all sectors of the

[1] Statutes 2006, Ch. 488.

Daniel Lurie Mayor
Joshua Arce President
Stephen E. Leveroni Vice President
Avni Jamdar Commissioner
Kate H. Stacy Commissioner
Meghan Thurlow Commissioner
Dennis J. Herrera General Manager

California economy. In 2009, at the direction of then Governor Schwarzenegger, the California Air Resources Board adopted Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulations to reduce the greenhouse gas intensity[2] of transportation fuels by 20% by 2030. The regulations set benchmarks for greenhouse gas emissions for each type of transportation fuel that are increasingly reduced over time. Transportation providers that use fuels with a carbon intensity (or greenhouse gas emissions) lower than the benchmark established by the California Air Resources Board generate Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits that can then be sold.

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) uses greenhouse gas-free electric energy provided by SFPUC's Hetch Hetchy Power to operate its electric public transit fleet (electric trolley buses, cable cars, historic streetcars, and light rail vehicles). Because this fleet operates with a carbon intensity significantly below the Low Carbon Fuel Standard target, the SFMTA accumulates credits that can be sold.

In October 2017, the SFPUC and SFMTA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding approved by the SFPUC in Resolution 17-0199 that specifies the SFPUC execute the sale of SFMTA's Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits. The Memorandum of Understanding also states that SFPUC and SFMTA share equally the net revenues from these sales, and their use of the revenues support general operations that reduce San Francisco's carbon footprint and/or advance the City's sustainability goals.

Starting January 2022, the Port of San Francisco enrolled in the Hetch Hetchy Power Premium program, which provides 100% renewable energy that meets California's Renewable Portfolio Standard requirements. Through this program, the Port began earning Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits for its provision of shoreside power to docked ships. According to the terms of the program, the port receives 100% of the revenues from Low Carbon Fuel Standard credit sales and pays a service fee to SFPUC for performing the credit sale.

Similarly, starting June 2023, San Francisco International Airport enrolled in the Hetch Hetchy Power Premium program and began earning Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits for its provision of electric vehicle chargers and AirTrain (a people mover for transport within the airport campus). The airport receives 100% of the revenues from Low Carbon Fuel Standard credit sales and pays a service fee to SFPUC for performing the credit sale.

II. FY25 Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales

For Fiscal Year 2025, the number of Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits sold, price received for each credit, and total amount of the sales are set forth in the table below. There was a total of one transaction in the fiscal year with revenue of $1,668,345.

[2] The Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulations use the term "carbon intensity" instead of greenhouse gas intensity.

Quantity (Calendar Year)	Credits Sold	Average Price Received	Amount	Contract Date
Quantity 1 (2025)	31,778	$52.50	$1,668,345.00	4/27/2025
Total	**31,778**		**$1,668,345.00**	

Per the respective agreements with each agency, SFPUC transferred $626,902.50 to SFMTA, $238,402.50 to the Port of San Francisco, and $176,137.50 to San Francisco International Airport. The remaining $626,902.50 was retained by the SFPUC for Hetch Hetchy Power.

III. FY25 Low Carbon Fuel Standard Expenditures

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC)

SFPUC spent $1,279,999 from Low Carbon Fuel Standard Sales fund in Fiscal Year 2025, as detailed in the table below. This included expenditures of appropriations from prior fiscal years. SFPUC will continue to expend Low Carbon Fuel Standard proceeds for these and similar projects in future fiscal years.

Program/Project	FY25 Expenditures
Retail Customer Programs *Promotes emission reductions and decarbonization through electrification, energy efficiency, and improved utilization of SFPUC's Hetch Hetchy electricity within customer facilities and buildings.*	$778,485
Electric Vehicles in New Construction Program *Provides incentives for installing electric vehicle charging infrastructure in new buildings for Hetch Hetchy customers.*	$130,831
Small Renewables Projects *Funds municipal distributed energy resource projects, such as solar installations.*	$83,695
Police Academy Solar Carport Project *Supports a 150-kilowatt solar carport and battery storage system at the San Francisco Police Academy.*	$77,373
Utility Field Services Project Support *Funds the maintenance and repair of existing solar electric assets in SFPUC Power Enterprise's portfolio.*	$66,355
Northpoint Solar Refurbishment Project *Includes the replacement of existing solar modules and inverters at the end of their life cycle.*	$52,656

Program/Project	FY25 Expenditures
GoSolarSF Program *Supports the local solar industry and environmental goals with incentives for rooftop solar throughout San Francisco; addresses social and equity goals with a workforce program and low-income and non-profit incentives.*	$27,800
Moscone West Solar Electric Project *Supports an 800-kilowatt rooftop solar photovoltaic generation system owned and operated by the SFPUC.*	$22,779
E-Mobility Program *Provides point-of-sale incentives to help eligible low-income customers purchase electric bicycles.*	$19,920
Davies Symphony Hall Solar Repower *Includes end of service life replacement for solar inverters and array wiring to maintain solar electric generation.*	$18,321
Moscone Solar Refurbishment Project *Replaces solar array wiring that is at the end of its service life to improve system performance*.	$7,417
Multifamily Electrification Program *Provides affordable housing developments with electrification audits and roadmaps to replace existing gas-fired equipment with all electric alternatives.*	-$7,016[3]
Total	**$1,279,999**

Transportation Providers

Each year, the transportation providers that generate Low Carbon Fuel Standard credits report on their use of revenue from the sale of credits.

1. SFMTA

SFMTA operates the nation's largest fleet of electrically-operated trolley buses as well as the nation's 3rd busiest light-rail vehicle fleet. Together with the SFMTA's hybrid electric bus fleet, SFMTA provides low/no emission public transportation options for approximately 700,000 daily riders. All LCFS proceeds go towards supporting LCFS program goals and advancing San Francisco's ambitious climate action goals for the

[3] Negative expenditures in the Multifamily Electrification Program are due to the reversal of excess accruals that were shown as expenditures in Fiscal Year 2024.

transportation sector: (1) shift 80 percent of all trips to sustainable modes by 2030 and (2) eliminate citywide GHG emissions by 2050. A number of initiatives across a suite of strategy areas help to progress these goals and SFMTA's efforts to expand and/or improve access to electrified public transportation and alternative modes of travel like walking and biking. Examples include supporting replacement of our hybrid bus fleet with all-electric vehicles and investments in our electric-operated right of way infrastructure like Central Subway. Central Subway entered into revenue service January 7, 2023. Additionally, the SFMTA's Bus Rapid Transit corridor began revenue service on April 1, 2022.

2. *Port of San Francisco*

   There were no projects funded by electricity credits proceeds in 2022, 2023, or 2024. The funding has not been spent and remains available.

3. *San Francisco International Airport*

   LCFS electricity credit proceed funds were not used in 2024 due to other funds already encumbered for EV charging projects under active contracts.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Del Rosario, Mick (BOS); Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: LOI - Trash Cans
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:05:28 AM
Attachments:	Trash Can Donations and Status Letter of Inquiry, March 2026.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the Department of Public Works (DPW), submitting a response to a letter of inquiry issued by Supervisor Stephen Sherrill at the February 10, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: LOI - Trash Cans

From: Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:23 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>

Cc: Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS) <Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org>; Del Rosario, Mick (BOS) <mick.delrosario@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Hebb, Jack (BOS) <jack.hebb@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Short, Carla (DPW) <Carla.Short@sfdpw.org>; Durden, DiJaida (DPW) <DiJaida.Durden@sfdpw.org>; Vaing, Jonathan (DPW) <Jonathan.Vaing@sfdpw.org>; Roumbanis, Mark (DPW) <Mark.Roumbanis@sfdpw.org>; Campos, Erika (DPW) <erika.campos@sfdpw.org>; Garcia, Edgar (DPW) <edgar.garcia@sfdpw.org>; Lee, Esther (DPW) <esther.e.lee@sfdpw.org>
Subject: RE: LOI - Trash Cans

Dear Supervisor Sherrill,

Thank you providing your February 10, 2026 Letter of Inquiry regarding Public Trash Can Inventory, Donation Process, and Maintenance. Attached is Public Works' response. Please let us know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Ian Schneider (he/him)
Government Affairs Manager

San Francisco Public Works | City and County of San Francisco
49 S. Van Ness Ave, Suite 1600 | San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 271-3126 | sfpublicworks.org

From: Del Rosario, Mick (BOS) <mick.delrosario@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2026 4:52 PM
To: Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>
Cc: Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS) <Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org>
Subject: LOI - Trash Cans

Hello Ian,

Wanted to share with you the Letter of Inquiry we're silently submitting today. We hope that this will be in coordination with you all and the community. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Looping in Lorenzo here.

Best,

Mick Del Rosario | Legislative Aide
Office of Supervisor Stephen Sherrill
San Francisco Board of Supervisors, District 2
City Hall, Room 256
(415) 554-7752

Sign Up for the District 2 Newsletter
Twitter | Instagram

Docusign Envelope ID: FF6D66E6-DC0B-4E43-9B16-9DC784FBD19B

Carla Short, Director | Director's Office
carla.short@sfdpw.org | T. 628.271.3078 | 49 South Van Ness Ave. Suite 1600, San Francisco, CA 94103

March 13, 2026
Supervisor Stephen Sherrill
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Public Trash Can Inventory, Donation Process, and Maintenance

Dear Supervisor Sherrill,

Thank you for your February 10, 2026, Letter of Inquiry regarding San Francisco Public Works' processes for trash can placement, maintenance, removal and potential donation of public trash receptacles. Please find responses below.

Donation and Placement Process:

● What are the step-by-step procedures for a resident, business, or community organization to donate a trash can for public use? What approvals are required for donated trash receptacles to be installed on DPW property?

As the department that manages thousands of permits and contracts on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; manages a capital portfolio valued at more than $3 billion; and collaborates with nonprofit organizations, contractors, sister agencies and volunteers, Public Works provides a public service grounded in the principles of racial equity and the core values of integrity, responsiveness and respect. Public Works does not solicit gifts or donations from private parties for donor-directed assets in the public right of way and will consider unsolicited proposals on a case-by-case basis. Public Works deeply appreciates the desire to support our trash can assets, and we are currently on the final stages of the citywide new slim silhouette trash can replacement project, with installations starting in 2026.

If a proposal is submitted, the following process applies:
Step 1 – Initial Evaluation (all conditions must be met):

- Intended use of the gift and alignment with Public Works' mission
- Impact to the public at large
- Feasibility within Public Works operations and regulations
- Commitment to long-term maintenance and financial responsibility

Docusign Envelope ID: FF6D66E6-DC0B-4E43-9B16-9DC784FBD19B

- Agreement to transfer ownership of the asset to Public Works
- Alignment with Public Works' racial equity principles and core values

Step 2 – Internal Review: If all conditions are met, Public Works evaluates whether to proceed based on operational feasibility and public benefit.

Step 3 – Legal Review and Approval: Public Works prepares a Gift Acknowledgement letter, submits the proposal to the City Attorney and obtains approval from the Director of Public Works to ensure compliance with ethics laws.

Public Works is not a donor-directed program. Any accepted asset becomes City property and is managed based on public need and operational requirements.

● What criteria does Public Works use to evaluate and approve new trash can locations?

Public Works does not use fixed numeric standards, as sidewalk conditions and pedestrian activity vary significantly across and within neighborhoods. Higher-density areas such as downtown require more trash cans than lower-density residential areas. Placement is guided by site-specific evaluation and prioritizes commercial corridors, transit stops, plazas, parks, schools, libraries and civic spaces.

Decisions are informed by audit findings, usage patterns, service demand and SF311 requests. Members of the public may submit requests through the SF311 app or website under "Garbage Containers – Add/Remove Public Garbage Can." Because requests can be conflicting, each location is evaluated holistically to balance accessibility, public need and operational feasibility, including such potential concerns as illegal dumping, persistent rummaging and vandalism.

● What is the typical timeline from initial interest to final installation?

There is no fixed timeline. Requests are reviewed on a rolling basis and timing depends on location history, whether criteria are met, inventory availability, installation capacity, existing staff commitments and field conditions.

● Are there specific specifications (size, style, materials) that donated cans must meet?

Yes. All trash cans must meet Public Works' standardized specifications, including durability, safety, compatibility with servicing operations, installation requirements, ADA compliance and long-term maintenance considerations. All accepted cans must align with City-standard models and procurement specifications.

Docusign Envelope ID: FF6D66E6-DC0B-4E43-9B16-9DC784FBD19B

Current Inventory and Tracking:

● How many public trash cans are currently deployed citywide and specifically in District 2?

Based on the San Francisco Trash Can Inventory – Final Report, there are 2,810 trash cans citywide (1,947 Renaissance/Metal, 506 Concrete/Cement); District 2 has 279 trash cans (181 Renaissance/Metal, 72 Concrete/Cement). This figure includes a total of 357 Big Belly units citywide, with 26 Big Belly trash cans in District 2 that are managed by Community Benefit Districts. Additionally, there are other public trash cans which are managed by other government jurisdictions, such as the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, Port of San Francisco and National Park Service, , as well as development organizations, such as Mission Bay Maintenance Corporation.

● How often is the "Map of Trash Can Locations" located in DataSF updated for current trash can locations?

The DataSF dataset will be updated based on changes in Public Works' asset management system. Public Works' goal is to update the public-facing map monthly following completion of the current citywide trash can audit, reflecting verified inventory and field conditions.

Removal and Notification:

● Under what circumstances does DPW remove public trash from service?

Public Works may remove cans due to safety hazards, SF311 service requests, persistent dumping or vandalism, new ADA site constraints, operational inefficiencies or when a can cannot be repaired in the field.

● Can DPW remove donated trash receptacles without donor notification and consent?

Yes. Public Works is not a donor-directed entity. Once accepted, trash cans become City assets, and Public Works retains full authority over their operation, relocation or removal.

● Is there a notification process for nearby residents or businesses when a trash can is scheduled for removal?


No. Removals are typically complaint-driven and based on real-time field assessments, particularly when safety conditions require immediate action.

Docusign Envelope ID: FF6D66E6-DC0B-4E43-9B16-9DC784FBD19B

● Can Supervisor offices receive advance notice of planned removals so we may inform affected constituents?

Public Works does not maintain an advance removal plan. Operations are aligned with the SF311 real-time service model, which prioritizes immediate response and safe conditions. Providing advance notice could delay necessary make-safe operations.

Best Regards,

DocuSigned by:

073CF73A4EA6486...

Carla Short
Director
San Francisco Public Works

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

Phone: (415) 554-5184
Email: Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

February 11, 2026

Carla Short, Director
Department of Public Works
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1600
San Francisco, CA 94103
Via Email: Carla.Short@sfdpw.org

Dear Director Short,

At the February 10, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting, Supervisor Stephen Sherrill issued the attached inquiry to the Department of Public Works (DPW). Please review the attached letter of inquiry, which provides the Supervisor's request.

The inquiry, in summary, requests information regarding DPW's process for trash can inventory, donation process, maintenance, and removal in public spaces.

Please contact Lorenzo Rosas, Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org, Legislative Aide for Supervisor Sherrill, for any questions related to this request, and copy BOS@sfgov.org on all communications to enable my office to track and close out this inquiry. Please provide your response no later than March 13, 2026.

For questions pertaining to the administration of this inquiry, do not hesitate to contact me in the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

Very Truly Yours,

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

WN/RL

Attachments:
- Letter of Inquiry
- Introduction Form

CC: David Steinberg, DPW, David.Steinberg@sfdpw.org
Ian Schneider, DPW, Ian.Schneider@sfdpw.org

Member, Board of
Supervisors District 2

City and County of San
Francisco

STEPHEN SHERRILL

February 10, 2026

Director Carla Short
San Francisco Public Works
49 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Letter of Inquiry - Public Trash Can Inventory, Donation Process, and Maintenance

Dear Director Short,

I am writing to inquire about the Department of Public Works' (DPW) process for trash can placement, maintenance, and removal in our public spaces. Our office has received numerous constituent requests regarding trash receptacles in District 2, and we have encountered growing interest from residents and community groups who are willing to donate trash cans to the City. We are seeking to establish a clear, documented process that these motivated community leaders can follow – from initial interest to final installation.

To help us respond to residents and support neighborhood cleanliness efforts, I would appreciate information on the following:

Donation and Placement Process:

- What are the step-by-step procedures for a resident, business, or community organization to donate a trash can for public use? What approvals are required for donated trash receptacles to be installed on DPW property?
- What criteria does Public Works use to evaluate and approve new trash can locations?
- What is the typical timeline from initial interest to final installation?
- Are there specific specifications (size, style, materials) that donated cans must meet?

Current Inventory and Tracking:

- How many public trash cans are currently deployed citywide and specifically in District 2?
- How often is the "Map of Trash Can Locations" located in DataSF updated for current trash can locations?

Removal and Notification:

- Under what circumstances does DPW remove public trash from service?
- Can DPW remove donated trash receptacles without donor notification and consent?
- Is there a notification process for nearby residents or businesses when a trash can is scheduled for removal?

City Hall · 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 · San Francisco, California 94102-4689
(415) 554-7752 · E-mail: Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org

- Can Supervisor offices receive advance notice of planned removals so we may inform affected constituents?

Understanding these processes will enable our office to better support community-led neighborhood improvement efforts and provide accurate information to residents who are invested in keeping our public spaces clean. I look forward to your response and hope to use the information to provide direct guidance on how community leaders can invest in neighborhood cleanliness. **Please provide your response by March 13, 2026.**

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Stephen Sherrill
District 2 Supervisor
City and County of San Francisco

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: City Administrator response Re: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:26:38 AM
Attachments:	Signed_311. ACC response to Sup Sauter LOI.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the Office of the City Administrator (ADM), submitting a response to a letter of inquiry issued by Supervisor Danny Sauter at the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>
Cc: Bell, Tita (BOS) <tita.bell@sfgov.org>; Johnston, Jennifer (ADM) <jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org>; Hayward, Sophie (ADM) <sophie.hayward@sfgov.org>; Chok, Liam (ADM) <Liam.Chok@sfgov.org>; Donohue, Virginia (ADM) <virginia.donohue@sfgov.org>; Keogh, Rylan (ADM) <rylan.keogh@sfgov.org>
Subject: City Administrator response Re: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter

Dear Supervisor Sauter,

Thank you for your letter of inquiry requesting information on the recruitment, hiring, and retention of bilingual public-facing employees. Attached, please find the response from City Administrator Chu regarding 311 and Animal Care and Control.

Please do not hesitate to let us know should you have additional questions. Thank you for your time and attention on this matter.

Sincerely,

Angela Yip | she/her
Office of the City Administrator
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 362
c: (628) 219-9894

Sign up here to receive the City Administrator's newsletter.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2026 2:54 PM
To: Lew, Derrick (POL) <Derrick.Lew@sfgov.org>; Crispen, Dean (FIR) <dean.crispen@sfgov.org>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tsai, Daniel (DPH) <daniel.tsai@sfdph.org>; Chu, Carmen (ADM) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA) <Julie.Kirschbaum@sfmta.com>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <carol.isen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lopez, Stephen (SHF) <stephen.lopez@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; Nicita, Carl (POL) <carl.nicita@sfgov.org>; Miranda, Giannina (POL) <giannina.miranda@sfgov.org>; Ludwig, Theresa (FIR) <theresa.ludwig@sfgov.org>; Scanlon, Olivia (DEM) <olivia.scanlon@sfgov.org>; Reardon, Claire (DEM) <claire.reardon@sfgov.org>; Bobba, Naveena (DPH) <naveena.bobba@sfdph.org>; Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; Altman, Claire (DPH) <claire.altman@sfdph.org>; Po, Vivian (ADM) <vivian.po@sfgov.org>; Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>; Martinsen, Janet (MTA) <Janet.Martinsen@sfmta.com>; Ramos, Joel (MTA) <Joel.Ramos@sfmta.com>; Olea, Ricardo (MTA) <Ricardo.Olea@sfmta.com>; Wise, Viktoriya (MTA) <Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com>; Silva, Christine (MTA) <Christine.Silva@sfmta.com>; Chisti, Aliya (HRD) <aliya.chisti@sfgov.org>; Bell, Tita (BOS) <tita.bell@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter

Dear Chief Lew, Chief Crispen, Executive Director Carroll, Director Tsai, City Administrator Chu, Director Kirschbaum, and Director Isen,

Please see the attached memorandum from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors regarding a

Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Danny Sauter at the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Sincerely,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

City & County of San Francisco
Daniel Lurie, Mayor

Office of the City Administrator
Carmen Chu, City Administrator

March 16, 2026

Supervisor Danny Sauter
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 2244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Letter of Inquiry: Requesting Information on the Recruitment, Hiring, and Retention of Bilingual Public-Facing City Employees

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter,

We write in response to your Letter of Inquiry dated February 3, 2026 requesting information on the recruitment, hiring, and retention of bilingual public-facing employees. We appreciate your interest and attention to this matter and share your dedication to ensuring that all San Franciscans receive high quality service from their government, regardless of the language that they speak.

Background on Citywide Language Access and the City Administrator's Office
The City Administrator's Office is committed to serving all San Francisco residents, workers and visitors across our 25 distinct divisions. Through the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA), our department plays a key role in implementation and compliance of the City's Language Access Ordinance (LAO) and supporting equitable language services citywide.

As required in the LAO, OCEIA provides training and technical assistance to departments; collects compliance data from departments; annually reports the compliance data to the Board of Supervisors; investigates, resolves, and reports quarterly on all LAO violations and complaints; educates and informs the public about their right to language services; maintains a list of qualified language services providers for departments; and determines language thresholds and emerging needs. Furthermore, OCEIA provides departments direct language services in the form of interpretation and translation help and partners with community-based organizations to provide community education on language access and receive community-centered feedback.

Last month, OCEIA submitted their annual Language Access Compliance Summary Report to the Board of Supervisors. The report finds that departments improved their language services in the last fiscal year by increasing the number of LEP client interactions, translated materials, and in-person and telephonic language services, and making more digital information and signage available in the City's threshold languages. Despite this progress, there is still substantial work to be done to implement the LAO amendments and ensure that important City information and services effectively reach all San Franciscans. The report recommends that departments budget for language services and plan for new language implementation; support City and community-based language access services; recruit, hire, and retain bilingual staff; leverage community-

focused use of technology with qualified human oversight; and train public-facing staff in Departmental language access policy.

These efforts are critical to the work of government to deliver equitable, accessible, and easy-to-use services to all those who live, work, and visit here. Many City departments have reported limitations to increasing language services further due to budget and staff constraints. OCEIA alone is not resourced to service departments' outstanding needs, and amendments to the LAO, approved by the Board of Supervisors in 2024, added important but unfunded responsibilities. Bilingual employees and resources like contracts with language service vendors will be essential to support continual provision of language services. As technology advances, translation and interpretation software with qualified human oversight can also provide valuable support.

Bilingual employee recruitment, hiring, and retention
Below, please find responses to your specific questions regarding 311 and Animal Care and Control (ACC).

1. **What are the public facing roles in your department that routinely involve interactions with Limited English Proficient clients?**

   311 Customer Service Agents respond to calls from the public and routinely interact with Limited English Proficient (LEP) clients. 311's team includes 78 Customer Service Agents (1324), of which 14 are bilingual.

   ACC serves the public through adoptions, surrenders, animal emergencies, enforcement of animal welfare laws, and other support services, such as low-cost vaccination clinics. Shelter Service Representatives (1434), Animal Care Attendants (3370), and Animal Control Officers (3370) regularly interact with the public, including LEP clients. ACC's team includes 28 employees serving in these positions, of which 10 are certified bilingual staff and 3 are non-certified bilingual staff

2. **Which of those roles are designated language special condition positions?**

   Neither 311 nor ACC designate language special condition positions.

3. **For the roles listed in response to Question 1, what is your current bilingual employee recruitment, hiring, or retention plan or strategy?**

   311 staffs Customer Service Agents (CSAs) in a progressive manner. We hire for Customer Service Agent Trainees (1322), who must complete a minimum of 9 months of training before they can be qualified to apply for an available 1324 position. 311 places an emphasis on sourcing 1322 candidates with bilingual proficiency by awarding an additional weight to candidate assessments for those who possess additional language skills. Additionally, 311 assists bilingual employees in achieving bilingual certification through the Department of Human Resources (DHR).

At ACC, once a bilingual employee is hired, the department works on bilingual certification through DHR. To assist with bilingual employee retention, they receive differential bilingual pay added in each pay period.

4. **For the roles listed in response to Question 1, do you offer pay bonuses for certified bilingual employees?**

   Please refer to DHR, which oversees bilingual premium pay and certification.

5. **For the roles listed in response to Question 1, how have these pay bonuses changed over time? When were they last increased?**

   Please refer to DHR, which oversees bilingual premium pay and certification.

6. **For the roles listed in response to Question 1, how do these pay bonuses compare to other municipalities that you often are in competition with?**

   Please refer to DHR, which oversees bilingual premium pay and certification.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. We look forward to continuing to work with you and your office to ensure that all San Franciscans can access the services they need in the languages that they speak. Should you have additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact Sophie Hayward, Director of Legislation and Public Affairs, at Sophie.Hayward@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Carmen Chu
City Administrator

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Tita Bell, Office of Supervisor Danny Sauter
Jennifer Johnston, Deputy City Administrator
Virginia Donohue, Executive Director, Animal Care and Control
Rylan Keogh, Director, 311 Customer Service Center
Sophie Hayward, Director of Legislation and Public Affairs

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter -- SFFD RESPONSE
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:15:11 AM
Attachments:	3-13-26_SFFD Response to Supv. Sauter"s LOI dated 2-3-26.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD), submitting a response to a letter of inquiry issued by Supervisor Danny Sauter at the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ludwig, Theresa (FIR) <theresa.ludwig@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Bell, Tita (BOS) <tita.bell@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter -- SFFD RESPONSE

Greetings,

On behalf of SFFD Chief Dean Crispen, please find the Department's response to Supervisor Sauter's

Letter of Inquiry dated February 3, 2026.

Regards,

Theresa Ludwig
Office of the Chief of Department
San Francisco Fire Department
698 Second Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
(415) 558-3401

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2026 2:54 PM
To: Lew, Derrick (POL) <Derrick.Lew@sfgov.org>; Crispen, Dean (FIR) <dean.crispen@sfgov.org>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; Tsai, Daniel (DPH) <daniel.tsai@sfdph.org>; Chu, Carmen (ADM) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA) <Julie.Kirschbaum@sfmta.com>; Isen, Carol (HRD) <carol.isen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lopez, Stephen (SHF) <stephen.lopez@sfgov.org>; Youngblood, Stacy (POL) <Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org>; Nicita, Carl (POL) <carl.nicita@sfgov.org>; Miranda, Giannina (POL) <giannina.miranda@sfgov.org>; Ludwig, Theresa (FIR) <theresa.ludwig@sfgov.org>; Scanlon, Olivia (DEM) <olivia.scanlon@sfgov.org>; Reardon, Claire (DEM) <claire.reardon@sfgov.org>; Bobba, Naveena (DPH) <naveena.bobba@sfdph.org>; Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; Altman, Claire (DPH) <claire.altman@sfdph.org>; Po, Vivian (ADM) <vivian.po@sfgov.org>; Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>; Martinsen, Janet (MTA) <Janet.Martinsen@sfmta.com>; Ramos, Joel (MTA) <Joel.Ramos@sfmta.com>; Olea, Ricardo (MTA) <Ricardo.Olea@sfmta.com>; Wise, Viktoriya (MTA) <Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com>; Silva, Christine (MTA) <Christine.Silva@sfmta.com>; Chisti, Aliya (HRD) <aliya.chisti@sfgov.org>; Bell, Tita (BOS) <tita.bell@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter of Inquiry from Supervisor Sauter

Dear Chief Lew, Chief Crispen, Executive Director Carroll, Director Tsai, City Administrator Chu, Director Kirschbaum, and Director Isen,

Please see the attached memorandum from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors regarding a Letter of Inquiry issued by Supervisor Danny Sauter at the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting.

Sincerely,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

Phone: (415) 554-5184
Email: Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

February 4, 2026

Derrick Lew, Chief
San Francisco Police Department
1245 3rd Street
San Francisco, CA 94158
Via Email: Derrick.Lew@sfgov.org

Dean Crispen, Chief
San Francisco Fire Department
698 2nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
Via Email: Dean.Crispen@sfgov.org

Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director
Department of Emergency Management
1011 Turk Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Via Email: Maryellen.Carroll@sfgov.org

Daniel Tsai, Director
Department of Public Health
1145 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
Via Email: Daniel.Tsai@sfdph.org

Carmen Chu, City Administrator
Office of the City Administrator
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 362
San Francisco, CA 94102
Via Email: Carmen.Chu@sfgov.org

Julie Kirschbaum, Director
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103
Via Email: Julie.Kirschbaum@sfmta.com

Carol Isen, Director
Department of Human Resources
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
Via Email: Carol.Isen@sfgov.org

Dear Chief Lew, Chief Crispen, Executive Director Carroll, Director Tsai, City Administrator Chu, Director Kirschbaum, and Director Isen,

At the February 3, 2026, Board of Supervisors meeting, Supervisor Danny Sauter issued the attached inquiry to the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD), Department of Emergency Management (DEM), Department of Public Health (DPH), Office of the City Administrator (ADM), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), and Department of Human Resources (DHR). Please review the attached letter of inquiry, which provides the Supervisor's request.

The inquiry, in summary, requests information on the recruitment, hiring, and retention of bilingual public-facing employees for your respective agencies.

Please contact, Tita Bell, Tita.Bell@sfgov.org, Chief of Staff to Supervisor Sauter, for any questions related to this request, and copy BOS@sfgov.org on all communications to enable my office to track and close out this inquiry. Please provide your response no later than March 20, 2026.

For questions pertaining to the administration of this inquiry, do not hesitate to contact me in the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

Very Truly Yours,

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

WN/JB

Attachments:

- Letter of Inquiry
- Introduction Form

Cc: Steven Lopez, SFPD, Steven.Lopez1@sfgov.org
Sgt. Stacy Youngblood, SFPD, Stacy.A.Youngblood@sfgov.org
Carl Nicita, SFPD, Carl.Nicita@sfgov.org
Giannina Miranda, SFPD, Giannina.Miranda@sfgov.org
Theresa Ludwig, SFFD, Theresa.Ludwig@sfgov.org
Olivia Scanlon, DEM, Olivia.Scanlon@sfgov.org
Claire Reardon, DEM, Claire.Reardon@sfgov.org
Dr. Naveena Bobba, DPH, Naveena.Bobba@sfdph.org
Sneha Patil, DPH, Sneha.Patil@sfdph.org
Claire Altman, DPH, Claire.Altman@sfdph.org
Vivian Po, ADM, Vivian.Po@sfgov.org
Angela Yip, ADM, Angela.Yip@sfgov.org
Janet Martinsen, SFMTA, Janet.Martinsen@sfmta.com
Joel Ramos, SFMTA, Joel.Ramos@sfmta.com
Ricardo Olea, SFMTA, Ricardo.Olea@sfmta.com
Viktoriya Wise, SFMTA, Viktoriya.A.Wise@sfmta.com
Christine Silva, SFMTA, Christine.Silva@sfmta.com
Aliy Chisti, DHR, Aliya.Chisti@sfgov.org

Member, Board of Supervisors
District 3

City and County of San Francisco

DANNY SAUTER
李爾德

February 3, 2026

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, Calif. 94102-4689

Emailed to: Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

Letter of Inquiry: Requesting Information on the Recruitment, Hiring, and Retention of Bilingual Public-Facing City Employees

Dear Madam Clerk,

In accordance with the power of inquiry and review that City Charter § 16.114 vests in the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, I write this Letter of Inquiry ("LOI") to seek information related to the recruitment, hiring, and retention of bilingual public-facing employees at the following City departments and divisions:

- San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") full-duty sworn officers, particularly foot beats, and non-sworn staff who are responsible for public education, community engagement or who routinely encounter Limited English Proficient interactions
- Fire Department ("SFFD") firefighters, paramedics, inspectors and staff responsible for public education, community engagement or Limited English Proficient interactions.
- Department of Emergency Management ("DEM") dispatch staff, enforcement and other public-contact staff who are responsible for public education, community engagement or who routinely encounter Limited English Proficient interactions.
- Department of Public Health ("DPH") public-contact employees in the Population Health, Behavioral Health, SF Health Network, and Environmental Health divisions who are responsible for direct patient care, inspections, code enforcement, public education, community engagement, or who routinely encounter Limited English Proficient interactions
- 311 customer service agents and Animal Care & Control officers operating within the Office of the City Administrator ("ADM")
- San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency ("SFMTA") public-facing employees including transit ambassadors, fare inspectors, bus and train operators, and station agents.

I am requesting responses within 45 days from SFPD, SFFD, DEM, DPH, ADM and SFMTA to the following questions:

1. What are the public facing roles in your department that routinely involve Limited English Proficient interactions?

2. Which of those roles are designated language special condition positions?

3. For the roles listed in response to Question 1, what is your current bilingual employee recruitment, hiring, or retention plan or strategy?

4. For the roles listed in response to Question 1, do you offer pay bonuses for certified bilingual employees?

5. For the roles listed in response to Question 1, how have these pay bonuses changed over time? When were they last increased?

6. For the roles listed in response to Question 1, how do these pay bonuses compare to other municipalities that you often are in competition with?

7. What is the department's or division's strategy for recruiting, hiring, or retaining bilingual employees for the roles listed in response to Question 1? Please list specific information including goals, timelines, and associated budgets.

In addition, I am requesting a response within 45 days from the Department of Human Resources ("DHR") to the following questions:

1. What would be an appropriate premium pay rate for the City and County of San Francisco to remain competitive in recruiting, retaining, and lowering the vacancy rate for bilingual employees in language special condition positions? Please provide approximate information for each department and provide current bilingual pay incentives and ideal future incentive levels.

2. What critical feedback has DHR received or noted regarding the certification examinations conducted by DHR and other authorized organizations, particularly from the six departments named above?

3. What upcoming contract negotiations are you aware of that would impact bilingual pay incentives and rates?

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, California 94102-4689
Phone (415) 554-7450 • Fax (415) 554-7432 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • Email: Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org

4. The city currently has 3,960 bilingual employees, sitting well below a recent high of 5,892 in 2016-2017. Acknowledging this, what is the city's goal for growing the number of bilingual city employees?

5. How can the Board of Supervisors support efforts to hire more bilingual city employees?

Thank you for facilitating this LOI. Please feel free to contact me if further clarification is needed. Staff-level questions may be directed to my Chief of Staff, Tita Bell, at tita.bell@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Danny Sauter
District 3 Supervisor

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, California 94102-4689
Phone (415) 554-7450 • Fax (415) 554-7432 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • Email: Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: March 16, 2026 SFAC Civic Design Review Agenda Posted
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:56:51 PM
Attachments:	image001.png March_16_2026_Civic_Design_Review_Agenda.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the San Francisco Arts Commission (ART) Civic Design Review committee meeting agenda for March 16, 2026.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dhaliwal, Manraj (ART) <manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:42 PM
Subject: March 16, 2026 SFAC Civic Design Review Agenda Posted

Hello,

The agenda for the Monday, March 16, 2026, Civic Design Review meeting has been posted:

Civic Design Review Meeting | San Francisco (sf.gov)

Agenda

Thank you,
Manraj

Manraj Dhaliwal
Commission Secretary
Pronouns: he/him
Email: manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org
Phone: 415-252-2247
Mobile: 415-940-1803

San Francisco Arts Commission
401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfartscommission.org

Newsletter | Flickr | LinkedIn | Facebook | Instagram | TikTok | Twitter | YouTube

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone. We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the Sunshine Ordinance and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.

MEETING OF THE CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE

Monday, March 16, 2026

2:00 p.m.

City Hall, Room 416

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

AGENDA

Members of the Committee will attend this meeting in-person at the location listed above.

Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in-person at the physical meeting location listed or remotely online. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide up to three minutes of public comment on every agenda item.

Civic Design Review Committee Commissioners: Partick Carney, Chair; Seth Brenzel, Chuck Collins, Al Perez, McKenna Quint, Jessica Rothschild, Janine Shiota

1. **Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment**

    - Call to order
    - Roll call / Confirmation of quorum.
    - Agenda changes
    - Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their

traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San Francisco, we are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

2. **General Public Comment**

(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Committee's purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Committee's consideration.)

3. **Lake Merced West Arborists Facility – Conceptual + Phase 1 Review**
   Discussion and Possible Action

Discussion and possible action for Conceptual and Phase 1 Review for **Lake Merced West Arborists Facility**

Presentation Time: Approximately 30 minutes (Presentation: 20 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 10 minutes)

Project team:
Project Designer/Architect: EunJoo Choo
Project Manager(s): Obiajulu Nzewi
Landscape Architect: William Bulkley

- Lake Merced West Arborists Facility, Conceptual and Phase 1 Review, Request for Review Form
- Lake Merced West Arborists Facility, Conceptual and Phase 1 Review, Presentation
- Lake Merced West Arborists Facility, Conceptual and Phase 1 Review, Art Enrichment Form

4. **Ingleside Police Station Replacement – Phase 2 Review**
   Discussion and Possible Action

Discussion and possible action for Phase 2 Review for **Ingleside Police Station Replacement**

Presentation Time: Approximately 30 minutes (Presentation: 20 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 10 minutes)

Project team:
Project Designer: Bureau of Architecture
Project Manager(s): Sherry Katz
Architect: Jane Chan
Landscape Architect: Nicholas Ancel

This project was previously reviewed on September 15, 2025.

- Ingleside Police Station Replacement: Phase 2 Review, Request for Review Form
- Ingleside Police Station Replacement: Phase 2 Review, Presentation

5. **Crocker Amazon Baseball Renovation – Conceptual + Phase 1 Review**
   Discussion and Possible Action

Discussion and possible action for Conceptual and Phase 1 Review for **Crocker Amazon Baseball Renovation**

Presentation Time: Approximately 30 minutes (Presentation: 20 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 10 minutes)

Project team:
Project Designer: Mark Baginski
Project Manager(s): Dan Mauer
Architect: Public Restroom Company
Landscape Architect: Mark Baginski

- Crocker Amazon Baseball Renovation: Conceptual + Phase 1 Review, Request for Review Form
- Crocker Amazon Baseball Renovation: Conceptual + Phase 1 Review, Presentation

6. **New Business and Announcements**

   (This item is to allow the Commissioners to introduce without discussion new agenda items for consideration, to report on recent arts activities and to make announcements in accordance with Prop D.)

7. **Adjournment**
   Action

Posted 03/12/2026, 1:45pm, msd

Notices

The meetings of the San Francisco Arts Commission will be occurring in-person at City Hall, Room 416 and available to view on SFGovTV2.

Agenda Item Information / Materials Available
Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:
1) Department or agency report;
2) Public correspondence;
3) Other explanatory documents.

Each explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be available only electronically at https://sf.gov/departments/civic-design-review-committee-arts-commission. Please contact: Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2252. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies, or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.

2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting or up to three (3) minutes. Speakers may not transfer their time to another person.

3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.

4. Persons who speak during the public comment period at today's meeting of the Arts Commission may supply a brief written summary of the comments to be included in the minutes if it is 150 words or less, to manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. The Arts Commission may reject the summary if it exceeds the prescribed word limit or is not an accurate summary of the speaker's public comment.

5. Persons unable to attend an Arts Commission meeting may submit correspondence to the Arts Commission in connection with an agenda item. Art Commission staff will post these documents adjacent to the agenda if they are one page in length. If they are longer than one page, the Arts Commission will make such documents available for public inspection and copying. Please note, correspondence submitted to the Arts Commission will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Names and addresses included in these submittals will be public. Submittals may be made anonymously. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org by 5:00 p.m. before the date of the meeting to ensure comments are shared with commissioners ahead of the meeting.

Electronic Devices Prohibited

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. The Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic device

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2247, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday. Captions can be enabled by you using our meeting platform, WebEx.

Archives Available

A recording of this meeting will be available online after the meeting at https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=149.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415-252-3100, fax 415-252-3112 and http://www.sfethics.org/.

Sensitivity to chemical-based products

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity, or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554-7724; by fax at 415-554-7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org.

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/

Accessibility Meeting Policy

Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org, 415-252-2247. Late requests will be honored if possible. The hearing room is wheelchair accessible.

利便參與會議的相關規定

根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也

將會提供不同格式的會議資料，和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2219向Manraj Dhaliwal, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org, 415-252-2247提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

Politíca De Acceso A La Reunión

De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un leedor. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Manraj Dhaliwal, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting

Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Manraj Dhaliwal manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org, 415-252- 2247. Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: March 18, 2026 Visual Arts Committee
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 3:56:16 PM
Attachments:	March 18 2026 VAC Agenda.pdf Outlook-Logo__Desc.png

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the San Francisco Arts Commission (ART), submitting an agenda for the
March 18, 2026, Visual Arts Committee meeting.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cotz, Paris (ART) <paris.cotz@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:17 AM
To: Dhaliwal, Manraj (ART) <manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peterson, Tara (ART) <tara.peterson@sfgov.org>
Subject: March 18, 2026 Visual Arts Committee

Hello,

Please find the agenda for the Visual Arts Committee Meeting coming up on Wednesday, March 18, 2026 attached via PDF and here: https://www.sf.gov/meeting--march-18-2026--

visual-arts-committee-meeting

Thank you,
Paris

Paris Cotz
Program Associate, Public Art
Pronouns: she/her
Email: paris.cotz@sfgov.org
Mobile: 415-539-6213

San Francisco Arts Commission
401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfartscommission.org

Newsletter | Flickr | LinkedIn | Facebook | Instagram | TikTok | Twitter | YouTube

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone. We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the Sunshine Ordinance and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.

MEETING OF THE VISUAL ARTS COMMITTEE

Wednesday, March 18, 2026
2:00 p.m.

Hybrid Meeting
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Agenda

Members of the Visual Arts Committee will attend this meeting in person at the location listed above. Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in person at the physical meeting location listed above or remotely on SFGovTV. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide public comment on every agenda item. Visual Arts Committee Commissioners: Suzie Ferras, Chair; JD Beltran; J. Riccardo Benavides; Nabiel Musleh; Al Perez; Debra Walker.

1. **Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment**

- Call to order
- Roll call / Confirmation of quorum
- Agenda Changes
- Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula are. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San Francisco, we are committed to

supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

2. General Public Comment

(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Committee's purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Committee's consideration.)

3. Consent Calendar

Discussion and Possible Action

1. Motion to authorize the Director of Cultural Affairs to enter into a contract with Neon Works, Inc. under Chapter 21 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, in an amount not to exceed $1,000,000, for as-needed fine art services to support the Civic Art Collection.

2. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.04, *Ligo Sardines*, 2017, mixed media on canvas, H40 in. by W30 in. by Mel Vera Cruz. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

3. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.05, *Victoria Manolo*, 2022, Oil on canvas, H30 in. by W40 in. by Cherisse Alcantara. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

4. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.06, *Finding Filipino in SOMA*, 2023, print, H23 in. by W16 in., Edition 1 of 2, by Rina Ayuyang. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was

approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

5. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.07, *Bola Ay Buhay / Ball is Life*, 2025, mixed textiles and vinyl, H37 in. by W32 3/4 in. by Francesca ("ChiChai") Villa Mateo. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

6. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.08, *Untitled (Places, Icons, Situations - Memories we must not let fade)*, 2020, screenprint & digital archival print, H30 in. by W24 in. by Kimberley Arteche. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

7. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.09, *Corrugated Memories: Malate School Day*, 2022, ceramic on wood, H18 in. by W14 in. by D2 in. by Johanna Poethig. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

8. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.10, *Mountain People 4,* 2023, Collage with linoleum block and phototransfer elements, H22 1/2 in. by W15 in. by Favianna Rodriguez. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

9. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.11, *the horizon toward which we move always recedes before us (Gene Friend Recreation Center)*, 2023, ink,

correction fluid, tape, spray paint on vellum, H21 1/2 in. by W34 in. by Jerome Reyes. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

10. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.12.1-2, *Kapamilya Yard I and 2*, 2021, diptych, house paint and aerosol spray on wood, combined overall dimensions H42 in. by W57 in. by Cristine Blano. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

11. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.13, *Forty-Fives*, 2024, acrylic stain on wood, H16 1/2 in. by W13 1/2 in. x D1in. by Leo Bersamina. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

12. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.14, *Research and Remembering, Ube 2*, 2024, Ube, pigment, photo, acrylic paint, wood, H48 in. by W37 in. by Charlene Tan. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

13. Motion to approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection, 2026.15, *Birds of Paradise 4*, 2025, archival pigment print, H30 in. by W24 in. by Kija Lucas. The artwork was purchased as part of the two-dimensional artwork program for the Gene Friend Recreation Center and its purchase was approved under Resolution Number 1201-25-226.

4. Sunset Dunes Temporary Artwork Extension
Discussion and Possible Action

A) Big Art Loop - *Rock Spinner* by Zack Coffin and *Octavius* by Peter Hazel

Discussion and possible action to extend the duration of installation for a period of one year for the following sculptures: *Rock Spinner* by Zack Coffin at Lawton Street, and *Octavius* by Peter Hazel at Sloat Boulevard, as installed along the Great Highway in Sunset Dunes, so that the installation period is from April 2025 - April 2027. The artwork will be maintained by Friends of Sunset Dunes and Big Art Loop.

B) *Wave Heart* by Wes Skinner and *Ocean Calling*, Jamae Tasker & Sarah McCarthy Grimm

Discussion and possible action to extend the duration of installation for a period of one year for the following sculptures: *Wave Heart* by Wes Skinner at Noriega Street, and *Ocean Calling,* Jamae Tasker & Sarah McCarthy Grimm between Noriega Street and Ortega Street, as installed along The Great Highway in Sunset Dunes, so that the installation period is from April 2025 - April 2027. The artwork will be maintained by Friends of Sunset Dunes and the artists.

Presenter: Paris Cotz, Program Associate and Lucas Lux, President of Friends of Ocean Beach
Presentation Time: Approximately 8 minutes
Explanatory Document: Presentation

5. SFPUC Water Division Campus
Discussion and Possible Action

Discussion and possible action to approve the final design of *Those Who Carry Water*, an architecturally integrated glass artwork by Walter Kitundu (Kitundu LLC), for the SFPUC Water Division Campus (formerly San Francisco Water Department at 2000 Marin), located at 2000 Marin Street in the Bayview neighborhood.

Presenter: Program Manager Jackie von Treskow and Walter Kitundu
Presentation Time: Approximately 12 minutes

Explanatory Document: Final Artwork Design

6. Staff Report
Discussion

Presentation of Civic Art Collection and Public Art Program updates.

Presenter: Civic Art Collection & Public Art Program Director Mary Chou
Presentation Time: Approximately 5 minutes

7. New Business and Announcements
(This item is to allow the Commissioners to introduce without discussion new agenda items for consideration, to report on recent arts activities and to make announcements in accordance with Prop D.)

8. Adjournment
Action

Agenda posted 3/12/2026, pc, 4:15pm.

Notices
The meetings of the Visual Arts Committee will be held in "hybrid format" with the meeting occurring in-person at City Hall, room 416 and available to view live at https://sfgovtv.org/sfgovtv-live-events.

Agenda Item Information / Materials Available
Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:
1) Department or Agency or report;
2) Public correspondence;
3) Other explanatory documents.

Explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be available only electronically at https://sf.gov/departments/visual-arts-committee-arts-commission. Please contact: Paris Cotz at paris.cotz@sfgov.org or 415-252-2252. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may

wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.

2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting for up to three (3) minutes.

3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.

4. Any person speaking during a public comment period may supply a brief written summary of their comments, which shall, if no more than 150 words, be included in the official file. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to art-info@sfgov.org.

5. Persons attending the meeting and those unable to attend may also submit written comments of 150 words or less regarding specific agenda items or general public comment regarding items under the purview of the Arts Commission. Written comments submitted to and received by Arts Commission staff via email to paris.cotz@sfgov.org by 5:00 p.m. before the date of the meeting, or received within 10 days after a public meeting, before draft meeting minutes are posted, will be brought to the attention of the commission and made part of the official public record. Please note, written public comment submitted to SFAC staff will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Please see meeting agenda for meeting contact information. Note that names and addresses included in these submittals will become part of the public record. Submittals may be made anonymously.

Electronic Devices Prohibited

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing

electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. The Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic device

Disability Access
To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Paris Cotz at paris.cotz@sfgov.org or 415-252-2252, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday.

Archives Available
A recording of this meeting will be available online, 48 hours after the meeting at
https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=152.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements
Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415/252-3100, fax 415/252-3112 and http://www.sfethics.org/.

Sensitivity to chemical-based products
In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity, or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

Sunshine Ordinance
Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by

mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554 7724; by fax at 415-554 7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org.

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/

Accessibility Meeting Policy
Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Paris Cotz at least 48 hours before the meeting at 415-252-2252, paris.cotz@sfgov.org Late requests will be honored if possible. The hearing room is wheelchair accessible.

利便參與會議的相關規定
根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也將會提供不同格式的會議資料， 和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2252 向 Paris Cotz, paris.cotz@sfgov.org 提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

POLITICA DE ACCESO A LA REUNIÓN
De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un leedor. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Paris Cotz, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2252, paris.cotz@sfgov.org. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting
Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Paris Cotz sa 415-252-2252, paris.cotz@sfgov.org Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: March 25, 2026, Executive Committee agenda posted
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:54:35 PM
Attachments:	image001.png March_25_2026_Agenda_-_Executive_Committee.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the San Francisco Arts Commission, submitting an agenda for the March 25, 2026, Executive Committee meeting.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dhaliwal, Manraj (ART) <manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:44 PM
Subject: March 25, 2026, Executive Committee agenda posted

Hello,

The agenda for the March 25, 2026, Executive Committee meeting has been posted:

Executive Committee Meeting | San Francisco (sf.gov)

Agenda

Kindly,
Manraj

Manraj Dhaliwal
Commission Secretary
Pronouns: he/him
Email: manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org
Phone: 415-252-2247
Mobile: 415-940-1803

San Francisco Arts Commission
401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfartscommission.org

Newsletter | Flickr | LinkedIn | Facebook | Instagram | TikTok | Twitter | YouTube

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone. We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the Sunshine Ordinance and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.

Executive Committee Meeting

Wednesday, March 25, 2026
1 p.m.
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

Agenda

Members of the Executive Committee will attend this meeting in-person at the location listed above. Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in-person at the physical meeting location listed above or remotely online. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide up to three minutes of public comment on every agenda item.

Executive Committee Commissioners: Charles Collins (President and Chair) Janine Shiota (Vice President), McKenna Quint, JD Beltran, Marcus Shelby.

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment

- Call to order
- Roll call / Confirmation of quorum
- Agenda Changes
- Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our

respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San Francisco, we are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

2. General Public Comment
Discussion

(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Commission's purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Commission's consideration.)

3. Art Vendor Code of Conduct
Action

Discussion and possible motion to approve amendments to the Art Vendor Code of Conduct previously approved by RESOLUTION NO. 0806-18-265.

Explanatory Documents: Amended Art Vendor Code of Conduct

Presentation Time*:* Approximately 5 minutes

4. New Business and Announcements

(This item is to allow the Commissioners to introduce without discussion new agenda items for consideration, to report on recent arts activities and to make announcements in accordance with Prop D.)

5. Adjournment
Action

Posted 3/12/2026, 1:45 p.m., msd

Notices

The meetings of the San Francisco Arts Commission will be occurring in-person at City Hall, room 416 and available to stream online.

Agenda Item Information / Materials Available

Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:
1) Department or Agency or report;
2) Public correspondence;
3) Other explanatory documents.

Explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be available only electronically, please contact: Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2247. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.

2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting or up to three (3) minutes.

3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.

4. Persons who spoke during the public comment period at a meeting of

the Arts Commission may supply a brief written summary of the comments to be included in the minutes if it is 150 words or less. The Arts Commission may reject the summary if it exceeds the prescribed word limit or is not an accurate summary of the speaker's public comment.

5. Persons unable to attend an Arts Commission meeting may submit correspondence to the Arts Commission in connection with an agenda item. The Commission Secretary will post these documents adjacent to the agenda if they are one page in length. If they are longer than one page, the Arts Commission will make such documents available for public inspection and copying. Please note, correspondence submitted to the Arts Commission will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Names and addresses included in these submittals will be public. Submittals may be made anonymously. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to art-info@sfgov.org.

Electronic Devices Prohibited
The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting, except as necessary to participate remotely. The Chair may order the exclusion from participation of any person responsible for improper disruptions to this remote meeting.

Disability Access
To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2247, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday.

Archives Available
A recording of this meeting will be available online, 48 hours after the meeting.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements
Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For

more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415/252-3100, fax 415/252-3112 and http://www.sfethics.org/.

Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554 7724; by fax at 415-554 7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org.

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/

Accessibility Meeting Policy

Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal at least 48 hours before the meeting at 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Late requests will be honored if possible. The meeting room is wheelchair accessible.

利便參與會議的相關規定

根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也將會提供不同格式的會議資料， 和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2247向 Manraj Dhaliwal, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org 提出。

逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

POLITICA DE ACCESO A LA REUNIÓN
De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un leedor. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Commission Secretary, Manraj Dhaliwal, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting
Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal sa 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Budget Resolution
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 11:05:00 AM
Attachments:	3.12.26 HRC Budget Resolution (2).pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Pleas see the attached HRC Budget Resolution.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: HRC.Commission <HRC.Commission@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:04 AM
To: Romero, Tabitha (MYR) <tabitha.romero@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Modi, Kunal (MYR) <kunal.modi@sfgov.org>; Moriarty, Peggy (MYR) <Peggy.Moriarty@sfgov.org>; Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC) <mawuli.tugbenyoh@sfgov.org>; BOS Clerks Office (BOS) <clerksoffice@sfgov.org>
Cc: Chicuata, Brittni (HRC) <brittni.chicuata@sfgov.org>; Martinez-Bankhead, Amelia (HRC) <amelia.martinez-bankhead@sfgov.org>
Subject: Budget Resolution

Hello All,

Attached please find Resolution 26-01 in support of the Human Rights Commission's March 2, 2026, budget submission. This was passed unanimously by the Commission at the March 12, 2026, meeting.

Human Rights Commission
25 Van Ness Ave., Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94102

415-252-2500
HRC.Commission@sfgov.org

City and County of San Francisco

Human Rights Commission

Resolution Number: 26-01

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Bay Area has been a catalyst for progressive political movements including the Beatnik era of the 1940's which evolved into the counter-culture movement of the Haight-Ashbury in the 60's; the 1966 establishment of the Black Panther Party in Oakland, and the earliest officially documented LGBTQ uprising in the country, the Compton's Cafeteria Riot, which took place in San Francisco's Tenderloin that same year; the SF State student strike of 68-69 which established the first Ethnic Studies program in the country, and more; and

WHEREAS, The 1960s in San Francisco was a time of heightened racial tension that catalyzed a local civil rights movement, including demonstrations like the Mel's Drive-In Sit-ins, the Sheraton-Palace Hotel & Auto Row Protests, and the March on Mason Street, which protested the discrimination against African Americans in employment and housing; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Human Rights Commission was established in 1964 by Mayor John Shelley in order to develop "equitable and durable human rights policies for San Francisco"[1] that might protect the "full rights and equal opportunities for all San Franciscans;"[2] and

WHEREAS, There have been various reports since the establishment of the Human Rights Commission, including the "Report of the Interim Committee on Human Relations" (1964), "The Unfinished Agenda: The Economic Status of African Americans in San Francisco 1964-1990" (1993), and the Report of the Mayor's Task Force on African-American Out-Migration" (2009) which unanimously concluded that workforce and housing discrimination against African Americans undermined progress and community relations; and

WHEREAS, In 1970, Huey P. Newton, co-founder of the Black Panther Party, published a letter in the Black Panther's newspaper calling for solidarity between the Civil Rights movement for racial justice and the Women's Liberation Movement and the Gay Liberation movement, recognizing that liberation is both intersectional and collective; and

WHEREAS, The mandated obligations and duties of the Human Rights Commission have expanded over the last six decades pursuant to various City ordinances to address the causes of and problems resulting from prejudice, intolerance, bigotry and discrimination against all communities as the anti-discrimination enforcement agency for the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, On Thursday, February 26, 2026, the Executive Director and Chief Financial Officer of the Human Rights Commission (Department) presented a budget to the Human Rights Commission commissioners proposing $2.2M in budget cuts to the Department's programs; and

---

[1] Human Relations, Interim Committee on. "Report by the Interim Committee on Human Relations 1964." sf.gov, October 8, 1964. https://www.sf.gov/sites/default/files/2022-11/Report_by_the_Interim_Committee_on_Human_Relations_October_8_1964.pdf.

[2] ibid.

WHEREAS, In the last 17 months, the Human Rights Commission's budget has been reduced and the staff has been reduced by 9 people while the work duties, programmatic needs, and civil rights enforcement expectations of the department have not only been sustained but have grown during the same time frame and are continuing to be expanded at a time when the rights of minority groups including Black and indigenous people of color, immigrants, women, and the LGBTQI+ community are being targeted by rightwing extremists; and

WHEREAS, The Dream Keeper Initiative was created to dismantle systemic inequities in city service access and support for African American communities in the housing, health, education, workforce training, business ownership, public safety, and to ensure that San Francisco's diverse Black communities are experiencing joy, feelings of safety, and are holistically healthy and thriving and that has yet to be achieved; and

WHEREAS, The Dream Keeper Initiative has been reduced from $60 million per year across citywide departments to $31.9 million across citywide departments in the Mayor's budget, with $19 million being in the HRC's budget; and

WHEREAS, In the last 24 months, the Human Rights Commission, the Office of Transgender Initiatives (OTI), and the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW), were consolidated under the newly created "Agency for Human Rights" with only budgetary support for staff salaries for OTI and DOSW; and

WHEREAS, The Office of Transgender Initiatives is an international beacon of support and technical assistance to advocates, policy leaders, and LGBTQI+ community stakeholders as the only office of its kind embedded in City government; and

WHEREAS, The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, and Intersex Advisory Committee was established in 1975 by Mayor Dianne Feinstein following the assassination of Supervisor Harvey Milk, and is mandated to address the causes of, and problems resulting from, prejudice, intolerance, bigotry, and discrimination affecting the LGBTQI+ community; and

WHEREAS, The LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee's work has resulted in legislation, including protections for domestic partnerships; safeguards for employment and access to medical care for people living with HIV/AIDS, increased LGBTQI+ representation in education, amending Section 12A of the City's Administrative Code adding gender identity as a protected characteristic, and the establishing of Chapter 12N: Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, and Questioning Youth Services Sensitivity Training; and

WHEREAS, The LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee has produced numerous reports that have informed City operations, including "Crisis in the Community: Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, & Questioning Homeless Youth" (2004); "Beyond Marriage: Unrecognized Family Partnerships" (2009); and "Addressing the Needs of LGBT Older Adults in San Francisco: Recommendations for the Future" (2013); and

WHEREAS, The Human Rights Commission enforces various anti-discrimination laws including the Sanctuary City ordinance, the Fair Chance ordinance, and contract compliance of City Contractors; and

WHEREAS, Only three months into 2026, over 700 anti-transgender bills have already been introduced across the United States[3] targeting transgender people's access to education, healthcare, and public life; this is a nearly exponential increase from the previous year when 600+ bills were introduced in all of 2025[4]; and

WHEREAS, The Department on the Status of Women exists to reduce domestic violence and sexual assault, address sexual harassment in city government, combat human trafficking, and advance pay equity, reproductive justice, and gender-inclusive services in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Beyond operating as the anti-discrimination enforcement agency for the City and County of San Francisco, the Human Rights Commission is the established point agency for managing community relations across every marginalized ethnic and identity group; and

WHEREAS, On Thursday, February 12, 2026 over 50 community members representing constituencies that are supported and protected by the work of the Human Rights Commission attended the Commission meeting to uplift their concerns about public safety, ongoing divestment from the critical social services of community-based organizations, and the need for equitable investments across San Francisco's neighborhoods in order to feel safe in their communities; and

WHEREAS, Community members highlighted the threat that additional budget reductions would cause for the physical, emotional, and economic safety of marginalized communities, specifically young people of color, LGBTQI+ people, African Americans, people seeking sanctuary, and other vulnerable communities in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Community members advocated for the urgent need of community stabilization funding for small businesses and organizations that interface with vulnerable populations as a measure of public safety; and

WHEREAS, The Executive Director and Chief Finance Officer shared with Commissioners that the Mayor's budget office requested an additional $5.5 million in cuts to vital services provided by the Human Rights Commission; and

WHEREAS, On Thursday, February 12, 2026, the Human Rights Commission presented their proposed budget reductions of $2.2 million in an effort to both support the Mayor's efforts to reduce the budget deficit and to respect the service and programmatic needs of the community; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Daniel Lurie has highlighted the unique contributions to San Francisco of the constituencies that the Human Rights Commission was established to include and protect in San Francisco; and

---

[3] Tracker, Trans Legislation. "2026 Anti-Trans Bills Tracker." Translegislation.com, 2026. https://translegislation.com/

[4] ACLU. "Mapping Attacks on LGBTQ Rights in U.S. State Legislatures in 2025." American Civil Liberties Union, December 29, 2025. https://www.aclu.org/legislative-attacks-on-lgbtq-rights-2025.

WHEREAS, Mayor Daniel Lurie has actively committed to protecting funding for services for the LGBTQI+ community, marginalized youth, immigrants, people of color whose rights are threatened daily by the rhetoric and actions at the federal level and the bigotry it emboldens locally; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Daniel Lurie's stated priorities of all San Franciscans experiencing neighborhood safety and having a sense of belonging cannot be achieved if the people who have heretofore not been provided equitable access to City resources experience further cuts to their baseline needs; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francsico Human Rights Commission hereby expresses its support for the Department's March 2, 2026, budget submission, as is; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Human Rights Commission will continue to advocate for restoration to the Department's budget; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Human Rights Commission urges the Mayor's Budget Office to consider the increase in need as well as the community's requests and prevent any cuts to the Department's budget and work to ensure that working families and marginalized San Franciscans do not experience deeper harm.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS)
Subject: FW: SFHSS 2025 Annual Report
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 12:09:00 PM
Attachments: 2025 SFHSS Annual Report.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached annual report from the Health Service System.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: Lopez, Holly (HSS) <holly.lopez@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:03 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Guillen, Rey (HSS) <rey.guillen@sfgov.org>; Lopez, Holly (HSS) <holly.lopez@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFHSS 2025 Annual Report

Dear Madame Clerk,

The Health Service Board approved the SFHSS 2025 Annual Report on Thursday, March 12, 2026. The report is attached for your records to comply with City Charter Sec 4.102 and Sec 4.103 and City Admin Code §§ 1.56, and 2A.30.

The report is also available on the SFHSS webpage.

Best,
Holly

Holly Lopez
Executive Assistant to Executive Director, Rey Guillen

Health Service Board Secretary
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers (What is this?)

1145 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-4646 OFFICE
sfhss.org

SAN FRANCISCO
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM
Affordable, Quality Benefits & Well-Being

This message (including any attachments) contains business proprietary/confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and all attachments from your computer or email server. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, without the express permission of the originator, is strictly prohibited.

Microsoft CoPilot Artificial Intelligence was used to draft and/or edit this message.

SAN FRANCISCO
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

Annual Report '25





Table of Contents

Message from Executive Director	3
Who We Serve	4
Mission and Values	5
Key Accomplishments & Highlights	6
Financial Summary	12
General Fund and Healthcare Sustainability Fund	13
Thank You to Abbie Yant, *RN, MA*	14
Looking Ahead	15
Executive Leadership & Contacts	19
Health Service Board Message	20
Health Service Board Contact	21

DISCLAIMER:

AI-assisted content has been subject to internal review and approval prior to publication.

Message from Executive Director



2025 was a year of significant change and transition at the San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS). We bid farewell to former Executive Director, Abbie Yant, and the Health Service Board (HSB) bestowed upon me the great honor to take the helm at SFHSS as its new Executive Director. I then had to find my replacement to serve as Chief Operating and Experience Officer to reinforce our commitment to continually improve our member experience, as well as a new Chief Financial and Affordability Officer to help me minimize the impact the City's $936.6 million budget shortfall will have on SFHSS.

In my first several months as Executive Director, I continued to be inspired by the dedication of our team and the impact that our programs have on the lives of San Francisco public servants whom it is our mission to serve.

This past year, we were able to make several accomplishments towards elevating our member experience, including:

- Re-opening our offices for full business day in-person member support.
- Enabling new Blue Shield HMO members to pre-select a Primary Care Provider (PCP) during enrollment.
- Implementing a new Life & Disability administrator in a manner that increased enrollment for Supplemental Life Insurance by 69%.
- Supporting our Retiree stakeholders through the Medicare Advantage Prescriptions Drug (MAPD) PPO plan transition to Blue Shield of California.


As we enter 2026, my focus will be on areas where we can move the needle with clinically grounded, data-informed measures that demonstrate tangible impacts on costs and health outcome, ensuring we continue to provide equitable, sustainable, and quality benefits that enhance the well-being of our members and their families.

Rey Guillen, *Executive Director*

Who We Serve

Established in 1937 by Charter Amendment No. 3, the San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) was created to provide medical care for municipal employees. Today, SFHSS administers medical, dental, vision, flexible spending accounts (FSA), and voluntary benefits to eligible employees and retirees of the City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court of San Francisco, San Francisco Unified School District, and City College of San Francisco.

HSS Lives Served Relative to Staff



51
HSS Staff

Current Service Ratio:
1 staff per **2,714** lives

Number of Members Eligible for Medical Coverage in 2025

	Count	Percent
Active Members	44,694	32%
Retiree Members	35,364	26%
Dependents	58,382	42%
Total Members	**138,440**	**100%**

Mission & Values

MISSION

Ensuring equitable, sustainable, and quality benefits that enhance the well-being of our members and their families throughout their lifecycle.

VALUES

- Inclusion
- Compassion
- Operational Excellence
- Collaboration
- Alignment
- Accountability



Key Accomplishments & Highlights

Enhanced Financial Protection: SFHSS Partners with New York Life

For years, the San Francisco Health Service System recognized that our life insurance benefit was an underutilized benefit even though it provides important financial protections for our members and their families. When it came time to issue a Request for Proposal for our Life and Disability business, we leveraged this opportunity to increase enrollment in Supplemental Life Insurance. Through the RFP process, we negotiated a Guaranteed Issue amount twice the previous level and launched a broad communications campaign to raise awareness.

In 2025, SFHSS selected New York Life (NYL) as the City's new administrator for Life Insurance, Accidental Death & Dismemberment (AD&D), and Disability Insurance, effective January 1, 2026. This transition not only saved the City nearly $2 million for employer-paid life and disability policies, it also strengthened coverage options and streamlined the experience for members.

Key Improvements include:

- Higher Guaranteed Issue Amount: Voluntary Supplemental Life Insurance increased from $100,000 to $200,000 during Open Enrollment, with no Evidence of insurability required.
- New AD&D Offering: Up to $500,000 Guaranteed Issue.
- Simplified Administration: Life, Short-Term Disability, Long-Term Disability, and AD&D now managed by a single carrier.
- Additional Member Resources: Estate guidance and will preparation at no cost for eligible employees.



"Nearly $2 million saved—while strengthening benefits and expanding protections for our members."

During the special Guaranteed Issue period, SFHSS launched a communications campaign promoting how employees could elect up to $200,000 in coverage for themselves and $50,000 for a spouse or domestic partner without additional requirements. This provided new opportunities for members previously unable to access coverage.

The impact was substantial:

- Employee enrollment increased by 69%.
- Dependent enrollment increased by 89%.

These changes reflect SFHSS's ongoing commitment to helping members protect their financial wellbeing and support their families' security.

69% ↑

Employee Enrollment Increased

89% ↑

Dependent Enrollment Increased


No More Waiting to be Assigned a Primary Care Physician

For the 2025 Open Enrollment, SFHSS introduced a long-requested improvement that gives members more choice and convenience. For the first time, new Blue Shield HMO members are able to select their preferred Primary Care Physician (PCP) during enrollment—eliminating the wait and avoiding automatic assignments to unfamiliar doctors.

In the past, members were assigned a primary care provider (PCP) at random after enrolling, leading to frustration and delays in receiving care. People who preferred a different doctor or already had a trusted physician had to wait for their ID card before requesting a change, which wasted valuable time.

Thanks to SFHSS's partnership with Blue Shield, 402 individuals chose their PCP upfront out of 1,440 eligible members—an impressive 28% participation in the first year of this feature. While acceptance still depends on availability, this change empowers members to plan ahead and avoid unnecessary delays.

This enhancement reflects what members have consistently asked for: *more control, more clarity, and faster access to care.*



402

Individuals Chose Their PCP During Open Enrollment

28%

Participation out of 1,440 eligible members in the first year of this feature



"Expanded hours mean expanded access–for our members and our community."

Expanded Walk-In Lobby Hours

Since the pandemic, the San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) experienced staffing level challenges that prevented us from re-opening our office to the full business day for in-person support. With Mayor Lurie's initiative to revitalize the Civic Center area, SFHSS worked to return to in-person services for the full business day. This shift not only enhances our member experience and desire for greater in-person support, it also increases foot traffic, strengthens daytime activity, and contributes to a more vibrant, welcoming Civic Center community.

In September 2025, SFHSS took a step forward to improve member experience and reopened our office for the full business day—remaining open until 4:30 p.m. daily. This enhancement arrived just in time for the annual Open Enrollment period, offering members expanded access, greater flexibility, and more opportunities to receive personalized support.

Extended in-person hours mean individuals can seek guidance when it works best for their schedules—whether they need help understanding benefit options or want clarity on coverage. It also provides more visibility to the wonderful shops and restaurants along the Civic Center corridor outside our office. Plus, our expanded hours support the City's goal of boosting economic and civic vitality in the neighborhood.

7,603

Number of Walk-Ins

Our weekday hours are 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., with a 10:00 a.m. opening on Thursdays.

A Year of Progress for Reducing Mental Health Stigma at SFHSS

In 2025, SFHSS continued building a more supportive and informed approach to mental health and well-being. Through thoughtful programs, practical tools, and community engagement, we worked to reduce stigma around mental health and make resources easier to access and conversations easier to start.

Sharing Knowledge and Support

During Mental Health Awareness Month, we introduced the Mental Health Awareness Toolkit with practical tips and guidance to help leaders, managers, and supervisors make mental health conversations more routine and approachable.

Training That Builds Confidence

We launched the Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) Intro course—a 90 minute self-paced training focused on recognizing and responding to mental health or substance use challenges. With 530+ registrations and more than 200 completions, many employees took an important step toward supporting colleagues with greater understanding and care.

Resources That Are Easy to Access

The new EAP Pocket Guide provided managers with quick access to essential contacts, psychological first aid tips, and clear response steps on providing compassionate support when employees need it most.

Connecting Employees to Support

Our first Employee Well-Being Resource Fair brought together 208 employees and 17 organizations, offering free resources for health, financial wellness, and career growth.



"Together, we're building a workplace where support, understanding, and healthy habits thrive."

Encouraging positive daily habits, *Good Nurtured,* our four-week well-being challenge, inspired employees to focus on kindness, connection, and self-care. With 1,027 participants, the challenge showed how small, daily actions can strengthen resilience and community.

As we look ahead, we remain committed to reducing the stigma that often prevents individuals from seeking mental health care—and to encouraging employees to move more for their well-being and long-term health. Together, we will continue building a workplace where support, understanding, and healthy habits thrive.

1,027

Employees participated in *Good Nurtured*, our four-week well-being challenge

530+

Registered for Mental Health First Aid training

208

Employees and 17 Organizations joined our First Well-Being Resource Fair



WELL-BEING@WORK

3
Dedicated Well-Being Team Members Leading the Effort

10
Platinum Departments Awarded in 2025

32
Departments Recognized and Celebrated

Celebrating a City United in Well-Being: Building Champions, Growing Culture

On November 19, 2025, the Well-Being Division proudly hosted its biennial ***Well-Being@Work*** Award Ceremony, a vibrant celebration of progress and purpose. This inspiring event honored the extraordinary strides made in creating a culture of well-being across the City and County of San Francisco (CCSF)—led by a dedicated Well-Being team of just *three* people.

Over the past two years, this small but mighty team built a dynamic Champion Network that engaged more departments than ever before, sparking higher levels of commitment to department well-being plans. Through collaboration, creativity, and relentless focus, they transformed vision into action—empowering leaders and employees to prioritize health, belonging, and resilience in the workplace.

From opening remarks by SFHSS Executive Director Rey Guillen to keynote addresses by Dr. Todd May (Health Net), Assessor-Recorder Joaquín Torres, and Dr. Fiona Wilson (CCSF Chief Physician), the ceremony echoed this year's theme: *"Thriving Together: Building a Culture of Community and Caring."*

This theme reflects the heart of the Well-Being team's work: *well-being is not just a goal—it's a shared journey.* And the results speak volumes: 32 departments were recognized for outstanding achievements, celebrated across five levels of distinction that demonstrate growing commitment to sustainable well-being practices. In 2023, only three (3) departments were awarded the Platinum distinction for department Well-Being. That number more than tripled to ten (10) departments that earned the Platinum distinction in 2025.

With only three staff members, the Well-Being Division has united a city around a common purpose—proving that when passion meets partnership, culture change is possible.

Improving Member Experience Through Data Driven Insights

One of the cornerstone pillars of the SFHSS Strategic Plan is to *"Optimize service to maintain and advance exceptional member engagement."*

Serving our members is our top priority.

To ensure we're delivering on this promise, SFHSS launched its first-ever Member Satisfaction Survey in summer 2024, setting the benchmark for our goal to improve member satisfaction by 2% year-over-year. This was the foundation for building upon our training for Member Services by listening, learning, and acting on what matters most to our members.

Fast forward to June 2025, and the results are in. Member satisfaction improved by 3% year-over-year, surpassing expectations and proving that our dedication to exceptional service is making a real difference.

This achievement is a celebration of our talented staff, whose unwavering commitment and hard work have transformed feedback into action and vision into reality. It's a testament to the power of collaboration, transparency, and focus on member experience.

This success fuels our momentum to keep innovating, keep improving, and keep delivering the outstanding experience our members deserve.



33,683
Calls Handled in 2025 by Member Services

7:32 mins
Average Handle Time

5:37 mins
Average Speed of Answer

14.66%
Abandonment Rate

69%
First Contact Resolution



Financial Summary



General Fund (GF) Operating Budget

For FY2024–25, the operating budget decreased by $1.60 million to $12.26 million. During the fiscal year, 260 operating budget payments totaling $2.3 million were processed, and $2.1 million inter-department orders processed across 23 City departments. Finance also implemented a Direct IT Purchase Order Process to streamline IT procurement, improve operational efficiency, and achieve faster turnaround times for issuing IT purchase orders in FY2024–25.

Healthcare Sustainability Fund (HSF)

HSF was established under San Francisco City Charter Section A8.423 to support member communications, programs to reduce healthcare costs, and member well-being programs. This is funded by a per-member-per-month (PMPM) fee added to the rates. For FY2024-25, the rate was $4 per member per month. On the next page, is the Budget vs. Actual for FY2024-25.

Health Benefits Trust

Benefits Trust was established under San Francisco City Charter Section A8.428 to administer medical, dental, vision, life insurance, and long-term disability insurance benefits as well as medical and dependent care flexible spending accounts.

This fund holds the premiums paid to the carriers for the fully insured plans and the funds to pay claims for the flex-funded/self-funded plans. This Trust is funded by the premium deductions from employers and members. The annual compliance audit is conducted by Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP, a CPA firm and FY24–25 marks another year of a clean, independent audit.

The benefits administered by SFHSS totaled $1.3 billion in fiscal year 2024–2025, an increase of $120.8 million over the previous fiscal year. During the year, 1,270 payments were processed, 20,485 over-the-counter premium payments were received, and 4,000 premium rate calculations were completed.

Premium Dollars Paid Annually for Health Benefits (Medical, Dental, Vision)



2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
$1,013,639,887	$1,054,687,666	$1,077,772,448	$1,147,584,712	$1,268,396,163

Premium expenditures have grown 25% since 2021 per audited financial statements.

General Fund

		FY 2024-25 Budget		FY 2024-25 Actuals
REVENUES				
Non-Operating Revenue	$	2,478	$	2,478
Operating Work Order Recovery		10,735,782		10,663,357
Other Revenue		2,068,410		1,450,000
General Fund Carryforward		539,346		539,346
TOTAL REVENUES	**$**	**13,346,015**	**$**	**12,655,181**
EXPENDITURES				
Personnel Services	$	5,820,949	$	5,381,052
Mandatory Fringe Benefits		2,440,791		2,302,374
Non-Personnel Services		2,684,592		2,149,847
Materials & Supplies		94,447		84,681
Services of Other Departments		2,302,759		2,024,906
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	**$**	**13,343,538**	**$**	**11,942,860**
BALANCE	**$**	**2,477**	**$**	**712,321**

Healthcare and Sustainability Fund

		FY 2024-25 Budget		FY 2024-25 Actuals
REVENUE SOURCES				
Annual Revenues	$	3,404,857	$	3,027,230
Carryforward from Fund Balance		6,614,082		6,614,082
TOTAL	**$**	**10,018,939**	**$**	**9,641,312**
EXPENDITURE USES				
Personnel	$	2,776,489	$	1,879,270
Administrative		66,880		30,096
Member Communications		813,826		598,026
Communications - Other		1,195,981		177,456
Well-Being		738,187		164,184
Initiatives to Reduce Healthcare Costs		409,071		379,073
TOTAL	**$**	**6,000,433**	**$**	**3,228,104**
REVENUE - EXP *(excl. carryforward bal.)*		(2,595,576)		(200,874)
BALANCE	**$**	**4,018,506**	**$**	**6,413,208**

Thank You, Abbie!

It is with deep gratitude, we honor Executive Director Abbie Yant, *RN, MA,* for her extraordinary service to the San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) and the City and County of San Francisco. Yant's storied career spans 35+ years in health care service to San Francisco—including the past seven years where she guided SFHSS. She led with vision, fairness, and compassion, always placing members first. A collaborative communicator and attentive listener, she grounded decisions in data and championed whole person care. She forged strong partnerships, advanced health equity, and insisted that quality, access, and affordability move forward together.

She represented SFHSS and our city in regional and statewide forums, sharing our experience and learning from peers—such as the Pacific Business Group on Health's Annual Summit—to anticipate trends and advocate for our members.

Executive Director Yant continually advocated for our members to receive *"the right care, in the right place, at the right time"* at sustainable costs. She encouraged staff to challenge the status quo, measure outcomes, and use evidence to drive action. She developed a shared vision and empowered managers to advance it. Her work leveraged SFHSS's purchasing power to benefit our members and improve the healthcare delivery system for all.

As she retires to Sonoma to spend quality time with her husband and travel more to visit their family and friends, we celebrate a legacy of service that endures through healthier outcomes, stronger partnerships, and a kinder, more inclusive workplace.

Thank you, Abbie Yant, for setting a standard we are proud to carry forward.



Looking Ahead



Strategic Procurement to Deliver Member Value

The San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) is positioned to deliver even greater value to members and the City through strategic procurements.

- Aligned with priorities of fiscal responsibility, operational excellence, and member well-being, In 2025, SFHSS successfully issued two major competitive bids—including a Flexible Spending Account (FSA) and COBRA administrator and a self-funded Dental PPO plan for over 70,000 active employees and their dependents—aligned with priorities of fiscal responsibility, operational excellence, and member well-being.

- These procurements ensure long-term stability and cost control, while expanding access to comprehensive benefits.

Proven Growth and Impact

- **Healthcare FSA Enrollment:** Increased 40% from 2020 to 2025, reaching 8,920 employees—with annual elections growing to $16.1M.

- **Dependent Care FSA Enrollment:** Rose 10% over the same period, now at 1,651 employees, with elections climbing to $6.5M.

- **Citywide Savings:** Nearly $12.5 million in payroll tax savings from 2016–2025, thanks to pre-tax benefit programs.

- **Recent Momentum:** Plan Year 2025 achieved the highest enrollment in a decade, exceeding the 10-year average by 35.8%.

$12.5M

Payroll Tax Savings

40%

Growth in Healthcare FSA Enrollment Over 5 Years

70,000+

Members and Dependents Covered by Dental PPO

Future Goals

Building on this momentum, SFHSS will:

- **Enhance** digital tools for seamless enrollment and personalized member experiences.

- **Strengthen** benefit offerings to meet evolving needs—ensuring choice, flexibility, and affordability.

Unlocking More Potential of PeopleSoft to Improve Member Experience

SFHSS is entering an exciting new chapter in its modernization journey with the PeopleSoft Optimization Project—an initiative designed to transform how benefits are delivered and elevate the member experience. Building on the successful completion of Phase I in 2025, the organization is now poised to move into implementation, where innovation can translate into meaningful impact.

This initiative centers on four major components:

1 Benefits Optimization

Streamlining processes to make benefits easier for members to access and manage.

