File No. <u>170190</u>

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_7 Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date February 22, 2017

**Board of Supervisors Meeting** 

Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### **Cmte Board**

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application
	Public Correspondence
	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
$\exists$	

Completed by:	Derek Evans	Date February 17, 2017
Completed by:		Da <u>te</u>

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file. -

7

-

\_\_\_\_\_

Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 (415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-516317 JAN	
Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, 8 Name of Board, Commission, Committee, or Task Force: Ethics Co	
	District: 7
Seat # or Category (If applicable): Name: Quentin L. Kopp	
Home Address: Club Drive	Zip: 94132
Home Phone: Occupation: N.A.	
Work Phone: 415-681-5555 Employer: Self	
380 West Portal Ave, Suite F, San Francisco, CA	94127
Business Address: Business E-Mail: quentinlkopp@gmail.com Home E-Mail: N.A.	
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commission the Charter must consist of electors (registered voters) of the Ci San Francisco. For certain other bodies, the Board of Superviso residency requirement.	ity and County of
Check All That Apply:	
Resident of San Francisco: Yes E No D If No, place of residence:	
Registered Voter in San Francisco: Yes 🔳 No 🗆 If No, where registe	ored:
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your or represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, type and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and Co Francisco:	diversity in es of disabilities,
I am a 62-year San Francisco resident, age 88, Jewish-American son of has resided in Pacific Heights and North Beach as a renter, and Richmo as a homeowner. I have resided since January, 1973 in the Lakeshore a 61-year member of Congregation Beth Israel-Judea on Brotherhood V War U.S. Air Force veteran of service as a First Lieutenant in the Office Investigations and Judge Advocate-General Corps, 1952-1954. In 1967 the Charter Revision Committee and served two years. I am also co-cha Irish-Israeli-Italian Society. I am married and have three children.	ond and Outer Sunset neighborhood and am Way and a Korean of Special 7, I was appointed to

### Business and/or professional experience:

San Francisco trial lawyer, 1956-1998, and partner in Kopp & DiFranco, 1975-1998, until appointment to the California Superior Court effective January 2, 1999. President of the Barristers Club of San Francisco in 1959 and the State Conference of Barristers in 1962; a Legal Aid Society, San Francisco Bar Association, Lawyers' Club Board of Directors Member and ABA House of Delegates member from 1959 until 1965 and an American Arbitration Association arbitrator since 2010.

#### **Civic Activities:**

San Francisco Board of Supervisors Member, 1972-1986; Democratic County Central Committee; Aid to Retarded Children Citizens Board Member and President; California State Senate, 1986-1998; B.C.D.C., 1972-1978; BART Board, 1973-1974; Bay Area Air Quality Maintenance District, M.T.C., 1977-1986 and President, 1985-1985; Golden Gate Bridge District Board of Directors, 1977-1986; California Superior Court, 1999-2010; President, Korean War Memorial Foundation, 2013-present; California First Amendment Coalition Board of Directors, 2014-present; Jewish News Weekly Board of Directors, 2014-present.

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes E No D

Appointments confirmed by the Board of Supervisors require an appearance before the Rules Committee. Once your application is received, the Rules Committee Clerk will contact you when a hearing is scheduled. (Please submit your application 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Applicant's Signature: (required)

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electron (signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: \_\_\_\_\_ Term Expires: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Seat was Vacated: \_\_\_\_\_\_

CALIFORNIA FORM 700	STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS	Date Initial Filing Received
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	COVER PAGE	
Please type or print in ink.		
VAME OF FILER	entin Lewis	(MIDDLE)
1. Office, Agency, or Court		
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)	ien Member App Your Position Ve, & BA	licant for
▶ If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an	n attachment. (Do not use acronyms) 78 AVK	11 Term J
Agency:	Position:	
2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least on	e box)	
State	Judge or Court Commissioner (Sta	atewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County	County of	
City of San Francis	<i>5CO</i> □ Other	
3. Type of Statement (Check at least one bo	ox)	
Annual: The period covered is January 1, 20 December 31, 2016.	16, through Leaving Office: Date Left (Check one)	]]
The period covered is/ December 31, 2016.	leaving office.	y 1, 2016, through the date of
Assuming Office: Date assumed/	/O The period covered is the date of leaving office.	/, through
Candidate: Election year	and office sought, if different than Part 1:	
. Schedule Summary (must complete)	) ► Total number of pages including this cover pages	ge:
Schedules attached		
Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule at Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule at Schedule B - Real Property – schedule at	tached Schedule D - Income - Gifts - schedule	attached
-or-		,
□ <b>None</b> - No reportable interests on any	y schedule	
b. Verification		
MAILING ADDRESS STREET (Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document) 980 DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (445) 8 - 5555	CITY STATE San Francisco, Ca, E-MAIL ADDRESS Guentín (Ropp & gmo	ZIP CODE 9H12T Aul. Com
herein and in any attached schedules is true and co		whende the morthation contained
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws	of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	$O_{1}$
Date Signed February	Signature	Hoff
(month, day, year)	(File the originally signed stateme	

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

SCHEDU Investm Stocks, Bonds, and (Ownership Interest is Do not attach brokerage o	CALIFORNIA FORM / UU FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION A MENDMENT Less Than 10%)
<ul> <li>NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY</li> <li>Amengas</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>FAIR MARKET VALUE</li> <li>\$2,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>\$10,001 - \$100,000</li> <li>\$100,001 - \$1,000,000</li> <li>\$100,001 - \$1,000,000</li> <li>NATURE OF INVESTMENT</li> <li>Stock</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Other</li> <li>(Describe)</li> <li>Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499</li> <li>Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> </ul>	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY Ompages Jiversified Holdings M.L.P. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other (Describe) Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499 O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: 
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
Comments:	Filer's Signature

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-1 FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

SCHEDU	
Investm Stocks, Bonds, and	FAIR FOLITICAL FRACTICES COMMISSION
(Ownership Interest is	Less Than 10%)
Do not attach brokerage o	r financial statementsZ -
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS Dil Draduction	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY <u>Hercucy</u> <u>Apital</u> , <u>Inc</u> GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS <u>Hinance</u>
FAIR MARKET VALUE           \$10,000           \$10,001 - \$10,000           \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000         \$100,001 - \$100,000         Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other	NATURE OF INVESTMENT  Stock  Other (Describe)  Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	A line of the context of the co
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	//_16//_16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY <u>General Blediac Co.</u> GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS <u>Electrical Products</u> FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$100,000 Over \$1,000,000	NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY     And Adding Bridge Capital, Inc- GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS     FAIR MARKET VALUE     \$2,000 - \$10,000     \$10,001 - \$100,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,000     \$100,001 - \$1,000,000     \$100,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499 O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
//_16//16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	//_16//_16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY <u>Encroplus</u> Corp. CAT GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS <u>Encropy</u> <u>Production</u> FAIR MARKET VALUE	Filer's Verification Print Name Gradie Briddle Happing RETTER Office, Agency or Court
□ \$2,000 - \$10,000 □ \$10,000 □ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000	Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual Assuming Leaving Annual Candidate
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other	I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: //_16/0_/_16 ACQUIREDDISPOSED	California that the foregoing is true and correct. Date Signed (month, day, year) Filer's Signature
Comments:	

-

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-1

SCHEDU Investr Stocks, Bonds, an (Ownership Interest i Do not attach brokerage	CALIFORNIA FORMI UUFAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSIONd Other Interests s Less Than 10%)
<ul> <li>NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>FAIR MARKET VALUE</li> <li>\$2,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>\$10,001 - \$100,000</li> <li>\$100,001 - \$1,000,000</li> <li>\$100,001 - \$1,000,000</li> <li>NATURE OF INVESTMENT</li> <li>Stock</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Other</li> <li>(Describe)</li> <li>Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499</li> <li>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> <li>IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:</li> <li></li></ul>	<ul> <li>NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>Managed Interface for the stand for the s</li></ul>
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS FAIR MARKET VALUE Solver MCSAmod Gudden FAIR MARKET VALUE Stock Stock Structure \$100,000 NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock Other (Describe) Describe) Describe Oncome Received of \$0 - \$499 Oncome Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: //_16//_16	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: //_16//_16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY         Hard Cord Hoating Rate Fand Class A         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Juncestment France         FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Filer's Verification         Print Name         Office, Agency         Office, Agency         or Court         Statement Type         2016/2017 Annual
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock D Other <u>Bonds</u> (Describe) Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499 Official of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: //_16//_16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED Comments:	Date Signed (month, day, year)

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-1

SCHEDU Investr Stocks, Bonds, an (Ownership Interest Do not attach brokerage	CALIFORNIA FORMI UUmentsFair Political Practices commissionid Other InterestsA MENDMENTis Less Than 10%)A MENDMENT
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTIPP GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GEN	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS Real estate ouncrship FAIR MARKET VALUE \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 NATURE OF INVESTMENT Stock ☐ Other Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499 O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: 	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:        /_16
<ul> <li>NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY</li> <li>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS</li> <li>GANALIA</li> <li>FAIR MARKET VALUE</li> <li>\$2,000 - \$10,000</li> <li>\$10,001 - \$100,000</li> <li>\$100,001 - \$100,000</li> <li>Over \$1,000,000</li> <li>NATURE OF INVESTMENT</li> <li>Stock Other (Describe)</li> <li>Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499</li> <li>O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)</li> <li>IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:</li> <li>J_16</li> <li>J_16</li> <li>DISPOSED</li> </ul>	Filer's Verification         Print Name         Office, Agency         or Court         Statement Type         2016/2017 Annual         Annual         Candidate         I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.         I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.         Date Signed         (month, day, year)         Filer's Signature
Comments:	

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-1 EDDC Aduitas Emaile aduitas Oferes as ant

# SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION A M E N D M E N T

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests (Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
May estate investment trus	
- S2,000 - \$10,000 □ \$10,000 - \$10,000 □ \$10,000 - \$1,000,000 □ Over \$1,000,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	
Stock Other	NATURE OF INVESTMENT           Stock         Other
(Describe)	(Describe)
Officome Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
16 ta 12 15	/ 16/ 16
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	ACQUIRED DISPOSED
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
FAIR MARKET VALUE	
\$2,000 - \$10,000\$10,001 - \$100,000 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000Over \$1,000,000	\$2,000 - \$10,000         \$10,001 - \$100,000           \$100,001 - \$1,000,000         Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	NATURE OF INVESTMENT
(Describe)	(Describe) ☐ Partnership ◯ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
//_16//_16 ACQUIRED DISPOSED	//_16//_16 ACQUIREDDISPOSED
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	Filer's Verification
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	Print Name Wentin L. PAP
	Office, Agency Black Commission
FAIR MARKET VALUE	or CourtOTTCS_CONTROLOGIC
\$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000	Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual Assuming Leaving
S100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000	(yr) Annual Candidate
NATURE OF INVESTMENT	I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have
Stock Other (Describe)	reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.
Partnership O Income Received of \$0 - \$499	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	California that the foregoing is true and correct.
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	Date Signed
/ <u>/ 16</u> / <u>/ 16</u>	(month, day, year)
ACQUIRED DISPOSED	Filer's Signature
Commenter	
Comments:	

## SCHEDULE A-2 Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

AMENDMENT

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

► 1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	▶ 4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Name 380 W Portal Ave Suite F. Can Francisco	Check one box:	
Address (Business Address Acceptable)	Name of Business Entity, if Investment, <u>or</u> Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	Description of Business Activity <u>or</u> City or Other Precise Location of Real Property	
FAIR MARKET VALUE       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         \$0 - \$1,999       _/_/16         \$2,000 - \$10,000       _/_/16         \$10,001 - \$100,000       ACQUIRED         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         \$2,000 - \$10,000      /16         \$10,001 - \$100,000      /16         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000      /16         Over \$1,000,000       ACQUIRED	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	NATURE OF INTEREST	
2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)         \$0 - \$499       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$500 - \$1,000       OVER \$100,000         \$1,001 - \$10,000         > 3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)         None       or	Leasehold Other Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached Comments:	
Filer's Verification       Print Name       Quency or Court		
Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual	uming Leaving Candidate	
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have revi contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.	ewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information	
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ca	lifornia that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Date Signed/ (month, day, year) Filer's Si	ignature	

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-2 FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

# SCHEDULE B Interests in Real Property (Including Rental Income)

CALIFORNIA FORM FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION AMENDMENT

San Francisco, Co. 94117	CITY
FAIR MARKET VALUE       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         \$2,000 - \$10,000	FAIR MARKET VALUE       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:         \$2,000 - \$10,000       ///16         \$10,001 - \$100,000       ///16         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       ACQUIRED         Over \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000
NATURE OF INTEREST       Wife's Separate         Ownership/Deed of Trust       Easement         Leasehold	NATURE OF INTEREST
Yrs. remaining Other	Yrs. remaining Other
IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED	IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
□ \$0 - \$499 □ \$500 - \$1,000 □ \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.	SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
	lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows:
business on terms available to members of the public	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER*	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER* ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual Assuming Leaving
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER* ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (V) Annual Candidate
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER* ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (yr) Annual I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER* ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (yr) Annual Candidate I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete
business on terms available to members of the public         loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin         NAME OF LENDER*         ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)         BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER         INTEREST RATE       TERM (Months/Years)        %       None	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (yr) Annual I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the informatic contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete
business on terms available to members of the public         loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin         NAME OF LENDER*         ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)         BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER         INTEREST RATE         Years)         Years)         Years)         HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (yr) Annual I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complet I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State California that the foregoing is true and correct.
business on terms available to members of the public loans received not in a lender's regular course of busin NAME OF LENDER* ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years) % None HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	without regard to your official status. Personal loans and ness must be disclosed as follows: Filer's Verification Print Name Office, Agency or Court Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual (W) Annual Candidate I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Complete the statement of the state of the s

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. B FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

# SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business **Positions** (Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION AMENDMENT

► 1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Law practice	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED       No Income - Business Position Only         \$5500 - \$1,000       \$1,001 - \$10,000         \$10,001 - \$100,000       OVER \$100,000	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
Loan repayment	Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
Land Dractice	(Describe)
Other (Describe)	(Describe)
Comments:/	5
	ons, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit terms available to members of the public without regard to your official
NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	%  None SECURITY FOR LOAN
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	None Personal residence
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property Street address
S500 - \$1,000	City
\$1,001 - \$10,000 	Guarantor
\$10,001 - \$100,000	Other
OVER \$100,000	(Describe)
Filer's Verification	
Print Name <u>lentin</u> Office, A	gency or Court_Childs Ammussion_
Statement Type 2016/2017 AnnualAnnual Assu	
(yr) I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.	reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Ca	reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information

FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

### SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts



► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE .
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
/\$	/ \$
\$	/\$
\$	/\$
▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
\$	/\$
\$	/\$
\$	/\$
▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)	Filer's Verification
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	Print Name
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	Statement Type 2016/2017 Annual Assuming Leaving
DATE (mm/dd/yy) VALUE DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	Annual Candidate
/\$	I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.
/\$	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
/\$	Date Signed
Comments:	

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. D FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov



www.commoncause.org

January 31, 2017

The Honorable Ahsha Safaí City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

### **RE:** Appointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission – Quentin Kopp – OPPOSE

Dear Chair Safaí,

On behalf of California Common Cause and our members, I am writing to **oppose** Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission. Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Commission.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns, a core of the Ethics Commission's responsibility. Notwithstanding his vague response to this committee implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing, Mr. Kopp recently decided to serve as a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging a new state law, Senate Bill 1107, which allows all cities and the state to create public financing systems. This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system.