2 Benefits Automation

Reducing manual steps to improve accuracy, speed, and overall efficiency.

3 Benefits Modernization

Enhancing and updating the member experience through improved tools and interfaces.

4 Arrears Processing

Leveraging advanced technology to handle complex retroactive transactions seamlessly.

The long-term vision is clear. However, realizing the full promise of this modernization effort requires something essential: the funding and resources needed to unlock more PeopleSoft capabilities. With proper investment, these improvements can:

- **Accelerate** enrollment and processing times, reducing delays for members.
- **Enhance** digital self-service options, giving members greater control over their benefits. Ensure operational excellence aligned with SFHSS's strategic priorities.

Looking ahead, SFHSS's goal is for its technology to work smarter and more seamlessly. With the right support and resources, the PeopleSoft Optimization Project has the potential to deliver transformative, lasting improvements across the organization.

Driving Efficiency: A Future of Seamless Member Support

SFHSS is preparing to improve the way members access information and support by unlocking more capabilities for case management and call center systems. Starting in 2026, new efficiency initiatives will leverage underutilized features and advanced tools—within WebEx, Salesforce, and sfhss.org—to create a more connected, self-service experience for every member.

With funding secured through a matching grant from the Committee on Information Technology, these projects will enable SFHSS to:

- **Streamline** response systems for faster, more accurate assistance.
- **Empower** members with intuitive self-service options, reducing wait times and improving satisfaction.
- **Integrate** technology for smarter workflows, ensuring staff can focus on high-value interactions.
- **Enhance** engagement through digital platforms that make benefits information accessible anytime, anywhere.

SFHSS is committed to operational excellence and member convenience.

"Efficiency designed around the member—delivering smarter, seamless support."



2026: A Year of Opportunity and Momentum

The San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) 2026 Gap Year Strategic Plan serves as a bridge between multi-year strategies, this plan is far more than a placeholder—it is a launchpad for innovation, impact, and growth. With a clear vision and unwavering commitment, we are ready to turn challenges into opportunities and set the stage for long-term success.

In 2026, we will continue our ongoing mission through three core objectives:

- Deliver Affordable, Sustainable Healthcare and High-Quality Well-Being Services
- Champion Mental Health and Well-Being
- Elevate Member Engagement Through Exceptional Service

This transition-year plan builds on the momentum of our 2023–2025 Strategic Plan and sets the foundation for an ambitious new multi-year strategy beginning in 2027. It reflects our unwavering commitment to continuous improvement and to safeguarding the health and well-being of every member.



sfhss.org/sfhss-strategic-plan

Three Goals of 2026

Provide Affordable and Sustainable healthcare and high-quality well-being services through value-driven decisions and program design.

Support the Mental Health and Well-Being of our membership by reducing stigma and addressing barriers to care in partnership with key stakeholders.

Optimize Service to maintain and advance exceptional member engagement.

Executive Leadership Team



Rey Guillen
Executive Director



Rin Coleridge
Chief Operating and Experience Officer



Teresa Tan
Chief Finance and Affordability Officer

Contact Us

SFHSS Mailing Address
San Francisco Health Service System
1145 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

SFHSS Member Services
Main: (628) 652-4700
Fax: (628) 652-4701

Telephone Hours
Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Friday from 9 a.m. to noon and 1 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Thursday from 10 a.m. to noon and 1 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Member Service Walk-In
Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Thursday from 10 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Health Service Board Message



Mary Hao, *President*
Mayoral Appointee
5-Year Term: May 2023–May 2028



Art Howard, *Vice President*
Elected Commissioner
5-Year Term: June 2024–May 2029



Jack Cremen
Elected Commissioner
5-Year Term: June 2024–May 2029



Matt Dorsey
Board of Supervisors Appointee
5-Year Term: May 2023–May 2028
District 6 Supervisor
San Francisco Board of Supervisors



Diana Guevara
Elected Commissioner
5-year Term: June 2024–May 2025



Gus Vallejo
Elected Commissioner
5-year Term: May 2025–May 2030



Fiona Wilson, M.D.
Mayoral Appointee
5-Year Term: June 2025–May 2030



Holly Lopez
Health Service Board
Secretary

The Health Service Board (Board) is dedicated to making available to SFHSS members high-quality and affordable medical, dental, and vision care benefits that are consistent with our charge to administer the Trust in accordance with the City Charter solely for the benefit of SFHSS Members.

This 2025 Annual Report again demonstrates the commitment of the SFHSS team to be a continuously learning organization. On behalf of the Board, I thank the entire SFHSS team, led by Executive Director Rey Guillen, appointed in 2025, for maintaining its steadfast commitment to our members.

We look forward to 2026 and the vision and goals that the new leadership team will establish for the entire SFHSS Team to serve our members.

We, as a Board, are grateful for the SFHSS staff's diligence and dedication and we remain steadfast in our commitment to support the team in embracing whatever challenges and opportunities may come our way.

Mary Hao, *President*

Board Contact Information

The Health Service Board is the governing and policy-making body of the San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS). The Board supports SFHSS's mission to preserve and improve sustainable, quality health benefits and to enhance the well-being of members and their families. The Health Service Board meets on the second Thursday of each month in City Hall Room 416. For Board meeting agendas and materials please visit our Board calendar page. Meeting agendas, presentations, and meeting minutes are all posted on our Board Calendar webpage. Members of the public can bring policy comments to the Board at the regular monthly meetings.

San Francisco Health Service System
1145 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

health.service.board@sfgov.org
(628) 652-4646

sfhss.org/health-service-board
sfhss.org/upcoming-meetings



SAN FRANCISCO
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

sfhss.org

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: ISCOTT Hearing on Thurs. 3/26/26 Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 8:25:36 AM
Attachments:	ISCOTT_1612_Agenda.pdf

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the SFMTA, submitting an agenda for the 3/26/26 ISCOTT hearing.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 6:42 PM
Cc: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Subject: ISCOTT Hearing on Thurs. 3/26/26 Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests

Hello –
Attached is the agenda for the upcoming ISCOTT hearing on Thursday, March 26, 2026.
If you have any questions, please email us.

Thank you,

Dianne Yee
Transportation Planner III, Special Events – Shared Spaces
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Ave, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of March 26, 2026 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1612th Regular Meeting

Online Participation	Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing Click on the Raise your hand icon. When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon to speak.
Phone Participation	Please dial +1 415-523-2709,,397937701# Find a local number Phone conference ID: 397 937 701# Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation	Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

415.646.2414: For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión./ Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.

MINUTES OF THE MARCH 12, 2026, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)
The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT
Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)
These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR
If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

A. Grove Street between Polk and Larkin streets
Monday, May 11, 2026, 10 am to 8 pm
UC Law SF Commencement 2026

B. Byxbee Street between Shields and Sargent streets
Friday, July 10, 2026, 7 am to 5 pm
OMI Lakeview Family Day

C. 17th Street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets
Saturday, June 6, 2026, 5 am to 10 pm
Book Sale Block Party

REGULAR CALENDAR

D. Pine Street (northside parking lane only) between Fillmore Street and 60' east
Thursday, April 2, 2026, 12 pm to 10 pm
Malbon San Francisco Grand Opening

E. 12th Street between Bernice and Harrison streets
Saturday, April 18, 2026, 8 am to 2 pm
SoMa Community Tree Planting

F. Elsie Street between Santa Marina Street and Holly Park Circle
Sunday, April 19, 2026, 9 am to 5 pm
College Hill Learning Garden 10-Year Anniversary & Earth Day Celebration

G. 19th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets
Saturday, April 11, 2026, 9 am to 7 pm
Dolores Huerta Parade Staging

24th Street between Folsom and Bryant streets; Treat Avenue between 23rd and 25th streets; Harrison Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Alabama Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Florida Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Lucky Street between 24th and 25th streets; Balmy Street between 24th and 25th streets
Intersections closed: 24th Street at Lucky, Treat, Balmy, Harrison, Alabama, and Florida streets
Saturday, April 11, 2026, 9 am to 7 pm
Dolores Huerta Holiday Parade/Festival

H. Somerset Street between Burrows and Bacon streets
Wednesday, April 22, 2026, 12 pm to 4 pm
E.R. Taylor Elementary Earth Day STEAM Block Party

I. Sanchez Street between Clipper and 26th streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 9:30 am to 4:30 pm
Slow Sanchez + Friends of Noe Valley Garden Tour Block Party

J. 23rd Avenue between Clement and California streets
Intersection closed: Clement Street at 23rd Avenue
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 1 pm to 9 pm
Alamo Centennial Spring Block Party

K. Waller Street between Octavia and Laguna streets; Octavia Street (southbound frontage road) between Haight and Waller streets
Intersection closed: Waller St at Octavia Street
Saturday, June 6, 2026, 6 am to 8 pm
Building the Block Party: Queer Joy is Our Cornerstone

L. Newcomb Avenue between 3rd and Lane streets; Oakdale Avenue between 3rd and Lane streets; Mendell Street between Newcomb and McKinnon avenues
Intersection closed: Newcomb Avenue at Mendell Street
Saturday, April 18, 2026, 8 am to 6:30 pm
415 Classic Car Business Crawl

M. Galvez Avenue between Newhall and Mendell streets
or
Bancroft Avenue between Keith and Jennings streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 7 am to 7 pm
Safer Together's Car Show x Block Party

N. Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets; Filbert Street between Stockton and Powell streets
Saturday June 6, 2026, 4 am
Sunday, June 7, 2026, 10 pm
Festa Italiana

Stockton Street between Filbert and North Point streets; North Point Street between Stockton Street and The Embarcadero
Sunday, June 7, 2026, 7 am to 12 pm
105th Statuto Race

O. Green Street between Columbus and Grant avenues
(Local access only on Jasper and Bannam places between Union and Green streets.)
Sunday, June 7, 2026, 8 am to 3 pm
Firefighter Olympic Stickball

P. Green Street between Columbus and Grant avenues
(Local access only on Jasper and Bannam places between Union and Green streets.)
Saturday, May 30, 2026, 5 am to 8 pm
Ardbeg Day

Q. Grant Avenue between California Street and Pacific Avenue; Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
Intersection closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Friday, May 8, 2026, through
Friday, October 9, 2026
1 pm to 11:59 pm, **every second Friday**
Chinatown Night Market

R. Grant Avenue between California Street and Broadway; Commercial Street between Grant Avenue and Kearny Street
Intersection closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Sunday, May 31, 2026, 7 am to 4 pm
3rd Annual Cultural Festival in Chinatown

S. Union Street between Laguna and Buchanan streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 6 am to 10 pm
Bus Stop Anniversary Block Party

T. Union Street between Gough and Fillmore streets; Octavia Street between Filbert and Green streets; Laguna Street between Filbert and Green streets; Buchanan Street between Filbert and Green streets; Webster Street between Filbert and Green streets
Intersections closed: Union Street at Octavia, Laguna, Buchanan, and Webster streets
Saturday, June 6, 2026, 12:01 am to
Sunday, June 7, 2026, 11:59 pm
Union Street Festival

U. Valencia Street between 16th and 19th streets; Clarion Alley between Valencia and Mission streets; Sycamore Street between Valencia and Lexington streets
Intersections closed: Valencia Street at Clarion Alley and at Sycamore Street
Thursday, May 14, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
and
Thursday, June 11, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
Valencia Live 2026! (May, June)

V. Valencia Street between 17th and 20th streets; Clarion Alley between Valencia and Mission streets; Sycamore Street between Valencia and Lexington streets
Intersections closed: Valencia Street at Clarion Alley and at Sycamore Street
Thursday, July 9, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
and
Thursday, August 13, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
Valencia Live 2026! (July, August)

W. Valencia Street between 18th and 21st streets
(Intersections to remain open.)
Thursday, September 10, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
and
Thursday, October 8, 2026, 12 pm to 11:59 pm
Valencia Live 2026! (September & October)

X. 2nd Street between Market and Howard streets; Stevenson Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets; Jessie Street between New Montgomery and 2nd streets; Minna Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets; Natoma Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets
Intersections closed: 2nd Street at Stevenson, Jessie, Minna, Natoma streets
Thursday, May 7, 2026, through
Thursday, April 1, 2027
12 noon to 11:59 pm, **every first Thursday**
DFT [Downtown First Thursdays] 2026-2027

Y. Grant Avenue between Columbus Avenue and Filbert Street; Columbus Avenue between Broadway and Green Streets; Vallejo Street between Stockton Street and Margave Place; Green Street between Columbus and Grant Avenues; Stockton between Filbert and Green streets
Intersections closed: Grant Avenue at Vallejo and Green streets; Columbus Avenue at Grant Avenue, Vallejo, Green, and Stockton streets
Saturday, June 20, 2026, 12:01 am to
Sunday, June 21, 2026, 11:59 pm
North Beach Festival

Z. Howard Street between The Embarcadero and Beale Street; Steuart Street between Mission Street and southerly terminus; Spear Street between Mission and Folsom streets

Saturday, May 16, 2026, 7 pm
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 11 am
and
Main Street between Mission and Folsom streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 7 pm
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 5 pm
and
Beale Street between Mission and Folsom streets; Fremont Street between Mission and Folsom streets; 1st Street between Mission and Folsom streets; 2nd Street between Mission and Folsom streets; New Montgomery Street between Mission and Howard streets; Howard Street between Beale and 3rd streets
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 3 am to 11 am
and
Mission Street between Fremont and Steuart streets (Muni allowed); Beale Street between Market and Mission streets; Spear Street between Market and Mission streets; Market Street) between Drumm and Steuart streets (Mission Street Public Safety Closure
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 5 am to 11 am
and
Howard Street between 3rd and 9th streets; 9th Street between Howard and Market street
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 6 am to 11 am
and
Hayes Street between Market and Divisadero streets; Scott Street between Grove and Hayes streets; Steiner Street between Grove and Hayes streets; Divisadero Street between Grove and Oak streets
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 6 am to 12:30 pm
and
Baker Street between Oak and Fell streets
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 5 am to 3 pm
and
Fell Street between Divisadero and Stanyan streets
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 6 am to 2 pm
and
La Playa between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Fulton Street (westbound) between 46th Avenue and the Great Highway; Fulton Street (eastbound) between the Great Highway and 48th Avenue; Lincoln Way (eastbound) between the Great Highway and 48th Avenue, Lincoln Way (westbound)

between 45th Avenue and Great Highway, Cabrillo Street between 46th Avenue and La Playa, Balboa Street between 46th Avenue and Great Highway; Point Lobos Avenue between 48th Avenue and the Great Highway (Ancillary Streets)

Sunday, May 17, 2026, 3 am to 4 pm

Bay To Breakers 2026

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events.

_____

Forrest Chamberlain Date
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

NONE

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

NONE

***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT specialevents@sfmta.com. ***

Sound Producing Devices
The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access
To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance
Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at *sotf@sfgov.org*. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site *http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine*.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements
Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site *www.sfgov.org/ethics*.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions**,** the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website**.** Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

item 11

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	8 Letters Regarding the City Budget
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:06:58 PM
Attachments:	8 Letters Regarding the City Budget.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 8 letters regarding the City budget.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marie & Kate
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter Concerning Budget Cuts to Senior and Disability Employment
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:46:48 AM
Attachments: CLC Letter to the Supervisors 3-16.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,
We urge you and your staff to read the attached letter. It outlines the impact of the proposed Department of Disability and Aging cuts to the Community Living Campaign and other Senior and Disability Employment programs.

Date: March 13, 2026
To: DAS Commission, Leadership Staff, and Supervisors
From: Marie Jobling, Community Living Campaign
Re: Decisions That Impact Employment & Well-Being

The Department of Disability and Aging Services recently informed the Community Living Campaign that our organization will face a **$500,000 reduction to the SF ReServe program beginning July 1, 2026**. This represents a **50 percent cut to the program's contract and more than 12 percent of CLC's overall budget.**

SF ReServe connects older adults and adults with disabilities with meaningful part-time work at nonprofit organizations, schools, and small businesses across San Francisco. These placements allow people to contribute their skills and experience while helping them overcome the real barriers of ageism and ableism that often stand in the way of employment.

ReServists support organizations across the city. They serve as crossing monitors at University High School, assist civic organizations such as the League of Women Voters and neighborhood associations, support families through organizations such as FACES SF and Think Round, and contribute to programs at Openhouse, the SF LGBT Center, Senior and Disability Action, and many others. They also write articles for SeniorBeat and educate neighbors across San Francisco about emergency preparedness. Altogether, ReServists contribute their talents to **18 organizations across San Francisco**, and many of those organizations employ more than one ReServist. These placements strengthen the work of nonprofits, schools, and small businesses while allowing older adults and people with disabilities to remain active contributors to their communities.

Affordability Pressures for Older Adults and People with Disabilities

As you know, affordability is a challenge facing San Franciscans of all ages, and older adults and people with disabilities are among those most affected by rising costs.

According to the Dignity Fund Community Needs Assessment, **13 percent of older adults and 19 percent of adults with disabilities live at or below the federal poverty level**, compared to **9 percent of San Franciscans overall**. These figures understate the challenge because federal poverty guidelines do not reflect the cost of living in San Francisco.

The **Elder Index estimates that a single older adult renting in San Francisco needs about $4,290 per month to meet basic needs**, while the federal poverty threshold for a similar individual is about **$1,330 per month**. Even the **average Social Security benefit of about $2,070 per month** falls well short of what it takes to cover basic living expenses in the city.

For many older adults and people with disabilities, this creates a precarious situation where even a modest amount of earned income can make the difference between stability and crisis.
Programs like ReServe help close that gap by giving older adults and people with disabilities a way to supplement fixed incomes while continuing to contribute to their communities.

ReServe Provides Both Opportunity and Income

ReServe is intentionally designed as a high-support employment program. Many participants face barriers, including age discrimination, disability, or long periods outside the workforce. The program provides individualized coaching, careful job matching, and partial wage subsidies that help employers take a chance on experienced workers they might otherwise overlook.

While the program is smaller than traditional workforce programs, it is designed to reach people who are often excluded from those systems. Because ReServists are placed within nonprofits, schools, and small businesses, each placement supports both the worker and the organization they serve.

In our most recent participant survey:

- **87 percent said the income they earn is essential to stabilizing their finances**
- **90 percent said the program helped remove barriers to employment**
- **100 percent said they are doing meaningful work that contributes to their community**

Many ReServists live on fixed incomes, and their ReServe job can make the difference that allows them to pay rent, buy food, and remain part of the communities they call home. As one participant told us, "My housing depends on this job."

Employment, Economic Security, and Affordability Are Public Policy Priorities

Employment and economic security are recognized priorities in both state and local policy.
The **California Master Plan for Aging** highlights the importance of employment opportunities for older adults. The **DAS Area Plan** similarly identifies employment and economic security as essential to the well-being of older adults and people with disabilities.

Mayor Daniel Lurie has emphasized **affordability and economic stability** as central priorities for the City's FY2026–27 budget.

However, older adults and people with disabilities, who now represent **about 27 percent of San Francisco's population and a growing share of residents,** are largely absent from the administration's affordability and workforce strategy.

Many City workforce programs are designed primarily for youth or early-career workers. Those programs are important, but they do not address the specific barriers older adults and people with disabilities face when trying to remain in or reenter the workforce. These barriers include age discrimination, disability-related workplace challenges, and the need for flexible or part-time work that fits alongside fixed incomes and benefits.

Programs like ReServe were created to fill that gap.

At the same time, several employment programs serving older adults and people with disabilities have recently been reduced or eliminated. Last year, the Office of Economic and Workforce Development ended the **Kickstart Your Business @ 50+ program**, which helped older adults start small businesses. This year, DAS terminated the **Benefits to Work program**, which provided legal guidance to help people return to work without risking their housing, income, or benefits.

Now, the ReServe program faces a **$500,000 reduction that would cut it in half.**
Taken together, these decisions raise serious concerns about whether employment opportunities for older adults and people with disabilities are being overlooked in the City's workforce strategy.

Preventing Larger Crises

Programs like ReServe do more than create jobs. They are part of a broader network of community-based services that help older adults and people with disabilities remain stable, connected, and healthy in their homes and neighborhoods.

The Department of Disability and Aging Services has proposed approximately **$2.9 million in reductions across community-based programs** serving older adults and people with disabilities. These programs include services that support employment, legal assistance, community connection, and other supports that help people maintain stability.

These services act as a preventative infrastructure. They help people remain housed, maintain their health, and stay connected to their communities.

When those supports disappear, the City often responds later through far more expensive systems, such as homelessness services, emergency food programs, hospitalizations, and institutional care.

Community-based nonprofits like Community Living Campaign operate under clear program goals and reporting requirements through DAS contracts. At the same time, nonprofits can respond directly to neighborhood needs and often deliver services more efficiently than the City could on its own.

Request for Partnership

We recognize that the City faces serious fiscal challenges. However, balancing the budget should not come at the expense of programs that help older adults and people with disabilities remain stable, connected, and employed.

We are not asking that these reductions be shifted to other important programs serving the same community. Instead, we respectfully ask for your partnership in urging the Mayor and his administration to reconsider these reductions and restore funding for employment- and income-related programs such as ReServe.

Protecting these programs is consistent with San Francisco's commitment to affordability, economic security, and community stability.

We urge you to help ensure that San Francisco continues to invest in the programs that allow older adults and people with disabilities to contribute, connect, and thrive.

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:13:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Nolley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:23:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Narcowich

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:34:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Henry Hunter

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy Zajac

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:28:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Edward Guerrero, Jr.

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:55:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Frank Tang

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Kittler, Sophia (MYR)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 9:32:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over $1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

• Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.

• Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.

• Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly $1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City’s financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ken Broad

item 12

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Support Cannabis Cafes!
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:57:28 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding California AB 1775: Cannabis: retail preparation, sale, and consumption of noncannabis food and beverage products (Haney).

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tony Bowles <tonebowles@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:36 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; matt.haney@asm.ca.gov; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support Cannabis Cafes!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for the proposed San Francisco Cannabis Café legislation being introduced to implement AB 1775, authored by Assemblymember Matt Haney. This bill represents an important next step in the evolution of responsible cannabis policy and culture in San Francisco.

For decades, San Francisco has been a global leader in cannabis compassion, patient access, and progressive drug policy. Creating a clear framework for Cannabis Cafés continues that legacy while providing safe, regulated spaces for adults to gather, consume cannabis responsibly, and participate in the vibrant cultural and economic ecosystem that has long defined this city. Importantly, Cannabis Cafés will also provide safe spaces for medical cannabis patients who cannot medicate at home due to federal housing restrictions or other limitations.

Cannabis Cafés will not only create new small business opportunities and jobs, but they will also strengthen local tourism and hospitality. Visitors from around the world already come to San Francisco expecting thoughtful, forward-thinking cannabis policies. Establishing licensed Cannabis Cafés allows the city to meet that expectation while ensuring responsible regulation, consumer safety, and community accountability.

Equally important, these spaces can foster community. Similar to coffee houses or wine bars, Cannabis Cafés provide a social environment where adults can connect, relax, and enjoy cannabis in a setting that is regulated, welcoming, and integrated into the local economy rather than pushed to the margins.

I also want to recognize the leadership of Assemblymember Matt Haney, Board of Supervisors President Rafael Mandelman, city regulators, local cannabis retailers, and community organizations working together to move this initiative forward.

As someone who has spent more than two decades working in the cannabis industry and advocating for sensible cannabis policy, I believe this legislation represents a thoughtful and responsible approach that will benefit residents, small businesses, and the broader community.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support and pass the San Francisco Cannabis Café bill and continue the city's leadership in progressive, equitable cannabis policy.
Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Tony Bowles
Bay Area Chapter, Americans for Safe Access
www.safeaccessnow.org

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	12 Letters Regarding AB 1775
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:09:04 PM
Attachments:	12 Letters Regarding AB 1775.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 12 letters regarding California AB 1775: Cannabis: retail preparation, sale, and consumption of non-cannabis food and beverage products (Haney).

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kevin Reed
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Subject: Request for Transparency and Caution Regarding Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:15:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing from various sources that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis community, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

As someone who has operated a licensed cannabis dispensary in San Francisco for more than two decades, I find it troubling that legislation with significant implications for our industry may be introduced before the regulated operators in this city have even had the opportunity to read it.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to the concept of cannabis cafés. I have spent time in Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops over the course of just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience.

However, the context in Amsterdam is very different. In the Netherlands, cannabis is still technically illegal, and retail sales are tolerated primarily through coffee shops rather than standalone cannabis stores. In other words, those cafés effectively are the cannabis retail system.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city and many more licensed companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still working to open their doors. At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Dozens of dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include food and hospitality elements if the law allows it. That approach would build on businesses that have already invested heavily to

comply with San Francisco's regulatory framework.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses. At a time when San Francisco already has roughly 80 dispensaries and many more still trying to open, adding new locations selling cannabis would only deepen the market saturation that is already causing licensed operators across the city to struggle.

Policies that simply move customers from one licensed business to another do not grow the market—they accelerate closures.

San Francisco's cannabis operators have spent years navigating complex regulations, investing significant resources, and building compliant businesses in good faith under the City's framework. At a time when many of those businesses are already under extreme financial pressure, it is critical that new policies do not unintentionally undermine the existing regulated market.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and provide the opportunity for industry stakeholders to review and comment on the legislation before it advances further.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Chan, Connie (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 1 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:47:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I am writing to you as the Supervisor representing District 1, and as someone who has operated a licensed cannabis business in San Francisco for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 2 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:49:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Sherrill,

I am writing to you as the Supervisor representing District 1, and as someone who has operated a licensed cannabis business in San Francisco for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From:	Kevin Reed
To:	Sauter, Danny (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	District 3 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Sauter,

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a

moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Wong, Alan (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 4 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:53:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Wong,

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a

moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Mahmood, Bilal (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 5 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:56:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mahmood,

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a

moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Dorsey, Matt (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 6 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:58:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Dorsey,

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a

moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Melgar, Myrna (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 7 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:00:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Melgar,

I am writing to you as the Supervisor representing District 7, and as someone who has operated a licensed cannabis business in San Francisco for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 10 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:04:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Walton,

I am writing to you as the Supervisor representing District 10, and as someone who has operated a licensed cannabis business in San Francisco for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Chen, Chyanne (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 11 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:06:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chen,

I am writing to you as the Supervisor representing District 11, and as someone who has operated a licensed cannabis business in San Francisco for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting. Today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From: Kevin Reed
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: District 8 Perspective on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:09:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I am writing to you both as the Supervisor representing District 8 and as someone who has worked in San Francisco's licensed cannabis industry for more than 22 years.

Over the past several days, many of us in the licensed cannabis community have been hearing that new cannabis café legislation may be introduced at tomorrow's Board meeting, and today's press conference at City Hall appears to confirm that such legislation is moving forward.

Given the number of letters and conversations we have had over the years about the challenges facing San Francisco's cannabis industry, I wanted to reach out directly.

What concerns me is that, despite multiple requests from members of the cannabis industry, the text of this proposed legislation has not been made available to the very businesses that would be directly affected by it.

My name is Kevin Reed, and I am the President of The Green Cross, one of San Francisco's long-standing licensed cannabis retailers. I do not say these things lightly.

I have been working in this industry in San Francisco for more than two decades. My original storefront was located in Noe Valley, and over the years I have experienced firsthand how difficult it can be to operate legally in this city. I was denied a storefront location in Fisherman's Wharf and was forced to operate as delivery-only for nearly ten years before finally being able to open the storefront we operate today.

These experiences have given me a deep appreciation for how much effort and investment it takes to operate a fully compliant cannabis business in San Francisco.

To be clear, this is not a philosophical objection to cannabis cafés. I have visited Amsterdam and personally visited more than twenty cannabis coffee shops in just a couple of days there. It was a fascinating and enjoyable experience, and I understand why people find that model appealing.

However, the context in San Francisco is very different.

San Francisco already has a well-established cannabis retail market with roughly 80 licensed dispensaries, along with approximately 15 standalone delivery-only operators within the city, and many more companies delivering into San Francisco from outside the city. In addition, dozens of dispensary licenses remain in the pipeline, many held by equity applicants who are still trying to open their doors.

At the same time, our industry is experiencing significant contraction. Numerous dispensaries have already closed, and many more are struggling to remain viable.

Oversaturation is one of the most serious challenges facing the licensed cannabis market in San Francisco today. In fact, the City itself recognized this issue when it established a moratorium on new dispensary permits due to market saturation.

I want to be clear that I am not opposed to the concept of cannabis cafés. In fact, I believe that existing licensed dispensaries that already operate consumption lounges should absolutely have the opportunity to expand those spaces to include hospitality elements if the law allows it.

What concerns me is the possibility that this legislation could create entirely new cannabis retail licenses.

At a time when many licensed operators are already struggling to survive, introducing additional locations selling cannabis would not grow the market — it would simply shift customers from one licensed business to another and accelerate closures.

I care deeply about this industry, the people who work in it, and the many small business owners who have invested years of their lives building compliant operations in San Francisco.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the Board ensure transparency in this process and allow stakeholders the opportunity to review and comment on the legislation before it advances.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

From:	Kevin Reed
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS)
Cc:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); SherrillStaff; SauterStaff; WongStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Patel, Nikesh (ADM); Wagner, Greg (CON); CON, Controller (CON)
Subject:	Request for Caution on Proposed Cannabis Café Legislation
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 3:51:26 AM
Attachments:	Cannabis Cafes Ordinance _for LAU review.docx Cannabis Cafes Leg. Digest - 3.17.26.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I hope you are well.

I am writing to respectfully urge caution as the City considers introducing a new cannabis café license category.

San Francisco's regulated cannabis market is not in a position to absorb additional expansion. Over the past several years, we have seen a steady pattern of closures, declining margins, and increasing financial pressure across the industry. Many operators are no longer growing — they are simply trying to survive.

At the same time, the City is still awaiting a comprehensive economic impact report from the Controller's Office, which, due to staffing constraints, is not expected until June 2027. Moving forward with a new license category before understanding the current state of the market is not a measured policy approach — it is a speculative one.

Introducing cannabis cafés at this moment risks accelerating the very problems the City has yet to fully quantify.

Real-World Impacts to Consider:

Market Fragmentation:
Cannabis cafés would introduce a new "experience-based" vertical that competes directly with existing retailers for the same limited customer base. In a market that is already oversaturated, this does not create new demand — it redistributes it.

In addition, this model is likely to attract well-capitalized entrants from adjacent industries such as hospitality, entertainment, and lifestyle retail. These sectors are structurally better positioned to scale experience-based concepts quickly, further intensifying competition and accelerating market fragmentation beyond what currently exists.

Capital Disparity:
Cafés will require significant investment to build and operate. Well-capitalized operators will be positioned to participate, while smaller, independent businesses — many of which are already struggling — will be left behind.

Revenue Cannibalization:
In an already saturated market, consumer spending is more likely to be redistributed across formats than meaningfully increased. As a result, dollars will shift from traditional retail purchases into café settings, further weakening existing storefronts.

Regulatory Workarounds and Practical Reality:
While the proposed framework may attempt to limit take-home sales or define narrow consumption parameters, in practice, operators adapt quickly in ways that functionally expand those limits. In other jurisdictions, businesses have implemented systems such as on-site storage or "locker" models that allow customers to make larger purchases and return to consume them over time.

While these approaches may technically comply with the letter of the law, they effectively recreate a broader retail-plus-consumption model in practice. This results in a level of competition that goes beyond what is currently being contemplated and further amplifies the risk of revenue diversion from existing dispensaries.

Enforcement and Compliance Risk:
The City already faces ongoing challenges in enforcing existing cannabis regulations, including unlicensed operators and compliance oversight. Introducing a new, more complex license category—particularly one that blends retail, hospitality, and consumption—will significantly increase the enforcement burden.

Without clear and scalable enforcement mechanisms in place, there is a high risk that real-world operations will diverge from the intended regulatory structure, further undermining the integrity of the legal market.

Impact on Equity Operators:
San Francisco has made a strong commitment to its Cannabis Equity Program. However, the capital-intensive nature of café-style businesses may unintentionally exclude many equity operators, who are already navigating significant financial barriers.

Without deliberate safeguards, this new license category risks favoring well-capitalized entrants over the very communities the City has prioritized supporting.

Premature Policy Expansion:
The City has already paused new retail licenses and commissioned a Controller's report to better understand market conditions. Advancing a new license category before that analysis is complete undermines that effort.

Increased Risk of Closures:
For many operators, margins are already thin. Even a modest shift in customer behavior or revenue could push additional businesses to close, resulting in job losses, vacant storefronts, and reduced tax revenue for the City.

San Francisco has long been a leader in thoughtful cannabis policy. That leadership has been rooted in balancing innovation with responsibility. At this moment, responsibility requires acknowledging the current instability of the market and avoiding actions that could deepen it.

This is not an argument against evolving the cannabis experience. It is an argument for sequencing.

A More Immediate, Lower-Risk Alternative

If the goal is to thoughtfully evolve consumption opportunities, there are more practical and less disruptive approaches available within the existing framework.

One example would be a targeted amendment to San Francisco's Cannabis Consumption Permit rules (Health Code Article 8A) to remove the current requirement that consumption occur within a designated "room." Allowing more flexibility in how consumption areas are configured — while still maintaining all state-level requirements around licensed premises, visibility, and access control — would enable existing retailers to modestly enhance the consumer experience without requiring a new license category.

This type of change would:

- Support existing permitted operators rather than introducing new competitors
- Require significantly less capital investment than a café model
- Allow for incremental, compliant evolution of consumption spaces
- Provide the City with real-world data on demand and impacts before expanding further

Before introducing new and potentially disruptive license categories, the City should first:

- Receive and evaluate the Controller's economic impact report
- Assess the true level of market saturation and business viability
- Identify targeted policies that stabilize and support existing operators

Only with that foundation can future policy decisions be made in a way that strengthens — rather than fragments — the legal cannabis ecosystem.

I respectfully ask that the Board pause consideration of cannabis café legislation until this data is available and the current market conditions are fully understood.

--

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org
Web: TheGreenCross.org

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

[Various Codes - Cannabis Cafés]

Ordinance amending the Health, Planning, Police, and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to establish a new permit type for cannabis cafés to be administered by the Office of Cannabis that will authorize the permittee to sell cannabis and cannabis products only for consumption on the premises of the café; exempt cannabis cafés from the prohibition on smoking in business establishments; eliminate the prohibition on establishments with a cannabis consumption permit requiring employees to enter a designated smoking room as a condition of employment; exempt cannabis cafés in certain circumstances from the 600-foot buffer rule that applies to cannabis retail establishments; restrict eligibility for cannabis café permits for one year to businesses that currently hold a cannabis storefront retailer permit or that have the same owners as such a business; require Equity Applicants that apply for a cannabis café permit to pay the $2,000 application fee, any permit amendment processing costs, and the $3,000 license fee for the first year of operation, associated with the cannabis café permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ___ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

(b) On _____, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. _____, adopted findings [OR, the Planning Department determined] that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings [OR, this determination] as its own. A copy of said Resolution [OR determination] is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____, and the Board adopts such reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Health Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 8A.2 and 8A.6 of Article 8A, and Section 1009.23 of Article 19F, to read as follows:

ARTICLE 8A: CANNABIS CONSUMPTION PERMITS

*** * * ***

SEC. 8A.2. PERMITS FOR THE ON-SITE CONSUMPTION OF CANNABIS.

It shall be unlawful to allow the Consumption of Cannabis or Cannabis Products on the Premises of a commercial business without obtaining and maintaining:

(a) A permit therefor issued by the Department of Public Health; and

(b) A Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, Cannabis Retailer, *Cannabis Café,* or Cannabis Microbusiness permit issued by the Office of Cannabis; and

(c) A State Cannabis License.

SEC. 8A.6. OPERATING STANDARDS.

(a) No Permittee shall allow the on-site Consumption of Cannabis or Cannabis Products in a manner inconsistent with any permit condition imposed by the Director, or inconsistent with any rules, regulations, or guidelines promulgated by the Director under Section 8A.8.

* * * *

~~*(j) A Permittee with a Cannabis Smoking permit may not require employees to enter the Designated Smoking Room as a condition of their employment.*~~

* * * *

ARTICLE 19F: PROHIBITING SMOKING IN ENCLOSED AREAS, CERTAIN UNENCLOSED AREAS, AND SPORTS STADIUMS

* * * *

SEC. 1009.23. EXCEPTIONS.

The following places shall not be subject to this Article 19F:

* * * *

(f) Medicinal Cannabis Retailers, Cannabis Microbusinesses, ~~*and*~~ Cannabis Retailers*, and Cannabis Cafés, as those terms are defined in Section 1602 of the Police Code*, that have received and maintain:

(1) A Cannabis Business Permit issued by the Director of the Office of Cannabis under Article 16 of the Police Code; and

(2) A Cannabis Consumption Permit that authorizes the smoking of cannabis, issued by the Director of Health under Article 8A of the Health Code~~, *unless the smoking of cannabis is authorized under subsection (g) of Section 8A.4, pending the approval or denial of an application for such permit*~~.

Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 102 of Article 1, and Section 202.2 of Article 2 to read as follows:

ARTICLE 1: GENERAL ZONING PROVISIONS

*** * * ***

SEC. 102. DEFINITIONS.

* * * *

C

Cannabis Retail. A Retail Sales and Service Use that sells or otherwise provides cannabis and cannabis-related products for adult use *with or without consumption of said products on site. This use* ~~*and that*~~ may also include the sale or provision of cannabis for medicinal use. A Cannabis Retail establishment may only be operated by the holder of (a) a valid license from the State of California (License Type 10—Retailer, as defined in California Business and Professions Code, Division 10) and (b) a valid permit from the City's Office of Cannabis. This use is subject to operating and location restrictions set forth in Section 202.2(a).

* * * *

ARTICLE 2: USE DISTRICTS

*** * * ***

SEC. 202.2. LOCATION AND OPERATING CONDITIONS.

(a) Retail Sales and Service Uses. The Retail Sales and Service Uses listed below shall be subject to the corresponding conditions:

* * * *

(5) Cannabis Retail. A Cannabis Retail establishment must meet all of the following conditions:

(A) A Cannabis Retail establishment must apply for a permit from the Office of Cannabis pursuant to Article 16 of the Police Code prior to submitting an application to the Planning Department.

(B) The parcel containing the Cannabis Retail Use shall not be located within a 600-foot radius of a parcel containing an existing School, public or private, unless a State licensing authority specifies a different radius, in which case that different radius shall apply. In addition, the parcel containing the Cannabis Retail Use shall not be located within a 600-foot radius of a parcel for which a valid permit from the City's Office of Cannabis for a Cannabis Retailer or a Medicinal Cannabis Retailer has been issued, except that*: (i)* a Cannabis Retail Use may be located in the same place of business as one or more other establishments holding valid permits from the City's Office of Cannabis to operate as Cannabis Retailers or Medicinal Cannabis Retailers, where the place of business contains a minimum of 350 square feet per Cannabis Retail or Medical Cannabis Dispensary Use*; and (ii) a Cannabis Retail Use with a Cannabis Café permit issued by the Office of Cannabis may be located within 600 feet of another Cannabis Retail Use*, provided that *any* such locations are permitted by state law. There shall be no minimum radius from a Cannabis Retail Use to an existing day care center or youth center unless a State licensing authority specifies a minimum radius, in which case that minimum radius shall apply.

(C) Cannabis may be consumed or smoked on site pursuant to authorization by the Department of Public Health as applicable.

Section 4. Article 16 of the Police Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 1602, 1606, 1607, 1608, 1609, 1613, 1615, 1618, 1619, 1620, and 1630, and adding Section 1628.5, to read as follows:

ARTICLE 16: REGULATION OF CANNABIS

*** * * ***

SEC. 1602. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this Article 16, the following words or phrases shall mean:

* * * *

"Cannabis Business" means any of the following: *Cannabis Café,* Cannabis Cultivation Facility, Cannabis Manufacturing Facility, Cannabis Testing Facility, Cannabis Distributor, Cannabis Microbusiness, Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, Cannabis Retailer, or Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer.

"Cannabis Business Permit" means a permit to operate a specific type of Cannabis Business issued under this Article 16.

"Cannabis Business Registration Period" means the period of time during which Persons wishing to apply for Cannabis Business Permits may register with the Office of Cannabis, as set forth in Section 1605 of this Article 16.

"Cannabis Café" means a fixed place of business where Cannabis and/or Cannabis Products are Sold to Customers exclusively for the purpose of Consumption on the premises.

* * * *

"Cannabis Retailer" means a fixed place of business where Cannabis and/or Cannabis

Products are Sold to Customers, *but does not include a Cannabis Café*.

* * * *

SEC. 1606. APPLICATIONS FOR CANNABIS BUSINESS PERMITS.

* * * *

(e) As of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 200144 enacting this subsection (e), the Director shall decline to accept for processing any new applications for Cannabis Business Permits under this Article 16 in the following categories: Cannabis Retailer, Storefront Cannabis Retailer, Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer, Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, and Cannabis Microbusiness (to the extent relating to retail activity). No later than June 30, 2027, the Controller shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a report that analyzes various impacts of the moratorium on new applications for retail-related Cannabis Business Permits, including its financial impacts on the City and the City's cannabis industry, operational impacts on the Office of Cannabis, and impacts on City neighborhoods.

*(f) **Temporary Eligibility Criteria for Cannabis Café Permits**.*

(1) For one year following the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. _____, the Director may only accept for processing or issue a permit in response to an application for a Cannabis Café permit that meets all of the following criteria:

(A) The permit Applicant either holds a valid Storefront Cannabis Retailer permit or has the same Owners as a separate entity, identified by name and permit number in the application, that holds a valid Storefront Cannabis Retailer permit. In either case, the Storefront Cannabis Retailer permittee shall be referred to as the "Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permittee."

(B) If the Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permittee is not the Cannabis Café permit Applicant and has an Equity Applicant as an Owner, the application must document that

the Equity Applicant is an Owner of the entity seeking the Cannabis Café permit and that the Equity Applicant's ownership interest in the entity seeking the Cannabis Café permit is equal to or greater than the lesser of: (i) 20%; or (ii) the Equity Applicant's percentage ownership in the Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permittee.

(C) The application's Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permittee is not connected, within the meaning of subsection (f)(1)(A), with any other Cannabis Café permittee or pending Cannabis Café permit application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, prior to permit issuance, the Director may, for good cause, allow a Cannabis Café permit applicant to withdraw the application and submit a replacement application for a different location while maintaining their place in the processing queue. In no event, however, shall the Director issue a Cannabis Café permit to an Applicant whose Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permittee is already associated with a current Cannabis Café permittee within the meaning of subsection (f)(1)(A).

(2) One year after the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. _____ , the City Attorney may cause this subsection (f) to be removed from the Police Code.

SEC. 1607. CANNABIS BUSINESS PERMITS.

(a) For the purpose of regulating the Commercial Cultivation, Manufacture, Testing, Distribution, Sale, and Delivery of Cannabis, the Director may issue the following permits:

(1) Cannabis Cultivation Facility;

(2) Cannabis Manufacturing Facility;

(3) Cannabis Testing Facility;

(4) Cannabis Distributor;

(5) Cannabis Microbusiness;

(6) Medicinal Cannabis Retailer;

(7) Cannabis Retailer;

(8) Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer; ~~*and*~~

(9) Cannabis Nursery~~.~~*; and*

(10) Cannabis Café.

SEC. 1608. TRANSFER OF PERMIT; PORTABILITY OF PERMIT; SALE OF CANNABIS BUSINESS; CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP; INTERIM CANNABIS BUSINESS PERMITS.

* * * *

(c) Ownership; Changes in Ownership; Changes in Form or Structure.

* * * *

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection (c), prior to the award of any Cannabis Business Permit, permanent or temporary, and for five years following the date on which the Director has acknowledged receipt of an application for a Cannabis Business Permit from a particular Applicant or Permittee, an aggregate ownership interest of 50% or more in that Applicant or Permittee may not be transferred to any Person or combination of Persons who were not Owners of the Permittee at the time that a Cannabis Business Permit was first awarded to that Applicant or Permittee under this Article 16. Nothing in this subsection (c)(5) shall prohibit a holder of a Temporary Cannabis Business Permit from transferring an aggregate ownership interest of 50% or more in the Permittee prior to the award of a permanent Cannabis Business Permit to that Permittee after five years have passed from the earliest date on which the Director acknowledged receipt of an application for a Cannabis Business Permit, whether temporary or permanent, from that Permittee. In the event that, prior to the award of a Cannabis Business Permit or prior to five years after the date on which the Director acknowledged receipt of the application for a Cannabis Business Permit from that Permittee, an aggregate ownership interest of 50% or more in a Permittee is transferred to any Person or combination of Persons who were not Owners of the Permittee at

the time that a Cannabis Business Permit was awarded, in violation of this subsection (c)(5), and the Permittee fails to undo the prohibited ownership transfer within six months of receiving notification of such violation from the Director, the Permittee shall promptly surrender the permit to the Director. This restriction shall not apply to any testamentary or intestate transfer of ownership triggered by an Owner's death. This obligation is not dependent on the Director's requesting the surrender, but arises by operation of law six months following notice from the Director that the sale of the Cannabis Business has violated the transfer restriction in this subsection (c)(5). If the Permittee fails to surrender the permit to the Director, the Director may, after giving the Permittee notice by mail and electronically of the proposed action and an opportunity to respond, revoke the permit. *Where a permittee has applied for both a Cannabis Café permit and a Storefront Cannabis Retailer permit, the "earliest date on which the Director acknowledged receipt of an application for a Cannabis Business Permit" shall be calculated with reference to the application for the Storefront Cannabis Retailer Permit.*

SEC. 1609. PERMIT APPLICATIONS.

(a) **Application and Fee Required**. Every Applicant for a Cannabis Business Permit shall:

(1) File an application with the Director upon a form provided by the Director;

(2) Provide such information as may be required by this Article 16 and any regulations promulgated thereto; and

(3) Pay a non-refundable application fee, unless the Applicant is eligible for a fee waiver or reduction, as authorized by ordinance.

(b) **Information Required of All Applicants for Cannabis Business Permits**. The application form for all Cannabis Business Permit Applicants shall require the Applicant to provide the following information and documentation:

* * * *

*(k) **Additional Information Required of Applicants for Cannabis Café Permits**. In addition to the information required under subsection (b) of this Section 1609, an Applicant for a Cannabis Café permit shall also submit as part of its application:*

(1) Copies of all documentation submitted to the State Licensing Authority in support of its application for a state storefront retailer license.

(2) A Cannabis Café Operations Plan containing such information as may be required by the Director, including but not limited to:

(A) A description of the methods to be used to secure against theft or misappropriation of Cannabis Products on site, and security measures that will be implemented to ensure the safety of the Retailer's employees and the public; and

(B) A description of where and when shipments of Cannabis and Cannabis Products will be received;

(3) Confirmation that the Applicant intends to apply for a Cannabis Consumption permit, as set forth in Article 8A of the Health Code, and a description of the type(s) of Consumption that the Applicant proposes to allow on the Premises.

(4) A description of how the Applicant will support the needs of Customers who qualify under California Health and Safety Code Sections 11362.7 et seq. to use Medicinal Cannabis, including but not limited to providing space where Customers may speak confidentially with employees of the Cannabis Business, and ensuring a sufficient supply of Medicinal Cannabis and Medicinal Cannabis Products;

(5) A statement from the Applicant that the Applicant will not assign any name to the Cannabis Business that is likely to mislead members of the public into believing that the Cannabis Business is legally permitted to sell products to minors, or a name that is likely to entice minors to attempt to patronize the business.

(6) If the Applicant intends to operate a Compassion Program, as set forth in subsection (aa) of Section 1618 of this Article 16, a description of the proposed terms and conditions of such program.

~~*(k)*~~ *(l)* Upon receipt of an application for a *Cannabis Café*, Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, or *Storefront* Cannabis Retailer permit, the Office of Cannabis shall post the name and location of the proposed Cannabis Business on its website, and shall update its website with information about the status of the application until such time as the application has been approved or denied. The Office of Cannabis shall also cause a notice to be posted on the site of the Premises associated with the aforementioned permit applications to notify neighbors that a Cannabis Business Permit is sought at that location, if such notice is not otherwise required by the Planning Code.

SEC. 1613. LIMITS ON PERMITS.

(a) A Permittee that holds a Cannabis Testing Facility permit shall be ineligible for and may not be issued a permit to operate any other type of Commercial Cannabis Activity permitted by the City. A Permittee that holds a Cannabis Business Permit other than a Cannabis Testing Facility permit, shall be ineligible for and may not be issued a permit to operate a Cannabis Testing Facility.

(b) No permit to operate as a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall be granted if any individual holding a legal or beneficial interest in the proposed Storefront Cannabis Retailer already holds a legal or beneficial interest in four or more existing Storefront Cannabis Retailers that hold permits under this Article 16, except that an interest held in a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall not be counted towards this limit if the Storefront Cannabis Retailer has received approval from the Planning Commission, following a discretionary review

hearing, as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 180912. If an application for a permit, under this Article 16, to operate as a Storefront Cannabis Retailer is pending for approval before the Planning Commission, and if the approval of that permit would cause an individual to hold a legal or beneficial interest in four or more existing Storefront Cannabis Retailers (other than Storefront Cannabis Retailers that, as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 180912, have received approval from the Planning Commission following a discretionary review hearing), the Office of Cannabis shall place on hold any additional applications for a permit on behalf of a Storefront Cannabis Retailer in which that individual would hold a legal or beneficial interest in a proposed Storefront Cannabis Retailer. For purposes of this subsection (b), the circumstances in which an individual holds a legal or beneficial interest in a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall include (but need not be limited to) any circumstance in which an individual holds a legal or beneficial interest in any Person holding a legal or beneficial interest in the Storefront Cannabis Retailer.

(c) No application for a permit to operate a Cannabis Café shall be accepted if any individual holding a legal or beneficial interest in the proposed Cannabis Café business already holds a legal or beneficial interest in four or more businesses that either hold valid Cannabis Café permits or have pending applications for Cannabis Café permits under this Article 16. For purposes of this subsection, a legal or beneficial interest in a business may include a legal or beneficial interest in a separate entity that holds a legal or beneficial interest in the permittee or Applicant.

(~~c~~d) The Controller shall track the number of permits that are awarded pursuant to this Article 16. ~~*No later than September 30, 2019, the Controller shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a report that makes recommendations as to whether the issuance of Cannabis Business Permits should be subject to any numerical, geographical, or other limits.*~~

SEC. 1615. ISSUANCE AND DENIAL OF CANNABIS BUSINESS PERMITS.

* * * *

(d) **Mandatory Grounds for Denial**. No Cannabis Business Permit shall be issued if the Director finds that:

* * * *

~~(~~(6)~~)~~ The Premises are materially different from the diagram of the Premises submitted by the Applicant.

* * * *

(12) The Planning Department or Planning Commission determines that the Applicant engaged in Commercial Cannabis Activities in a location that was not authorized by or consistent with the Planning Code.

(13) The Applicant is a Cannabis Café permit Applicant that the Planning Department has determined would be located within 600 feet of a Storefront Cannabis Retailer, except that if a Cannabis Café permit Applicant's location is within 600 feet of a Storefront Cannabis Retailer with which the Cannabis Café permit Applicant shares all of the same Owners (the "Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer"), that Applicant's location within 600 feet of the Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer or another Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall not be grounds for denial of the Applicant's Cannabis Café permit, so long as no other Cannabis Cafés sharing all of the same Owners are located within 600 feet of the Associated Storefront Cannabis Retailer.

* * * *

SEC. 1618. ELIGIBILITY AND OPERATING STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO ALL CANNABIS BUSINESSES.

* * * *

(aa) It shall be a violation of this Article 16 for any Cannabis Business to engage in

the nonsale distribution of Cannabis or Cannabis Products, or to permit the nonsale distribution of Cannabis or Cannabis Products by any Person on the Premises of the Cannabis Business, except as authorized by state law. Notwithstanding the forgoing, and as authorized by state law, a Storefront Cannabis Retailer *or Cannabis Café* may operate a Compassion Program in which it provides Medicinal Cannabis and/or Medicinal Cannabis Products at no or nominal cost to low-income individuals who are qualified under California Health and Safety Code Sections 11362.7 et seq. to use Medicinal Cannabis. In addition, Cannabis Cultivation Facilities and Cannabis Manufacturing Facilities may provide Medicinal Cannabis and/or Medicinal Cannabis Products at no or nominal cost to Storefront Cannabis Retailers *and Cannabis Cafés*, for distribution through a Compassion Program. The Director shall adopt rules, regulations and guidelines applicable to Compassion Programs, including, but not limited to: eligibility criteria applicable to persons who may receive Cannabis at no or low cost; and recordkeeping requirements. For purposes of this subsection (aa), "nonsale distribution" means to give Cannabis or Cannabis Products to the general public or some segment thereof at no cost, or at nominal cost, or to give coupons, coupon offers, or rebate offers for Cannabis or Cannabis Products to the general public or some segment thereof at no cost or at nominal cost.

* * * *

SEC. 1619. PROHIBITION ON ENTRY BY AND SALES TO UNDERAGE PERSONS; PROHIBITION ON MISLEADING BUSINESS NAMES.

(a) **Entry to Premises Prohibited**. It shall be a violation of this Article 16 for a Permittee to allow on the Premises any person under 21 years of age, provided however that a Medicinal Cannabis Retailer may allow entry to a person 18 years of age or older who possesses a valid Physician's Recommendation.

(b) **Prohibited Sales**.

(1) It shall be a violation of this Article 16 for any *Cannabis Café*, Storefront Cannabis Retailer, Cannabis Microbusiness, or Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer to Sell, furnish, give, or cause to be Sold, any Adult Use Cannabis or Adult Use Cannabis Products to any person under the age of 21.

(2) It shall be a violation of this Article 16 for any *Cannabis Café*, Storefront Cannabis Retailer, Cannabis Microbusiness, or Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer to Sell, furnish, give, or cause to be Sold, any Medicinal Cannabis or Medicinal Cannabis Products to any person who is under the age of 18 and/or who does not possess a valid Physician's Recommendation.

(c) **Misleading Business Names Prohibited**. It shall be a violation of this Article 16 for a Permittee to assign any name to a *Cannabis Café*, Cannabis Retailer, or Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, or to use any name in connection with a *Cannabis Café*, Cannabis Retailer, or Medicinal Cannabis Retailer, if the name is likely to mislead members of the public into believing that the business is legally permitted to sell products to minors, or is likely to entice minors to patronize the business.

(d) **Positive Bona Fide Proof of Identity Required**. No *Cannabis Café*, Storefront Cannabis Retailer, Cannabis Microbusiness, or Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailer may Sell Cannabis or Cannabis Products to any Customer without first examining the Customer's Bona Fide Proof of Age and Identity to confirm that the Customer is at least the minimum age under state law to purchase and possess the Cannabis or Cannabis Product. Review of a Customer's Bona Fide Proof of Age must be performed by an employee of the Permittee, in the presence of the prospective Customer.

SEC. 1620. CONSUMPTION OF CANNABIS AND CANNABIS PRODUCTS ON THE

PREMISES OF CANNABIS BUSINESSES.

(a) The Consumption of Cannabis and Cannabis Products is prohibited on the Premises of all Cannabis Manufacturing Facilities, Cannabis Cultivation Facilities, Cannabis Testing Facilities, Cannabis Distributors, and Delivery-Only Cannabis Retailers.

(b) The Consumption of Cannabis Products is not prohibited on the Premises of *Cannabis Cafés*, Medicinal Cannabis Retailers, Cannabis Retailers, and Cannabis Microbusiness, provided, however, that all of the following conditions are present:

(1) The Cannabis Business has received and maintained a valid Cannabis Consumption Permit from the Department of Public Health, as set forth in Article 8A of the Health Code, authorizing onsite Consumption of Cannabis Products;

(2) Access to the area where the Consumption of Cannabis Products is allowed is restricted to persons 21 years of age and older, or persons 18 years of age and older, if the Permitted Businesses is authorized to Sell Medicinal Cannabis and Medicinal Cannabis Products;

(3) Cannabis Consumption is not visible from any public place or nonage-restricted area; and

(4) Sale and Consumption of alcohol or Tobacco Products are not allowed on the Premises.

* * * *

SEC. 1628.5. CANNABIS CAFÉS.

*(a) **Authorized Activities**. A Cannabis Café permit authorizes the Permittee to engage in the retail Sale of both Medicinal and Adult Use Cannabis and Cannabis Products to Customers. A Cannabis Café permit does not authorize the Permittee to engage in the Delivery of Cannabis or Cannabis Products to Customers.*

*(b) **Operating Standards**. In addition to the operating requirements set forth in Sections 1618, a Cannabis Café shall comply with the following operating requirements:*

(1) A Cannabis Café must be operated from a fixed place of business. It may not be operated out of a bus, truck, car, van, or any other mobile location or location that is capable of being mobile.

(2) A Cannabis Café shall post staff at the point of entry to the Premises to confirm that all Customers who enter are not underage, as set forth in Section 1619 of this Article 16.

(3) A Cannabis Café must make available to Customers a fact sheet relating to safe Consumption of Cannabis and Cannabis Products, to be produced by the Department of Public Health.

(4) A Cannabis Café shall not employ physicians for the purpose of recommending Medicinal Cannabis to Customers and shall not enter into any agreements with physicians for such purpose or with a third party that employs physicians for such purpose.

(5) A Cannabis Café may Sell Medicinal or Adult Use Cannabis or Cannabis Products to Customers for Consumption on the Premises subject to the following limitations:

(A) A Cannabis Café shall only Sell Cannabis or Cannabis Products to Customers for Consumption on the Premises. All menus and other listings of products for sale at a Cannabis Café shall include in a font that is bolded, underlined, and equal to or larger than the font used for product listings a statement that all products are only for Consumption on the Premises and may not be taken off the Premises.

(B) A Cannabis Café may only Sell Cannabis or Cannabis Products that are approved for Consumption on the Premises under the Cannabis Café's Cannabis Consumption Permit.

(C) A Cannabis Café may not Sell in a single business day Cannabis or Cannabis Products to a Customer in a quantity that exceeds the state legal limit for sale of non-concentrated Adult Use Cannabis or concentrated Adult Use Cannabis Products. A Cannabis Café may not Sell more than eight ounces of Medicinal Cannabis to a Customer in a single business day unless

the Customer provides a physician's recommendation for a greater amount. The Director may adopt regulations to further limit the amount of Cannabis or Cannabis Products, including Medicinal Cannabis, that may be sold to a Customer in a single business day.

(6) A Cannabis Café may not:

(A) Allow Customers on the Premises when the Cannabis Café is closed;

(B) Store Cannabis or Cannabis Products in any location other than on the permitted Premises;

(C) Sell Cannabis or Cannabis Products through a drive-up window; or

(D) Give away or Sell pressurized containers of butane or other materials that could be used in the home production of Cannabis extract.

(7) A Cannabis Café may accept returns of Cannabis and Cannabis Products that were previously sold by the Cannabis Café, but shall not resell Cannabis or Cannabis Products that have been returned. A Cannabis Café shall destroy all Cannabis and Cannabis Products that have been returned or abandoned in a manner consistent with state and local law.

(8) A Cannabis Café must maintain an electronic age verification device to determine the age of any individual attempting to enter the premises and/or purchase Cannabis or Cannabis Products, which device shall be used for the Sale of the Cannabis or Cannabis Products to the Customer. The device shall be maintained in operational condition and all employees shall be instructed in its use. Cannabis and Cannabis Products shall not be sold to a Customer if the electronic age verification device is not functioning.

SEC. 1630. INSPECTIONS.

* * * *

(c) The Police Department may conduct random, onsite "sting" operations on the Premises of Cannabis Retailers *and Cannabis Cafés* to determine compliance with Section

1619 of this Article 16. In conducting these inspections, the Police Department may enlist the assistance of persons under 21 years of age.

* * * *

Section 5. Article 2 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code is hereby amended by revising Section 249.20 to read as follows:

ARTICLE 2: LICENSE FEES

*** * * ***

SEC. 249.20. CANNABIS BUSINESS PERMIT AND LICENSE FEES.

(a) Except as provided in subsection (d), the Director of the Office of Cannabis shall charge every applicant for a cannabis business permit, as set forth in Section 1607 of the Police Code, a one-time non-refundable permit application fee of $2,000 to recover the costs incurred by the Office of Cannabis of processing the permit application. Except as provided in subsection (d), the Director shall charge an applicant for a permit amendment the hourly costs of processing that amendment, which shall include a minimum two-hour charge. The hourly rate for processing a permit amendment shall be $110. Such costs for processing applications for permits and permit amendments shall include costs relating to services provided by the Office of the City Attorney, the Controller's Office, and such other offices as may be required to assist the Office of Cannabis in this processing function.

(b) Except as provided in subsection (d), the Director of the Office of Cannabis shall charge every person, firm, or corporation engaged in operating a cannabis business, as set forth in Section 1607 of the Police Code, a license fee of $3,000 for the first year of operation and an annual license fee of $5,000 for each subsequent year of operation, to recover the costs incurred by the Office of Cannabis in implementing Article 16 of the Police Code. Such costs shall include costs, if any, relating to services provided by the Office of the City Attorney,

the Controller's Office, the Department of Human Resources, the Department of Technology, the Office of Contract Administration, and such other offices as may be required to assist the Office of Cannabis in this function. The annual license fee shall be paid annually on or before March 31, in accordance with the provisions of Section 76.1 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

* * * *

(d) The Director of the Office of Cannabis shall not charge an Equity Applicant, as that term is defined in Section 1604 of the Police Code, the one-time non-refundable permit application fee of $2,000 for a cannabis business permit required by subsection (a), any fees for the hourly costs of processing a permit amendment for the first year of operation required by subsection (a), or the license fee of $3,000 for the first year of operation as required by subsection (b)*, except to the extent such fees are for a Cannabis Café permit*.

* * * *

Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 7. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The

Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 8. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective at 12:00 a.m. on the 31st day after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: */s/ Sarah Crowley*
SARAH CROWLEY
Deputy City Attorney

4898-5305-0775, v. 2

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Various Codes - Cannabis Cafés]

Ordinance amending the Health, Planning, Police, and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to establish a new permit type for cannabis cafés to be administered by the Office of Cannabis that will authorize the permittee to sell cannabis and cannabis products only for consumption on the premises of the café; exempt cannabis cafés from the prohibition on smoking in business establishments; eliminate the prohibition on establishments with a cannabis consumption permit requiring employees to enter a designated smoking room as a condition of employment; exempt cannabis cafés in certain circumstances from the 600-foot buffer rule that applies to cannabis retail establishments; restrict eligibility for cannabis café permits for one year to businesses that currently hold a cannabis storefront retailer permit or that have the same owners as such a business; require Equity Applicants that apply for a cannabis café permit to pay the $2,000 application fee, any permit amendment processing costs, and the $3,000 license fee for the first year of operation, associated with the cannabis café permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302.

Existing Law

Current law does not include a distinct permit category for cannabis cafés.

Current law does not clearly exempt cannabis cafés from the ban on smoking in enclosed spaces.

Current law prohibits an establishment with a cannabis consumption permit from requiring employees to enter a designated smoking room as a condition of their employment.

Current law generally prohibits approval of a cannabis retail establishment that would be located within 600 feet of another retail establishments (the "600 foot buffer rule").

Current law exempts equity applicants for cannabis business permits from paying the one-time permit application fee of $2,000, any fees for the hourly costs of processing a permit amendment for the first year of operation, and the license fee of $3,000 for the first year of operation.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance would create a permit for cannabis cafés, to be administered by the Office of Cannabis.

The proposed ordinance would, for one year following its effective date, limit eligibility for cannabis café permits to businesses that either currently hold a storefront cannabis retailer permit or share all of the same owners as a business that currently holds a storefront cannabis retailer permit.

The proposed ordinance would prohibit issuance of a cannabis café permit to an applicant if an individual holding a legal or beneficial interest in that applicant already holds a legal or beneficial interest in four or more businesses that either hold valid cannabis café permits or have pending applications for cannabis café permits.

The proposed ordinance would expressly exempt cannabis cafés from the ban on smoking in enclosed spaces.

The proposed ordinance would eliminate the prohibition on establishments with cannabis consumption permits requiring employees to enter a designated smoking room as a condition of their employment.

The proposed ordinance would add an exception to the 600 foot buffer rule for a cannabis café that is located within 600 feet of a storefront cannabis retailer that shares all of the same owners as the cannabis café, so long as no other cannabis cafés sharing all of the same owners are already located within 600 feet of the storefront cannabis retailer.

The proposed ordinance would require equity applicants that receive a cannabis café permit to pay the standard one-time permit application fee of $2,000, fees for the hourly costs of processing a permit amendment for the first year of operation, and the license fee of $3,000 for the first year of operation.

4900-3879-8744, v.1

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Crocker Amazon Project
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:56:31 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication regarding the renovation of the Crocker Amazon baseball and softball fields.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sarianne Huyett Madsen <sarianne@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2026 2:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Crocker Amazon Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a mom to a 14 year old daughter (Chantelle) that has been playing baseball for the last 4 years. Between the Bay Sox, SF Little league, and Giants Academy we have spent countless hours at Crocker Amazon. I cannot emphasize enough how important these programs have been to my daughter and to all the other baseball players in San Francisco. I have witnessed firsthand how her confidence has grown on and off the field! The work ethic, teamwork, and sportsmanship that goes into baseball has shaped her life in the best possible way.

Raising children in San Francisco comes with challenges—especially when it comes to finding adequate space and support for sports and outdoor activities. Our kids often play on outdated, uneven fields, and we've seen too many games cut short due to poor lighting or canceled altogether because of rain days earlier. Still, we keep showing up, because we believe in the power of sports and the positive impact it has on our children and our community.

But now, we have an opportunity to create a safer, more reliable experience—one that will serve future generations of families and kids. This is a chance we can't afford to miss. As a city, we must invest in our children, support our families, and champion healthy, active lifestyles through improved access to sports.

I urge you to approve the proposed project to renovate the Crocker Amazon Baseball and Softball fields!!

With Gratitude,

Sarianne

item 14

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: ICE abductions
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:18:37 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: EA Behrens <eabehrens@proton.me>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>
Subject: ICE abductions

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

How many people were allowed to be abducted by ICE in San Francisco? You are accountable for each and every one of them.

What is happening is unlawful and endangers the lives of everyone, yes, even you.
If you happen to get in the way of an ICE abduction, they have orders to shoot and kill -- they could kill you, just because you got in their way.
The paramilitary force has killed innocent people in their vehicles and homes.
You are responsible for the deaths that occur in San Francisco by ICE.
None of you are safe while this is happening.
We have seen the assassinations of state legislators and more will take place.
One of those shooters impersonated a police officer.
What are police saying about this?
If ICE can impersonate the police and shoot politicians at will, how safe are any of you?
The paramilitary force must be dismantled completely.
We cannot allow this unlawful behavior to continue.
If I cannot speak to your humanity, I will speak to your safety.
None of you are safe while ICE abductions continue.
None of you are safe while the paramilitary can shoot anyone at will and impersonate police officers.
Your position and money won't save you from death.
This is the last stand before fascism takes over completely in our country.
It must stop in San Francisco, in California and in the United States of America.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: 2024-005509 1500-1540 MARKET STREET (AKA "ONE OAK")
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:36:30 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding a project at 1500-1540 Market Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Nulty <sf_district6@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2026 6:06 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2024-005509 1500-1540 MARKET STREET (AKA "ONE OAK")

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Planning Commission
c/o San Francisco Planning Department
1660 Mission Street, Suite 200

San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Comments on Modified Plans and CEQA Findings for One Oak (1500-1540 Market Street) – Case Nos. 2009.0159E / 2024-005509ENV (and related 2024-005509MAP, 2026-0000020 filings) – 41-Story, Approximately 541-Unit All-Market-Rate Tower; Adoption of Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations under CEQA

Dear Honorable Commissioners:

The Alliance for a Better District 6 is a community-based organization dedicated to advancing equitable development, affordable housing, and neighborhood stability in San Francisco's District 6, which includes the Civic Center, Tenderloin, and Market-Octavia "Hub" area where the proposed One Oak project is sited. We submit these detailed comments regarding the modified plans and associated environmental review for the project at 1500-1540 Market Street (north side between Oak Street and Van Ness Avenue; Lots 001, 002, 003, 004, and 005 in Assessor's Block 0836, District 6).

The proposed project would demolish two existing non-residential buildings and a surface parking lot to construct a new, approximately 508,000-square-foot residential building with a tower-over-podium design containing 541 dwelling units. The podium portion is 14 stories high (approximately 139 feet to roof), while the tower extends to 41 stories, reaching a roof height of 400 feet (or approximately 416 feet inclusive of mechanical equipment, and 436 feet tall inclusive of elevator overrun and rooftop screening). The project includes 135 off-street accessory parking spaces, three car-share spaces, one off-street freight loading space, two off-street service loading vehicle spaces, 210 Class 1 and 27 Class 2 bicycle parking spaces, and activation of the ground floor through a public plaza and shared public right-of-way within a portion of Oak Street ("Oak Plaza"). The site is located within a C-3-G (Downtown General) Zoning District, Van Ness and Market Downtown Residential Special Use District, and 120/140-400-R-4 and 120/400/140-450-R-4 Height and Bulk Districts.

We recognize several **positive elements** of the project that align with the San Francisco Planning Code, the Market & Octavia Area Plan, state laws (such as AB 2097 and SB 743), and the certified 2017 EIR (with 2024–2026 addenda):

- **Housing Production**: The addition of 541 units in a highly transit-oriented location supports the City's Housing Element and RHNA targets by increasing supply near BART, Muni lines, and key corridors. The use of larger floor plates achieves this increase without elevating the rooftop height beyond prior approvals.

- **Transportation & Parking**: The low parking ratio (~0.25 spaces per unit) complies with state transit-oriented development requirements (AB 2097) and City policies. The updated VMT analysis indicates less-than-significant impacts, and the proposed Oak Street improvements (raised crossing, stone pavers, planters, bicycle parking, café seating, public plaza, and shared right-of-way) improve pedestrian and bicycle safety while activating the street level.
- **Design & Environmental Mitigations**: The Solomon Cordwell Buenz design fits the triangular site with a curved Market Street façade and internal light well. Open space provisions (podium amenity deck, rooftop solarium, 79 private balconies, and street-level plaza) along with wind and other legacy EIR mitigations are incorporated, and the addendum finds no new significant impacts.
- **Public Realm Contributions**: Streetscape enhancements, the new public plaza ("Oak Plaza"), and standard impact fees help activate and improve the public realm in a neighborhood with nearby vacant or stalled sites.

Despite these strengths, the project has significant shortcomings in the context of District 6's pressing needs that outweigh its benefits and prevent us from offering our full support.

- **Absence of On-Site Affordable Housing**: All 541 units are market-rate, with the sponsor opting for the temporary fee reduction under the 2023 Inclusionary Housing Ordinance reforms (through November 1, 2026) to pay a reduced in-lieu fee (~16.4%) instead of providing any below-market-rate (BMR) units on-site.
- **Equity Concerns**: In a district facing acute affordable housing shortages, displacement risks, and proximity to high-need areas like the Tenderloin and Civic Center, relying exclusively on in-lieu fees to support affordability elsewhere falls short of delivering direct benefits to the immediate community.
- **Other Neighborhood Effects**: Larger floor plates and cumulative density with adjacent projects may subtly exacerbate shadows, wind, or service demands, even if deemed less-than-significant. Low on-site parking could contribute to street spillover or TNC/loading pressures in a busy area.
- **Limited Binding Community Benefits**: The project lacks a dedicated Community Development Agreement or tailored local commitments beyond standard fees and street improvements.

- **Added Burden on Nearby Transit Systems**: The project will introduce hundreds of new residents who will rely heavily on the already overburdened Muni lines serving Market Street, Van Ness Avenue, and the surrounding corridors. The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) is currently facing a projected $307–320 million budget deficit for FY 2026-27 (with deficits growing in subsequent years to over $400 million by 2030), driven by the end of pandemic relief funding, lingering low ridership recovery, and other factors. Without new regional or local revenue measures, the agency has warned of potential major service cuts—including elimination of up to one-third of routes, earlier shutdowns, and reduced frequency—which would severely impact existing riders. This development will increase daily ridership demand without any corresponding project-funded service enhancements, robust transportation demand management programs to offset the load, or direct contributions to Muni's capital or operating needs. In an area already experiencing chronic delays, overcrowding, and reliability issues, the added pressure risks further degrading transit quality for residents throughout District 6 and the city.
- **Increased Air Pollution and Burdens on City Infrastructure**: With only minimal open space and virtually no meaningful greenery, tree canopy, or other on-site environmental enhancements beyond the small plaza and planters, the tower will contribute to the urban heat island effect and provide limited natural carbon sequestration or air filtration in a high-traffic corridor already burdened by poor air quality from vehicles and congestion. Even with the low parking ratio, the influx of residents will generate additional vehicle miles traveled from ride-share services, deliveries, occasional car use, and construction-related emissions. This will be compounded by new demands on the City's strained infrastructure systems—including water, sewer, stormwater, and solid waste services—that are already operating near capacity in the Hub neighborhood. The result will be measurable increases in localized air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, effects that the project's environmental addendum and proposed mitigations do not fully address through substantial on-site greening, infrastructure upgrades, or other direct offsets.

Because the project qualifies for the City's 2023 Inclusionary Housing Ordinance reductions and chooses to include zero affordable units on-

site—while also imposing these additional burdens on transit, air quality, and City infrastructure—the Alliance for a Better District 6 cannot fully support this project. We strongly believe that equitable development in District 6 requires meaningful on-site BMR units to foster truly mixed-income communities, along with stronger mitigations for transit capacity, environmental justice, and infrastructure resilience. In-lieu fees and minimal public-realm improvements, while helpful citywide, are an inadequate substitute when the opportunity exists for a project of this scale to deliver direct, on-site benefits and address the very real fiscal and environmental challenges facing our neighborhood today.

We respectfully request that the Planning Commission condition approval on the inclusion of a substantial percentage of on-site affordable housing, meaningful contributions to Muni service and infrastructure, enhanced greening and air-quality measures (such as increased tree planting, green roofs, or other features), and other tailored mitigations that would allow the project to better serve the community.

Thank you for your attention to these important concerns and for your ongoing work to balance housing production with equity, sustainability, and neighborhood livability.

Sincerely,Michael Nulty
Executive Director
Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8327 - Direct
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6
(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats
(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association
(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative
http://abd6.cfsites.org/

JAMES L. CHAFFEE, JR.,
462 Duboce Avenue, #403
San Francisco, California 94117-3572

Tel. 415-656-9946
chaffeejl@outlook.com

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2026 MAR 13 AM10:35
94 BOS-11

March 13, 2026

Argemira Flórez Feng, Chair
San Francisco Ethics Commission
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Sworn Ethics Complaint – Mayor Daniel Lurie

Dear Ethics Commission,

The San Francisco Ethics Commission has jurisdiction over violation that are "willful" under SF Admin Code 67.34, "Complaints involving allegations of willful violations of this ordinance, . . . shall be handled by the Ethics Commission." The Mayor of San Francisco has ultimate responsibility as the appointing authority for the performance of San Francisco City Commissions under his purview. This is particularly true when his appointed commissioners openly and blatantly subvert the democratic process specifically mandated by the California Open Meetings Law, Government Code §54950, et seq. and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67. It is difficult to imagine a violation that could be more willful.

The San Francisco Library Commission is a seven member body appointed by the Mayor that holds only one meeting on the third Thursday of each month. A typical agenda is the Agenda of February12, 2026, attached hereto as Exhibit A, which displays prominently on its first page the statement, "Members of the public who attend the meeting in-person (sic) may address the Commission to provide public comment for up to two minutes per item." In the conduct of its meetings, it does not emphasize the term "may" and in fact prominently displays a digital timer in front of the speaker podium that counts down from two minutes and then a microphone at the speaker podium is muted at the end of the two minutes. Of course, we know that as a legal matter the term "may" is taken to mean permissive or discretionary.

On the last page of the agenda, page 4, among material that would commonly be considered boilerplate is a section entitled, "KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE." That section draws non-specific attention to rights that might be investigated by contacting outside administrators or printed materials. Upon an examination of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, we find that Admin. Code 67.15(c) states,

> "Each policy body shall adopt a rule providing that each person wishing to speak on an item before the body at a regular or special meeting shall be permitted to be heard once for up to three minutes."

It should equally be noted that Admin. Code 67.15(d), attached hereto as Exhibit B, states,

> "A policy body shall not abridge or prohibit public criticism of the policy, procedures, programs or services of the City, or of any other aspect of its proposals or activities, or of the acts or omissions of the body, on the basis that the performance of one or more public employees is implicated, or on any basis other than reasonable time constraints adopted in regulations pursuant to Subdivision (c) of this Section."

Not only is this quoted among the "Rights" under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance as passed by the San Francisco Supervisors as part of the Administrative Code, but we know that as a legal matter the term "shall" is taken to mean an imperative command, usually indicating that certain actions are mandatory, and not permissive. The above quoted section 67.15(c) uses the term "shall" twice. The first is that "The policy body shall adopt a rule." And indeed the Library Commission has adopted Bylaws that include at Article VII, Section 2, "Each person wishing to speak on an item before the Commission shall be permitted to be heard once for up to three minutes." Library Commission Bylaws, Article VII, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

Thus, we find that the San Francisco categorically ignores the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and its own Bylaws to directly, blatantly and it must be said forcibly blocks the rights of the San Francisco public to make its rightful three minutes of public testimony. How did this come about? Up until December of 2024 the agendas of Library Commission provided for three minutes of public testimony. Beginning in January of 2025 that was changed to two minutes without any official action or acknowledgment by the Library Commission itself. The agendas of October, November and December 2024 contain contain no mention of any official action. It can be assumed that there was an illegal seriatim meeting where this was decided, but, of necessity, illegal seriatim meetings are not on the public record.

The only thing left is to acknowledge that is the responsibility of San Franncisco Mayor Daniel Lurie for setting this in motion and then endorsing it with ratifying his appointments to the Library Commission. It might be said that the Library Commission is more likely to be anti-social and undemocratic, but there is every reason to expect that it will be adopted by other City Commissions if it is allowed to proceed. Not only is the Mayor disdaining the law, he is replacing legal order with a brutish ignorance. One thing that Mayor Lurie and I can agree upon is that if he wants his mayoralty to stand for brutish ignorance the voters should know about it.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above statements are true and correct.

Very truly yours,

James Chaffee

JAMES L. CHAFFEE

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	Notice of Supermarket Closure
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 9:42:53 AM
Attachments:	Save Mart Notice of Supermarket Closure.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached Notice of Supermarket Closure, in accordance with Police Code, Article 57, submitted by Save Mart.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

The SAVE MART COMPANIES

THIS NOTICE IS FOR THE SB 1089 AND SAN FRANCISCO SUPERMARKET CLOSURE ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS

March 11, 2026

Via Email [mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org] and Overnight FedEx Delivery

Rafael Mandelman, President
County of San Francisco
Board of Supervisors
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2026 MAR 13 AM09:16

Re: **Announcement of Planned Action**

Dear President Mandelman:

I am writing on behalf of Save Mart Supermarkets LLC dba Lucky #756 ("Save Mart") to inform you that the Lucky store #756 located at 1750 Fulton Street, San Francisco, California, 94117, will close on September 11, 2026. The entire store will be closed and all employees at the store will be impacted. This closure is expected to be permanent.

All affected employees have been notified of their separation dates and that their separation from employment will be permanent. Some employees may be eligible to transfer to another store. We will notify employees directly if a transfer is an option. Employees will have the right to bump or displace more junior employees out of their job positions in accordance with the applicable collective bargaining agreement. Separations will commence on or about September 11, 2026, or during a 14-day period starting on that date. Attached is a list of the job positions and number of individuals who will be affected by the closure.

We have notified Dan Larson, the chief elected officer of the United Food & Commercial Workers Union Local 648, of this information at the following address: 1980 Mission Street, San Francisco, California, 94103. We have also notified John Frahm, the chief elected officer of the United Food & Commercial Workers Union Local 5, of this information at the following address: 28870 Mission Blvd., Hayward, California, 94544.

To the extent that the closure constitutes a covered event under SB 1089 or the San Francisco Supermarket Closure Ordinance (San Francisco Police Code §§ 5700, et seq.) or any similar state statute, this notice is intended to fulfill any requirements imposed by those laws. However,

by providing this information, Save Mart does not concede that these laws apply or that notice is otherwise required.

Closing a store is not a decision we take lightly, but this store has had performance issues for an extended period of time. We have worked to enhance and remodel the location, but it has not shown the sales and profit needed to continue operations. In fact, despite the best efforts of a great team, we have lost money year over year at this location.

We care about each and every person who shops and works at our stores. In the rare case we need to close a store, we will work to transfer associates to nearby locations, based on availability and seniority. We remain committed to the San Francisco area and will continue to serve customers at our other locations across the metro.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (209) 919-1872.