### Kopp's Law and Opposition to San Francisco's Local Autonomy

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. Subsequently, the City of Los Angeles, joined by San Francisco in an amicus brief, successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case and sought to deprive San Francisco and other charter cities from having autonomy to create public financing systems. San Francisco's model public financing system is only possible because of this hard-won exception to Kopp's law.

Unfortunately, the court's exemption extended only to charter cities. For nearly thirty years, Kopp's law has prevented the state, counties, general law cities, and all other local governments from enacting public financing.



To address this issue, last year the Legislature passed Senate Bill 1107, which allows the state and local governments to enact public financing programs that meet specified criteria of transparency and nondiscrimination. The San Francisco Ethics Commission voted to support the bill on April 25, 2016, and San Francisco's State Legislation Committee followed suit on May 11. SB 1107 received broad and bipartisan support, including unanimous support from San Francisco's legislative delegation, and was signed into law by Governor Brown.

On Sept. 6, the Board of Supervisors appointed Mr. Kopp to the Ethics Commission. On Sept. 9, Mr. Kopp personally wrote to Governor Brown to urge him to veto SB 1107.

After Governor Brown signed the bill, however, Mr. Kopp went even further. In December 2016, he joined the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association as a plaintiff to sue the state to invalidate the law. In doing so, he took a public and active position directly at odds with the Commission he at that point sat on. His filing of this suit also eliminates any doubt that, contrary to his representations before this committee, he still opposes public financing just as much as he did thirty years ago and believes that local governments should not be allowed to enact laws like San Francisco's public financing program.

### Kopp's Positions Contrary to the Ethics Commission's Duties

When Mr. Kopp came before the Rules Committee on July 28 last year, Supervisor Mar asked him if his views had changed regarding public financing. In addition to his evasive answers to the Rules Committee when asked how he might respond to efforts to change or weaken public financing in San Francisco, he stated that he would "evaluate the arguments … and make a decision accordingly. I'm not going to let my feelings in the commencement of the Proposition 73 drafting in 1988 control or affect my evaluation, theoretically, in 2016 or 2017 or '18 of public financing in San Francisco." He made similar statements to Common Cause, saying he had "no position" on public financing. Based on these and other comments, we did not take a stance on his application at that time.

However, his actions since demonstrate that he has not, in fact, changed his opinion and continues to oppose and undermine public campaign financing.

Mr. Kopp must not be reappointed to the San Francisco Ethics Commission. While we welcome a healthy exchange of views on the Commission, he has placed himself at odds with the Ethics Commission and its mission to implement and enforce San Francisco's public financing system.

The Ethics Commission's enforcement and policy responsibilities should not be in the hands of someone who is actively seeking to undermine the Commission's mission and San Francisco's policy choice to lift residents' voices over the influence of money in politics. We strongly urge you to reject his application for re-appointment to a full six-year term.

Sincerely,

Helen Grieco Northern California Organizer California Common Cause

> California Common Cause 1005 12<sup>th</sup> Street | Suite C | Sacramento, CA 95814 Sacramento | Los Angeles | San Francisco | San Diego

### Evans, Derek

From: Sent:	LARRY BUSH <sfwtrail@mac.com> Monday, February 13, 2017 11:23 AM</sfwtrail@mac.com>
To:	Evans, Derek; Yee, Norman (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS)
Cc:	Breed, London (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Farrell, Mark (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Aaron Peskin; Hilary.Ronen@sfgov.org; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS)
Subject:	Friends of Ethics Endorses Judge Kopp for Ethics appointment

Hon. Ahsha Safai, Chair

Hon. Sandra Fewer, vice chair

Hon. Norman Yee, member

Rules Committee, Board of Supervisors

### ETHICS COMMISSION APPOINTMENT HON. QUENTIN KOPP -- SUPPORT

Dear Rules Committee Members:

As the active members of Friends of Ethics, we write in support of the reappointment of Judge Quentin Kopp to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Friends of Ethics include a former Ethics Commission chair, vice chair, and commissioners whose terms span almost from the Commission's inception, a past foreperson of the San Francisco Civil Grand Jury, chairs of the Civil Grand Jury investigation committee on Ethics in 2012 and 2014, additional former Civil Grand Jury members, past San Francisco Common Cause coordinators with two decades of leadership, and the newspaper columnist whose opinion article prompted the creation of the Ethics Commission.

Last year several of us endorsed Judge Kopp for the vacant seat on Ethics. This year, having closely followed the Commission's work and Commissioner Kopp's involvement, Friends of Ethics voted without a single opposing vote to endorse Commissioner Kopp for a full term.

Prior to Judge Kopp's service on the Commission, there had never been a member with the experience of campaigning and serving in local and state elective office. The expectation was that he would bring unique experience and insight that had been missing from the Commission since it began more than twenty years ago. His service as a California Appeals Court judge additionally adds value to the Commission's deliberations.

We also recognized, as have many others, that he has a keen mind matched with a commitment to advancing the mission of the Ethics Commission.

Friends of Ethics since our inception a decade ago knew the Commission was, in the kindest of assessments, slumbering. What the voters established to set the pace for our city and even the state in clean government instead was in retreat. Our polling showed the public confidence in the effectiveness of the Ethics Commission was so low as to hardly register.

Since joining the Commission, Judge Kopp has exceeded our hopes and is a strong advocate for advancing the policies and practices needed. With a new Executive Director, Leeann Pelham, and Commission President Paul

Renne and members Daina Chiu, Beverly Hayon and Peter Keane, the Ethics Commission is engendering greater public trust. In November 2016, Ethics placed a measure on the ballot that received the support of 87% of San Francisco voters, the highest support of any measure on the city or state ballot in that election. It was a full twenty percent higher than the Ethics measure voters approved a year earlier.

Also all eleven Supervisors endorsed it, an unprecedented unanimity on an ethics reform. Commissioner Kopp, we believe, is a factor in this high level of public acceptance.

Today the Commission is moving forward with new resources, a strong agenda that involves the public and a visionary Executive Director. We anticipate the Commission considering and adopting stronger transparency, greater voter-friendly disclosures, dealing with dark money and pay-to-play – all factors that undermine public confidence in city decisions.

Commissioner Kopp gives heft and provides strong value to the Commission's work at this turning point. Our support for his reappointment is without reservation.