Sincerely,

Phil Keene

Phil Keene
Sr. Director of Communications and Government Affairs

Save Mart Supermarkets LLC dba Lucky #756 ("Save Mart")
Store Closure: September 11, 2026

JOB TITLE	NUMBER OF AFFECTED INDIVIDUALS
CLERK, HEAD	2
CLERK, SERVICE SPECIALIST	4
KEY CARRIER	5
MANAGER, ASSISTANT STORE	1
MANAGER, CENTER STORE	1
MANAGER, MEAT	1
MANAGER, SERVICE DELI	1
MANAGER, STORE	1
MEAT CUTTER	1
MULTI-PURPOSE CLERK	31
	TOTAL = 48

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters Regarding Valencia St
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:05:28 PM
Attachments:	2 Letters Regarding Valencia St.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding bike lanes on Valencia Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	Dave Getzler
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:49:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,

Dave Getzler
dgetzler@yahoo.com
3010 Octavia Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From:	Eugene Lazarchik
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 3:16:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,

Eugene Lazarchik
lzref@protonmail.com
300 Hill St Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94114

item 19

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS Supervisors; BOS Legislative Aides
Cc: BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Ertezcan, Mehmet (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject: FW: It"s Time to Open Market Street to Vehicles
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:29:16 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding vehicles on Market Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Peter Chovanes <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:51 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: It's Time to Open Market Street to Vehicles

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,
Mayor, and SFMTA

From your constituent: Peter Chovanes

Email: pchovanes@gmail.com

Subject: It's Time to Open Market Street to Vehicles

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors and SFMTA Board,

Let's encourage San Francisco's recovery! It's time to open Market Street.

Let's compare Market St before & after:

- Pre-Closure: a healthy 5% commercial vacancy
- Post-Closure: a devastating 50% commercial vacancy

- Pre: vibrant and packed
- Post: vacant and depressing

- Pre-Closure: pedestrians were not regularly threatened by bikes, scooters, mopeds on the sidewalk
- Post-Closure: they are

(see: https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://x.com/LyanneMelendez/status/1957981359009665422___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo5MzA2MTE0MGRhZWNmZjJjNDNkZjhmNmNmNGJkOWFjMzo3OjM2Nzc6OGY4MmRlNDgxYTYwZjQ5YTkwYzRlYTRjOWNhMjAzN2M3MjFhZjE0Yjc2ZjRhMDYwZjhjZTg0OGFhYTQwODQ4ZTg0OIQ6Tg)

Union Square has suffered at 40% loss in business.

An entire Mall has closed down.

Closing Market Street has objectively inflicted severe damage on this area of San Francisco.

I kindly encourage you to please end the destructive closure of Market Street.

Kind regards,

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Making SF ballots shorter
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 8:22:51 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding election ballots.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Anastasia Glikshtern <apglikshtern@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 9:56 PM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; WongStaff (BOS) <WongStaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Subject: Making SF ballots shorter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

> sources.

Dear Mayor Lourie,

Dear Members of the SF Board of Supervisors,

I applaud the attempt to make the ballots shorter.

I agree that the minority of the supervisors, or the mayor should not be placing propositions on the ballot.

I would like to require a minimum of 8 supervisors (2/3) - not 6 - to place a proposition before the voters.

However raising the signatures threshold for citizens to place an ordinance on ballot from 2 to 8 percent of registered voters is unacceptable.

I firmly believe that paid signature gathering should be illegal. I understand that the courts rejected this. I propose that the 2% threshold remains in place for those initiatives where signatures are collected by volunteers only, and be raised to 8% if the paid signature gatherers are involved.

I hope this can be done.

Sincerely,
Anastasia Glikshtern
1683 34th Ave.,
San Francisco, CA 94122

item 21

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Crayton, Monique (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: PG&E Response Letters
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 8:34:52 AM
Attachments:	CEO SF Response.pdf PGE_SF Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee.pdf image001.png

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) regarding **File Nos. 260030; 260035:**

260030: Resolution re-affirming the City's continued efforts to acquire the Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) assets necessary to provide clean, green, and affordable electric power delivery and service in San Francisco and ensure system reliability, sustainability, and safety.

260035: Hearing to discuss the cause(s), escalation, response, and impacts of the widespread power outages that began on December 20, 2025, and which have disproportionately affected residents and small businesses in the Richmond, Sunset, Presidio, Civic Center, South of Market (SOMA), and other San Francisco neighborhoods, to understand how a localized substation incident escalated to affect nearly one-third of the City; to assess communication failures and gaps in emergency response protocols; to evaluate economic impacts on small businesses and hardships faced by seniors, persons with disabilities, and other vulnerable residents; to discuss and understand the remedies, claims processes and support being provided to affected residents and businesses; and requesting the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) to report.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation*

or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Dilger, Rosie <R9D4@pge.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:18 PM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>
Cc: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Dherrera@sfwater.org <Dherrera@sfwater.org>; david.chiu@cityatty.org <david.chiu@cityatty.org>; Carroll, Maryellen (DEM) <maryellen.carroll@sfgov.org>; Zigelman, Jake <JAZ5@pge.com>; Yoell, Sarah <SMY5@pge.com>; Latimer, Julia <JK5K@pge.com>; Wong, Brian [Law] <BMWP@pge.com>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: PG&E Response Letters

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee members Sup. Wong, Sup. Mahmood and Sup. Dorsey,

Please see the attached letters in response to the letter received regarding your intent to ask the CPUC for an investigation into the outage, as well as a letter to the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee to provide additional information and address issues raised at the hearing on February 12, 2026.

We appreciate your partnership and will continue to collaborate in every way to serve the City and County of San Francisco.

Thank you,

Rosie Dilger

Rosie Dilger

Local Government Affairs
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Rosie.Dilger@pge.com
Mobile: (650) 203-3149



To sign up for daily mail alerts regarding PSPS potential, click here

You can read about PG&E's data privacy practices at PGE.com/privacy.

PG&E Corporation

Patricia K. Poppe
Chief Executive Officer

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612

March 17, 2026 **BY ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION**

Daniel Lurie, Mayor
Rafael Mandelman, President, Board of Supervisors
David Chiu, City Attorney
Dennis Herrera, General Manager of SFPUC

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Recent Power Outages and City Acquisition of PG&E's Electric Assets Serving San Francisco

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, City Attorney Chiu, and General Manager Herrera,

Thank you for your March 12th letter regarding the December 20th Mission Substation incident and recent outages. We understand your concerns and appreciate you communicating with us your intent to request a formal State investigation. We remain committed to working collaboratively with the City and will support the CPUC's investigatory work to benefit the customers that we are privileged to serve.

We recognize that these outages affected our customers, causing disruption and frustration, especially during the holiday season. And we want to reiterate our apologies for not meeting your, and our own, high standards and commitment to customer service. Our entire PG&E team remains determined to take all necessary steps to address the causes that contributed to these events, to be transparent in the actions we are taking, and to redouble our efforts to avoid any such future events.

Among our efforts to date, we have retained an independent engineering firm, Exponent, to assess the cause(s) of the fire and outages, we are committed to sharing that report with the City, and committed additional funds to invest in City infrastructure. While PG&E has invested more than $3 billion in the San Francisco electric system, including $700 million in substations serving San Francisco, over the past two decades, we are committed to doing more—and we will take additional actions in response to the findings of Exponent's investigation and in collaboration with State and City leaders. Indeed, even as we await the results of the third-party investigation, we have already made improvements and are making additional improvements based on feedback received from local leaders and our internal reviews.

Thank you for your commitment to our great city of San Franciso, and please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Patti Poppe

Patti Poppe
Chief Executive Officer
PG&E Corporation

CC:
Members of the Board of Supervisors
Commissioners of the SFPUC
Sumeet Singh, PG&E Utility CEO, Energy Delivery
Carla Peterman, PG&E President, Customer and Corporate Affairs
John Simon, PG&E Corporation General Counsel
Brian Wong, PG&E Deputy General Counsel

Pacific Gas and Electric Company®

Jake Zigelman
Vice President
Bay Area Region

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612
925.237.6241

March 17, 2026 **BY ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION**

Alan Wong, District 4 Supervisor
Bilal Mahmood, District 5 Supervisor
Matt Dorsey, District 6 Supervisor

Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisor Wong, Supervisor Mahmood, and Supervisor Dorsey,

For more than 120 years, through natural disasters, earthquakes, and major storms, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) has had the privilege and responsibility of powering the City and County of San Francisco. We recognize that this privilege demands unwavering dedication to the highest standards of service, accountability, and collaboration.

In light of the Mission Substation fire and subsequent outage on December 20, 2025, we sincerely apologize for the disruption and frustration experienced by our customers and the community, especially during the holiday season.

We also value the feedback received during the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee hearing on February 12 and are taking concrete steps to address each concern raised. These actions are detailed below.

A. Immediate Reliability Improvements

Following the Mission Substation fire, PG&E has taken a series of actions to improve our local operations and communications. This includes:

- Completing repairs at Mission Substation and safely returning it to normal configuration.
- Launching a third-party expert investigation to thoroughly assess the incident, identify contributing factors, and recommend preventative measures. The investigative report is expected by the end of March 2026.
- While awaiting the results of this investigation, we have proactively:
    - Conducted enhanced inspections across critical aspects of local infrastructure, including all substations in San Francisco.
    - Accelerated reliability and safety upgrades and repairs across San Francisco substations and the San Francisco electric system.
    - Strengthened around-the-clock system monitoring to improve visibility and early detection of potential issues.
    - Established a rapid response team to expedite upgrades, repairs, and outage responses.
- Over the past two decades, PG&E has invested more than $3 billion in the San Francisco electric system, including $700 million in substations serving San Francisco;

Jake Zigelman
Vice President
Bay Area Region

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612
925.237.6241

additional investments and actions will be identified as the investigation is completed and the cause is fully understood.

B. Improving San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) Coordination

- In response to constraints described by SFFD's Deputy Chief Pat Rabbitt on February 12 and concerns raised by Supervisor Mahmood about digitized substation plans, **we have fulfilled our commitment and digitized all our building plans for San Francisco substations on February 24.**
    - We will coordinate with SFFD to share electronic copies and provide accessible tablets pre-loaded with maps for all indoor substations.
- We will be conducting joint drills and substation-specific exercises with SFFD, scheduled quarterly starting April 2026.
- We provided a CO2 truck as part of $750,000 to fund firefighting equipment to SFFD in 2008, and we understand it is in the process of receiving repairs.
- At the request of SFFD in November 2025, PG&E is making a charitable contribution of $1.25 million so that SFFD can purchase a new fire truck to serve the city. PG&E provided $500,000 of that contribution in 2025, with the additional $750,000 to be provided this year.

C. Strengthening Coordination with City Leaders and Emergency Response Partners

We remain committed to maintaining and strengthening communication with the San Francisco Department of Emergency Management (SFDEM). This includes:

- Engaging directly with SFDEM to incorporate learnings into our revised processes and strengthening emergency protocols for high-impact events, with updated procedures to be finalized by Q3 2026.
- Partnering with the City to ensure preparedness before and during recent Super Bowl activities.
- Utilizing the Public Safety Partners Electric Outage Portal to facilitate real-time outage analysis and emergency coordination. This portal provides authorized city and public safety partners with situational awareness during outages, including near real-time information on outage locations, customer impacts, and restoration progress to support coordinated emergency response and decision-making.
- Extending a standing invitation for a SFDEM representative to be embedded in our Emergency Operations Center and part of our incident command structure during future emergency activations involving San Francisco.

D. Vulnerable Customer Support

We have taken and continue to take additional actions for customers with Access and Functional Needs (AFN).

- Approximately 1,900 Medical Baseline customers were impacted by the December 20 outage. The majority of these customers were restored by 9:30 p.m. on December 20.

Jake Zigelman
Vice President
Bay Area Region

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612
925.237.6241

  - For those customers without power for extended durations, we conducted additional, targeted outreach including wellness checks on the remaining 400 Medical Baseline customers.
- We coordinated with California 211 and community-based organizations to provide food, transportation, hotel accommodations, and other support to 61 customers.
- We are collaborating with SFDEM to proactively site accessible Community Resource Centers for future emergencies, with initial locations to be finalized by May 2026.
- We also continue to remind customers who rely on electricity for certain medical needs that they may self-identify and apply for PG&E's Medical Baseline Program so they can receive additional notifications and support during outages.

During outages, PG&E follows a structured restoration process designed to restore power safely and to the greatest number of customers as quickly as possible, dependent on system configuration.

E. Improving Estimated Times of Restoration (ETORs) and Communications

We know that the inaccuracies in outage notifications and estimated restoration times were frustrating for our customers.

- With respect to this event, our first notification was made at approximately 1:10 p.m. and reached more than 99% of affected customers; 0.9% of our customers were opted out of outage alerts.
- As field conditions evolved and the extent of damage was discovered, we issued up to eight ETORs, several of which proved inaccurate and caused confusion.
  - For the 75% of customers who were restored by 9:30 p.m. on December 20, we provided seven total notifications with four ETORs.
- On the Saturday of the outage, our call center handled over 5,600 calls, with an average wait time of around 4 minutes (the maximum wait time was 78 minutes) and abandonment rates up to 22% (the average abandonment rate on a Saturday is 7%).
- In response, we have strengthened both our communications protocols and ETOR processes:
  - Reviewed and upgraded our ETOR and communication protocols for large-scale events to ensure greater accuracy and clarity.
  - Increased call center staffing and implemented new customer support processes for large-scale events. These changes are already in effect.
  - Conducting an ongoing review of customer feedback to further improve response times and reduce confusion during future incidents.
  - Expanded outreach regarding PG&E's address-level outage alert enrollment, which allows residents, tenants, and other non-account holders to receive outage notifications tied to their address.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company®

Jake Zigelman
Vice President
Bay Area Region

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612
925.237.6241

F. Expanding Language Access and Outreach

During the February 12 meeting, the Committee noted language access as a crucial information barrier. To ensure equitable access to information, we:

- Issued customer notifications in English and Spanish during the outage and added Cantonese in January 2026.
- Maintained a website accessible in 16 languages, including Chinese, and service lines in five languages, including Mandarin and Cantonese, with plans to include additional languages in notifications by June 2026.
- Developed claims guides in English, Spanish, and Cantonese for commercial customers following the event.
- Partnered, and will continue to partner, with community-based and multicultural media organizations for proactive bilingual outreach.
- Conducted nine in-person engagements with neighborhood merchants, residents, and community stakeholders in affected areas in 2026 to provide updates, answer questions, and share available resources.

G. Accelerating Customer Claims Resolution

We have enhanced our claims process to support those impacted. This includes:

- Expediting claims processing, resolving 95% of claims to date with an average resolution time of 17 business days. Of the resolved claims, only 4% were denied (generally due to being submitted by customers who weren't impacted by the outage or because they did not have valid receipts or supporting documents).
- Leveraging multiple channels to support claim submission, including a hotline, email, in-person outreach, a business-loss claims guide (in three languages), and social media.
- Simplifying the claims process by increasing the number of initial document submission options.

Claim eligibility and outage notifications are not limited to PG&E account holders. Tenants and others may file claims directly and enroll in address-level outage alerts available to non-PG&E account holders.

H. Future Improvements and Commitments

PG&E is committed to ongoing enhancements in safety, reliability, and customer support, including:

- Continuing infrastructure investments, with a focus on resilience and modernization.
- Regularly reviewing and updating emergency and customer support protocols in collaboration with city agencies.
- Hosting regular public forums and feedback sessions with city officials and community members, beginning May 2026.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company®

Jake Zigelman
Vice President
Bay Area Region

300 Lakeside Drive
Oakland, CA 94612
925.237.6241

I. Conclusion

We appreciate the opportunity to present this information. As noted, the third-party cause analysis is underway, and this investigative report is expected by the end of March 2026. We will share the report with the Board, our city partners, and our customers.

PG&E's mission is clear: to provide safe and reliable energy service to every San Francisco resident. We are committed to learning from the Mission Substation event, implementing all necessary improvements, and earning the privilege to be trusted every day.

Should any member of the Committee or city agency have additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. We look forward to ongoing collaboration in support of our shared goals for public safety, service excellence, and community resilience.

Thank you for your continued partnership and trust.

Sincerely,



Jake Zigelman
Vice President, Bay Area Region
Pacific Gas & Electric Company

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Please install protected bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard immediately alongside other sustainable transportation infrastructure improvements...
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:21:56 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding protected bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard between Fulton Street and Washington Boulevard.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dave Getzler <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please install protected bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard immediately alongside other sustainable transportation infrastructure improvements...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support the installation of protected bike lanes on Arguello Boulevard between Fulton Street and Washington Boulevard immediately, alongside other sustainable transportation infrastructure improvements. Protected bike lanes are proven to increase safety for all people, including drivers and especially people on bikes, and will help San Francisco address our roadway safety crisis and the climate crisis while moving the City towards its goals for Vision Zero and sustainable mode share.

The tragic death of USA Cycling champion Ethan Boyes and the critical injury of a 16-year-old boy on Arguello Boulevard are a stark reminder of what can — and will — happen on streets without protected bike lanes and why we need to install protected bike lanes across our city to reduce roadway deaths, car traffic, and pollution and increase sustainable mode share and climate action.

I urge you to support the installation of protected bike lanes and other sustainable transportation infrastructure improvements on Arguello Boulevard and do everything within your power to ensure these improvements are installed immediately.

Thank you,

Dave Getzler
dgetzler@yahoo.com
3010 Octavia Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Restoring army Street to Caesar Chavez Street
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:09:33 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Cesar Chavez Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Lori Tooker <lori@sproutpeople.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 3:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Restoring army Street to Caesar Chavez Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I in light of the extremely upsetting and sad revelations that have come to light about

Cesar Chavez, the City will naturally remove his name from the iconic street that was Army Street for 145 years.

I support restoring the name Army Street because it is in fact a historical part of our lives and it’s neutral, not dependent on any person‘s flaws or weaknesses.

Army is also a short word so would be easier to change than most full names.

Sincerely,

Lori Tooker

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Urgent Safety Conditions – Taylor Street Between Turk and Eddy
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 10:20:26 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Taylor Street in between Turk Street and Eddy Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: latrice mcnealy <latricemcnealy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 12:27 AM
To: mayorlurie@sfgov.org
Cc: Mayor, MYR (MYR) <mayor@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; sfpd@sfgov.org
Subject: Urgent Safety Conditions – Taylor Street Between Turk and Eddy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mahmood, and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing again regarding the conditions on Taylor Street between Turk and Eddy. I have lived here for 15 years and am raising two young children in this neighborhood.

At this moment there are approximately 40 people on the block openly using drugs, and a group of individuals who appear to be selling drugs are standing directly outside the gate of my building. Several of them are leaning on residents' vehicles, including my own.

The noise and activity outside the building make it extremely difficult to sleep, and residents are being forced to live with constant drug activity immediately outside our homes.

This is not a new issue. I have called the police multiple times over the past several months, including the morning when two people were shot nearby. I have also reported an assault that occurred while I was walking my dog earlier that same morning.

I have lived here for 15 years and have raised my children here, and the conditions on this block have deteriorated to a point where it no longer feels safe for residents.

I am asking for immediate attention to the ongoing activity on Taylor Street between Turk and Eddy, including consistent enforcement and intervention from the appropriate city departments.

Residents should not have to live with open drug markets and large gatherings directly outside their homes.

I appreciate your offices acknowledging this issue previously, but the situation on the ground remains extremely serious and requires immediate attention.

Sincerely,
Latrice

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Gallagher, Cohen (BOS)
Subject: FW: Great Highway Reopening - Email Evidence Attached - Local Organizations and Citizens Agree
Date: Thursday, March 28, 2024 8:16:26 AM
Attachments: Colorado_Letter_of_Support.docx

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the Great Highway/Sunset Dunes Park.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
Board of Supervisors | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Judi Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MayorDanielLurie@sfgov.org; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <chyanne.chen@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; SherriStaff <SherriStaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoudStaff <MahmoudStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <chyanne.chen@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <stephen.sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>
Cc: Judi gmail Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>; Patricia Wise <pwise4512@gmail.com>
Subject: Why Weekday Reopening of the Great Highway Matters - Visual Evidence Attached - Local Organizations and Citizens Agree

> This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Pictures are said to speak a thousand words and with that in mind attached are two recent photos and one video of big rigs and a caravan of motorbikes (taken Tuesday, February 24 and Friday, 27, 2026) driving north and south on our residential 2-lane streets (Lower Great Highway and 48th Avenue) within a few feet of where children play in front of homes and apartments and where pedestrians walk, including the elderly and disabled. These are but a sample of albums full of similar evidence.

The diversion of this kind of traffic onto our quiet streets due to the closure of the 4-lane Upper Great Highway has caused a constant lack of safety and peace in District 4 and deteriorated the quality of life here.

Please be realistic when you read the undocumented claims from those who are not living here who say 24/7 car-free Sunset Dunes Park is a superior scenario than sharing the Upper Great Highway with weekday drivers of motor vehicles; it is not. Their photos of mass usage are from one-time, well-advertised citywide weekend events and do not reflect normal daily and nightly usage.

The money spent on changes made to manage the diverted traffic and justify the permanent full time closure of this needed road has not worked.

- The Great Highway has never lost its utility.
- Send removal frequency and expenses on it have increased instead of decreased.
- Car crashes in D4 have increased.
- Weekdays it has limited activity on it, and usage is dependent upon sporadically good weather, compared to the motor vehicles that used it daytime, nighttime, and in all weather conditions.

For the safety and daily quality of life of the San Franciscans living with the negative impacts from its closure, it needs to reopen weekdays.

Please impart this information to your constituents and urge them to vote yes to reopen the Great Highway weekdays when it appears on the ballot in November.

Respectfully submitted,

Judi Gorski
Patricia Wise

Organizations in Support
Chinese American Democratic Club
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
Concerned Residents of the Sunset
Save Our Neighborhoods SF
Sunset United Neighbors

Individuals in Support of Reopening the Great Highway Weekdays
JJ Hollingsworth
Leanna Louie
Shawna McGrew
Renee Lasker
Patricia Arack
Deborah Murphy
Stephen J. Gorski
Mike Regan
Jean B. Barish
Meredith Jones
Justin Gorski
Christina Binh
Felicia Valmonte
John Rothmann
Jen Doherty
Michael Valmonte, Jr.
Nicky Travnina
Joseph Bevenisco
Ignacio Ombana Garcia
Silvia Smith
Doris Lurie
Bob Twomey
Terri Mosack-Klein
Nancy Federico
Larry Young
Sue Grissom
Susan Reichert Wong
Rosemary Vacalebe Newton
Wendy Lu
Dyanna Turner
Bill Alvarado
Lisa Bellomo
Kat Regan
Judith Parks
Jasmine Mardirian
Gary Guerra
Stephanie Douglass
Katherine Howard
Greg Miller
Stephen Monte-Pinto
Mike Rosen
Gabrielle Lasetta
Laurel Rose
Madison Cioli
Peter South Lee
Tony Vilia
Lisa Arpin
John Crabtree
Karen O'Brien Kinahan
Lorna Kahlmeyer
David Jones
Harvey Lieber
William Tom
Charles Perkins
Susan Perkins
Gregory Leung
Dewey Sacks
Josephine Zhao
Selena Chu
Michael Nohr
Susan Sorel
Terry Mcdevitt
Griffin Lee
Otto Pippinger
Sean Kim
Roxanne Worthington
Tom Wosieka
Dave Wright
Christina Linnenbach
Dennis Hall
Linda Hall
Judy Adami
Zac Adami
Andy Adami
Robert Newton
Ellen D Scanlan
Lillian Pod
Rhonda Mascalle-Crafts
Mary Ann Bonetti
Michael V Ledtke
Lisa Wacter
Bill Alvarado
Gabrielle Lasetta
Kenneth Oats
Chester Fojas
Nancy Zemer
Ginger Pepper


STOP
ALL WAY
J.B. HUNT
Dedicated





From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Board File #260199 Board of Supervisors Budget Process – FYs 2026-2027 and 2027-2028 — "Chop From the Top"
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 12:02:45 PM
Attachments:	Testimony to Board of Supes Budget Appropriations Board File 260199 26-03-17.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding **File No. 260199:**

Motion establishing priorities for the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the process to guide the Board's deliberations on the City budget, for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2026-2027 and 2027-2028.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: pmonette-shaw <pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:10 PM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Bilal.Mamood@sfgov.org

<Bilal.Mamood@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Alan.Wong1@sfgov.org <Alan.Wong1@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>

Subject: Board File #260199 Board of Supervisors Budget Process – FYs 2026-2027 and 2027-2028 — "Chop From the Top"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Patrick Monette-Shaw
975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

If the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the Mayor's Budget Director Sophia Kittler really want to address the City's $900 million budget deficit, use this information to start '*Chopping From the Top*'!

Start by clawing back savings eliminating job classifications 1165 – 1167 at SFDPH!

March 17, 2026

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, Chair
The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6
The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8
The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Board File #260199 Board of Supervisors Budget Process – FYs 2026-2027 and 2027-2028 — "Chop From the Top"**

Dear Chair Chan and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

It's good seeing in Legislative Version 1 for this hearing that it involves a Motion establishing priorities for the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the process to guide the Board's deliberations on the City budget for Fiscal Years 2026-2027 and 2027-2028. The Motion contains a WHEREAS clause stating:

> "The Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor, the Mayor's Budget Director, and City departments to consider the following guiding principals as part of their budget proposal,

including:
“

a. **Chop from the Top:** For the past few fiscal years, the City has hired managers at twice the rate of essential frontline workers. Before the City continues to reduce its workforce, the City should prioritize the elimination of **vacant** management positions and other vacant positions and reduce management positions accordingly.”

Unfortunately, the Motition doesn’t go far enough, since it states that **vacant** management positions should be prioritized for elimination. The Motion should be amended, to include both vacant and **filled** management positions.

That’s because in early March 2026, Mayor Lurie asked city departments to eliminate at least 500 positions to help address the nearly $900 million budget deficit, as part of a broader mandate to cut $100 million in personnel spending for the upcoming FY 2026–2027 City budget.

The Mayor expects some of the reductions to come from vacant positions, but his Budget Office has indicated that reaching the target **will require eliminating filled positions**.

I think I can help identify some of the needed cuts shown in the Exhibits to this testimony. The Exhibits are based on data in annual City Controller payroll databases stored in Excel format, that I receive under public records requests annually.

Exhibit 1 gets to the heart of the matter, focusing in on the **bloat of Senior Managers inflating the City budget**. Senior City managers are defined as employees in job classificatin code 0922 Manager 1 through 0943 Manager 8, 0951 Deputy Director 1 through 0965 Deputy Director 5, 0961 Department Head 1 through 00965 Department Head 5, 0971, 1114 through 1118, 1165 through 1165 through 1167, and various SFMTA manager positions in job classification codes 9172 through 9187, as shown in breakouts in **Exhibits 3 and Exhibit 4**.

- **Exhibit 1** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, successive annual City budgets have added fully 815 **senior managers** to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $211.9 ***million*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but excluding fringe benefits.

  The Exhibit shows that the 14 bodies highlighted in yellow added a combined total of 685 — 85% — of the 815 addition City employees, at an increased cost of $174.4 million — 82% — of the total $211.9 million increase across the past 14 years. **That was a massive increase in the cost of senior city managers. “Chop From the Top!**

- **Exhibit 2** is a year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, which shows that in a single one-year period, 45 additional **senior manager** positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $21 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  Of the 45 additional senior managers, just 5 of the City’s 54 City Departments accounted for 40 of the 45 additional senior managers, including the Airport Commission, SFMTA Police Department, Public Utilities Commission, and the San Francisco Port Authority, at a cost of $13.2 **million**. **That $13.2 millin represents 63% — nearly two-thirds — of the one-year increase of $21 million.**

- **Exhibit 3** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 **stratified by Job Classification Code**, successive annual City budgets have added fully 815 **senior managers** to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $211.9 ***million*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but

again excluding fringe benefits.

Shockingly, of the 815 additional senior managers added, 622 — fully 76.3% — were added in Job Classification codes 0922 to 0943, Managers job classification series, at a cost of $147.7 million. Another 83 — 10/2% — were added in the Deputy Director job classification series 0951 to 0955, at a cost of $26.7 million,

Exhibit 3 also shows that the Department of Public Health's Community Health Network (SFHN) created 16 new senior manager positionss in job classifications 1165, 1166, and 1167 — at an increased cost of $5.8 million — which were all formerly senior managers in the 0951 to 0965 job classification codes. If you really want to "**Chop From the Top**," you could easily start by **clawing back savings** eliminating those three new job classification codes in SFDPH that were only recently created in the last several Fiscal Years!

Also shockingly, of the 815 additional senior managers added, SFMTA aoounted for 83 of them — 10.2% of the 815 — at a staggering increase of $19.8 million! **No wonder the SFMTA has its own massive budget deficit — and is begging San Franciscans to pass another bond measure to help bail out MUNI's budget!**

The Mayor should **start by trimming the 83 additional managers SFMTA has added**, causing severe management bloat. "**Chop From the Top**."

- **Exhibit 4** is another year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 **stratified by Job Classification Code**, which shows that in a single one-year period, 45 additional **senior manager** positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $21 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  Of note, almost half of the 45 additional senior managers were added in a single job classification code — 0932, adding 22 additional Manager 4's. Somewhat shockingly, durng that single year, another 9 Manager 1's in classification code 0922 were added.

  As well, the SFMTA added (or promoted) an additional 10 senior managers across job classifications 9172 Manager 2, 9174 Manager 4, and 9180 Manager 6. This totals 41 or the 45 additional senior managers across a single year.

- **Exhibit 5** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, successive annual City budgets hve added fully 8,704 positions to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $2.7 ***billion*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but again excluding fringe benefits.

  That exhibit shows that just six of the City's 56 City Departments — the Department of Public Health, Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, Human Services Agency, General Services Agency – Public Works, and the Recreation and Parks Department — accounted for 7,504 — 86.2% — of the 8,704 additional City employees, at a cost of $1.624 ***billion*** of the total cost of additional Cty employees, including pay raises.

- **Exhibit 6** is another year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, which shows that in a single one-year period, 99 additional positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $336.2 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  This Exibit is deceptive, in that it shows that during the past one year of a year-over-year change there was an addition of just 99 additional City employees across 54 City Departments.

That's deceptive precisely because there was an addition of fully 483 City employees at a cost of $185.7 million (including pay raises) across just five City Departments, including Airport Commission (15), Police Department (117), Department of Public Health (79), Elections Department (70), and the Public Utilities Commission (67). Clearly, there was a massive cut of 384 employees in the remaining 49 City Departments to come up with a net increase of just 99 emloyees.

If the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the Mayor's Budget Director Sophia Kittler really want to address the City's $900 million budget deficit, use this information to start **"Chopping From the Top**"!

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Patrick Monette-Shaw
Columnist/Reporter
Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2
The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7
The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9
The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office
Sophia Kittler, Budget Director to Mayor Daniel Lurie.

Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

March 17, 2026

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, Chair
The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6
The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, Supervisor, District 8
The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

"If the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the Mayor's **Budget Director Sophia Kittler really** want to address the City's **$900** million budget deficit, use this information to start '*Chopping From the Top*'!

Start by clawing back savings eliminating job classifications 1165 – 1167 at SFDPH!"

Re: **Board File #260199 Board of Supervisors Budget Process – FYs 2026-2027 and 2027-2028 — "Chop From the Top"**

Dear Chair Chan and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

It's good seeing in Legislative Version 1 for this hearing that it involves a Motion establishing priorities for the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the process to guide the Board's deliberations on the City budget for Fiscal Years 2026-2027 and 2027-2028. The Motion contains a WHEREAS clause stating:

> "The Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor, the Mayor's Budget Director, and City departments to consider the following guiding principals as part of their budget proposal, including:
> "
>
> a. **Chop from the Top:** For the past few fiscal years, the City has hired managers at twice the rate of essential frontline workers. Before the City continues to reduce its workforce, the City should prioritize the elimination of **vacant** management positions and other vacant positions and reduce management positions accordingly."

Unfortunately, the Motition doesn't go far enough, since it states that **vacant** management positions should be prioritized for elimination. The Motion should be amended, to include both vacant and **filled** management positions.

That's because in early March 2026, Mayor Lurie asked city departments to eliminate at least 500 positions to help address the nearly $900 million budget deficit, as part of a broader mandate to cut $100 million in personnel spending for the upcoming FY 2026–2027 City budget.

The Mayor expects some of the reductions to come from vacant positions, but his Budget Office has indicated that reaching the target **will require eliminating filled positions**.

I think I can help identify some of the needed cuts shown in the Exhibits to this testimony. The Exhibits are based on data in annual City Controller payroll databases stored in Excel format, that I receive under public records requests annually.

Exhibit 1 gets to the heart of the matter, focusing in on the **bloat of Senior Managers inflating the City budget**. Senior City managers are defined as employees in job classificatin code 0922 Manager 1 through 0943 Manager 8, 0951 Deputy Director 1 through 0965 Deputy Director 5, 0961 Department Head 1 through 00965 Department Head 5, 0971, 1114 through 1118, 1165 through 1165 through 1167, and various SFMTA manager positions in job classification codes 9172 through 9187, as shown in breakouts in **Exhibits 3 and Exhibit 4**.

- **Exhibit 1** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, successive annual City budgets have added fully 815 **senior managers** to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $211.9 ***million*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but excluding fringe benefits.

  The Exhibit shows that the 14 bodies highlighted in yellow added a combined total of 685 — 85% — of the 815 addition City employees, at an increased cost of $174.4 million — 82% — of the total $211.9 million increase across

the past 14 years. **That was a massive increase in the cost of senior city managers. "Chop From the Top!**

- **Exhibit 2** is a year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, which shows that in a single one-year period, 45 additional **senior manager** positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $21 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  Of the 45 additional senior managers, just 5 of the City's 54 City Departments accounted for 40 of the 45 additional senior managers, including the Airport Commission, SFMTA Police Department, Public Utilities Commission, and the San Francisco Port Authority, at a cost of $13.2 **million**. **That $13.2 millin represents 63% — nearly two-thirds — of the one-year increase of $21 million.**

- **Exhibit 3** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 **stratified by Job Classification Code**, successive annual City budgets have added fully 815 **senior managers** to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $211.9 ***million*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but again excluding fringe benefits.

  Shockingly, of the 815 additional senior managers added, 622 — fully 76.3% — were added in Job Classification codes 0922 to 0943, Managers job classification series, at a cost of $147.7 million. Another 83 — 10/2% — were added in the Deputy Director job classification series 0951 to 0955, at a cost of $26.7 million,

  Exhibit 3 also shows that the Department of Public Health's Community Health Network (SFHN) created 16 new senior manager positionss in job classifications 1165, 1166, and 1167 — at an increased cost of $5.8 million — which were all formerly senior managers in the 0951 to 0965 job classification codes. If you really want to "**Chop From the Top**," you could easily start by **clawing back savings** eliminating those three new job classification codes in SFDPH that were only recently created in the last several Fiscal Years!

  Also shockingly, of the 815 additional senior managers added, SFMTA aoounted for 83 of them — 10.2% of the 815 — at a staggering increase of $19.8 million! **No wonder the SFMTA has its own massive budget deficit — and is begging San Franciscans to pass another bond measure to help bail out MUNI's budget!**

  The Mayor should **start by trimming the 83 additional managers SFMTA has added**, causing severe management bloat. "**Chop From the Top**."

- **Exhibit 4** is another year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 **stratified by Job Classification Code**, which shows that in a single one-year period, 45 additional **senior manager** positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $21 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  Of note, almost half of the 45 additional senior managers were added in a single job classification code — 0932, adding 22 additional Manager 4's. Somewhat shockingly, durng that single year, another 9 Manager 1's in classification code 0922 were added.

  As well, the SFMTA added (or promoted) an additional 10 senior managers across job classifications 9172 Manager 2, 9174 Manager 4, and 9180 Manager 6. This totals 41 or the 45 additional senior managers across a single year.

- **Exhibit 5** shows that between FY 2010–2011 and FY 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, successive annual City budgets hve added fully 8,704 positions to the City payroll, at an increased cost of $2.7 ***billion*** dollars, including annual pay raises, but again excluding fringe benefits.

  That exhibit shows that just six of the City's 56 City Departments — the Department of Public Health, Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, Human Services Agency, General Services Agency – Public Works, and the Recreation and Parks Department — accounted for 7,504 — 86.2% — of the 8,704 additional City employees, at a cost of $1.624 ***billion*** of the total cost of additional Cty employees, including pay raises.

- **Exhibit 6** is another year-over-year comparison of FY 2023–2024 *vs*. 2024–2025 stratified by City Department, which shows that in a single one-year period, 99 additional positions were added to the City budget, at an increased cost of $336.2 **million**, admittedly again including annual pay raises.

  This Exibit is deceptive, in that it shows that during the past one year of a year-over-year change there was an addition of just 99 additional City employees across 54 City Departments.

  That's deceptive precisely because there was an addition of fully 483 City employees at a cost of $185.7 million (including pay raises) across just five City Departments, including Airport Commission (15), Police Department (117), Department of Public Health (79), Elections Department (70), and the Public Utilities Commission (67). Clearly, there was a massive cut of 384 employees in the remaining 49 City Departments to come up with a net increase of just 99 emloyees.

If the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the Mayor's Budget Director Sophia Kittler really want to address the City's $900 million budget deficit, use this information to start **"Chopping From the Top**"!

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Patrick Monette-Shaw
Columnist/Reporter
Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2
The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7
The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9
The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11
Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office
Sophia Kittler, Budget Director to Mayor Daniel Lurie.

Exhibit 1: Senior City Managers — By City Department
FY 2010–2011 to FY 2024–2025

Senior City Managers Within Each City Departments
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

Sort Order: City Department Names

			Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 10-11	FY 24–25	Change		FY 10-11	FY 24–25	Change	
	Code	Department Name	Count	Count	Count	% Change	Amount	Amount	Amount	% Change
1	SCI	Academy Of Sciences			0				$ -	
2	ADP	Adult Probation	1	6	5	500.0%	$ 136,858	$ 1,012,558	$ 875,700	639.9%
3	**AIR**	**Airport Commission**	**88**	**140**	**52**	**59.1%**	**$ 11,906,033**	**$ 26,936,097**	**$ 15,030,064**	**126.2%**
4	ART	Arts Commission	2	7	5	250.0%	$ 283,352	$ 1,008,504	$ 725,152	255.9%
5	AAM	Asian Art Museum	3	4	1	33.3%	$ 404,545	$ 728,238	$ 323,694	80.0%
6	ASR	Assessor-Recorder	8	20	12	150.0%	$ 953,991	$ 3,731,785	$ 2,777,794	291.2%
7	BOA	Board Of Appeals	1	1	0	0.0%	$ 139,518	$ 222,947	$ 83,429	59.8%
8	BOS	Board Of Supervisors	4	7	3	75.0%	$ 509,032	$ 1,366,700	$ 857,667	168.5%
9	CSS	Child Support Services	4	5	1	25.0%	$ 561,641	$ 960,317	$ 398,676	71.0%
10	CHF	Children Youth & Families	4	10	6	150.0%	$ 492,126	$ 1,951,036	$ 1,458,910	296.5%
11	CFC	Childrn & Families Commission	1		(1)	-100.0%	$ 135,197		$ (135,197)	-100.0%
12	CAT	City Attorney's Office	4	8	4	100.0%	$ 461,054	$ 1,668,839	$ 1,207,786	262.0%
13	**CON**	**City Controller's Office**	**21**	**37**	**16**	**76.2%**	**$ 2,974,759**	**$ 7,875,620**	**$ 4,900,861**	**164.7%**
14	CPC	City Planning Department	5	15	10	200.0%	$ 755,370	$ 3,365,770	$ 2,610,400	345.6%
15	CSC	Civil Service Commission	2	2	0	0.0%	$ 239,217	$ 415,264	$ 176,047	73.6%
16	DBI	Dept of Building Inspection	6	16	10	166.7%	$ 911,538	$ 3,115,683	$ 2,204,144	241.8%
17	DEC	Dept of Early Childhood		12	12			$ 2,226,850	$ 2,226,850	
18	REG	Dept of Elections	3	2	(1)	-33.3%	$ 396,728	$ 463,357	$ 66,629	16.8%
19	**DEM**	**Dept of Emergency Management**	**16**	**51**	**35**	**218.8%**	**$ 2,272,947**	**$ 9,736,036**	**$ 7,463,088**	**328.3%**
20	HOM	Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing		34	34			$ 6,844,830	$ 6,844,830	
21	**HRD**	**Dept of Human Resources**	**16**	**33**	**17**	**106.3%**	**$ 2,180,137**	**$ 6,143,857**	**$ 3,963,719**	**181.8%**
22	DPA	Dept of Police Accountablility		4	4			$ 909,766	$ 909,766	
23	**DPH**	**Dept of Public Health**	**98**	**237**	**139**	**141.8%**	**$ 13,212,041**	**$ 46,508,569**	**$ 33,296,527**	**252.0%**
24	WOM	Dept of Status of Women	1	2	1	100.0%	$ 135,720	$ 427,346	$ 291,626	214.9%
25	DAT	District Attorney	5	15	10	200.0%	$ 675,309	$ 3,144,275	$ 2,468,967	365.6%
26	ECN	Economic Workforce Development	19	27	8	42.1%	$ 2,367,914	$ 5,259,728	$ 2,891,814	122.1%
27	ENV	Environment Department	2	4	2	100.0%	$ 268,982	$ 833,696	$ 564,715	209.9%
28	ETH	Ethics Commission	2	4	2	100.0%	$ 253,727	$ 678,181	$ 424,454	167.3%
29	FAM	Fine Arts Museum	4	5	1	25.0%	$ 537,415	$ 966,186	$ 428,771	79.8%
30	FIR	Fire Department	4	6	2	50.0%	$ 484,137	$ 1,215,742	$ 731,605	151.1%
31	ANC	General Services Agency – Animal Care and Control	3		(3)	-100.0%	$ 390,354		$ (390,354)	-100.0%
32	**ADM**	**General Services Agency – City Administrator**	**44**	**90**	**46**	**104.5%**	**$ 6,057,741**	**$ 17,223,376**	**$ 11,165,635**	**184.3%**
33	**DPW**	**General Services Agency – Public Works**	**33**	**62**	**29**	**87.9%**	**$ 4,849,095**	**$ 11,912,982**	**$ 7,063,887**	**145.7%**
34	TIS	General Services Agency – Technology & Info Services	16	22	6	37.5%	$ 2,409,968	$ 4,742,736	$ 2,332,768	96.8%
35	HSS	Health Service System	6	8	2	33.3%	$ 750,463	$ 1,764,088	$ 1,013,625	135.1%
36	HHP	Hetch Hetchy	19		(19)	-100.0%	$ 2,557,492		$ (2,557,492)	-100.0%
37	HRC	Human Rights Commission	2	12	10	500.0%	$ 285,385	$ 1,856,057	$ 1,570,672	550.4%
38	**HSA**	**Human Services Agency**	**59**	**111**	**52**	**88.1%**	**$ 7,728,116**	**$ 20,241,637**	**$ 12,513,521**	**161.9%**
39	JUV	Juvenile Probation	3	7	4	133.3%	$ 369,682	$ 1,028,141	$ 658,459	178.1%
40	LLB	Law Library			0				$ -	
41	MYR	Mayor	2	3	1	50.0%	$ 242,640	$ 722,322	$ 479,682	197.7%
42	**MTA**	**Municipal Transportation Agcy**	**108**	**182**	**74**	**68.5%**	**$ 13,378,391**	**$ 35,521,413**	**$ 22,143,021**	**165.5%**
43	**POL**	**Police Department**	**11**	**31**	**20**	**181.8%**	**$ 1,616,519**	**$ 6,562,089**	**$ 4,945,571**	**305.9%**
44	PDR	Public Defender	2	3	1	50.0%	$ 216,398	$ 600,146	$ 383,748	177.3%
45	LIB	Public Library	9	18	9	100.0%	$ 1,256,235	$ 3,628,092	$ 2,371,856	188.8%
46	**PUC**	**Public Utilities Commission**	**64**	**188**	**124**	**193.8%**	**$ 9,078,845**	**$ 38,514,191**	**$ 29,435,346**	**324.2%**
47	**REC**	**Recreation and Park Commission**	**25**	**51**	**26**	**104.0%**	**$ 3,158,363**	**$ 9,539,270**	**$ 6,380,907**	**202.0%**
48	RNT	Rent Arbitration Board	2	3	1	50.0%	$ 254,229	$ 578,483	$ 324,253	127.5%
49	**RET**	**San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System**	**12**	**45**	**33**	**275.0%**	**$ 1,978,421**	**$ 12,649,265**	**$ 10,670,845**	**539.4%**
50	**PRT**	**San Francisco Port Authority**	**22**	**44**	**22**	**100.0%**	**$ 2,935,293**	**$ 8,367,332**	**$ 5,432,039**	**185.1%**
51	SHF	Sheriff's Office	4	9	5	125.0%	$ 586,971	$ 1,724,943	$ 1,137,972	193.9%
52	SDA	Shrf Dept Ofc Inspctr Genl		1	1			$ 151,735	$ 151,735	
53	TTX	Treasurer/Tax Collector	11	18	7	63.6%	$ 1,463,642	$ 3,719,022	$ 2,255,380	154.1%
54	WAR	War Memorial	3	5	2	66.7%	$ 406,434	$ 857,076	$ 450,642	110.9%
55	CWP	Wastewater Enterprise	5		(5)	-100.0%	$ 785,191		$ (785,191)	-100.0%
56	WTR	Water Department	23		(23)	-100.0%	$ 3,327,083		$ (3,327,083)	-100.0%
		Total:	**812**	**1,627**	**815**	**100.4%**	**$ 109,732,238**	**$ 321,622,169**	**$ 211,889,932**	**193.1%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2011 and July 2025.

Exhibit 2: Senior City Managers — By City Department
Year-Over-Year Change: FY 2023–2024 vs. FY 2024–2025

Senior City Managers Within Each City Department
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

			Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 23–24	FY 24–25	Change		FY 23–24	FY 24–25	Change	
	Code	Department Name	Count	Count	Count	% Change	Amount	Amount	Amount	% Change
1	SCI	Academy Of Sciences							$ -	
2	ADP	Adult Probation	7	6	(1)	-14.3%	$ 932,482	$ 1,012,558	$ 80,076	8.6%
3	**AIR**	**Airport Commission**	**133**	**140**	**7**	**5.3%**	**$ 24,645,879**	**$ 26,936,097**	**$ 2,290,218**	**9.3%**
4	ART	Arts Commission	7	7	0	0.0%	$ 995,881	$ 1,008,504	$ 12,623	1.3%
5	AAM	Asian Art Museum	4	4	0	0.0%	$ 750,172	$ 728,238	$ (21,934)	-2.9%
6	ASR	Assessor-Recorder	18	20	2	11.1%	$ 3,328,850	$ 3,731,785	$ 402,935	12.1%
7	BOA	Board Of Appeals	1	1	0	0.0%	$ 215,377	$ 222,947	$ 7,570	3.5%
8	BOS	Board Of Supervisors	7	7	0	0.0%	$ 1,324,795	$ 1,366,700	$ 41,904	3.2%
9	CSS	Child Support Services	4	5	1	25.0%	$ 798,760	$ 960,317	$ 161,557	20.2%
10	CHF	Children Youth & Families	11	10	(1)	-9.1%	$ 2,104,655	$ 1,951,036	$ (153,619)	-7.3%
11	CFC	Childrn & Families Commission								
12	CAT	City Attorney's Office	7	8	1	14.3%	$ 1,471,079	$ 1,668,839	$ 197,760	13.4%
13	**CON**	**City Controller's Office**	**37**	**37**	**0**	**0.0%**	**$ 7,537,532**	**$ 7,875,620**	**$ 338,087**	**4.5%**
14	CPC	City Planning Department	16	15	(1)	-6.3%	$ 3,207,600	$ 3,365,770	$ 158,171	4.9%
15	CSC	Civil Service Commission	2	2	0	0.0%	$ 386,095	$ 415,264	$ 29,169	7.6%
16	DBI	Dept of Building Inspection	15	16	1	6.7%	$ 2,631,298	$ 3,115,683	$ 484,385	18.4%
17	DEC	Dept of Early Childhood	13	12	(1)		$ 2,004,108	$ 2,226,850	$ 222,742	
18	REG	Dept of Elections	2	2	0	0.0%	$ 453,627	$ 463,357	$ 9,731	2.1%
19	**DEM**	**Dept of Emergency Management**	**51**	**51**	**0**	**0.0%**	**$ 8,738,236**	**$ 9,736,036**	**$ 997,800**	**11.4%**
20	HOM	Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing	36	34	(2)		$ 6,699,975	$ 6,844,830	$ 144,855	
21	**HRD**	**Dept of Human Resources**	**33**	**33**	**0**	**0.0%**	**$ 5,899,831**	**$ 6,143,857**	**$ 244,026**	**4.1%**
22	DPA	Dept of Police Accountablility	4	4	0		$ 876,563	$ 909,766	$ 33,203	
23	**DPH**	**Dept of Public Health**	**236**	**237**	**1**	**0.4%**	**$ 45,427,376**	**$ 46,508,569**	**$ 1,081,193**	**2.4%**
24	WOM	Dept of Status of Women	3	2	(1)	-33.3%	$ 406,705	$ 427,346	$ 20,640	5.1%
25	DAT	District Attorney	15	15	0	0.0%	$ 2,709,504	$ 3,144,275	$ 434,771	16.0%
26	ECN	Economic Workforce Development	28	27	(1)	-3.6%	$ 5,124,586	$ 5,259,728	$ 135,142	2.6%
27	ENV	Environment Department	4	4	0	0.0%	$ 766,132	$ 833,696	$ 67,565	8.8%
28	ETH	Ethics Commission	3	4	1	33.3%	$ 514,137	$ 678,181	$ 164,044	31.9%
29	FAM	Fine Arts Museum	5	5	0	0.0%	$ 932,889	$ 966,186	$ 33,297	3.6%
30	FIR	Fire Department	5	6	1	20.0%	$ 1,093,852	$ 1,215,742	$ 121,890	11.1%
31	ANC	General Services Agency – Animal Care and Control								
32	**ADM**	**General Services Agency – City Administrator**	**91**	**90**	**(1)**	**-1.1%**	**$ 17,682,219**	**$ 17,223,376**	**$ (458,843)**	**-2.6%**
33	**DPW**	**General Services Agency – Public Works**	**62**	**62**	**0**	**0.0%**	**$ 11,955,669**	**$ 11,912,982**	**$ (42,688)**	**-0.4%**
34	TIS	General Services Agency – Technology & Info Services	20	22	2	10.0%	$ 4,695,103	$ 4,742,736	$ 47,633	1.0%
35	HSS	Health Service System	8	8	0	0.0%	$ 1,771,610	$ 1,764,088	$ (7,523)	-0.4%
36	HHP	Hetch Hetchy			0					
37	HRC	Human Rights Commission	9	12	3	33.3%	$ 1,625,658	$ 1,856,057	$ 230,399	14.2%
38	**HSA**	**Human Services Agency**	**110**	**111**	**1**	**0.9%**	**$ 19,904,704**	**$ 20,241,637**	**$ 336,933**	**1.7%**
39	JUV	Juvenile Probation	5	7	2	40.0%	$ 910,446	$ 1,028,141	$ 117,695	12.9%
40	LLB	Law Library							$ -	
41	MYR	Mayor	3	3	0	0.0%	$ 707,618	$ 722,322	$ 14,704	2.1%
42	**MTA**	**Municipal Transportation Agcy**	**175**	**182**	**7**	**4.0%**	**$ 31,855,537**	**$ 35,521,413**	**$ 3,665,876**	**11.5%**
43	**POL**	**Police Department**	**24**	**31**	**7**	**29.2%**	**$ 5,091,283**	**$ 6,562,089**	**$ 1,470,806**	**28.9%**
44	PDR	Public Defender	3	3	0	0.0%	$ 554,418	$ 600,146	$ 45,727	8.2%
45	LIB	Public Library	17	18	1	5.9%	$ 3,281,075	$ 3,628,092	$ 347,016	10.6%
46	**PUC**	**Public Utilities Commission**	**175**	**188**	**13**	**7.4%**	**$ 34,459,653**	**$ 38,514,191**	**$ 4,054,538**	**11.8%**
47	**REC**	**Recreation and Park Commission**	**53**	**51**	**(2)**	**-3.8%**	**$ 9,012,212**	**$ 9,539,270**	**$ 527,059**	**5.8%**
48	RNT	Rent Arbitration Board	3	3	0	0.0%	$ 517,678	$ 578,483	$ 60,804	11.7%
49	**RET**	**San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System**	**46**	**45**	**(1)**	**-2.2%**	**$ 11,748,192**	**$ 12,649,265**	**$ 901,073**	**7.7%**
50	**PRT**	**San Francisco Port Authority**	**38**	**44**	**6**	**15.8%**	**$ 6,526,612**	**$ 8,367,332**	**$ 1,840,720**	**28.2%**
51	SHF	Sheriff's Office	9	9	0	0.0%	$ 1,770,103	$ 1,724,943	$ (45,160)	-2.6%
52	SDA	Shrf Dept Ofc Inspctr Genl	1	1	0		$ 99,539	$ 151,735	$ 52,196	
53	TTX	Treasurer/Tax Collector	19	18	(1)	-5.3%	$ 3,688,267	$ 3,719,022	$ 30,754	0.8%
54	WAR	War Memorial	4	5	1	25.0%	$ 740,886	$ 857,076	$ 116,189	15.7%
55	CWP	Wastewater Enterprise								
56	WTR	Water Department								
		Total:	**1,582**	**1,627**	**45**	**2.8%**	**$ 300,576,459**	**$ 321,622,169**	**$ 21,045,710**	**7.0%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2024 and July 2025.

Exhibit 3: Senior City Managers — By Job Classification Code
FY 2010–2011 to FY 2024–2025

Senior City Managers Across All City Departments
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

	Class	Job Class Title	Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 10-11	FY 24-25	Change		FY 10-11	FY 24-25	Change	
			Count	Count	Count	%Change	Total Pay	Total Pay	Total Pay	%Change
1	0922	Manager I	101	236	135	133.7%	$ 10,804,431	$ 37,076,589	$ 26,272,158	243.2%
2	0923	Manager II	95	285	190	200.0%	$ 10,873,458	$ 47,756,227	$ 36,882,770	339.2%
3	0931	Manager III	126	233	107	84.9%	$ 15,533,242	$ 43,107,479	$ 27,574,237	177.5%
4	0932	Manager IV	93	182	89	95.7%	$ 12,442,850	$ 34,289,863	$ 21,847,013	175.6%
5	0933	Manager V	64	126	62	96.9%	$ 9,354,886	$ 26,344,866	$ 16,989,980	181.6%
6	0941	Manager VI	61	81	20	32.8%	$ 9,519,912	$ 17,035,110	$ 7,515,198	78.9%
7	0942	Manager VII	24	34	10	41.7%	$ 3,981,615	$ 8,729,222	$ 4,747,607	119.2%
8	0943	Manager VIII	17	26	9	52.9%	$ 3,220,065	$ 7,049,707	$ 3,829,642	118.9%
9	0951	Deputy Director I	4	12	8	200.0%	$ 434,396	$ 2,001,493	$ 1,567,097	360.8%
10	0952	Deputy Director II	20	25	5	25.0%	$ 2,538,643	$ 4,841,304	$ 2,302,661	90.7%
11	0953	Deputy Director III	24	78	54	225.0%	$ 3,800,620	$ 18,799,205	$ 14,998,585	394.6%
12	0954	Deputy Director IV	19	30	11	57.9%	$ 3,394,373	$ 8,343,011	$ 4,948,638	145.8%
13	0955	Deputy Director V	14	19	5	35.7%	$ 2,685,347	$ 5,581,407	$ 2,896,060	107.8%
14	0961	Department Head I	11	12	1	9.1%	$ 1,496,898	$ 2,584,105	$ 1,087,207	72.6%
15	0962	Department Head II	7	8	1	14.3%	$ 1,127,790	$ 2,044,097	$ 916,307	81.2%
16	0963	Department Head III	8	10	2	25.0%	$ 1,480,644	$ 2,543,890	$ 1,063,246	71.8%
17	0964	Department Head IV	5	8	3	60.0%	$ 1,041,745	$ 2,327,762	$ 1,286,017	123.4%
18	0965	Department Head V	7	4	(3)	-42.9%	$ 1,670,892	$ 1,080,819	$ (590,072)	-35.3%
19	0971	Transitional Department Head		4	4			$ 1,073,348	$ 1,073,348	
20	1114	Senior Portfolio Manager		2	2			$ 529,733	$ 529,733	
21	1115	Director		7	7			$ 3,212,697	$ 3,212,697	
22	1116	Managing Director		4	4			$ 2,291,583	$ 2,291,583	
23	1117	Deputy Director for Investments, Retirement System	1	1	0		$ 291,184	$ 454,860	$ 163,676	56.2%
24	1119	Chf Executive & Investment Ofc		1	1			$ 717,404	$ 717,404	
25	1165	Manager, Dept Public Health		10	10			$ 3,089,743	$ 3,089,743	
26	1166	Administrator, DPH		4	4			$ 1,716,072	$ 1,716,072	
27	1167	Physician Administrator, DPH		2	2			$ 1,016,459	$ 1,016,459	
28	9172	Manager II, Municipal Transportation Age	9	48	39	433.3%	$ 997,880	$ 6,840,448	$ 5,842,569	585.5%
29	9174	Manager IV, Municipal Transportation Age	20	43	23	115.0%	$ 2,313,283	$ 8,011,653	$ 5,698,369	246.3%
30	9175	Manager I, Municipal Transportation Agen	9		(9)	-100.0%	$ 650,646		$ (650,646)	-100.0%
31	9177	Manager III, Municipal Transportation Ag	10	18	8	80.0%	$ 1,027,571	$ 3,039,490	$ 2,011,919	195.8%
32	9179	Manager V, Municipal Transportation Agen	16	22	6	37.5%	$ 1,937,549	$ 4,428,902	$ 2,491,353	128.6%
33	9180	Manager VI, Municipal Transportation Age	18	18	0		$ 2,033,656	$ 4,232,908	$ 2,199,252	108.1%
34	9181	Manager VII, Municipal Transportation Ag	5	5	0		$ 811,646	$ 1,258,492	$ 446,846	55.1%
35	9182	Manager VIII, Municipal Transportation Ag	10	11	1	10.0%	$ 1,408,029	$ 2,689,674	$ 1,281,645	91.0%
36	9183	Deputy Director I, Municipal Transportat	6	11	5	83.3%	$ 960,329	$ 3,073,780	$ 2,113,451	220.1%
37	9186	General Manager, Public Transportation D	1	2	1	100.0%	$ 310,026	$ 648,879	$ 338,853	109.3%
38	9187	Deputy Director II Municipal Transportat	5	4	(1)	-20.0%	$ 1,053,152	$ 1,297,186	$ 244,035	23.2%
38	9989	Executive Contract Employee with FBP (PUC)	2	1	(1)	-50.0%	$ 528,996	$ 462,703	$ (66,293)	-12.5%
		Senior Managers Total:	**812**	**1,627**	**815**	**100.4%**	**$ 109,725,751**	**$321,622,169**	**$211,896,418**	**193.1%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2011 and July 2025.

Exhibit 4: Senior City Managers — By Job Classification Code
Year-Over-Year Change: FY 2023–2024 vs. FY 2024–2025

Senior City Managers Across All City Departments
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

	Class	Job Class Title	Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Change		FY 23–24	FY 24-25	Change	
			Count	Count	Count	%Change	Total Pay	Total Pay	Total Pay	%Change
1	0922	Manager I	227	236	9	4.0%	$ 34,415,803	$ 37,076,589	$ 2,660,786	7.7%
2	0923	Manager II	289	285	-4	-1.4%	$ 45,782,625	$ 47,756,227	$ 1,973,603	4.3%
3	0931	Manager III	231	233	2	0.9%	$ 41,140,357	$ 43,107,479	$ 1,967,121	4.8%
4	0932	Manager IV	160	182	22	13.8%	$ 29,883,911	$ 34,289,863	$ 4,405,952	14.7%
5	0933	Manager V	123	126	3	2.4%	$ 24,397,367	$ 26,344,866	$ 1,947,499	8.0%
6	0941	Manager VI	83	81	-2	-2.4%	$ 16,868,747	$ 17,035,110	$ 166,363	1.0%
7	0942	Manager VII	36	34	-2	-5.6%	$ 8,412,874	$ 8,729,222	$ 316,348	3.8%
8	0943	Manager VIII	23	26	3	13.0%	$ 6,439,109	$ 7,049,707	$ 610,598	9.5%
9	0951	Deputy Director I	11	12	1	9.1%	$ 1,692,307	$ 2,001,493	$ 309,186	18.3%
10	0952	Deputy Director II	26	25	-1	-3.8%	$ 4,529,354	$ 4,841,304	$ 311,950	6.9%
11	0953	Deputy Director III	77	78	1	1.3%	$ 17,739,632	$ 18,799,205	$ 1,059,573	6.0%
12	0954	Deputy Director IV	31	30	-1	-3.2%	$ 7,824,431	$ 8,343,011	$ 518,580	6.6%
13	0955	Deputy Director V	20	19	-1	-5.0%	$ 5,914,445	$ 5,581,407	$ (333,038)	-5.6%
14	0961	Department Head I	12	12	0		$ 2,411,071	$ 2,584,105	$ 173,034	7.2%
15	0962	Department Head II	8	8	0		$ 2,138,096	$ 2,044,097	$ (93,999)	-4.4%
16	0963	Department Head III	9	10	1	11.1%	$ 2,534,352	$ 2,543,890	$ 9,538	0.4%
17	0964	Department Head IV	7	8	1	14.3%	$ 2,272,127	$ 2,327,762	$ 55,635	2.4%
18	0965	Department Head V	3	4	1	33.3%	$ 1,190,062	$ 1,080,819	$ (109,242)	-9.2%
19	0971	Transitional Department Head	2	4	2	100.0%	$ 639,099	$ 1,073,348	$ 434,249	67.9%
20	1114	Senior Portfolio Manager	1	2	1	100.0%	$ 347,603	$ 529,733	$ 182,130	52.4%
21	1115	Director	7	7	0		$ 3,140,041	$ 3,212,697	$ 72,656	2.3%
22	1116	Managing Director	4	4	0		$ 2,258,698	$ 2,291,583	$ 32,885	1.5%
23	1117	Deputy Director for Investments, Retirement System	1	1	0		$ 424,446	$ 454,860	$ 30,414	7.2%
24	1119	Chf Executive & Investment Ofc	1	1	0		$ 692,114	$ 717,404	$ 25,289	3.7%
25	1165	Manager, Dept Public Health	8	10	2	25.0%	$ 2,460,305	$ 3,089,743	$ 629,438	25.6%
26	1166	Administrator, DPH	4	4	0		$ 1,657,798	$ 1,716,072	$ 58,274	3.5%
27	1167	Physician Administrator, DPH	2	2	0		$ 1,075,562	$ 1,016,459	$ (59,103)	-5.5%
28	9172	Manager II, Municipal Transportation Age	44	48	4	9.1%	$ 6,302,967	$ 6,840,448	$ 537,481	8.5%
29	9174	Manager IV, Municipal Transportation Age	41	43	2	4.9%	$ 7,451,979	$ 8,011,653	$ 559,674	7.5%
30	9175	Manager I, Municipal Transportation Agen								
31	9177	Manager III, Municipal Transportation Ag	19	18	-1	-5.3%	$ 2,813,383	$ 3,039,490	$ 226,107	8.0%
32	9179	Manager V, Municipal Transportation Agen	25	22	-3	-12.0%	$ 4,184,835	$ 4,428,902	$ 244,067	5.8%
33	9180	Manager VI, Municipal Transportation Age	14	18	4	28.6%	$ 3,065,006	$ 4,232,908	$ 1,167,902	38.1%
34	9181	Manager VII, Municipal Transportation Ag	4	5	1	25.0%	$ 983,412	$ 1,258,492	$ 275,080	28.0%
35	9182	Manager VIII, Municipal Transportation Ag	13	11	-2	-15.4%	$ 2,980,000	$ 2,689,674	$ (290,326)	-9.7%
36	9183	Deputy Director I, Municipal Transportat	10	11	1	10.0%	$ 2,592,245	$ 3,073,780	$ 481,535	18.6%
37	9186	General Manager, Public Transportation D	1	2	1	100.0%	$ 401,804	$ 648,879	$ 247,075	61.5%
38	9187	Deputy Director II Municipal Transportat	4	4	0	0.0%	$ 1,079,907	$ 1,297,186	$ 217,280	20.1%
38	9989	Executive Contract Employee with FBP (PUC)	1	1	0	0.0%	$ 438,586	$ 462,703	$ 24,117	5.5%
		Senior Managers Total:	**1,582**	**1,627**	**45**	**2.8%**	**$ 300,576,459**	**$321,622,169**	**$ 21,045,710**	**7.0%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2024 and July 2025.

Exhibit 5: All City Employees — By City Department
FY 2010–2011 to FY 2024–2025

Individual City Departments
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

			Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 10-11	FY 24–25	Change		FY 10-11	FY 24–25	Change	
	Code	Department Name	Count	Count	Count	% Change	Amount	Amount	Amount	% Change
1	SCI	Academy Of Sciences	12	13	1	8.3%	$ 1,023,947	$ 1,620,938	$ 596,992	58.3%
2	ADP	Adult Probation	125	146	21	16.8%	$ 7,824,503	$ 17,994,091	$ 10,169,588	130.0%
3	AIR	Airport Commission	1,611	1,978	367	22.8%	$ 106,079,989	$ 225,002,441	$ 118,922,452	112.1%
4	ART	Arts Commission	38	56	18	47.4%	$ 2,360,675	$ 5,148,482	$ 2,787,808	118.1%
5	AAM	Asian Art Museum	72	75	3	4.2%	$ 3,235,842	$ 5,563,347	$ 2,327,505	71.9%
6	ASR	Assessor-Recorder	158	190	32	20.3%	$ 9,649,031	$ 22,313,433	$ 12,664,402	131.3%
7	BOA	Board Of Appeals	10	9	(1)	-10.0%	$ 362,128	$ 436,253	$ 74,125	20.5%
8	BOS	Board Of Supervisors	103	141	38	36.9%	$ 5,433,189	$ 12,907,737	$ 7,474,548	137.6%
9	DBI	Dept of Building Inspection	223	320	97	43.5%	$ 19,597,467	$ 42,612,261	$ 23,014,794	117.4%
10	CSS	Child Support Services	112	61	(51)	-45.5%	$ 7,317,817	$ 7,498,761	$ 180,944	2.5%
11	CFC	Childrn & Families Commission	18		(18)	-100.0%	$ 1,086,577		$ (1,086,577)	-100.0%
12	CHF	Children Youth & Families	56	73	17	30.4%	$ 2,315,007	$ 8,772,320	$ 6,457,313	278.9%
13	CAT	City Attorney	308	369	61	19.8%	$ 38,681,450	$ 67,883,831	$ 29,202,380	75.5%
14	CPC	City Planning	159	205	46	28.9%	$ 12,030,873	$ 26,673,580	$ 14,642,706	121.7%
15	CSC	Civil Service Commission	11	13	2	18.2%	$ 523,759	$ 1,038,861	$ 515,102	98.3%
16	CON	Controller	218	353	135	61.9%	$ 17,677,173	$ 50,661,631	$ 32,984,458	186.6%
17	DEC	Dept of Early Childhood		59	59			$ 7,317,506	$ 7,317,506	
18	DPA	Dept of Police Accountablility		41	41			$ 6,297,675	$ 6,297,675	
19	DEM	Dept of Emergency Management	271	376	105	38.7%	$ 22,593,860	$ 55,706,811	$ 33,112,950	146.6%
20	WOM	Dept of Status of Women	12	18	6	50.0%	$ 377,405	$ 1,145,813	$ 768,408	203.6%
21	DAT	District Attorney	268	360	92	34.3%	$ 27,998,659	$ 56,102,200	$ 28,103,541	100.4%
22	ECN	Economic Workforce Development	75	152	77	102.7%	$ 5,929,466	$ 20,887,487	$ 14,958,021	252.3%
23	REG	Elections	231	438	207	89.6%	$ 3,709,204	$ 6,747,749	$ 3,038,545	81.9%
24	ENV	Environment	133	119	(14)	-10.5%	$ 5,990,117	$ 11,467,665	$ 5,477,548	91.4%
25	ETH	Ethics Commission	17	31	14	82.4%	$ 1,231,429	$ 3,446,552	$ 2,215,123	179.9%
26	FAM	Fine Arts Museum	297	206	(91)	-30.6%	$ 7,774,286	$ 12,781,943	$ 5,007,657	64.4%
27	FIR	Fire Department	1,539	2,061	522	33.9%	$ 213,044,501	$ 387,688,823	$ 174,644,322	82.0%
28	ANC	General Services Agency – Animal Care and Control	43		(43)	-100.0%	$ 2,618,420		$ (2,618,420)	-100.0%
29	ADM	General Services Agency – City Administrator	820	1,155	335	40.9%	$ 46,626,120	$ 124,162,634	$ 77,536,514	166.3%
30	DPW	General Services Agency – Public Works	1,247	1,681	434	34.8%	$ 81,537,621	$ 194,908,655	$ 113,371,034	139.0%
31	TIS	General Services Agency – Technology & Info Services	262	316	54	20.6%	$ 21,251,944	$ 46,780,708	$ 25,528,764	120.1%
32	HSS	Health Service System	42	53	11	26.2%	$ 2,785,475	$ 6,853,221	$ 4,067,746	146.0%
33	HHP	Hetch Hetchy	362		(362)	-100.0%	$ 25,487,869		$ (25,487,869)	-100.0%
34	HOM	Homelessness Services		254	254			$ 31,872,728	$ 31,872,728	
35	HRD	Human Resources	218	324	106	48.6%	$ 11,035,083	$ 33,451,859	$ 22,416,776	203.1%
36	HRC	Human Rights Commission	54	66	12	22.2%	$ 2,739,358	$ 6,627,125	$ 3,887,767	141.9%
37	HSA	Human Services Agency	2,501	3,019	518	20.7%	$ 118,550,852	$ 268,371,287	$ 149,820,435	126.4%
38	JUV	Juvenile Probation	362	221	(141)	-39.0%	$ 17,400,045	$ 22,641,853	$ 5,241,808	30.1%
39	LLB	Law Library	2	2	0	0.0%	$ 244,922	$ 423,223	$ 178,301	72.8%
40	MYR	Mayor	100	188	88	88.0%	$ 7,608,509	$ 23,300,496	$ 15,691,987	206.2%
41	MTA	Municipal Transportation Agcy	5,160	6,465	1,305	25.3%	$ 373,083,187	$ 710,989,661	$ 337,906,474	90.6%
42	POL	Police	2,798	3,022	224	8.0%	$ 323,471,426	$ 588,896,675	$ 265,425,249	82.1%
43	PRT	Port	260	304	44	16.9%	$ 20,081,543	$ 39,646,144	$ 19,564,601	97.4%
44	PDR	Public Defender	182	253	71	39.0%	$ 17,707,857	$ 39,430,192	$ 21,722,335	122.7%
45	DPH	Public Health	7,036	10,048	3,012	42.8%	$ 523,166,163	$ 1,213,046,933	$ 689,880,770	131.9%
46	LIB	Public Library	907	996	89	9.8%	$ 38,429,590	$ 79,203,217	$ 40,773,626	106.1%
47	PUC	Public Utilities Commission	840	2,692	1,852	220.5%	$ 63,081,991	$ 357,454,276	$ 294,372,285	466.7%
48	REC	Recreation And Park Commission	1,848	2,231	383	20.7%	$ 53,028,883	$ 110,360,472	$ 57,331,589	108.1%
49	RNT	Rent Arbitration Board	39	59	20	51.3%	$ 2,835,390	$ 6,325,085	$ 3,489,696	123.1%
50	RET	Retirement System	96	132	36	37.5%	$ 7,732,936	$ 22,049,521	$ 14,316,585	185.1%
51	SHF	Sheriff	1,089	1,032	(57)	-5.2%	$ 99,270,925	$ 184,566,170	$ 85,295,246	85.9%
52	SDA	Shrf Dept Ofc Inspctr Genl		10	10			$ 279,267	$ 279,267	
53	TTX	Treasurer/Tax Collector	219	194	(25)	-11.4%	$ 13,918,614	$ 23,751,028	$ 9,832,414	70.6%
54	WAR	War Memorial	95	103	8	8.4%	$ 4,570,416	$ 7,904,889	$ 3,334,473	73.0%
55	CWP	Wastewater Enterprise	531		(531)	-100.0%	$ 40,869,912		$ (40,869,912)	-100.0%
56	WTR	Water Department	789		(789)	-100.0%	$ 58,468,269		$ (58,468,269)	-100.0%
		Total:	**33,979**	**42,683**	**8,704**	**25.6%**	**$ 2,501,451,674**	**$ 5,209,015,511**	**$ 2,707,563,836**	**108.2%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2011 and July 2025.

Exhibit 6: All City Employees — By City Department
Year-Over-Year Change: FY 2023–2024 vs. FY 2024–2025

Individual City Departments
(Excluding San Francisco Superior Court Employees)

			Number of Employees				Total Pay			
			FY 23–24	FY 24–25	Change		FY 23–24	FY 24–25	Change	
	Code	Department Name	Count	Count	Count	% Change	Amount	Amount	Amount	% Change
1	SCI	Academy Of Sciences	13	13	0		$ 1,502,667	$ 1,620,938	$ 118,271	7.9%
2	ADP	Adult Probation	144	146	2	1.4%	$ 17,032,250	$ 17,994,091	$ 961,841	5.6%
3	AIR	Airport Commission	1,828	1,978	150	8.2%	$ 199,882,774	$ 225,002,441	$ 25,119,667	12.6%
4	ART	Arts Commission	56	56	0		$ 4,799,308	$ 5,148,482	$ 349,174	7.3%
5	AAM	Asian Art Museum	72	75	3	4.2%	$ 5,430,288	$ 5,563,347	$ 133,059	2.5%
6	ASR	Assessor-Recorder	184	190	6	3.3%	$ 21,418,644	$ 22,313,433	$ 894,788	4.2%
7	BOA	Board Of Appeals	9	9	0		$ 469,021	$ 436,253	$ (32,769)	-7.0%
8	BOS	Board Of Supervisors	131	141	10	7.6%	$ 12,768,785	$ 12,907,737	$ 138,951	1.1%
9	DBI	Dept of Building Inspection	313	320	7	2.2%	$ 40,080,106	$ 42,612,261	$ 2,532,155	6.3%
10	CSS	Child Support Services	62	61	(1)	-1.6%	$ 7,552,951	$ 7,498,761	$ (54,190)	-0.7%
11	CFC	Childrn & Families Commission								
12	CHF	Children Youth & Families	76	73	(3)	-3.9%	$ 8,267,998	$ 8,772,320	$ 504,322	6.1%
13	CAT	City Attorney	368	369	1	0.3%	$ 65,144,881	$ 67,883,831	$ 2,738,949	4.2%
14	CPC	City Planning	236	205	(31)	-13.1%	$ 27,320,005	$ 26,673,580	$ (646,425)	-2.4%
15	CSC	Civil Service Commission	12	13	1	8.3%	$ 797,775	$ 1,038,861	$ 241,086	30.2%
16	CON	Controller	354	353	(1)	-0.3%	$ 47,855,187	$ 50,661,631	$ 2,806,444	5.9%
17	DEC	Dept of Early Childhood	50	59	9	18.0%	$ 5,826,347	$ 7,317,506	$ 1,491,159	25.6%
18	DPA	Dept of Police Accountablility	43	41	(2)	-4.7%	$ 6,343,345	$ 6,297,675	$ (45,671)	-0.7%
19	DEM	Dept of Emergency Management	357	376	19	5.3%	$ 50,375,044	$ 55,706,811	$ 5,331,767	10.6%
20	WOM	Dept of Status of Women	24	18	(6)	-25.0%	$ 1,415,951	$ 1,145,813	$ (270,137)	-19.1%
21	DAT	District Attorney	364	360	(4)	-1.1%	$ 54,398,079	$ 56,102,200	$ 1,704,121	3.1%
22	ECN	Economic Workforce Development	158	152	(6)	-3.8%	$ 20,334,692	$ 20,887,487	$ 552,795	2.7%
23	REG	Elections	368	438	70	19.0%	$ 6,157,798	$ 6,747,749	$ 589,951	9.6%
24	ENV	Environment	110	119	9	8.2%	$ 9,767,497	$ 11,467,665	$ 1,700,168	17.4%
25	ETH	Ethics Commission	25	31	6	24.0%	$ 3,388,547	$ 3,446,552	$ 58,005	1.7%
26	FAM	Fine Arts Museum	203	206	3	1.5%	$ 12,316,396	$ 12,781,943	$ 465,547	3.8%
27	FIR	Fire Department	2,056	2,061	5	0.2%	$ 372,130,531	$ 387,688,823	$ 15,558,292	4.2%
28	ANC	General Services Agency – Animal Care and Control								
29	ADM	General Services Agency – City Administrator	1,161	1,155	(6)	-0.5%	$ 118,638,228	$ 124,162,634	$ 5,524,406	4.7%
30	DPW	General Services Agency – Public Works	1,684	1,681	(3)	-0.2%	$ 176,702,350	$ 194,908,655	$ 18,206,305	10.3%
31	TIS	General Services Agency – Technology & Info Services	325	316	(9)	-2.8%	$ 44,023,736	$ 46,780,708	$ 2,756,973	6.3%
32	HSS	Health Service System	53	53	0		$ 6,534,596	$ 6,853,221	$ 318,626	4.9%
33	HHP	Hetch Hetchy								
34	HOM	Homelessness Services	247	254	7	2.8%	$ 28,968,100	$ 31,872,728	$ 2,904,628	10.0%
35	HRD	Human Resources	325	324	(1)	-0.3%	$ 32,070,428	$ 33,451,859	$ 1,381,431	4.3%
36	HRC	Human Rights Commission	58	66	8	13.8%	$ 5,868,335	$ 6,627,125	$ 758,790	12.9%
37	HSA	Human Services Agency	3,071	3,019	(52)	-1.7%	$ 250,379,801	$ 268,371,287	$ 17,991,486	7.2%
38	JUV	Juvenile Probation	212	221	9	4.2%	$ 20,988,957	$ 22,641,853	$ 1,652,897	7.9%
39	LLB	Law Library	2	2	0		$ 378,694	$ 423,223	$ 44,529	11.8%
40	MYR	Mayor	181	188	7	3.9%	$ 23,337,662	$ 23,300,496	$ (37,166)	-0.2%
41	MTA	Municipal Transportation Agcy	6,645	6,465	(180)	-2.7%	$ 679,625,440	$ 710,989,661	$ 31,364,221	4.6%
42	POL	Police	2,905	3,022	117	4.0%	$ 557,168,959	$ 588,896,675	$ 31,727,716	5.7%
43	PRT	Port	292	304	12	4.1%	$ 35,784,226	$ 39,646,144	$ 3,861,918	10.8%
44	PDR	Public Defender	246	253	7	2.8%	$ 36,425,065	$ 39,430,192	$ 3,005,127	8.3%
45	DPH	Public Health	9,969	10,048	79	0.8%	$ 1,115,059,863	$ 1,213,046,933	$ 97,987,071	8.8%
46	LIB	Public Library	995	996	1	0.1%	$ 74,353,822	$ 79,203,217	$ 4,849,394	6.5%
47	PUC	Public Utilities Commission	2,625	2,692	67	2.6%	$ 327,148,454	$ 357,454,276	$ 30,305,822	9.3%
48	REC	Recreation And Park Commission	2,399	2,231	(168)	-7.0%	$ 106,285,205	$ 110,360,472	$ 4,075,266	3.8%
49	RNT	Rent Arbitration Board	62	59	(3)	-4.8%	$ 5,984,244	$ 6,325,085	$ 340,841	5.7%
50	RET	Retirement System	124	132	8	6.5%	$ 19,757,384	$ 22,049,521	$ 2,292,137	11.6%
51	SHF	Sheriff	1,080	1,032	(48)	-4.4%	$ 173,742,520	$ 184,566,170	$ 10,823,650	6.2%
52	SDA	Shrf Dept Ofc Inspctr Genl	9	10	1	11.1%	$ 223,124	$ 279,267	$ 56,143	25.2%
53	TTX	Treasurer/Tax Collector	199	194	(5)	-2.5%	$ 23,025,237	$ 23,751,028	$ 725,790	3.2%
54	WAR	War Memorial	99	103	4	4.0%	$ 7,588,318	$ 7,904,889	$ 316,571	4.2%
55	CWP	Wastewater Enterprise								
56	WTR	Water Department								
		Total:	**42,584**	**42,683**	**99**	**0.2%**	**$ 4,872,839,618**	**$ 5,209,015,511**	**$ 336,175,893**	**6.9%**

Source: San Francisco City Controller, analysis of "*Annual Payroll Database*" received under *Public Records Requests* in July 2011 and July 2025.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street…
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:40:32 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 231016:**

Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dave Getzler <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street…

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Dave Getzler
dgetzler@yahoo.com
3010 Octavia Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Crayton, Monique (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: SFWPC Statement on the Treatment of Women Inmates in San Francisco County Jail
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:42:44 AM
Attachments:	SFWPC Position Statement on the Treatment of Women and Gender-Diverse People in San Francisco County Jail.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding **File No. 251197:**

Hearing to discuss the conditions for women in custody at local jails, including personal safety, programming, services and resources available; examine the oversight of hiring and assignment process for jail staffing, complaint process for women in custody, and disciplinary policies and procedures for Sheriff's deputies who commit misconduct; and requesting the Sheriff's Department, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Human Rights Commission, Mayor's Office of Victim's Rights, Department of Police Accountability, and Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention to report.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kudrat Kontilis-Chaudhary <kudrat@sfwpc.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:42 PM

To: Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>
Cc: WongStaff (BOS) <WongStaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFWPC Statement on the Treatment of Women Inmates in San Francisco County Jail

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee,

On behalf of the San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC), I am submitting the attached statement as written public comment for the record following today's Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee hearing regarding reports that incarcerated women in San Francisco County Jail were subjected to mass strip searches while being filmed on body-worn cameras.

As an organization committed to advancing gender equity, safety, and dignity for women across San Francisco, SFWPC believes these reports raise serious concerns about the treatment of women in custody and the accountability mechanisms governing our public safety system.

Please include the attached statement in the public record of the hearing and share it with members of the full Board of Supervisors as this issue moves forward.

Sincerely,
Kudrat D. Kontilis
Policy Co-Chair
San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC)

SFWPC Statement on the Treatment of Women and Gender-Diverse People in San Francisco County Jail

March 12, 2026

Public Safety & Neighbourhood Services Committee
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl, #244,
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Statement on the Treatment of Women and Gender-Diverse People in San Francisco County Jail

Dear Supervisor Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC) strongly condemns reports that nearly two dozen incarcerated women in San Francisco County Jail were subjected to mass strip searches in May 2025, during which Sheriff's deputies required women to disrobe while being filmed on body-worn cameras.

This conduct violates basic human dignity. San Francisco must make clear that practices that degrade, humiliate, or retraumatize individuals in custody have no place in our public safety system.

Many of the women held in San Francisco County Jail are survivors of violence, and the majority are in pretrial detention and have not been convicted of a crime. Non-individualized strip searches and the recording of individuals in states of forced nudity compound trauma, worsen mental health outcomes, and erode public trust in the institutions meant to keep our communities safe.

San Francisco's commitment to gender equity and human dignity must extend to every person in this city's custody. With a hearing before the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Public Safety Committee, SFWPC urges the Board of Supervisors to adopt the following community demands:

- Suspension and removal of deputies and supervisors involved in the reported abuses

- A fully independent, community-informed investigation with transparent reporting of findings
- Protections against retaliation and harassment toward individuals in custody who report abuse
- Policies limiting strip searches strictly to circumstances involving individualized reasonable suspicion
- Immediate securing and preservation of body-camera footage related to the reported incidents for investigation purposes, barring access by involved personnel, with footage deletion upon completion of all investigations and litigation
- Access to independent, community-based counseling and support services for impacted individuals

These immediate steps must also be part of a broader reckoning with how San Francisco funds and oversees public safety. Full funding of the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board and the hiring of an Independent Inspector General are foundations for meaningful accountability and future Sheriff's Office funding should reflect whether those standards are being met.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SFWPC Board of Directors

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: LAZ Parking complaints
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:44:11 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 260020:**

Resolution approving the second contract amendment with LAZ Parking California, LLC, and the City and County of San Francisco, by and through the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, for the management of two off-street parking facilities under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco, located at Triangle Lot and Seawall 321, for an increased amount not to exceed $9,000,000 for a total contract amount not to exceed $189,000,000 effective on March 1, 2026, with no changes to the term of February 1, 2023, through January 31, 2032.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Saad Mirza <saadzmirza@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>

Subject: LAZ Parking complaints

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am aware that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and SFMTA Board of Directors have contracted with LAZ Parking to administer garages for the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA).

I write about my negative experiences as a monthly customer of LAZ Parking at the Yerba Buena SFMTA Garage.

I currently rent one monthly parking spot, but own two vehicles that I swap in and out of that parking spot. There was a misunderstanding surrounded vehicle swapping. Their ticketing system allows for a 10-minute grace period. Beyond that 10-minute grace period, I have never stored 2 vehicles in the garage while only paying for 1. As a result of this misunderstanding, the Yerba Buena Garage has attempted to bill me for either an additional month of parking at $350, or for a lost ticket fee of about $500 at the time of this writing.

The Operations Manager Tiffany Adams has shown a lack of flexibility and care to the San Francisco residents using the public garages. Senior Supervisor Henok Teshome and other employees have shown open and flagrant verbal abuse and disrespect towards San Francisco residents and customers of the SFMTA garage.

As a result, I recommend that SFMTA and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and SFMTA Board investigate poor customer experiences with LAZ Parking, and consider terminating the contract with LAZ Parking to enable San Francisco residents to use publicly owned property in a fair and accommodating way.

Thank you,

Saad Mirza
7167909229

item 30

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Carroll, John (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Support for Sidewalk Flower Stand Legislation (File No. 260133) – San Francisco Flower Market
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 12:20:05 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 260133:**

Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to enhance and modify the sidewalk flower stand permit program by, among other things, 1) updating and clarifying the applicable fees, 2) authorizing Public Works to consult with the Office of Small Business to identify and evaluate eligible permit applicants, 3) updating permit application requirements, 4) supplementing permit conditions and restrictions, 5) establishing minimum operating hours of 35 hours per week, 6) requiring permittees to be present at sidewalk flower stands for at least 50% of the applicable hours of operation and to dedicate a minimum of 75% of the sidewalk flower stand for the sale or storage of certain eligible flowers and plants, 7) prohibiting the leasing or sub-leasing of sidewalk flower stands, and 8) prohibiting the sale, assignment, inheritance, or transfer of flower stand permits; amending the Police Code to authorize Public Works to impose, assess, and collect administrative penalties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jeanne Boes <jeanne@sfflowermarket.org>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 10:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Sidewalk Flower Stand Legislation (File No. 260133) – San Francisco Flower Market

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Flowers make people happier. Science says so, and so does 114 years of history right here in San Francisco.

I am Jeanne Taggart Boes, Executive Director of the San Francisco Flower Market (SFFM) — the largest wholesale flower market in Northern California, one of the last remaining wholesale flower markets in the United States, and a proud San Francisco Legacy Business. I am writing in enthusiastic support of the Sidewalk Flower Stand Permit legislation (File No. 260133).

This city deserves this. San Francisco once had 120 street flower vendors. Today, only a handful remain, the victim of a permit system frozen in place since the 1920s. This legislation fixes that, and we couldn't be more excited.

SFFM has been woven into the fabric of this city since 1912, founded by Italian-American, Japanese-American, and Chinese-American flower growers who rebuilt their community after the 1906 earthquake. We have survived two World Wars, a pandemic, and every twist San Francisco has thrown at us. We just completed a major investment in our future, moving to a new facility at 901 16th Street in Potrero Hill — because we are here to stay. Today we serve over 4,500 registered small businesses and provide employment for over 200 blue-collar workers, many from underrepresented communities in our city.

Every new flower stand is a new small business, and a new customer for SFFM's vendors and the California growers behind them. California produces 76% of all domestically grown cut flowers, and the national floral industry supports over 82,000 jobs, $38.2 billion in sales, and $12.5 billion in wages annually, with California home to 35% of the entire U.S. floral workforce. These are hands-on, blue-collar jobs — growers, harvesters, truck drivers, wholesale buyers, skilled florists — the kind of irreplaceable human work that no AI will ever automate away. Every stand we add to San Francisco's streets strengthens that entire chain.

And Here's Something Worth Knowing

Flowers are good for us — not just aesthetically, but scientifically. Research from Rutgers University found that flowers trigger immediate happiness, heighten life satisfaction, and strengthen social bonds. Studies from Harvard, Texas A&M, and the American Society for Horticultural Science show that exposure to flowers reduces stress hormones, lowers anxiety, boosts creativity, and improves mood. In a city navigating housing issues, mental health challenges, and post-pandemic recovery,

putting more flowers on our streets isn't just charming — it's a genuine public health good.

San Francisco has always been a city that embraces beauty, community, and bold ideas. This legislation is all three. We urge the full Board to support it wholeheartedly, and we at SFFM stand ready to welcome the next generation of San Francisco florists through our doors.

With great enthusiasm and respect,

Jeanne Taggart Boes
Executive Director
SF Flower Market Inc.
901 16th Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
415.392.7944 x 5
415.637.8817 direct

www.sfflowermarket.org
http://www.facebook.com/SFFlowerMart
https://twitter.com/sfflowermart

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This email is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this email or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please call the SF Flower Market, Inc. at 415.392.7944 and destroy the original message and all copies.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Carroll, John (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	2 Letters Regarding File No. 260177
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:49:29 AM
Attachments:	2 Letters Regarding File No. 260177.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding **File No. 260177:**

Ordinance amending the Environment Code to update the City's climate action goals and planning process, and to update City Departments' roles and responsibilities regarding the City's climate action goals; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tenderloin Tree Campaign 2004
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Response to Climate Action Plan Update file 260177
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 2:28:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The San Francisco Climate Action Plan (CAP) was originally released in 2021, setting ambitious targets to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 61% below 1990 levels by 2030 and achieve net-zero emissions by 2040. Since then, the city has made progress, including reducing emissions by 48% from 1990 levels by 2020 and achieving 100% renewable electricity supply by 2023. An update to the plan—referred to as the 2025 CAP Update—is in the works to guide actions through 2030, building on the 2021 version with a streamlined approach, enhanced transparency, and greater emphasis on racial and social equity, funding mechanisms, governance, and innovation. The update process incorporates public feedback and equity assessments, with the final version slated for publication in April 2026 (as of March 2026, a draft is available). Key emissions targets remain consistent with the 2021 plan, but the update adds more measurable, time-bound actions (e.g., specific deadlines like "by 2026" for policies and pilots) and reports on accomplishments since 2021.

Structural Changes

- **Sectors Expanded**: The 2021 CAP covered six sectors; the 2025 draft expands to seven, renaming some for clarity and adding Water Supply (previously a 2023 addendum to the 2021 plan). Transportation and Land Use is split into separate Transportation and Housing & Land Use focuses. Responsible Production & Consumption is rebranded as Circular Economy with an emphasis on waste reduction and embodied carbon.
- **Equity and Implementation Focus**: Greater integration of racial/social equity reviews, public engagement, and funding strategies (e.g., forming interdepartmental groups for climate finance). The update includes a dashboard for tracking progress and stresses co-benefits like job creation, health improvements, and resilience.
- **Timeline and Process**: The 2025 draft outlines a phased rollout: action proposals in late 2024–early 2025, equity/public reviews in spring–summer 2025, revisions in summer 2025, approvals in fall 2025, and full implementation/reporting from 2026–2030.

Sector-Specific Changes

The table below compares key goals, strategies, and actions between the 2021 CAP and the 2025 draft update, highlighting additions, refinements, or shifts. Changes emphasize post-2021 accomplishments (e.g., all-electric ordinances, EV expansions) and new near-term deadlines for 2026–2030.

Sector (2021 Name → 2025 Name)	2021 Key Goals & Strategies	2025 Draft Changes/Additions	Notable Accomplishments & New Timelines
Energy Supply (unchanged)	100% renewable electricity by 2025; 100% renewable energy by 2040; invest in local renewables, grid flexibility, workforce; plan gas decommissioning. Focus on affordability and equity.	Expands requirements for large buildings (>250,000 sq ft by 2026, >50,000 sq ft by 2030); adds pilots for gas decommissioning by 2026; emphasizes district steam transition by 2040 and $1.1B grid investments by 2034.	100% renewable electricity achieved by 2023; new actions like increasing SuperGreen enrollment to 20% by 2027 and 150 MW solar/battery by 2035.
Building Operations → Buildings	Zero emissions in new buildings by 2021, large commercial by 2035, all by 2040; electrify existing buildings; workforce training; low-GWP refrigerants.	Adds building performance policies for zero GHG by 2040 (adopt by 2026); streamlines permits (e.g., heat pumps by 2026); launches campaigns and bill credits by 2025–2027; expands refrigerant replacement programs.	All-electric new construction ordinance since 2021; new expansions like All-Electric Multifamily Program and assistance for large/affordable buildings by 2027. Reduce emissions 40% from 2022 by 2035.
	80% low-carbon trips by		100 miles of transit improvements; doubled EV

Transportation & Land Use → Transportation	2030; 25% EVs by 2030, 100% by 2040; build reliable transit, active networks; use pricing; reduce VMT.	Strategies still in development but emphasize equity in pricing/access; fund transit and shift from cars.	ownership/chargers since 2021; Caltrain/BART electrification. New focus on sustainable aviation fuels (100M gallons at SFO by 2030).
Transportation & Land Use → Housing & Land Use (new split)	Compact infill near transit; no direct GHG targets but enables reductions.	New goals: 82,000 new homes by 2030 (≥36,000 in well-resourced areas); zoning for 36,200 units by 2026; preserve 200 units/5,000 households by 2030; transform Downtown by 2027–2030.	9,943 homes built since 2021 (2,782 affordable); streamlined permits. Adds advocacy for lower insurance and public land prioritization for affordable units (500–2,000 by 2030).
Responsible Production & Consumption → Circular Economy	Reduce waste generation 15% and disposal 50% below 2015 by 2030; halve food waste; reduce embodied carbon 40% by 2030; sustainable procurement.	Adds circular construction (amend codes by 2028, require deconstruction by 2028); food waste compliance (90% by 2026); reuse/repair events (20 by 2027, pilot services by 2030); aviation fuels (40% intrastate by 2030).	Kitchen Zero pilot; 18 repair clinics (20 more in 2025). New roadmap for net-zero embodied carbon by 2050 and Resource Center by 2030.
N/A → Water Supply (new sector)	Not in core 2021 plan (added as 2023 addendum: 3 strategies, 15 actions for resilience).	Residential use <42 gallons/capita/day by 2030; diversify supplies (2.5 mgd recycled, 4.4 mgd groundwater by 2045); maintain zero-emission system; atmospheric water pilots by 2030; onsite reuse for large projects.	Atmospheric water pilot completed in 2023; new designs for purified water facilities by 2027.
Healthy Ecosystems (unchanged)	Continuous sequestration for net-zero; restore lands; urban forest expansion. No direct 2030 GHG target.	30% biodiverse green space by 2030 (or committed by 2040); every resident within 10-min walk to nature by 2040; plant 30,000 trees for 155,000 total by 2040; restore 10–20 acres parks by 2030; biodiversity corridors by 2030.	Carbon sequestration study; street tree nursery. New actions like daylighting creeks by 2030 and shoreline plan by 2030.

These updates reflect evolving priorities, such as integrating water resilience more fully and adding granular deadlines to accelerate implementation by 2026–2030

The projected city and county is budget deficit next 5 years:

The City and County of San Francisco's budget projections are typically outlined in periodic reports from the Controller's Office, such as the Five-Year Financial Plan. As of the latest available data from February 2026, the projected two-year General Fund deficit for FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 has improved to $877 million, down from an earlier December 2025 estimate of $936.6 million, due to stronger-than-expected revenues and fund balances in FY 2025-26. This adjustment also improves the FY 2028-29 shortfall by approximately $30 million. Detailed year-by-year breakdowns are based on the December 2025 Five-Year Financial Plan (covering FY 2026-27 through FY 2029-30), as the February update does not provide revised per-year figures. Projections assume no major service changes, wage growth tied to CPI or negotiated agreements, steady pension returns (7.2% annually), and health cost increases (around 8-9% annually), but incorporate risks from federal policy changes (e.g., Medicaid cuts) and economic uncertainty.
Longer-term projections beyond FY 2029-30 are not detailed in available reports, though trends indicate deficits could continue growing due to expenditure growth (projected at $1.8 billion over the next four years) outpacing revenues ($617 million over the same period), driven by rising payroll, benefits, and operational costs. A recession scenario in the December plan worsens deficits by an additional $1.45 billion in revenue losses over four years, plus higher pension contributions. These figures are structural shortfalls requiring ongoing savings, cuts, or revenue measures; actual budgets involve balancing actions by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

Fiscal Year	Projected General Fund Deficit ($ millions)	Notes

2026-27	(296.3)	Base case; part of updated two-year total of (877) with FY 2027-28. Includes $84.5M revenue loss from federal policies.
2027-28	(640.3)	Base case; expenditures rise due to salaries/benefits ($551.5M increase) and baselines/reserves ($220.2M).
2028-29	(909.3)	Base case; improved by ~$30M per February update to ~ (879.5).
2029-30	(1,168.5)	Base case; could reach ~ (1,170) per trends; cumulative four-year shortfall ~ (3,014.4).

Executive Committee

Tenderloin Tree Campaign

From: Michael Nulty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Public Comment Response to Climate Action Plan Update file 260177
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 6:18:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Alliance for a Better District 6 appreciates the opportunity to submit comments on the 2025 Climate Action Plan Update (referenced as file 260177 in our tracking). As an organization dedicated to improving the quality of urban life in San Francisco's District 6—encompassing the downtown core, Tenderloin, South of Market, and Civic Center areas—we strongly support the City's ongoing commitment to ambitious climate goals, including the reaffirmed targets of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 61% below 1990 levels by 2030 and achieving net-zero by 2040.

We commend the progress highlighted in the draft, such as the 48% emissions reduction by 2020 and the achievement of 100% renewable electricity supply by 2023 through efforts like CleanPowerSF. The update's streamlined approach, with more measurable, time-bound actions (e.g., specific deadlines for policies, pilots, and implementation by 2026 and beyond), enhanced transparency, and emphasis on governance, innovation, and funding mechanisms, represents a positive evolution from the 2021 plan.

District 6 faces unique urban challenges that intersect with climate action priorities. Our dense, built-out neighborhood—with high concentrations of commercial buildings, multi-unit housing, limited green space, and vulnerable populations in areas like the Tenderloin—experiences disproportionate impacts from emissions sources in the buildings (44% of citywide emissions) and transportation (45%) sectors. We particularly value the draft's continued focus on:

- Electrifying buildings and transitioning away from natural gas, including equitable decommissioning plans and incentives for upgrades (e.g., expanding programs like E-ELEC and All-Electric Multifamily).
- Promoting low-carbon transportation modes, such as walking, biking, and transit, which align well with District 6's walkable/transit-rich character but require sustained investment in safe infrastructure amid ongoing recovery from pandemic-era shifts.
- Advancing racial and social equity through targeted assessments, ensuring that benefits like cleaner air, health improvements, job creation in clean energy, and resilience reach overburdened communities in our district.

To strengthen implementation in District 6 and downtown, we recommend the following additions or clarifications in the final April 2026 publication:

1. **Prioritize downtown-specific pilots and funding**: Include dedicated timelines

and resources for accelerating building electrification and energy efficiency retrofits in commercial high-rises and older multifamily stock common in District 6. This could build on existing accomplishments while addressing post-pandemic office vacancy and return-to-office dynamics to avoid penalizing early adopters.

2. **Enhance equity integration for vulnerable populations**: Expand actions to support resilience and access in high-density, low-income areas, such as improved cooling centers, energy burden relief for residents, and community-based workforce training for clean energy jobs that prioritize local hiring in District 6.
3. **Boost innovation and governance accountability**: Strengthen monitoring and reporting mechanisms with district-level progress dashboards (building on the existing public dashboard) to ensure transparency and allow residents/businesses in District 6 to track local impacts.
4. **Secure sustainable funding**: Given the plan's new emphasis on financing, advocate for stable, dedicated revenue streams to support these actions without overburdening ratepayers or small businesses in our commercial core.

Overall, the Alliance for a Better District 6 endorses the 2025 CAP Update draft as a robust framework for continued progress. We stand ready to collaborate with the San Francisco Environment Department and other stakeholders to ensure equitable, effective implementation that makes our downtown greener, healthier, and more resilient for all who live, work, and visit here.

Thank you for considering these comments. We look forward to the final plan in April 2026 and ongoing engagement.

Sincerely,

Michael Nulty
Executive Director
Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8327 - Direct
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6
(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats
(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association
(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative
http://abd6.cfsites.org/

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Crayton, Monique (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: SFWPC Written Public Comment – File No. 260127 (Gender Equity Action Plan)
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:12:48 AM
Attachments:	Statement in support of Resolution Urging an Executive Directive and Citywide Action Plan to Advance Women and Gender Equity .pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding **File No. 260127:**

Resolution urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan, led and coordinated by the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women, with full cross-departmental participation and dedicated budgetary support to ensure effective implementation.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kudrat Kontilis-Chaudhary <kudrat@sfwpc.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:03 AM
To: Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Darion Johnston <darion@sfwpc.org>; Angelina Polselli <angelina@sfwpc.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS)

<melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Chelsey Frank <chelsey@sfwpc.org>
Subject: SFWPC Written Public Comment – File No. 260127 (Gender Equity Action Plan)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee,

On behalf of the San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC), I am submitting the attached statement as written public comment for the record following today's Government Audit and Oversight Committee hearing regarding File 260127: Urging an Executive Directive and Citywide Action Plan to Advance Women and Gender Equity.

As an organization committed to advancing gender equity, safety, and dignity for women across San Francisco, SFWPC believes this action plan is a step in the right direction and wholeheartedly supports it.

Please include the attached statement in the public record of the hearing and share it with members of the full Board of Supervisors as this issue moves forward.

Sincerely,
Kudrat D. Kontilis
Policy Co-Chair
San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC)

SAN FRANCISCO
WOMEN'S POLITICAL COMMITTEE

SFWPC Support for BOS File No. 260127

March 19, 2026

Government Audit and Oversight Committee
Cc: Board of Supervisors
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl, #244,
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Statement in support of Resolution Urging an Executive Directive and Citywide Action Plan to Advance Women and Gender Equity

Dear Members of the Government and Oversight Committee,

The San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC) supports the resolution introduced by Supervisor Myrna Melgar, urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan, coordinated by the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women with full cross-departmental participation and dedicated resources to ensure effective implementation.

This resolution calls for a coordinated, citywide strategy to advance gender equity across San Francisco – bringing City departments together to examine how policies, programs, and budget decisions affect women, girls, and gender-diverse communities, and ensuring that gender equity is consistently integrated across City government.

San Francisco has long been a national leader on gender equity, most notably becoming the first municipality in the world to adopt the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) into local law. However, despite this legacy, women and gender-marginalized people in San Francisco continue to experience persistent disparities in economic security, safety, health outcomes, and representation in leadership.



The Gender Equity Action Plan proposed in this resolution would help close that gap by:

- Identifying and eliminating policies, procedures, and programs that perpetuate gender inequity across City government.
- Expanding economic security and opportunity for women, including through greater coordination of policies affecting workforce participation, caregiving responsibilities, and economic mobility.
- Strengthening cross-department collaboration and data-sharing so that the City can better understand how its policies impact women and gender-diverse communities.
- Establishing measurable goals, timelines, and accountability measures to track progress in advancing gender equity.

SFWPC supports this resolution because meaningful gender equity requires more than good intentions – it demands a coordinated, data-driven approach embedded across all levels of City government. We commend the leadership of Supervisor Melgar and the resolution's cosponsors, and we look forward to continued collaboration between the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor's Office, the Department on the Status of Women, and community stakeholders in turning this commitment into action.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Women's Political Committee

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Crayton, Monique (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Support and Immediate Action on Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Citywide Action Plan
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:45:35 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 260127:**

Resolution urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan, led and coordinated by the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women, with full cross-departmental participation and dedicated budgetary support to ensure effective implementation.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andary, Sophia (HRC) <sophia.andary@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 7:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Hsieh, Frances (BOS) <frances.hsieh@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <stephen.sherrill@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; Hebb, Jack (BOS) <jack.hebb@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>; WongStaff (BOS) <WongStaff@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Logan, Samantha (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS)

<matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Donovan, Dominica (BOS) <dominica.donovan@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Mathewson, Melanie (BOS) <melanie.mathewson@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; Gaona, Sasha (BOS) <sasha.gaona@sfgov.org>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>; Lopez-Weaver, Lindsey (BOS) <Lindsey.Lopez@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <chyanne.chen@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Blakely, Dominique (HRC) <dominique.blakely@sfgov.org>; Aroche, Diana (HRC) <diana.aroche@sfgov.org>; Andary, Sophia (HRC) <sophia.andary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support and Immediate Action on Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Citywide Action Plan

March 13, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Resolution Urging an Executive Directive and Citywide Action Plan to Advance Women and Gender Equity Action Plan
Government Audit and Oversight Committee - March 19, 2026

Dear Members of the Government and Oversight Committee and the Board of Supervisors,

I write to express my strong and unequivocal support for the resolution introduced by Supervisor Myrna Melgar, urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan. This plan, coordinated by the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women, must include full cross-departmental participation and the dedicated resources necessary to ensure meaningful and measurable implementation.

The first and most immediate step you can take is to sign on to this resolution. Doing so would be a clear and public statement of your commitment to the women of our city. But support cannot end with symbolic gestures. The women of San Francisco deserve action that is meaningful and substantive, not merely performative.

A critical next step is to correct the serious mistake of merging the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women into a new "Agency on Human Rights" alongside the Human Rights Commission. This proposal disregards the will of San Francisco voters and undermines the Department's independence, weakening its ability to effectively advocate for women, girls, and gender-nonconforming individuals.

Equally troubling are the recommendations put forward by the Mayor and the Commission Streamlining Task Force. These proposals call for sweeping and short-sighted changes that would weaken democratic oversight, strip authority from commissioners, and remove several commissions, including the Commission on the Status of Women, from the City Charter.

Eliminating these protections would diminish community oversight and silence vital voices that hold our government accountable. At this moment, it is essential that you stand with the community, especially our most marginalized communities, and oppose efforts that would erode representation and weaken the structures designed to protect them.

This resolution outlines a clear path forward and calls for concrete action, including but not limited to:

- Urging the Mayor to issue an executive directive establishing a Citywide Women's Advancement and Gender Equity Action Plan.

- Designating the Department on the Status of Women as the lead agency and central coordinating body for gender equity efforts across all city departments.

- Requiring collaboration across departments, including the sharing of policies, programs, data, and budget information related to women and gender equity.

- Conducting annual assessments of gender representation in leadership across city commissions, boards, elected offices, and departmental leadership.

- Requiring regular progress reports from the Department on the Status of Women to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, including policy and budget recommendations.

- Reaffirming San Francisco's commitment to the principles of CEDAW and advancing gender equity throughout city government.

- Requesting studies from the Budget and Legislative Analyst regarding funding for women-focused programs and city human resources policies related to family leave and caregiving.

These actions are not controversial; they are responsible governance. The question before you is not complicated: whether you will actively stand with the women of San Francisco by supporting and advancing these measures.

The women of this city are watching closely. We expect leadership and accountability.

Thank you,
Sophia Andary
Commissioner, Commission on the Status of Women
City and County of San Francisco

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	3 Letters Regarding File No. 260223
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 9:52:41 AM
Attachments:	3 Letters regarding File No. 260223.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding **File No. 260223:**

Resolution supporting and recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and encouraging the San Francisco Unified School District to continue to provide high school students the opportunity to participate and ensure the program is preserved as currently constituted.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information —including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	Galifianakis, Penelope
To:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:58:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Penelope Lee Galifianakis

Lowell Highschool / Glen Park / SFUSD

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: Liu, Chuen Pan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Thank you for your support!
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:57:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Hope this email finds you well, this email is written to express our grateful feelings, we are very thankful about the legislation that supports our JROTC program. For a lot of students that are new to high school, JROTC provided them opportunities to express themselves no matter who they are. Your support is deeply appreciated by everyone in the program, thank you again for giving an opportunity for students that are still perplexed about their future!

Chuen Pan Liu

Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: Yu, Emma
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: SFUSD Junior Reserves Officers' Training Corps
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:55:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am sending this to exclaim my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's conclusion supporting the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and urging for the continuation of the training program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

JROTC offers memorable experiences for friendship, discipline, companionship, and community ethics. This program has been included in San Francisco schools for years, it has built a great foundation for many students in San Francisco.

I really hope the Board of Supervisors to support this decision moving on.

Sincerely,

Emma Yu
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	37 Letters Regarding 4th & Channel Streets
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:16:13 PM
Attachments:	37 Letters Regarding 4th & Channel Streets.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 37 letters regarding the intersection of 4th Street and Channel Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	Patrick Dowd
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 10:13:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

I work in the Mission Bay neighborhood and use this bridge regularly on a bicycle and have had numerous close calls, as well as when walking. That and the unnecessary delays for MUNI could make this intersection truly future facing continuing to make Mission Bay a beautiful safe neighborhood for all.

Thank you
- Patrick Dowd

From:	Jonathan Weisman
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	IT IS TIME to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 10:33:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Jarrod Hsu
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 10:59:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	William Cline
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 11:37:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Kevin Moses
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 1:52:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches

Install concrete bumpouts at each corner

Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Yana Reid
To:	MTABoard@sfmta.com
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); zafarali.ahmed@gmail.com
Subject:	Urgent action needed for 4th and Channel, Mission Bay intersection
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 2:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members,

On February 27, a tragic incident occurred when a driver struck and killed a 2-year-old girl, injuring her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This heartbreaking event marks the second time in three years that a child has lost their life on 4th Street.

I am writing to seek information on what measures are being taken to audit the safety of this intersection and assess whether it adequately serves our neighborhood.

Specifically, I would like to know the following:

(1) What steps are being taken to evaluate the safety features at 4th and Channel and how does the City plan to address the ongoing risks to pedestrians and families in the area?

(2) Are there plans for community engagement to gather input on safety concerns at this intersection?

With a daycare, park, and grocery store within 500 feet of this intersection, coupled with increasing traffic and families in the area, it is essential to ensure that safety measures are proactive rather than reactive.

I’m glad to help in any way you need and appreciate your attention to this matter.

Thank you,

Yana Reid

From:	Kiran Banger
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 2:18:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Gmail
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 2:20:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Brandon Kayes
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Save the children: permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 2:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a two-year-old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This tragic incident marks the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I am writing to demand immediate action at this location and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the city. Families in Mission Bay face near-misses daily, and as the area grows, the risk to our neighbors will only increase without urgent intervention.

We request the following safety measures at the 4th and Channel intersection:

- Ban right-on-red turns and U-turns from all approaches.
- Install concrete bumpouts at each corner.
- Reinstating the 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge one-way for southbound vehicle traffic.

These improvements are critical. This busy area includes a daycare, a park, and a grocery store within 500 feet of the intersection. Please fix this intersection, address the dangerous conditions in Mission Bay, and implement effective, citywide Vision Zero policies to prevent further tragedies. Do not wait for another fatality before taking action.

Sincerely,

Brandon Kayes

From:	Tim Hickey
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 4:47:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thanks,

Tim

Sent from iPhone - apologies for brevity or errors

From:	CAROLINE AYRES
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 9:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last

Please immediately take action, at this intersection to improve safety for pedestriansand at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City.

A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Sincerely, Caroline Ayres
87 Dolores Street, apt. 105, San Francisco, California, 94103

From:	Ona Keller
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 10:20:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	sumers.ben@gmail.com
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); julie.kirschbaum@sfmta.org
Subject:	Permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 11:32:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

I am the father of three young children in Mission Bay and a member of the Friends of Potrero Hill preschool Board. My children no longer need to be asked to hold my hand when we cross the street.

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel in front of our neighborhood grocery store. This is the second time a driver has killed a child in a quarter mile stretch of 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. There are strollers everywhere here and cars are simply moving too fast.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

- Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
- Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
- Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy.

Please do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Regards,

Benjamin Sumers
708 Long Bridge St, SF, CA 94158

From:	Annie Scalmanini
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Mission Bay mother of 3, born in SF, love biking and walking all over this city, we can do better
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 2:17:41 AM
Attachments:	Screenshot 2026-03-14 at 2.01.28 AM.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

I'm a mother of 3 young kids in Mission Bay, and I had just started a new job that I can bike to here in San Francisco when a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother right in front of Gus's, the grocery store we frequent as a family at the intersection we cross multiple times a day.

Mission Bay is FILLED with strollers and young kids on bikes and scooters. And it's also filled with distracted drivers driving way too fast who are in the area for events – I was biking my older two kids to preschool last week when a rideshare driver blew through the red light at the pedestrian crossing on 4th in front of the Koret Quad. I won't take more of your time with my other near-miss stories with my kids.


I am writing in fervent support of the following safety measures for the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and **U turns** from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Make 4th street a neighborhood slow street (á la Minneslowta in the Dogpatch, which is actually the same street as 4th just on the other side of UCSF, so technically it could be considered an extension of an existing slow street as opposed to a whole new one) **between Channel and 16th.** 4th street dead-ends for cars at UCSF, so cars have to detour to 3rd at 16th to continue going south anyway

Within 500 feet of the intersection where the car killed the 2 year old, there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store – the number of strollers that cross the streets at this intersection could easily be in the hundreds every day.

Thank you for your time and for the space in your heart to do something about this.

Peace,
Annie


San Francisco
China Basin
China Basin Park
3rd St
4th St
Arsicault Bakery
ATWater Tavern
King St
Berry St
Mission Creek Channel
Channel St
Port of San Francisco
Pier 50
Port Of San Francisco Pier 50 D...
Mission Rock St
Long Bridge St
China Basin St
SPARK Social SF
4th street should be a neighborhood slow street between Channel and 16th
Mission Bay Blvd S
6th St
5th St
Nelson Rising Ln
We can brand the campaign "Slow the Fourth Down" (idea credit goes to my better half)
7th St
Hooper St
Irwin St
Hubbell St
Owens St
Koret Quad
Chase Center
16th St
B St
Dropbox
Connecticut St
Missouri St
Mississippi St
Texas St
17th St
Mariposa St
UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital - San Francisco
4th street dead-ends here, so cars that want to keep going south have to head to 3rd anyway
Central F

From:	Eric Chen
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:29:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Gabriel Goffman
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 10:39:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Whiskey Devil
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 3:58:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors, On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years. I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken. We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection: Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches Install concrete bumpouts at each corner Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Best,
M. Rachel Reynolds
StereoPear@gmail.com
https://linktr.ee/WhiskeyDevil
Cell: (8O5) 9O3-223O

From:	Michael Jancsy
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 10:37:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors, On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years. I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken. We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection: Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches Install concrete bumpouts at each corner Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Harold Findley
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 1:00:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year-old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years. Those of you who have small children should be doing everything you can to make sure their lives don't end like this. Our city must become safer.

I'm writing you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

Decent caring residents are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U-turns from all approaches
Install driver barriers at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars. (Even take a step further and make it car-free.)

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and well-known grocery store. Inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in danger. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Harold

From:	alec hawley
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming measures at 4th and Channel Street
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 2:58:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

A l e c H a w l e y
(415)418-9073

From:	Seanna Vien
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:24:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Zafarali Ahmed
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Dorsey, Matt (BOS)
Cc:	livablestreets@sfmta.com; jamie.parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); tom.maguire@sfmta.com; Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Quick Build Safety Improvements at 4th and Channel Streets?
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:39:26 PM
Attachments:	Screenshot 2026-03-15 at 3.33.30 PM.png Screenshot 2026-03-15 at 3.33.43 PM.png Screenshot 2026-03-15 at 3.33.54 PM.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Dorsey, Mayor Lurie and the MTA Board Members,

I am a resident of Mission Bay living on 4th Street. I am writing to you today with a heavy heart and a firm demand for action.

I will never forget the night I heard the wailing and crying coming from the crash site at 4th and Channel on February 27, where a driver killed a two-year-old girl and injured her mother. This is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in just three years. I was at vigils for both children. How many is too many before we do something?

This weekend I experienced the community-led safety installation at this intersection from the perspective of a driver, a pedestrian, and a cyclist. I am writing to report that even these bare-minimum, simple additions have improved the street experience significantly.

As a resident who uses all modes of transport, I observed the following benefits from the tactical "bumpouts":

- **For Drivers:** The geometry makes it much clearer where and when turns can be safely executed, reducing chaos and ambiguity at the intersection. It forces everyone to slow down and be careful.
- **For Cyclists:** The design creates a dedicated, protected space to wait for the signal, separating us from the flow of traffic inching to execute right on reds.
- **For Pedestrians:** The crossing distance is shortened, reducing the time we are exposed to active car lanes. Cars take the turn slower reducing the risk of injurious collisions.

During my time at the intersection, I witnessed a driver using her phone while operating her vehicle (photograph attached). Without physical improvements to the streetscape, vulnerable road users—especially the many families who use the nearby daycare, park, and grocery store—stand little chance against such common distractions.

The success of this community installation proves that the already known Vision Zero solutions are known and effective. Any further inaction or delay is no longer excusable. Please do not wait for a third child to be killed on 4th Street before taking meaningful action.


I urge you to make these safety changes permanent and build upon the vision proposed by the community:

1. **Ban "Right on Red" and U-turns** from all approaches to this intersection.
2. **Install permanent concrete bumpouts** at every corner to solidify the safety gains seen in the temporary installation. A high foot-traffic intersection like this should be firmly pedestrian-first.
3. **Make changes to** 4th Street Bridge, either restoring the 2021 plan for one-way only for southbound vehicle traffic or going further and making it a transit, pedestrian and bicycle only bridge as proposed by the community.

We need real, citywide Vision Zero policies that prioritize the lives of neighbors over the speed of cars. Fix this intersection now.

Zafarali Ahmed




DETOUR
TOW-AWAY
NO STOPPING
ANY
TIME



From:	Maureen
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 7:46:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Maureen K. Persico
Bernal Heights

From:	Anuj Devatha
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:24:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors, On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel.

This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years. I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City.

A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection: Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches Install concrete bumpouts at each corner Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars These improvements are urgently needed.

Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy.

Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.

From:	Shannon Cairns
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:05:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.

Shannon Cairns
415-816-8730

From:	Rishav Rout
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.

Rishav Rout

From:	Matthew Gilbert
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:09:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Louis Magarshack
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:16:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	michael howley
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA); Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:50:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

From:	Bea B
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

I am still reeling from the slaughter of an entire family on March 16 exactly two years ago. The deaths of an entire nuclear family did virtually nothing to make the intersection of West Portal and Ulloa more safe, nevermind Ulloa at Lenox. The bus shelter was finally replaced about a year after the tragedy; it's missing two glass panels, but it's there. (The destroyed bench nearby was simply never rebuilt.

We cannot keep painting bits of pavement and putting out planters. It's not enough! Bollards, concrete barriers and other physical changes are what's needed to keep people safe. Other cities like Berkeley and Oakland have implemented practical change over the past 2-3 decades and so can we.

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.
LB Batz

From:	Sarah Boudreau
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:01:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you,
Sarah Boudreau, Mom, Civil Engineer, and Pedestrian

From:	Elaine R Lee
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Put safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now!
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.
Elaine Lee

From:	Mason
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:22:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

- Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
- Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
- Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.

--
- Mason

From:	ellie harmon
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:26:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

1. Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
2. Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
3. Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.

—
ellieharmon.com

From:	Brooke Ray Demko
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA); Kirschbaum, Julie (MTA); DorseyStaff (BOS)
Subject:	Demand for safety improvements at 4th and Channel streets now
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Thank you.
Brooke Ray Demko
Mother of 4 (including a 1yr old), urban planner, cyclist
SF zip 94131

From:	Russell
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:33:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

I'm writing to you as a D6 resident who lives steps away from where on February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

1. Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches.
2. Install concrete bumpouts at each corner.
3. Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars.

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Sincerely,
Russell Walker
D6 Resident

From:	Danielle F
To:	DorseyStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com; jeffrey.tumlin@sfmta.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR); LivableStreets@sfmta.com; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; Tom.Maguire@sfmta.com; Lasky, Matt (MTA); Leung, Kimberly (MTA)
Subject:	Demand to install permanent traffic calming at 4th and Channel Streets
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 6:57:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

MTA Board Members, Mayor Lurie, and Supervisors,

On February 27, a driver killed a 2-year old girl and injured her mother at the intersection of 4th and Channel. This horrific death is the second time a driver has killed a child on 4th Street in the last three years.

I'm writing to you to demand action, at this intersection and at similarly dangerous intersections throughout the neighborhood and the City. A family lost a child last month and every day there are near-misses at this intersection. As more families move to Mission Bay and more drivers come to the area for events, the likelihood of a driver killing another of our neighbors will only increase unless immediate action is taken.

We are asking that you do the following at the 4th and Channel intersection:

Ban right on red and U turns from all approaches
Install concrete bumpouts at each corner
Bring back the scrapped 2021 plan to make the 4th Street Bridge (just north of the intersection) one-way only for southbound cars

These improvements are urgently needed. Within 500 feet of the intersection there is a daycare, park, and neighborhood grocery store, and inaction will continue to put our neighbors in this busy area in jeopardy. Fix this intersection, fix the increasingly chaotic and dangerous streets of Mission Bay, and give us real, citywide Vision Zero policies so that this does not happen again. Do not wait for a third child to be killed by a driver on 4th before you take action.

Best,
Danielle
410.599.4132

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	66 Letters Regarding 15 Marina Blvd
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:19:24 PM
Attachments:	66 Letters Regarding 15 Marina Blvd.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 66 letters regarding a proposed housing development at 15 Marina Boulevard.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Yan
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:19:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Jennifer Z
Last Name	Yan
Email Address	popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com
Street Address	1598 Bay
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Probstel
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:22:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	dirk
Last Name	Probstel
Email Address	dirkprobstel@gmail.com
Street Address	1598 bay st
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Shada
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:31:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Michael
Last Name	Shada
Email Address	mlshada@msn.com
Street Address	1550Bay D352
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Nicholson
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:33:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Sally
Last Name	Nicholson
Email Address	sallynich2@gmail.com
Street Address	25 Cervantes blvd, apt. 301
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Cohn
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:38:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Arnold
Last Name	Cohn
Email Address	sfamc2@gmail.com
Street Address	1550 Bay B126 94123
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Orth
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:45:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Christina
Last Name	Orth
Email Address	cmorth.90@gmail.com
Street Address	2047 Broadway
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Laviolette
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:47:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Marcia
Last Name	Laviolette
Email Address	sallynich2@aol.com
Street Address	2010 Chestnut street, apt. 403
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Rieger
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 12:17:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Julia
Last Name	Rieger
Email Address	julia.rieger@gmail.com
Street Address	3501 Laguna Street
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Sante
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:05:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Juli
Last Name	Sante
Email Address	julssf35@gmail.com
Street Address	3546 Broderick Street
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Kaus
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:14:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Irene
Last Name	Kaus
Email Address	jikaus@comcast.net
Street Address	2801 Green St
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Hefke
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Sharon
Last Name	Hefke
Email Address	slhefke@icloud.com
Street Address	1550 Bay St., #318
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Goforth
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:31:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Kay
Last Name	Goforth
Email Address	lamagienoire@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	1598 Bay St #104
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Creasy
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 2:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Michael
Last Name	Creasy
Email Address	mike.w.creasy@gmail.com
Street Address	130 Retiro Way
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Cohen
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 3:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Menahem
Last Name	Cohen
Email Address	clemcohen@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	3645 Buchanan Streer
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Ruiz
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 4:35:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Ana
Last Name	Ruiz
Email Address	victoriaruiz04@yahoo.com
Street Address	3684 1/2 Fillmore Street
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Ortiz
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 6:54:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Brett
Last Name	Ortiz
Email Address	ortizbrett@yahoo.com
Street Address	3230 Baker street
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: VILLALOBOS RAMIREZ
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:48:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	JOEL
Last Name	VILLALOBOS RAMIREZ
Email Address	joelwv@gmail.com
Street Address	1949 Chestnut St
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Garcy
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:43:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Natalia
Last Name	Garcy
Email Address	natigarpa@gmail.com
Street Address	1949 Chestnut St
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Dveirin
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:43:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Matt
Last Name	Dveirin
Email Address	mdveirin@mba2004.hbs.edu
Street Address	3625 Fillmore St Apt 8
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Willoughby
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:36:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Lisa
Last Name	Willoughby
Email Address	lcw1899@gmail.com
Street Address	1899 Green Street
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Goldstein
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:52:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Maribeth
Last Name	Goldstein
Email Address	maribeth4358@yahoo.com
Street Address	2046 Greenwich St.
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a

high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Clayton
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 12:36:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jill
Last Name	Clayton
Email Address	jclayton@arhaus.com
Street Address	173 Marina blvd
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Elden
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 12:56:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Peter
Last Name	Elden
Email Address	peterelden@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	3732 Fillmore Street
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Parrish
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:28:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Lauren
Last Name	Parrish
Email Address	lkparrish20@gmail.com
Street Address	1598 Bay Street unit 106 San Francisco, Ca 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Parrish
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:52:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Mary
Last Name	Parrish
Email Address	kkml86@yahoo.com
Street Address	1598 Bay Street #106
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Macasieb
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 4:03:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Eric
Last Name	Macasieb
Email Address	emac.shop17@gmail.com
Street Address	1735 GREENWICH ST
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Leal
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 6:47:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jessica
Last Name	Leal
Email Address	jnleal@gmail.com
Street Address	3501 Laguna Street #203, SF CA 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Fitch
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 7:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Alison
Last Name	Fitch
Email Address	alisonfitch1019@gmail.com
Street Address	2190 beach st, apt 207, San Francisco, CA
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Keke
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 10:00:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Kristina
Last Name	Keke
Email Address	kristinakekke@att.net
Street Address	3031 Steiner Street
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Pellegrini
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:07:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Richard
Last Name	Pellegrini
Email Address	rop7575@gmail.com
Street Address	222 Cervantes Blvd, San Francisco California 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Ernst
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Michelle
Last Name	Ernst
Email Address	mpernst2@gmail.com
Street Address	1661 Beach Street, San Francisco, Ca 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Armour
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:47:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Avery
Last Name	Armour
Email Address	akarmour11@gmail.com
Street Address	3615 Buchanan St Apt 206
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:34:52 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Yan [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:19 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Jennifer Z
Last Name	Yan
Email Address	popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com
Street Address	1598 Bay

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:36:55 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Probstel [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:22 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	dirk
Last Name	Probstel
Email Address	dirkprobstel@gmail.com
Street Address	1598 bay st

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:24:06 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Shada [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:31 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Michael
Last Name	Shada
Email Address	mlshada@msn.com
Street Address	1550Bay D352

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:26:03 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Nicholson [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:33 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Sally
Last Name	Nicholson
Email Address	sallynich2@gmail.com
Street Address	25 Cervantes blvd, apt. 301

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:28:04 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Cohn [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:38 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Arnold
Last Name	Cohn
Email Address	sfamc2@gmail.com
Street Address	1550 Bay B126 94123

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:15:33 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Orth [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:45 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Christina
Last Name	Orth
Email Address	cmorth.90@gmail.com
Street Address	2047 Broadway

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:18:38 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Laviolette [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 11:47 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Marcia
Last Name	Laviolette
Email Address	sallynich2@aol.com
Street Address	2010 Chestnut street, apt. 403

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:19:36 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Rieger [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 12:17 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Julia
Last Name	Rieger
Email Address	julia.rieger@gmail.com
Street Address	3501 Laguna Street

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:20:32 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Sante [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 1:05 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Juli
Last Name	Sante
Email Address	julssf35@gmail.com
Street Address	3546 Broderick Street

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:21:23 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Kaus [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 1:14 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Irene
Last Name	Kaus
Email Address	jikaus@comcast.net
Street Address	2801 Green St

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:22:14 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Hefke [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 1:23 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Sharon
Last Name	Hefke
Email Address	slhefke@icloud.com
Street Address	1550 Bay St., #318

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:23:13 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Goforth [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 1:31 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Kay
Last Name	Goforth
Email Address	lamagienoire@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	1598 Bay St #104

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:24:04 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Creasy [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 2:19 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Michael
Last Name	Creasy
Email Address	mike.w.creasy@gmail.com
Street Address	130 Retiro Way

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:25:16 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Cohen [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 3:54 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Menahem
Last Name	Cohen
Email Address	clemcohen@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	3645 Buchanan Streer

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:26:34 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Ruiz [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 4:35 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Ana
Last Name	Ruiz
Email Address	victoriaruiz04@yahoo.com
Street Address	3684 1/2 Fillmore Street

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:27:10 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Ortiz [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 6:54 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Brett
Last Name	Ortiz
Email Address	ortizbrett@yahoo.com
Street Address	3230 Baker street

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:27:52 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: VILLALOBOS RAMIREZ [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/14/2026, 9:48 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	JOEL
Last Name	VILLALOBOS RAMIREZ
Email Address	joelwv@gmail.com
Street Address	1949 Chestnut St

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:28:47 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Garcy [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 8:43 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Natalia
Last Name	Garcy
Email Address	natigarpa@gmail.com
Street Address	1949 Chestnut St

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:29:40 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Dveirin [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 8:43 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Matt
Last Name	Dveirin
Email Address	mdveirin@mba2004.hbs.edu
Street Address	3625 Fillmore St Apt 8

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); noreply@jotform.com
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:30:36 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: PIC Customer Service [pic@sfgov.org]
Sent: 3/17/2026, 3:28 PM
To: noreply@jotform.com; jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Garcy [noreply@jotform.com]

Sent: 3/15/2026, 8:43 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Natalia
Last Name	Garcy
Email Address	natigarpa@gmail.com
Street Address	1949 Chestnut St
Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect.

3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character."

4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.



From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:31:21 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Willoughby [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 10:35 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Lisa
Last Name	Willoughby
Email Address	lcw1899@gmail.com
Street Address	1899 Green Street

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:33:12 PM

Hi Jeff - FYI.

Mary Woods, Senior Planner
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-7300
Property Information Map (PIM)

Planning Counter at SF Permit Center

Hours of operation:
M: 9:00am - 5:00pm
T, W, Th, F: 8:00am - 5:00pm

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Goldstein [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 10:52 AM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing

First Name	Maribeth
Last Name	Goldstein
Email Address	maribeth4358@yahoo.com
Street Address	2046 Greenwich St.

Email Subject	Support for Family Housing, Not Luxury Housing
Email Message Body	Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission: Thank you for helping to bring our City back and I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed two 25-story tower project at the Marina Safeway location. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process. And it does NOT provide needed affordable, family housing that we all want. Why City Hall needs to strike down this proposal: 1. This is not family housing. It enables wealthy investors to profit by dominating the non-rent-controlled, small 1-bedroom and studio apartments market. 2. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." Yet there was never any engagement with the public. This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the Family Zoning Plan and before it would take legal effect. 3. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone an the Marina Safeway sits on a toxic PG&E manufacturing liquid gas site. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." 4. Loss of Access to a Critical Supermarket - During the project's two-year construction, our community will lose access to a critical supermarket that supports not only the Marina, but all surrounding neighborhoods. And once completed, there will be limited parking space for seniors who cannot walk to Safeway. We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development. Thank you.

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:34:47 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Clayton [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 12:36 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jill
Last Name	Clayton
Email Address	jclayton@arhaus.com
Street Address	173 Marina blvd
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a

more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:35:45 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Elden [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 12:56 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Peter
Last Name	Elden
Email Address	peterelden@sbcglobal.net
Street Address	3732 Fillmore Street
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align,

city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); noreply@jotform.com
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:38:39 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: PIC Customer Service [pic@sfgov.org]
Sent: 3/17/2026, 3:34 PM
To: noreply@jotform.com; jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Clayton [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 12:36 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jill
Last Name	Clayton
Email Address	jclayton@arhaus.com
Street Address	173 Marina blvd
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project

Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors,
This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment.
I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site.

Email Message Body

While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo).
Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there.
Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); noreply@jotform.com
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:39:23 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: PIC Customer Service [pic@sfgov.org]
Sent: 3/17/2026, 3:38 PM
To: jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org; noreply@jotform.com
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

---------- Original Message ----------
From: PIC Customer Service [pic@sfgov.org]
Sent: 3/17/2026, 3:34 PM
To: noreply@jotform.com; jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Clayton [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 12:36 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jill
Last Name	Clayton
Email Address	jclayton@arhaus.com
Street Address	173 Marina blvd
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project

Email Message Body

Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors,
This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment.
I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site.
While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo).
Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there.
Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:40:33 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Parrish [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 3:28 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Lauren
Last Name	Parrish
Email Address	lkparrish20@gmail.com
Street Address	1598 Bay Street unit 106 San Francisco, Ca 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a

more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:41:41 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Parrish [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 3:52 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Mary
Last Name	Parrish
Email Address	kkml86@yahoo.com
Street Address	1598 Bay Street #106
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align,

city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From:	noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To:	noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc:	investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:42:32 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Macasieb [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 4:02 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Eric
Last Name	Macasieb
Email Address	emac.shop17@gmail.com
Street Address	1735 GREENWICH ST
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align,

city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:43:18 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Leal [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 6:47 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jessica
Last Name	Leal
Email Address	jnleal@gmail.com
Street Address	3501 Laguna Street #203, SF CA 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a

more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From: noreply@salesforce.com on behalf of PIC Customer Service
To: noreply@jotform.com; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)
Cc: investor-relations@albertsons.com; SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:43:58 PM

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Fitch [noreply@jotform.com]
Sent: 3/15/2026, 7:29 PM
To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; investor-relations@albertsons.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; media@albertsons.com; pic@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Alison
Last Name	Fitch
Email Address	alisonfitch1019@gmail.com
Street Address	2190 beach st, apt 207, San Francisco, CA
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a

more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve.

Sincerely,

From: Schlemminger
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 9:43:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Carla
Last Name	Schlemminger
Email Address	carlas@yahoo.com
Street Address	2295 Vallejo St., Apt 203, SF, CA 94123
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Shrayber
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 6:28:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Esfir
Last Name	Shrayber
Email Address	to_fira@yahoo.com
Street Address	3650 Judah St Apt 3
Email Subject	Request to Reconsider the Scale of the Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: B
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); investor-relations@albertsons.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); SherrillStaff; media@albertsons.com; PIC, PLN (CPC)
Subject: Re: YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2026 6:43:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



YES, IT CAN REALLY HAPPEN . . .

First Name	Jill
Last Name	B
Email Address	jknjl127@yahoo.com
Street Address	800 Bay St.
Email Subject	Request to STOP Marina Safeway Project
Email Message Body	Dear City Leaders and Project Sponsors, This message is directed to the San Francisco Planning Department, the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, Albertsons/Safeway, Scott Weiner, Catherine Stefani and Align Real Estate regarding the proposed Marina Safeway redevelopment. I'm writing regarding the proposed redevelopment of the Marina Safeway site. While many of us support adding housing in San Francisco, the current Marina Safeway proposal — including two towers of 20 and 25-stories — is far out of scale with the Marina and with other of Safeway/Align's planned redevelopments (Bernal,Richmond,San Mateo). Just because something can be built under current rules does not mean it should be. A project in the range of 6-8 stories could still deliver substantial housing while respecting the surrounding neighborhood and the people who live there. Residents are being urged to boycott Safeway stores until a more balanced plan is developed. We hope Safeway, Align, city and state officials will reconsider the scale of this project and work toward a proposal that better reflects the community you serve. Sincerely,

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); BOS-Operations
Subject:	67 Letters regarding the Balboa Skate Park
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:20:42 PM
Attachments:	67 Letters Regarding the Balboa Skate Park.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached for 67 letters regarding the Balboa Skate Park.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: James Neveils
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:25:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

James Neveils
neveij08@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: J Willough
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:33:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

J Willough
jeanettewillough@gmail.com

Nicasio, California 94946

From: malorieeross@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:07:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside my local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

malorieeross@gmail.com

,

From: Alexis Camacho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:59:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Alexis Camacho
alexiscamacho3243@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: Mel Cordova
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Mel Cordova
melcordova8903@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: Kyle Militante
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:09:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kyle Militante
militant92@icloud.com

Hercules, California 94547

From: Kenneth Jong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:35:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

I was there for the first opening of Balboa Skatepark! I've created great memories and made great friendships there. To see an upgrade to the park would not only help skaters, but the community around it!

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the

community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kenneth Jong
kennykjong@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94618

From: Vinnie Hernández
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:36:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Vinnie Hernández
vinnathernandez808@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Jeff Deamer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:06:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jeff Deamer
eljefe5353@gmail.com

, 94117

From: Abraham Hernandez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:07:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Abraham Hernandez
dezu.clipz@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94107

From: Hannah Donkin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:11:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Hannah Donkin
donkin.hannah@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94107

From: Ryan Outlaw
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:51:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ryan Outlaw
lpstreetpinoy@gmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: Kimberly Stochaj
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:12:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

While not personally a skater, I am a proud resident of the Excelsior neighborhood and walk by the skate park every day. I absolutely adore the community of skaters young and old that it brings together, and would really appreciate if that could be continued by revitalizing the space!

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Kimberly Stochaj
kastochaj@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Joel Hamill
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:37:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Joel Hamill
joel.hamill@gmail.com

, 94110

From: MacKenzie Santiago
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:05:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

MacKenzie Santiago
mjs94080@gmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: Josh Rautenberg
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:41:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Josh Rautenberg
akarowdy@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: Luke Bettencourt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:03:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Luke Bettencourt
2008lukebett@gmail.com

Santa Clara, California 95050

From: Boran Israel-Megerssa
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:15:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Boran Israel-Megerssa
boranmegerssa96@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Brett Simons
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:42:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Brett Simons
forgottenrebel12@aol.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: Samuel Baba
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:30:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Samuel Baba
sbaba838@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94611

From: Max Josephson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Max Josephson
bonesmalone1987@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: Osten Yang
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:06:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Osten Yang
Ostenyang888@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Jimmy Holway
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:05:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jimmy Holway
jnholway@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: David Andrade
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:43:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

David Andrade
7plyride@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: Vicky Chen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:18:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Vicky Chen
vickychen2586@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: Camren Yokley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:27:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Camren Yokley
camrenyokley@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: Ty Caudle
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:37:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ty Caudle
tycaudle@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: Matt Maier
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:59:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Matt Maier
matthew.maier@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Nathan Dintenfass
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:54:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Nathan Dintenfass
nathan@changemedia.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: SAUL REYES
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:47:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

SAUL REYES
saulreyes415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Aaron Schroeder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 8:18:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Aaron Schroeder
grommet.suites3x@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Gaelan Spor
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 8:56:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gaelan Spor
gaelanmckeown@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: Alexandra Bruneman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 3:23:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Alexandra Bruneman
aaacorral@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Garrett Parker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 4:22:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Hello!

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Please support this.

Sincerely,
Garrett

Garrett Parker
gmparker356@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: Moustafa Said
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 6:30:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Moustafa Said
msaid1992@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: Julieta Menendez canelas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:08:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Julieta Menendez canelas
julieta187@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: Dana Coil
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 9:36:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Dana Coil
danadavidcoil@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Rayann Elsarrag
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 1:29:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Rayann Elsarrag
iamrayann20@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: Alexander Asercion
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 2:19:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Alexander Asercion
alexwilliams556.aw@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: Will Howard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:45:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Will Howard
Willhowa2000@gmail.com

Healdsburg, California 95448

From: Andrew Perkins
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 10:34:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Andrew Perkins
andrewperkins.us@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110-5733

From: ndantzig@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:04:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

ndantzig@gmail.com

,

From: Noah Bovarnick
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 12:40:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Noah Bovarnick
noahbovarnick@icloud.com

San Anselmo, California 94960

From: Nathan Mosher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Nathan Mosher
ntmosher@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Londell Gulley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:33:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Londell Gulley
gulleylondell@gmail.com

Daly City, California 94014

From: Matthew Golden
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:39:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Matthew Golden
matthewxgolden@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: Joe Cioni
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:41:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Joe Cioni
joecioni@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: Aly Lizotte
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:51:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Aly Lizotte
alyson.lizotte@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: Grady Smalling
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:55:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

My twin 9-year-old daughters skate there and so do I as their 47-year-old dad. It's an inclusive, fun venue but the facilities are old and rundown.

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Grady Smalling
gradysmalling@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: Jess Carlucci
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:54:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jess Carlucci
jessjr62012@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Shawn Teer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:26:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Shawn Teer
shawn.teer23@yahoo.com

San Jose, California 95128

From: LaWan Jones
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:28:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

LaWan Jones
ljones@sfwater.org

San Francisco, California 94102

From: Jotti Aulakh
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:28:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jotti Aulakh
jotti92@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: Toshi Wadlow
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:18:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Toshi Wadlow
ninjatoshi@gmail.com

San Francisco, 94113

From: Allan Chavez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:45:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Allan Chavez
chavezgarcia415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Katherine Quintanilla
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:52:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Katherine Quintanilla
katherinesquintanilla@gmail.com

Mountain View, California 94043

From: James Nampalam
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:57:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

James Nampalam
jamesnampalam@gmail.com

Cupertino, California 95014

From: Rahsaan Alexander
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:14:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Rahsaan Alexander
sturdysir@proton.me

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Jolene Morris
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 12:13:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jolene Morris
jojolenemarie@gmail.com

Castro Valley, California 94546

From: Abel Castellanos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Abel Castellanos
abel.castellanos@me.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: Ariel Dowgaluk
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ariel Dowgaluk
arieldowgaluk@yahoo.com

Alameda, California 94501

From: Kyle Palermo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 2:58:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kyle Palermo
kylespalermo@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Jack Goggans
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 3:13:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jack Goggans
jackgoggans@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: David Gabriner
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 3:15:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

David Gabriner
43hills@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: Taylor HOwell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 4:29:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Taylor HOwell
taylorlax38@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: Ciardella Calan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 5:17:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ciardella Calan
calanciar5@gmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: Ben Nero
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:14:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Boardof Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ben Nero
ben.nero@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Carroll, John (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	26 Letters Regarding File No. 251117
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:11:20 PM
Attachments:	26 Letters Regarding File No. 251117.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 26 letters regarding **File No. 251117:**

Resolution urging the California State Legislature and the Governor of California to allow local communities the right to vote on whether autonomous vehicles can operate in their counties.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: michela garber
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

michela garber
garber.michela@gmail.com
1246A 9th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Jon Alvarado
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:41:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Jon Alvarado
jon.alvarado.ca@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: Joshua Zeier
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:43:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Joshua Zeier
jdzeier@gmail.com
103 Crescent Avenue
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94110

From: Andrew Pastalaniec
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:45:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Andrew Pastalaniec
akpasta@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: Kevin O'Shea
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:11:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Kevin O'Shea
knoshea@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: Ian Hewitt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:12:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Ian Hewitt
ianrhewitt@gmail.com
238 San Carlos Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Waqar Rana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:25:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Waqar Rana
waqar.s.rana@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: Beatrix Jones
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us regulate rogue Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:11:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Beatrix Jones
beanotbeah@gmail.com
701 Fell St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Melinda Huot
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:19:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Melinda Huot
melhuot@gmail.com
317 Scott St Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Carrie Leung
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:39:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Carrie Leung
carrie.leung@gmail.com
1301 Fulton St Apt 310
San Francisco , California 94117

From: Roxie Corrigan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:58:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Roxie Corrigan
corriganroxie1996@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: James Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:26:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

James Smith
jamesmikkal@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: Eehwa Ung
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos and any "driverless" vehicles
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:27:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Eehwa Ung
eehwaung@proton.me

San Francisco, California 94118

From: Carrie Leung
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:57:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Carrie Leung
carrie.leung@gmail.com
1301 Fulton St Apt 310
San Francisco , California 94117

From: David Lin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:12:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

David Lin
davidlin4158@gmail.com
888 7th street unit 346
san francisco , California 94107

From: Emerson Allen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:27:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Emerson Allen
emmyallen101@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Calvin Fiske
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:36:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Calvin Fiske
calvinfiske715@gmail.com
454 S Van Ness Ave
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Terri Saul
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let SF decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:10:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Terri Saul
terrisaul@gmail.com
1262 62nd St. Apt. B
Emeryville, California 94608

From: Tom Heng
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:52:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Tom Heng
thomasheng26@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Praneetha Potiny
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:17:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Praneetha Potiny
praneethapotiny@gmail.com
3655 Colegrove St. Apt 4
San Mateo, California 94403

From: Jay Chatfield
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Waymo
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello.
My name is Jay Chatfield. I own purchased taxi medallion #1528, for which I am still paying.
Recently I witnessed a Waymo car traveling west make a left hand turn from mission street onto eighth street. Later that day I saw it again. Which means it was not an error but something okayed by the city. While I personally don't have a problem with waymo I do have a problem with Waymo being given the same privileges as taxis who have paid a $250,000 fee to the city.
Is there an explanation why one vehicle for hire would have to pay $250,000 to operate in San Francisco while another doesn’t?

The excuse that the CPUC has okayed them is meaningless as taxis have also been okayed. Furthermore every vehicle with adequate seatbelts has the same permission to transport others. This argument does not hold up. What on earth would restrict anyone from becoming a vehicle for hire in San Francisco?

San Francisco purports to regulate the number of vehicles for hire when it is poor individuals who have to pay, But throw their hands up when 4 trillion dollar companies are involved. Every passenger I have spoken to about this agrees with me about the unfairness of the city’s action. San Francisco could easily charge Waymo (alphabet/google) a one time medallion fee and buy back medallions from cab drivers willing to leave the business. Thank you very much.

Jay R. Chatfield

From: Rosalind Owen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:36:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Rosalind Owen

Rosalind Owen
rosalindhowen@gmail.com
763 Cole St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Eli Osborn
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 11:21:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Eli Osborn
oz_01@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Alex Thebez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 6:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Alex Thebez
alex@thebez.lol

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Rukaiya Jamil Fee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 9:25:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Rukaiya Jamil Fee
catsrmj@gmail.com

Palo Alto, California 94306

From: jason munderloh
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:35:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

jason munderloh
jasonmunderloh@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject:	102 Letters Regarding File No. 251247
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:21:45 PM
Attachments:	102 Letters Regarding File No. 251247.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 102 letters regarding **File No. 251247:**

Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 9:26:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

David Nolley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:09:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Lorraine Witte

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 12:55:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephanie Slusher

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 2:59:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

ANNA MARIA NERI

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:30:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Cory Liu

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:30:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Marla Wong

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:30:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Stephanie Lam

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:30:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carol Cassetta

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:30:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Patricia Blee

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:31:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Debbie Wong

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:31:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sherry Juneja

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:32:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Amanda Elyades

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:32:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dzovig Derounian

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 11:33:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sheran Honneyman

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:47:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ulises Bello

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:09:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rube Dies

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:40:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andre Vasquez

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:32:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shannon Chu

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:34:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Rob Cross

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 1:57:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

joyce glick

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:22:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

WILLIAM C. CHAN

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:36:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Fisch

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:16:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Daniel Shia

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:17:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Easton

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:21:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Huchberger

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:27:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Janet Fitzpatrick

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:28:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Susan Shafton

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 3:39:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mariko Terazaki

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:06:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Geoffrey Norman

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:12:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Phyllis Harlick

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:21:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ammala Block

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jackie Byrne

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:32:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nick LiVigne

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:34:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Linda & Jay Begler

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Brooke Soslow

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:52:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jack Soslow

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:52:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Fred Shirzadi

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:13:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roberto Cantu

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:22:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Akriti Gupta

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Douglas Biederbeck

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:34:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jean Lee

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:40:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Karen Stone

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:48:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Cox

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ellen Griffin

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:32:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gilbert Jenkins

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:36:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Norm Dito

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:44:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dana Jemison

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:04:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jane Day

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:43:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nicholas Narcowich

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:00:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Barker

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:34:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

irina Shenkar

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 10:45:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

William N. Post

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:02:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jill Faber

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 5:07:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Carver

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 6:10:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jeanine Catalano

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:10:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Louis Saroni

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:16:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Melissa Clark

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 10:09:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Mercedes Barberis

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 10:48:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Adelina Martiniuc

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:25:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Artson

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 12:22:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gayle Geary

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:38:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joan Gilbert

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:46:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Victor Shaheen

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Vineet Antil

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:08:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

matthew staley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:35:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Andrea Govoni

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:06:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anna Wong

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Tim Carrico

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:22:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bianca Baca

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:24:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kilby Stenkamp

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 7:56:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Peter baumann

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:09:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christopher Kuhlman

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:49:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

jeri richards

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:08:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

JAMES ONEIL

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:14:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Renee Voss

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:14:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Barbara Voss

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:26:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

James Dannenberg

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:30:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Robert Wyrsch

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:31:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Larry Reed

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:35:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Joni La hman

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:40:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

CARYL ITO

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:42:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kevin Wakelin

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:46:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jim Hirsch

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:51:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Luke Ford

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 11:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Miranda Jung

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:00:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gregory Fontenot

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:05:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Althea Kippes

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:10:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Michael Eisler

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Edward Fisch

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:20:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Max Isaacman

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carmen Woo

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:20:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Carol Igras

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:30:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Dona Crowder

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:32:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

JEFFREY NIGH

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:56:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jennifer Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:57:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

John Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:57:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Shane Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:58:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Devlin Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 12:59:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ocelia Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 1:23:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Sherial Heller

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 1:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Scott Holtkamp

From: Blueprint SF
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2026 11:25:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as $300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

• Expand the proposed Technical Advisory Committee's mandate to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings and recommend full exemptions or more technically/financially feasible alternatives for implementation.

• Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Bill Alvarado

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Keep the Department of the Status of Women in the SF Charter
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:50:00 PM
Attachments:	Letter Packet Re DOSW to Board of Supervisors 031626.docx.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached communication regarding the following File Nos.

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies

260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: Julie Soo <jdssfdem@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2026 2:26 PM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Keep the Department of the Status of Women in the SF Charter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman,

Please find the attached letter and supporting documents. I urge you and the Board of Supervisors to keep the Depoartment of the Status of Women (DOSW) in the SF Charter as the Board wades through Charter reform. DOSW is a vital part of keeping government effective and efficient. Do not ignore half of the population.

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Commissioner, San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (2021 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

Julie D. Soo
Senior Staff Counsel
Legal Division, Enforcement Bureau I
California Department of Insurance
(415) 538-4429
(510) 238-7830 FAX

PLEASE NOTE that Enforcement – San Francisco is now Enforcement – Oakland known as Enforcement Bureau I.
My new address effective February 24, 2020 is 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.
My direct phone line remains the same but please note the new fax number.

JULIE D. SOO
(415) 260-5886 (cell)
Julie.Soo@sfgov.org

March 16, 2026

SUBJECT: Restore the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent Governance Agency Under the San Francisco Charter

VIA E-MAIL: Board of Supervisors President Rafael Mandelman – Rafael.Manelman@sfgov.org / mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org and Board of Supervisors Clerk Angela Calvillo – Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

President Mandelman and Supervisors –

I reiterate the following letter that I wrote to the Commission Streamlining Task Force on October 14, 2025 below and attach an earlier July 7, 2025 letter that I had submitted.

I write as a former Commissioner on the Status of Women [COSW] (2009 – 2021) and a former Co-Chair of the San Francisco Collaboration Against Human Trafficking [SFCAHT] (2013 – 2018). Since December 2021, I have been seated to the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board [SDOB], now the immediate past president after serving two terms in leadership.

I also attach the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) / COSW Fiscal Year 2012 – 2013 Report from when I was COSW president to highlight the diverse and necessary work that DOSW and COSW has developed with other agencies and organizations.

Following the Task Force's meetings throughout the spring and summer and using its adopted templates and vocabulary, I urge the Board of Supervisors to restore DOSW as an Independent Governance Agency Under the San Francisco Charter.

DOSW should continue as an independent governance agency under the Charter with primary responsibilities of addressing domestic violence and human trafficking; gender-based violence; sexual harassment; and, gender equity. This is harm reduction for the City. Some of the most dangerous calls for SFPD and the Sheriff's Office involve domestic violence. The Family Violence Council and its reports initiated by DOSW and partner agencies engaged in prevention of domestic violence through counseling and education, including programs through SFUSD's public schools. Services were well-coordinated prior to November 2020, before DOSW's primary focus was dismantled under the past blemished executive director who was removed last April. But, more than ever, domestic violence services are needed as the number of victims rose during the COVID shutdown and resources have faced dramatic cuts in funding, particularly from federal grants. These services must come from expertise cultivated over the years, providing best practices in trauma-informed care and safety protocol.

Some statistics to bear in mind:

- According to a 2023 United Nations Report by UN Women and the U.N. Office of Drugs and Crime, the deadliest place for women is in the home with 140 women and girls on average killed by an intimate partner or family member per day. That is 51,100 women and girls in 2023.
- From the National Domestic Violence Hotline:
    - An average of 24 people per minute are victims of rape, physical violence or stalking by an intimate partner in the United States.
    - Intimate partner violence alone affects 12 million people every year.
    - 1 in 4 women and 1 in 7 men aged 18 and older in the United States have been the victim of severe physical violence in their lifetime.
    - In the United States, nearly 34 percent of female homicide victims were killed by an intimate partner as compared to 6 percent for male victims.

Again, your support of DOSW and COSW will save lives and dollars. Vulnerable women and their children depend on DOSW's and COSW's years of effective advocacy with longtime trusted partner organizations.

Very truly yours,



Enclosure: July 7, 2025 Letter
DOSW / COSW Fiscal Year 2012 – 2013 Report

JULIE D. SOO
(415) 260-5886 (cell)
Julie.Soo@sfgov.org

July 7, 2025

SUBJECT: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency

VIA E-MAIL: CommissionStreamlining@sfgov.org

Commission Streamlining Task Force –
Members Sophie Hayward, Natasha Mihal, Andrea Bruss, Ed Harrington, and Jean Fraser:

I write as a former Commissioner on the Status of Women (2009 – 2021) and a former Co-Chair of the San Francisco Collaboration Against Human Trafficking (2013 – 2018). Since December 2021, I have been seated to the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, now serving a second term as its president.

I urge you to maintain the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) as an independent and fully funded agency. The violence prevention work that has been one of the traditional hallmarks of DOSW's work has saved lives and financial resources. Domestic violence calls are some of the most dangerous calls for our first responders.

For more than 50 years, women of San Francisco have fought to have an agency that addresses the specific needs of women and their children. In 1975, the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) was formed to "monitor complaints about unlawful and unequal treatment of women, to investigate inequalities, and propose remedies." In 1980, Mayor Dianne Feinstein and the Board of Supervisors created a Domestic Violence Program Fund to be administered by the Commission. This funding has continued to be allocated to San Francisco community-based non-profit organizations to support survivors of domestic violence and their families and include La Casa de las Madres, California's first domestic violence shelter, and the Asian Women's shelter, the nation's first shelter for Asian and Pacific Islander survivors. These agencies continue to provide trauma-informed and culturally and linguistically appropriate services.

At the end of the Feinstein Administration, COSW was consolidated with the Human Rights Commission. However, women leaders saw that independence for COSW was necessary to address issues and services specific to women and worked with Supervisor Roberta Achtenburg and Mayor Art Agnos to achieve that end. Moreover, in 1994, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E, a charter amendment that established a permanent Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) to implement work initiated by COSW and ongoing issues identified and prioritized by COSW as it migrated to a policy and oversight body.

Pursuant to San Francisco Charter section 4.119, COSW's mandate is to "develop and recommend policies and practices for the City and County to reduce the particular impacts on women and girls of problems such as domestic violence, sexual harassment, employment and health care inequity, and homelessness, as well as advocate on behalf of women and girls in such areas."

During my tenure on COSW, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel led by DOSW, continued to meet to implement some one hundred recommendations for policy reforms to San Francisco's poor response to domestic violence and disbanded after a successful decade. COSW pushed for a full investigation after the 2000 Claire Joyce Tempongko murder at the hands of her ex-boyfriend and its review and recommendations were detailed in *Justice & Courage Report: A Blueprint for San Francisco's Response to Domestic Violence* (2002). Her murder followed several other domestic violence-related homicides that same year. Though Claire Joyce had a restraining order in place and did everything right to protect herself and her two young children, her life was taken in front of her children.

DOSW formed a public-private partnership and created a Domestic Violence Response Cross-Training Institute that brought together 911 dispatch, police, probation officers, and other responders. From 2010 to 2014, San Francisco saw zero domestic violence homicides. Previously, San Francisco saw between 12 to 16 domestic violence homicides annually.

DOSW and the San Francisco Domestic Violence Consortium (DV Consortium) worked to have a special code for domestic calls to 911 dispatch. This provided a measure of extra safety for first responders – whether police officers, sheriff's deputies, or emergency services – to alert them to an especially dangerous call. The special code also allowed for data collection and detailed the unmet need for hotlines, shelters, and other supportive services. COSW and DOSW have put forth prevention education, including programs at SFUSD and family support organizations.

In 2010, COSW and DOSW undertook the first Family Violence Council Report, underscoring that success comes with a targeted, multi-pronged approach with stakeholders and responsible agencies at the table. The 28 members of the Council include the COSW president or designee and representatives from the City's agencies and partner agencies, along with law enforcement, probation, and the courts. The report also included work to prevent child abuse and elder abuse. At the time, partner agencies identified that San Francisco was not in compliance with California law in its child abuse prevention programs and response; this was quickly remedied. Until the 2020 departure of Dr. Emily Murase as DOSW's executive director, data collection soon became more routine and available to secure grants to expand issues addressed by COSW and DOSW.

Also in 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom, District Attorney Kamala Harris, and Board of Supervisors President David Chiu joined COSW and DOSW to launch the San

Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT). Human trafficking has been a growing problem, particularly in major city hubs and with large events. DOSW worked to train Super Bowl 50 volunteers to identify signs of human trafficking with the agreement of Daniel Lurie as chair of the Super Bowl 50 Host Committee. Since then, DOSW has trained scores of personnel in the hospitality industry and DOSW's model has been replicated at other host cities. The City heeded COSW's and DOSW's call for increased funding needed to properly respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. Indeed, funding grew from $1 million in 2004 to $8 million with an additional $9 million state grant for anti-human trafficking programs by the end of Dr. Murase's tenure in 2020.

The turmoil surrounding DOSW post-2020 should not determine the outcome of DOSW as an independent agency with the necessary funding. COSW and DOSW must return to the charter mandate of a working to eliminate gender-based violence under proper leadership. Only then, will our City see some semblance of gender equity.

Your support of DOSW and COSW will save lives and dollars. Vulnerable women and their children depend on DOSW's and COSW's years of effective advocacy with longtime trusted partner organizations.

Very truly yours,



Fiscal Year 2012-2013
ANNUAL REPORT





GOVERNMENT
DOCUMENTS DEPT

OCT - 4 2013

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Mayor Edwin M. Lee

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women

Executive Director
Emily M. Murase, PhD

Table of Contents

About the Department	3
Women's Human Rights	4
Outreach & Community Engagement	5
Women's Health & Safety	7
Women in the Workplace	9

FY12-13 Highlights

- ZERO (0) domestic violence homicides
- TWO (2) joint commission meetings
- 21% increase in funding to address violence against women



Letter from the Commission President

Julie D. Soo

This past year has been a landmark year for the Commission. We have always maintained that the issues we champion, from women's right to freedom from violence to gender equality in the workplace, are city-wide issues, and indeed global issues. In December, we participated in the first joint meeting of the Commission on the Status of Women with the Police Commission where we declared victory in the fight to eliminate domestic violence homicides in San Francisco. From what used to be an average of about 10 such homicides per year, we were able to eliminate all domestic violence homicides in 2012. This was possible only through the joint efforts of our community-based Partner Agencies and city agencies, including social services and law enforcement, under the umbrella of the Family Violence Council.

To start 2013 on a strong foundation, I initiated bringing City Hall to the Mission District and Chinatown to host listening sessions on how we could better serve agencies and their clients who are largely limited-English proficient immigrants. These sessions reaffirmed that different approaches and outreach are necessary for our immigrant communities with regard to violence prevention and that comprehensive family services upon arrival is the most efficient use of funds, from both monetary and human resource perspectives. In February, I joined Mayor Ed Lee and District Attorney George Gascón for "One Billion Rising" in San Francisco, our contribution to Eve Ensler's V-Day international movement to stand up to violence against women that was broadcast around the world. In April, we held the first joint meeting of our Commission with the Small Business Commission in order to focus on the economic empowerment of women. Childcare stands as a large barrier for women entrepreneurs. And, in May, the Commission hosted experts in the area of women veterans, highlighting the needs of this underserved group.

I want to thank my colleagues on the Commission for advancing this work, and to staff for their dedicated efforts. Special thanks to the Friends of the Commission on the Status of Women who have been such strong partners to the Commission.



San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

The Commission on the Status of Women was established in 1975 to ensure equitable treatment and foster the advancement of women and girls in San Francisco through policies, legislation, and programs. The Commission is composed of 7 members appointed by the Mayor for 4-year terms, and meets monthly on the 4th Wednesday at City Hall.