We hope that our record of strong local involvement on Ethics issues, our work on behalf of fair and ethical policies and practices, and our direct experience through service on the Commission and the various Civil Grand Juries and good government efforts will be given consideration by you of our strong endorsement for Quentin L. Kopp's appointment to a full term on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely,

Larry Bush, member, 2014 Civil Grand Jury Ethics investigation committee

Robert Dockendorff, Ethics Commissioner, 1996-2000

Allegra Fortunati, Civil Grand Jury (2011-2012, 2014-2015)

Hulda Garfolo, chair, 2012 Civil Grand Jury Ethics investigation

Joseph Kelly, member, 2014 Civil Grand Jury Ethics Committee investigation

Charles Marstaller, SF Common Cause Coordinator (1995-2000)

Paul Melbostad, Chair, Ethics Commission (2002-2003), vice chair (2000-2001) member (1996-2003), Member, Board of Appeals (1988-1992)

Robert Planthold, Vice Chair, Ethics Commission (2002-2003), Chair (2003-2004)

Elena Schmid, Foreperson, 2014 Civil Grand Jury, Jury Ethics Committee investigation

Robert van Ravenswaay, Chair, 2014 Civil Grand Jury Ethics Committee Investigation

Mr. Jeffrey Hurwitz 582 42nd Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-2531 (888) 555-1212

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Jeffrey Hurwitz

Mr. Ramon Sender 3922 23rd St San Francisco, CA 94114-3303 (415) 282-0669

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Ramon Sender

Mr. Michael Blanos 988 Howard St Unit 810 San Francisco, CA 94103-6314 (415) 295-5118

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Michael Blanos

Mr. Ivan Rhudick 251 5th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-2307 (510) 414-4500

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Ivan Rhudick

Mr. James Masi 300 Berry St Unit 602 San Francisco, CA 94158-1663 (201) 914-2890

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. James Masi

Ms. Judith Grogan-Shorb 320 3rd Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-2403 (415) 387-1288

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Judith Grogan-Shorb

Mr. David Levy 2018 Taylor St San Francisco, CA 94133-2660 (415) 269-6636

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. David Levy

Ms. Francesca Wander 530 22nd Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-3016 (415) 386-1280

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Francesca Wander

Ms. sarah dorrance 2956 22nd St San Francisco, CA 94110-3333 (111) 111-1111

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission. We want someone in office that respects our unique San Francisco culture, identity and our liberal leanings.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law. I think we can assume he has strong opinions on public financing.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. sarah dorrance

Ms. Jennie Hansen 459 Lakeshore Dr San Francisco, CA 94132-1121 (415) 720-1688

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

I oppose having Mr. Kopp's continued appointment to the SF Ethics Commission. Now more than ever, we must advance integrity in our election/appointment of officials to public service. It's a slippery slope to turn our heads to people's core history and track records. If Mr. Kopp has really changed his earlier positions, he should be clear and visably articulate of that new stance. It is telling that he was less than forthright in his recent vocalization. Caveat Emptor!

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Jennie Hansen

Mr. Stuart Fox 2514 34th Ave San Francisco, CA 94116-2801 (415) 731-5955

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Katy Tang Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Tang,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Stuart Fox

Dr. Nicole C. Raeburn 116 Wool St San Francisco, CA 94110-5552 (415) 206-1787

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Nicole C. Raeburn

Ms. lu carpenter 121 Devonshire Way San Francisco, CA 94131-1022 (415) 731-5916

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Elected officials need to be accountable to the people they serve and not only rich donors/corporations. This is fundamental to democracy.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. lu carpenter

Mr. Jeffrey Pekrul 180 Landers St # 1 San Francisco, CA 94114-1723 (415) 484-0395

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Jeffrey Pekrul

Mr. Thomas Reeve 1229 Rhode Island St San Francisco, CA 94107-3245 (858) 335-1447

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Thomas Reeve

Dr. david donner 765 Portola St San Francisco, CA 94129-2234 (415) 345-9942

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. david donner

Mr. Rufus Browning 1406 18th Ave San Francisco, CA 94122-3409 (510) 292-7284

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Rufus Browning

Mr. Greg Pennington 798 Post St Apt 500 San Francisco, CA 94109-6119 (415) 441-1128

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Greg Pennington

Mr. Timothy Gilmore 930 Post St Apt 14 San Francisco, CA 94109-5858 (415) 922-5135

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Timothy Gilmore

Mr. James White 3515 Fillmore St Apt 104 San Francisco, CA 94123-2126 (415) 308-7323

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. James White

Ms. vicki leidner 770 Shotwell St San Francisco, CA 94110-2612 (415) 648-5050

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. vicki leidner

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mrs. Holly Holman 1160 Pine St San Francisco, CA 94109-5161 (415) 885-6466

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Holly Holman

Ms. Carrell McCarthy 801 Chestnut St San Francisco, CA 94133-2245 (415) 846-1233

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Carrell McCarthy

Mr. Dennis Presson 1560 Sacramento St Apt C San Francisco, CA 94109-3899 (415) 441-6845

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Dennis Presson

Ms. Amy Williams 1 Saint Francis Pl Apt 3804 San Francisco, CA 94107-1326 (864) 420-8503

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Amy Williams

Ms. Lynne Preston 638 Rhode Island St Apt A San Francisco, CA 94107-2688 (415) 821-7845

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Lynne Preston

Mr. Allen Wilson 482 23rd Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-3018 (415) 420-1193

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Allen Wilson

Mr. Otto Stillwachs 2632 Baker St San Francisco, CA 94123-3802 (415) 563-6340

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Otto Stillwachs

Mr. Yefim Maizel 5025 Diamond Heights Blvd San Francisco, CA 94131-1621 (415) 333-3333

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Yefim Maizel

Ms. Joyce Lavey 593 Potrero Ave San Francisco, CA 94110-7412 (415) 431-6143

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Joyce Lavey

Ms. geraldine caldarola 401 Harrison St # 17b San Francisco, CA 94105-2799 (415) 470-0548

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

In this new Trumpian age, we must protest nominations/appointments of individuals whose record shows they oppose the very philosophy of the commissions they seek membership in.

To reappoint Mr. Kopp to the San Francisco Ethics Commission given his opposition to public funding of elections, surely rises to this Trumpian level.

We must get money out of our electoral system and our public ethics.

Vote NO on Quentin Kopp's reappointment

Sincerely, Ms. geraldine caldarola

Ms. Beth Pewther 80 Bronte St San Francisco, CA 94110-6205 (415) 826-2952

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Beth Pewther

Mr. John McVeigh 1348 Filbert St San Francisco, CA 94109-1724 (562) 257-8567

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. John McVeigh

Mr. Michael Kemper 1388 California St # 404a San Francisco, CA 94109-4915 (415) 776-5657

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

It seems Kopp has an ethics problem himself. How could an opponent of the Ethics Commission be a member of that commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Michael Kemper

Mrs. Melissa Ambrose 674 Precita Ave San Francisco, CA 94110-4820 (415) 821-2929

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Melissa Ambrose

Ms. Marcia Jarmel 663 7th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-3806 (415) 203-5910

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Marcia Jarmel

Mr. Terry Norbury 115 Terrace Dr San Francisco, CA 94127-1529 (415) 661-3228

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Terry Norbury

Ms. joyce r. farber 2874 Jackson St San Francisco, CA 94115-1146 (415) 346-8461

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. joyce r. farber

Mr. James Hagler 70 Belcher St San Francisco, CA 94114-1108 (415) 846-4033

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. James Hagler

Ms. Lisa Hern 114 Wool St San Francisco, CA 94110-5552 (408) 596-1008

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Lisa Hern

Ms. S Wheeler San Francisco San Francisco, CA 94123 (555) 555-5555

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. S Wheeler

Ms. S Wheeler San Francisco San Francisco, CA 94123 (555) 555-5555

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. S Wheeler

Mr. Varun Almaula 1090 Noe St San Francisco, CA 94114-3340 (415) 968-9379

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Varun Almaula

Mr. Jorge A Portillo 115 Blanken Ave San Francisco, CA 94134-2406 (415) 467-6147

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Jorge A Portillo

Mr. Jon Berkeley 29 Douglass St San Francisco, CA 94114-1407 (415) 755-7275

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Jon Berkeley

Ms. Nancy Nielsen 17 Mabrey Ct Apt 1808 San Francisco, CA 94124-2485 (415) 308-3412

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Nancy Nielsen

Mr. Donald Dodge 300 Caselli Ave San Francisco, CA 94114-2325 (415) 621-7274

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Donald Dodge

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Carl Stein 374 Guerrero St Apt 5 San Francisco, CA 94103-5726 (415) 864-2275

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Carl Stein

Mr. Damien Shulock 1125 18th St Apt 3 San Francisco, CA 94107-2957 (415) 282-2835

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

He doesn't sound like a good match, so please choose someone else!

Sincerely, Mr. Damien Shulock

Ms. Linda Weiner 72 Gates St San Francisco, CA 94110-5656 (415) 282-8871

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Linda Weiner

Ms. Janna Mancini 1225 Pine St Apt 6 San Francisco, CA 94109-4945 (917) 902-2737

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Janna Mancini

Mr. Yefim Maizel 5025 Diamond Heights Blvd # S San Francisco, CA 94131-1621 (415) 333-3333

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Yefim Maizel

Mrs. Barbara Perea 450 West Portal Ave San Francisco, CA 94127-1407 (415) 242-1263

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Barbara Perea

Ms. MARGARET MARIE MOSHER 3548 18th St Apt 8 San Francisco, CA 94110-1635 (415) 522-0686

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. MARGARET MARIE MOSHER

Ms. Shannon Schneble 1303 Cortland Ave San Francisco, CA 94110-5710 (415) 297-5480

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Shannon Schneble

Mr. Kyle Da Silva 1415 Lincoln Way San Francisco, CA 94122-2018 (415) 966-7770

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Kyle Da Silva

Dr. Jacob Perea 450 West Portal Ave San Francisco, CA 94127-1407 (415) 242-1263

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Jacob Perea

Ms. Jean Mont-Eton 4333 Ulloa St San Francisco, CA 94116-2068 (415) 664-4103

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Katy Tang Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Tang,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Jean Mont-Eton

Mr. Robert Hutchinson 41 18th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-1319 (415) 752-2522

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Robert Hutchinson

Ms. Jennifer Willis 40 Fillmore St San Francisco, CA 94117-3516 (415) 555-1212

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Jennifer Willis

Ms. Monica Nolan 706 9th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-3708 (415) 831-9480

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

As it is too late to prevent the buying and selling of cabinet and elected seats on the federal level, let's at least try to stop it at the local level.

Sincerely, Ms. Monica Nolan

Mr. Lawrence Carbary 80 Ora Way San Francisco, CA 94131-2562 (415) 285-9168

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Lawrence Carbary

Ms. Zora L. Kolkey, Mft PO Box 640484 San Francisco, CA 94164-0484 (415) 474-6707

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Zora L. Kolkey, Mft

Ms. Susan Powers 1851 38th Ave San Francisco, CA 94122-4147 (415) 665-9816

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Katy Tang Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Tang,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Susan Powers

Mr. José Espinosa 28 Camp St San Francisco, CA 94110-1119 (415) 283-5938

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. José Espinosa

Mr. Michael Kelly 1010 Anza St Apt 301 San Francisco, CA 94118-4069 (254) 715-8059

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Michael Kelly

Mr. Leo Buckley 78 Sycamore St San Francisco, CA 94110-1222 (510) 915-9141

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Leo Buckley

Mrs. Natasha Saravanja 3829 Cesar Chavez St San Francisco, CA 94131-2013 (333) 333-3333

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Natasha Saravanja

Mr. Jorge A Portillo 115 Blanken Ave San Francisco, CA 94134-2406 (415) 467-6147

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Jorge A Portillo

Mr. Leo Mamicpic 1327 Hampshire St San Francisco, CA 94110-4227 (415) 420-8388

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Leo Mamicpic

Ms. Susan Coyle 3867 20th St San Francisco, CA 94114-3018 (415) 537-4354

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Susan Coyle

Ms. Barbara Samii 2405 15th Ave San Francisco, CA 94116-2549 (415) 731-1834

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Barbara Samii

Dr. Pamela Vaughn 2048 14th Ave San Francisco, CA 94116-1310 (415) 661-6848

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Pamela Vaughn

Mr. Lawrence Guinchard 321 Elsie St San Francisco, CA 94110-5519 (415) 786-3635

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Lawrence Guinchard

Ms. Cheryl LaBrecque Cumberland St San Francisco, CA 94114 (415) 225-0000

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Cheryl LaBrecque

Ms. Cheryl LaBrecque Cumberland St San Francisco, CA 94114 (415) 225-0000

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Cheryl LaBrecque

Mrs. beryl sussman 294 Ewing Ter San Francisco, CA 94118-4409 (415) 346-2776

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. beryl sussman

Ms. Linda Klann 1073 Natoma St San Francisco, CA 94103-2549 (415) 596-1845

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Linda Klann

Ms. Paula Mc Cabe 8 Napier Ln San Francisco, CA 94133-3214 (415) 244-3787

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Paula Mc Cabe

Mr. Mike Andrewjeski 442 44th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-1411 (415) 555-1212

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Mike Andrewjeski

Ms. Beverly Dahlen 15A Mirabel Av San Francisco, CA 94110-4614 (415) 824-6649

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Beverly Dahlen

Mr. Roberto Romo 3227 Anza St San Francisco, CA 94121-3025 (415) 702-0519

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Roberto Romo

Ms. Joy Baker 380 19th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-2305 (416) 752-8765

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Joy Baker

Mr. Peter Lee 3910 Fulton St San Francisco, CA 94118-3556 (415) 752-7702

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Peter Lee

Ms. Francesca Prada 601 Dolores St San Francisco, CA 94110-1526 (415) 555-1212

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Francesca Prada

Ms. June Tracy 180 Cresta Vista Dr San Francisco, CA 94127-1635 (415) 585-4944

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. June Tracy

Ms. Susan Clark 1229 Guerrero St San Francisco, CA 94110-3620 (415) 206-0275

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Susan Clark

Mr. Ronald Fisher 440 Davis Ct Apt 1413 San Francisco, CA 94111-2442 (202) 744-3272

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Ronald Fisher

Ms. blanche korfmacher 2780 19th Ave San Francisco, CA 94132-1661 (415) 665-1135

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. blanche korfmacher

Mr. Nicholas Sweeney 167c Castro St San Francisco, CA 94114-1278 (917) 763-1312

Feb 8, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Nicholas Sweeney

Mr. t Simonian 114 Porter St San Francisco, CA 94110-5920 (415) 706-7841

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. t Simonian

Ms. Michelle Hecht 87 Elsie St San Francisco, CA 94110-5106 (415) 308-5764

Feb 8, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Michelle Hecht

Mr. Rob Geyer 300 Cabrillo St San Francisco, CA 94118-3975 (415) 221-6769

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Rob Geyer

Ms. Estrellita Sumulong 300 Berry St Unit 712 San Francisco, CA 94158-1665 (415) 260-2376

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Estrellita Sumulong

Mr. Michael Tomczyszyn 243 Ramsell St San Francisco, CA 94132-3140 (415) 452-8295

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Ahsha Safai Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Safai,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Michael Tomczyszyn

Dr. Elizabeth Wright 365 Bartlett St San Francisco, CA 94110-3828 (415) 647-5871

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Elizabeth Wright

Ms. F Hammer 1490 Chestnut St San Francisco, CA 94123-3159 (111) 111-1111

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. F Hammer

Ms. Karen Frerichs 1429 Kearny St San Francisco, CA 94133-3459 981-6259

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Karen Frerichs

Ms. Lucas Janie 827 Capp St San Francisco, CA 94110-3224 (415) 305-2264

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Lucas Janie

Mr. David Wolf 3063 25th St San Francisco, CA 94110-4140 (415) 861-6095

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. David Wolf

Mrs. Rebecca Rodriguez 301 Clipper St San Francisco, CA 94114-3760 (510) 220-7060

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Rebecca Rodriguez

Ms. Sandra Sadler 68 5th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-1308 (415) 490-6065