FY12-13 Commissioners

Julie D. Soo, President

Nancy Kirshner-Rodriguez, Vice President

Alicia Gamez, Commissioner

Kay Gulbengay, Commissioner

Rebecca Prowda, Commissioner

Andrea Shorter, Commissioner

Stephanie Simmons, Commissioner

Letter from the Department Executive Director



Emily M. Murase, PhD

The past year was marked by significant challenges and opportunities. A city-wide crisis focused on the issue of domestic violence required our full attention. Working closely with our community-based and city partners, in particular Mayor Ed Lee, Board of Supervisors President David Chiu, and Supervisor Malia Cohen, we were able to secure additional funds to address violence against women, both to expand direct services to women experiencing violence and to restore a staff position dedicated to our anti-violence policy efforts. We were so pleased to welcome Minouche Kandel as the Women's Policy Director at the end of the fiscal year.

Human trafficking also became a front-burner issue and the Department was asked to partner with the Mayor's Office to staff a city-wide task force on human trafficking. Our update to the *Girls Report* demonstrated gaps in services for LBTQ young women and we have forged ties with the newly formed Alliance for Girls, a consortium of girl-serving community-based agencies.

Our influence continued to make a difference outside of San Francisco. Department staff led a large delegation of eminent women who traveled to the March meeting of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women in New York to discuss economic empowerment, human trafficking, and anti-violence efforts in San Francisco. At the same time, the New York Women's Agenda launched a new workplace initiative based on the San Francisco Gender Equality Principles Initiative. In April, we launched a new Challenge to highlight model practices that advance gender equality in the workplace.

We continue to be a **small but mighty** Department. Read on for details of our accomplishments this year.

The Department was established in 1994 by San Francisco voters.

Department on the Status of Women

Department Staff

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Executive Director

Aimee Allison, Media & Community Affairs Director

Stacey Hoang, Fiscal & Development Director

Minouche Kandel, Women's Policy Director

Ann Lehman, Women's Human Rights Specialist

Carol Sacco, Senior Grants Administrator

Cynthia Vasquez, Executive Coordinator

FY12-13 Fellows

Jane Bernard-Powers, PhD, SFSU Prof. (ret.)

Elizabeth Laferriere, Georgetown University

Christine Lee, USF School of Law

Lina Malova, SF State University

Stephanie Nguyen, UC Berkeley

Katherine Ray, UC Berkeley

Nancy Rock, Marketing Consultant

Johanna Saenz, SF State University

Christine Wagner, University of Michigan

Nawz Zahir, Columbia University



FY12-13 Interns

Emma Brenner-Bryant, Tufts University

Hannah Chan, UC Los Angeles

Natalie Liener, Connecticut College

Anh "Yumi" Nguyen, George Washington High School

Jasmine Perez, Galileo Academy of Sciences & Technology

Alexandra Skewes-Cox, University of Colorado, Boulder

ABOUT THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

In 1994, San Francisco voters approved a local measure to create a permanent Department on the Status of Women (Department) to carry out the policies of the Commission. Four years later, in 1998, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women and girls. During Fiscal Year 2012-2013 (FY12-13), which is July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013, the Department continued to use the CEDAW framework to organize its work under three primary categories—Women's Human Rights, Women's Health & Safety, and Women in the Workplace—to carry out the mission set forth by the Commission.

1975 — Board of Supervisors established Commission

1994 — Voters approved measure to create a permanent Department

1998 — SF adopted local CEDAW Ordinance (No. 128-98), Chapter 12K of Admin. Code

Commission vs. Department vs. Friends

COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN
A 7-member governmental policy body appointed by the Mayor.

DEPARTMENT ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN
A city department that executes the strategic plan set forth by the Commission.

FRIENDS OF THE COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN
An independent non-profit organization that fundraises to support the work of the Commission and the Department.

Budgeting and Staffing

FY12-13 was a great year for the Department. Through an anti-domestic violence Supplemental Ordinance proposed by Board President David Chiu, in conjunction with the support of the domestic violence community, the Department increased its staff by 1 full-time position, increased its Violence Against Women Prevention and Intervention (VAW) Grants Program for legal services by almost $300,000, and allocated $50,000 to an anti-domestic violence campaign. In addition, the VAW Partner Agencies saw a 2% cost-of-doing business increase to its contracts. Overall, VAW contracts increased by 21% from FY11-12.



Board President David Chiu, Executive Director Emily Murase, and DA's Chief of Victim Services Maria Bee with the domestic violence community after a budget hearing regarding supplemental funds to domestic violence services.

During the fiscal year, the Department's 7 staff conducted much of the work with the assistance of its 16 dedicated fellows and interns. Senior Grants Administrator Carol Sacco has done a tremendous job coordinating the Department's internship program and finding these highly skilled individuals.

WOMEN'S HUMAN RIGHTS

Connections to Women Nationally and Globally

In October, the Department partnered with the Women's Intercultural Network (WIN), which has consultative status to the United Nations, to go to New York to meet with State Department officials Laura Phipps and Peggy Kerry, Kazakhstan Ambassador Byrganym Aitimova, Liberian Ambassador Marjon V. Kamara, and top staff at UN Women to discuss a global women's conference.

In February, the Department and WIN led 25 Bay Area delegates to the UN Commission on the Status of Women's 57th Session in New York and organized three workshops, "*Partnership Models to End Domestic Violence*," "*Local Solutions to End Human Trafficking*," and "*Microfinance and Gender Equality in the Workplace*." While in New York, Women's Human Rights Specialist Ann Lehman, and Media and Community Affairs Director Aimee Allison also attended the UN Global Compact Conference and met with Avra Siegel, Deputy Director of the White House Council on Women and Girls, to discuss the Gender Equality Challenge.



2013 Delegates

Overall, FY12-13 posed a challenge for the Department's efforts in supporting a 5th World Conference on Women (5WCW). Even with all the dedicated efforts of the Steering Committee, strong opposition to a 5WCW stemming from fear that the Beijing Platform for Action would be at risk proved too great of a barrier to proceed as originally planned. Instead, efforts will be refocused on organizing a national women's agenda connected to international standards.

Events Promoting Women's Human Rights - Sponsored by the Friends of the Commission

The Friends of the Commission on the Status of Women is an all-volunteer 501(c)(3) non-profit membership organization dedicated to raising awareness and funding in support of the work of the Commission and the Department. In FY12-13, the Friends hosted the following two annual events:

- **2012 CEDAW Women's Human Rights Awards Luncheon,** "*Inspiring An Equal Future*," where more than 300 guests celebrated the work of 10 honorees (majority pictured below) who promoted and advanced women and girls issues: **Brenda Yee** (Health), **Christine Bronstein** (Community Building), **Deloitte** (Corporate Leadership), **Esta Soler** (Claire Joyce Tempongko Memorial), **Fabiola Kramsky** (Media), **Janet Reilly** (Philanthropy), **Dr. Jean Shinoda Bolen** (Global), **Neesha Hathi** (Leadership), **Weili Dai** (Technology), and **Honorable Willie L. Brown, Jr.** (Legacy).



- **9th Annual Women's History Month Awards Ceremony and Reception,** "*Women Inspiring Innovation Through Imagination: Celebrating Women in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics*," is an annual event to honor women for their work and dedication in the community. Thirteen women, each nominated by the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, or the District Attorney, were honored this year in March.

OUTREACH & COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Timeline of Major Events in FY 2012-2013

Sept. 24, 2012	2012 CEDAW Women's Human Rights Awards Luncheon: *Inspiring an Equal Future* (1)
Sept. 27, 2012	GEP Roundtable: *Genderize Your Marketing: What You Don't Know Could Cost You*
Oct. 15, 2012	Workplace Domestic Violence Policy Press Conference with Supervisor Eric Mar
Oct. 21, 2012	Justice & Courage Oversight Panel 10-Year Anniversary
Nov. 1, 2012	COSW Strategic Planning Retreat
Dec. 5, 2012	Joint meeting with the Commission and the Police Commission
Dec. 13, 2012	Peace at Home Press Conference with Mayor Ed Lee
Jan. 15, 2013	2013 Human Trafficking Awareness Month Opening Ceremony
Feb. 14, 2013	One Billion Rising San Francisco (2)
Mar. 19, 2013	9th Annual Women's History Month Awards Ceremony & Reception: *Inspiring Innovation Through Imagination: Celebrating Women in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics* (3)
Apr. 8, 2013	Joint meeting with the Commission and the Small Business Commission
Apr. 15, 2013	Gender Equality Challenge Launch (4)
May 9, 2013	Healthy Mothers Workplace Coalition Launch
May 21, 2013	GEP Roundtable: *Trafficking, Supply Chains & Gender*



1. From left: Commission President Julie Soo, Executive Director Emily Murase, CEDAW Honoree Esta Soler, Friends President Marily Mondejar, CEDAW Honoree Fabiola Kramsky, Stefanie Medious, Heidi Swartz, and District Attorney George Gascón.



2. From left: Commission President Julie Soo, Executive Director Emily Murase, V-Day Executive Director Susan Swan, Friends President Marily Mondejar, and Ken Marquis.



3. Community Leaders and Women's History Month Honorees.



4. Women's Human Rights Specialist Ann Lehman at City Hall.







Clockwise from top left: Friends Board Members Stephanie Block and Shinta Lim, Commissioner Andrea Shorter, and guests at the CEDAW VIP Reception; Staff and volunteers tabling at the One Billion Rising San Francisco event; Commission President Julie Soo at the Roe v. Wade rally; Fellows and staff at the CEDAW registration table; Department farewell luncheon for summer fellows and interns; Staff and fellows with the Swedish Minister of Gender Equality Delegation; and Commission Vice President Nancy Kirshner-Rodriguez and Futures Without Violence's Rachael Smith Fals at the CEDAW Luncheon.









WOMEN'S HEALTH & SAFETY


Violence Against Women Prevention & Intervention (VAW) Grants Program

Many positive developments transpired in FY12-13 for the VAW Grants Program. Not only did our Partner Agencies see an overall increase of 21% in funding from the previous year, but it was also the first time that VAW grant funding surpassed $3 million!

The increases were the result of several actions: a Supplemental Ordinance proposed by Board President David Chiu to increase funding for domestic violence legal services, a one-time add back of $250,000 by the Board of Supervisors, and a 2% cost-of-doing-business increase to all community agencies by the Mayor.

The 24 agencies representing 31 programs received $3,298,927, **served 19,585 unduplicated individuals**, and provided **39,116 hours of supportive services**. Specifically, the 3 emergency shelters provided **6,814 bed nights** to women and their children escaping domestic violence; the 4 transitional and supportive housing agencies provided **15,029 bed nights** to women seeking long-term stability; and the 2 crisis line providers fielded **18,261 calls** for domestic violence and sexual assault victims.

For additional details about the VAW Grants Program or to see a list of the Partner Agencies, please visit *www.sfgov.org/dosw*.



San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT) Addressing Modern Day Slavery



Human trafficking survivor Minh Dang speaks at City Hall for the 3rd Annual Human Trafficking Awareness Month event.

The San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT) was formed in 2009 in a partnership among the Department, the Human Rights Commission, and the Jewish Coalition to End Human Trafficking. Joined by more than 20 governmental agencies, the Collaborative meets quarterly to share developments, community reports, and legislation on human trafficking. In addition to its quarterly meetings, SFCAHT:

- Held its annual planning retreat in August to determine activities and goals for the upcoming year.
- Hosted a forum on California State Proposition 35: *The Californians Against Sexual Exploitation Act* to better educate voters about the pros and cons of the proposition.
- Kicked-off the 3rd Annual Human Trafficking Awareness Month commemoration with Mayor Ed Lee, Supervisors Carmen Chu and Malia Cohen, Commission President Julie Soo, Commission Vice President Nancy Kirshner-Rodriguez, Police Chief Greg Suhr, Adult Probation Chief Wendy Still, and Human Rights Commissioners Doug Chan and Nazly Mohajer.
- Held its 2nd Annual Teen Poster Contest "*Taking Action Against Abuse: From Bystanders to Upstanders.*"
- Started preparing and planning for the August 2, 2013 SFCAHT Conference on Child Sex Trafficking.

For more information about the collaborative, visit *www.sfcaht.org*.



Mayor Ed Lee with the 1st Place winners of the 2013 SFCAHT Poster Contest: Community Youth Center, Young Asian Women Against Violence.

Justice & Courage Oversight Panel to Oversee Domestic Violence Policy Reform



Panel Member Antonio Ramirez addressing the Commissioners.

The Justice and Courage Oversight Panel hit a couple of major milestones this year. Not only did the Panel celebrate its 10-year anniversary in October, but it also marked the first year domestic violence homicides were eliminated in San Francisco. In FY12-13, the Oversight Panel held quarterly meetings, and continued taking leadership positions on important domestic violence issues city-wide.

10 Year Anniversary 2002-2012

JUSTICE & COURAGE OVERSIGHT PANEL on Domestic Violence Policy Reform

The Oversight Panel is a policy body led by 7 panel members - **Commissioner Andrea Shorter** (Chair), **Kathy Black, JaMel Perkins, Antonio Ramirez, Dion Roberts, Ken Theisen**, and **Beverly Upton** – all of whom are community experts in the field of domestic violence. All meetings are open to the public and the agenda and minutes are available at *www.sfgov.org/dosw*. A summary of the past year's achievements include:

- Meetings with Superior Court Judge Patrick Mahoney and Presiding Judge Cynthia Lee.
- Continued development of an officer-involved domestic violence policy.
- Advocacy for the adoption of a city-wide domestic violence policy and city-wide Memorandum of Understanding on Domestic Violence.
- Advocacy for the reauthorization of the Violence Against Women Act.
- Continued monitoring of the Tari Ramirez case in which the second degree murder charge on the domestic violence homicide of Claire Joyce Tempongko was overturned in appeal. Ultimately, with advocacy by the domestic violence community, the California Supreme Court reinstated the second degree murder conviction.

Family Violence Council

The San Francisco Family Violence Council is an advisory body composed of 21 government and community organization members engaged in countering child abuse, domestic violence, and elder abuse. Led by Tri-Chairs **Beverly Upton** (domestic violence), **Kathy Baxter** (child abuse), and **Talitha Guinn** (elder abuse), the Council meets quarterly to coordinate services, improve communication, analyze trends, and advise local officials about effective responses to family violence.

Images submitted by the DV agencies for the City's anti-domestic violence campaign "Peace at Home."





FVC Council Tri-Chair Beverly Upton addresses a crowd and the media during the annual Mother's Day Press Conference on domestic violence.

In FY12-13, the Council released the *2011 Comprehensive Report on Family Violence in San Francisco* with 9 recommendations that included data collection enhancement, joint trainings, factsheet on how to recognize signs of family violence, joint outreach campaign, continued support of a multidisciplinary response to family violence, creation of a victim/survivor program within the Adult Probation Department, and collaboration between the District Attorney's Victim Services and SafeStart.

WOMEN IN THE WORKPLACE

Gender Equality Principles Initiative (GEP) - Creating a Fair Workplace for Women

Over the course of FY12-13, the Gender Equality Principles Initiative (Initiative) expanded and improved. The Initiative refined its internal infrastructure and marketing strategy, developed its social media presence, expanded beyond San Francisco, and unveiled a major new project called the Gender Equality Challenge to promote model business practices.

GENDER EQUALITY CHALLENGE
BUILDING A 21ST CENTURY WORKPLACE

This year, to help advance the dialogue on workplace equality, the Initiative convened and launched new roundtables. In September, the Initiative held the "*Genderize Your Marketing: What You Don't Know Could Cost You*" Roundtable. This event was developed as part of the 3% Conference organized for female creative directors from across the country. In May, the Initiative, Verité, and the Friends co-sponsored a second roundtable on "*Trafficking, Supply Chains, and Gender*" and its connection to California's 2010 anti-human trafficking law. The Initiative was also promoted at many external events, including the Professional Business Women of California's annual conference, local human rights trainings, the launch of the New York Women's Business Principles, and shared with the U.S. Department of Labor and the White House Council on Women and Girls.

On April 15, 2013, the Initiative launched the Gender Equality Challenge to motivate organizations to join the effort to advance gender equality in the San Francisco Bay Area and to improve the working environment for all women and men. The Challenge aims to collect and highlight gender-responsive model practices that can be measured, shared, and replicated. More information on the Challenge and the Initiative can be found at *www.genderprinciples.org*.

The Gender Equality Council consists of 17 members including representatives from Deloitte; Gap, Inc.; Levi Strauss & Co.; Symantec Corporation; McKesson Corporation; Center for Talent Innovation; and Catalyst.



Women's Human Rights Specialist Ann Lehman was invited to sit on a panel at the New York Women's Agenda to talk about the Gender Equality Principles (GEP).



From Left: Gap's Antoine Andrews, Levi Strauss' Jeffrey Thompson, Executive Director Emily Murase, Supervisor Malia Cohen, BOS President David Chiu, Mayor's Press Secretary Christine Falvey, and Deloitte Partner Teresa Briggs at the City Hall launch of the Gender Equality Challenge.



From Left: Beverly Upton, Kathy Black, District Attorney George Gascón, Emcee Fabiola Kramsky, First Lady Anita Lee, Executive Director Emily Murase, BOS President David Chiu, V-Day Executive Director Susan Swan, Friends President Marily Mondejar, Supervisor Scott Weiner, Supervisor Jane Kim, Commission President Julie Soo, Supervisor John Avalos, Supervisor Malia Cohen, Supervisor David Campos, Mayor Ed Lee, Supervisor Norman Yee, Mayor's staff Diana Oliva-Aroche, and Supervisor Eric Mar at the One Billion Rising San Francisco event at City Hall on February 14, 2013.

Women/Girls and Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM)

In partnership with Mills College, the Department sponsored research by graduate student Nicole Sowers. The resulting report "*Effective Strategies to Increase Girls' Success in STEM Education*" shows that, although women fill close to half of all U.S. jobs, they hold less than 25% of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math) jobs. Specifically in San Francisco, the research revealed low enrollment and a substantial disparity between male and female students enrolled in computer programming classes in public schools - 2% of boys and 1% of girls. This disparity may partially be explained by the fact that only 5 out of the 19 high schools in the district offered programming classes. To address this gap, the San Francisco Unified School District implemented a few new initiatives such as professional development in STEM for teachers, and special STEM summer camp for underrepresented students.

Recommendations to increase girls' success in STEM education include (1) professional development to train teachers in girl-friendly pedagogy, (2) female role models in the classroom, (3) participate in local STEM collaboratives, (4) increase course availability in high school, (5) and improve STEM content in K-8 education.



Women's Human Rights Specialist Ann Lehman with Alliance for Girls' Executive Director Emma Mayerson at the S.H.E Conference "Championing Safe, Healthy and Empowered Girls" in February.

Healthy Mothers Workplace Coalition

The Healthy Mothers Workplace Coalition, comprised of representatives from governmental agencies, non-profits, commissions, and private sector employers, was created to tackle inequities in workplace and city policies that affect working mothers in San Francisco. The Coalition has spent the past year building strategic community partnerships, speaking at various community stakeholder events, creating a Maternal Leave and Return Toolkit, and launching its website, www.*healthymothersworkplace.org*. In May, the Coalition unveiled its Healthy Mothers Workplace Award assessment tool, an application that was created after analyzing months of input from community leaders and data on relevant policies worldwide. This application requires employers completing an organizational self-assessment of its policies related to parental leave, lactation accommodation, and work-family balance. Organizations that fulfill the award requirements will be honored with the Healthy Mothers Workplace Award for Maternal Health and Equity.

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women

25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415.252.2570
Fax: 415.252.2575
Email: dosw@sfgov.org

www.sfgov.org/dosw

Find us on: facebook
San Francisco Department on the Status of Women

Follow Us On twitter
@statusofwomensf

IMPROVING THE LIVES OF WOMEN IN SAN FRANCISCO SINCE 1975.








© September 2013

Printed courtesy of the Friends of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women.

3 1223 11171 6941

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: SFADC letter re Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations (File No. 260147)
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:51:00 PM
Attachments:	ADC Letter re Commission Streamlining Rent Board.pdf

Sorry, I forgot to include you on this send.

Thanks,

Eileen

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:14 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <edward.deasis@sfgov.org>; Entezari, Mehran (BOS) <mehran.entezari@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: SFADC letter re Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations (File No. 260147)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding the following File Nos.

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment
260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies
260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: Meg Heisler <meg@sfadc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:40 PM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Rosas, Lorenzo (BOS) <Lorenzo.Rosas@sfgov.org>; Andrews, Michelle (BOS) <michelle.andrews@sfgov.org>; Jessica Cen (BOS) <jessica.cen@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Raynell (BOS) <raynell.cooper@sfgov.org>; Tam, Madison (BOS) <madison.r.tam@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org>; Marie, Sophie (BOS) <sophie.marie@sfgov.org>; Herrera, Ana (BOS) <ana.herrera@sfgov.org>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <percy.burch@sfgov.org>; Sciammas, Charlie (BOS) <charlie.sciammas@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFADC letter re Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations (File No. 260147)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman and members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached a letter from the San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition opposing the Commission Streamlining Task Force's proposal to give the Mayor sole power to appoint the Rent Board Executive Director.

Thank you.

--
Meg Heisler
San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition
1212 Market Street, Unit 200
San Francisco, CA 94102
SFADC.org

March 17, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations (File No. 260147)

Dear President Mandelman and members of the Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition writes to oppose the proposed changes to the appointment process for the Rent Board Executive Director which would give the Mayor sole power to appoint. After considering various changes to the Rent Board, as well as hearing from the community, the Task Force decided to preserve the Rent Board's current structure so it is surprising to see this proposal surface now.

The rationale for giving the Mayor sole appointment authority over department heads is that, with commission appointments split between the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, the executive branch needs this authority to more effectively govern. However the Rent Board does not have split appointments. The Mayor appoints all of the Rent Board Commissioners: two landlords, two tenants, and one neutral.

Unlike any other commission, the Rent Board is quasi-judicial. The Rent Board deals with disputes between landlords and tenants. This can be contentious, but the Rent Board's legitimacy with landlords, tenants and the groups representing them is derived from its balanced makeup. The Executive Director of the Rent Board's own legitimacy derives from being selected by a Rent Board made up of an equal number of landlords and tenants. An Executive Director chosen solely by the Mayor will not have that legitimacy.

In addition, the Rent Board has deep expertise in a complex and multilayered area of law which includes the San Francisco Rent Ordinance itself, the regulations implementing the Rent Ordinance and adopted by the Board over time, and numerous other laws both state and local that come to bear. The job the Rent Board does requires specialized experience hearing, discussing, and deciding appeals. The Rent Board also adopts rules and regulations, a task that requires a lot of experience hearing appeals, in order to understand what the regulations need to say and how they might need to be changed.

It makes no sense to bypass this knowledgeable and specialized commission when appointing the Rent Board Executive Director.

It should be noted that in addition to the absence of split commission appointments at the Rent Board, the Rent Board has always chosen its Executive Director in consultation with the Mayor. There has been a complete absence of conflict or confrontation in so doing and this has been

true for the entirety of the Rent Board's existence. This is in no small part due to the voices of both landlords and tenants in the form of the Rent Board Commissioners being part and parcel of the decision.

There is no problem here to be fixed and changing the process will undermine the legitimacy of both the Rent Board and its Executive Director.

Respectfully submitted,

Meg Heisler
San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	15 Letters Regarding Commission Stream Lining Task Force
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:36:27 PM
Attachments:	15 Letters Regarding Commission Stream Lining Task Force.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 15 letters regarding the recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force File Nos.:

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies

260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	Dylan Morse
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Letter of Support for the SGAC
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:16:12 PM
Attachments:	Letter of Support for SGAC.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Attached please find a letter supporting the continuation of the SGAC.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dylan Morse
Program Director - Shelter Client Advocates
Intake: (415) 669-0284 | Cell: (415) 592-5388
976 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
dylanm@evictiondefense.org | evictiondefense.org
Pronouns: He/Him

EVICTION DEFENSE COLLABORATIVE

To the Board of Supervisors,

In Support of the Shelter Grievance Advisory Committee

This letter concerns the importance of the Shelter Grievance Advisory Committee (SGAC) which advises the Homelessness and Supportive Housing department on the administration of the Shelter Grievance Ordinance (SGO). The SGO governs the process shelters must follow when denying services to shelter guests. Meeting quarterly, the SGAC provides a critical service in ensuring transparency and accountability in our shelter services system as it reviews with HSH its shelter provider reports on the number of guests exited each month as well as reports submitted by our program regarding the number of guests who availed themselves of the denial of services appeals process and the results of those appeals.

The SGAC members run the full spectrum of current and former shelter clients, client advocates, shelter providers, DPH, and concerned citizens. This unique mix gives the committee invaluable insight from a wide variety of stakeholders, ensuring that all vested parties' interests are represented. And, most importantly, the members challenge our program, HSH, and shelter providers to explain our service levels and outcomes.

The collaborative spirit of the SGAC ensures the facilitation of discussions between consumers, providers, and HSH with the goal of improving services, mitigating the potential for abuses, and creating a system for data driven insights into what is occurring within the shelter system. By utilizing data from shelters and client advocates to take both a macroscopic and microscopic view of the shelter system, the SGAC can target its efforts on emergent and ongoing issues in a way which focuses on solutions in a collaborative manner, while still centering shelter guests.

We respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to ensure the future of the Shelter Grievance Advisory Committee so that the vital work of providing transparency and accountability in our shelter system may continue.

Respectfully,

Dylan Morse
Program Director – Shelter Client Advocates

Eviction Defense Collaborative
976 Mission Street | San Francisco, CA 94103 |
Phone: (415) 947-0797 |Fax: (415) 947-0331 |
www.evictiondefense.org

From:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Comment Letter re: File # 260147
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:58:05 PM
Attachments:	Ethics Commission Letter to Board of Supervisors - 3.17.26.pdf Outlook-Graphical .png

---

From: Abusaa, Ryan (ETH) <ryan.abusaa@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:13 PM
To: Khoo, Arthur (BOS) <arthur.khoo@sfgov.org>; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Comment Letter re: File # 260147

Please see attached for a comment letter from Ethics Commission Executive Director Patrick Ford regarding File No. 260147 (Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment).

Thank you both!

Ryan Abusaa (he/him)
Senior Policy Research Specialist, Policy Division
San Francisco Ethics Commission
415-252-3106 | sfethics.org
ryan.abusaa@sfgov.org

City & County of San Francisco
Ethics Commission

Office Hours: Monday through Friday, 9am-12pm and 1pm-5pm

Accessibility: We are committed to providing accommodations for persons with disabilities and language interpretation and translation. Please contact us by phone or email with your interpretation or accommodation needs.

Submit your request through the SF Ethics Commission Support Portal.

Learn more: Visit sfethics.org/guidance.

Appointment Requests: Submit an appointment request to meet with staff.

Disclaimer: This e-mail does not constitute a written formal opinion of the San Francisco Ethics Commission and would not provide the recipient immunity from any enforcement actions. Learn more about the Commission's advice regulations.

San Francisco Ethics Commission

25 Van Ness Avenue, STE 220
San Francisco, CA 94102-6053
ethics.commission@sfgov.org
415-252-3100 | sfethics.org

March 17, 2026

Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Commission Streamlining Task Force's Proposed Changes to San Francisco Ethics Commission Charter Provisions

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing concerning the Commission Streamlining Task Force Final Report issued on January 28th. This report contained several recommendations regarding the San Francisco Ethics Commission. The Commission supports the overall recommendation to keep the Ethics Commission and to preserve its unique and independent nature by retaining a broad commissioner appointment structure and retaining Commission appointment of the Executive Director. However, the report recommends constraining the Commission's ability to place ethics and campaign finance measure on the ballot for consideration by voters. This change would not help to streamline City government and would have adverse consequences. I ask that the Board of Supervisors make no changes to the Commission's narrow authority to place ethics and campaign finance measure on the ballot.

I appreciate the work of Chair Harrington and the Task Force in considering this matter. However, on a closely split 3-2 vote, the Task Force approved a recommendation that would undermine the independence of the Ethics Commission and replace a simple, limited process with a complicated, bureaucratic process. Chair Harrington and the representative of the Controller's Office supported keeping the Commission's narrow ability to place certain measures before voters, recognizing that is key to the Commission's effectiveness and independence. Unfortunately, the other three members voted to approve the recommendation that is now before the Board.

We strongly oppose the Task Force's proposed change, as the ability to place measures directly on the ballot is an important tool for ensuring the Commission can develop, enact, and administer effective ethics and campaign finance rules as an independent body. This authority allows the Commission to create and amend important City rules by directly engaging with the voters, without the potential for reforms to be politicized, delayed, or fully blocked by elected officials who would potentially be subject to these rules.

This is an authority that the Commission uses sparingly. The Ethics Commission has only placed five measures on the ballot in the 32 years since the Commission was created by voters. The Commission regularly works on legislation with the Board of Supervisors to strengthen or improve the City's rules, and a ballot measure is typically not necessary. However, the Commission's ability to place measures on the ballot helps ensure that any legislation sent to the Board of Supervisors is fully considered, because the Commission always has the option to go to voters if critical reform laws cannot be passed legislatively. This ability was a core power bestowed by voters when they created the Commission, and it was intended to be an important channel for voters to enact reform laws. Through this authority, the

Ethics Commission has enacted important reforms, including the creation of the City's Public Financing Program and the expansion of the City's annual ethics training requirement to all City officials who make or participate in making government decisions.

The charter currently establishes this authority through a single sentence, which allows the Commission to place measures related to ethics and campaign finance matters on the ballot by a four-fifths vote of its members. The proposed charter amendments from the Task Force would replace this simple, yet limited authority, with more than a page of complicated processes, which would allow the Board of Supervisors to veto important ethics reforms. Even if the Board did not veto, the proposed amendments would require a protracted timeline for any potential Commission-led measures, which would make it nearly impossible for the Commission to place a measure on the ballot. This new language does not streamline City government, and I do not believe this is what the voters intended the Task Force to do when approving Proposition E.

The ability of the Ethics Commission to place measures directly on the ballot, without Board approval, is an important policymaking tool that the Commission uses sparingly. It should be preserved.

Additionally, the Task Force has recommended removing the seat-specific qualifications for members of the Ethics Commission, instead changing them to a list of "desirable qualifications." This is an unnecessary change that could lead to under-qualified commissioners being appointed in the future. I recommend the current qualifications remain in place.

Thank you for your consideration and helping ensure the Ethics Commission remains an independent and effective body, capable of promoting the highest standards of ethical behavior in the City. If you have questions or would like to further discuss, please contact myself (patrick.ford@sfgov.org) or our Policy & Legislative Affairs Manager, Michael Canning (michael.a.canning@sfgov.org).

Sincerely,

Patrick Ford

Patrick Ford
Executive Director
San Francisco Ethics Commission

From:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Written public comments for Agenda Item #15 (3/17/2026 BOS agenda)
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:57:51 PM
Attachments:	(3_17_2026) SF BOS written comments (re_ EC ballot placement).pdf

From: Sean McMorris <smcmorris@commoncause.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:55 PM
To: Khoo, Arthur (BOS) <arthur.khoo@sfgov.org>; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Written public comments for Agenda Item #15 (3/17/2026 BOS agenda)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see attached CA Common Cause's written public comments for agenda item #15 on the 3/17/2026Board of Supervisor's meeting agenda.

Sean M.

Sean McMorris (He/Him)
Transparency, Ethics & Accountability Program Manager
California Common Cause
430 S. Garfield Ave. Suite 418, Alhambra CA 91801
smcmorris@commoncause.org
(626) 382-6994

March 17, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Legislative Chamber, Room 250 City Hall,
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco,
CA 94102-4689

California Common Cause

Re: Agenda Item #15, Charter Section 15.102: Proposed Amendments to Ethics Commission Ballot Authority — OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED

Dear Supervisors,

California Common Cause **strongly opposes** *the proposed changes to the San Francisco Ethics Commission's ballot placement authority set forth in Agenda Item #15, Charter Section 15.102.*

Transferring final amendment authority over Ethics Commission–sponsored ballot measures from the Ethics Commission to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) would fundamentally undermine the purpose of that authority. San Franciscans—not elected officials—created Ethics Commission ballot placement authority precisely to serve as a structural check on politicians who cannot credibly be expected to regulate themselves. Allowing the BOS final control over measures designed to hold the BOS accountable would defeat the law's intent, invite political interference, and predictably result in diluted or symbolic reforms that erode public trust rather than strengthen accountability.

Such a change would also materially weaken the Ethics Commission's independence by inserting politics directly into one of the Commission's core oversight functions. Ethics oversight is effective only when it is insulated from the very officials it regulates.

That said, there *is* a reasonable way to increase Board engagement without undermining the law's purpose. California Common Cause supports a compromise under which the BOS is granted a public hearing on an Ethics Commission–proposed ballot measure, with the opportunity to propose amendments by majority vote—but without final authority to amend or place the measure on the ballot. This approach meaningfully increases dialogue while preserving the Ethics Commission's independence and the accountability function voters intended.

In closing, allowing the Ethics Commission discretion to place ethics, accountability, and campaign-finance ordinances on the ballot is among the most important safeguards protecting both the Commission's independence and meaningful accountability in San Francisco government. Ethics oversight is one of the few areas in which elected officials should *not* have the final word. Independent oversight is essential to maintaining public confidence and ensuring accountability laws are strengthened when needed, rather than weakened or deferred.

For these reasons, California Common Cause strongly opposes the Task Force's proposed amendments in Agenda Item #15 for Charter Section 15.102 and urges the Board of Supervisors to remove it from consideration. Doing so will preserve the intent of voter-approved law and reinforce public trust in San Francisco government.

If the Ethics Commission's authority to place measures directly on the ballot related to laws within its jurisdiction is repealed or significantly weakened, it is likely that California Common Cause, along with a coalition of good-government groups, will oppose the entire ballot measure.

Sincerely,
Sean McMorris
Transparency, Ethics, and Accountability Program Manager
California Common Cause
smcmorris@commoncause.org

From:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: 260147 - "streamlining"
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:57:36 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

From: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:24 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: 260147 - "streamlining"

Best regards,

Jocelyn Wong
Legislative Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163
jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Deirdre Visser <dbvisser@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:45 AM
To: Khoo, Arthur (BOS) <arthur.khoo@sfgov.org>; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Subject: 260147 - "streamlining"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

THE ARTS COMMISSION IS NOT BROKEN AND DOES NOT NEED FIXING.

We all seek to make San Francisco a more vibrant city, amplifying the visibility of the arts and culture, both local and regional voices as well as national and international ones.

• Moving the Arts Commissioners' function to the Admin Code effectively strips the Commissioners of decision-making authority, placing it in the hands of staff who may or may not have arts expertise. Advisory bodies have no teeth and because of that are themselves at risk of losing expert voices.

• The Arts Commission will no longer have approval of the department's program allocations; these decisions will land in the Mayor's Office and the Board of Supervisors, not with lifelong arts advocates, cultural workers, and field experts.

The arts community is passionate and political. We engage monthly with SFAC decisions, turning out in force for public meetings. The proposed consolidation of authorities and decision making comes with responsibility; aesthetic decisions must be defensible.. The Mayor's Office may not want that role after all!

Finally, our Arts Commission has been championed nationally and internationally as one of the best municipal arts infrastructures. Recent problems with oversight of the cultural centers were not a structural problem; it was a leadership/people problem. Do not make structural changes that will impact the arts in San Francisco for generations, based on the poor performance of individuals over the last several years.

While I support the value of making the city more nimble, I don't support the proposed changes.

Thank you.
Deirdre

--
Deirdre Visser
(she/her)
www.deirdrevisser.net/ or www.artsatciis.org
Joinery, Joists and Gender: A History of Woodworking for the 21st Century

"Telling the truth about the past helps cause justice in the present. Achieving justice in the present helps us tell the truth about the past." —James W. Loewen

"We live in capitalism, its power seems inescapable – but then, so did the divine right of kings. Any human power can be resisted and changed by human beings." —Ursula K. LeGuin

From:	BOS Legislation, (BOS)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Public Comment for File No. 260147 to be heard on March 17, 2026
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:57:23 PM
Attachments:	SFUFC support letter - CaUFC and UCC - BOS-all.pdf

From: Khoo, Arthur (BOS) <arthur.khoo@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:12 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Public Comment for File No. 260147 to be heard on March 17, 2026

Arthur Khoo
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4447 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gordon Matassa <gordon.matassa@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 4:08 PM
To: sfbos@sfgov.org; Khoo, Arthur (BOS) <arthur.khoo@sfgov.org>; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: Nate Faris <nfaris@caufc.org>
Subject: Public Comment for File No. 260147 to be heard on March 17, 2026

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon:

Please find the attached comment letter regarding File No. 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendation item that is scheduled for the March 17, 2026, meeting.

Thank you,

Gordon Matassa
Chair, Urban Canopy Collaborative of the SF Bay Area
ISA Board Certified Master Arborist
ISA Certified Urban Forest Professional
TRAQ, WE-10328BM
SAF Certified Urban & Community Forester
415-794-2098

March 13, 2026

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
sfbos@sfgov.org

Re: File No. 260147
Opposition to the Proposed Elimination of the San Francisco Urban Forestry Council

Dear Supervisors:

On behalf of the San Francisco Bay Area Urban Canopy Collaborative (UCC), a regional organization of the California Urban Forests Council, and the California Urban Forests Council (CaUFC), we write to express our strong opposition to the proposal to eliminate the San Francisco Urban Forestry Council (SF UFC). UCC and CaUFC are dedicated to supporting resilient urban forests through education, outreach, and community engagement both locally and throughout the State of California. CaUFC was the first urban forest council in the nation, founded in 1968, with the vision of expanding and perpetuating sustainable urban and community forests to enhance the quality of life for all Californians.

For over three decades, the SF UFC has played an indispensable role in nurturing and safeguarding San Francisco's urban forest. As a uniquely positioned advisory body, it has provided:

- A **public forum** where residents and community stakeholders can bring forward concerns, ideas, and local knowledge pertaining to urban forestry—ensuring transparency and community participation in tree stewardship.
- **Cross-agency coordination** among City departments to align goals, share expertise, and integrate urban forestry priorities into citywide planning and implementation efforts.
- **Advisory guidance to this Board and other decision-makers** on critical issues related to tree preservation, public tree equity, wildfire risk, climate resilience, and habitat protection.

- The annual **Urban Forest Report** that compiles data and insight on the condition of the City's urban forest, helping inform strategies to enhance tree canopy health and equity across neighborhoods.
- Administration of the **Landmark Tree Program**, which recognizes and elevates trees of cultural, historical, environmental, and community significance throughout San Francisco. Through this program, the SF UFC reviews nominations and makes recommendations that reflect broad community values and ecological priorities.

Unlike many advisory bodies with narrow mandates, the SF UFC serves a systemic, citywide function. A substantial majority of San Francisco's trees—including those on private land, in parks, and in special jurisdictions—fall outside the authority of any single department, yet are essential elements of climate adaptation, public health, and environmental justice. The Council remains the only body with the specific charter to address these cross-jurisdictional challenges and to do so through consistent expertise and continuity.

We recognize and appreciate the City's interest in government efficiency through the Commission Streamlining Task Force process established pursuant to Proposition E. However, the proposed elimination of the SF UFC—one of San Francisco's few standing forums for focused public engagement on tree and forest issues—would constitute a loss of institutional memory, community voice, and specialized capacity that no other body currently replicates.

Urban trees are more than aesthetic assets. They are critical infrastructure that deliver quantifiable environmental and social benefits: cooling during extreme heat events, improved air quality, carbon sequestration, stormwater management, and enhanced neighborhood livability. The absence of a dedicated civic body to advise on these concerns would weaken San Francisco's ability to meet its climate commitments and to ensure equitable canopy growth throughout the City.

Considering the above, we respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to **oppose any legislation or administrative action that would dissolve the Urban Forestry Council** or diminish its statutory standing. Instead, we recommend preserving and strengthening the Council's role so that San Francisco continues to benefit from thoughtful, informed, and community-centered stewardship of its urban forest.

Thank you for your consideration. We appreciate the Board's leadership on environmental resilience and remain available for further discussion.

Sincerely,

Gordon Matassa
Chair
Urban Canopy Collaborative

Nate Faris
Executive Director
California Urban Forests Council

From:	Gene Edmond
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment for Commission Task Force Recommendations
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:54:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it may concern:

I am writing to give public testimony on the commission streamlining task force recommendations. As a citizen of San Francisco, I am concerned with the task force's recommendations to change the City charter. The commissions themselves are imperative checks and balances In The City charter and reducing them to an advisory role doesn't sound like a good idea.

I think we have seen on a federal level, the devastation that the lack of checks and balances can do and And these changes will effectively consolidate power under the mayor's purview. Not only appoint and dismiss commissioners, but also control contracts and budgets. I also take issue with any mayor least of all this current mayor, having that much power and I have absolutely zero faith that anyone in the billionaire class would act in in good faith for working class people.

I implore you to stand up for actual working class San Franciscans who would undoubtedly end up with the short end of the stick.

Cheers,
Gene Edmond

From: Rachelle Axel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations and the Arts Commission
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:59:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the honorable Board of Supervisors,

I'm sorry I am unable to be there in person to express my very strong feelings about the Commission Streamlining Task Force's recommendations to make certain changes to the world-renowned San Francisco Arts Commission.

First and foremost: **THE ARTS COMMISSION IS NOT BROKEN AND DOES NOT NEED FIXING.**

I appreciate the work of the task force, but I believe the members did not learn nearly enough about the Arts Commission's roles, responsibilities, authorities, and how they are tied to legislated funding (e.g., the 2% art enrichment ordinance). It's a complicated little department with an outsized impact.*

I believe the SFAC got caught up in the manic sweep to cut the number of commissions (not thinking enough about the content and subject expertise those individuals bring to their roles) just to hit an arbitrary mark, divorced from the actual functions and necessity of those commissions.

Do not strip the Arts Commissioners' functions from the Charter moving them to the Admin Code! If they are only able to **advise,** it strips the value of their expertise away from decisions around cultural programs and policy.

SFAC will quickly lose all its expertise and talent if there's no built-in system for approval authority. Why would someone at the top of their field want to donate their time and expertise for the public good when their advice may be dismissed?

The **aesthetics of our built environment and public open spaces will begin to erode** quickly. **Cultural tourism (and therefore hotel tax revenue that funds the arts) will suffer.**

Commissioners deliberate and make decisions that staff are not equipped to do (e.g., concerning architectural design and landscaping), so moving authorities out of the Charter and into the Admin Code for staff to oversee will not work; it will also get the unions involved because classifications would need to change and would ultimately cost the City more money.

If the Arts Commissioners have no Charter-mandated authority, there is **little incentive for the community to offer public testimony on issues that concern them.** The commissioners will have no ability to make decisions based on that public input. This means the public will have less access to decision-makers, undermining the democratic process.

There are unintended consequences and implications the Mayor nor the BoS want if the

Arts Commission loses its approval authority:

- **The Commission serves as a gatekeeper for the MO and the BoS.** The arts community is passionate and political and they engage monthly with SFAC decisions, turning out in force for public meetings. (Recall the 2020-2021 Maya Angelou debacle that made international news. The SFAC took the community heat for the proposed change. That all would have landed squarely in the MO if not for the commissioners taking the community's feedback and criticism, shielding the MO from the extensive negative consequences that the SFAC absorbed on behalf of the mayor. Villaincourt Fountain, Tom Otterness ca. 2011—the Arts Commission absorbed the community's fury and a huge amount of public testimony.)

- With the proposed consolidation of authorities and decision making within the MO and BOS comes the responsibilities of them making <u>defensible aesthetic decisions</u>, and therefore also dealing with the consequences of community discord. The Arts Commission hears hundreds of hours annually of public comment, with some topics bringing out a huge show of force. This would all land squarely in the MO and at the Board Chambers—the ill-conceived new authorities on aesthetics, art in the public realm, and how arts and community impact, wellness, health, and education intersect.

A Better Way

There are ways, however, for the Arts Commission to help meet the Task Force's mandate: The Charter Reform efforts could include making the Arts Commission a smaller body (changing from 15 to 9 or 11), thereby meeting the intention for the task force. Also, ensure there is one film commissioner to accommodate the soon-to-be merged entities.

Reduce Arts Commission's 5 committees to 4 (keep Civic Design Review, Visual Arts Committee, Executive, Nominating; and eliminate Community Investments and have their business of approving grants and cultural center oversight go before Full Commission).

The SFAC is one of the nation's most respected municipal arts agencies BECAUSE of the authorities the Charter affords it.

Our public buildings, public art and the grantmaking processes receive national and international attention and awards (e.g., for SFO and SFPUC building; and more than any other city, Americans for the Arts awards SF its annual "best in public art"). SF is a model of best practices. **This is a huge driver of cultural tourism which fills the General Fund with Hotel Tax Funds.**

The authorities of Civic Design Review and Visual Arts Committee are valuable to the City—they are an insurance policy for better, more responsive art and design in the public realm—and they keep critical decisions about art and design with the experts.

Also important, the Arts Commission as a whole (department and commissioners) has not demonstrated the best performance in recent years (for a lot of reasons)—in cultural policy debates, in partnering with other City entities that intersect heavily with arts and culture; in educating the new Administration's leadership in cultural and arts economy strategies; in navigating community issues; even in understanding the basic mechanics of its own department; and in calling out impending problems—or more specifically, legacy arts institutions forthcoming closures.

My point is not as much to criticize commissioners and department leadership, it is more to point out that recent problems with oversight of the cultural centers or a lack of awareness of CCA's financials problems, CounterPulse's issues, numerous gallery closures, etc., etc., were not structural problems; it was a leadership/people problem. Do not make structural changes that will impact the arts in San Francisco for generations, based on the poor performance of individuals over the last several years.

Don't make the Arts Commission's authorities – which have worked brilliantly for decades – the collateral damage for the poor leadership of a few isolated individuals.

Lastly, the City's arts infrastructure couldn't be more up in the air at the moment. What the merger will look like for the Arts Commission with Film SF and GFT remains to be seen. Interviews have not formally begun for the new arts executive who will oversee those merged entities. Let's get these tectonic shifts to settle in. Once the new larger entity is in place and a new leader has taken the reins, I trust that San Francisco's $1.7 Billion cultural economy will thrive again. If I am wrong, a Charter Reform may be visited again 5-10 years down the road. But let's give it a chance. Let's stagger some of these acute shifts before we pull the constitutional rug out from under our entire arts ecosystem.

PLEASE vote to maintain the Arts Commission's authorities in the Charter. You don't want to be remembered as the legislative body that killed the arts in San Francisco.

With thanks for all your service to our city.

Rachelle Axel

*After a special Task Force meeting about the Arts Commission (called because it demanded further analysis), one of the members stated: "The Arts Commission does so many things; I could envision a future where they have committees." The Arts Commission has had five committees for decades. This comment made it clear that the Task Force was not equipped to make decisions about this body, and the public investment that sees a 17-fold return on every single public SF dollar invested.

Rachelle Axel, Director
Arts for a Better Bay Area
Website :: Facebook :: Twitter



From:	Amelia Helland
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment – BOS – Board of Supervisors Discussion on Commission Streamlining Recommendations – March 17, 2026
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:20:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Supervisors,

I want to speak clearly about what's actually at stake here.

Commissions are not bureaucracy for the sake of bureaucracy.

They are structure. They are oversight. They are institutional memory.

They exist to do three things:

to distribute decision-making,

to bring subject-matter expertise into governance,

and to create checks between political pressure and public interest.

Removing or weakening them doesn't "streamline" government —

it centralizes power.

And when power is centralized, three things happen consistently:

less transparency,

less accountability,

and more volatility in decision-making.

Commissions slow things down — yes.

But that is the point.

They introduce friction into decisions that would otherwise be made quickly, narrowly, and without sufficient scrutiny.

They also ensure that decisions are informed by people with relevant expertise —
not just made by whoever happens to hold authority in a given moment.

If the problem is inefficiency, then fix inefficiency.
If the problem is redundancy, then fix redundancy.

But removing commissions does not solve those problems —
it removes both oversight and expertise from the process.

And when decisions are made without those safeguards,
the risk is not just delay —
it is that the wrong decisions get made in the first place.

This is not a question of speed.
It is a question of decision quality, accountability, and long-term impact.

Thank you.

- Amelia Helland

From: Jayson Wechter
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Streamlining Task Force recommendations
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:29:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I served as the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board's (SDOB) inaugural President and have been involved with oversight of law enforcement in San Franciso since 1983, when I was one of the first employees at the Office of Citizen Complaints (now the Department of Police Accountability). I worked in oversight of law enforcement in the Bay Area for over 20 years and currently serve on the Board of Directors of the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE).

For oversight to succeed, it needs a solid foundation and a strong commitment to its existence and operations. Moving the SDOB from the Charter to the Administrative Code undermines this and would make it harder to recruit a qualified Inspector General and OIG staff. The Task Force's recommendation to add a three-year sunset date means no one who goes to work at the agency could rely on being employed for more than three years. I worked at the Department of Police Accountability for almost 20 years. Grounding that agency in the Charter enabled it to operate without fear of political consequences as a result of its investigations or identification of systemic issues in the San Francisco Police Department.

Several of the Task Force's recommended changes to the SDOB contradict the best practices of comparable oversight agencies. Changing the appointing authority to 4 Mayoral and 3 Board of Supervisors, and changing those to at-will appointment, is a step backwards from the accepted practices at comparable oversight agencies, and places too much authority in the hands of one individual, which undermines effective checks and balances.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Members of the Oakland Police Commission, which oversees the Oakland Police Department, are chosen by a nine-member Selection Panel. The Mayor makes only one appointment to this panel, each of the seven City Council members makes one appointment and the Council makes one At Large appointment.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Eight of the nine members of the Berkeley Police Accountability Board are appointed by the City Council, and one is

appointed by the Mayor.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Five of the nine members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission are appointed by the county Board of Supervisors (one nominated by each Supervisorial District), and four members are appointed by the Board "upon recommendation by the Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors, in consultation with County Counsel."

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->The San Diego County Board of Supervisors appoints all eleven members of the Citizens Law Enforcement Review Board, who are nominated by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Removing the SDOB's authority to issue subpoena also contradicts practices at comparable agencies.

- The Los Angeles County Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission **has the power to subpoena and require attendance of witnesses and the production of books and papers pertinent to its investigations and oversight, and to administer oaths**. (Ord. 2024-0046 § 2, 2020.)
- The San Diego Citizens Law Enforcement Review Board has, "pursuant to the Charter of the County of San Diego, section 606(d), (have) the power to subpoena and require attendance of witnesses and the production of books and papers pertinent to its investigations and to administer oaths." (Added by Ord. No. 7880 (N.S.), effective 5-2-91)

However, for the SDOB and the OIG to succeed, significant changes are needed to Charter Section 4.137 to make it consistent with recognized effective practices in the field of civilian oversight of law enforcement. I and other members of the Bay Area oversight community are ready to assist the Commission Streamlining Task Force and the Board of Supervisors in drafting badly needed revisions to Charter Section 4.137.

These should include:

· Changing section 4.137 (h) to remove the prohibition against anyone "employed previously by a law enforcement agency" from serving as the

Inspector General or as OIG staff. This is counter to the practice of almost every other oversight agency I am familiar with. It prevents highly qualified individuals from being considered for positions as the IG or as OIG staff.

- Changing Section 4.137 (a) (1) to prohibit a current or former sworn employee of the San Francisco Sheriff's Office from serving on the SDOB. This is consistent with the language in Section 4.136 (c), Department of Police Accountability, which states, "No full-time or part-time employee of DPA shall have previously served as a uniformed member of the Police Department." Many oversight boards prohibit anyone employed as a sworn member of a law enforcement agency within the past 5 years from serving on that board.

- Changing Section 4.137 (5) to remove the Sheriff's Department as the sole source or authority for developing, prescribing the content of, or administering the mandatory 80 hours of training required of all appointees to the SDOB. This is not consistent with best practices in oversight.

- Requiring that the SDOB and the OIG adopt and adhere to the NACOLE Code of Ethics (https://www.nacole.org/nacole_code_of_ethics).

Thank you.

Jayson Wechter
jayson@well.com

From:	Julie Schweit
To:	FielderStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Input: Hearing on Recs for Streamlining Commissions
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:37:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Jackie Fielder and the SF Board of Supervisors.

I am writing to you as a proud longtime resident of San Francisco's Mission District. I value and take seriously the San Francisco Sanctuary City Ordinance in place since 1989. Arrests of immigrants attending their scheduled hearings are again (still) happening and Mayor Daniel Lurie is proving ineffective at best, a collaborator at worst, in these matters. His work in "balancing the budget" is capitulating to those interests that have big money and leaving the working class and people without homes to fend for themselves and "move along.'

It is because of the need for checks and balances that we have city commissions with both mayoral AND board-appointed community leaders. Please do not support the cutting of city commissions and allowing the current and future mayors' offices to hold too much power and the ability to hurt the San Francisco citizens who are not m/billionaires.

We must take care of our own. Big tech money isn't going to do it, and apparently, neither is the Mayor.

Thank you,

Julie Schweit
2419 Folsom Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: Sean McMorris
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Written public comments for Agenda Item #15 (3/17/2026 BOS agenda)
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:51:49 PM
Attachments: (3_17_2026) SF BOS written comments (re_ EC ballot placement).pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see attached CA Common Cause's written public comments for agenda item #15 on the 3/17/2026Board of Supervisor's meeting agenda.

Sean M.

Sean McMorris (He/Him)
Transparency, Ethics & Accountability Program Manager
California Common Cause
430 S. Garfield Ave. Suite 418, Alhambra CA 91801
smcmorris@commoncause.org
(626) 382-6994

March 17, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Legislative Chamber, Room 250 City Hall,
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco,
CA 94102-4689

California Common Cause

Re: Agenda Item #15, Charter Section 15.102: Proposed Amendments to Ethics Commission Ballot Authority — OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED

Dear Supervisors,

California Common Cause **strongly opposes** *the proposed changes to the San Francisco Ethics Commission's ballot placement authority set forth in Agenda Item #15, Charter Section 15.102.*

Transferring final amendment authority over Ethics Commission–sponsored ballot measures from the Ethics Commission to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) would fundamentally undermine the purpose of that authority. San Franciscans—not elected officials—created Ethics Commission ballot placement authority precisely to serve as a structural check on politicians who cannot credibly be expected to regulate themselves. Allowing the BOS final control over measures designed to hold the BOS accountable would defeat the law's intent, invite political interference, and predictably result in diluted or symbolic reforms that erode public trust rather than strengthen accountability.

Such a change would also materially weaken the Ethics Commission's independence by inserting politics directly into one of the Commission's core oversight functions. Ethics oversight is effective only when it is insulated from the very officials it regulates.

That said, there *is* a reasonable way to increase Board engagement without undermining the law's purpose. California Common Cause supports a compromise under which the BOS is granted a public hearing on an Ethics Commission–proposed ballot measure, with the opportunity to propose amendments by majority vote—but without final authority to amend or place the measure on the ballot. This approach meaningfully increases dialogue while preserving the Ethics Commission's independence and the accountability function voters intended.

In closing, allowing the Ethics Commission discretion to place ethics, accountability, and campaign-finance ordinances on the ballot is among the most important safeguards protecting both the Commission's independence and meaningful accountability in San Francisco government. Ethics oversight is one of the few areas in which elected officials should *not* have the final word. Independent oversight is essential to maintaining public confidence and ensuring accountability laws are strengthened when needed, rather than weakened or deferred.

For these reasons, California Common Cause strongly opposes the Task Force's proposed amendments in Agenda Item #15 for Charter Section 15.102 and urges the Board of Supervisors to remove it from consideration. Doing so will preserve the intent of voter-approved law and reinforce public trust in San Francisco government.

If the Ethics Commission's authority to place measures directly on the ballot related to laws within its jurisdiction is repealed or significantly weakened, it is likely that California Common Cause, along with a coalition of good-government groups, will oppose the entire ballot measure.

Sincerely,
Sean McMorris
Transparency, Ethics, and Accountability Program Manager
California Common Cause
smcmorris@commoncause.org

From:	Emran, Hasib (HRC)
To:	BOS Clerks Office (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Agenda Item #15: Opposition to Streamline Task Force Proposal to Remove the Human Rights Commission from the San Francisco Charter
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:07:46 PM
Attachments:	SF Human Rights Commission Chair Opposition to Charter Reform.pdf Outlook-yynlsvbd.png

---

Hi Madam Clerk,

My opposition letter attached here on behalf of the HRC to be reflected in the record of today's BOS Meeting scheduled for this afternoon on Agenda Item #15 please. Thank you!

Best,

SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Hasib Emran
Chair, Human Rights Commission
San Francisco Human Rights Commission
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94102-6033
T: (415) 252-2500 I hasib.emran@sfgov.org

City and County of San Francisco
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

Mawuli Tugbenyoh
Executive Director

Daniel Lurie
Mayor

March 17, 2026

Hon. Rafael Mandelman
c/o Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Opposition to Streamline Task Force Proposal to Remove the Human Rights Commission from the San Francisco Charter

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

We write to respectfully express our strong opposition to any proposal that would remove the Human Rights Commission from the San Francisco Charter and place it in the Administrative Code. Additionally, we do not agree that the Commission should move from a governance body to an advisory body.

Since its establishment in 1964, the Human Rights Commission has served as a cornerstone of San Francisco's commitment to civil rights, equality, and dignity for all residents. Created during the height of the civil rights movement, the Commission was intentionally embedded in the City's Charter to ensure that civil rights oversight would remain a permanent and independent component of San Francisco's system of government.

At its core, the Commission exists to ensure that City policies, programs, and economic opportunities are accessible to all people—regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, national origin, ability, sexual orientation, immigration status, or economic background. Through policy oversight, public hearings, and collaboration with City departments and community organizations, the Commission helps ensure that San Francisco's values of fairness and equal opportunity are reflected in the decisions of local government, providing a venue for community to bring their grievances and seek repair.

The Commission also plays a vital role as a bridge between City Hall and the communities it serves. Through regular public meetings, community engagement, and advocacy efforts, the Commission provides a formal forum where residents—particularly those from historically marginalized communities—can raise concerns, inform policy discussions, and help shape the City's approach to protecting civil rights and advancing equity.

The Commission is committed to ensuring that civil rights work in San Francisco continues to be carried out with integrity, responsibility, and public trust. At this moment of rebuilding and

reform, preserving the Human Rights Commission's Charter status is more important than ever.

Being in the Charter ensures stability, independence, and transparency for a body tasked with safeguarding civil rights. It also protects the Commission from shifting political priorities by ensuring that civil rights oversight remains embedded in the City's foundational governance structure rather than subject to shifting political priorities.

Removing the Human Rights Commission from the Charter would weaken that framework and risk diminishing the permanence and visibility of San Francisco's commitment to civil rights leadership. At a time when communities across the country are facing renewed challenges to fundamental rights and protections, San Francisco should reaffirm—rather than dilute—its institutional commitment to protecting human rights and advancing equity.

For these reasons, the Human Rights Commission respectfully urges the Board of Supervisors to reject any proposal that would remove the Commission from the San Francisco Charter.

Thank you for your consideration and for your continued dedication to protecting the rights and dignity of all San Franciscans.

Sincerely,



Hasib Emran

Chair, San Francisco Human Rights Commission

cc:

Supervisor Connie Chan, District 1
Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, District 2
Supervisor Danny Sauter, District 3
Supervisor Alan Wong, District 4
Supervisor Bilal Mahmood, District 5
Supervisor Matt Dorsey, District 6
Supervisor Myrna Melgar, District 7
Supervisor Jackie Fielder, District 9
Supervisor Shamann Walton, District 10
Supervisor Chyanne Chen, District 11
Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Human Rights Commissioner Vice Chair Leah Pimentel
Human Rights Commissioner Robert Sandoval
Human Rights Commissioner Rosie Williams
Human Rights Commissioner Sarah Montoya
Human Rights Commissioner Eric Chang

From:	Dr.Pam Tate
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Streamlining Commission Letter of Support for HRC, COSW, And Youth Commission
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:55:56 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-1hgutfhs.png Outlook-02zw3zki.png Outlook-rffnbcv1.png Streamlining Commission Letter of Support of Support for HRC, COSW, And Youth Commission (1).pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 17, 2026

Dear Members of the Streamlining Commission,

I write to strongly urge you to preserve the current governing status of the **Human Rights Commission**, the **Commission on the Status of Women**, and the **Youth Commission**, and not reduce these bodies to advisory commissions. At a time of significant restructuring within the City and County of San Francisco, it is critical that we protect the commissions that ensure our most marginalized and underrepresented communities are not only heard, but meaningfully represented in government.

The **Human Rights Commission** has also had a profound and measurable impact on communities across San Francisco. As an original Dream Keeper Initiative grantee, Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence has experienced firsthand the importance of the Human Rights Commission's leadership and vision. Through its support, we have been able to deepen our outreach and connect with communities disproportionately impacted by domestic violence, including residents in the Fillmore, Bayview, and Excelsior. The Human Rights Commission understands that race, gender, culture, and lived experience shape how people experience harm, seek support, and heal. That perspective is essential to ensuring that city systems remain responsive, equitable, and grounded in the realities of the people they serve.

The **Commission on the Status of Women** is equally essential. It remains one of the only governing bodies in San Francisco specifically dedicated to advancing the needs, safety, and well-being of women, girls, and nonbinary people. In a city that continues to confront gender inequity, violence, wage disparities, and barriers to opportunity, this commission provides focused leadership, policy advocacy, and accountability that cannot be replicated if its power is diminished. Its existence sends a clear message that gender equity is not optional, secondary, or symbolic - it is a governing priority. San Francisco is only as great as it treats its citizens, and the women of San Francisco's health and safety must be prioritized!

The **Youth Commission** plays a vital role in civic engagement, leadership development, and public accountability for San Francisco's youth. It creates a formal space for young people, especially those too young to vote but deeply impacted by public policy to speak directly to their local government, shape recommendations, and participate in city governance. For many youth, this commission is their first real opportunity to experience public leadership, advocacy, and civic responsibility. Just last evening, on March 16, 2026, one of Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence's Youth Advisory Council members gave their first public comment before the Youth Commission in support of Sexual Assault Awareness Month and in recognition of the impact of sexual violence on young people. That moment mattered because the Youth Commission created a space intentionally designed to center youth voices and affirm that their lived experiences belong in public decision-making.

In these trying times, San Francisco must not move backward by weakening the very bodies that help protect representation, equity, and accountability. These commissions are not ceremonial. They are necessary governing structures that elevate voices too often left out of public systems to help shape a city that works for everyone.

Thank you for your time and consideration, and for your commitment to building a San Francisco where all people are seen, heard, and represented.

In Community Solidarity,



Paméla Michelle Tate, Ph.D. (Me/She/Her/Dragonslayer)
Executive Director and Lived Experience Expert
Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence
Work: 1(888) 260-1498 Ext.105
Cell: 1(628) 286-4297
Email: pam@blackwomenrevolt.org
1485 Bayshore Blvd. Suite 227 | Mailbox #122, San Francisco, CA 94124

Survivor Client Intake Form
Speaker Request Form
Support Change, Donate Today

Please Note: Case management services are currently and crisis line are now available, **Monday–Friday** from

12:00 PM – 4:00 PM until **June 30, 2026**.

CONFIDENTIAL: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify the system manager. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute, or copy this email.

Featured Articles by Dr. Tate:

- Things My Work With Black Women Survivors Has Taught Me
- Not A Choice: Housing Crisis Solutions Must Include Survivors Of Domestic Abuse
- Cuts to 'Woke' Programs Threaten Lifelines for Domestic Violence Survivors
- The Lives Of Missing Black Women Demand More Than Hashtags

Book time to meet with me

Book time to meet with me

BLACK WOMEN REVOLT
AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

March 17, 2026

Dear Members of the Streamlining Commission,

I write to strongly urge you to preserve the current governing status of the **Human Rights Commission**, the **Commission on the Status of Women**, and the **Youth Commission**, and not reduce these bodies to advisory commissions. At a time of significant restructuring within the City and County of San Francisco, it is critical that we protect the commissions that ensure our most marginalized and underrepresented communities are not only heard, but meaningfully represented in government.

The **Human Rights Commission** has also had a profound and measurable impact on communities across San Francisco. As an original Dream Keeper Initiative grantee, Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence has experienced firsthand the importance of the Human Rights Commission's leadership and vision. Through its support, we have been able to deepen our outreach and connect with communities disproportionately impacted by domestic violence, including residents in the Fillmore, Bayview, and Excelsior. The Human Rights Commission understands that race, gender, culture, and lived experience shape how people experience harm, seek support, and heal. That perspective is essential to ensuring that city systems remain responsive, equitable, and grounded in the realities of the people they serve.

The **Commission on the Status of Women** is equally essential. It remains one of the only governing bodies in San Francisco specifically dedicated to advancing the needs, safety, and well-being of women, girls, and nonbinary people. In a city that continues to confront gender inequity, violence, wage disparities, and barriers to opportunity, this commission provides focused leadership, policy advocacy, and accountability that cannot be replicated if its power is diminished. Its existence sends a clear message that gender equity is not optional, secondary, or symbolic - it is a governing priority. San Francisco is only as great as it treats its citizens, and the women of San Francisco's health and safety must be prioritized!

The **Youth Commission** plays a vital role in civic engagement, leadership development, and public accountability for San Francisco's youth. It creates a formal space for young people, especially those too young to vote but deeply impacted by public policy to speak directly to their local government, shape recommendations, and participate in city governance. For many youth, this commission is their first real opportunity to experience public leadership, advocacy, and civic responsibility. Just last evening, on March 16, 2026, one of Black Women Revolt Against Domestic Violence's Youth Advisory Council members gave their first public comment before the Youth Commission in support of Sexual Assault Awareness Month and in recognition of the impact of sexual violence on young people. That moment mattered because the Youth Commission created a space intentionally designed to center youth voices and affirm that their lived experiences belong in public decision-making.

In these trying times, San Francisco must not move backward by weakening the very bodies that help protect representation, equity, and accountability. These commissions are not ceremonial. They are necessary governing structures that elevate voices too often left out of public systems to help shape a city that works for everyone.

Thank you for your time and consideration, and for your commitment to building a San Francisco where all people are seen, heard, and represented.

In Community Solidarity,,

Paméla Michelle Tate, Ph.D.
Executive Director
Email: pam@blackwomenrevolt.org

From:	Stephen Torres
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Tina Aguirre; Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Subject:	Letter Re: March 17, 2026 Agenda, Item 15
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:54:08 PM
Attachments:	Commissions Streamlining Castro LGBTQ Cultural District 031726.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Clerk Calvillo,

Please see attached the letter in regard to Item 15 on today's agenda.

Best,

--
Stephen Torres
Interim Program Manager
Castro LGBTQ Cultural District
storres@castrolgbtq.org
415.489.8761

March 17, 2026

To: The San Francisco Board of Supervisors
RE: Item 15- Committee of the Whole Hearing on Commission Streamlining

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the San Francisco Board of Superviors:

On behalf of The **Castro LGBTQ Cultural District**, I am writing to convey our **strong support to preserving core oversight & equity commissions in the charter** along with **keeping bodies as independent structures**.

The Castro LGBTQ Cultural District is dedicated to centering and uplifting queer people, places and culture. As such, we are very invested in the role of commissions to provide important checks and balances that ensure racial, gender, and class equity from voices of the people in San Francisco City governmental conversations that affect our lives on a daily basis.

Queer communities all across the United States are under attack at the federal, state, and local level which makes community ability to advocate in policy discussions at the City level even more crucial than ever. We are especially interested in supporting the preservation of the following commissions.

Entertainment Commission	Homelessness Oversight Commission
Human Rights Commission	Small Business Commission
Youth Commission	Building Inspection Commission
Children, Youth, and their Families Oversight Advisory Committee	Sheriff's Department Oversight Board
Commission on the Status of Women	Police Commission
Commission on Environment	Historic Preservation Commission
Disability and Aging Services Commission	San Francisco Arts Commission

Our Mission:
The Castro LGBTQ Cultural District is committed to preserving, sustaining, and promoting the rich cultural legacy of the Castro and its significance to San Francisco's LGBTQ+ community and beyond. Our goals are to highlight the structures and sites important to our history; foster racial, ethnic, gender and cultural diversity among residents and businesses; and create a safe, beautiful, and inclusive space for LGBTQ+ and allied communities, to visit from around the world and call the Castro home.

Thank you,

Tina V. Aguirre, Castro LGBTQ Cultural District Director

www.castrolgbtq.org

From: Cherry Javier
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Protect our Commissions!
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:07:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please protect the Commission on the Status of Women and the Human Rights Commission. Our country is becoming more and more hostile to marginalized people. Now is not the time for San Francisco to abandon its most vulnerable populations and consolidate power in the Mayor.

Please, resist this power grab and the weakening of the people. You can simply reject the oncoming erosion of tools for the people!

Thank you for your consideration.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	57 Letters Regarding Commission Stream Lining Task Force
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:26:49 PM
Attachments:	57 Letters Regarding Commission Streamlining Task Force.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 57 letters regarding the recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force File Nos.:

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies

260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Fleshman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: on Commissions
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:21:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Karen Fleshman, I am the founder of the Interracial Sisterhood Coalition, a member of Blide Memorial Church. I reside in District 5 and am a homeowner, mother, and caregiver to my mother.

I write to demand you not diminish the power of commissions in San Francisco governance.

Throughout history, San Francisco has been a place where people who experienced persecution for their race, gender, sexuality could seek safety.

This safety wasn't given, people in San Francisco fought for it,

Marginalized people fought to get commissions established so that those closest to the problem could make policy and educate others like them about their rights. So that people like them with problems had a place to go to solve them.

When I moved to San Francisco in 2012 I felt safer than any place I have ever lived because women have real political power in San Francisco. I am not harassed when I walk down the street. I am treated with kindness and respect.

This is why the Women's Commission and Human Rights Commission should remain in the Charter and not be moved to the administrative code, one step closer to being eliminated.

I am also opposed to diminishing the power of the Police Commission. In 2015 San Francisco ranked 8th among US cities in police executions per capita. Mario Woods was the 6th person SFPD executed in 2015. The Mario Woods Coalition rose up to demand change. Because of the power of the Police Commission, SFPD adopted a new Use of Force policy and implemented the US Department of Justice's 272 recommended reforms. It is extremely important that the Police Commission continue to provide strong civilian oversight to protect the people most likely to have harmful encounters with police. I urge you to listen to Judge LaDoris Cordell make the case for strong civilian oversight of SFPD at a 2016 Board of Supervisors Committee of the Whole hearing on police accountabilty: https://youtu.be/pRQjJi71ryc?si=O4dVRRKV1VwjXqnL

The same people who funded the installation of cameras all over San Francisco, including those on top of the Asian Art Museum and SFPL Main, want to take away power from the people and concentrate it in the hands of the Mayor. Mayor Lurie spent $10 million to get elected.

A vote to diminish the power of commissions diminishes the power of every day San

Franciscans and concentrates it in the hands of a few wealthy powerful people.

Why is that necessary and who does it benefit?

Karen Fleshman, Esq. she hers, Founder
Interracial Sisterhood Coalition



uniting women across difference to secure our collective safety and freedom

Join the Interracial Sisterhood Coalition here
https://www.youtube.com/@interracialsisterhood
Interracialsisterhoodcoalition.com

#unitewomen #endracism #endmisogyny #interracialsisterhood #interracialsolidarity

“Beloved community is formed not by the eradication of difference but by its affirmation, by each of us claiming the identities and cultural legacies that shape who we are and how we live in the world.”- bell hooks

From: Joanne Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations: Arts Commission should remain in the Charter
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:22:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As the former Deputy Director of Programs at the SFAC, I urge you to reject the Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendation to move the SFAC authorities to the Admin Code.

If enacted, the Arts Commissioners will be advisory only and no longer able to approve City business (approvals of contracts for public art, grants, and civic design/projects on City property). Instead, this authority will be made either by the new Executive Director, Mayor's Office, or the Board of Supervisors; none of whom will have the sufficient technical expertise, cultural competence, and community relationships to represent and govern across all of these areas. The City will lose the expertise that the SFAC Commissioners bring in architectural design, community planning, visual arts, non profit and arts business management, and relationships across our neighborhood communities.

Further, if the Arts Commissioners are advisory only, the community will not spend their time bringing issues to their attention. Instead, community advocacy will go straight to the Mayor's Office and Board of Supervisors, who lack the subject area expertise to make decisions regarding the arts.

The SFAC governance structure is championed nationally as one of the best municipal arts infrastructures, with strong oversight and checks and balances coupled with community access.

Please retain the Arts Commission's authorities and regulatory functions in the Charter.

Thank you,
Joanne Lee

From: T Flandrich
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject: Item #15 File 260147 & 260225.3 Commission Streamlining Taskforce Report & Charter Amendment
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:53:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

17.March 2026
Dear Supervisors,
In 2024, San Franciscans voted for Proposition E, NOT for Prop D:

- We chose NOT to give our mayors greater power
- we chose to ensure public participation continues
- we chose to maintain commission seat qualifications
- we chose to keep annual reports that inform all residents
- we voted for many of the commissions to provide oversight

Today, we urge you **do not rush** this process of a first public discussion with all districts representatives and residents present. There must be more opportunities, more hearings, for public discussion given the volume, complexities of all of these commissions & advisory groups. Please ensure that all residents have access to understanding what is at stake: what will be gained & what will be lost, in eliminating commissions. Adhere to what we voted for in Proposition E.

Proposition E-2024 [Charter Amendment - Commission Reform]
SECTION 1. FINDINGS. (a) The City and County of San Francisco has long been a place that values public service, creativity, political activism, and civic engagement. **And the City's system of participatory government reflects those values.** San Francisco is led not only by elected officials and professional City staff, but also by hundreds of City residents who volunteer their time to serve on City boards and commissions (together referred to in this Section as "commissions"), such as the Planning Commission, the Disability and Aging Services Commission, and the Human Rights Commission.

(b) San Francisco's commissions leverage the perspectives, lived experiences, and expertise of the City's residents, and **ensure that important policy decisions are not made behind closed doors by a powerful few, but through a public and participatory process** that is informed by the very people whom those decisions will impact.

Sincerely,
Theresa Flandrich

District 3

From: Carol High
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Public comment for 3/17/2026 BOS: Protect Charter Oversight & Community Voice
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:57:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello BOS,

San Francisco is considering major changes to its boards and commissions as part of implementing Prop E. While removing inactive bodies may improve efficiency, current recommendations would also move key commissions out of the Charter, which may weaken or eliminate them in the future.

It is important that Charter commissions protect community voice, civil rights oversight, youth representation, and public accountability. Moving them into the Administrative Code reduces their independence and long-term stability.

Now is the time to ensure that efforts to streamline government do not come at the cost of transparency, oversight, and community representation.

Best regards,

~Carol

"Though she be but little, she is fierce."
~Shakespeare

TravelSavvy360 blog

From:	Kudrat Dutta Chaudhary
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	MandelmanStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; SherrillStaff; ChanStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; WongStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChenStaff
Subject:	File No. 260147 : Public Comment Submission (Commission on the Status of Women Charter Amendment)
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:57:05 AM
Attachments:	Public Comment Re File No. 260147.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I hope you are well.

I am writing to submit my written public comment regarding File No. 260147, which is scheduled for consideration tomorrow and concerns the recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force and the proposed Charter Amendment affecting Section 4.119 and the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW).

Attached please find my letter outlining my comments and concerns regarding the proposal to convert COSW into an advisory body and transfer it from the Charter to the Administrative Code. The letter also references relevant archival materials and historical reports that provide important context on the creation and institutional purpose of the Commission.

For ease of review, I have highlighted relevant sections within the attached materials to assist the Board in considering the historical and institutional context of the Commission.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Kudrat D. Kontilis

Kudrat D. Kontilis
San Francisco, CA

March 17, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re File No. 260147: Opposition to Recommendations and Proposed Charter Amendment Affecting the Commission on the Status of Women

Dear Board President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I, Kudrat D. Kontilis, am writing to provide comments regarding the proposed Charter Amendment arising from the recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force, which would revise Section 4.119 of the Charter to convert the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) into an advisory body and transfer it from the San Francisco Charter to the San Francisco Administrative Code.

The proposed amendment revises Section 4.119 to state that the Commission on the Status of Women *"shall be an Advisory Body."* It further provides that the section will be transferred to the Administrative Code effective January 1, 2027, after which it may be amended or repealed by ordinance, pursuant to the Commission Streamlining Task Force's recommendations *(See Attachment A)*. This change would fundamentally alter COSW's institutional role by removing it from the Charter and converting it from a governance commission with structural authority into an advisory body.

According to the Commission Streamlining Task Force, this modification is intended to provide flexibility to adapt the Commission's structure in response to potential structural changes to the Department on the Status of Women, including the proposal in the Mayor's 2025–2026 budget to consolidate the Department under the San Francisco Human Rights Commission within an agency model. The Task Force noted that placing COSW in the Administrative Code would allow its functions and structure to evolve more easily in light of such changes.

While the desire for administrative flexibility is understandable, the historical record suggests that COSW was intentionally established as a governance body with institutional authority rather than as a purely advisory forum.

Archival documents from the City illustrate the purpose behind the Commission's creation. When COSW was established in 1975, its mandate was to objectively assess the status of women in San Francisco and investigate the barriers preventing women from achieving their rights due

to prejudice or injustice *(See Att. B)*. The Commission was intended to serve as a mechanism through which the City could identify systemic inequities affecting women and develop policy responses.

When the City revisited the Commission's structure in 1989, the Mayor's Task Force reviewing COSW concluded that the inequities that led to the Commission's creation had not been remedied. The Task Force found that women continued to face significant challenges including poverty, workplace discrimination, sexual harassment, and violence. It further concluded that COSW required statutory authority, independence, and adequate resources in order to effectively carry out its mandate *(Att. C)*. Importantly, that same review examined the possibility of transferring COSW's functions to the San Francisco Human Rights Commission. The Task Force determined that the Human Rights Commission lacked the specialized expertise necessary to adequately address women's rights issues and therefore recommended maintaining COSW as an independent body.

Almost a decade after, in 1998, San Francisco became a national and global leader in gender equity policy when it adopted a local implementation of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). Through this ordinance, San Francisco became the first city in the United States to apply CEDAW principles to municipal governance. The Commission on the Status of Women played an important role in advancing the gender analysis framework used to evaluate city policies, services, and budget allocations.

Recent data from the City and County of San Francisco demonstrates that the inequities COSW was created to address remain significant today. Women represent approximately 49 percent of San Francisco's population, yet women and gender-marginalized individuals continue to experience persistent inequities in economic security, safety, health outcomes, and representation in leadership. Analyses based on U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey data indicate that women in San Francisco earn significantly less than men on average, reflecting a persistent gender wage gap that contributes to long-term economic insecurity for women and their families.

Women also experience poverty at higher rates than men, with disproportionately severe impacts on single mothers, immigrant women, women of color, and women with disabilities. Health disparities remain significant as well. According to data reported by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, Black mothers represent approximately four percent of births in San Francisco but account for roughly fifty percent of pregnancy-associated maternal deaths, highlighting persistent racial inequities in maternal health outcomes.

These conditions underscore why institutional mechanisms designed to evaluate and address gender inequities remain important.


After reviewing the Commission Streamlining Task Force's discussions at its public meetings, particularly the October 15 meeting, which I attended, it appeared that the conversation surrounding the Commission on the Status of Women focused largely on standardizing the structure of boards and commissions across City government, rather than examining the specific role and purpose of COSW.

While the Task Force evaluated COSW within this broader effort to standardize commission structures, it does not appear that the Task Force examined whether the underlying conditions affecting women in San Francisco have materially changed in ways that would justify reducing the Commission's institutional authority. Historical reviews of COSW have consistently emphasized the need to strengthen the Commission's independence and capacity, recognizing the persistence of gender inequities across multiple policy areas.

For nearly fifty years, San Francisco has embedded gender equity oversight within its governance framework through the Commission on the Status of Women. As the Board considers the proposed amendment to Section 4.119, the question is not only how City commissions are structured, but how San Francisco chooses to institutionalize its commitment to gender equity at this moment in its history.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Kudrat D. Kontilis
Vice Chair, SF Immigrant Rights Commission
Policy Co-Chair, SF Women's Political Committee
Advocacy Officer, SF Young Democrats
(Titles only for Identification Purposes)

ATTACHMENT A

Human Rights

The Task Force discussed and recommended changes to human rights bodies at its July 16 and October 15, 2025 meetings. For more information, please refer to the July 16 and October 15 meeting minutes and accompanying materials (Public Health and Wellbeing memo and presentation; Inactive Bodies memo and presentation).[45]

Advisory Council on Human Rights – Eliminate (inactive)

The Task Force unanimously voted to eliminate this body in its July 16 meeting, as part of a vote to accept staff recommendations to eliminate 31 inactive bodies. Based on available information, the Advisory Council on Human Rights has not met in over 15 years, and staff at the Human Rights Commission do not have information on why it was discontinued.

Next step: ordinance

Commission on the Status of Women – Keep, modify structure and responsibilities, move to Administrative Code

Type	Establishing Authority	Members	Appointing officers	Term length	Term limits	Member removal	Sunset
~~Decision-making~~ *Advisory*	~~Charter~~ *Administrative Code*	~~7~~ *11*	MYR	4 years	~~None~~ *3 terms*	~~For cause~~ *At will*	None
Changes to responsibilities							
Remove department head hiring and firing authority, remove budget and contract approval authority.							

The Task Force recommends keeping the Commission on the Status of Women (COSW), having it take on an advisory role, and moving it from the Charter to the Administrative Code. The Mayor included a proposal in the 2025-2026 budget cycle to consolidate the Department on the Status of Women under the Human Rights Commission in an agency model. This change needs to go to the voters, but these proposed changes illustrate that the Commission should be in the Administrative Code to allow for flexibility to adapt its functions and structure based on new needs. The Commission on the Status of Women has done important work for the City and as an advisory body, it can continue to be a space for meaningful public input on issues impacting women. Its mission will always be relevant, so the body should not have a sunset date.

Next step: ballot measure

Family Violence Council – Keep, modify structure

Type	Establishing Authority	Members	Appointing officers	Term length	Term limits	Member removal	Sunset
Staff Working Group	Administrative Code	~~28~~ *15*	~~28~~ *15* appointing authorities	~~None~~ *3 years for public members*	~~None~~ *4 terms for public members*	At will	5/1/2027

The Family Violence Council (FVC) is a hybrid staff working group/advisory committee that consists of 25 City employees and 3 members of the public that act as tri-chairs for the body. Despite typically recommending

[45] All materials can be found at https://www.sf.gov/commission-streamlining-task-force

that Staff Working Groups be removed from code/charter, the Mayor's Office for Victim's Rights (MOVR) values the current tri-chair structure and so the Task Force recommends keeping this body but making modifications to align with advisory body standards, including reducing the number of members from 28 to 15. Staff worked with MOVR to identify which seats to recommend removing.

Next step: ordinance

Human Rights Commission – Keep, modify structure and responsibilities, move to Administrative Code

Type	Establishing Authority	Members	Appointing officers	Term length	Term limits	Member removal	Sunset
~~Decision-making~~ *Advisory*	~~Charter~~ *Administrative Code*	11	Mayor	~~4 years~~ *3 years*	~~None~~ *4 terms*	At will	None
Changes to responsibilities							
Remove department head hiring and firing authority, remove budget and contract approval authority.							

The Task Force recommends keeping the Human Rights Commission, having it take on an advisory role, and moving it from the Charter to the Administrative Code. The Mayor included a proposal in the 2025-2026 budget cycle to consolidate the Department on the Status of Women under the Human Rights Commission in an agency model. This change needs to go to the voters, but these proposed changes illustrate that the Commission should be in the Administrative Code to better adapt functions and structures based on new needs. The Task Force discussed that its recommendations are intended to speak to the body's larger role in advising on human rights citywide, and that the body adds more value in advising the City rather than focusing on oversight of a relatively small department. Given the ongoing importance of human rights, the Task Force recommends not adding a sunset date.

Next step: ballot measure

Immigrant Rights Commission – Keep, modify structure

Type	Establishing Authority	Members	Appointing officers	Term length	Term limits	Member removal	Sunset
Advisory	Administrative Code	15	Mayor (4) BOS (11)	~~2 years~~ *3 years*	~~None~~ *4 terms*	At will	None

The Task Force recommends keeping the Immigrant Rights Commission, which advises the Mayor and Board of Supervisors on issues and policies affecting immigrants in San Francisco. It recommends making minor changes to term lengths and limits.

Next step: ordinance

LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee – Keep, modify structure

Type	Establishing Authority	Members	Appointing officers	Term length	Term limits	Member removal	Sunset
Advisory	Administrative Code	~~25~~ *15*	Human Rights Commission	~~None~~ *3 years*	~~None~~ *4 terms*	At will	None

The Task Force recommends keeping the LGBTQI+ Commission, which plays an important advisory role to the Human Rights Commission, focusing on discrimination and issues affecting the LGBTQI+ community.

ATTACHMENT B

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 07276 7859

SAN FRANCISCO
COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

RULES & PROCEDURES

August 12, 1975

D

REF
305.42
Sa52ru

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the Library

RULES & PROCEDURES
for
THE COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

1. At present, membership of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (hereafter referred to as Commission) will be comprised of eleven members who will select by election a chairperson and vice-chairperson.

2. The duly elected chairperson will communicate with the Board of Supervisors and other appropriate officials, will preside over regularly scheduled meetings, prepare agenda, and supervise the coordination of media, public relations. Election of the chairperson will be held annually or if appropriate at the end of the encumbant's term on the commission.

The Vice-chairperson should be nominated and duly elected from the remaining ten Commissioners and will assume the duties of the chairperson in her or his absence. In addition, the Vice-chairperson will assist the Chairperson in carrying out duties of the Chair.

3. Rules of Order will be governed by Roberts Rules of Order, Revised Edition.

4. Meetings will be held on the second and fourth Tuesdays of each month at 7:30 P.M. Emergency meetings can be called at the discretion of the chairperson or by petition of six Commissioners to the Chairperson. The regular meetings of the Commission will be devoted to: policy decisions, business involving the entire Commission, official correspondence, Committee reports, matters of community interest brought orally or in writing to the Commissioners. Meetings will conclude with oral communications from members of the audience. Such speakers are encouraged but not obligated to supply Commission members with written information in advance of their presentation. Such speakers may communicate with the Commission Coordinator and their presentations will be limited to five minutes. All meetings will be open to the public with the exception of matters dealing strictly with personnel matters. Meetings and locations of meetings shall be posted publically and delivered in writing or personally to newspapers of general circulation, radio, and television stations. In the event an emergency or otherwise unscheduled meeting is called, notice must be delivered personally or by mail to each Commissioner 48 hours prior to meeting time. Such notice must include time, location, and business to be transacted. No other business shall be considered at such time.

5. Voting will not be permitted by proxy. The presence of six Commissioners will constitute a quorum. When a quorum is present, the adoption of any motion shall require the concurrence of a majority of those present. Voting on all matters may be by voice vote unless the chair or a commissioner wishes a roll call vote. Voting on the election of officers will be by secret ballot.

6. Agenda will be prepared by the chairperson. Items to be emphasized, included, or placed on the agenda of any regular meeting shall be on file with the chairperson by noon Tuesday of the week prior to the scheduled meeting. Any commissioner may place an item on the agenda. The agenda shall be mailed so as to reach members of the Commission by Monday preceding the regular meeting. Community groups and individuals can ask for items to be placed on the agenda, for the following meeting, during that section reserved for community participation. Emergency procedures for placing community issues that must be acted upon immediately due to time or other impending factors, will originate with the chairperson and at the discretion of the Commissioners.

7. Committees will be established subsequent to ascertainment of priorities and dependent upon community needs.

8. Committees will be set up not to exceed ten members, at least two of whom shall be Commissioners appointed by the Chairperson; the Chairperson and the Commissioners will then select the remainder of the committee. A Commissioner will chair each committee and sub-committees of unlimited numbers will be formed if the need arises. One commissioner should be a member of each subcommittee but not necessarily its chairperson. Commissioners shall be appointed to their respective committees by the chairperson with chairperson taking into account the expertise and talents of the Commissioners.


For discussion of the purpose, priorities and possible committees, the Rules and Procedures Committee offers the following:

PURPOSE- The purpose of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women is to objectively discern what the actual status of women is in our community and from this base, investigate the potentials, goals, directions, needs, and rights of women. This information to be utilized to insure that the women of San Francisco are not and will not be prevented from achieving any goals or rights to which they are entitled as members of society by prejudice or other injustice.

PRIORITIES- Ongoing priorities will be established by contributions from Commissioners, community groups, and individuals. Initial priorities will be established by the Commission to establish a base of operations for forming initial committees.

COMMITTEES- Initial committees should include legislative, educational and employment.

August 12, 1975


Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/rulesproceduresf1219sanf

ATTACHMENT C

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 06801 2070

Report of the Mayor's Task Force on the Commission on the Status of Women

1989

D
REF
305.42
Sa578r

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the Library

ROBERTA ACHTENBERG
ATTORNEY AT LAW

April 10, 1989

Honorable Art Agnos, Mayor
200 City Hall
San Francisco, California 94102

Dear Mayor Agnos:

On January 31, 1989 you convened a task force to study options for the administrative structure for an entity charged with protection of women's rights in San Francisco. The Task Force is pleased to present its report to you at this time.

We have examined the status of women in San Francisco today and have concluded that the inequities which supported creation of the Commission on the Status of Women in 1975 have not been remedied and that, in fact, women have become increasingly imperiled by conditions of poverty, violence against women, and gender-based discrimination and harassment in the workplace. We have presented findings to document the need for continued effort to achieve true equality of the sexes.

We have developed a range of structural options under which the functions necessary to the protection of women's rights might be performed, and we have concluded that if any such entity is to do an effective job, it needs statutory power, independence, and adequate staff and budgetary resources.

We are presenting you our study of options, with our strong recommendation that the City create an independent, strengthened Commission on the Status of Women.

Thank you for requesting our assistance in exploring this critical issue. We remain ready to work further with you in any way that might be useful to you.

Sincerely,

Roberta Achtenberg

Roberta Achtenberg
Task Force Chairperson

3 1223 06801 2070

REPORT OF THE MAYOR'S TASK FORCE ON THE COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

PROTECTION OF WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN SAN FRANCISCO

Prepared at the Request of the Honorable Art Agnos

March 1989


Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/reportofmayorsta1989sanf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Task Force Members		i
Executive Summary		1
Introduction		5
Method		6
Findings		7
Statement of Purpose for any entity Charged with Protecting Women's Rights		9
Primary Functions and Authorities Necessary to any Entity Charged with Protecting Women's Rights		9
Structural Options		12
Option #1:	COSW as an Independent City Commission	12
Option #2:	Office of Women's Rights under the HRC	16
Option #3:	The Women's Advocate of San Francisco	19
Recommendations		21
Appendices		
Appendix A:	COSW Programs and Accomplishments	
Appendix B:	COSW September 1988 report	
Appendix C:	Public hearing summary	
Appendix D:	Original and Amended COSW Ordinances	

MAYOR'S TASK FORCE ON THE
COMMISSION ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN

Roberta Achtenberg, Chairperson

Roberta Achtenberg
Lesbian Rights Project

Lidia Ahumada
Concerned Citizenm, Mission Dist;
Lecturer in Nursing at
Domingez Hills State University

Betty Armstrong
Peat Marwick & Main

Shirley Black
Pres, SEIU Local #790
Mgr, Audio-Visual Dept, SFUSD

Diana Christensen
Past Chair, COSW

Dorothy Dana
Attorney for the
University of Califonia, Berkeley

Patsy Fulcher
Aileen Hernandez Associates

Barbara Garner
IBM Executive Offices

Jean Harris, Aide to
Supervisor Harry Britt

Mary Jansen
Retail Clerks Union

Kate Monaco Klein
Forencis Services
Community Mental Health Services

Sue Martin
Family Violence Project

Linda Mjellem
Pacific Telesis

Resa Peay-Wainwright
Human Resources Director
KQED, Inc.

Leslie Rainey
UFCW Local 115

Drucilla Ramey
Bar Assn of San Francisco

Lois Salisbury
Public Advocates

Pat Shiu
Employment Law Center

Dr. Mimi Silbert
Delancey St. Foundation

Kim Alice Smith
Bethany United Methodist
Church

Esta Soler
Family Violence Project

Kathy Owyang Turner
Chinese for Affirmative
Action

Samantha Yruegas, Pres.
Bay Area Network of Latinas

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) was created in 1975 in an effort by the City to achieve equality of the sexes, in terms both of basic rights and of equal access to services and protection by public agencies. The COSW was created based on findings that tradition and prejudice had historically resulted in unequal economic, political, legal and social status for women.

Since its inception the COSW has identified many areas where inequities and barriers harm and restrict women. But because of its own lack of power it has been unable to address them effectively. In 1980 COSW's powers were further limited by amendments to the Administrative Code which gave authority over COSW staff and budget to the director of the Human Rights Commission (HRC).

The Task Force concludes that if the COSW is to do the job for which it was created, it needs statutory power, independence, and adequate staff and budgetary resources.

In September 1988 a broad-based group of women asked newly elected Mayor Art Agnos to appoint a panel to study discrimination against women in San Francisco. In response, he created a special Task Force on the Commission on the Status of Women to study and develop a range of structural alternatives by which to address gender-based discrimination in San Francisco. This document outlines the Task Force's method, describes the alternatives, and offers final recommendations.

Method

The Task Force met from January 31st to March 28th to discuss the history of the COSW and the HRC, to review existing resource materials, and to take public comment. The Task Force examined the appropriate mission of an entity charged with protecting the rights of women defined the issues it ought to be concerned with, outlined the authority needed to address them effectively, and developed a range of structural options under which these functions might be performed.

Findings

The Task Force found that the inequities which supported creation of the COSW in 1975 have not been remedied and that women have become increasingly imperiled by conditions of poverty, violence against women, and gender-based discrimination and harassment in the workplace.

The Task Force also found that while the existing Commission has done significant and impressive work, its current structure and authority are inadequate.

Based on these findings, the Task Force designed a statement of purpose and enumerated the functions that need to be performed by whatever structural entity is charged with ensuring women's rights in San Francisco.

Statement of Purpose

The purpose of a Commission on the Status of Women (or any alternative structure established by the City) is to advance women's equality in San Francisco and to help the City and County of San Francisco realize its policy to ensure every inhabitant, woman or man, equal economic, political, social and educational opportunities and equal services and protection by public agencies.

Primary Functions and Authorities

1. Advocacy of women's rights and issues: The COSW should be charged with performing a significant institutionalized advocacy role within the City with respect to the rights of, and issues affecting, all women in San Francisco.

2. Reform of currently ineffective regulatory structure: The COSW should be mandated to study and make recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors regarding the most effective legal and functional structure for the City's enforcement of the rights of all women in San Francisco to secure equal access to programs and services and to be free from gender-based discrimination, including sexual harassment.

3. Advisory role: The COSW should serve as the chief advisor to the Mayor, the Board, the Chief Administrative Officer, and all department and commission heads on matters affecting women in San Francisco, and should function as the chief voice within City government for women.

4. Grants administration: The COSW should continue to perform its currently mandated function of administering domestic violence monies in San Francisco.

5. Auditing function: When there appears to be a possible problem within a City department that falls within COSW's purview, COSW should be empowered to perform a program and budget review which addresses the nature and scope of its services to women and its pattern of employment for women. In order to perform these and other functions, COSW must be legislatively provided with subpoena power, the power to hold public hearings, and the power to request audit assistance from the Controller's Internal Audits Division.

6. Monitoring of gender-based discrimination complaints: The COSW should be legislatively mandated to monitor all complaints of gender-based discrimination filed by City employees and employees of City contractors. The COSW should be charged with making recommendations to departments as to how to handle each such complaint and with monitoring the department's response.

7. Training role: All City departments and agencies should be statutorily required to conduct annual training and informational programs for supervisors and employees, the structure and content of which should be subject to COSW review and approval. The programs should focus on problems of gender-based discrimination, including sexual harassment.

In order to perform the duties enumerated above, the COSW's ordinance should include a statement indicating it is the City's policy to require full cooperation with the COSW by other City agencies.

Structural Options

Option #1 provides that under the leadership of the Mayor, the City would create an independent COSW operating under a broad legislative mandate, with a correspondingly larger budget and staff, and with control over its staff and budget. The Commission would be reduced in size to five commissioners in order to create a more cohesive and focused deliberative body. The executive director would be a Civil Service exempt appointee of the Commission. Staff would include a minimum of 5 1/2 people, possessing the skills necessary to implement the primary functions of the restructured Commission.

Option #2 recognizes that the HRC currently exists to address human rights issues generally, and therefore proposes to transfer the functions of the COSW to the HRC and dissolve the 11-member Commission on the Status of Women. It would establish the Office of Women's Rights within the HRC, with a director comparable to heads of other units within the HRC. This option is dependent upon a clear commitment from top leadership within the HRC to the advocacy of women's rights. The staff would include a minimum of 6 1/2 people, one more than the independent COSW option. The additional position is predicated on the important notion that creating an agency within an existing organization requires additional effort to ensure the visibility and viability of the newly consolidated function and the integration of women's rights activities with the work of preexisting units of the HRC.

Option #3 envisions the Women's Advocate of San Francisco, a private nonprofit entity capable of engendering wide support throughout the community, but which would also have a direct, formal link to the Mayor's office and would have a voice in the running of City business and development of City policy. It would be governed by a board of directors, one member of which would be a deputy mayor.

The staff would be appointed by the board, and would be comparable in makeup to that of an independent COSW. Funding would come from a public/private partnership under which the City would dedicate the proceedes of a city-collected fee as a continuing source of public funding and the Women's Advocate would solicit supplemental or contingent funding from private sources.

The report discusses the pros and cons of each option.

Recommendations

1. Structure

It is the conclusion of the Task Force that an independent entity with appropriate statutory powers should be established and charged with protection of the rights of women in San Francisco. The Task Force therefore recommends adoption of Option #1, creation of an independent, strengthened COSW, as the only model which can currently address women's issues with specific focus, visibility and accessibility.

The Task Force has also looked critically at the structure of the existing COSW and at two other alternative models. As stated above, the current structure is inadequate to the task and cannot be considered an option. Change must occur if there is to be a viable City-sponsored entity in charge of protecting the rights of women.

The Task Force finds Option #2, an Office of Women's Rights within the HRC, unacceptable because the HRC currently lacks the kind of expertise critical to protection of women's rights and because the HRC has been unable to demonstrate that it considers women's issues a priority. However, recognizing the value of an omnibus human rights agency, the Task Force does not dismiss reassessment of the HRC as a suitable umbrella for the COSW at some time in the future.

The Task Force found elements of Option #3, the Women's Advocate of San Francisco, intriguing, but ultimately rejected it as unacceptable and impractical. The major objection to it is the instability of its funding mechanism. Further, the legal issues and the questionable nature of its link to City government also raise serious concern.

2. Purpose and Function:

The Task Force examined the appropriate mission, goals, and legal authorities of any entity charged with protecting the rights of women, and developed consensus on a Statement of Purpose and on Primary Functions and Authorities. It is the conclusion of the Task Force that these elements are critical to the success of whatever entity is established, and should be included in appropriate format in the ordinance which establishes that entity.

From:	Bridget Maley
To:	MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); WongStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Woody LaBounty
Subject:	Board File 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:35:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 16, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman
Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102

Regarding Board File 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

While I generally appreciate the overall intent of finding ways to make government more efficient and citizen friendly, the current Commission Streamlining Task Force (Task Force) has over extended its jurisdiction. Evaluation of the Task Force recommendations is a substantial and complex undertaking that requires far more public engagement and thought than has occurred to date. While there are a number of recommendations from the Task Force that appear worthy, many have overshot their target and will have dramatic and negative impacts on the citizens of San Francisco. Two recommendations proposed by the Task Force with regard to the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) are particularly misguided.

Proposition J was passed in 2008 by the voters of San Francisco creating our Historic Preservation Commission. It greatly enhanced San Francisco's historic preservation program meeting the professional requirements of the Department of the Interior's standards for Certified Local Government (CLG) program. This means that San Francisco's program was on par with New York, Los Angeles, and Seattle and eligible for Federal grants. San Francisco joined a larger network of more than 2,000 cities nationwide operating in an established and consistent manner to protect historic and cultural resources in tandem with development. Cities participating in the program and with access to its benefits have commissions composed of experts in their fields and operate with ordinances that share time and legally-tested provisions. Unfortunately, two Task Force

recommendations would undermine San Francisco's historic preservation program.

To retain the city's professional standing, HPC member expertise should remain a requirement
and not be changed to "desirable." Currently specific areas of expertise are required, but the expertise categories provide ample flexibility in meeting the standards. Given our city's size and diversity, San Francisco does not want for talented preservation architects, preservation planners, architectural historians to serve on our HPC giving it the professional composition it deserves and that was established under Proposition J.

Retaining the HPC's survey, designation, project review and oversight responsibilities in the City Charter is the surest way to maintain an independent and objective historic preservation program rather than, as proposed by the Task Force, moving them to the Planning or Administrative Code. Once these duties have been removed from the City Charter, they are subject to political interference.

Retention of HPC required expertise as well as its duties under the City Charter will reinforce
the nearly 60 years of effort to ensure that San Francisco is on par with other American cities with iconic historic buildings, neighborhoods, parks and sites that contribute to tourism dollars and international stature. It will reverse the will of voters as shown in the positive support for Proposition J in 2008.

Finally, I oppose "At Will" rather than "For Cause" removal of any commissioners. This Task Force recommendation puts commission independence at risk and diminishes the voices of San Francisco residents.

Please thoroughly consider all of the Task Force's recommendations and provide further opportunities for public engagement prior to adopting these broad and potentially damaging recommendations.

Sincerely,
Bridget Maley
32 year resident of District Two
Former President of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board (Newsom Appointment)
Current Board Member California Preservation Foundation
Founding Member Neighborhoods United SF

--
Bridget Maley
bridget.maley@gmail.com

From:	Diane Jones Lowrey
To:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); DOSW, DOSW (WOM)
Subject:	For March 17 Prop E Streamlining Board of Supervisors Meeting
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:08:30 PM
Attachments:	Preserve the Governance Authority and Independence of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, (pdf attached below)

Preserve the Governance Authority and Independence of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

The proposal to downgrade the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) from a Charter-established governing commission to an advisory body represents a fundamental weakening of the City's commitment to equity, democratic accountability, and community-led governance. At a moment when women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people face growing threats to their rights, safety, and representation, San Francisco must strengthen—not sideline—the institutions designed to protect them.

The case for maintaining COSW's independence and governing authority is clear: **its history of impact, its unique role as a public accountability body, and the real risks posed by consolidating power under executive control**.

A Commission Born of Community, Proven by Results

COSW was established in 1975 by the Board of Supervisors following years of sustained advocacy by San Francisco's women's community. It was later affirmed by voters, becoming the first chartered Commission on the Status of Women in the United States. This origin matters. COSW was not created as an internal advisory group—it was designed as an independent governing body with the authority to hold City systems accountable to women and gender-marginalized communities.

That authority has produced measurable, lasting results.

COSW was instrumental in making San Francisco the first city in the nation to locally implement the **Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)** in 1998. This was not symbolic. The ordinance reshaped how City departments examined budgets, services, and policies through a gender-equity lens, setting a national and international precedent.

After the 2000 killing of Claire Joyce Tempongko exposed deep failures in San Francisco's domestic violence response, COSW led the development of the **Justice and Courage Blueprint**, driving reforms across police, probation, and the courts. These reforms did not emerge from advisory memos; they required a governing body with the authority to convene agencies, demand data, and push for systemic change.

COSW also helped establish cross-agency structures that still exist today, including the **Family Violence Council** and the **Sexual Assault Response Team**, ensuring that survivors' experiences informed policy across departmental silos.

While the Department no longer oversees domestic violence grants, the expertise and experience within the department will be leveraged to ensure cross-department collaboration and management of these important community programs remains top of mind for the city.

This is what governance authority enables: **action, coordination, and accountability**.

An Essential Platform for Public Voice and Oversight

COSW provides one of the few consistent, public forums where advocates, community-based organizations, and residents can engage directly with City leadership. Public comment regularly draws 4–10 speakers per meeting—a testament to the Commission's relevance and accessibility.

In recent years, COSW has:

- Overseen a citywide community survey to identify priorities for women and gender-marginalized residents
- Advanced grantmaking to protect reproductive health care access in the wake of the *Dobbs* decision
- Tracked women's representation in public spaces, which remains far below the City's stated 30% goal
- Elevated public health research on trans women and supported LGBTQ+ business owners
-

Highlighted programs serving young women of color and girls in sports

- Supported reforms within the San Francisco Police Department, including advocacy for lactation spaces for officers

- Elevated the voices of incarcerated women subjected to abuse

- Reviewed the Department on the Status of Women reports and surfaced credible, community-grounded recommendations

These functions depend on independence. An advisory body—particularly one whose members and director serve at the pleasure of the Mayor—cannot credibly challenge policy failures, elevate uncomfortable truths, or protect community trust.

Downgrading COSW Weakens Accountability and Concentrates Power

The decision by the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend downgrading COSW is not a neutral structural change. It would **fundamentally alter the balance of power**.

Under the proposed advisory model:

- The Mayor would gain authority to hire ***and*** fire the Department Director

- Commissioners could be removed or replaced at will

- COSW's ability to set agendas, demand information, and issue independent findings would be curtailed

This represents a concerning consolidation of authority at precisely the moment when **independent oversight is most needed**.

Across the country, women's rights are under sustained attack—from reproductive freedom to bodily autonomy to economic security. Locally, San Francisco continues to grapple with gender-based violence, inequitable access to health care, underrepresentation in leadership, and persistent disparities affecting women of color, trans women, and low-income families.

Reducing COSW to an advisory committee sends a clear message: that women's equity is no longer a governing priority, but a consultative afterthought.

At the recent convening of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, the United States was the lone vote against adopting the outcome document agreeing to women's access to justice. The United States, represented by a man, objected to definitions of gender, language around reproductive health, and references to gender ideology. Fortunately, the rest of the world disagreed and moved forward, emphasizing continuity with existing global frameworks and a broader, inclusive approach to gender equality. The United States is not on the right side of history, but San Francisco can be.

Governance Status Is Not Redundant; It Is Protective

Some argue that the existence of the Department on the Status of Women makes COSW's governing role unnecessary. This misunderstands the relationship between the two.

The Department was created by voters in 1994 precisely to **implement the policies of the Commission**. COSW sets direction, ensures accountability, and reflects community priorities; the Department executes programs and services. This separation mirrors best practices in public governance, where **independent oversight bodies exist to prevent politicization, ensure transparency, and maintain continuity across administrations**.

Eliminating COSW's governing authority collapses this safeguard and weakens public trust.

The Cost of Silence Is Greater Than the Cost of Independence

San Francisco prides itself on being a national leader in equity, inclusion, and progressive governance. That leadership is not abstract; it is built through institutions willing to name inequities, challenge power, and persist across political cycles.

Former COSW commissioners remain deeply engaged because they understand what is at stake. Their advocacy reflects decades of lived experience: when commissions lose independence, community voices fade, accountability erodes, and hard-won progress is easily reversed.

Maintaining COSW's governing authority is not about preserving tradition. It is about **protecting a proven mechanism for change**.

Recommendation: Maintain COSW as an Independent Governing Commission

The Board of Supervisors should reject the proposed downgrade and reaffirm the Commission on the Status of Women as a **Charter-established governing body** with:

-

Independent appointment and removal protections

- Authority to set policy priorities and agendas
- Oversight of the Department on the Status of Women
- A public forum for community accountability and participation

Anything less diminishes the City's commitment to gender equity and democratic governance.

At a time when women's rights and safety are increasingly contested, San Francisco must choose leadership over retreat, accountability over consolidation, and power-sharing over silence.

The Commission on the Status of Women must remain independent, and it must retain the authority to govern.

Best,

Diane Jones Lowrey, District 7 Resident

Diane Jones Lowrey
415.939.4433
djoneslowrey14@gmail.com

Preserve the Governance Authority and Independence of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

The proposal to downgrade the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) from a Charter-established governing commission to an advisory body represents a fundamental weakening of the City's commitment to equity, democratic accountability, and community-led governance. At a moment when women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people face growing threats to their rights, safety, and representation, San Francisco must strengthen—not sideline—the institutions designed to protect them.

The case for maintaining COSW's independence and governing authority is clear: **its history of impact, its unique role as a public accountability body, and the real risks posed by consolidating power under executive control**.

A Commission Born of Community, Proven by Results

COSW was established in 1975 by the Board of Supervisors following years of sustained advocacy by San Francisco's women's community. It was later affirmed by voters, becoming the first chartered Commission on the Status of Women in the United States. This origin matters. COSW was not created as an internal advisory group—it was designed as an independent governing body with the authority to hold City systems accountable to women and gender-marginalized communities.

That authority has produced measurable, lasting results.

COSW was instrumental in making San Francisco the first city in the nation to locally implement the **Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)** in 1998. This was not symbolic. The ordinance reshaped how City departments examined budgets, services, and policies through a gender-equity lens, setting a national and international precedent.

After the 2000 killing of Claire Joyce Tempongko exposed deep failures in San Francisco's domestic violence response, COSW led the development of the **Justice and Courage Blueprint**, driving reforms across police, probation, and the courts. These reforms did not emerge from advisory memos; they required a governing body with the authority to convene agencies, demand data, and push for systemic change.

COSW also helped establish cross-agency structures that still exist today, including the **Family Violence Council** and the **Sexual Assault Response Team**, ensuring that survivors' experiences informed policy across departmental silos.

While the Department no longer oversees domestic violence grants, the expertise and experience within the department will be leveraged to ensure cross department collaboration and management of these important community programs remains top of mind for the city.

This is what governance authority enables: **action, coordination, and accountability**.

An Essential Platform for Public Voice and Oversight

COSW provides one of the few consistent, public forums where advocates, community-based organizations, and residents can engage directly with City leadership. Public comment regularly draws 4–10 speakers per meeting—a testament to the Commission's relevance and accessibility.

In recent years, COSW has:

- Overseen a citywide community survey to identify priorities for women and gender-marginalized residents
- Advanced grantmaking to protect reproductive health care access in the wake of the *Dobbs* decision
- Tracked women's representation in public spaces, which remains far below the City's stated 30% goal
- Elevated public health research on trans women and supported LGBTQ+ business owners
- Highlighted programs serving young women of color and girls in sports
- Supported reforms within the San Francisco Police Department, including advocacy for lactation spaces for officers
- Elevated the voices of incarcerated women subjected to abuse
- Reviewed Department on the Status of Women reports and surfaced credible, community-grounded recommendations

These functions depend on independence. An advisory body—particularly one whose members and director serve at the pleasure of the Mayor—cannot credibly challenge policy failures, elevate uncomfortable truths, or protect community trust.

Downgrading COSW Weakens Accountability and Concentrates Power

The decision by the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend downgrading COSW is not a neutral structural change. It would **fundamentally alter the balance of power**.

Under the proposed advisory model:

- The Mayor would gain authority to hire ***and*** fire the Department Director
- Commissioners could be removed or replaced at will
- COSW's ability to set agendas, demand information, and issue independent findings would be curtailed

This represents a concerning consolidation of authority at precisely the moment when **independent oversight is most needed**.

Across the country, women's rights are under sustained attack—from reproductive freedom to bodily autonomy to economic security. Locally, San Francisco continues to grapple with gender-based violence, inequitable access to health care, underrepresentation in leadership, and persistent disparities affecting women of color, trans women, and low-income families.

Reducing COSW to an advisory committee sends a clear message: that women's equity is no longer a governing priority, but a consultative afterthought.

At the recent convening of the United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, the United Stes was the lone vote against adopting the outcome document agreeing to women's access to justice. The Unites States, represented by a man, objected to definitions of gender, language around reproductive health and references to gender ideology. Fortunately, the rest of the world disagreed and moved forward emphasizing continuity with existing global frameworks and a broader, inclusive approach to gender equality. The United States is not on the right side of history but San Francisco can be.

Governance Status Is Not Redundant, It Is Protective

Some argue that the existence of the Department on the Status of Women makes COSW's governing role unnecessary. This misunderstands the relationship between the two.

The Department was created by voters in 1994 precisely to **implement the policies of the Commission**. COSW sets direction, ensures accountability, and reflects community priorities; the Department executes programs and services. This separation mirrors best practices in public governance, where **independent oversight bodies exist to prevent politicization, ensure transparency, and maintain continuity across administrations**.

Eliminating COSW's governing authority collapses this safeguard and weakens public trust.

The Cost of Silence Is Greater Than the Cost of Independence

San Francisco prides itself on being a national leader in equity, inclusion, and progressive governance. That leadership is not abstract; t is built through institutions willing to name inequities, challenge power, and persist across political cycles.

Former COSW commissioners remain deeply engaged because they understand what is at stake. Their advocacy reflects decades of lived experience: when commissions lose independence, community voices fade, accountability erodes, and hard-won progress is easily reversed.

Maintaining COSW's governing authority is not about preserving tradition. It is about **protecting a proven mechanism for change**.

Recommendation: Maintain COSW as an Independent Governing Commission

The Board of Supervisors should reject the proposed downgrade and reaffirm the Commission on the Status of Women as a **Charter-established governing body** with:

- Independent appointment and removal protections
- Authority to set policy priorities and agendas
- Oversight of the Department on the Status of Women
- A public forum for community accountability and participation

Anything less diminishes the City's commitment to gender equity and democratic governance.

At a time when women's rights and safety are increasingly contested, San Francisco must choose leadership over retreat, accountability over consolidation, and power-sharing over silence.

The Commission on the Status of Women must remain independent, and it must retain the authority to govern.

Best,

Diane Jones Lowrey, District 7 Resident

From:	Renee Georgulas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	DOSW, DOSW (WOM); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject:	Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:42:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Therese R Georgulas

Noe Valley

From:	Alison Goh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); WongStaff (BOS)
Cc:	LWVSF Advocacy
Subject:	Vote no on Commission streamlining changes, Protect women"s voices in our local government
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:19:11 PM
Attachments:	2026-03 Commission on streamlining letter to BOS.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to vote no on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force. At a time when voting rights are under attack, San Francisco should be strengthening its commitment to advancing equity and representation of civic organizations dedicated to our fragile democracy.

The one-size-fits-most template approach removes power from historically marginalized and underrepresented groups, whose voices we should be amplifying and uplifting especially in today's troubled times.

Protect women's voices on the Sunshine Ordinance Task force: Removing the League of Women Voters' nominated seat on the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force would not only undercut vital representation, but also broadcast that San Francisco's government leaders are abandoning their support of the long-fought and continually-defended right for women to vote and engage in our local government. The League of Women Voters of San Francisco has been among the most committed organizations to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, consistently ensuring that its seat is not only filled, but filled with a member of the highest caliber of diligence and commitment to implementing the Sunshine Ordinance.

Keep the nonpartisan and trusted voice of the League on the Ballot Simplification Committee: League members bring experience with plain language and effective engagement, combined with knowledge of voter empowerment. The nominees that the League has brought forth have often added much needed diversity to the Committee. The League has been consistently appointing a thoughtful, qualified, and dedicated member to the BSC for decades – sourcing nominees that are truly independent and not part of a political popularity contest.

Retain the independence of the Commission on the Status of Women: Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work. Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to

provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

Uphold checks and balances with the Ethics Committee: Taking away the ability of the Ethics Committee to place ballot measures before the voters would undercut the independent authority of the Committee. San Francisco voters deliberately granted this power in 1993 to ensure the Ethics Commission could act when City Hall would not, and since then they have used it sparingly.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making. We have repeatedly voiced our concerns with removing the League's unique perspective and representation on the committees such as the Sunshine Task force, in letters and testimonials from current and former members. To date, our requests have been ignored.

We urge you to reject the proposed changes put forth by the Commission Streamlining Task Force. Thank you for your time and consideration.

In unity,

Alison Goh

_____
Alison Goh
Co-President
president@lwvsf.org
pronouns: she/her

League of Women Voters of San Francisco
582 Market Street, Suite 615, San Francisco, CA 94104
415-989-8683 ▪ Facebook ▪ Twitter
Empowering voters. Defending democracy. Learn more at **lwvsf.org**.

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS® OF SAN FRANCISCO

March 17, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Protect women's voices in our local government

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to vote no on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force. At a time when voting rights are under attack, San Francisco should be strengthening its commitment to advancing equity and representation of civic organizations dedicated to our fragile democracy.

The one-size-fits-most template approach removes power from historically marginalized and underrepresented groups, whose voices we should be amplifying and uplifting especially in today's troubled times.

Protect women's voices on the Sunshine Ordinance Task force: Removing the League of Women Voters' nominated seat on the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force would not only undercut vital representation, but also broadcast that San Francisco's government leaders are abandoning their support of the long-fought and continually-defended right for women to vote and engage in our local government. The League of Women Voters of San Francisco has been among the most committed organizations to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, consistently ensuring that its seat is not only filled, but filled with a member of the highest caliber of diligence and commitment to implementing the Sunshine Ordinance.

Keep the nonpartisan and trusted voice of the League on the Ballot Simplification Committee: League members bring experience with plain language and effective

engagement, combined with knowledge of voter empowerment. The nominees that the League has brought forth have often added much needed diversity to the Committee. The League has been consistently appointing a thoughtful, qualified, and dedicated member to the BSC for decades – sourcing nominees that are truly independent and not part of a political popularity contest.

Retain the independence of the Commission on the Status of Women: Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work. Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

Uphold checks and balances with the Ethics Committee: Taking away the ability of the Ethics Committee to place ballot measures before the voters would undercut the independent authority of the Committee. San Francisco voters deliberately granted this power in 1993 to ensure the Ethics Commission could act when City Hall would not, and since then they have used it sparingly.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making. We have repeatedly voiced our concerns with removing the League's unique perspective and representation on the committees such as the Sunshine Task force, in letters and testimonials from current and former members. To date, our requests have been ignored.

We urge you to reject the proposed changes put forth by the Commission Streamlining Task Force. Thank you for your time and consideration.

In unity,



Alison Goh, Co-President

From: Laura Stein
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment on the Commission Streamlining Task Force"s Recommendations
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:39:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 16, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102

My vote for Prop E was a vote to review the workings of commissions to improve the administration of City government. I voted for a ballot measure that purported to recognize the role of commissions in ensuring that SF government functions as a public and participatory process and one in which the perspectives, lived experience and expertise of residents is highly valued. I expected, as the measure said, an “evidence-based review” of commissions to identify those that added value, and those that could be consolidated, streamlined or improved.”

That’s not, however, what I got. Instead, I got a set of recommendations that weaken the independence and authority of commissions in ways that have no clear connection to the Proposition’s stated goals of efficacy, efficiency or economy. The Commission Streamlining Task Force’s recommendations weaken many governance commissions by moving them from the Charter to the administrative code where their structures and functions can be more easily altered by elected officials rather than voters. Other recommendations would increase mayoral control over some commissions whose members can now be removed by the mayor at-will rather than for cause. Other recommendations would remove the powers and authority of some commissions to review contracts and to hire or fire department heads.

The overall effect of these recommendations is fourfold. They reorder the balance of power between the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and Commissions, harming the current system of checks and balances. They also weaken the independence of commissions, reducing their autonomy and oversight capacity. They reduce public engagement by providing fewer and less meaningful opportunities for regular citizen participation, and they threaten San Francisco’s strong history of participatory democracy and community voice.

No compelling or convincing rationale is given for these changes. The report claims that these changes improve City Administration by solving several unsubstantiated "problems" put forth as adopted principles. Namely, improving public input, creating clear lines of accountability, making government easier to understand, and using City resources responsibly. Most of these problems do not align with the goals of Prop E in any logical way, nor with the recommendations they purport to justify.

The Report says it will improve accountability by making only elected officials accountable for City performance. This principle bears no relation to the goals of efficiency, economy or efficacy. It does presume that elections are the only form of accountability, as opposed to ongoing voice and participation in democratic processes.

The report says it will make government more consistent and understandable through standardization. Yet, it offers no evidence whatsoever that this is a problem. In addition, its recommendations of terms limits, sunset dates, and revised appointment and removal processes do little to make any commission or the overall system more understandable to the public.

The Report's objective of making the City more economical or efficient is hardly addressed. The Task Force points out that the financial cost of running commissions is $33.8 million and says that fewer commissions will result in fewer dollars spent. However, this figure is a tiny fraction of San Francisco's City budget, which was estimated to be $14.5-14.6 billion dollars in 2023-2024 and 2024-2025. There has been no thorough analysis of the cost or impact of eliminating or combining commissions, particularly where their functions must continue. The Budget and Legislative Analyst Report mandated by Prop E itself acknowledged that they had insufficient data to estimate cost savings. In many cases, the survey respondents on whom they based their data indicated that eliminating commissions could increase expenses. Moreover, there was no attempt to assess the value of the services provided by commissioners or the cost of replacing them with paid positions, should their functions continue. This reality is also acknowledged in Budget and Legislative Analyst Report.

San Francisco's commission system allows for greater citizen participation and oversight in democratic governance, with different commissions giving residents different degrees of decision-making power. Commissions allow residents to determine how information is shared, policies and goals set, resources allocated, programs operated, and benefits distributed. These powers help assure ongoing government transparency and accountability in a way that simply voting every four years cannot. The process may also bring efficiencies to government in the form of services and expertise provided for free by

commissioners and in the form of more engaged and participatory decision-making that can help direct resources and services more effectively than centralized or consolidated decision-making without ongoing public input.

The Commission Streamlining Task Force did identify active commissions, inactive ones, and those whose functions are redundant. I have no objection to recommendations related to these findings. However, the Task Force's own report offers no good reason for curbing the independence of commissions by removing them from the Charter, or for removing the powers and authority of any commissions. The Board should reject any recommendations that seek to do so.

Laura Stein
San Francisco

From:	Blakely, Dominique (HRC)
To:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Statement Regarding the Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:55:48 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-waxfkbgw.png COSW Statement to BOS.pdf Outlook-tb1t53jg.png

Good evening, Madam Clerk,

On behalf of the Commission on the Status of Women, I am resubmitting the attached statement, which was approved by a unanimous vote by Commissioners on January 27, 2026. We kindly request its distribution to all Board members.

Thank you for your assistance, and please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,
Dominique

DEPARTMENT ON THE STATUS OF WOMEN
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Dominique Blakely | Executive Management Assistant
she/her
San Francisco Dept. on the Status of Women
Engage. Educate. Empower.
p: (415) 252-3205
w: Department on the Status of Women | SF.gov

From: Blakely, Dominique (HRC) <dominique.blakely@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2026 10:50 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Statement Regarding the Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations for the Commission on the Status of Women

Good morning, Madam Clerk,

On behalf of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women, I am submitting the attached statement, which was approved by a unanimous vote by Commissioners on January 27, 2026. We kindly request its distribution to all Board members.

Thank you for your assistance, and please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Dominique



Dominique Blakely | Executive Management Assistant
she/her
San Francisco Dept. on the Status of Women
Engage. Educate. Empower.
p: (415) 252-3205
w: Department on the Status of Women | SF.gov

Docusign Envelope ID: 5E4A2BE9-7DF2-47C3-A13A-D976A3A6BCF9

The Commission on the Status of Women

THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DIANE JONES LOWREY
President

ANI RIVERA
Vice President

SOPHIA ANDARY
Commissioner

CECILIA CHUNG
Commissioner

DR. SHOKOOH MIRY
Commissioner

DR. ANNE MOSES
Commissioner

DR. RAVEENA RIHAL
Commissioner

DOMINIQUE BLAKELY
Commission Secretary

February 4, 2026

Re: Statement Regarding the Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations for the Commission on the Status of Women

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women, we submit this statement as approved by a unanimous vote by Commissioners on January 27, 2026.

San Francisco's commitment to equity, accountability, and community-informed governance is threatened by the proposal to downgrade the Commission on the Status of Women from a Charter-established governing body to merely an advisory committee. The central argument is this: reducing the Commission's authority will fundamentally weaken its ability to deliver real results for women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people.

Women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people face threats to their rights and representation. San Francisco must reinforce— not reduce—the institutions that protect them.

The Commission on the Status of Women was established in 1975 after years of advocacy by the women's community and later affirmed by voters. It was not created to offer suggestions from the sidelines. It was designed as an independent governing body with authority to hold City systems accountable.

And that authority has delivered results.

COSW helped make San Francisco the first city in the nation to implement Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) locally, reshaping how departments examine budgets, policies, and services through a gender-equity lens. It helped establish the Family Violence Council and the Sexual Assault Response Team, ensuring survivor experiences informed policy across agencies. More recently, the Commission has protected reproductive health access after the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Dobbs*, tracked women's representation in public spaces and addressed public safety, elevated research on trans

Docusign Envelope ID: 5E4A2BE9-7DF2-47C3-A13A-D976A3A6BCF9

women, supported LGBTQ+ businesses, advocated for lactation spaces in the Police Department, and elevated the voices of incarcerated women subjected to abuse.

This is what governance authority enables: action, coordination, and accountability.

Downgrading COSW is not neutral restructuring. It would concentrate power by allowing the Mayor to hire and fire the Department Director, remove commissioners at will, and limit the Commission's ability to set agendas or issue independent findings, as advisory bodies may not direct staff or department activities. That is precisely the opposite of transparency and oversight.

Some may argue that if the Department on the Status of Women remains in place, there is no need for governance by the COSW and therefore, it's status as an advisory body does not change how the Department and COSW function in practice. That misunderstands the system. Voters created the Department to implement the Commission's policies—not to replace its oversight. This separation between the Department and its Commission protects independence, continuity, and public trust.

San Francisco's leadership on equity has never been symbolic. It has relied on strong civilian oversight, active civic participation, and the lived experiences of community members to ensure equity work remains accountable to the public.

The cost of silence is far greater than the cost of independence.

To fully protect equity, accountability, and community voice in San Francisco, the Commission on the Status of Women must remain independent and retain its governing authority. Preserving the Commission's power is essential to upholding our City's longstanding values and ensuring effective oversight.

Sincerely,

Signed by:
Diane Jones Lowrey
6CD9951D621049B...

Diane Jones Lowrey
President
San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

Signed by:
Ani Rivera
9BB99712FB4A47F...

Ani Rivera
Vice President
San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

From:	Mitchell Omerberg
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	BOS Item Full Mtg Mach 17, 2026 - Item 15
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:12:30 PM
Attachments:	letter to Bd re Rent Board Director.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include in file.

March 16, 2026

Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall Room 260
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Taskforce Recommendations – Rent Board

Dear Supervisors,

We are writing to oppose giving the Mayor sole power to appoint the Director of the Rent Board. After considering various changes to the Rent Board, as well as hearing from the community, the task force decided to preserve the Rent Board's current structure. So it is surprising to see this proposal surface now.

The rationale for giving the Mayor sole appointment authority over department heads is that, with commission appointments split between the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, the executive branch needs this authority to more effectively govern.

But the Rent Board doesn't have split appointments. The Mayor appoints all the Rent Board Commissioners, two landlords, two tenants, and one neutral.

Unlike any other commission, the Rent Board is quasi-judicial. The Rent Board deals with disputes between landlords and tenants. This can be contentious, but the Rent Board's legitimacy with both landlords and tenants and the groups representing them is derived from its balanced makeup.

And the Director of the Rent Board's own legitimacy derives from being selected by a Rent Board made up of an equal number of landlords and tenants. A director chosen solely by the Mayor will not have that legitimacy.

In addition, the Rent Board Commission has a lot of expertise in a complex and multilayered area of law which includes the San Francisco Rent Law itself, the regulations implementing the Rent Law and adopted by the Board over time, and numerous other laws both state and local that come to bear. The job the Rent Board does requires a lot of specialized experience hearing, discussing, and deciding appeals. The Rent Board also adopts rules and regulations, a task that

requires a lot of experience hearing appeals, in order to understand what the regulations need to say and how they might be need to be changed.

It makes no sense to bypass this knowledgeable and specialized commission when appointing a Rent Board Director.

It should be noted that in addition to the absence of split commission appointments at the Rent Board, the Rent Board has always chosen its director in consultation with the Mayor. There has been a complete absence of conflict or confrontation in so doing and this has been true for the entire Rent Board's existence. This is in no small part due to the voices of both landlords and tenants in the form of the Rent Board Commissioners being part and parcel of the decision.

There is no problem here to be fixed and changing the process will undermine the legitimacy of both the Rent Board and its Director.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Omerberg

Mitchell Omerberg
Director

71 Norwich Street, San Francisco, CA 94110 415-756-3037
www.sfaffordablehousingalliance.org

From:	lgpetty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject:	Followup: Timeframe for Board Actions on Commission Streamlining Taskforce Proposed Charter Amendment, File 260147 & 260225 and Forthcoming Ordinances
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:47:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

(Please refer to my previous Stop the Rush email of March 14 as below last.)

Re Timeframe for Board Actions:

As Prop E is written, **nothing** mandates skipping Committee hearing(s);
nothing prohibits many additional full Board hearings... or prohibits holding informational Town Halls...or prohibits the Board from **NOT** putting a Charter amendment on the November ballot. In fact, t**here is no date or time frame specified in Prop E for submission of a Commissions Streamlining Charter revisions ballot to voters.**

Additionally, re proposed Commission Streamlining Ordinances, there is nothing stopping Supervisors from taking a "**disapproved"** action on all proposed CS Ordinances within the prescribed 90-day period, with the goal of allowing more (or unlimited) consideration and deliberation time for them as (duplicated file or resubmitted) Ordinances.

I'm all for changes where necessary. And some are needed. But the current process shuts down due dialogue between the public and its elected representatives on these momentous issues that have such consequential impacts on all San Franciscans.

Narrowing the timeframe for public participation and interaction with Supervisors in the current manner promotes the appearance of political elite, top-down imposition of unreasonable, incomprehensible upheavals of long-established agreements.

The Board has the power to effect maximum two-way, clear, sufficient, and respectful communication.

The Board, starting with President Mandelman, has the authority to

Stop the Rush!

Thank you

Lorraine Petty D2 voter
Advocate for Seniors and Affordable Housing

March 14, 2026 email

Dear Supervisors.

Since establishment of Commissions, Advisory and Oversight bodies in San Francisco was a 100+ year process of lengthy, thoughtful and hard-fought collaborations and consensus-building to protect residents from government corruption and abuses, I believe it will severely short-change the public to consider and decide the fate of all of these official bodies in only two Board hearings (only a week apart).
Proposed Commission Streamlining Charter and Ordinance changes are too numerous for any constituency to fully comprehend in a single month.

This absurdly-rushed process of massively changing SF's carefully-created Commissions etc gives the public the impression of glossing over many devils hidden in the details. It looks like a massive transfer of power is proposed: taking it away from the public and giving it to current and future Mayors. These proposals go far beyond the scope directed by Prop E.

And the Board has bypassed the normal 30-day rule & Committee examination in order to hold a Full Board hearing only 14 days after establishing file receipt at the Board of the enormous package.

This shortening of consideration might be appropriate in considering alterations or elimination of a single Commission, but certainly not for analysis by the public and the Board of major changes to 152 Commissions, Boards and Advisory bodies. The "Commission Streamlining" package contains a proposed 166-page Charter Amendment and 308-page set of proposed change Ordinances.

The package is derived from the highly complex and already- controversial Commission Streamlining Task Force Final Report recommendations for elimination of 60 oversight bodies, and retention of 86 bodies (albeit severely altering many, or applying a disastrous one-modification- formula-fits-all). This is accompanied by a serious undermining of the current methods and qualifications of expertise in choosing Commission appointees; coupled with removal of many Charter-created bodies from Charter protection, opening up Commissions and other bodies to arbitrary Board elimination or back-room tinkering.

A chart of the proposed fate of each Commission, Board and Advisory body can be found starting on **page 8** of the full Task Force Report with some brief explications starting on **page 46:**

https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/Commission_Streamlining_Task_Force_Final_Report.pdf

---

A useful analysis of the 134-page Task Force Final Report can be found:

https://westsideobserver.com/26/3-sf-governance-overhaul-streamlining-task-force-boards-mayoral-power.php

---

Some might argue that more than enough time has been devoted to the change proposals; that the Task Force spent a year, and held 18 public hearings.

But these hearings were little-known or publicized.
And I witnessed some of them. It shocked me to see the speed of decisions made without discussion (as if pre-determined) and in apparent disregard for the opinions of the public expressed in person and through emails and letters. The Task Force seemed weighted in favor of government- and institutional-minded individuals -- out-of-sync with the public's desires for oversight and anti-corruption protections, currently, and in originally establishing these bodies.

What I saw was a Task Force appearing to view its goal solely as making government operations cheaper and faster. Government's democratic obligations to prioritize public participation and scrutiny were made light of or ignored.

I recommend to the Board of Supervisors that several months of hearings are needed, with time for 11 district-wide educational sessions and plenty of interactive dialogue between Board members and the public. Time to absorb, consider, and include a diversity of viewpoints.The public has the right to be given a thorough, accessible explanation of reasons for such widely-impactful changes and their exact, real-life effects. The public has the right to have their participation and oversight be maintained at the highest levels. No democratic government has permission to eliminate, reduce, or mute these rights.

In other words:

If anyone is thinking about betraying the public's trust...

If anyone is considering removal of 16 bodies from Charter protection...

If anyone is thinking about eliminating 60 bodies, including: the Housing Stability Fund Oversight Board (politically knee-capped watchdog of ballot-created Prop I), Dignity Fund Providers Working Group (ballot-created), Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee (ballot-created, diluting it into the Advisory Council to the Aging and Disability Services Commission), SFMTA Bond Oversight Committee, Long Term Care Coordinating Council, Our City Our Home Oversight Committee (ballot-created Prop C watchdog)), Public Works Commission, Citizens Committee on Community Development...

If anyone is considering drastically limiting the authority of bodies such as the Planning Commission, Port Commission, Commission on the Status of Women...

BEST NOT DONE IN HASTE.

Democracy requires time and patience, with maximum inclusion and equality of application. (And money, of course. But ironically these so-called reforms don't even offer a balm of saving any significant taxpayer dollars.)

Stop the Rush. Stop the Folly.

Lorraine Petty D2

Advocate for Seniors and Affordable Housing

From:	Dillon, Zachary (PDR)
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	BOS-Legislative Aides; BOS Clerks Office (BOS); PDR-Integrity; Goossen, Carolyn (PDR)
Subject:	Letter opposing Task Force recommendations for Police Commission
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:11:07 PM
Attachments:	Public Defender Letter Opposing Commission Task Force Recommendations.pdf

Good Afternoon Supervisors,

Please find the attached letter opposing the Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations related to the Police Commission.

Thank you,

Zac Dillon (he / him)
Integrity Unit, Office of the Public Defender
Zachary.Dillon@sfgov.org

MANOHAR RAJU – PUBLIC DEFENDER
MATT GONZALEZ – CHIEF ATTORNEY

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC DEFENDER
Greater Than One

March 16, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102
Submitted via Electronic Mail

Re: Proposed Changes to the Police Commission's Authority

Dear Members of the Commission Streamlining Task Force:

I am writing on behalf of the Public Defender's Office to strongly oppose the Task Force's recommendations to consolidate Police Commission (Commission) authorities under the Mayor and to limit the Commission and Department of Police Accountability's (DPA) independence in overseeing the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD). The proposed changes would reverse voter-approved checks and balances, dangerously centralize authority, and worsen documented administrative delays in issuing police discipline.

For 100 years, the San Francisco Public Defender's Office has provided dedicated and zealous legal representation to individuals charged with a crime and unable to afford an attorney. Our mission is to protect and defend the rights of our clients and community through effective, vigorous, compassionate, and creative legal advocacy.

In a brief half page of the report,[1] the Task Force recommends ten modifications to the operation and powers of the Police Commission:

1. Remove this Board's ability to approve or reject mayoral Commission appointments
2. Remove this Board's oversight on the mayor's power to remove a Commissioner
3. Remove the Commission's role in hiring and firing the Chief of Police
4. Give the Commission direct hiring and firing authority over DPA Director
5. Transfer the power to discipline for severe cases from the Commission to the Chief of Police
6. Transfer the Commission's role in discipline to an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ)
7. Make the Commission serve as an appellate body for the ALJ and Chief's discipline decisions
8. Eliminate the DPA Director's ability to recommend discipline the chief disagrees with

[1] https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/Commission_Streamlining_Task_Force_Final_Report.pdf at page 79.

9. Remove the requirement that at least one Commissioner have trial experience as an attorney
10. Create term limits for Commissioners

The introduction of term limits is a benign change to the operation but the other suggestions will have a significant negative impact on the work of police oversight.

Preserve the Board's Appointment and Oversight Role

The proposed changes undermine voter-approved reforms designed to check the Mayor's authority. San Francisco's Charter intentionally splits appointment and removal authority of Commissioners between the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor to preserve independence for one of the City's most consequential bodies. The Charter and voters have vested this Board with a role in the decision to approve and remove Commissioners.

The prior administration's practice of having Commissioners sign a letter to "pre-resign" upon appointment was rightly and promptly ended once it was exposed in the press. This Task Force's recommendation that the mayor have unilateral firing ability would, in effect, codify that widely-criticized policy in the Charter. The proposed change would gut the current system of checks and balances where the Board of Supervisors approval is required to remove a commissioner. Protecting Commissioners who act on evidence and conscience—even when political winds shift—is essential to maintaining meaningful oversight.

Two years ago, San Francisco voters expressly rejected efforts to shift power toward the Mayor by voting down Proposition D, an ordinance with identical language to what is now being presented by the Task Force, by more than 13 percentage points. Furthermore, San Francisco voters have repeatedly voted for more oversight, transparency, and accountability in policing. Resubmitting this question to the ballot, despite voters' clear decision, undermines the stability of the democratic process and the integrity of voter-approved reforms.

Moreover, gifting unilateral authority to the Mayor to hire and fire the Chief of Police would erode that independence and cuts against police oversight best practices nationally. The risks here are as obvious as they are serious: oversight, reforms, or internal dissent may be suppressed when a majority of Commissioners are directly beholden to a single political actor.[2] When independent oversight is undermined, police misconduct becomes harder to detect, deter, and discipline.

---

[2] See Fair & Just Prosecution, *Promoting Independent Police Accountability Mechanisms: Key Principles for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement* (June 2021), https://www.fairandjustprosecution.org/staging/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/FJP-Civilian-Oversight-Issue-Brief.pdf; Cleveland Community Police Commission, *Best Practices for Civilian Oversight of Police* (Sept. 2019), https://clecpc.org/wp-content/uploads/Best-Practices-for-Civilian-Oversight-of-Police-2019.pdf.

Maintain the Commission's Authority to Impose Discipline

Moving all discipline authority to the Chief relegates the Commission to a largely advisory role—precisely the model academic research on police oversight warns against. Purely advisory bodies seldom provide effective oversight or accountability because they are consistently associated with lower compliance,[3] weaker legitimacy,[4] and less ability to leverage better outcomes.[5] By contrast, independence is "widely understood to be imperative" to an oversight agency's legitimacy and success.[6] The pioneer of the modern police oversight movement, Merrick Bobb, documented how advisory bodies without binding authority or structural independence fail because their findings can be too easily ignored.[7]

It is recognized nationally that police discipline decisions should be insulated from the political process.[8] San Francisco's current structure balances efficiency with necessary independence by allowing the Chief to adjudicate minor misconduct cases while allocating serious misconduct cases to the Commission.

Transferring serious cases that the Commission currently adjudicates to an Administrative Law Judge is a drastic change that would all but entirely remove the Commission from the discipline process.[9]

Prioritize DPA's Independence

Equally troubling, the proposed changes will quietly eliminate DPA's longstanding authority to file disciplinary charges directly with the Commission. Without this ability, the agency becomes purely advisory. Because the Chief has frequently rejected or minimized its findings, DPA's authority matters more than ever.

A 2022 study by DPA of its sustained misconduct cases sent to the Chief showed the Chief disagreed with DPA's discipline recommendations in nearly six out of 10 cases, declining to impose discipline at all in 23% of cases and imposing lower discipline

---

[3] National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE), *Impediments & Challenges to Civilian Oversight* 2-3, 14 (Oct. 10, 2024), https://assets.nationbuilder.com/nacole/pages/1302/attachments/original/1728589148/NACOLE_REPORT_FINAL_Impediments_and_Challenges_20241010.pdf?1728589148=&.

[4] *Id*.

[5] Peter Finn, *Citizen Review of Police: Approaches and Implementation* (2001) (NCJ No. 184430), https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/184430.pdf.

[6] Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, *The Evolution and Growth of Civilian Oversight: Key Principles and Practices for Effectiveness and Sustainability* 12 (COPS W0951, 2021), https://portal.cops.usdoj.gov/resourcecenter/content.ashx/cops-w0951-pub.pdf.

[7] Bobb, Merrick (2003) "Civilian Oversight of the Police in the United States," *Saint Louis University Public Law Review*: Vol. 22: No. 1, Article 10, https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/plr/vol22/iss1/10.

[8] See Oakland's Police Commission, the Los Angeles Independent Board of Rights, the Chicago Police Board, and the Milwaukee Fire and Police Commission.

[9] See, Paul Chignell, Public Comment at Special Meeting of the Commission Streamlining Task Force, January 14, 2026 https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/player/clip/51583?view_id=236&redirect=true at 3:11:33, explaining that only six serious cases were appealed to an ALJ since the process began.

than what DPA recommended 15% of the time. Similarly, between 2017 and 2019, the Chief agreed with DPA's findings in sustained misconduct cases less than half the time and disciplined just 45% of the time.

It is essential that San Francisco protect DPA's independent authority in discipline matters. Otherwise, the Task Force's changes risk dismantling its core strength and cuts against what voters demanded when they created and repeatedly strengthened civilian oversight in prior reforms.

Strengthen Accountability Through Timely Discipline

Delays in Chief-imposed discipline are well documented. DPA's 2023 'Key Issue' memo found that 55% of cases referred to the Chief for discipline had awaited adjudication for more than a year. Further burdening the Chief with sole authority over *all cases* would only worsen these backlogs, keeping officers accused of serious misconduct on the street—or in the so-called "rubber room"—potentially for years while still drawing taxpayer salaries. Crucially, those officers could also testify in criminal trials while knowledge of their misconduct accusations remains hidden from the jury by SFPD's byzantine, opaque discipline system.

The Need for Accountability Remains Urgent and Visible

After more than a decade of reoccurring high-profile scandals and expensive police misconduct litigation, weakening independent oversight now sends the wrong message to the public.

Transferring authority from the Commission to the Chief hides the oversight process when we should be seeing more. Police should not police themselves because too often scandals are hidden behind a blue wall of silence.[10] San Francisco must continue the work voters have long sought: binding oversight authority, multiple independent voices, and transparent timelines to deliver prompt, impartial discipline. Consolidating power in the Mayor, narrowing the Commission's authority, and eliminating DPA's charging power reverses that progress.

For these reasons, we strongly oppose the recommended changes.

Respectfully submitted,

Zac Dillon
Senior Law & Policy Analyst, Integrity Unit
San Francisco Public Defender

---

[10] Eric Arnold, *Police Misconduct: Combatting the Complicity Crisis*, Nw. U. J. of Criminal Law & Criminology Vol. 115, Issue 1, Article 4 (Winter 2025), https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=7781&context=jclc (observing how internal police discipline systems perpetuate rather than curb misconduct).

From: Michael Nulty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Request to Amend Prop E Streamlining Recommendations – Protect Charter Status, Independence, and Authority of Core Oversight & Equity Commissions
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 2:16:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Request to Amend Prop E Streamlining Recommendations – Protect Charter Status, Independence, and Authority of Core Oversight & Equity Commissions

Dear President and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Alliance for a Better District 6, a grassroots organization dedicated to equitable development, community safety, and strong democratic accountability in San Francisco's District 5 and 6, we write to urge you to amend the final Prop E implementation recommendations. We respectfully request that the Board preserve the Charter-protected status of core oversight and equity commissions, maintain their full investigative and enforcement authority, and reject changes that would render commissioners "at-will" rather than "for-cause" appointees.

In November 2024, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E with 53% support, establishing the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend ways to modify, eliminate, or combine appointive boards and commissions for the more effective, efficient, and economical administration of City government. Voters supported Prop E to cut bureaucracy and improve governance — not to dismantle the independent oversight and equity commissions they themselves had placed in the Charter through prior ballot measures. Regrettably, the general public has been largely ignored throughout this reform process. Despite hundreds of public comments, hearings, and widespread community engagement, the Task Force's recommendations appear to disregard voter intent and community voices, advancing changes that consolidate power rather than honor the mandate for balanced, accountable government. Politics should not be rewarded this way. For the future of San Francisco government, reforms must uphold the checks and balances San Franciscans voted to protect — not erode them through backdoor power shifts.

San Francisco currently maintains 152 boards and commissions. The Streamlining Task Force recommendations appropriately retain 87 of 115 active bodies and eliminate 60 inactive or redundant ones. However, the proposal to move 18 active bodies out of the Charter and into the Administrative Code — reducing Charter-

authorized bodies from 42 to 24 — fundamentally undermines the permanence, independence, and authority of commissions that voters deliberately placed in the Charter.

These commissions were not created by administrative whim; they were placed on the ballot by broad coalitions and approved directly by San Franciscans. Moving them to the Administrative Code makes them vulnerable to elimination or weakening by a simple majority vote at any time, exposing them to political retaliation and eroding the checks and balances San Franciscans voted to protect.
At stake are the following vital bodies:

- Human Rights Commission
- Youth Commission
- Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight Advisory Committee
- Commission on the Status of Women
- Commission on the Environment
- Disability and Aging Services Commission
- Homelessness Oversight Commission
- Small Business Commission
- Building Inspection Commission
- Sheriff's Department Oversight Board
- Police Commission
- Historic Preservation Commission

These are not inactive or duplicative entities. They deliver civil-rights enforcement, public-safety oversight, youth voice in policymaking, environmental review, disability and aging services accountability, and homelessness outcomes. Weakening them is not "streamlining" — it is power consolidation that voters never approved.
We therefore ask the Board to adopt the following amendments to the Prop E recommendations:

1. **Keep Core Oversight & Equity Commissions in the Charter.** Do not move active civil-rights, youth, disability, environmental, and homelessness oversight bodies into the Administrative Code. Charter status guarantees structural independence and long-term stability.
2. **Preserve Full Oversight and Investigative Authority.** Oversight without enforcement power becomes purely symbolic. Commissions must retain their statutory ability to investigate, issue subpoenas where authorized, and hold agencies accountable.
3. **Protect Youth and Community Representation.** The Youth Commission and other youth-focused structures must remain Charter-protected so that institutionalized youth voice cannot be quietly dismantled.

4. **Maintain Independent Removal Standards.** Reject any shift from “for-cause” to “at-will” removal of commissioners. Changing this standard turns independent watchdogs into political appointees who must toe the line or risk immediate dismissal — defeating the very purpose of independent oversight.

We are particularly alarmed by the proposed gutting of the Homelessness Oversight Commission and related bodies. Voters created this commission — along with the Prop C Our City Our Home oversight body — precisely because prior advisory structures failed to deliver accountability. The recommendations would eliminate three critical functions: announced and unannounced shelter inspections (protecting vulnerable residents from exploitation and abuse), due-process oversight for shelter placement, and deep financial scrutiny of Prop C spending to ensure evidence-based solutions rather than political whims. These roles cannot be replaced by city staff; the loss of independent expertise will be devastating and will save no meaningful resources.

Checks and balances matter. Equity infrastructure is not bureaucracy. Efficiency must never come at the expense of democratic accountability or community voice — especially for historically marginalized residents of District 6 and across San Francisco.

We therefore respectfully request that the Board of Supervisors amend the Prop E recommendations before final adoption to:

- Retain Charter status for all listed oversight and equity commissions;
- Preserve their investigative and enforcement authority;
- Maintain “for-cause” removal protections; and
- Honor the clear intent of voters who placed these bodies in the Charter.

Thank you for your leadership in protecting the democratic institutions that make San Francisco work for everyone.

Sincerely,
Michael Nulty
Executive Director
Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8327 - Direct
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6
(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats
(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association

(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative
http://abd6.cfsites.org/

From:	Stan Hayes
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); WongStaff (BOS)
Subject:	THD COMMENTS – File 260147, Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations Regarding the Historic Preservation Commission
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:15:47 PM
Attachments:	THD COMMENT LTR_Streamlining_BOS_FINAL 3-15-26.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Telegraph Hill Dwellers and its more than 500 members, we strongly SUPPORT retention of the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and its technical authorities in the City Charter, as empowered by the voters of San Francisco.

In 2008, Proposition J amended the Charter to establish the HPC and gave it "authority over the historic preservation-related decisions in the City." Placed on the ballot by a unanimous 11-0 vote of the Board of Supervisors, it was approved by 56% of the voters.

Among its technical authorities, Proposition J requires that: "The Historic Preservation Commission shall approve, disapprove, or modify certificates of appropriateness for work to designated landmarks or within historic districts." It further states that: "For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the Historic Preservation Commission must review and act on any Certificate of Appropriateness before any other planning approval or permit review."

On January 30th, the Commission Streamlining Task Force submitted its final report, including making specific recommendations regarding the HPC. In accordance with previous THD comments, the Task Force recommends keeping the HPC, instead of eliminating it. We support this.

However, the Task Force also recommends "moving most of the HPC's technical duties from the Charter to the Planning Code." We oppose this. We believe that this would weaken the HPC's authority, making key parts of their responsibilities (including certificates of appropriateness) more vulnerable to politically motivated revision. While the Charter can only be amended by the voters, the Planning Code can be changed by just a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Also, currently, HPC members can only be removed For Cause. The Task Force recommends that HPC members become subject to removal At Will. This change, too, would weaken the HPC and threaten its independence. We oppose this.

* * *

We should NOT undermine this City's historic preservation strategy. The HPC

and its technical authorities were established by a vote of the people. They have worked successfully for nearly two decades. They should not be undone by an appointed ad hoc task force.

> *RECOMMENDATION: We ask that the HPC and its technical authorities be retained within the City Charter, that member removal continue to be For Cause, and that draft implementing legislation memorializing Task Force recommendations be modified accordingly.*

Sincerely,

Stan Hayes Nancy Shanahan

Co-Chairs, Planning & Zoning Committee

March 15, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman
Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102
(Via email: bos@sfgov.org)

RE: THD COMMENTS – File 260147, Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations Regarding the Historic Preservation Commission

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Telegraph Hill Dwellers and its more than 500 members, we strongly SUPPORT retention of the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and its technical authorities in the City Charter, as empowered by the voters of San Francisco.

In 2008, Proposition J amended the Charter to establish the HPC and gave it "authority over the historic preservation-related decisions in the City." Placed on the ballot by a unanimous 11-0 vote of the Board of Supervisors, it was approved by 56% of the voters.

Among its technical authorities, Proposition J requires that: "The Historic Preservation Commission shall approve, disapprove, or modify certificates of appropriateness for work to designated landmarks or within historic districts." It further states that: "For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the Historic Preservation Commission must review and act on any Certificate of Appropriateness before any other planning approval or permit review."

On January 30th, the Commission Streamlining Task Force submitted its final report, including making specific recommendations regarding the HPC. In accordance with previous THD comments, the Task Force recommends keeping the HPC, instead of eliminating it. We support this.

However, the Task Force also recommends "moving most of the HPC's technical duties from the Charter to the Planning Code." We oppose this. We believe that this would weaken the HPC's authority, making key parts of their responsibilities (including certificates of appropriateness) more vulnerable to politically motivated revision. While the Charter can only be amended by the voters, the Planning Code can be changed by just a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Also, currently, HPC members can only be removed For Cause. The Task Force recommends that HPC members become subject to removal At Will. This change, too, would weaken the HPC and threaten its independence. We oppose this.

* * *

We should NOT undermine this City's historic preservation strategy. The HPC and its technical authorities were established by a vote of the people. They have worked successfully for nearly two decades. They should not be undone by an appointed ad hoc task force.

RECOMMENDATION: We ask that the HPC and its technical authorities be retained within the City Charter, that member removal continue to be For Cause, and that draft implementing legislation memorializing Task Force recommendations be modified accordingly.

Sincerely,

Stan Hayes Nancy Shanahan

Co-Chairs, Planning & Zoning Committee

cc: Rafael Mandelman, President and Supervisor District 8 MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org
Connie Chan, Supervisor District 1 ChanStaff@sfgov.org
Chyanne Chen, Supervisor District 11 ChenStaff@sfgov.org
Matt Dorsey, Supervisor District 6 DorseyStaff@sfgov.org
Jackie Fielder, Supervisor District 9 Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org
Bilal Mahmood, Supervisor District 5 MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org
Myrna Melgar, Supervisor District 7 MelgarStaff@sfgov.org
Danny Sauter, Supervisor District 3 SauterStaff@sfgov.org
Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor District 2 SherrillStaff@sfgov.org
Shamann Walton, Supervisor District 10 Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org
Alan Wong, Supervisor District 4 WongStaff@sfgov.org

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:38:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gretchen Koch

From: North Market
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Report changing of names for Ordinance 260147
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:55:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Commission Streamlining Task Force's final report from January 2026 emphasizes efficiency through eliminations, consolidations, and modifications rather than widespread renaming of San Francisco's boards, commissions, and advisory bodies. However, it does propose standardizing naming conventions where applicable: advisory bodies should generally be called "councils," while decision-making bodies should be "boards" or "commissions." Exceptions are made for historical significance, branding, or legal reasons (e.g., Youth Commission and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force retain their names). Specific proposed name changes are limited, as most bodies (over 80) keep their current names even if restructured or relocated (e.g., to the Administrative Code for easier amendments).

Proposed Name Changes

Below is a summary of the explicit name changes recommended in the report. These are organized by policy area for clarity, based on the task force's groupings.

Policy Area	Current Name	Proposed New Name	Details
Public Health & Wellbeing (Homelessness sub-area)	Homelessness Oversight Commission	Homelessness Advisory Board	Combined with the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (which becomes a subcommittee); modified to advisory-only role and moved to Administrative Code.
Housing and Economic Development (Planning/Land Use sub-area)	Bayview Citizens Advisory Committee (Bayview CAC)	Bayview Citizens Advisory Council	Renamed to align with "council" convention for advisory bodies; structure modified.
City Employment and Benefits	Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board and Retirement Board	Merged into a single unnamed entity (specific name not detailed; to be determined via ordinance)	Consolidation to reduce overlap; requires Board of Supervisors approval; structures modified with term limits and at-will removals.

Bodies Retaining Names

The vast majority of the 87 proposed retained bodies (after eliminations and consolidations) keep their existing names, with changes focused on powers, sizes, terms, or locations instead. Examples include:

- **Arts & Culture**: Arts Commission, Asian Art Commission, Film Commission, Fine Arts Museums Board of Trustees, Library Commission, War Memorial Board of Trustees, Southeast Community Facility Commission.
- **Regulation & Appeals**: Board of Appeals, Building Inspection Commission, Code Advisory Committee, Relocation Appeals Board, Assessment Appeals Board.
- **Planning & Finance**: Capital Planning Committee, Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee, Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1, Board of Directors of the San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery Financing District.
- **Health & Welfare**: Health Commission, Airport Commission, Cannabis Oversight Committee, Commission on Animal Control and Welfare, Behavioral Health Commission (potential future rename noted but not required), Health Service Board.
- **Governance & Elections**: Entertainment Commission, Small Business Commission, Ballot Simplification Committee, Elections Commission, Elections Task Force, Refuse Rate Board, State Legislation Committee, Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group.
- **Social Services**: Local Homeless Coordinating Board (as subcommittee), Children and Families First Commission, Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, Civil Service Commission, Human Rights Commission, Commission on the Status of Women, Immigrant Rights Commission, LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee, Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee, SOMA Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee.
- **Other**: Youth Commission, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Veterans' Affairs Commission, Human Services Commission.

These recommendations are pending review by the Board of Supervisors (hearing scheduled for March 17, 2026) and potential voter approval for Charter amendments in November 2026. No other explicit renames were proposed beyond the ones listed.

Concern,

Former Board Members of North of Market Planning Coalition

From: Norma Gallegos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: DOSW, DOSW (WOM); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 9:59:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
To: Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
CC: dosw@sfgov.org; Angela.calvillo@sfgov.org; sophia.andary@sfgov.org

---

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am born and raised and still hear San Franciscan from the Mission, Excelsior and Glen Park districts. I am a longtime member of National Radical Women. I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,
Norma M. Gallegos

Glen Park, current resident and National Radical Women member

---

Norma

"This sketch of the course of my studies in the domain of political economy is intended merely to show that my views – no matter how they may be judged and how little they conform to the interested prejudices of the ruling classes – are the outcome of conscientious research carried on over many years. At the entrance to science, as at the entrance to hell, the demand must be made:

Qui si convien lasciare ogni sospetto
Ogni vilta convien che qui sia morta.

[From Dante, Divina Commedia:
Here must all distrust be left;
All cowardice must here be dead.]"

Karl Marx

London, January 1859

From:	Courtney Damkroger
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject:	3/17/26 Re: File 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations
Date:	Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:20:43 AM
Attachments:	2026 3 17 CSTF HPC.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached and below comments on this item. Thank you.

March 15, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman
Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102

Regarding File 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Evaluation of the Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations is a substantial and complex undertaking. While a number of recommendations from the Task Force may be worthy, many have overshot their target. Two recommendations proposed for the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) are particularly misguided.

Because Proposition J passed in 2008, creating our Historic Preservation Commission, San Francisco's historic preservation program was professionalized and met the Department of the Interior's standards for Certified Local Government (CLG) status. This meant that San Francisco's program was on par with New York, Los Angeles, and Seattle. San Francisco joined a larger network of more than 2,000 cities nationwide operating in an established and consistent manner to protect historic and cultural resources in tandem with development. Cities participating in the program and with access to its benefits have commissions comprised of experts in their fields and operate with ordinances that share time and legally tested provisions. Unfortunately, two Task Force recommendations undermine San Francisco's historic preservation program as noted below.

To retain the city's professional standing, member expertise should remain a requirement and not changed to desirable Among the requirements for a certified local historic

preservation program are specific professional qualifications for commission members. Meeting these qualifications is not an issue for San Francisco. Specific areas of expertise are required but the expertise categories provide ample flexibility in meeting the standards. Given our size and diversity, San Francisco does not want for preservation architects, preservation planners, architectural historians, and the like.

Retaining the commission's survey, designation, project review and oversight responsibilities in the City Charter is the surest way to maintain an independent and objective historic preservation program rather than, as proposed by the Task Force, moving them to the Planning or Administrative Code. Once these duties have been removed from the City Charter, they are subject to political interference.

Retention of commissioner expertise as well as its duties under the City Charter will reinforce the nearly 60 years of effort to ensure that San Francisco is on par with cities of our profile and caliber.

Finally, I am opposed to At Will rather than For Cause removal of commissioners. This Task Force recommendation puts commission independence at risk.

Sincerely,

Courtney Damkroger

Former Vice President of the Historic Preservation Commission
Former member of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board
Former Lecturer San Jose State University, Planning Department, Historic Preservation Program
Former Historic Preservation Officer, City of San Jose
Former Assistant Regional Director of the National Trust for Historic Preservation

March 15, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman
Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 250
San Francisco, CA 94102

Regarding File 260147: Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Evaluation of the Commission Streamlining Task Force recommendations is a substantial and complex undertaking. While a number of recommendations from the Task Force may be worthy, many have overshot their target. Two recommendations proposed for the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) are particularly misguided.

Because Proposition J passed in 2008, creating our Historic Preservation Commission, San Francisco's historic preservation program was professionalized and met the Department of the Interior's standards for Certified Local Government (CLG) status. This meant that San Francisco's program was on par with New York, Los Angeles and Seattle. San Francisco joined a larger network of more than 2,000 cities nationwide operating in an established and consistent manner to protect historic and cultural resources in tandem with development. Cities participating in the program and with access to its benefits have commissions comprised of experts in their fields and operate with ordinances that share time and legally-tested provisions. Unfortunately, two Task Force recommendations undermine San Francisco's historic preservation program as noted below.

To retain the city's professional standing, member expertise should remain a requirement and not changed to desirable Among the requirements for a certified local historic preservation program are specific professional qualifications for commission members. Meeting these qualifications is not an issue for San Francisco. Specific areas of expertise are required but the expertise categories provide ample flexibility in meeting the standards. Given our size and diversity, San Francisco does not want for preservation architects, preservation planners, architectural historians and the like.

Retaining the commission's survey, designation, project review and oversight responsibilities in the City Charter is the surest way to maintain an independent and objective historic preservation program rather than, as proposed by the Task Force, moving them to the Planning or Administrative Code. Once these duties have been removed from the City Charter, they are subject to political interference.

Retention of commissioner expertise as well as its duties under the City Charter will reinforce the nearly 60 years of effort to ensure that San Francisco is on par with cities of our profile and caliber.

Finally, I am opposed to At Will rather than For Cause removal of commissioners. This Task Force recommendation puts commission independence at risk.

Sincerely,

Courtney Damkroger

Former Vice President of the Historic Preservation Commission
Former member of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board
Former Lecturer San Jose State University, Planning Department, Historic Preservation Program
Former Historic Preservation Officer, City of San Jose
Former Assistant Regional Director of the National Trust for Historic Preservation

From: Joyce Y
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Commission on Status of Women
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am an SF resident since 1994. I love the City and a large part of that is the trust that I and my fellow residents have in how the City upholds the inclusive, representational, and progressive values that make it the best city to be in, for so many of us. Our voices are heard, and we speak out. We are the plurality and diversity that make San Francisco great.