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Sandra Sadler

Ms. anne veraldi 21 Lapidge St San Francisco, CA 94110-1688 (415) 552-6917

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. anne veraldi

Ms. Catherine Stricklin 285 Douglass St San Francisco, CA 94114-2424 (415) 624-3216

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Catherine Stricklin

Mrs. Pascale Macleod 626 44th Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-2507 (415) 751-7010

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mrs. Pascale Macleod

Mr. Robert Marshall 1975 San Jose Ave San Francisco, CA 94112-2461 (415) 586-1267

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Ahsha Safai Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Safai,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Robert Marshall

Mr. Ron Nieberding 88 Perry St Apt 537 San Francisco, CA 94107-1359 (415) 574-6032

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Ron Nieberding

Mr. Mike M 1370 41st Ave San Francisco, CA 94122-1207 (415) 902-1909

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Katy Tang Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Tang,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Mike M

Mr. Tim Oliveira 1618 Polk St Apt 5 San Francisco, CA 94109-3679 (415) 555-1234

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Aaron Peskin Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Peskin,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Tim Oliveira

Mr. Robert Crivinar 163 Mangels Ave San Francisco, CA 94131-2832 (415) 587-6756

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Robert Crivinar

Mr. Ron Kelley 2521 Polk St San Francisco, CA 94109-1606 (415) 517-9022

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

I was going to write my opposition to Quentin Koop's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission in my own words, but I believe the petition as written states my position quite clearly. I'll emphasize one thing in the petition: The fact that Mr. Kopp is at this very moment a plaintiff in a lawsuit against Senate Bill 1107, which allows the state and cities to develop public financing systems, speaks volumes about why his reappointment must be denied.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Ron Kelley

Dr. Chesley Herbert 1080 14th St San Francisco, CA 94114-1241 (415) 730-6536

Feb 9, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Chesley Herbert

Dr. Teresa Scherzer PO Box 411403 San Francisco, CA 94141-1403 (415) 297-8416

Feb 9, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Dr. Teresa Scherzer

Mr. Gary Gregerson 328 Hyde St Apt 7 San Francisco, CA 94109-8040 (415) 912-0808

Feb 10, 2017

Ms. Jane Kim Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Kim,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Gary Gregerson

Ms. Robin Mcclanahan 12 Grijalva Dr San Francisco, CA 94132-2354 (415) 756-8088

Feb 10, 2017

Mr. Norman Yee Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Yee,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Robin Mcclanahan

Ms. Phyllis Ball 249 Jersey St San Francisco, CA 94114-3822 (415) 238-3909

Feb 10, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Phyllis Ball

Ms. monica romero 427 Point Lobos Ave San Francisco, CA 94121-1432 (415) 261-8622

Feb 10, 2017

Ms. Sandra Lee Fewer Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Fewer,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. monica romero

Ms. Laura Shapiro 232 28th St San Francisco, CA 94131-2359 (415) 341-8448

Feb 11, 2017

Mr. Jeff Sheehy Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Sheehy,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Laura Shapiro

Ms. Valerie Tisdel 749 Moultrie St San Francisco, CA 94110-6035 (415) 821-3519

Feb 13, 2017

Ms. Hillary Ronen Room 244 One Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Ronen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Valerie Tisdel

Mr. Rick St. John 1 Daniel Burnham Ct San Francisco, CA 94109-5455 (415) 931-5949

Feb 14, 2017

Mr. Mark Farrell Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Mr. Rick St. John

Ms. Grace Huenemann 670 De Haro St Apt 3 San Francisco, CA 94107-2750 (415) 647-2405

Feb 16, 2017

Ms. Malia Cohen Room 244 One Doctor Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Oppose Kopp for San Francisco Ethics Commission

Dear Ms. Cohen,

I am writing to ask you to oppose Quentin Kopp's reappointment to the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's efforts to undermine public campaign financing, which are at the heart of San Francisco's campaign finance system, should disqualify him from future service on the Ethics Commission.

Mr. Kopp's opposition to public financing is long-standing. In 1988, Mr. Kopp was a co-author of Proposition 73, which banned public financing of campaigns in California, including by local governments. The City of Los Angeles and San Francisco successfully challenged that law and won charter cities an exemption from that ban. Mr. Kopp opposed San Francisco's position in that case.

When he applied to fill a partial term last year, the Rules Committee asked Mr. Kopp whether he opposed public financing of campaigns. His response to the committee was vague implying he no longer had strong opinions on public financing.

Last year the San Francisco Ethics Commission supported Senate Bill 1107. It allowed all cities and the state to create public financing systems and it was passed into law. Mr. Kopp is now a plaintiff in a lawsuit challenging that law.

This action is directly at odds with the Ethics Commission's duty to uphold San Francisco's public financing system. I do not support Mr. Kopp for a seat on the San Francisco Ethics Commission.

Sincerely, Ms. Grace Huenemann

# From Larry Bush, Co-Founder, Friends of Ethics

# AN OPEN LETTER TO COMMON CAUSE ABOUT THE SAN FRANCISCO ETHICS COMMISSION

Common Cause and I have a longtime relationship dating back more than twenty years in San Francisco and before that in Washington with John Gardner, Common Cause's founder. I am glad to see you take such a strong interest in the San Francisco Ethics Commission and its ability to meet our city's needs for clean elections, honest government and transparency.

Common Cause's interest in San Francisco during the past is nothing like what we see today. Missed you when we were fighting for a Commission that would fulfill rather than dodge its responsibilities. Missed you when hid out when the Ethics Commission asked voters to approve restoring disclosure by Expenditure Lobbyists, though we understood that you had concerns that you yourselves would have to file when you spend money seeking to influence our City Hall. Missed you when indictments and convictions came down for an incumbent Supervisor, incumbent state senator, the Community College's Chancellor, and now the FBI investigation into the mayor's fundraising.

At the same time, I am deeply disappointed that today's approach is so contrary to the practices originated by Mr. Gardner. Perhaps because in the intervening years you have been so focused on state and national concerns you are getting off on the wrong foot here now.

You are urging that one of your Board members who has never attended any Ethics Commission meeting be appointed to sit on the Commission.

Bear with me while I bring you up to date. This is a critical time for a re-set of our city's Ethics operation. New staffing is being added, new public access is being implemented, and most of all the city is ready for strong action on pay-to-play politics that puts private interests ahead of public interests.

Our long history requires that we be candid with each other. Your goal has a lot less to do with the Ethics Commission or even putting your Board member on the Commission than it does with denying Judge Quentin Kopp reappointment to a full term.

In fact, you have generated (at last count) 127 letters urging the Board of Supervisors to oppose Judge Kopp (pointer for you: sending identically worded letters without actual signatures looks like AstroTurf pushing a button that sends out letters from folks who prearranged for you to use their name when you wish. In fact, it's pretty similar to the Expenditure Lobbying we require to be disclosed but which you didn't support).

You have a dispute with Judge Kopp over a strategy you are pursuing that has absolutely no impact on San Francisco now or in the future. It also is unrelated to our shared interest in public financing, despite your suggestion that it is about public financing. It is totally unrelated to us in San Francisco. It is about the authority to create changes in other cities, by the voters or action by political leaders in Sacramento.

Judge Kopp joined in a lawsuit that objected to your approach of having the state legislature overturn a campaign reform that was passed by the voters. His position is that only the voters should change laws passed by the voters.

That happens to be the same position Friends of Ethics adopted when a proposal was made to allow our Supervisors to rewrite or even abolish laws passed by voters without asking the voters for those changes. In our case, we defeated that proposed end-run around the voters.

To suit your purposes, you now see an opportunity to leverage your position by denying Kopp's appointment, and even mischaracterize his record on campaign reforms to bolster your case.