I attended last Wednesday's hearing at the Women's Building with friends. My friend spoke, as did many others, about our concerns that the Board may consider diminishing the strength of a number of actively contributing chartered commissions, including the Commission on the Status of Women. The "pro" arguments seemed to focus on combatting a greater evil (Prop D) and reducing the commissions that were inactive. Ed Harrington was articulate on these points.

Well, I am all for avoiding greater evils, and de-cluttering City departments, but the degree of the latter seems to have gotten a bit extremely out of hand in the hands of the Task Force, well-meaning as its work is purported to be. We in the audience questioned whether a drastic diminishment of the role of active commissions wouldn't result in a net loss of democratic oversight and representation in our city.

Now, the Board may unwittingly be throwing out the baby with the bathwater, by voting for the diminishing of active, proven-track-record commissions, along with others that, it is true, may be less active, or even inactive. Where is the sense in overpruning the branches of government, if healthy, productive branches are excessively trimmed?

Doing so will inevitably result in reducing the ability to continue meaningful contributions by the commissions that do have a proven track record of doing the work — gathering vital data on constituent needs and issues, making sure under-represented and under-protected SF resident populations are not forgotten, or their needs generalized when they are specific needs, and doing their part to balance the picture of who we San Franciscans are, and what we need.

There was a reason that the Commission on the Status of Women was created in 1979. It was thoughtfully developed and has operated for over 45 years. Its reports have led to awareness and advocacy, and real change to protect women. It has proven its value over the decades.

One example of this need to focus specifically on women's issues is personal to me. I work at the UCSF Trauma Recovery Center. Every year we serve hundreds of crime victims through our local- and state-funded services, and these referrals to the TRC commonly come through the General Hospital's emergency department, including the Rape Treatment Center.

Fact: Sexual assault is our most common type referral from ZSFGH. Two thirds of our clients are women, and sexual assault (by partners, dates, strangers) is the most common crime they have suffered.
Moreover, two thirds of these sexual assaults on female San Franciscans are drug facilitated sexual assaults (DFSAs) often occurring at bars. Most victimized women do not press charges. This means that male perpetrators continue to victimize women, and so it is not an exaggeration to say that San Francisco businesses (bars) are scenes where the crime is initiated.
Since the TRC receives over 300 referrals a year from the RTC at ZSFGH, as I write this email, on a Saturday night,

it is likely that at least 1 sexual assault has occurred today, that will go unreported in the police data.
You who are reading this may not be aware of this, since the police report data will not show it. So, how would you otherwise be aware of this ongoing violence against women living in SF, who are suffering traumas that will impact them for the rest of their lives?
These women are SF constituents. Their victimization affects them, their families, friends, partners, their work and personal lives.

Women deserve a commission that is able to bring data to light on crucial needs such as violence against us. My workplace serves these women and many other SF residents to help them heal from acute trauma inflicted by violence of all kinds. And yes, our current funding is facing drastic cuts, but we will continue to serve these victimized San Franciscans to the extent we can. We will do this work until we can't anymore, yet the cycle of women's victimization will doubtless continue without awareness and policy.

The City's chartered commission that focuses on women's needs is not superfluous. It is not "clutter" to be removed or diminished in its role in advocacy, accountability, and policy making. It does not deserve to be thrown into a more general, lesser, diluted pool of merely advisory commissions. It does not merit being made more toothless, but rather the opposite.

So my two questions to you as the Board, as our elected representatives, are:

Do you believe, in your tenure as supervisors, that you've witnessed evidence that the foundation 45 years ago for creating the chartered Commission on the Status of Women, has since proven no longer relevant, valid, or needed? Are women no longer worthy of having specific needs brought to light, are all our needs already taken into account and addressed, such as the incidence rate of sexual assault against women in SF?

If you vote in the Task Force's recommendations, do you truly believe the "Administrative Code" would be able to focus on and produce any meaningful reports of women constituents' needs?

Answering these questions honestly should show that the City still needs the Commission on the Status of Women to do its role, as it has been doing, undiluted in weight and responsibility, since 1979. Decluttering is fine, but it behooves the cleaner-uppers on the Task Force to know the vastly differing values of decluttering versus keeping what is not clutter. A Type 1 error is more serious than a Type 2 error, as I learned in my basic statistics courses. In this analogy, a Type 2 error is tolerating some existing inefficiency (deadwood commissions if indeed you judge them so), but that embodies less harm than a Type 1 error, which is failing to identify and diagnose what is crucially needed to be identified and addressed (by lopping off the entities that work to accomplish that).

Thank you for your consideration of what this letter conveys.

Sincerely,
Joyce Ycasas

From: Patrick Monette-Shaw via ActionNetwork.org
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Protect San Francisco's Open Government During Board and Commission Reform
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 9:26:03 PM
Attachments: save-san-francisco-participatory-governance_signatures_202603150425.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

30 people have signed a petition on Action Network telling you to Protect San Francisco's Open Government During Board and Commission Reform.

Here is the petition they signed:

> The Board of Supervisors needs to take meaningful action by rejecting many of the recommendations for board and commission reform the Commission Streamlining Task Force submitted in its "Final Report" now before the Board for your consideration.
>
> Ed Harrington, who led the "Prop. E" campaign for former Board President Aaron Peskin and the Board of Supervisors, said back in 2024 that Prop. D was the "wrong way" to reform because the public deserves an open process to discuss commission reform. He emphasized the importance of maintaining transparency and openness in city government by allowing citizen oversight. Harrington said then, " 'Prop. D' takes a meat ax to our city government."
>
> "Prop. D" was rejected by a 13% margin of voters, when 56.7% of voters (207,604) voted "Hell No" on their ballots, prevailing 56.7% to 43.3%. By contrast, "Prop. E" passed by a 6% margin of voters, when 192,540 San Franciscans voted "Yes" to pass "Prop. E" by 53% to 47%.
>
> San Francisco voters did so because we wanted to preserve participatory governance of our democracy in San Francisco, to protect "San Francisco Values." We did not want, and did NOT vote for, an Elon Musk "chainsaw" approach taken to radically overhaul and alter our local participatory boards and commissions structures in the name of "efficiency."
>
> Streamlining Task Force Chair Harrington had cautioned the Task Force on June 4 against dramatically altering the City's commission structure, stating that " 'Proposition E' was about streamlining, not radically overhauling public governance," but by the end of the Task Force's decision-making deliberations on January 28, 2026 — that's what the Task Force ultimately did. They recommended radical changes, and took the same meat ax to our City government.

As soon as Harrington was appointed Chairperson of the “Commission Streamlining Task Force” that “Prop. E” created, he turned around and swung that meat ax against the boards and commissions, anyway, handing us “Prop. D”-style reforms, rather than “Prop. E”-style nuanced reform.

Had the Task Force stopped at just eliminating the 37 inactive bodies it had identified, that would have been enough. But the Task Force waded much deeper, and in many decisions appear to have gone way beyond its legislated remit.

The Task Force recommended that of the 86 bodies being kept:

• 18 bodies be moved from the City Charter to the Administrative Code.
• 25 bodies have their Member Qualifications changed or eliminated, or made merely “desirable.” This is a major deal breaker.
• 26 bodies have their Department Head hiring and firing authorities removed.
• 24 bodies be changed from “For-Cause” to “At-Will” member removal

In addition, budget and contract authority of some boards and commission’s over their respective City Departments is being recommended for removal or being drastically changed.

During it’s year-long meetings, the Task Force took testimony from 572 public speakers during 18 of its meetings, and received written letters and petition signatures from 824 San Franciscans, almost all of which opposed preliminary decisions the Streamlining Task Force was making as they went along, and have been included in its “Final Report” submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

That combined opposition from 1,396 San Franciscans to the Task Force essentially fell on deaf ears.

Rather than “Prop. E”-style reforms voters expected, the “Prop. E” Streamlining Task Force incorporated “Prop. D”-inspired recommendations in its “Final Report” submitted to the Board of Supervisors!

At a minimum, we ask that the Board of Supervisors carefully “duplicate” both the Proposed Charter Amendment and initial proposed Ordinance for your review. And we ask that you schedule your own round of hearings to reconsider recommendations in the duplicated files that were made by this five-member Task Force of appointed members who are not held accountable to San Franciscans, or to San Francisco’s voters. We ask that the Board of Supervisors continue to take and consider additional public testimony before approving an Ordinance or placing the proposed ballot measure on the November 2026 ballot.

After all, during a Town Hall event on March 11, 2026 sponsored by “Real Reform SF,” and the League of Women Voters SF, Streamlining Chairperson Ed

Harrington stated that now that the Streamlining Task Force's "Final Report" and associated legislation is now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors, topics for possible changes the Supervisors can consider, but aren't limited to, include: the Commission on the Status of Women, Human Rights Commission, Entertainment Commission, Youth Commission, and various Children's, Seniors, and Homeless Commissions. Harrington added the Supervisors could also make changes to the Task Force's recommendations concerning the Mayoral authority to remove Commissioners "At Will," Mayoral authority to hire and fire City Department Heads, and Police Department discipline of police officers.

In reality, the Board of Supervisors can amend, or reject, any or all of the 800-plus recommendations the Task Force submitted, including, for example, the recommendation regarding Sunshine Task Force nominating-body authority; restoring seat-level requirements for all bodies that have them as required qualifications, not "desirable" qualifications; and restoring the Ethics Commission's full authority to place Ballot Measures on the ballot without requiring Board of Supervisors approval.

Board of Supervisors Rule §3.22, the "30-Day Rule" specifically states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or revise major City policy, the committee to which the measure is assigned shall not consider the measure until at least 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation. That Rule is meant to ensure that major policy legislation is not rushed, allowing time for public review, committee scrutiny, and analysis before a hearing. It prevents immediate action on significant City policies by mandating a 30-day waiting period.

We expect the Board of Supervisors to carefully review, and reject, many of the Task Force's recommendations — or face widespread voter rejection of any Board and Commission Charter Amendments placed on the November 2026 ballot!

Failure by the Board of Supervisors to reject many of the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations will be sufficient grounds to oppose your proposed Charter amendment. We expect robust Board of Supervisors revisions to the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations and proposed Charter Amendment be heard in detailed Rules Committee public hearings!

You can view each petition signer and the comments they left you in the attached PDF.

Thank you,

Patrick Monette-Shaw

Action Network

Sent via Action Network, a free online toolset anyone can use to organize. Click here to sign up and get started building an email list and creating online actions today.

Action Network is an open platform that empowers individuals and groups to organize for progressive causes. We encourage responsible activism, and do not support using the platform to take unlawful or other improper action. We do not control or endorse the conduct of users and make no representations of any kind about them.

You can unsubscribe or update your email address or change your name and address by changing your subscription preferences here.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

30 people have signed a petition on Action Network telling you to Protect San Francisco's Open Government During Board and Commission Reform.

Here is the petition they signed:

> The Board of Supervisors needs to take meaningful action by rejecting many of the recommendations for board and commission reform the Commission Streamlining Task Force submitted in its "Final Report" now before the Board for your consideration.
>
> Ed Harrington, who led the "Prop. E" campaign for former Board President Aaron Peskin and the Board of Supervisors, said back in 2024 that Prop. D was the "wrong way" to reform because the public deserves an open process to discuss commission reform. He emphasized the importance of maintaining transparency and openness in city government by allowing citizen oversight. Harrington said then, " 'Prop. D' takes a meat ax to our city government."
>
> "Prop. D" was rejected by a 13% margin of voters, when 56.7% of voters (207,604) voted "Hell No" on their ballots, prevailing 56.7% to 43.3%. By contrast, "Prop. E" passed by a 6% margin of voters, when 192,540 San Franciscans voted "Yes" to pass "Prop. E" by 53% to 47%.
>
> San Francisco voters did so because we wanted to preserve participatory governance of our democracy in San Francisco, to protect "San Francisco Values." We did not want, and did NOT vote for, an Elon Musk "chainsaw" approach taken to radically overhaul and alter our local participatory boards and commissions structures in the name of "efficiency."
>
> Streamlining Task Force Chair Harrington had cautioned the Task Force on June 4 against dramatically altering the City's commission structure, stating that " 'Proposition E' was about streamlining, not radically overhauling public governance," but by the end of the Task Force's decision-making deliberations on January 28, 2026 — that's what the Task Force ultimately did. They recommended radical changes, and took the same meat ax to our City government.
>
> As soon as Harrington was appointed Chairperson of the "Commission Streamlining Task Force" that "Prop. E" created, he turned around and swung that meat ax against the boards and commissions, anyway, handing us "Prop. D"-style reforms, rather than "Prop. E"-style nuanced reform.
>
> Had the Task Force stopped at just eliminating the 37 inactive bodies it had identified, that would have been enough. But the Task Force waded much deeper, and in many decisions appear to have gone way beyond its legislated remit.
>
> The Task Force recommended that of the 86 bodies being kept:
>
> • 18 bodies be moved from the City Charter to the Administrative Code.
> • 25 bodies have their Member Qualifications changed or eliminated, or made merely "desirable." This is a major deal breaker.
> • 26 bodies have their Department Head hiring and firing authorities removed.
> • 24 bodies be changed from "For-Cause" to "At-Will" member removal
>
> In addition, budget and contract authority of some boards and commission's over their

respective City Departments is being recommended for removal or being drastically changed.

During it's year-long meetings, the Task Force took testimony from 572 public speakers during 18 of its meetings, and received written letters and petition signatures from 824 San Franciscans, almost all of which opposed preliminary decisions the Streamlining Task Force was making as they went along, and have been included in its "Final Report" submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

That combined opposition from 1,396 San Franciscans to the Task Force essentially fell on deaf ears.

Rather than "Prop. E"-style reforms voters expected, the "Prop. E" Streamlining Task Force incorporated "Prop. D"-inspired recommendations in its "Final Report" submitted to the Board of Supervisors!

At a minimum, we ask that the Board of Supervisors carefully "duplicate" both the Proposed Charter Amendment and initial proposed Ordinance for your review. And we ask that you schedule your own round of hearings to reconsider recommendations in the duplicated files that were made by this five-member Task Force of appointed members who are not held accountable to San Franciscans, or to San Francisco's voters. We ask that the Board of Supervisors continue to take and consider additional public testimony before approving an Ordinance or placing the proposed ballot measure on the November 2026 ballot.

After all, during a Town Hall event on March 11, 2026 sponsored by "Real Reform SF," and the League of Women Voters SF, Streamlining Chairperson Ed Harrington stated that now that the Streamlining Task Force's "Final Report" and associated legislation is now under consideration by the Board of Supervisors, topics for possible changes the Supervisors can consider, but aren't limited to, include: the Commission on the Status of Women, Human Rights Commission, Entertainment Commission, Youth Commission, and various Children's, Seniors, and Homeless Commissions. Harrington added the Supervisors could also make changes to the Task Force's recommendations concerning the Mayoral authority to remove Commissioners "At Will," Mayoral authority to hire and fire City Department Heads, and Police Department discipline of police officers.

In reality, the Board of Supervisors can amend, or reject, any or all of the 800-plus recommendations the Task Force submitted, including, for example, the recommendation regarding Sunshine Task Force nominating-body authority; restoring seat-level requirements for all bodies that have them as required qualifications, not "desirable" qualifications; and restoring the Ethics Commission's full authority to place Ballot Measures on the ballot without requiring Board of Supervisors approval.

Board of Supervisors Rule §3.22, the "30-Day Rule" specifically states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or revise major City policy, the committee to which the measure is assigned shall not consider the measure until at least 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation. That Rule is meant to ensure that major policy legislation is not rushed, allowing time for public review, committee scrutiny, and analysis before a hearing. It prevents immediate action on significant City policies by mandating a 30-day waiting period.

We expect the Board of Supervisors to carefully review, and reject, many of the Task Force's recommendations — or face widespread voter rejection of any Board and Commission Charter Amendments placed on the November 2026 ballot!

Failure by the Board of Supervisors to reject many of the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations will be sufficient grounds to oppose your proposed Charter amendment. We expect robust Board of Supervisors revisions to the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations and proposed Charter Amendment be heard in detailed Rules Committee public hearings!

You can view each petition signer and the comments they left you below.

Thank you,

Patrick Monette-Shaw

---

1. Patrick Monette-Shaw (*ZIP code: 94109-6030)*

2. Michael Rodevich (*ZIP code: 94805)*

3. barbara Lopez (*ZIP code: 94110)*

4. Karen Fishkin (*ZIP code: 94117)*

5. AJ cho (*ZIP code: 94579)*

6. Mara Math (*ZIP code: 94131)*
Stop the assaults on participatory democracy.

7. Sylvia Lynch (*ZIP code: 94014-2242)*

8. Douglas Comstock (*ZIP code: 94117)*

9. Ralph Lane (*ZIP code: 94122)*
Democracy over autocracy

10. Rich Wada (*ZIP code: 94116)*
Stop the power grab and let citizen democracy continue in San Francisco.

11. Julie Pitta (*ZIP code: 94121)*

12. Esther Marks (*ZIP code: 94117)*

13. Regina Sneed (*ZIP code: 94109)*

14. CARYL ITO (*ZIP code: 94112)*

15. Richard Hack (*ZIP code: 94102)*
The public deserves an open process to discuss commission reform, especially since Prop E was passed by a 53-47 percent majority.

16. Peter Olney (*ZIP code: 94116)*

17. Vera Genkin (*ZIP code: 94116)*
Do NOT violate the “30-Day Rule” to rush through commission reform on behalf of the Mayor.
The public deserves an open process to discuss commission reform. The importance of maintaining transparency and openness in city government by allowing citizen oversight cannot be overstated!

18. Barry Hermanson (*ZIP code: 94116)*

19. Herbert Mintz (*ZIP code: 94116)*

20. Nicole Smith (*ZIP code: 94110)*

21. Valielza Huynh-O'Keefe (*ZIP code: 94158)*

22. Jamilah Din (*ZIP code: 94116)*

23. LISA ARJES (*ZIP code: 94122)*

24. Martin Horwitz (*ZIP code: 94122)*

25. Mark Pothier (*ZIP code: 94121)*

26. judith zimrin (*ZIP code: 94133)*

27. Pattie Tamura (*ZIP code: 94114)*
Minor change useful - major change is just another power grab!

28. Todd Snyder (*ZIP code: 94115)*

From: A. Sparks
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Protect the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:11:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to urge the Board to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and to vote against the proposal to remove it from the City Charter.

Raf, I know you've long been a champion for women's rights and for LGBTQ women in particular, which is part of why I'm reaching out directly. San Francisco has always prided itself on being a place where diverse communities have a voice in civic life, but the reality is that many queer and trans women and single mothers/head of households have already been pushed out of the city or have become increasingly invisible in local politics. Maintaining a strong, independent Commission on the Status of Women helps ensure that our experiences and perspectives continue to be seen, heard, and considered in city decision-making.

For many of us, the Commission is also one of the most accessible entry points into local government. It is a place where community members can show up, speak openly, and participate in conversations about policies that affect their lives. If San Francisco wants residents to remain civically engaged and invested in the future of this city, people need to feel that their voices matter and that there are real avenues for participation.

I'm also concerned about the broader governance implications of the proposed changes. San Francisco's political leadership remains disproportionately male. While we have made progress, many of the highest levels of power in the city have historically been dominated by men. For that reason, I believe it is important that the Commission maintain meaningful independence and governance authority, including protections that prevent commissioners from being appointed or removed solely at the discretion of the Mayor. The Commission's structure exists to ensure that decisions affecting women are not shaped exclusively by the priorities of a single office.

San Francisco has long tried to set an example for the rest of the country when it comes to democratic participation, progressive policy, and community-driven leadership. Preserving the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body is consistent with that legacy.

As a longtime SF resident and actively engaged community member in District 8, I would be genuinely disappointed to see the Commission removed from the Charter, and I hope you will help ensure that it remains intact with its authority preserved.

Thank you for your consideration and for your continued leadership on behalf of San Franciscans.

Sincerely,

Sparks

A. Sparks (she/they)
Executive Director, Masto Foundation
Cell: 415.298.7833
www.mastofoundation.org

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:50:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marianne Oest

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:00:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marianne Rothe

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:32:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marinell Jochnowitz

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:51:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

James Cox

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:57:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Griffin Lee

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 5:28:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

John Carver

From:	Chantal Hildebrand
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	File #260147 - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment
Date:	Friday, March 13, 2026 10:29:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing on behalf of Alliance for Girls to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding the government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission’s chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commission has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head, in matters concerning the department’s budget, and in protecting Commissioners from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for ensuring accountability in San Francisco's local government.

Sincerely,

Chantal Hildebrand

--

Chantal Hildebrand

she/her

Executive Director

chantal@alliance4girls.org

alliance4girls.org

**"Girls" refers to gender expansive youth (cis girls, trans girls, non-binary youth, gender non-conforming youth, gender queer youth and any girl-identified youth).*

How are nonprofits *really* doing right now? Find out in Alliance for Girls' 2025 report, *The Political Impact on Girl & Gender-Expansive Youth-Serving Organizations*, and learn how to support organizations and the youth they serve in this critical moment.

I acknowledge the land that I am on is stolen and unceded land of the Lisjan Ohlone people. I pay my respects to all First Nations people; past, present and those of the future. Support rematriation, returning Indigenous land to Indigenous people, here.

From: Nora Roman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); DOSW, DOSW (WOM); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 1:41:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

I am a 75 year old retired RN from SFGH, who also worked for a community organization at the Women's Building in the 1980s. I have raised 3 children who attended SFUSD schools, and I have been an active advocating for city policies that benefit our community since I moved to SF in 1977.

The Commission was established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors. The Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

The current attempts to decrease both the number and the powers of Commissions is a step backwards from the democracy that San Franciscans cherish. Efficiency in government is not nearly as important as the people of

our city having a voice in what our elected officials do. Please do not take away our right to input and control. This would be a step toward autocratic government, and just as we don't want this at the federal level, we do not want it locally either.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Please do the right thing and reject any attempts to eliminate or decrease the voice of this Commission.

Thank you,

Nora Roman, RN

From: William Ortiz-Cartagena
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 03/17/2025 Item 15. Small Business Commission Proposition E Commission Streamlining
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 6:44:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors

I am writing to express my serious concern regarding the recommendations to restructure the San Francisco Small Business Commission (SBC). While I understand the goal of improving efficiency within City government, the proposed changes would ultimately weaken small business representation, reduce institutional stability, and undermine public trust in the City's commitment to supporting neighborhood businesses.

The recommendation to remove the SBC from the City Charter and instead place it within the Administrative Code—subject to an automatic sunset every three years—creates unnecessary instability for the very businesses the Commission was created to support. The Small Business Commission has long served as a consistent advocate for small business owners, providing institutional memory, policy continuity, and a direct channel for small business voices to be heard in City decision-making. Introducing a sunset provision and weakening the Commission's structural protections would effectively make commissioners serve at the will of shifting political priorities. That dynamic would leave commissioners vulnerable to political pressure and undermine our ability to deliberate, vote, and make recommendations independently without concern that doing so could jeopardize our positions. It would also create a situation where the Commission itself could be subject to political leverage every few years simply to ensure its continued existence.

I am also deeply concerned by proposals that would reduce the Commission's oversight authority, including removing its role in the hiring of the Department head and shifting decisions such as Legacy Business Registry approvals to staff. These changes would reduce transparency and limit meaningful public participation in decisions that shape the economic and cultural fabric of San Francisco. Programs like the Legacy Business Registry were intentionally designed to include public deliberation because the preservation of San Francisco's historic small businesses is a community priority that deserves openness and accountability.

Equally troubling is the recommendation to eliminate the qualification requirements for Commission seats. The current standards ensure that the majority of commissioners are small business owners, operators, or individuals with direct experience supporting small businesses. These requirements exist for a reason: they ensure that policy decisions are informed by lived experience and grounded in the realities of operating a business in San Francisco. Removing these qualifications risks replacing practical expertise with political appointments and weakening the Commission's ability to effectively advocate for the city's small business community.

Serving on the Small Business Commission has also allowed me to act as a conduit between City departments and communities that historically have not had consistent or equitable access

to City Hall. Neighborhoods such as the Mission, Excelsior, Visitation Valley, and Bayview have long faced barriers to engagement with City processes, shaped by language access challenges, economic inequities, and strained relationships with government institutions. Through my role on the Commission, I have been able to elevate the concerns of small business owners from these communities directly to multiple City departments, facilitate coordination across agencies, and help ensure that policies reflect the realities faced by neighborhood entrepreneurs. For many small business owners, the Commission has become a trusted bridge to City Hall—one that helps build accountability, access, and trust where those relationships historically have been limited.

It is also important to recognize the history of how the Small Business Commission came to exist in its current form. Prior to the creation of the Commission, small business representation existed largely through advisory bodies with limited authority and effectiveness. Recognizing the need for stronger representation, then-Supervisor Gavin Newsom supported legislation creating the Small Business Commission in 1999, and voters later approved Proposition D in 2003 to establish its powers and duties in the City Charter. In 2007, voters again strengthened small business advocacy by approving Proposition I, establishing the Office of Small Business after the Board of Supervisors had resisted expanding its capacity. These voter-approved measures were intended to strengthen the independence and effectiveness of small business representation in City government. Any changes that now weaken those protections risk undermining the intent of what voters approved.

At a time when San Francisco is working to revitalize neighborhood commercial corridors, support community-based entrepreneurship, and rebuild confidence in local government, the independence, expertise, and stability of the Small Business Commission are more important than ever. I respectfully urge you to reconsider these recommendations and preserve the Commission’s charter status, authority, and qualification requirements so that small business owners continue to have a meaningful and consistent voice in City policy.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
William Ortiz-Cartagena

From: Doc Sportello
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Board Files #260147
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:47:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident of District 2, I strongly urge you to VOTE NO on the Charter Amendment and return it to Rules Committee for a proper 30-day review, since it involves major policy decisions!

Additionally, I implore you to allow the Rules Committee to conduct thoughtful review of the 800-plus recommendations in the Streamlining Task Force's 134-page "Final Report," and the 475 pages of its proposed legislation. Conducting review of 300 pages of recommendations from the Streamlining Task Force during a single "Committee of the Whole" hearing does not do justice to massively overhauling participatory democratic governance of our City!

Thank you,
Todd Snyder
1941 Turk street
San Francisco 94115

From: Michael Garcia-Picazo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject: Support for the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 10:02:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in my capacity as a Commissioner of the City of Hayward's Keep Hayward Clean and Green Commission to express my strong support for maintaining the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women as a Charter Commission with its full authority and independence intact.

The Commission on the Status of Women plays a critical role in advancing gender equity, transparency, and public accountability in San Francisco. Charter Commissions exist to ensure that community voices remain embedded in the oversight and policy-making processes that shape our cities. Weakening this structure by removing the Commission from the City Charter and reducing it to an advisory body would significantly diminish its effectiveness and the public's trust in the integrity of civic institutions.

Independent commissions provide essential checks and balances. Their authority over appointments, departmental leadership accountability, and budget oversight helps safeguard transparency and ensures that diverse community perspectives are represented in decision-making. The proposed changes would concentrate authority while reducing the mechanisms that allow community-informed governance to thrive.

As someone who serves on a municipal commission in the Bay Area, I understand firsthand how important these bodies are for strengthening civic engagement, providing informed policy guidance, and ensuring that government remains accountable to the people it serves. The Commission on the Status of Women has historically been a vital advocate for women, girls, and families, and its institutional strength should be preserved.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to reject proposals that would weaken the Commission and instead reaffirm San Francisco's commitment to gender equity, community oversight, and transparent governance.

Thank you for your consideration and for your continued service to the residents of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Michael Garcia-Picazo

Commissioner, Keep Hayward Clean and Green Commission

City of Hayward, CA

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 11:33:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kevin Feeley

From: lgerity
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS Legislative Aides
Subject: STOP THE RUSH Commission Streamlining Taskforce Report & Charter Amendment File 260147 & 260225.
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 3:54:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors.

Since establishment of Commissions, Advisory and Oversight bodies in San Francisco was a 100+ year process of lengthy, thoughtful and hard-fought collaborations and consensus-building to protect residents from government corruption and abuses, I believe it will severely short-change the public to consider and decide the fate of all of these official bodies in only two Board hearings (only a week apart).
Proposed Commission Streamlining Charter and Ordinance changes are too numerous for any constituency to fully comprehend in a single month.

This absurdly-rushed process of massively changing SF's carefully-created Commissions etc gives the public the impression of glossing over many devils hidden in the details. It looks like a massive transfer of power is proposed: taking it away from the public and giving it to current and future Mayors. These proposals go far beyond the scope directed by Prop E.

And the Board has bypassed the normal 30-day rule & Committee examination in order to hold a Full Board hearing only 14 days after establishing file receipt at the Board of the enormous package.

This shortening of consideration might be appropriate in considering alterations or elimination of a single Commission, but certainly not for analysis by the public and the Board of major changes to 152 Commissions, Boards and Advisory bodies. The "Commission Streamlining" package contains a proposed 166-page Charter Amendment and 308-page set of proposed change Ordinances.

The package is derived from the highly complex and already- controversial Commission Streamlining Task Force Final Report recommendations for elimination of 60 oversight bodies, and retention of 86 bodies (albeit severely altering many, or applying a disastrous one-modification- formula-fits-all). This is accompanied by a serious undermining of the current methods and qualifications of expertise in choosing Commission appointees; coupled with removal of many Charter-created bodies from Charter protection, opening up Commissions and other bodies to arbitrary Board elimination or back-room tinkering.

A chart of the proposed fate of each Commission, Board and Advisory body can be found starting on **page 8** of the full Task Force Report with some brief explications starting on **page 46:**

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/Commission_Streamlining_Task_Force_Final_Report.pdf____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMml0ZjVlMWYzZDhmYmUzZmUyNTM5YTliOTI4NmY2ODo3OjgyMjA6YTU2Y2QwMjhmZjVkYTI3ZDUyMDkzZjcxNmE0MjBjZmU3YTU5ZDZjZmRlMTZkZWNiMDZmNGVmZGI3ZDk2YWUxMTp0OlQ6Tg

---

A useful analysis of the 134-page Task Force Final Report can be found:

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://westsideobserver.com/26/3-sf-governance-overhaul-streamlining-task-force-boards-mayoral-power.php____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMml0ZjVlMWYzZDhmYmUzZmUyNTM5YTliOTI4NmY2ODo3Ojc4NTY6OWI3ZmY5OGY2ZjA2YTRjYjk3YTEwYzA4MGY5Y2Y0ODZlNGZiNjdjYTAyZDczYTM1YzZjZjRjMDFiMzJhODViYTp0OlQ6Tg

---

Some might argue that more than enough time has been devoted to the change proposals; that the Task Force spent a year, and held 18 public hearings.

But these hearings were little-known or publicized.
And I witnessed some of them. It shocked me to see the speed of decisions made without discussion (as if pre-determined) and in apparent disregard for the opinions of the public expressed in person and through emails and letters. The Task Force seemed weighted in favor of government- and institutional-minded individuals -- out-of-sync with the public's desires for oversight and anti-corruption protections, currently, and in originally establishing these bodies.

What I saw was a Task Force appearing to view its goal solely as making government operations cheaper and faster. Government's democratic obligations to prioritize public participation and scrutiny were made light of or ignored.

I recommend to the Board of Supervisors that several months of hearings are needed, with time for 11 district-wide educational sessions and plenty of interactive dialogue between Board members and the public. Time to absorb, consider, and include a diversity of viewpoints.The public has the right to be given a thorough, accessible explanation of reasons for such widely-impactful changes and their exact, real-life effects. The public has the right to have their participation and oversight be maintained at the highest levels. No democratic government has permission to eliminate, reduce, or mute these rights.

In other words:

If anyone is thinking about betraying the public's trust...

If anyone is considering removal of 16 bodies from Charter protection...

If anyone is thinking about eliminating 60 bodies, including: the Housing Stability Fund Oversight Board (politically knee-capped watchdog of ballot-created Prop I), Dignity Fund Providers Working Group (ballot-created), Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee (ballot-created, diluting it into the Advisory Council to the Aging and Disability Services Commission), SFMTA Bond Oversight Committee, Long Term Care Coordinating Council, Our City Our Home Oversight Committee (ballot-created Prop C watchdog)), Public Works Commission, Citizens Committee on Community Development...

If anyone is considering drastically limiting the authority of bodies such as the Planning Commission, Port Commission, Commission on the Status of Women...

BEST NOT DONE IN HASTE.

Democracy requires time and patience, with maximum inclusion and equality of application.
(And money, of course. But ironically these so-called reforms don't even offer a balm of saving any significant taxpayer dollars.)

Stop the Rush. Stop the Folly.

Lorraine Petty D2

Advocate for Seniors and Affordable Housing

From:	Francesca Gonzalez
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	DOSW, DOSW (WOM); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject:	Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:03:56 PM
Attachments:	Support for the Commission on the Status of Women.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,
Francesca Gonzalez

In Community,

Francesca Gonzalez (she/her)
Executive Director
Meet | Connect | Give

FREEDOM FORWARD

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,
Francesca Gonzalez
Executive Director, Freedom Forward

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Donna Crowder

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:15:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Nicole Flowers

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:22:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael Barker

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:46:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Christopher Chiarello

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:01:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Nolley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brian Brown

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:32:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Diane Morris

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:40:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

jay yang

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:40:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

McQueen Moscone

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:48:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

John Owl

From: Laura Stein
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: DOSW, DOSW (WOM); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:17:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to keep the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women in the Charter and to reject any changes to commissions that weaken their independence and authority. While I understand the value of removing defunct and outdated commissions from the Charter and the administrative code, I do not believe that the voters intended Prop E to make changes to how commissions are authorized, how commissioners are appointed, or their power and authority.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability, and reduce incentives for citizen participation. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down any proposed changes that weaken the status of commissions and to maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Laura Stein, San Francisco

From:	Andary, Sophia (HRC)
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Hsieh, Frances (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Hebb, Jack (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); WongStaff (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Logan, Samantha (BOS); MahmoodStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Donovan, Dominica (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Low, Jen (BOS); Mathewson, Melanie (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; Gaona, Sasha (BOS); Gallardo, Tracy (BOS); Lopez-Weaver, Lindsey (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Prager, Jackie (BOS); ChenStaff
Cc:	Blakely, Dominique (HRC); Aroche, Diana (HRC); Andary, Sophia (HRC)
Subject:	Letter of Support for the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:17:12 PM

March 12, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Letter of Support for the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women
BOS Meeting - March 17, 2026

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing not only as a Commissioner on the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women, but as someone whose life has shaped a deep commitment to justice, equity, and public accountability. As a queer Lebanese American woman, war survivor, activist, and community leader, my experiences inform the work I do every day. I became a commissioner because I believe in real democracy, ensuring those most impacted by policy have a seat at the table. That same conviction led me to co-found Women's March San Francisco and continues to drive my work today.

Every day, people must be involved in holding power accountable, especially now. We need more voices from within our communities in government, not fewer. We need to remove barriers, not create new ones. And we cannot silence or sideline the voices of women, girls, and gender-expansive people by weakening the Commission on the Status of Women.

The Commission on the Status of Women was established in 1975 after years of advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors to advance gender equity in San Francisco. It leads to the implementation of the CEDAW Ordinance, works to end gender-based violence, and provides oversight while tracking the city's progress on equity goals. Yet women, girls, and gender-expansive people are still fighting for safety, pay equity, and bodily autonomy. This Commission remains the **only city body** specifically charged with advancing gender equity, reviewing policies and budgets, and holding the city accountable.

Our work is more than reports and hearings; we create access. The Commission provides a space where community members can show up, speak out, and be heard. Though small, it is one of the most diverse bodies in the city, and that diversity brings essential lived experience and expertise to our work. We need more of that, not less.

I strongly urge you to vote down the proposed changes by the Commission Streamlining Task Force and keep the Commission on the Status of Women a chartered, independent body with its full powers and governance authority.

These are not optional features; they are what make the Commission effective.

Independent oversight and checks and balances are essential to protecting a fair and accountable government.

Please do the right thing for the community you serve and maintain real accountability in government. Don’t take away one of the only dedicated spaces in our city where women, girls, and nonbinary people can be seen, heard, and represented in decision-making.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Thank you,
Sophia Andary
Commissioner, Commission on the Status of Women
City and County of San Francisco

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:38:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

lucy junus

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:44:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jennifer Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:45:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

John Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:52:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Devlin Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:53:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Shane Stanley

From: Blueprint SF
To: Chan, Connie (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Wong, Alan (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:53:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ocelia Stanley

From: 86 Dwellers
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Public response to Proposition E and Ordinance 260217
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:11:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In November 2024, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E, which established the Commission Streamlining Task Force to evaluate and recommend reforms to the city's boards, commissions, and advisory bodies. The goal was to improve efficiency by reducing redundancy, eliminating inactive entities, consolidating overlaps, and standardizing operations. The task force, after a year of hearings and analysis, submitted its final report on January 30, 2026, proposing significant changes to the city's governance structure.

Key Proposals

The task force reviewed 152 public bodies (115 active and 37 inactive). It recommends reducing this to 87 bodies (86 active), primarily by:

- Eliminating 36 inactive or defunct bodies (e.g., those that haven't met in years or have fulfilled their purpose).
- Removing 24 duplicative bodies from city code (functions better handled by staff or other entities).
- Consolidating 2 overlapping bodies into 1 new entity.
- Taking no action on 4 bodies (allowing natural sunsets or retaining as-is).
- Modifying retained bodies for efficiency, such as shifting hiring/firing authority for department heads to the Mayor (except for sensitive ones like the Ethics Commission), adding term limits (up to 12 years), three-year sunset dates for advisory bodies, at-will appointments, and moving non-decision-making bodies to the Administrative Code for easier future amendments.
- Standardizing naming (e.g., "councils" for advisory bodies, "boards/commissions" for decision-making ones) and removing unnecessary requirements like annual reporting or floating seat qualifications.

These changes aim to cut total commission seats from about 1,500 to 900, reduce administrative burdens, and focus on effective governance. Some eliminations require supermajority votes (e.g., 4/5 for Ethics Commission-related or 8/11 for certain task forces).

Changes by Policy Area

The recommendations are organized by policy area, with specific eliminations, consolidations, and modifications. Below is a summary table:

Policy Area	Current Bodies (Active)	Proposed Bodies	Key Changes and Examples
General Administration & Finance	29 (21)	15	Eliminate inactive/duplicative (e.g., Contract Review Committee, Treasury Oversight Committee); modify others (e.g., move Refuse Rate Board to Administrative Code, remove annual reporting); retain Assessment Appeals Board unchanged.
City Employment and Benefits	6 (4)	4	Eliminate Employee Relations Board (never active) and Special Strike Committee; merge Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board and Retirement Board; retain In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority Governing Body unchanged.
Elections	3 (3)	3	Modify Ballot Simplification Committee (move to Administrative Code) and Elections Commission; retain Elections Task Force unchanged.
General City Administration	18 (12)	6	Eliminate several (e.g., City Hall Preservation Advisory Committee, Law Library Board of Trustees); modify others (e.g., Commission on Animal Control and Welfare); remove powers to create new policy bodies.
Public Integrity	2 (2)	2	Modify Ethics Commission (ballot processes) and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (structure).
			Eliminate inactive (e.g., Citizens Committee on Community Development, Housing Stability Fund Oversight Board); modify key ones (e.g., Arts Commission,

Housing and Economic Development	47 (33)	28	Planning Commission by removing hiring/firing powers); retain some like Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board unchanged. Sub-areas include arts/culture (8 to 6 active), building/permitting (6 to 6), economic development (5 to 5), housing/community development (5 to 4), planning/land use (9 to 5, e.g., eliminate Treasure Island Citizens Advisory Board), and workforce development (4 to 2).
Infrastructure, Climate, & Mobility	31 (23)	14	Eliminate several (e.g., Urban Forestry Council, Graffiti Advisory Board); modify others (e.g., Recreation and Park Commission); sub-areas include capital projects (6 to 3), parks/environment (5 to 3), port (2 to 1), public utilities (3 to 3), public works (2 to 0), and transportation (5 to 4).
Public Health & Wellbeing	33 (28)	21	Eliminate inactive (e.g., Early Childhood Community Oversight and Advisory Committee, Food Security Task Force); merge some (e.g., Advisory Council to Department of Disability and Aging Services with Dignity Fund Oversight); modify others (e.g., Health Commission); sub-areas include children/youth (7 to 6), community health (4 to 3), homelessness (5 to 2), human rights (5 to 5), and human services (7 to 5).
Public Safety	12 (10)	9	Eliminate inactive (e.g., Delinquency Prevention Commission); modify others (e.g., Fire Commission, Police Commission by removing

	hiring/firing); sub-areas include justice system (5 to 4) and public protection (5 to 5).

Timeline and Next Steps

- **January 30, 2026**: Final report and proposed legislation submitted.
- **By March 1, 2026**: Task force proposes implementing legislation; City Attorney drafts any Charter amendments.
- **March 17, 2026**: Board of Supervisors hearing on recommendations (must occur by April 1, 2026).
- **Within 90 days of approval**: Ordinances take effect (unless vetoed by two-thirds Board majority).
- **November 3, 2026**: Any required Charter amendments go to voters on the ballot.
- **By January 31, 2027**: Task force expires.
- Some bodies have built-in sunsets (e.g., Sentencing Commission in June 2026, Free City College Oversight Committee in 2029).

Sincerely,

86dwellers executive committee

From: North Market
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Report changing of names for Ordinance 260217
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 1:41:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Commission Streamlining Task Force's final report from January 2026 emphasizes efficiency through eliminations, consolidations, and modifications rather than widespread renaming of San Francisco's boards, commissions, and advisory bodies. However, it does propose standardizing naming conventions where applicable: advisory bodies should generally be called "councils," while decision-making bodies should be "boards" or "commissions." Exceptions are made for historical significance, branding, or legal reasons (e.g., Youth Commission and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force retain their names). Specific proposed name changes are limited, as most bodies (over 80) keep their current names even if restructured or relocated (e.g., to the Administrative Code for easier amendments).

Proposed Name Changes

Below is a summary of the explicit name changes recommended in the report. These are organized by policy area for clarity, based on the task force's groupings.

Policy Area	Current Name	Proposed New Name	Details
Public Health & Wellbeing (Homelessness sub-area)	Homelessness Oversight Commission	Homelessness Advisory Board	Combined with the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (which becomes a subcommittee); modified to advisory-only role and moved to Administrative Code.
Housing and Economic Development (Planning/Land Use sub-area)	Bayview Citizens Advisory Committee (Bayview CAC)	Bayview Citizens Advisory Council	Renamed to align with "council" convention for advisory bodies; structure modified.
City Employment and Benefits	Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board and	Merged into a single unnamed entity (specific name not	Consolidation to reduce overlap; requires Board of Supervisors approval; structures

	Retirement Board	detailed; to be determined via ordinance)	modified with term limits and at-will removals.

Bodies Retaining Names

The vast majority of the 87 proposed retained bodies (after eliminations and consolidations) keep their existing names, with changes focused on powers, sizes, terms, or locations instead. Examples include:

- **Arts & Culture**: Arts Commission, Asian Art Commission, Film Commission, Fine Arts Museums Board of Trustees, Library Commission, War Memorial Board of Trustees, Southeast Community Facility Commission.
- **Regulation & Appeals**: Board of Appeals, Building Inspection Commission, Code Advisory Committee, Relocation Appeals Board, Assessment Appeals Board.
- **Planning & Finance**: Capital Planning Committee, Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee, Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1, Board of Directors of the San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery Financing District.
- **Health & Welfare**: Health Commission, Airport Commission, Cannabis Oversight Committee, Commission on Animal Control and Welfare, Behavioral Health Commission (potential future rename noted but not required), Health Service Board.
- **Governance & Elections**: Entertainment Commission, Small Business Commission, Ballot Simplification Committee, Elections Commission, Elections Task Force, Refuse Rate Board, State Legislation Committee, Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group.
- **Social Services**: Local Homeless Coordinating Board (as subcommittee), Children and Families First Commission, Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, Civil Service Commission, Human Rights Commission, Commission on the Status of Women, Immigrant Rights Commission, LGBTQI+ Advisory Committee, Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee, SOMA Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee.
- **Other**: Youth Commission, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Veterans' Affairs Commission, Human Services Commission.

These recommendations are pending review by the Board of Supervisors (hearing scheduled for March 17, 2026) and potential voter approval for Charter amendments in November 2026. No other explicit renames were proposed beyond the ones listed.

Concern,

Former Board Members of North of Market Planning Coalition

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject:	FW: — Testimony on File #260147 — Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:37:00 PM
Attachments:	Testimony to Board of Supes Board File 260147 Streamlining Task Force 26-03-17.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication regarding the following File Nos.

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies

260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: pmonette-shaw <pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:46 PM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Bilal.Mamood@sfgov.org; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Alan.Wong1@sfgov.org
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: — Testimony on File #260147 — Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6

San Francisco, CA 94109

Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

March 16, 2026

Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1
The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2
The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5
The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7
The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9
The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10
The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on File #260147 — Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment**

Dear President Mandelman and Board of Supervisors,

The Streamlining Task Force's proposed Ordinance before this "*Committee of the Whole*" today appears to incorrectly state on slide #25 in its PowerPoint presentation that "***Proposition E***" provides regarding the **Ordinance**:

> "*The Board of Supervisors … may veto or duplicate/amend by 8 votes*" — a two-thirds majority.

That is **not** what the language in *Proposition E* states. Instead, §4.100.1.e, *Commission Streamlining Task Force,* states:

> "*… Such ordinance(s) shall go into effect 90 days after the date of introduction unless before the expiration of the 90-day period* ***two-thirds of all members*** *of the Board of Supervisors* ***vote to disapprove the ordinance***."

Note that the language in §4.100.1.e does **not** state anything about **duplicating** the file, or requiring a two-thirds vote to duplicate the legislative file. Indeed, §4.100.1.e further states that introduction of a Charter Amendment or an Ordinance by the Board of Supervisors must be "*consistent with the*

process set forth in the Municipal Elections Code and the Board [of Supervisors] *"**Rules of Order**" in effect at that time*. **§4.100.1.e is *silent* on duplication of files!**

In fact, the Board's *Rules of Order* regarding Duplication of Files states:

> **§5.31, *Duplication of File.* At the request of *any Supervisor*, prior to the roll call for action on a matter, the President or the chair of the committee shall order a file duplicated. Once duplicated each piece of legislation shall be considered separately and processed accordingly.**

§5.31 appears to suggest that before action on a matter, a **single** member of the Board of Supervisors can request a file be duplicated. §5.31 appears to be **silent** on whether such a request is subject to a separate vote by the Board of Supervisors.

Rather than "*Prop. E*"-style reforms voters expected, the "*Prop. E*" Streamlining Task Force developed and submitted "*Prop. D*"-style recommendations in its "*Final Report*" to the Board of Supervisors!

At a minimum, the Board of Supervisors should carefully "*duplicate*" both the Proposed Charter Amendment and the initial proposed Ordinance submitted for your review. The Board should schedule a full round of hearings to reconsider recommendations in the duplicated files that were made by this five-member Task Force of appointed members who are not held accountable to San Franciscans, or to San Francisco's voters. The Board of Supervisors must continue to take and consider additional public testimony before approving an Ordinance or placing a proposed Charter Amendment measure on the November 2026 ballot.

After all, during a Town Hall event on March 11, 2026 sponsored by "*Real Reform SF*" and the *San Francisco League of Women Voters*, Streamlining Chairperson Ed Harrington stated that now that the Streamlining Task Force's "*Final Report*" and associated legislation is under consideration by the Board of Supervisors, topics for possible changes the Supervisors can consider, but aren't limited to, include: the Commission on the Status of Women, Human Rights Commission, Entertainment Commission, Youth Commission, and various Children's, Seniors, and Homeless Commissions. Harrington added the Supervisors could also make changes to the Task Force's recommendations concerning the Mayoral authority to remove Commissioners "*At Will*," Mayoral authority to hire and fire City Department Heads, and Police Department discipline of police officers.

In reality, the Board of Supervisors can amend, or reject, any or all of the 800-plus recommendations the Task Force submitted, including, for example, the recommendation regarding Sunshine Task Force nominating-body authority; restoring seat-level requirements for all bodies that have them as **required** qualifications, not "***desirable***" qualifications; and restoring the Ethics Commission's full authority to place Ballot Measures on the ballot without requiring Board of Supervisors approval.

Board of Supervisors **Rule §3.22**, the "*30-Day Rule*" specifically states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or *revise major City policy*, the committee to which the measure is assigned shall not consider the measure until at ***least*** 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation. That Rule is meant to ensure that major policy legislation is not rushed, allowing time for public review, committee scrutiny, and analysis before a hearing. It prevents taking immediate action on significant City policies by mandating a 30-day waiting period.

The Board of Supervisors must carefully review, and reject, many of the Task Force's recommendations — or face widespread voter rejection of any Board and Commission Charter Amendments placed on the November 2026 ballot!

Failure by the Board of Supervisors to reject many of the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations will be sufficient grounds to oppose your proposed Charter amendment. Voters

expect robust Board of Supervisors revisions to the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations and proposed Charter Amendment be heard in detailed Rules Committee public hearings!

Specifically, **Rule §3.22** states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or ***revise major City policy***, the committee to which the measure is assigned **shall not consider the measure until at *least* 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation**.

Clearly, the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations to drastically alter San Francisco's board and commissions functions and responsibilities involves a "*major policy*" matter for both the Board of Supervisors, and all San Franciscans, writ large.

Allow the Rules Committee to conduct thoughtful review of the 800-plus recommendations in the Streamlining Task Force's 134-page "*Final Report*," and the 475 pages of its proposed legislation. Conducting review of 608 pages of recommendations from the Streamlining Task Force during a single "*Committee of the Whole*" hearing does not do justice to massively overhauling participatory democratic governance of our City.

Please allow the Rules Committee review process to fully play out. **Don't be complicit with billionaire oligarchs destroying participatory governance in San Francisco!**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Patrick Monette-Shaw
Columnist/Reporter
Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office

Patrick Monette-Shaw
975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

March 16, 2026

Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1
The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2
The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5
The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7
The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9
The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10
The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

"The Board of Supervisors must follow its '*Rules of Order*,' **specifically** Rule §3.22, '*30-Day Rule*' and §5.31, '*Duplication of File*.'

Importantly, the Board should ignore today incorrect information in the Streamlining Task Force's PowerPoint presentation that '*Proposition E*' **requires** eight Board member votes to '*duplicate the file*.' That's **untrue**!"

Re: **Testimony on File #260147 — Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment**

Dear President Mandelman and Board of Supervisors,

The Streamlining Task Force's proposed Ordinance before this "*Committee of the Whole*" today appears to incorrectly state on slide #25 in its PowerPoint presentation that "***Proposition E***" provides regarding the **Ordinance**:

> "*The Board of Supervisors … may veto or duplicate/amend by 8 votes*" — a two-thirds majority.

That is **not** what the language in *Proposition E* states. Instead, §4.100.1.e, *Commission Streamlining Task Force,* states:

> "*… Such ordinance(s) shall go into effect 90 days after the date of introduction unless before the expiration of the 90-day period* ***two-thirds of all members*** *of the Board of Supervisors* ***vote to disapprove the ordinance***."

Note that the language in §4.100.1.e does **not** state anything about **duplicating** the file, or requiring a two-thirds vote to duplicate the legislative file. Indeed, §4.100.1.e further states that introduction of a Charter Amendment or an Ordinance by the Board of Supervisors must be "*consistent with the process set forth in the Municipal Elections Code and the Board* [of Supervisors] *"****Rules of Order****" in effect at that time*. **§4.100.1.e is *silent* on duplication of files!**

In fact, the Board's *Rules of Order* regarding Duplication of Files states:

> **§5.31, *Duplication of File.* At the request of *any Supervisor*, prior to the roll call for action on a matter, the President or the chair of the committee shall order a file duplicated. Once duplicated each piece of legislation shall be considered separately and processed accordingly.**

§5.31 appears to suggest that before action on a matter, a **single** member of the Board of Supervisors can request a file be duplicated. §5.31 appears to be **silent** on whether such a request is subject to a separate vote by the Board of Supervisors.

Rather than "*Prop. E*"-style reforms voters expected, the "*Prop. E*" Streamlining Task Force developed and submitted "*Prop. D*"-style recommendations in its "*Final Report*" to the Board of Supervisors!

At a minimum, the Board of Supervisors should carefully "*duplicate*" both the Proposed Charter Amendment and the initial proposed Ordinance submitted for your review. The Board should schedule a full round of hearings to reconsider recommendations in the duplicated files that were made by this five-member Task Force of appointed members who are not held accountable to San Franciscans, or to San Francisco's voters. The Board of Supervisors must continue to take and consider additional public testimony before approving an Ordinance or placing a proposed Charter Amendment measure on the November 2026 ballot.

After all, during a Town Hall event on March 11, 2026 sponsored by "*Real Reform SF*" and the *San Francisco League of Women Voters*, Streamlining Chairperson Ed Harrington stated that now that the Streamlining Task Force's *"Final Report*" and associated legislation is under consideration by the Board of Supervisors, topics for possible changes the Supervisors can consider, but aren't limited to, include: the Commission on the Status of Women, Human Rights Commission, Entertainment Commission, Youth Commission, and various Children's, Seniors, and Homeless Commissions. Harrington added the Supervisors could also make changes to the Task Force's recommendations concerning the Mayoral authority to remove Commissioners "*At Will*," Mayoral authority to hire and fire City Department Heads, and Police Department discipline of police officers.

In reality, the Board of Supervisors can amend, or reject, any or all of the 800-plus recommendations the Task Force submitted, including, for example, the recommendation regarding Sunshine Task Force nominating-body authority; restoring seat-level requirements for all bodies that have them as **required** qualifications, not "***desirable***" qualifications; and restoring the Ethics Commission's full authority to place Ballot Measures on the ballot without requiring Board of Supervisors approval.

Board of Supervisors **Rule §3.22**, the "*30-Day Rule*" specifically states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or *revise major City policy*, the committee to which the measure is assigned shall not consider the measure until at ***least*** 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation. That Rule is meant to ensure that major policy legislation is not rushed, allowing time for public review, committee scrutiny, and analysis before a hearing. It prevents taking immediate action on significant City policies by mandating a 30-day waiting period.

The Board of Supervisors must carefully review, and reject, many of the Task Force's recommendations — or face widespread voter rejection of any Board and Commission Charter Amendments placed on the November 2026 ballot!

Failure by the Board of Supervisors to reject many of the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations will be sufficient grounds to oppose your proposed Charter amendment. Voters expect robust Board of Supervisors revisions to the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations and proposed Charter Amendment be heard in detailed Rules Committee public hearings!

Specifically, **Rule §3.22** states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or ***revise major City policy***, the committee to which the measure is assigned **shall not consider the measure until at *least* 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation**.

Clearly, the Streamlining Task Force's recommendations to drastically alter San Francisco's board and commissions functions and responsibilities involves a "*major policy*" matter for both the Board of Supervisors, and all San Franciscans, writ large.

Allow the Rules Committee to conduct thoughtful review of the 800-plus recommendations in the Streamlining Task Force's 134-page "*Final Report*," and the 475 pages of its proposed legislation. Conducting review of 608 pages of recommendations from the Streamlining Task Force during a single "*Committee of the Whole*" hearing does not do justice to massively overhauling participatory democratic governance of our City.

Please allow the Rules Committee review process to fully play out. **Don't be complicit with billionaire oligarchs destroying participatory governance in San Francisco!**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/
Patrick Monette-Shaw
Columnist/Reporter
Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Young, Victor (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:04:08 PM
Attachments:	CFSF Support for the Commission on the Status of Women.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication regarding the recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force File Nos.:

260147: Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment

260217: Various Codes - Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies

260225: Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Sammie Rayner <sammie.rayner@communityforwardsf.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 2:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: DOSW, DOSW (WOM) <dosw@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>;

Andary, Sophia (HRC) <sophia.andary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

I am reaching out with our letter of support from Community Forward SF, urging the Board of Supervisors to protect the Commission on the Status of Women in San Francisco.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to reach out.

Sincerely,
Sammie Rayner

--

Sammie Rayner (she/her/hers)
Co-Chief Executive Officer
Office: 415 223 1430



Support our work with a tax-deductible donation →

Confidentiality Notice: This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from disclosing, copying, or distributing this message. Please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies.

community forward

Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable, ensuring women, girls, and nonbinary people have protection at the City level.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, ensuring San Franciscans' essential lived experience and expertise have a place in city government.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing department heads and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Sammie Rayner, John Uselman
Co-CEOs
Community Forward SF

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	28 Letters Regarding File No. 260178
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:13:58 PM
Attachments:	28 Letters Regarding File No. 260178.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 28 letters regarding **File No. 260178:**

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to, for transfers occurring on or after July 1, 2026: halve the real property transfer tax rate from 5.5% to 2.75% when the consideration or value of the property conveyed equals or exceeds $10,000,000 but is less than $25,000,000, and from 6% to 3% when the consideration or value equals or exceeds $25,000,000; exempt from these reductions the transfer of single-family residences; and reduce the penalties for delinquent real property transfer taxes; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Renee Davis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Renee Davis
davis.renee@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94127

From: Nuhamin Tollossa
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff
Cc: ntollossa8@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:24:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiMjU1NGY5OTNlM2QyZDE5Yzc2ZTI5ODY3Njk5OTdiMTo3OjQxMDQ6NmFiZDYwODVlNzA4YTVkNmU0MzE4ZTVkNmI3YmExZGY5NjY2MDdlOTI2MzRkMjQ0NmU3Y2Y2ZjE0MmNlNWQ3NDgwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Nuhamin Tollossa
2360 mission street
ntollossa8@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: Jade Numair
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc: jadenumairhunt@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:26:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOm6hzo2M2MzNTU2MmY3YWFlOGVmOTEhZGU1OTBmOTIzYmQ2Nje3OjY2ODM6ZTJlM2Q0ODZkZTYzMDhhNzkxODRmMmE5ZjRiN2I5NDU5OGFlODVkZjExMTQ0YzMyNmUwM2E0NTdmNjA1YmQxMjpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jade Numair
572 Teresita boulevard
jadenumairhunt@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: Natalya Ratan
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc: natalyaratan@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:20:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQxOmE6hzphYmNlNThkMWRmNjJlYWJmNTRiMWNlYjVhZWIzZmI3ODo3OjMwZmY6YzRmNmMzN2RjYmRlMGE0OGNjNTlmNWYyNzI5ZTU1NTkzN2Y2N2U1MGVhM2U2NDViZDg4M2E3YTYwOWM2NGZkZjpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Natalya Ratan
1355 16th Ave
natalyaratan@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: Jessica Martinez
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: jessicasamoeba@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 3:56:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOmNmZHQyOmE6bzo2MzY0ZWI3YjUzYmU0MTc4NzlmYjY0NTYzMjJiYzBjOTo3OmUyZjc6ZTE4ODQ1ODEwYzM4OTVkMDBkMTJjYTRkZjM4YmZkNDk0M2IxNWE3NmNiZmMzOTE2MzllYzEyZjRkOTM1M2UxYTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jessica Martinez
jessicasamoeba@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Lorraine Lupo
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: lorrainelupo@protonmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 14, 2026 5:02:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkMmI4MGJiZDZiOGE0M2IxNmIyOWJhYzAyMGNlZjU4Zjo3OmViOTY6ZmJmMjI5NmYzNDU3ZTNiNjkwZmJlNjY3MTViZTNlMjU3wODYzNDM2MTY1MDg0NzA0OGE1NjZlMTVyYTA2MDMwOTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Lupo
3850 25th St Apt 2
lorrainelupo@protonmail.com
District 8 resident

From:	John Anderson
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff
Cc:	p8ton.anderson@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date:	Saturday, March 14, 2026 7:27:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

Please protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

I believe that you share the mayor's goal of a denser San Francisco that is clean, transit free, beautiful, and healthy. But such a city must have space for its poorest and impaired people. If everyone is living just above the "kill line", the point where a small additional loss of income leaves you functionally destitute, life here will always be nasty and desperate, no matter how wealthy most of our citizens may be. Funding programs like Social Housing becomes essential. Furthermore, the city has an interest in stabilizing ownership of housing and limiting speculation, so a fee on sales is a beneficial way to raise the needed funds.

This is why Prop I was approved by the voters of San Francisco. Reversing their decision will contribute to a "whip saw" effect. It is important to have continuity, especially for a program which benefits the city as a whole. I can assure you that maintaining a social safety net is a high priority for me as a voter, and I certainly oppose any effort to erode it.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
John Anderson
1323A Lyon St.
p8ton.anderson@gmail.com
District 2 resident

From: Keianna Colon
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff
Cc: keiannacolon181@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 7:58:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chyanne Chen and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4ZDEzNTE2NzZlYmQzNDllMmQyZDVjNmEwYTQ2MTNiMjo3OjkMDc6ODIwOWU5ZWJkZDU1MzhhMjU1OTI3MjE1YTVlMmUyNGRmZjc0ODQ0YjEzOGVkMjhlNTI1MGZlN2FlODAyOWYxZTpwOkY6Ta) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Keianna Colon
keiannacolon181@gmail.com
District 11 resident

From: Megan Luby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing. The wealthiest among us do NOT need tax breaks and real estate investors are destroying the vital energy source of this city.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Megan Luby

Megan Luby
meganluby@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: Scott McDowell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 8:38:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Scott McDowell
points-wren0b@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: william mehaffey
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 10:14:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

william mehaffey
w.hamish@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: Rhys Hedges
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 11:10:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Rhys Hedges

Rhys Hedges
rhysahedges@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: Thorina Rose
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: thorinarose@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 3:14:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NTA2ZWFhYmUzYmY2NDU5NzFkOTY0OWE2NTY2ZmY4OTo3OjhlY2Q6OGEwYzY3OWRmN2Q0NzM0MWY1OTkxYmJiOWVlMzI3NDJkNjQyODlmMzNhOTA2MTE0MWE1Y2NjY2YxMzBkODdmNTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Thorina Rose
11 Carl Street
thorinarose@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Matthew Castillon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 4:42:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Matthew Castillon
mcastillon10@gmail.com
550 Battery St

San Francisco, California 94111

From: Molly Seaman
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: mollyseaman.cr@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 15, 2026 5:44:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzCmNmZHQyOmE6bzoxOGY4OWIxZjQyMGQ1N2QwMzI1ZGZmNTc1MTE3NGNhZDo3OjZjMTg6YzdhMzFlMTMwNWMyMTc3MmRkYTcyMmYwNTRhZTdhMzc2YzY5YzM3OTQyNzNlMzVmMTc2YmZiN2I4YjQwMTIyYTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Molly Seaman
4605 25th St, Apt 2
mollyseaman.cr@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Colin Williams
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:22:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I teach high school in the city.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Colin Williams
quixoticquest@gmail.com
384 Vallejo St.

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94133

From: Etenesh Abebe
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc: belen.etu3435@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3YWMwNGJlNzZmYTI3ZmY0ZDhkNDBiNGNjMjhiOGI1Yjo3OjE3NmY6NjhkZDUyNzFjMTU5YmVlYzY1ZDdlZDlhMmQzMTBjMzIxM2Y5MWViY2NjM2I2ODgwZWZkMDY2OGI1ZmQxZjYyZjpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Etenesh Abebe
1259 11th ave
belen.etu3435@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: Esme Lee-Gardner
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS)
Cc: leegardnere@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmOWFiNWRlMGJjMDg2NWUwNzg5ODdmN2Q3ODU1M2E4NTo3OmExMWU6OTQ4MjYxYTE0NTY1NmIwYzIwMDdiOTFhZWRkNjUxODliYjEzZTMwMGJlMjUyNTBlOGRkNzkxNTY1NjE2OWZhNDpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Esme Lee-Gardner
leegardnere@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: Danielle Fallon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:16:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Hi! I live in D8 and I want to use these funds for social housing!! Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Dani

Danielle Fallon
daniellefallon14@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: Clara Obstfeld
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Don"t Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:06:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over $200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including $42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; $40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and $64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn’t built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There’s a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that’s permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That’s what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Clara Obstfeld
clarochi_1994@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: Susan Sheeran
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); MahmoodStaff
Cc: susansheeran@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:58:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://urldefense.com/v3/__https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about__;!!YXAzOnNmZHQyOm5fbzozNDAxYWQxZDBmZDQzZDA5YzNkZjY3YjNhZGYwZTg0ZTo3Ojk2NWM6OTA4NzlmODYzYzFiNmQyOTQwZDkzMmZiOTM5MmE3MmJiNWYzNTdmOTJiMTY5ZDkxNDQ5NWZkMzJjMzc4YjgyMDpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Susan Sheeran
299 Cole Street SF
susansheeran@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: Mark Hood
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: Stop the repeal of Prop I
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 11:41:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I've been reading that Mayor Lurie and the Supervisors are trying to repeal the Proposition I funds that we passed in 2020. I remember that vote well, and the intent of the proposition was to tax rich businesses and individuals in order to fund social housing.

Repealing this proposition is a betrayal of the intent of the voters of San Francisco. Mayor Lurie and the supervisors seem to be intent on making San Francisco a haven for corporations and the rich. You should be focusing on providing social and public housing for all.

Giving a tax break to the affluent and relying on market-driven approaches to the housing crisis are doomed to political and economic failure. Stop pandering to developers and billionaires and start serving your true constituents, the people of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Mark Hood

Mark Hood
mark.hood@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: Stephanie Sherman
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: stephanieasherma@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about____.YXAzOnNmZHQ6OmE6bzo3M2JlNGI2NTQ3N2ViMzY2MWFlZDJiNjNkYWFhODYxYzo3Ojg0OTk6OTIjMzIyMjJmNTkxMGY3YzU2NGU4ZTkxNDYzNDQxMjc3YTg3OWQ1YjVkYjc1YTIxYjdhNDA5MDU0YTkwZiAyMTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Sherman
25 Sharon St
stephanieasherma@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Angelina
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS)
Cc: montesangelina53@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:03:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Connie Chan and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzoxNDc2MGRjOWFlODdkYTJmYzZmY2IwODMwMDUzOTcyMDo3OjIwOWU6M2JkYjg3MTQ3Mzk5ZmJiMDA5MmY1ZTk1YjhuNDI3OGZmZDNhODFjZmQ1MjZjOTQ5MTI1OWRkYWY4NzExY2IzNjpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Angelina
452 12th ave
montesangelina53@gmail.com
District 1 resident

From: Jonathon Mitchell
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Wong, Alan (BOS); WongStaff (BOS)
Cc: dfxoutlaw+forms@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:09:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Alan Wong and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHOyOmE6bzowZTk0M2Y4ZTQ1OGFiZWI0NzNlMzU1ZDM2YTA1NTE2ODo3OjgwYjU6YTViYmZiMDQyMDRiZDY2ZWQ2YmVlNTE4MmVkMDczNmQ2Y2NlZDg4ODc5ZmY3NzhmYWRkYTAzNTI3ZDY1ODU3ZTpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Mitchell
1801 22ND AVE
dfxoutlaw+forms@gmail.com
District 4 resident

From: Camilla Betteridge
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff
Cc: vanillataleen@yahoo.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4ZDg5Y2ZkZTM2ZDFlZWQ0ZDQ0YTM1ZmQ2NDk0Nzc1NTo3OjM1MmU6YjIwN2ZlMmE2YmI2NDk4YTEwM2U5ZGJkMzdlZGMzODAwNjgzYzUvZTZmNWUwZDUxMzBjOGJhZTIxMGMwMTZiZTpwOkY6Ta) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Camilla Betteridge
822 Greenwich Street
vanillataleen@yahoo.com
District 3 resident

From: Corey Hallman
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); MahmoodStaff
Cc: corey.hallman35@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 9:01:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiYTM5ZGRkNjO1MjhkNTllODE1NzVhYzO0Yxc3NWZmYTo3OmZhZjI6ZTA2MTRjNDkzZmNjMzAxNmU5NmI5OWRhNTBkNzY4ODRjZGJlMWZlODJmZjcyZDVhZWFmMzFhODExOWU3ZDc0MDgwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Corey Hallman
corey.hallman35@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: Kelly Divine
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: receipt_swift.9w@icloud.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2026 7:27:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over $10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF6bzpmOTgwOGJmNGM1Y2Q0MjA3YTE3MTVkZmZmNjRkNGYxYTo3OjVkYTQ6ZDEyNjUyZjZjZmY2ZjczNjcyNzM3YWI0NWY0ZmM1NTFmYzcxZWU3MTIjNjI1ZDFmZWYxZTZlMzUwN2RjMjE2ZDgwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

A San Francisco resident since 1989, I have watched the vitality of this creative city ebb away, one eviction and redevelopment at a time. What makes SF special is the culture. We contribute to art, dance, and social change that affects the entire world. The tech world has chipped away at this, replacing it with…every other suburb. Working class SF was far more interesting. I miss my friends, and wonder whether my daughter will be able to remain here when she starts her life independently.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kelly Divine
94110
receipt_swift.9w@icloud.com
District 8 resident

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	50 Letters Regarding File No. 260267
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 12:17:58 PM
Attachments:	50 Letters Regarding File No. 260267.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 50 letters regarding **File No. 260267:**

Resolution expressing the intent of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District to recognize and preserve the history, legacy, and living cultural traditions of the Irish and Irish American community in San Francisco's Sunset District.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	Eugene Briody
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:02:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eugene Briody
Sunset District

From:	thomas harrison
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:04:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Thomas Harrison
Cow Hollow, Laborers Union.

From: susanbssf@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:14:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan Shields
United Irish Cultural Association Member

From:	Richard Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Richard Murphy
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:22:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Richard Murphy
Sunset Disctrict

From:	Annie Breen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:28:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Annie-Laurie Breen
Sunset District/ United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Deanna Baker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:52:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deanna Baker
Marina

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ed Carew
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:18:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Edward Carew
UICC

From:	Susan Motoviloff
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:23:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan Motoviloff
Sunset District

Susan Motoviloff

From:	Tara Wilkinson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:52:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tara Wilkinson
Sunset district/UICC

From:	Kyle Daly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:53:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kyle Daly
UICC

From:	Ed Carew
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:04:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Edward Carew - Past President
San Francisco Bay Area Law Enforcement Emerald Society

From:	Dermot Naughten
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:13:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dermot Naughten
Pacific Heights

From:	Brian
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:24:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Brian McBride Sunset District/Irish Cultural Center

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	William Dolan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:30:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

William Dolan
Sunset District / HYRBA
--
will@hyrba.com
415.935.4743

From:	Jane Nahass
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Nahass Jane Sunset

From:	Cathie LIVY
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Catherine McGarvey
Sunset IRISH

From:	Randa
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:47:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Randa Dudum
Sunset District Irish Cultural Center

From:	Joan Lyons
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:58:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joan Lyons
Sunset District/Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Cahalane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:16:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Cahalane
Sunset District Irish Cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Matt Stang
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:24:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Matthew Stang
California Academy of Sciences

--

Matthew Stang
Engineer
California Academy of Sciences
mstang@calacademy.org
55 Music Concourse Drive
Golden Gate Park
San Francisco, CA 94118
www.calacademy.org

From:	Maureen Reid
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:56:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Reid
Sunset

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Shawn Singleton
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:01:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Shawn Singleton Sunset District Irish Cultural District

From:	Tyrone Dorian
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:46:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tyrone Dorian
Sunset/Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Hobson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:39:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Hobson
Sunset District, United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Anthony Stack
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:26:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Stack
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Karen Sturdevant
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:50:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Sturdevant
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cathy Murray
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Yes one support for Irish historical district
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:28:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My grandfather was Patrick Joseph Murray Captain of Taravel police station. My grandparents were all Irish immigrants and my parents were native to San Francisco's as am I.
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Michael J. Bosch
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 1:50:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael J. Bosch
Sunset

From:	Marion Broeker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 5:56:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
marion broeker
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Brian Braden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:15:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brian O’Braden
Inner Sunset

From:	SOCCER FUTURO
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Edward Reidy; Patrick Masterson; UICC President
Subject:	Creation of the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:27:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

Can I get an update on this resolution before the Board, please:

260267	1		Expressing Intent to Establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District	Resolution	Mayors Office	Resolution expressing the intent of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District to recognize and preserve the history, legacy, and living cultural traditions of the Irish and Irish American community in San Francisco's Sunset District.

Thank you.

Michael Keohane

https://aoh-sanfrancisco-div17.com/

From:	Kathleen OConnor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:27:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kate O’Connor
Sunset - SF Native

Thanks,
Kate

From:	wade mclaughlin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:19:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
david english
lower sunset

From:	Kathleen Morgan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:21:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Morgan
Member of UICC

From:	Tippy Maus
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:39:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Montgomery
Sunset District

From:	Fitz Fitzpatrick
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 10:45:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Liam Fitzpatrick
outer Noriega

From:	Laurie Tandy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 11:36:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laurie Tandy
Sunset

Sent from my iPad

From:	John Moloney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Moloney
Uicc

From:	Fionnuala McElligott
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 7:59:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fionnuala McElligott
Sunset/Irish Cultural Center

Fionnuala McElligott
650-296-9519
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mike Cusack
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Wednesday, March 18, 2026 8:36:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Cusack
United Irish cultural center member

From:	Megan McTiernan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:53:17 PM
Attachments:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District.msg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:	Megan McTiernan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:53:17 PM
Attachments:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District.msg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:	Howard Ossman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:16:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Howard Ossman
Sunset Dist/ United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	J. Fulmer
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:28:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center (where I worked as a volunteer for the IIPC in the past), traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jacqueline Fulmer
Ingleside Heights (on behalf of the United Irish Cultural Center)

Jacqueline Fulmer, Ph.D.
Folk Women and Indirection in Morrison, Ní Dhuibhne, Hurston, and Lavin. (London: Routledge/Ashgate, 2013/2007).

From:	Robert Dempsey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:40:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,
Robert Dempsey
Upper Haight

From:	Daniel O"Connor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:57:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel O'Connor
St Francis Wood

From:	Ann West
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:58:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann West
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	daniel byrne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:26:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel Byrne
Sunset

From:	Michael Keane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:32:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Keane
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Gillian Stoss
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:38:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gillian Lent
Sunset District resident and small business owner that sell Irish products in the Sunset district

From:	Tyrone Dorian
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:51:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tyrone Dorian
Sunset/Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject:	624 Letters Regarding File No. 260267
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:28:16 PM
Attachments:	624 Letters Regarding File No. 260267.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached 624 letters regarding **File No. 260267:**

Resolution expressing the intent of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District to recognize and preserve the history, legacy, and living cultural traditions of the Irish and Irish American community in San Francisco's Sunset District.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From:	John Doherty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:23:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
JOHN J DOHERTY
IBEW Local 6

Get Outlook for Android

From:	Dottie McHugh
To:	MelgarStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:18:54 AM
Attachments:	Income is the bonus. Timing is the edge..eml.msg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear my niece Eileen Mchugh and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

I moved to San Francisco, July 14, 1979 with my six week old baby (Erin). My husband and his sister had already driven across the country with our car.

That baby lives in the family home on 27th Avenue at Santiago with two daughters, one who has Irished danced for years. The Irish Center was a second home as my daughters grew up.

My first impression when I moved here and settled on this side of the city was that San Francisco was one third Irish Catholic and therefore friends of the McHugh's, one third Asian and one third gay. I have loved every second of this wonderful city and its diversity, it's what makes us great and loving. It's time to recognize the Irish and their great contribution to this city. The McHugh family routes run deep, my husband is buried at Holy Cross with his grandmother that was born here and was a young woman during the 1906 quake. My second daughter (Colleen) is a fourth generation San Franciscian of Irish descent.

Thank, Dottie (Dorothy) McHugh

From:	Tim Flaherty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:31:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tim Flaherty
West Portal

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Judi Gorski
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:42:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Judi Gorski
Concerned Residents of the Sunset

From:	Peter Cooper
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:45:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Jackie cooper Sunset district.

From:	Tara Moriarty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish cultural district
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:46:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tara Moriarty

From:	Thomas Cunnane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:46:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom Cunnane
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPad

From:	Noreen & Kevin Golden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:46:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Kevin Golden Homeowner sunset district

From:	Margaret Kennedy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:48:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Margaret Kennedy
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Patrick OMalley Daly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:49:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Patrick O'Malley Daly IRISH POD SWIMMERS

Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android

From:	John Strain
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:49:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Francis Strain
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Stephen Gorski
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:49:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen J. Gorski
D4 resident for 45+ years

Sent from my iPad

From:	Sheila Birmingham
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:50:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sheila Birmingham
Inner Parkside

From:	Tessa Mazzei
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:51:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
tessa oleary
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Dan McGovern
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:51:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dan McGovern
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Star Hansen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:56:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Star Hane
e

From:	Christine Delcarlo
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:58:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Del Carlo
United Irish Societies of SF

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Dion Garcia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:00:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dion Garcia
Outer Parkside

From:	Megan Doyle
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:03:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,

Megan Doyle

Office Manager

The Duggan Welch Family

3434 – 17th Street

San Francisco, CA 94110

P – (415) 431-4900

F – (415) 861-9866

She/Her/Hers

From:	Loretta Roddy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:07:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Loretta Roddy
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bronagh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:08:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bronagh O'Doherty Maugg
Sunset Neighborhood

From:	Michael X Sullivan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please Support Supervisor Wong's Resolution to Establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:10:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael X Sullivan

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Aine Sands
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:12:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aine Sans
Sunset District

From:	Pizza
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:13:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
The Pizza Place
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPizza iPhone

From:	T. Jones
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Alan (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); lreidy@irishcentersf.org
Subject:	Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:18:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
The **United Irish Cultural Center** is a shining example of service to the enduringly vibrant Irish community of San Francisco and to our greater San Francisco community. The **Sunset Irish Cultural District** designation honors the founding parents of San Francisco who helped build our city, and it will honor all of the City's Irish citizens, past and present, who have kept faith with the traditional Irish values of community, generosity, and hospitality.

Sincerely, Tevis Jones
31-year resident of The Sunset & Supporter of The Sunset District Irish Cultural Center
March 17, 2026
Happy 2026 St. Patrick's Day! May the many roads of San Francisco named after Irish immigrants who built our city rise to meet you & bless you.

From:	Patrick O"Leary
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:52:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paddy O'Leary
Richmond District

From:	C. Barbaccia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:55:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Celia Barbaccia Sunset DistrictIrish Cultural Center

Best,
Celia Barbaccia,
Production Manager
415-713-7689

From:	Joe Reilly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:55:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joseph Reilly
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Ryan O"Hara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:55:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Kind Regards,
Ryan O'Hara
Outer Sunset

From:	tommy Noonan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:57:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Thomas Noonan
Sunset

From:	O"Connor, David
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:59:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David OConnor
Eureka Valley/ Castro

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Denise Leadbetter
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:02:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Denise Leadbetter
United Irish Cultural Center member

Denise A. Leadbetter
Law Offices of Denise A. Leadbetter
548 Market Street, PMB 479675
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: 415.713.8680
Fax: 415-449-3670
www.Leadbetterlaw.com

From:	Shaun Stewart
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:03:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shaun Stewart
Outer Parkside

From:	Dolores Meehan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:04:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dolores Meehan
Sunset

Dolores Meehan, MSN, AG-ACNP-BC
Executive Director
(415) 775-1500
bellaprimarycare.org

Bella
PRIMARY CARE

Sent from Proton Mail for Android.

From:	Robert Reynolds
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:06:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Robert Reynolds
SoMa

Robert Reynolds

(E) rf.reynolds.ii@gmail.com
(L) linkedin.com/in/rfr26

From:	e
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:06:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, Eileen Smith e.g. Sunset District/ United Irish Culture Center

From:	Ross Morrison
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:08:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ross Morrison
United Irish cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Alison Verzosa
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:21:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alison Verzosa
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Judith Holm
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:32:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Judith Holm
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	mary brennan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:41:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Mary Brennan Noe Valley

From:	Georgia Davey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:42:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Georgia Davey
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Teresa McNamara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:43:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Teresa R McNamara
District 4

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eileen Ambre
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:43:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eileen Ambre
Ingleside Terraces / UICC

Thank you.

Eileen

From:	James O"Driscoll
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:45:03 AM
Attachments:	Image.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James O Driscoll
United Irish Cultural Center

James O'Driscoll | Owner | J O'Driscoll Electrical

PO Box 27006 | San Francisco | CA 94127| License #835358

E: jim@jodelectric.com | **W:** www.jodelectric.com

J O'DRISCOLL ELECTRICAL

From:	Tom McGrath
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:46:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom McGrath
Sunset Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Laura Handeland
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:47:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. It's where my grandparents raised their family, and it's where I return with my children to celebrate our heritage by dancing at the UICC.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Laura S. Handeland
Miraloma Park Resident
Proud Mom of two Irish Dancers at Murphy's School of Irish Dance and Third Generation Irish American in SF
laura.handeland@gmail.com

From: lbrinkmann@mac.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:47:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lisa Brinkmann
Kolping Society of San Francisco

Lisa Brinkmann
lbrinkmann@mac.com

From:	Nancy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:48:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nancy Petrotta
Sunset District - United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPad

From:	tara sullivan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:51:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tara Sullivan
Sunset District/ United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPad

From:	Craig Farley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:52:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Craig Farley
Irish Pipers of San Francisco

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S25 Ultra, an AT&T 5G smartphone
Get Outlook for Android

From:	David Philpott
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:55:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

David J. Philpott
Associate Vice President, Labor and Employee Relations
University of San Francisco
(c) 415-672-0123
philpottd@usfca.edu

From:	Bridget Mahoney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:58:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families

From:	Peter F
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:58:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Fawzi
Forest Hill Ext.

Peter Fawzi
pfawzi@gmail.com
650.438.1520 (C)

From:	Mike Baglin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:00:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mike Baglin
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bridget Dubrall
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:02:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bridget Rodnick
Sunset

From:	Erin Stevenson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:03:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erin Stevenson
Bernal Heights

Erin N. Stevenson (she/her)
603.560.5552 | LinkedIn

From:	Joshua K.
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:06:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joshua Klyashchitsky
Sunset District

Kindest Regards, Joshua

From:	Annie
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:11:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Deihl
Sunset District and UICC

From:	Mary Bradley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:17:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, **Mary B. Bradley** **Inner Sunset**

From:	Danny Sullivan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:19:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Danny Sullivan
Sunset District & United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Clara Hsu
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:21:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Clara Hsu
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	John Plume
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:22:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Plume
Sunset District

From:	John Crabtree
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:22:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Crabtree
D4 resident Middle Sunset

From:	Tessa Nicholls
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Sunset-Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:24:29 AM
Attachments:	image.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the **Sunset District** has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, **Tessa Nicholls** Sunset District

--
Tessa Nicholls
Donor Services and Stewardship
Development Data | University of San Francisco
Lone Mountain Campus
tnicholls@usfca.edu



usfca.edu/campaign

From:	Bridget
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:26:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bridget Rivero
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Kristin O"Connor Leung
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 8:29:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kristin O’Connor-Leung
Sfgg

Kristin O’Connor Leung
201-914-3957

From:	SOCCER FUTURO
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Edward Reidy; William Gargano; pj.masterson@gmail.com; UICC President; Sean Flynn; Sean Keohane; Dan Keohane
Subject:	Irish and Irish American community of San Francisco
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:51:45 AM
Attachments:	Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, March 17 2026.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

March 17, 2026

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community — home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, Michael Keohane [Sunset/Parkside / Ancient Order of Hibernians San Francisco Division 17

All the best,

Michael Keohane

March 17, 2026

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community — home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, Michael Keohane [Sunset/Parkside / Ancient Order of Hibernians San Francisco Division 17

All the best,

Michael Keohane

From:	Kevin Breslin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:47:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kevin Breslin
sunset dist./ united Irish cultural center

Breslin Construction

From:	paula mcelhennon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:57:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paula McElhennon
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Valerie Schmalz
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:58:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Valerie Schmalz
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mike St. Andre
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:07:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mike StAndre
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mike St. Andre
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:07:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mike StAndre
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Shauna McClenaghan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:13:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shauna McClenaghan
San Mateo

Shauna McClenaghan
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Lou Barberini
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:15:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lou Barberini
District 7

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Leo Cassidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:23:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
leo cassidy
United irish culture center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	kevin callanan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:29:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kevin F Callanan
Rebel Cork Benevolent Assn.

From:	mcguiganjim@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:30:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James McGuigan
Sunset District/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Erika Leder
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:33:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erika Leder
Corona Heights

Sent from my iPad

From:	Tom O"Connor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:38:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Thomas O’Connor
Sunset Boulevard

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Pauleena M. Rivero
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:40:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Pauleena M Rivero
Sunset District

Smile!!!

From:	patrick linehan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:42:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick John Linehan
Rebel Cork Benevolent Association

From:	John Lyons -GMAIL
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:46:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Lyons
Sunset Irish cultural district

John Lyons
303 807 1178

"Trust everyone, but brand your calves"

From:	Elisabeth Harrington
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:52:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Harrington
Excelsior

From:	Jennifer Yan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:59:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Yan
Marina

From:	Geri Vahey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 5:59:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Vahey
Sunnyside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jack Dickson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:00:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jack Dickson
SFGG

From:	Mary Faherty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Faherty
Sunset District/United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Caitriona Anderson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:24:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Caitriona Anderson
Richmond

Caitriona

From:	M. O"Neill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:27:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary O’Neill
Richmond District

From:	Jeanine Donohue
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:27:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jeanine T Donohue
Sunset Distract

From:	Mary Bowen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:37:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Bowen
Outer sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	James Nicholson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:40:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James d nicholson
Outer sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Deirdre Nicholls-Binion
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:41:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deirdre Nicholls
Sunset District

From:	Sue's Comcast
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:47:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan collins
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	doverofarc@aol.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 6:47:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Eileen Tellez Sunset district

From:	Kit Chan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:08:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kit Chan
United Irish Cultural Centre

From:	Michelle O"Leary-Sherman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:15:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michelle O'Leary-Sherman
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Danny and Melissa Guiney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:17:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel P Guiney
Sunset District -United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jim Lucey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:18:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jim Lucey
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From: Crowder, Dona
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:33:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dona Crowder
Twin Peaks Improvement Associarion

Sent from my iPhone

Dona Crowder
Broker Associate
Coldwell Banker
415-310-5933
CaDRE# 00570185
dona.crowder@cbnorcal.com
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.donacrowder.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3MDhjOWUzY2ExMjk2NGNmM2IyOWRlNjNiYTc2OTFkMDo3OjY0NjE6YWY4YTFmZmE3ODNhNDdhOGM5NWEwMGU5MzM5YTA2NWZmMzgwYjk3NjM5OTA3Yzg2MWU0MmM3MDU5NWRjOGYwYjp0OkY6Tg

*Broker/Agent has not independently verified
representations of others

Wire Fraud is Real. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions. Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

From:	Ignacio Orellana-Garcia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:44:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jose I OrellanaGarcia
Outer Sunset

From:	Monica Brennan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 12:50:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Monica Rose Brennan
Hayes & Baker

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Copper Kettle
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:14:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne-Marie Burns
Sunset District/IrishCulturalCenter

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Donohue
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:31:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Donohue
UICC

From:	Clare Deignan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:33:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the **United Irish Cultural Center**, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Clare Deignan** United Irish Cultural Center

From:	mjbar2@aol.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:39:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Mary C Ryan-Baireuther The Sunset District becoming the sunset Irish cultural district.

From:	Barry Dowds
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:13:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Barry Dowds
Sunset District / United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Patrick Ball
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:48:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Ball
County Clare

Patrick Ball
Poll na Lobhar
Glenquin, Kilnaboy
County Clare, Ireland

From:	Patricia O"Connell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:07:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
PATRICIA OCONNELL
The Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ana Kapor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:17:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ana Kapor
Inner Sunset

From:	jamal mogannam
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:19:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jamal Mogannam
Parkmerced Tenants Association

From:	Cathy Busby
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cathy busby
Sunset / Irish Cultural Center

From:	Melody McClafferty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:29:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Mel McClafferty Sunset Irish Cultural District

From:	Linda Stark Litehiser
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:31:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Linda Stark Litehiser
Mission Terrace

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Kelly C
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:52:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
kelly coote
Sunset District

Kelly Coote

From:	Tara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 3:55:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tara Graupera
Sunset/ United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Siobhan Haskell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:11:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Siobhan Haskell
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	James & Dan McAuliffe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:38:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel McAuliffe
Charter Member, United Irish Cultural Center

Please excuse any typos as this was sent from a mobile device

From:	Joseph Breen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:41:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joseph Breen
United Irish Cultural Center

This email communication may contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION WHICH ALSO MAY BE LEGALLY PRIVILEGED and is intended only for the use of the intended recipients identified above. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, dissemination, distribution, downloading, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by reply email, delete the communication and destroy all copies.

GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP
YOUR 50 STATE LAW FIRM™
www.grsm.com

From:	Rochelle Mora
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:42:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rochelle Mora
Sunset District

From:	Kathy McCarthy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:45:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen McCarthy Varni
Sunset District

Sent from my iPad

From: Jamie O"Keefe
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: The Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 10:46:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Melba O'Keefe

From:	Brian Lonergan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:46:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
brian lonergan
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ann McKiernan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann McKiernan
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	martha cashman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:48:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Martha Cashman
Mission, MORE CLAY LESS PLASTIC IRELAND

Martha Cashman
Cork

www.marthacashman.com

https://www.facebook.com/marthacashmansculpturalceramics/

More Clay Less Plastic Cork Projects
https://www.facebook.com/marthacashmandesign/

From:	Kathleen Kelly-breitler
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:48:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kelly-Breitler
San Francisco born and raised

From:	David Cuadro
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:50:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
David Cuadro
Midtown Terrace

From:	John Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:51:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, John Murphy Mission

From:	John Dunlap
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Dunlap
Outer Sunset

From:	Linda Hitomi
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:52:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Linda Hitomi
United Irish Cultural Center supporter

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jennifer Longley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:52:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Dempsey
Upper Haight

From:	Declan Robb
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:53:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Declan Robb
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jack Quinlan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:54:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jack Quinlan
Sunset District

From:	Peter Elden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Elden
Marina

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Jonathan.kibrick
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:00:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Kibrick
Golden Gate Handball Club

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Denyse Hale
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:03:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Denyse Hale
Sunset District

From:	Bill Gawley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Gawley
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Katherine Alba
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:05:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Katherine Alba
Cole Valley and Sunset

From:	Graham Bourke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:05:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Graham Bourke
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Maureen Morris
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:05:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Morris
Forest Hill Extension

From:	Nick O"Shea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:05:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nick O’Shea
Irish Cultural Center

From:	sarah jane mallon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:07:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sarah Jane Mallon
Sunset

Sarah Jane

From:	Erin McClure
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:07:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erin McClure
Sunset

From:	Dan Casey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:08:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dan Casey, Sunset District born-and-raised

From:	Michael Sweeney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Sunset Irish Cultural District.
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:10:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely,
Michael Sweeney
Sunset District

--
The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please delete the original message from your e-mail system. Thank you.

From:	Elizabethmurphy9621
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:13:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Murphy
Sunset resident, UICC member

Sent from my iPhone

From:	kbinard@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:14:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kinsley Binard
Parkside Resident

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Caroline Maguire
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:14:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Caroline Maguire
Sunset District

From:	Megan Horan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:15:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Megan Horan
Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Michelle O"Neill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:15:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michelle O’Neill
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Michael Morello
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:19:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Morello
Sunset District

From:	Brian Finnerty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:19:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brian Finnerty
Miraloma Park

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Daniel John Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:21:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Daniel Kelly Sunset District

Daniel J. Kelly
University of California, Berkeley | December 2016
daniel.j.kelly@berkeley.edu | (415) 816-6127

From:	noratc
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:23:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nora Joyce Coussinat
Sunset Irish Cultural District

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device

From:	Jim Naughton
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:26:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,

- Jim Naughton

Sunset District, United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Global Val
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:31:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Wong's resolution for the City and County of San Francisco to move toward establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District.
Long has this neighborhood been bonded by love for and service to the City. The United Irish Cultural Center deserves special recognition for its exemplary dedication to maintaining a community space for the preservation and celebration of Irish heritage in San Francisco and beyond. The Irish Center has been a home base for generations of Sunset residents -- from weddings to wakes and everything in between. So, let's make it official!
The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,

Valerie Ibarra
Sunset District Native
5th Generation San Franciscan
Irish & More

From:	Katie Fones
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:32:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Katie Fones
Sunset

Katie Fones, Esq.
Realtor®
Compass Nob Hill
415-815-8843
DRE #02028807

"I have not verified any of the information contained in those documents that were prepared by other people. You will never receive wire instructions or changes to previously provided wire instructions from myself or my team. NEVER WIRE FUNDS PRIOR TO CALLING THE ESCROW OFFICER AT THE PHONE NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO YOU."

From:	Jan Diamond
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:41:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jan Diamond
District 2

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Joanne mcdonagh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:42:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joanne Barden
Sunset District United Irish Cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Steven Callow
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:43:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Steven Callow
Cow Hollow

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bridgette Mcauliffe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:43:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Bridgette McAuliffe Sunset District

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Megan Moran
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Help establish the Irish Cultural District!
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:44:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of the city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across the city already enjoy. I encourage the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Megan Moran** **UICC**

From:	Maeve Smith
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:53:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maeve Smith
Sunset district

From:	hinchion
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:57:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Hinchion
OMI / United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my Galaxy

From:	Jay Jurado
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 11:58:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Karl Jurado Sunset

From:	Anastasia Pallas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:00:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Catherine Pallas Outer Sunset

From:	Redmond Lyons
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:02:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Redmond lyons
United Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Vicky Potthoff
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:03:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Victoria Potthofg
United Irish Culturs‌l Crnter

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jessamy Cadigan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:03:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jessamy Rebecca Cadigan
Lower Haight

Jessamy R. Cadigan, PsyD, PSY29843
Tobacco Use Prevention Education (TUPE)
Mental Health Therapist
BIP, SUP, ATS, & VAPE Facilitator
She/Hers/Her pronouns
San Mateo Union High School District
650 N. Delaware St., San Mateo, CA 94401

p: (650) 558-2264 e: jcadigan@smuhsd.org

From:	Eric Kenneally
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:04:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eric Kenneally
SFGAA , PEARSE OG .

From:	Patricia Keehan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:04:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia Keehan
Retired SFUSD teacher

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Megan Hourican
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:06:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Megan Hourican
Russian Hill/First Generation Irish American in SF

From:	Mary Ryan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Cheers,

Mary Ryan
Sunset District
815-814-7882
mkgryan@gmail.com

From:	Loretta Wasacz
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:07:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Loretta Margaret Wasacz
Sunset District/United Irish Cultural Center/Comhaltas Ceoltóirí Éireann dance grp

From:	Mary
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:07:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary K. Harrington
Sunset

From:	efsullyjr@aol.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:08:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan
2448 Great Highway # 14
San Francisco, CA 94116

From:	Clare H
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:08:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Clare Hyland
United Irish Cultural Center

-
Clare Hyland, AIA, LEEP AP
E | clare_hyland@icloud.com
P | 415.757.7688

From:	Michael Jones
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:08:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Jones
United Irish Cultural Center member

From:	Tessa McFarland
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:09:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Theresa McFarland
Forest Hill

Sent from my iPhone

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message, including attachments, is confidential and/or privileged and is intended only for the recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not review, copy, disclose, or distribute the message or the information contained in it. If you have received the message in error, please notify the sender and immediately delete the message.

From:	Louis Kolenda
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:10:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Louis Kolenda
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Aimee Labagh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:11:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aimee Tenete
Forest Hill

-Aimee

From:	Dan Twomey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:11:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel Twomey
Outer sunset district/Irish Cultural Center SF

Cheers

Dan Twomey

From: mahercrew@aol.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 10:11:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, James Maher Noe Valley

Sent from AOL on Android

From:	Pat Uniacke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:13:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Pat Uniacke Sunset District . Gaelic Athletic Association

From:	Kathleen Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:14:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kelly and Kevin Holl
4th generation San Franciscans
West Portal area

Sent from my iPhone

From:	michael lonergan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:15:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Lonergan
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	maureen bowler-mills
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:15:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Bowler Mills
Sunset Disttict United Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Damien Fahey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:15:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Damien Fahey
Crocker Amazon

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Kevin Harrington
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:16:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kevin Harrington
Third Generation San Franciscan

From:	Alex Lentz
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alexander lentz
Irish pipers band of San Francisco

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cmsorgen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:17:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Carol McMullen Sorgen
Richmond District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	peter dahl
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:17:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Dahl
Richmond District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jennifer O"Keeffe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:19:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
6th generation San Franciscan!
Jennifer O'Keeffe
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	ess Goldsworthy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:19:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ess Goldsworthy
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	John Gallagher
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Gallagher
Monterey Heights

From:	88 King
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:19:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tatjana Lehane
Southbeach

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Gavin Ahern
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:19:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Gavin Ahern
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Michael Lehane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:20:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Lehane
Sunset District / United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Harry Arthur
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:21:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the **Sunset District** has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Harry Arthur** Sunset District Resident & Irish Center Member.

--

Harry C. Arthur, CA BRE Lic. #01900110
(415) 690-6442

From:	Layla Rahimi
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:22:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Layla Rahimi
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	William Hickey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:23:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Hickey
Sunset district united Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Janet McGee
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:24:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janet McGee
Richmond District

Janet McGee
DRE # 01228003
P: 415 652 8810
janetmcgee@gmail.com
http://shamrocksf.com
Inline image

From:	James McMahon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:25:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James McMahon
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Douglas Sweeney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
douglas sweeney
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tiffany Holcombe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:27:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Holcombe
Sunset Irish cultural district

From:	monica mcgee
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:29:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
MONICA S MCGEE
Sunnyside

From:	Evelyn Barrett
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:29:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Barrett Vincenzi
Sunset District United Irish Cultural Center

From:	John McAteer
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, John McAteer Sunset District

Thanks
John

McAteer Painting
415 706 5898

From:	Eoin O" Connor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eoin O' Connor
Miraloma Park

From:	Christine Nerney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:36:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Nerney
Midtown Terrace/UICC

From:	Patrick Ryan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Ryan
Noe Valley

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sarah Bennett
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:39:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco and continues to make it such a wonderful place to live .

Sincerely,
Sarah Bennett
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	E. M.
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 10:39:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has been an integral part of San Francisco since the Gold Rush, contributing significantly to the city's civic, cultural, and political life. The Sunset District serves as the heart of this community, hosting the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and many families dedicated to preserving these traditions.

San Francisco's strength is rooted in its diverse communities. Establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District would provide the Irish and Irish American community with the formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities in our city already receive.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and honor a community that has contributed so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Eduardo Mainella
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Maureen Hurley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:27:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cul

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	ailish mcveigh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:28:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Kelleher
Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Olivia Porat
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:28:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Olivia Porat
Richmond District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	anne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:28:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Marie Cosenza
Mission SF

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Trevor Pratt
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Trevor pratt
Excelsior

From:	Carmel Kavanagh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Carmel Kavanagh
Sunset District Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	ryanfrankie74@yahoo.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Frankie Ryan
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maura Poland
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maura Poland
Sunset District

From:	Anne Larson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:29:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Sweeney
West Portal

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Daniel Pearch
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:30:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Daniel Pearch Inner Richmond San Franciscan since 1984

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	kathy morello
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:30:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathy Morello
SF Native

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christopher Mitchell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:30:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christopher Mitchell
Sunset Parkside

Chris Mitchell

From:	Joe Sheridan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:30:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joe Sheridan
Sunset District / Irish Heritage District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Greg Coffey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Gregory Coffey**
Mission District

From:	Bridget West
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:31:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
BRIDGET WEST
Sunset Irish Cultural

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maurice Rivers
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:32:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maurice Rivers
OMI Cultural Participation Project

photo

Maurice Rivers
Executive Director, OMI Cultural Participation Project

Create your own email signature

From:	sandra doyle
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:32:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Sandra Doyle Judah Street

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Eric Reiser
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Eric Reiser Ingleside

From:	Teresa McNamara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:33:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Teresa R McNamara
District 4

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Janice McAuliffe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:34:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janice McAuliffe
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eoin Lehane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:34:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eoin Lehane
Golden gate park handball club

From:	Tom Mc Caffrey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:34:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom Mc Caffrey
UICC

From:	kathy morello
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:35:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mark Morello
SF Resident for 64 years

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mary Durkan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:35:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Hurley Durksn
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Janice McAuliffe
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:35:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janice McAuliffe
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Brendan Monaghan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:35:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brendan Monaghan
Golden Gate Park Handball Club

From:	MARLENA SHEERAN
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:35:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maria Sheeran
Sunset Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	mara durkin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:36:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mara B Durkin
Sunset District (District 4)

From:	sherri pelayo
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:37:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Noreen McEllistrim
Sunset District/Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPad

From:	Jenny Moore
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:38:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Moore
Sunset District/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Emma Lucey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:38:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Emma Lucey
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tom F. Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:38:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tom F Murphy
Excelsior / Jerry Day / Friends of JGA

Tom F. Murphy
415.272.2012

Tom@MurphyFinancing.com
www.MurphyFinancing.com

From:	Sean Feely
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:39:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
sean feely
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Collin P Murtagh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:40:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Collin Murtagh
Outer Sunset District

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	mike gavney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:40:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mike Gavney
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	patandcyn@aol.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:40:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Cynthia Sheehan Forest Hill Extension and lifelong resident

From:	Nicola Finnerty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Nicola Finnerty, Sunset District, United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Christine Cronin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:41:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Cronin
district 7

Sent from my iPad

From:	LETTY MORRIS
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:42:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
LETTY Morris
Sherwood Forest - Sunset District

From:	Marie Hurabiell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:43:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
MARIE HURABIELL
Irish descendant

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Geraldine Grelli
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:43:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Geraldine Grelli Portola

From:	Ann-Marie Walsh La Rocca
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:43:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann-Marie Walsh La Rocca
Sunset district/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Erin Burke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:45:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erin Burke
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sharon Heagney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharon Heagney
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Patricia Carlin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:47:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia Carlin
Forest Hill Extension

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ger McCarthy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:47:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ger McCarthy
United Irish Cultural Centre

From:	Christineemmons
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:48:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Emmons
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	justin devaney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:48:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Justin Devaney
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Fogarty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:50:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Fogarty
Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Carol Clark
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:50:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Carol Clark
West Portal

Sent from my iPhone

From:	matthew@brailer-rhoa.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:52:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Matthew finnerty rhoa
Irish American caucus

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Barbara Hendry
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:53:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Barbara Hendry
OMI

Sent from my iPhone

From:	graham stanley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Graham Stanley
West portal

From:	Deirdre OLeary
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:54:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deirdre
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mary Costello
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Costello
Ingleside Terraces

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Nancy Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:56:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life.

For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots.

The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Nancy Murphy Sunset District/Irish Cultural Center

All the best,

Nancy

Nancy Richard Murphy
"You ask if I'm an optimist or a pessimist. I am an optimist who worries a lot."
--Madeleine Albright

From:	Jennifer Stanley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:57:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Stanley
West Portal/Forest Hill Extension

From:	eileen cunnane
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:58:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Eileen Cunnane Sunset District, United Irish Cultural Center

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Jacinta Tobin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support the result ion for an Irish Cultural district
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely
Jacinta Tobin
The Presidio
San Francisco

From:	Josephine Brennan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Josephine Brennan
United Irish Cultural Center Member

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John McKeon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John McKeon
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jennifer Corry
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:21:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Corry
[Noe Valley / Certified Irish Dance Instructor (TCRG)]

From:	anne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:21:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Marie Cosenza
Mission District SF

Sent from my iPhone

From:	William Fitzgerald
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Fitzgerald
Lakeside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Denise Malmquist-Little
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:21:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Denise Mslmquist-Little
Sunset District; retired teacher St Cecilia School

Sent from DML iPhone

From:	Ryan Carew
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:21:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Ryan Carew Richmond District

From:	Elaine Esler
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:22:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Elaine Esler
San Rafael, Marin County

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jessica Hammer
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:23:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jessica Dowling Hammer
Irish Network Bay Area

Sent from my iPhone

From:	leo mcfadden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, LEO MCFADDEN Sunset

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Admin Java Beach
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:23:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laura Mulcrevy
Sunset District /United Irish Cultural

From:	Angela Sicord
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:23:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Angela Sicord
Sunset D4

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Admin Java Beach
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:24:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Laura Mulcrevy
Sunset District /United Irish Cultural

From:	Mcveigh, Ailish
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:24:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ailish McVeigh
Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Kelly
Sunset District/United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Angela Sicord
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:25:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Angela Sicord
Daughter of Irish immigrants
Sunset D4

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stephen Maguire
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:25:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Julie Maguire
Sunset District/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	brenden dobel
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:25:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brenden Dobel
SFGG Rugby

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Maura Baglin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maura Baglin
Inner Sunset Resident

From:	James Hanley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:27:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James Hanley
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From: Paddy Coyne
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 9:27:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paddy Coyne - sunset D4 resident
Father of two boys
President of SF Glens youth soccer club

From:	Mike Farrah
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:02:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michael Farrah
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Shawn Pelesauma
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:03:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shawndel Pelesauma
Bayview

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Madeleine Kelliher
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:04:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Madeleine Kelliher
Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Pio McGarr
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Pio McGarr
Soma

Pio McGarr

From:	Nicole Becker
To:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:04:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco!
Cheers!
Nicole Becker-Zucconi

From:	Craig Viehweg
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:05:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Craig Viehweg
Sunset Irish Center

From:	Timothy Hill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:06:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Timothy B Hill
Richmond District

From:	Sinead Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:06:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community and home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Sinead Kelly Bernal Heights, SF

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Jacks Gm
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:07:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John O’Brien
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jimmy Horan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:08:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jim Horan
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cathy Mattias
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:09:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cathy Mattias
Ingleside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tony Wells
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:09:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deb and Tony Wells
Sunset

Anthony Wells

President

400 Oyster Point Blvd Ste 203

South San Francisco, CA 94080

Direct: (415) 819-8340

Office: (415) 255-7831

After Hours: (415) 909-9135





From:	Peggy OBrien
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, **Peggy OBrien** **UICC**

From:	Joe Dekker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:10:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joe Dekker
Sunset District

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.

From: alanna bush
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 9:11:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

I also want to raise awareness that the Irish Cultural Center welcomes all cultures and communities to their beautiful building and community events. My family is multi-ethnic and have always felt welcomed and had so much fun at their events. I am third generation San Franciscan, part Irish, and absolutely love the Irish Center.

Sincerely,
Alanna Bush
Sea Cliff

From:	Molly Burke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:11:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Molly Burke
United Irish Cultural Center Member

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sean O"Donnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:11:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sean O’Donnell
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	paul greer
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:12:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paul Greer
Parkside

paul e. greer
763.229.4790

From:	Michelle Cunningham
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:12:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michelle Cunningham
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mits Kristoff
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:12:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mitchell Kristoff
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mary Lendaris
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:13:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Mary Lendaris
Secretary, San Francisco Youth Gaelic Athletic Association

From:	Fergal mac donnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:14:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,

Fergal MacDonnell

45th taravel

Fergal Mac Donnell
415 871 9939

From:	Angela Tickler
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:14:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Angela Tickler
Richmond District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ashley Wessinger
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:14:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
ashley wessinger
District 2

From:	James Leyden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:15:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
James Leyden
Inner Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Valerie Saroyan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:15:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Valerie Saroyan
Richmond District

From:	Kerrin McKenney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kerrin O’Donnell
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	John Wasley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:16:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Wasley
Sunset District

From:	simon mc laughlin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:16:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Simon mc laughlin Sunset district

---

Simon Mc Laughlin, President,
Mcl Heating & Air Conditioning Inc.
1470 Griffith St., San Francisco, CA 94124
Email: mclheating@gmail.com
Tel 415.240.2777
Web: mclheating.com
License: 989383

From:	kathferg@mac.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:17:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Fergus
Sunset District

From:	Michael mc grath
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:17:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Michael Mc Grath United Irish cultural center

From:	Maureen Maguire
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:17:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Maguire
Sunset District Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eamonn McCusker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:18:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of the city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community - home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across the city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Eamonn McCusker
Chairman
San Francisco Youth Gaelic Athletic Association
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Michael McVeigh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:19:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mike McVeigh
Sunset/Park Side

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Peggy O"Donnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:19:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peggy Carroll O’Donnell
Cooley~Keegan San Francisco branch of Comhaltas Ceoltóirí Éireann

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bonnie's Email
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Quinn
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Connie Fitzgerald
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Connie Fitzgerald United Irish culture center

From:	Frank hagan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Frank Hagan
Sunset District UICC

From:	julie fitzgerald
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:20:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Julie Fitzgerald
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Kirsten Strobel
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:41:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the **United Irish Cultural Center**, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. I take Irish lessons there every Saturday morning and enjoy spending the day in the Sunset. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Kirsten Strobel United Irish Cultural Center Member & SF Resident

From:	Kate McCaffrey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:43:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kate McCaffrey
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sean Halligan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:43:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sean Halligan
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Paula O"Donnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:43:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Paula O'Donnell
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sian Breathnach
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:43:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Sian Breathnach
The Mission

From:	Jeremiah O"Shea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:44:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jeremiah Oshea
Sherwood Forest

Cheers
JOS
+14156099440

From:	Erin Anderson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:44:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erin Anderson
Inner richmond

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Diane Weinkauf
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:45:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Diane Weinkauf
Collins Center St. Cecilia Parish

Sent from my iPhone

From: Eddie Ramos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: IRISH DISTRICT!!!
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:45:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,
Eddie Ramos **Potrero Hill**

From:	Peter
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:45:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Quirke
Inner Sunset

Peter Quirke

From:	Susie Buckley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:45:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susie Buckley
West portal

~Susie Buckley

From:	SB
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:45:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sean Birmingham
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	RITA O HARA
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:46:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rita O’Hara
Richmond district

Sent from my iPad

From:	Brendan Kenneally
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:47:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The City's formal recognition of The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brendan Kenneally
Comhaltas Ceoltóirí Éireann Cooley-Keegan Branch of San Francisco (Founding Officer) & UICC

From:	Ken Burke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:47:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kenny Burke
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ken Debrunner
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:47:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Debrunner
Sunset district. Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Colm Higgins
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:47:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Colm Higgins Sunset

Link to schedule a call

Colm Higgins
Current Construction
P.O. Box 40552
San Francisco, CA 94140
Tel: 415.537.0833

Visit us at: www.currentcon.com

Confidentiality Disclaimer: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From:	Sean Duffy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:48:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sean Duffy
Sunset District Irish Culture Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Barbara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:49:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Barbara Foley
Sunset Neighborhood/EE of UICC

From:	Cormac Hehir
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	arthur.koo@sfgov.org; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:50:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

[Name]

[Neighborhood / Organization]

From:	David O Donnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:51:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dave O Donnell
Sunset Irish cultural district

From:	Kathleen Byrne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:51:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Byrne
sunset district

From:	ANTHONY CUADRO
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Cuadro
Midtown Terrace / Hiberian Newman Club

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maureen Faherty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:52:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Faherty
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christian Burke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:52:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christian Burke

From:	Peter Van Zandt III
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:52:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Peter Van Zandt
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Bernadette Durkin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:53:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bernadette Durkin
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	MARY RYAN
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:53:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Ryan
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Paul Mcgrath
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:53:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
PAUL J MCGRATH
Sunset district inc.

From:	Aisling Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:53:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aisling Murphy
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Griffin Maloon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:54:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Griffin Maloon
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christina Lordan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christina Lordan
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Megan Robinson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:55:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Megan O’Donnell
Sunset District

From:	Courtney Dickson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:56:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Courtney Roche
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Danny
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:57:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Danny O'Donnell
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	aoife berrill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aoife Berrill
SF Native Irish Parents
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Thor Hunt
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:57:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Thor Hunt
Sunset District

From:	Ann Quigley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:58:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann Marie Quigley
Golden Gate Heights/Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stephanie Patton
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:58:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephanie patton
Sunset Distrixf / United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Aislin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:59:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aislin Roche Palladino
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stephen Major
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:59:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Major
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Aislin Roche
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Palladino
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Kev Cassidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:59:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kevin Cassidy
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Natasha Robinson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:00:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Natasha M Robinson
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Sally Brien Holper
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:01:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sally Brien Holper
Ingleside Terraces

Sally Brien Holper
415-845-5795

From:	garrett o donoghue
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:01:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Garrett O'Donoghue
Bernal Heights, Irish Cultural Center

From:	patrick robinson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:01:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Patrick Robinson Bernal heights

From:	CHRIS DOBEL
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Chris Dobel
Glen Park

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device
Get Outlook for Android

From:	Stephanie Lehman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 9:02:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Lehman
Richmond District resident

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Patrick Shanahan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support sup. Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish cultural district
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Shanahan

From:	K Dodge
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Kathy Dodge Sunset District

From:	Eamon Foley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:24:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eamon Foley
SFYGAA / GG Rugby / Irish community
Eamon Foley
eamon.foley@gmail.com

From:	Victoria Hatch
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:24:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Vicki Hatch
United Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Monica McGuire
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Please Support Irish Sunset Resolution of Supervisor Wong
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:25:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Monica McGuire
District 8
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Myra T. Quadros
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:25:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Myra Quadros-Meis
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	janette leyden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:26:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Janette Leyden Hunt
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mary Minogue-Reidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:27:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Minogue-Reidy
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Joe Trott
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:27:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joe Trott
Crocker Amazon

From:	John Connolly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Sunset Irish cultural district
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:27:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely, John Connolly

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cathy Thorsen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:27:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Catherine Thorsen
Sunset District-Outer Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Susan Corcoran
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:28:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan corcoran
Sunset district United Irish cultural centre

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Linda McCusker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:28:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Linda McCusker
Outer Sunset

From:	Jonathan Millington
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Jeremiahgotin@yahoo.com
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:29:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Millington
ACE Plumbing And Rooter, Inc.

Jonathan Millington **Owner/Operator**
Ace Plumbing & Rooter, Inc.
415-824-6444
www.aceplumbingandrooter.com
1090 Bryant St, San Francisco, CA 94103
CSLB license #829071

Celebrating 20 years of providing quality plumbing service in San Francisco

From:	Eddie Freeman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:29:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Edward Freeman
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Shane Muldoon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:29:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shane Muldoon
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Zach D
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:29:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Zachary Deppert
Crocker Amazon

From:	nuala gardiner
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:30:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nuala Gardiner
Sunset district

From:	Imelda Daly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:31:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Imelda Daly
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christine Linnenbach
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:31:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Christine Linnenbach for our family
20th and Noriega since 1941

Sent By Christine Linnenbach
Via my mobile device 415.637.7171. Kindly excuse any typographical errors or omissions.

From:	Marianne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:31:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marianne Brennan
Irish cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Marley Quirante
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:31:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marley Quirante
Sunset

From:	John Connolly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Connolly
Rebel Cork benevolent Association

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Lisa O"Malley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:32:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lisa O’malley
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Daire Lyne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:33:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daire lyne
Sunset

From:	Tara Carolan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:33:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tara Carolan
Sunset

Sent from my iPad

From: Saoirse McCormack
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community, home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and Irish dancing, Gaelic, soccer and rugby sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. And this is somewhere I intend to bring my children one day to continue our families traditions and friendships built across the years and generations. It's a place that feels at home.

As someone who was born and raised in the Sunset District, this community is deeply personal to me. It is where I grew up attending family events, celebrating culture, and building a sense of belonging. These spaces are more than gathering places, they are what keep traditions alive and allow culture to be passed down through generations. Without intentional support and recognition, there is a real risk that these traditions and the community built around them could slowly fade.

San Francisco is a city that prides itself on embracing diversity and uplifting cultural identity. Other communities have long-established

cultural districts and centers such as those in North Beach and beyond, and the Irish community deserves that same recognition and support. Cities like New York have demonstrated the value of preserving and celebrating Irish heritage through dedicated spaces, and San Francisco has the opportunity to do the same.

The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Best,

Saoirse McCormack

San Francisco native, raised in the Sunset District to Irish Immigrant parents

From:	Jill Flannery
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:33:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jillian Flannery
Outer Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Janice Biasotti
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:35:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Janice Biasotti Sunset District-United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Denise Domenichelli
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:35:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Denise Domenichelli
Sunset District/Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Robert Wilmot
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:35:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Robert wilmot
Member of the Irish cultural centre

From:	marika rose
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Rose
Lake Merced

Marika Rose
916-601-9737

From:	Con and Kerry Lucey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:36:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kerry Lucey
Sunset District/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Katie Rose
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:36:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathryn A Rose
Glen Park

From:	Adam Walsh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:37:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Adam Walsh
Pacific Heights

Sent from my iPhone

From:	rebeccaharrington27@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:37:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Harrington
Sunset District, UICC

Rebecca Harrington
CEO and Co-Founder
The Leadership Institute
415•637•3333

“If you must look back, do so forgivingly. If you must look forward, do so prayerfully. However, the wisest thing you can do is be present in the present…gratefully.”
Maya Angelou

From:	Danielle Driscoll
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:38:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Danielle Driscoll
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Shannon Durkan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:38:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shannon McAuliffe
Miraloma / United Irish Cultural Center Board Member

From:	Patty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:39:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia Devlin Hart
San Mateo, CA

From:	John Joe Mullen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:40:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Mullen
Sunset District/ United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Rinagael
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:38:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

[Name]

[Neighborhood / Organization]

John O’Flynn

&

San Francisco Gaelic Athletic Association

From:	Patrick Brogan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:38:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Paddy Brogan Sunset District

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Tim Healy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:38:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tim Healy
Sunset District/ UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	DANIEL MANNING
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:38:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel Manning
Lakeshore

Sent from my iPhone

From:	jbuckleyelect@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:39:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Buckley
RCBA

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christopher Watters
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:40:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Chris Watters
Sunset District/UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From: kb15@comcast.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 7:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District

From:	Tim Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:41:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tim. Murphy
Sunset

Tim Murphy

From:	WILLIAM COTTER
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:41:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William Cotter
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ger Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:42:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eugene Gerard Murphy
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Chris McCoy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:43:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Chris McCoy
Cow Hollow



From:	Peter Gavney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:44:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Pete Gavney
Sunset District/United Irish cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Aine Gavney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:45:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aine Gavney
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Robinson, Alana
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:49:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Alana Robinson

From:	Leo Cassidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:49:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Leo Cassidy
United irish culture center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	DeBrus Construction
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:49:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Malcolm DeBrus
Sunset/irish cultural district

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	Stephen Linehan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:49:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Linehan
TwinSpan Consultancy

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Anne Moriarty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:50:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Reilly
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Christian Averill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:50:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Christian Averill
SFGG Youth Rugby
917.679.8818
http://www.sfggrugby.com/junior-youth.html
Follow us on Instagram
Other Helpful Links: https://linktr.ee/sfggyouthrugby

From:	Gerald Cassidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:51:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gerald Cassidy
Lower Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Daire Heneghan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:52:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daire Peter Heneghan
SF Golden Gate RFC

From:	Kris Weber
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	I support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kris Weber
Sunset Resident for 19 years

From:	Dermot Heavey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:55:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dermot Heavey
San Francisco Golden Gate

From:	Stephen Mullen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Mullen
San Francisco Golden Gate Rugby

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Kathleen Kraus
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:56:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
kathleen kraus
miraloma park

Kathleen

From: Jake
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 7:56:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely,

Sent from Gmail Mobile

Jennifer Jake McKenna Ibarra **Sunset District/ United Irish Cultural Center**

From:	Kathleen Kraus
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:57:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
William kraus
Miraloma park

Kathleen

From:	Maryradsf1
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:57:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Radanovich
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Pilar Manning
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:57:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Pilar Manning
Sunset District/United Irish Cultural Center

From: Jack O"Toole
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 7:59:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John O'Toole
Irish times bar, fidi

Jack O'Toole
650-350-2636

From:	Mark Byrne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:59:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mark Byrne
Sunset district / Irish cultural center

Mark Byrne

From:	Marina Roche
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:02:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Marina Roche
Sunset District/United Irish cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	David Breslin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:03:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daithi o Breaslain
Bayview

From:	Niall Dolan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:03:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Niall Dolan
Gas

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eileen Mize
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:04:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eileen Mize
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone. Please pardon any typos.

From:	Nicole Mullen
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:05:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nicole Mullen
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From: jfc236@sbcglobal.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Sunset Irish Culture District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:09:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

James F. Cunningham
Third generation San Franciscan
Live in the Sunset District
143 Lakeshore Drive
SF 94132

From:	Brooke Saunders
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:09:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Brooke Saunders - A true San Francisco native of 35 years, born at SF Children's Hospital! Currently a proud Marina resident!

From: Kathleen Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:09:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Smith
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://irishculturaldistrict.netlify.app/___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozMWExNDBiMjk5ZjU4ZGRiMDMyMDdkNTgwNDQ4MTg1MTo3OmRiYzE6Yig3MjFiNWFmN2EzNWFlOWFlMTE1NjhlN2NhNGZlNTlhNzIxNTBkM2RiM2YzNDY1YTkwZWNhYzA5YWNlNzhkNDpwOlQ6Tg

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Garry Devaney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:09:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Garry Devaney
Hydroflow

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Barry O"Brien
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:12:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Finbar O Brien
Ingleside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	SF Concrete Scanning & Coring
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:14:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Lisa Lyne
Sunset

From:	John Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Kelly
Inner Richmond

From:	caitlin connolly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:15:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Connolly
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Erika Shanahan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:15:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Erika Shanahan
West Portal

From:	Patrickburke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:16:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Burke
United Irish Cultural Center of San Francisco

From:	Andrew Davis
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:16:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Andrew Davis
Mission District

--
Andrew Davis
SDR | 918.510.5013 | Rippling.com

From:	Seamus melaugh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Seamus Melaugh
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Julie Peralta
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:18:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Julie peralta
UICC

Sent from my iPhone

From:	michael behan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:19:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
michael behan
Sunset

From:	Aditi Chalisgaonkar
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:20:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aditi Chalisgaonkar
Hayes Valley

From: Patrick Stack
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Irish Cultural Center
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 8:21:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board of members of the Board of Supervisors.

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wongs resolution expressing the City & County of S.F. Intent to establish the Sunset District Irish Cultural Center.

Sincerely.

Patrick Stack.
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jonathan Major
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 8:21:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Major
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	C OB
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:15:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cian O Braonain
South Beach

From:	Anmarie Friel
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:20:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anmarie Friel
Sunset District

From:	Marina Roche
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); rthur.koo@sfgov.org
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Marina Roche
(Member of Sunset United)
Sent from my iPhone

From: Kathleen O"Hara
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 2:22:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen O'Hara
Sunset District, SF

From:	Margaret Graf
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	PLEASE SUPPORT SUPERVISOR WONG"S RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE IRISH CULTURAL DISTRICT
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Margaret Graf
District 4 Resident

From:	Drew Patterson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:26:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Drew Patterson

. . . . . .

Drew Patterson
General Manager | Red Barn Productions
Co-Producer | The Great Dickens Christmas Fair
Producer | The Marin Irish Festival
e. drew@redbarnproductions.com
p. 415.725.1579

From:	Theresa Flynn Houghton
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:27:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Theresa Flynn Houghton
Lakeshore - District 4

From: dancingbags@earthlink.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 2:34:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ann Baglin
Inner Sunset Resident /member of the United Irish Cultural Center/member of the Keepers of the Steps

Sent from EarthLink Mobile mail

From:	Fran at Comcast
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Irish cultural district
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:37:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please supper supervisor Wongs proposa

From:	Kevin Thomas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Alan Wong"s resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:38:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

As a dual citizen of Ireland and the United States, and as someone who has been a homeowner in San Francisco for more than 30 years, this effort means a great deal to me personally and to many families across the city.

The Irish community has been part of the fabric of San Francisco since the Gold Rush. Generations of Irish and Irish American families helped build this city, opened businesses, served in public roles, and contributed to the cultural life that makes San Francisco such a special place. For decades, the Sunset District has been a central gathering place for that heritage, anchored by the United Irish Cultural Center, Irish music and dance, Gaelic sports, and the many families who continue to keep these traditions alive.

San Francisco has always been a city that celebrates its cultural communities and recognizes the role they play in shaping our shared identity. Establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District would acknowledge the lasting contributions of the Irish and Irish American community and help ensure that these traditions remain visible and vibrant for future generations.

I encourage the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step in recognizing a community that has contributed so much to San Francisco.

Thank you,

Kevin Thomas
303.803.3387

From:	Kim Boyd Bermingham
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:46:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Kim Boyd Bermingham
(415) 533-1603

From:	Maggie Baglin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:48:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Margaret Baglin
Sunset District/President of the Irish Pipers Band of San Francisco/United Irish Societies Board of Directors/2024 San Francisco Rose of Tralee

From:	Kathleen McKeon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:49:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

This is important to me and my family as three of my children's grandparents immigrated from different parts of Ireland to settle in San Francisco and created this beautiful community to preserve and share the Irish culture. We have participated in thousands of events supporting the Irish culture while growing up in San Francisco. My husband and I both grew up in the Sunset district. We now live on the east side of the city in the Portola district and have raised 4 children here that have basically grown up at the United Irish Cultural Center. We will continue to support and participate in some if not all Irish cultural events in San Francisco.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Martin-Mckeon
Sunset district/United Irish Cultural Center
-Best
Kathleen Martin-Mckeon

From:	Maggie Overbay
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:52:14 PM
Attachments:	image.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maggie Overbay
Board of Directors, Secretary
United Irish Cultural Center

UNITED IRISH CULTURAL CENTER
of SAN FRANCISCO

From:	Brian hehir
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:56:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brian Hehir
Sunset/Parkside Resident

From:	Jennifer Drennan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please, support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:59:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings to the Clerk of the Board, and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I wholeheartedly support Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

Irish settlers and immigrants have called San Francisco home since before the Gold Rush era. My own ancestors called Alta California home, settling in both the north bay and Sierra foothills.

Generations of Irish immigrants, and Irish-Americans have come enduring hardships, looking for safety, all the while building institutions, infrastructure, and contributing to every corner of the City's civic, cultural, and political life.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition, and institutional support, that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I ask the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution; taking this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco since its inception.

Best to you,

Jennifer Drennan

6th generation Californian
Outer Sunset resident
SFUSD parent
Librarian and Archivist, Dowling Library at the UICC

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	The Hooks Music
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Support
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:00:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ronan Mulligan
362 moultrie st
CA,SF,
94110

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ciara McCoy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:06:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ciara McCoy
San Francisco native (formerly living in the Sunset/currently in Cow Hollow)

From:	kearney.noel@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	SUPPORTING Supervisor Wong"s resolution ----- Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:14:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I'm reaching out to voice my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District in San Francisco.

The Irish community has been part of this City since its beginning.
The Sunset District has long been the soul of that community, home to the United Irish Cultural Center (which we attend with my three kids at least twice per week for dancing and music), Gaelic football clubs, and the many many families who have kept these traditions alive.

San Francisco prides itself on honoring the diversity of its communities.
Establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District would give the Irish and Irish American community the same formal recognition and support that so many other cultural communities in this city already receive.

I hope the Board will vote in favor of this resolution and take this meaningful step toward recognizing a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Noel Kearney

From:	Sharon Campbell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:17:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sharon Campbell
West Portal Neighborhood

From:	Geri Webb
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:21:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a fourth generation Irish-American born in San Francisco, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Geri Webb
Mid-Market area

From:	Katie Talbott
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Katie Talbott
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:25:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Katie Talbott
Office of Carole McNeil
415-307-2226
katie@mcneilcapital.com

From: Mary Molly Mullaney
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 3:35:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

As a member of a local family with mixed Irish heritage it would be meaningful to have the City designate the Irish Cultural District. My paternal grandparents were both from Ireland and happily made San Francisco their home. They met in San Francisco and raised their family here (in the Parkside neighborhood). I am second-generation San Franciso Native, and have raised my daughter as a third-generation SF Native. Our family has served San Francisco as civil servants for decades. My grandfather, Thomas Patrick Mullaney, helped with the Golden Gate Bridge as a civil engineer, then went on to work for the City on many projects, including the Broadway Tunnel. My father, Thomas Anthony Mullaney was a civil engineer for the City for decades as well. My brother, Patrick Mullaney retired as a Fire Captain after serving the City as a firefighter for several decades. Our family with Irish heritage has served San Francisco for over 75 years. Having the City acknowledge the Irish heritage as an integral part of this beautiful, diverse City, would be so heartening.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city

already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary Molly Mullaney

Mary Molly Mullaney
Faith Formation Director
St. Stephen Catholic Parish
451 Eucalyptus Drive
San Francisco, CA 94132
FaithFormation@SaintStephenSF.org
Office: (415) 681-2444 x3
Fax: (415) 594-9470
Google Voice: (415) 335-6879
www.SaintStephenSF.org

Jesus: "Amen, amen, I say to you, whatever you ask the Father in my name he will give you." *- John 16:23*

St Stephen Parish Facebook
St Stephen Parish YouTube
St Stephen Parish Instagram

From:	K T
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	United Cultural Center 45th Ave SF
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:46:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

l support the Irish Cultural Center. My Dad for his lrish heritage wanted us to have continued involvement through his and our lives at this center. He paid $250 to help build the center. We had very little money and he had a wife and 5 kids with lots of needs. l,m so happy he did it.

Please support my heritage and give support as much as possible so we are heard and have our center and all it has to offer.

Thank you, Karen Taylor
Daughter of Irish Family immigrants (1906?)
William and Arlene Taylor Member #1515T

From:	Stacey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please Support Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 3:54:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stacey Sobel
SF Resident since 1999

From:	Mike McNeill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Support Supervisor Wong"s Resolution To Establish The Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:06:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

--

Mike McNeill
Executive Director
San Francisco Glens SC | USL League Two
SF Glens Academy | MLS NEXT • USL Academy • NPL • NorCal Premier

Senior Teams: SFGlens.com | @SFGlensSC: FB | Twitter | IG | YouTube
Youth Academy: SFGlensAcademy.com | @SFGlensAcademy: FB | Twitter | IG | YouTube

From:	Geoff Callan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:07:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a fifth-generation San Franciscan, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has been part of San Francisco since the Gold Rush, overcoming hardship while helping build the institutions, neighborhoods, and civic life that define the city today. For generations, the Sunset District has served as the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance schools, Gaelic sports clubs, and the families who have preserved and passed down these living traditions.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength comes from the diversity of its communities and the depth of their histories. Establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and cultural support that many other communities across the city already enjoy.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this meaningful step toward honoring a community that has contributed so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Geoff

Geoff Callan
415-595-1027

From:	Roberta C
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:10:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Roberta Cunningham.
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Paula OShea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:30:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Paula O'Shea
Miraloma Park, San Francisco

From:	Franco Finn
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Franco Finn
Sunset District - Native San Franciscan
Film SF Commissioner

From:	Rock Ron
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:35:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Ron Rock

Ron Rock
Senior Key Account Manager
Route to Market

Mobile: 401-225-1851
Office: 650-212-2562
www.swarovski.com

Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this email.

This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete this communication entirely from his or her computer.

From:	Fiona Connolly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 4:46:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. As an Irish immigrant I feel very strongly about this community!

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fiona Connolly
Diamond Height Neighborhood

From:	Kieran Crean
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:04:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kieran Crean.

From:	Jerry Connolly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:29:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jerry Connolly

From:	James Quinn
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); WongStaff (BOS); Lee, Tiff (BOS); rafaelmandelman@gmail.com; PJ Masterson; ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Hilda Kissane
Subject:	Irish Cultural District.
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:37:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it concerns,
I, James Quinn of the United Irish Societies and the Director of the Saint Patrick's Day Parade I fully support Supervisor Alan Wong's effort to establish an Irish Cultural District.
When I first took over the St Patrick's Day Parade in 2024 , I only learned about the other 11 Cultural Districts and was shocked that we the Irish don't have one!!!
I fully support all the efforts to make this happen.
We had an absolutely amazing Parade, Youth Sports Day and UniteSF Festival this weekend. This would be the proverbial cherry on top!!!
Sincerely,
James Quinn
Director St Patrick's Day Parade
(415) 420-4576

From:	Connor Mungia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor's Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 5:49:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Connor Mungia
Haight-Ashbury resident
SF Native of Sunset District
Local 6 Journeyman

From: jeanne connolly
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 5:54:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am a 30 year resident of Lakeshore — and my husband and I have raised a family of 3 (now adult) boys in San Francisco …

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Connolly
Lakeshore

From: Hilda Kissane
To: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Fwd: Please Support Supervisor Wong"s Resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District.
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 6:04:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Good afternoon. I am Hilda Kissane, president of the United Irish Societies, native San Franciscan, and concerned citizen. Supervisor Wong's initiative to create an Irish Cultural District is an idea which should be embraced whole heartedly by the City and County of San Francisco. After literally building the City, the Irish made their way west to the Sunset and created a family friendly enclave which is as rich and vibrant as ever. This legislation is timely (on the heels of our very successful 175th St. Patrick's Day Parade and Youth Sports Day). I am writing to express my strong support for this resolution and can be counted on to help in any way possible. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Very kind regards,

Hilda A. Kissane

UIS President

From:	Carolyn Cody
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 6:10:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Carolyn Fogarty

From:	Gail Brownell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 6:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Gail
Member UICC, Resident Sunset District, 3535 Wawona Street Unit 417
--
Gail Brownell
mobile 408.218.3913
gailbrownell@gmail.com

From:	Patrick J. Masterson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:02:06 PM
Attachments:	image328630.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community — home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

All the best,

PJ

Patrick J. Masterson

Associate Attorney
he/him/his

PHILLIPS SPALLAS & ANGSTADT LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
San Francisco | Las Vegas | Los Angeles | Napa Valley

Phillips, Spallas, & Angstadt, LLP
560 Mission Street, Suite 1010
San Francisco, CA, 94105

t 415-278-9400
f 415-278-9411
pmasterson@psalaw.net
vizCard
www.psalaw.net

This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Receipt by anyone else does not constitute a loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review by or disclosure to another is prohibited. If you received this communication in error, please delete all copies and notify me.

From:	Edel Gorman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please Support Supervisora Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:10:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely

Edel Gorman
(415) 515 7886
Central Sunset District

From:	Mark Gorman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:22:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Mark Gorman
415 515 7713
Central Sunset

From:	Liam Reidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:30:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Liam Reidy
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From: czyarrow@aol.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: United Irish Cultural Center
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 7:33:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a supporter of the UICC. I have been a member for several years member #5600-2023. Please help the center anyway you can. It is an asset to the neighborhood. Thank you

Linda Maher

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS

From:	carrigaline57@yahoo.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:35:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Brendan O’Mahony United Irish cultural center

From:	Breda Kiely
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:35:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Breda Kiely
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Josephine Brogan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 7:37:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, JOSEPHINE BROGAN United Irish Cultural Center

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Mary Teahan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:32:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Mary K Teahan (Noe Valley)

From:	Mary Spellman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Irish support
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:32:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Mary Spellman D7

Carpe Diem

From:	Deb Mulvaney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:33:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Deborah Mulvaney
(Inner Richmond, SF)

From: Padbury, James
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); ocelyn.wong@sfgov.org
Subject: Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:33:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Padbury
1526 Plymuth Ave
SF, CA 94112

From: Garrett Frakes
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Emailing to Support the Designation of Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:34:20 PM
Attachments: image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope that you support the legislation to enact the Sunset Irish Cultural District. Many thanks!

Garrett Frakes | Managing Partner
415.505.1077 *mobile*
850 7th Street San Francisco CA 94107
polarispacific.com

POL
ARIS
PAC
IFIC

Take a break In The Red Room

From: Peggy Nevin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject: Support Sup. Wong's Resolution
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:34:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Margaret Nevin's

From:	Aislin O"Connor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:40:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Aislin O'Connor
San Francisco Resident

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Veronica Flanagan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:41:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. My father was a founding member.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Veronica Flanagan
District 1
Sent from my iPhone

From: Paul Cunnie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:42:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Lá Fhéile Pádrais (Happy Saint Patrick’s Day)

Best wishes,

Paul Cunnie
Irish Baseball Ambassador
Irish American Baseball Society
irishbaseball.org
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Board of Directors Member
Emerald Diamond International
emeralddiamond.org
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Board of Directors Member
Daly City Police Athletic League
dcpal.org
cunniep@aol.com
(650) 826-0570

From:	Murphy Sontag
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:47:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Murphy Sontag
Berkeley, CA

From:	maureen kosewic
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); jocelyn.wong@sfgov.orv
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:50:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

My father was an Irish immigrant that worked for the City as an electrician. In the 1970s, he helped build the existing Irish Center. My family today has benefited from the strength of the SF Irish American community.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Thank you
Maureen Kosewic
West Portal
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Howard Matis
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:53:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Howard Matis

From: fintanjamesreidy@icloud.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 1:56:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fintan Reidy
West Portal

From:	Jen May
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:56:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer May

From:	Eileen Mize
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:56:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eileen Mize

3rd Generation SF Irish
Co-Director of Keepers of the Steps
Irish Dance Living Archive Project in San Francisco

--
Eileen M. Mize

From:	Jeanne Boes
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Support for Supervisor Wong's Resolution to Establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 1:56:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

As a proud multi-generational Irish American who moved to San Francisco in 1989 from Southern California, I have long appreciated the deep and enduring presence of the Irish community in this city. For well over a century, Irish immigrants and their descendants have helped shape San Francisco's civic, cultural, and neighborhood life. Their contributions can be seen in our institutions, our traditions, and the strong sense of community that continues to define many parts of the city.

For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of Irish cultural life in San Francisco. The United Irish Cultural Center, along with traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic athletic clubs, and the many families who have carried these traditions forward, has created a vibrant and living cultural community that enriches the city as a whole.

San Francisco has long recognized that the diversity of its cultural communities is one of its greatest strengths. Establishing the Sunset Irish Cultural District would be a meaningful way to acknowledge the history, heritage, and ongoing contributions of the Irish and Irish American community.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has contributed so much to the history and character of San Francisco.

Warm regards,

Jeanne Taggart Boes
Executive Director
SF Flower Market Inc.
901 16th Street
San Francisco, CA 94107
415.392.7944 x 5
415.637.8817 direct

www.sfflowermarket.org
http://www.facebook.com/SFFlowerMart
https://twitter.com/sfflowermart

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This email is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this email or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this email in error, please call the SF Flower Market, Inc. at 415.392.7944 and destroy the original message and all copies.

From:	Michael Barnacle
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:03:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a Past President of the UICC, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Michael Barnacle
Resident of District 2

About the Corcoran Brand

Michael Barnacle

Managing Broker & Partner

Lic. #01923867
m 415.515.5542 | o 2523 California Street & 4200 17th Street
michael.barnacle@corcoranicon.com







WIRE FRAUD WARNING: Do not respond to ANY wire instructions that appear to be from me or anyone at Corcoran Icon Properties. They are likely to be an attempt to steal your money. Coordinate all wire transfers via phone conversation with your escrow officer.

This email may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me immediately and delete this copy from your system.

© 2026 Corcoran Icon Properties. All rights reserved. Each franchise is independently owned and operated. Corcoran Icon Properties fully supports the principles of the Fair Housing Act and the Equal Opportunity Act.

My Calendar Booking Page



From:	Stephanie Reidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:04:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephane Reidy
West Portal

From: Eleanor O"Loughlin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 16, 2026 2:04:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eleanor O'Loughlin

Eleanor O'Loughlin

From:	Sean O"Leary
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:05:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Seán O'Leary
Noe Valley

From:	Jessica O"Reilly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:13:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jessica O'Reilly
West Portal Resident
Married to Irishman
Mom of Irish dancer

From:	Linda Barnard
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	UICC
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:13:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Linda Barnard
Richmond

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	Karen Durrant
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:14:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's
intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural,
and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community%2��home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions
alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal
recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.
Sincerely, Karen Durrant Sunset

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From:	Karen Duffy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:14:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Duffy
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPad

From:	Aisling Murphy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:15:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
John Murphy
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Nick Osborne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:15:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tracy Thompson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:19:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tracy Thompson
Richmond District

From:	Patrick Wasley
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:28:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patrick Wasley
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mldevaney
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:29:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Michelle Devaney
Cow Hollow

From:	Julie
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:29:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Julianne Okeefe
Richmond

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Colleen Harvey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:29:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Colleen Harvey
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Julie Connery
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:31:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life.

For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Julie Connery
Pacific Heights

From:	Caileen Viehweg
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:33:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Caileen Viehweg
Sunset District

From:	Daniel McCarthy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:34:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Daniel Patrick McCarthy
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	matt kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:38:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Matthew Kelly Richmond District

From:	Joey
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:40:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Joseph Costanzo
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cheryl David
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:42:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Cheryl David
Ingleside

From:	Tony Villa
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Villa
Sunset D4

From:	Ramy Odeh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:45:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

RO

From:	Tony Berman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:54:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anthony Berman
Sunset District

From:	Dennis ODonnell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:56:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dennis ODonnell
Parkside

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Terika Tischer
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:57:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Terika Tischer Sunset District

From:	Christie Megill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:00:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Christie Megill** **Noe Valley**

From:	Tom OConnor
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:02:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth O'Connor
Sunset

From:	Jennifer Zahgkuni
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:08:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Zahgkuni
Sunset District/ United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my phone. Please pardon brevity and typos.

From:	Colleen Butler
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:12:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Colleen Butler
Russian Hill

From:	meagancuvo@gmail.com
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:16:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Happy Saint Patrick’s Day!

Sincerely,
Irene Meagan Cunningham
North Beach

From:	Nick Brunner
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:16:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nick Brunner
UICC

From:	maureen oshea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:19:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
maureen O'Shea
Sunnyside district San Francisco

From:	Patti Dillon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Note: IT MUST INCLUDE THE WHOLE SUNSET DISTRICT - YOU CAN FIND US EVERYWHERE IN THE SUNSET.

Sincerely,
Patricia Dillon
Sunset District - family in Sunset since 1904

From:	BRIAN MCCARTHY
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:24:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brian McCarthy
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stephen Martin-Pinto
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); arthur.koo@sfgov.org; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing th...
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:26:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Stephen Martin-Pinto
www.stephenmartinpinto.com

From:	Kathleen Tannous
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:32:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
kathleen tannous
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maureen Byrne
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:34:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maureen Byrne
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sherrie Ayers
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:37:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sherrie Ayers
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Teresa Cassidy
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:39:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Teresa Cassidy
UICC

From:	Daniel McNamara
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:39:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Daniel McNamara
Sunset District

From:	Jean Beatty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:45:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jean Beatty
Sunset District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	W.C. McDill
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Tricia Young
Sunset District Irish Cultural District

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maggie Stevenson
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 11:57:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maggie Stevenson
Comhaltas

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Anne Campbell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:28:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Campbell
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Dermot Barr
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:29:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dermot Barr
San Francisco

From:	Dominique
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:30:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community-home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Dominique Flores
Sunset District & United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Scott McDonald
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:32:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Scott McDonald
Golden Gate Heights

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stephen Kirwan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:34:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Stephen Kirwan
District 8

Sent from my iPhone

From: Eileen Sullivan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Sunset
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Eileen Sullivan
District 5

From:	Shannon O"Shea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:41:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Shannon O’Shea
Ingleside

From:	Anne Carew
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:48:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne C Carew
Sunset District United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Karen Mulligan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:48:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Mulligan
Sunset

Sent from my iPhone

From:	KATHLEEN WOOD
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:49:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathie Wood
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Maria Quinto Collins
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:49:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Maria Collins
United cultural center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeramiah Cadigan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:49:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Jeramiah Cadigan
United Irish Cultural Center

Jeramiah Cadigan

From:	Rebecca Lee
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:50:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Lee
Parkside Sunset

From: Brendan Dooley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Brendan Dooley
Subject: Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:50:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Brendan j dooley
gaelic athletic association

From:	Ted Lesher
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:50:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ted Lesher
Outer sunset / Parkside

From:	Liz Winters
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:50:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Winters
Alamo Square

From:	Patricia Shanahan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:51:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Patricia Shanahan
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Helen Walsh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:51:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Helen Walsh
Sunset District

iSent from the Event Horizon

From:	Molly Parsons McHugh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:53:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Thank you for your time!

Sincerely,
Molly McHugh
San Francisco Resident

From:	Lymer Denis SAN FRAN CG
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:53:20 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Le buíochas agus dea-mhéin,
Thanks and kind regards,

Denis Lymer | Economic & Technology Officer
Donncha Mac Liammóir | Oifigeach Eacnamaíoch agus Teicneolaíoch
Ard-Chonsalacht na hÉireann | *Consulate General of Ireland, One Post Street, Suite 2300, San Francisco, CA 94104*
☎ +1-415-638-4828| ✉ denis.lymer@dfa.ie | 💻 https://www.ireland.ie/en/usa/sanfrancisco/

Ard-Chonsalacht na hÉireann | San Francisco
Consulate General of Ireland | San Francisco

Sincerely,
Denis Lymer
Consulate General of Ireland, San Francisco

****
Email Disclaimer

Fógra Séanta Ríomhphoist

From:	Fionnuala McEvoy-Pecko
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:53:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Fionnuala McEvoy-Pecko
Sunset District

From:	Catherine Valentine
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:53:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Catherine Valentine
Westwood Highlands

From:	Bryan Lynch
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:55:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bryan Lynch

Bryan Lynch
Lynch Construction Inc.
415-519-7193

From:	Susan Shay
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:55:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Susan McGuire Shay
Sunset resident

Susan McGuire Shay (she/her/hers)
susanmshay@gmail.com
susanshay.com
917.535.3341 mobile

From:	Flaherty, Timothy M.
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:56:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Timothy M Flaherty
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Sydney Maxwell
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong's resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:57:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,

--
Sydney Maxwell

This email and any attachments are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that distribution of this email is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the email.

From:	Kerrie Sherrell Walsh
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:59:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kerrie Sherrell Walsh
Golden Gate Heights

From:	Danielle Bourhis
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 9:59:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District! The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community, home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. ***Let's give the next generation have a place to physically go to feel the legacy and be inspired to contribute.***

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Danielle Lawless Bourhis
Presidio heights, Sacramento Street business leadership

Danielle Bourhis
Danielle@thebarcodesf.com |
Presidio Heights, SF
PH: (415) 852-2100
www.thebarcodesf.com

From:	Ray Wilcox
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:01:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Ray Wilcox
Castro

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sarah Kenna Procter
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:01:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Sarah Kenna Procter
United Irish Cultural Center

From:	Kathleen Clarke
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:02:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Clarke
member of the Irish Cultural center

From: kevin mccarthy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS)
Subject: Irish Cultural District
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:02:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families

--
Kevin McCarthy

From:	Mark K6UFO Aaker
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:03:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, Mark Aaker Sunset

From:	Leslie Kelly
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:03:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Leslie Kelly
West Twin Peaks

Sent from my iPhone

From:	ROBERT FRIEDERANG
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:04:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Robert Friederang
United Irish Cultural Center

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Meaghan Delahunty
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Meaghan Delahunty
Forest Hill Extension

From:	Nancy Yalon
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:07:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Nancy Yalon
Sunset District

From:	Karen Brennan
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:09:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Karen Brennan
Sunset District

From:	Seema Haji
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:10:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District. The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing. San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy. I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco. Sincerely, **Seema Haji LAwrence Miralomar Park**

--

Seema Haji | Vice President, Customer Marketing @Splunk | Soccer mom to 3 Dragons
Email is good, but parcels & post are better.

Isn't it funny how a cold nose can warm up your life?
Adopt a pet @ the Humane Society of Silicon Valley or SPCA

From:	Bernadette
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:11:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Bernadette Bohan
United Irish Cultural Center - Sunset District

From:	Wendy Liu
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:11:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Wendy Liu
San Francisco

From:	AnneMarie Carr
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS)
Subject:	Please support Supervisor Wong"s resolution to establish the Irish Cultural District
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:11:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution expressing the City and County of San Francisco's intent to establish the Sunset Irish Cultural District.

The Irish and Irish American community has called San Francisco home since the Gold Rush era, enduring hardship, building institutions, and contributing to every corner of this city's civic, cultural, and political life. For generations, the Sunset District has been the heart of that community—home to the United Irish Cultural Center, traditional music and dance academies, Gaelic sporting clubs, and the families who have kept these living traditions alive and growing.

San Francisco has long recognized that its strength lies in the diversity of its communities and the depth of their roots. The Sunset Irish Cultural District would ensure that the Irish and Irish American community receives the same formal recognition and institutional support that other cultural communities across this city already enjoy.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and take this important step toward honoring a community that has given so much to San Francisco.

Sincerely,
Anne Marie Carr
Sunset district

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject:	Complaints against a Member of the Sheriffs Oversight Board
Date:	Thursday, March 19, 2026 4:43:00 PM
Attachments:	Request for Action Against a Sheriff"s Oversight Board Member for Breach of the City"s Code of Conduct.msg Fw Professional Standards and Board Communication Follow-up to Fridays Meeting.msg Discourteous Disrespectful Bullying of a City Officer by a City Officer.msg Discourteous Disrespectful Bullying of City Officer.msg

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the following four emails regarding complaints of a sitting member of the Sheriffs Oversight Board.

Given that the Board as a Whole is the appointing authority for this seat, the Clerk requested that I forward these to you.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From:	Christopher J Chow
To:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Isen, Carol (HRD)
Subject:	Discourteous, Disrespectful Bullying of City Officer
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:23:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board President and Supervisor Mandelman,

My name is Christopher Chow, I have been a resident of San Francisco since I was born in 1949 and a voter since 1970 when I turned 21 and broke into broadcasting as the first Asian American on-air TV reporter in San Francisco (KPIX CBS 5) and the first Asian American to win an Emmy Award for documentary in northern California ("Pastures of Plenty", 1972-73). I am a retired government worker having served at three levels: federal (public information coordinator, 1980 U.S. Census Bay Area region); state (public information officer, California Public Utilities Commission, 2008-2024); and city & county (commission secretary for the San Francisco Commission on the Environment and public outreach coordinator for the Department of the Environment, 1997-2000.)

Never in my years have I come across such a discourteous, disrespectful and uncalled for public bullying of a governmental commissioner or board member by a fellow member as has been inflicted upon Julie Soo of the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (two terms as its president previously) by William Palmer, current president.

The conduct, or rather ill-behavior, of Mr. Palmer as described by Ms. Soo in her letter to you last Thursday (March 12, 2026) clearly constitutes violation of San Francisco's Equitable, Fair, and Respectful Workplace Policy as enunciated by the Department of Human Resources and for which all SDOB members like all City officers and employees were to have signed an "acknowledgement of receipt and compliance with this Respect Policy." And presumably to have received training on that Policy. Did Mr. Palmer not sign such an acknowledgement of receiving the Policy and/or training and compliance thereof? And if he did, Mr. Palmer's reported actions and verbal outbursts demonstrate that he was not sincere in signing the acknowledgement, or that the statement of or training in that Policy did not take with Mr. Palmer and he does not really subscribe to the spirit or letter of the Policy. All of which indicates Mr. Palmer violated the Policy. And he should be removed from office as a consequence.

It would be the right thing to do.

Sincerely,

Christopher Chow

Christopher J Chow
379 12th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
415-298-7662

From:	Christopher J Chow
To:	Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS)
Cc:	Isen, Carol (HRD); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject:	Discourteous, Disrespectful, Bullying of a City Officer by a City Officer
Date:	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 2:37:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Walton,

My name is Christopher Chow, I have been a resident of San Francisco since I was born in 1949 and a voter since 1970 when I turned 21 and broke into broadcasting as the first Asian American on-air TV reporter in San Francisco (KPIX CBS 5) and the first Asian American to win an Emmy Award for documentary in northern California ("Pastures of Plenty", 1972-73). I am a retired government worker having served at three levels: federal (public information coordinator, 1980 U.S. Census Bay Area region); state (public information officer, California Public Utilities Commission, 2008-2024); and city & county (commission secretary for the San Francisco Commission on the Environment and public outreach coordinator for the Department of the Environment, 1997-2000.)

Never in my years have I come across such a discourteous, disrespectful and uncalled for public bullying of a governmental commissioner or board member by a fellow member as has been inflicted upon Julie Soo of the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (two terms as its president previously) by William Palmer, current president.

The conduct, or rather ill-behavior, of Mr. Palmer as described by Ms. Soo in her letter to you last Thursday (March 12, 2026) clearly constitutes violation of San Francisco's Equitable, Fair, and Respectful Workplace Policy as enunciated by the Department of Human Resources and for which all SDOB members like all City officers and employees were to have signed an "acknowledgement of receipt and compliance with this Respect Policy." And presumably to have received training on that Policy. Did Mr. Palmer not sign such an acknowledgement of receiving the Policy and/or training thereof? And if he did, Mr. Palmer's reported actions and verbal outbursts demonstrate that he was not sincere in signing the acknowledgement, or that the statement of or training in that Policy did not take with Mr. Palmer and he does not really subscribe to the spirit or letter of the Policy. All of which indicates Mr. Palmer violated the Policy. And he should be removed from office as a consequence.

It would be the right thing to do.

Respectfully,

Christopher Chow

Christopher J Chow
379 12th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
415-298-7662

From:	Julie Soo
To:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS)
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS)
Subject:	Fw: Professional Standards and Board Communication – Follow-up to Friday's Meeting
Date:	Monday, March 16, 2026 2:33:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FYI.

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Commissioner, San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (2021 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

Julie D. Soo
Senior Staff Counsel
Legal Division, Enforcement Bureau I
California Department of Insurance
(415) 538-4429
(510) 238-7830 FAX

PLEASE NOTE that Enforcement – San Francisco is now Enforcement – Oakland known as Enforcement Bureau I.
My new address effective February 24, 2020 is 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.
My direct phone line remains the same but please note the new fax number.

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Soo, Julie (SDA)
To: Julie Soo
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2026 at 05:01:04 PM PDT
Subject: Fw: Professional Standards and Board Communication – Follow-up to Friday's Meeting

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Member, San Francisco Sheriff's Oversight Board (2021 - present) / President (2023 - 2025)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

From: Leung, Dan (SDA)
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:32 AM
Subject: Professional Standards and Board Communication – Follow-up to Friday's Meeting

Hello Board Members,

I am writing to share some reflections on our last meeting to ensure we maintain the professional environment our oversight mission requires.

Regarding the update on recruitment, I want to clarify the communication chain to address the concerns raised about transparency. As the board-voted liaison for the recruitment firm, Member Soo was acting in her official capacity when she relayed the directive from the Mayor's Office. To be absolutely clear: Member Soo did not make any decisions regarding the pause in hiring. She was the recipient of a directive, which she then transparently relayed to the full board at the first available opportunity.

Regarding the accusation of non-transparency: Member Soo followed the most professional and legally sound path by bringing this update directly to the full board at a public meeting. It is unclear what difference it would have made if members had received this information privately before the meeting. The directive from the Mayor's Office was a factual update, not a negotiable item. Receiving the news a few hours earlier would not have changed the outcome; it only would have moved a public update into a private setting, which is the opposite of transparency.

The friction that occurred during the meeting was simply not okay. As a public body, the breakdown in decorum and the public reprimand of a member for fulfilling her designated duties was unacceptable and unprofessional. While I understand that passions run high, the management of the dais and the tone set from leadership must remain professional at all times. We are currently under intense scrutiny from the Commission Streamlining Task Force, and conduct like we saw on Friday provides direct "fuel" for those recommending changes to our board's structure.

To move forward productively and protect the integrity of the SDOB, I believe it is essential that we:

- Foster Leadership Security: There should be no insecurity regarding the flow of information. Member Soo in no way challenged the President's position; she fulfilled a role the board voted her into. We must trust our liaisons to be the point of contact without viewing it as a challenge to authority.
- The Duty of Professional Respect: It is the duty of the Chair to lead with respect toward all board members. Every colleague must feel safe to communicate without fear of public reprimand.
- Maintain Professional Decorum and Presence: As a public-facing body, our conduct —from our communication style to our professional attire—must remain above reproach to maintain the public's trust.
- Focus on Mandated Goals: Oversight of the Sheriff's Office and the search for an IG, our energy must stay on these operational priorities rather than personal agendas or grievances.

I have discussed these concerns with the Director of Commissions today to seek guidance on how

we can improve our internal dynamics. I look forward to a more collaborative and professional session at our next meeting.

photo

Dan Leung
Board Secretary, Sheriff's Department Oversight Board

Office of Sheriff's Inspector General
(415) 241-7711 (628) 652-1139
Located with the Department of Police Accountability
1 South Van Ness Ave. 8th Floor San Francisco, CA, 94103
www.sf.gov/sdob www.sf.gov/sfoig

IMPORTANT: The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential. They are intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and do not disclose the contents to anyone or make copies thereof.

Custom Button App

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail!

From:	Julie Soo
To:	Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS)
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Isen, Carol (HRD)
Subject:	Request for Action Against a Sheriff"s Oversight Board Member for Breach of the City"s Code of Conduct
Date:	Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:24:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Mandelman and Supervisor Walton / Rafael and Shamann,

I wish to file a formal complaint against William Palmer for his agressive and hostile toward me that has continued since December. He has been disrespectul and unprofessional in public settings during Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (SDOB) business. His conduct violates the the Equitable, Fair, and Respectful Workplace Policy set forth by the City's Department of Human Resources that is applicable to employees, commissioners, board members, and emcompassing those who participate in City Hall business.
https://sfdhr.org/sites/default/files/documents/Policies/Equitable-Fair-and-Respectful-Workplace-Policy.pdf

Regrettably, his inexplicable behavior has eroded the advancement of a still nascent Sheriff's Department Oversight Board that other members and I built since 2022 and over the last two terms while I served as president. Indeed, I supported Palmer as the next president in September and had offered support. According to our Board Secretary Dan Leung, he has ignored e-mails and he has failed to complete reports to the Sheriff's Office and the Board of Supervisors as required under the duties outlined in Charter section 4.137 that governs Sheriff's Oversight. He has also failed to complete meaningful agendas and arrange for required trainings so that newer members are adquately brough up to speed.

In December, at a joint agency meeting at County Jail #2 to discuss steps toward a thorough investigation of the alleged mass strip searches of women, Palmer unexpectedly showed up after I and two other female SDOB members were seated in the conference room. I had been specifically tapped by the Human Rights Commission, Department on the Status of Women, and Commission on the Status of Women to be present because I had previously served 12 years on the Commisison on the Status of Women and had served on SDOB since 2021, with two terms as president.

I let Palmer know that I had been specifically invited and that with the other women SDOB members who had acknowledged attendance with the Board Secretary, his presence constituted an unlawful quorum at the meeting. When he stated that he was staying, I got up to leave the conference room. I wanted the two other women members to go through a jail tour because they had not previously engaged with the Sheriff's command staff and a tour.

Palmer then followed me. In the presence of Human Rights Commission Director Mawuli Tugbenyoh, Department on the Status of Women Director Diana Oliva

Aroche, Department of Police Accountability Chief Attorney Marshall Khine, and Sheriff's Office Chief of Staff John Ramirez, Palmer aggressively shouted, "I don't like your negative energy. As president, I will decide who attends the meeting." He had ignored prior e-mails and failed to respond after the Board Secretary had confirmed the three women members who would be attending. Moreover, Palmer inappropriately invited a reporter to the meeting who was later asked to leave the conference room and was informed that she could not be part of the formal joint agency tour and proceedings.

I had taken time off from work to attend that meeting but stayed at the jail because the Chief John Ramirez indicated that there would be a debriefing following the tour and he would speak with me afterwards. Sheriff Miyamoto later had word dispatched to me that the debriefing would not take place on the ground floor conference room as planned becauses Palmer had inappropriately invited a reporter who was still present.

The most recent hostile episode was captured on SFGovTV at our meeting on Friday, March 6 at the link below:
Sheriff's Department Oversight Board
I am now pushed to file a formal comlaint. My reputation is at stake but more imporantly, the relationships that I and other SDOB members have established with the community, particularly families impacted by the justice system and individuals in re-entry, and collaborating agencies stand to be harmed. Our credibility has been eroded with the public at large.

Palmer's hostility starts after my presentation at agenda 4. You can view the SFGovTV video at the 2 hour, 21 minute mark until the end. After I left the dais, I also tried to make a comment as part of general public comment. I could not until the Deputy City Attorney told William Palmer to cease his harassment and to try to speak over me.

Approriately, the Mayor's Office has put the search for an Inspector General on pause. Not only is the current budget an issue, but I believe that the disruption of the Board dynamics impedes SDOB from finding a person who would be willing to serve under yet a secondary difficult condition. As with the previous search, I was voted to be SDOB's liaiason to the Department of Human Resources. I merely conveyed the message of pausing the IG seach in my report to the Board on March 6 and for the benefit of the majority of the members who are new, I provided background of the prior search and how the inaugural Inspector General was selected and seated.

William Palmer's behavior is unexcusable and unprofessional. Further, his neglect of duty cannot be ignored. I am compelled to file this formal complaint. I believe that the harm deserves removal from his SDOB seat.

I appreciate your timely attention to this matter.

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗

(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Commissioner, San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board (2021 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

Julie D. Soo
Senior Staff Counsel
Legal Division, Enforcement Bureau I
California Department of Insurance
(415) 538-4429
(510) 238-7830 FAX

PLEASE NOTE that Enforcement – San Francisco is now Enforcement – Oakland known as Enforcement Bureau I.
My new address effective February 24, 2020 is 1901 Harrison Street, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.
My direct phone line remains the same but please note the new fax number.