You and I know that you only turned to this when your effort to cut a backroom deal failed.

Three of your senior officers personally called me to ask me to get Judge Kopp to remove his name from the lawsuit and in turn you would withdraw your objection to his appointment. There were similar suggestions in conversations with others in our group.

Scratch my back and I'll scratch yours.

Actually, that's the kind of backroom politicking that Friends of Ethics opposes, whether it would suit our friends and allies or not. And we do consider Common Cause to be friends and allies, in most other ways.

In fact, I was the person who proposed that the Ethics Commission endorse your goal of allowing other local governments to adopt public financing for elections. We don't disagree that local governments should be allowed that prerogative. We do have a healthy regard for letting voters re-do laws that they passed rather than politicians who stand to benefit rewrite what the voters did.

When it comes to Judge Kopp's record on campaign reform, it appears you have not done your homework. Perhaps you were so focused on your own interest.

Judge Kopp was the author of San Francisco's campaign contribution limits that have been in place for more than forty years, since he won approval for them in 1973.

In 1995, I proposed in my newspaper column that San Francisco adopt a law limiting how much supervisor candidates could spend on their campaigns. Former Supervisor Terrence Hallinan got that put on the ballot.

This is what Quentin Kopp wrote then, including in the Voter Handbook:

"I salute Supervisor Hallinan for a proposal that will please all but the cynical City Hall lobbyists and San Francisco "fixers" who have dominated city government for almost two decades." It pleased the voters, who approved it by a 3-1 margin. If you look back into Common Cause records, you will find that Common Cause also supported that measure.

A court ultimately overturned that law, and Judge Kopp concluded that the only option left was public financing. In November 2000 San Francisco voted for its current public financing measure. Judge Kopp, who you claim is an unrepentant opponent of public financing, did not oppose that shift.

In 2015, before any possibility arose of an Ethics Commission appointment, Judge Kopp personally paid for a ballot argument supporting the Commission's proposal to again require Expenditure Lobbyists to file and disclose their lobbying. You declined to endorse that reform.

As a Commissioner, he supported the 2016 ballot measure before his appointment, and he has consistently supported upholding San Francisco's public financing law. He has instigated changes to increase enforcement when violations are proven, and to move forward on pay-to-play deal-making.

There are without doubt issues where I sharply disagree with the positions Judge Kopp has taken in the past. For that matter, there are issues where all of us disagree with each other, even in our own organizations.

In this instance, Judge Kopp has brought new vigor and focus to the work of the Ethics Commission. He does his homework. He considers the arguments with a judicial eye. He has the experience of campaigning for office and then serving in office, and so knows the wiles and ways that may serve some short-term political objective at the cost of public trust.

The Ethics Commission has been fortunate to have his service over the past six months, and we need his service to continue. As Friends of Ethics, we were far from united when Judge Kopp's appointment first was raised to fill the remainder of an existing term. Having seen his work and leadership, we now are united behind his appointment – and that is the view of four former Ethics Commissioners, six former Civil Grand Jury members who reported on the Ethics Commission, the former local coordinator for Common Cause, and others who have consistently supported ethics reforms here.

I don't expect you to alter your position. I do want to bring to your attention that we object to your disrespecting the local ethics reformers and ignoring our repeated advice to you to talk directly to Judge Kopp. Instead you sought to avoid that conversation and instead have us act as your surrogates.

For our part, we write this as an Open Letter to dispel any misinformation being circulated by you and to correct the record on both Judge Kopp and on Common Cause's approach to this important decision for our City.

Thank you.

Larry Bush

Co-founder, Friends of Ethics



Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 (415) 554-5184 FAX (415) 554-7714

Application for Board	Is, Commissions, Committee	
Name of Board, Commission, Co	Ethics Cor or Task Force:	
Seat # or Category (If applicable)	1 ):	2 District:
Kathleen Thurmond		
Home Address:	, San Francisco, CA Business/Nor	94115 Zip:
Home Phone:	Business/Nor Occupation:	n-Profit Consultant
562 970 1602	KT Consulting Employer:	
2001 Pierce 3 Business Address:	St., #38, San Francisco, CA	94115 Zip:
kathleen thurn	nond@gmail.cc Home E-Mail:	
residency requirement. Check All That Apply: Registered voter in San France	other bodies, the Board of Super cisco: Yes I No If No, where Yes No If No, place of reside	e registered:
Pursuant to Charter section 4. represent the communities of ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexua and any other relevant demogr Francisco:	101 (a)1, please state how your quinterest, neighborhoods, and the al orientation, gender identity, typ raphic qualities of the City and Co	ualifications diversity in bes of disabilities, ounty of San
long dream to live in SF, drawn I decades, I have been an advoca business and politics. I am devo accountability, and I would be he values as an Ethics Commission recent developments in federal know that they have a voice, an our values by backing away from	rancisco home for the past seven ye by its diversity, dynamism, and prog ate for women's rights and promoted oted to the principles of political inclu- onored to give back to this city I love ner. Like many San Franciscans, I a politics; in this critical time, it is urge and an honest government, in City Ha m San Francisco's ethics laws, inclu- nust protect and strengthen them.	pressive energy. For d women's leadership in usion, transparency, and e by safeguarding those am deeply troubled by ent that all SF residents III. We must not abandon

#### Business and/or professional experience:

I bring more than 20 years of executive experience in corporate and non-profit management. With my combination of expertise in business, non-profit, and policy, my goal is to facilitate change at a systemic level that will bring greater democracy, social justice, and equality. I am a buisness/non-profit consultant who previously spent 12 years as CEO of an industrial uniform rental company in Southern California working in parnership with the EPA and spent 15 years in non-profit management. I holds an Environmental Certificate from Presidio Graduate School, an MBA from the University of Southern California, an MSW from Washington University, and a BA from Southern Methodist University.

#### **Civic Activities:**

I served as a Past-President of the board of NAWBO-SFBA(National Association of Women Business Owners), attended Leadership San Francisco and served as a board member of Girls Inc. As a California Common Cause board member and executive team member I supported the organizations efforts to strengthen local and state ethics laws such as San Francisco's Propostion T, including reviewing proposed ordinances and legislation and discussing policy strategy. I also serve as a Senior Advisor and Board Chair of the Alliance for Girls, serve on the NARAL Leadership Council-SF and as a member of the Business Team for Pachamama Alliance. Earlier experience includes serving on AIDS Commision LA.

Have you attended any meetings of the Board/Commission to which you wish appointment? Yes No

For appointments by the Board of Supervisors, appearance before the RULES COMMITTEE is a requirement before any appointment can be made. (Applications must be received 10 days before the scheduled hearing.)

Date:  $\sqrt{2-1/-17}$  Applicant's Signature: (required)

(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

<u>Please Note</u>: Your application will be retained for one year. Once Completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Appointed to Seat #:\_\_\_\_\_ Term Expires:\_

Date Seat was Vacated:

A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

# STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS

COVER PAGE

ase type or print in ink.	
WE OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) nurmond Kathleen	(MIDDLE)
Office, Agency, or Court	
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) Ethics Commission	Commissioner
Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable	Your Position
► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use	acronyms)
Agency:	Position:
Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)	
State	Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)
Multi-County	County of
San Francisco	Other
Type of Statement (Check at least one box)	(A
Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015.	Leaving Office: Date Left// (Check one)
-or- The period covered is/, through December 31, 2015.	<ul> <li>The period covered is January 1, 2015, through the date of leaving office.</li> <li>-or-</li> </ul>
Assuming Office: Date assumed//	<ul> <li>The period covered is/, through the date of leaving office.</li> </ul>
Candidate: Election year and office sought, if	different than Part 1:
Schedule Summary (must complete)   Total number	of names including this sover name:
Schedules attached	or pages including this cover page
	Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached
	Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached
Dr-	
□ None - No reportable interests on any schedule	
Verification	
MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY	STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document) San Francis	co CA 94115
	E-MAIL ADDRESS
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER	1
! I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have review	wed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information containe
2	wed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information containe this is a public document.
? I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have review herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Californ 2-14-17	wed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information containe this is a public document.

FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

# **SCHEDULE A-1** Investments



Stocks	Ronde	and	Other	Interests
SIUCKS,	DUIIUS,	anu	Uller	IIIIeiesis

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)       Kathleen Thurm         Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.       Kathleen Thurm         ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY       Exxon Mobil(XOM)         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS       Gil & Gas         FAIR MARKET VALUE       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$ \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         Stock       Other         O Income Received of \$0 - \$499         O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	nond
Exxon Mobil(XOM)         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Oil & Gas         FAIR MARKET VALUE	
Exxon Mobil(XOM)         GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS         Oil & Gas         FAIR MARKET VALUE	
Oil & Gas       Oil & Gas         FAIR MARKET VALUE       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$\$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$\$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$2500 - \$10,000         \$\$25000 - \$10,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$10,001 - \$100,000         \$\$25000 - \$10,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$100,001 - \$100,000         \$\$25000 - \$1000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT       \$\$100,001 - \$1000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$\$100,000       Over \$1,000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         \$\$100,001 - \$\$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         \$\$100,0	
FAIR MARKET VALUE	
Stock       Other       (Describe)         Partnership       Income Received of \$0 - \$499       Partnership         Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership       Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
Partnership       O Income Received of \$0 - \$499         O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)       Partnership       O Income Received of \$0 - \$499         IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:       IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:        15      15      15	
<u> </u>	re (Report on Schedule C)
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE       FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         NATURE OF INVESTMENT ETF       (Describe)         Stock       Other         (Describe)       (Describe)         Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	00
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:	
/ <u>15</u> /	
► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY ► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS	
FAIR MARKET VALUE       FAIR MARKET VALUE         \$2,000 - \$10,000       \$10,001 - \$100,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       Over \$1,000,000         \$100,001 - \$1,000,000       \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	
NATURE OF INVESTMENT       NATURE OF INVESTMENT         Stock       Other         (Describe)       Stock         Partnership       O Income Received of \$0 - \$499         Partnership       O Income Received of \$0 - \$499	
O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)     O Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)	re (Report on Schedule C)
IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: 	

Comments: \_

FPPC Form 700 (2015/2016) Sch. A-1 FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Kathleen Thurmond

1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Haley Land Holdings LLC	Grand Land Holdings LLC
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
25431 Cabot Rd., #102, Laguna Hills, CA 92653	25431 Cabot Rd., #102, Laguna Hills, CA 92653
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Restaurant	Restaurant
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
Personal Lender	Personal Lender
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
\$500 - \$1,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
✔ \$10,001 - \$100,000	✓ \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)
🖌 Loan repayment	🖌 Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more Int./Prin. for 2016-Loan ends 6-2021	Commission or Rental Income, <i>list each source of \$10,000 or mol</i> Int./Prin. for 2016-Loan ends 6-2021
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other	Other
(Describe)	. (Describe)
2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PER	RIOD

retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	%	None None	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	SECURITY FOR LO	DAN	sidence
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property _		Street address
<b>\$500 - \$1,000</b>	-		City
<b>\$1,001 - \$10,000</b>	Guarantor		
<b>\$10,001 - \$100,000</b>			
OVER \$100,000	Other	(	(Describe)
Comments:			7

FPPC Form 700 (2015/2016) Sch. C FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Kathleen Thurmond

1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Baker Land Holdings LLC	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
25431 Cabot Rd., #102, Laguna Hills, CA 92653	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Restaurant	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
Personal Lender	
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	☐ \$500 - \$1,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000	S10,001 - \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	Sale of
✔ Loan repayment	Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more Loan paid off in 2016	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or mor
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other	Other
(Describe)	(Describe)

You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions, or any indebtedness created as part of a \* retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE		TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	SECURITY FOR L	None None OAN Personal res	sidence
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	Real Property _		Street address
<pre>\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000</pre>	Guarantor		City
OVER \$100,000	Other		(Describe)
Comments:			

FPPC Form 700 (2015/2016) Sch. C FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov

## Evans, Derek

From: Sent:	Bonnie Barkett <barkett.bonnie@gmail.com> Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:04 AM</barkett.bonnie@gmail.com>
То:	Evans, Derek
Cc:	Kathleen Thurmond
Subject:	Recommendation of Kathleen Thurmond

Dear Mr. Evans,

It is my pleasure to recommend Kathleen Thurmond to serve on the San Francisco Ethics Commission. Kathleen has been a colleague and partner in many ventures for over 20 years.

Kathleen is the former owner and CEO of Best Washington Uniform and Linen Supply, an industrial laundry in southern California. In her capacity as CEO of Best Washington, Kathleen partnered with me through the Environmental Protection Agency's Merit Partnership for Pollution Prevention and later the National Environmental Performance Track to develop and demonstrate pollution prevention practices and technologies for the industrial laundry sector. Kathleen took on a leadership role in promoting environmentally sustainable practices in the industrial laundry sector. She became known as a leader in environmentally sound management (she was the first industrial laundry owner in the nation to develop an ISO 14001 environmental management system for her facility) and was consulted by EPA when the agency developed and refined regulations for the sector. The fact that Kathleen began leading the industry in environmental management during her first months as President of Best Washington is a testament to her excellent judgment, ability to learn new subjects quickly and well, and strong leadership skills.

When I served on the Board of Directors of California Common Cause, we were looking for new Board members. At the time, the board largely consists of people in law, government, and the public interest sector, so we were looking for a representative from the business community in Los Angeles. I immediately thought of recruiting Kathleen because she is one of those special people who understands intuitively how important it is for business to play a positive role in the public sector. Kathleen is that rare and wonderful person who has the business savvy to thrive in the private sector and also a passion for public service. She has been a long-term board member at Common Cause where she has really dug in and learned the issues.

I'm confident that Kathleen Thurmond has the experience, ability, and knowledge of the issues to be an excellent member of the San Francisco Ethics Commission. Kathleen always makes great contributions to the organizations, causes, and projects that she chooses to pursue and I know she will be a great asset to the commission.

Please feel free to call me if you have questions.

Bonnie Barkett (415) 706-4842



707 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 3850 Los Angeles, CA. 90017 Phone: (213) 443-6790 Fax: (213) 463-8463 www.allstreetcapital.com

February 15, 2017

Dear Selection Committee,

I am writing this letter to strongly recommend that you consider Kathleen Thurmond for a position on your Ethics Commission. I have known and worked with Kathleen for the past 12 years as her Financial Planner and Investment Advisor.

In all my years of experience, certain people stand out for their commitment to great qualities. Kathleen is one of these people. In the many years we have worked together, she has demonstrated in both her personal and professional life, the highest level of honesty, integrity and trustworthiness.

In working with Kathleen, it is clear she is conscientious and concerned with ethical guidelines, fair minded, plays by the rules and cares about doing the right thing. She has applied these high standards of ethical behavior in business, politics and everyday life. Her attention to detail and wanting the best outcome for all involved is one of the main reasons she has achieved such a high level of success in many aspects of her life.

Kathleen has successfully built and sold businesses, raised her family and engaged in many philanthropic programs while using her own code of ethic; which encompasses commitment, honestly and equity for all people.

In summation, due to all the attributes listed above I would strongly recommend Kathleen for a position on your commission. I am confident she would be a great asset to your team.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of further assistance. I can be reached at <a href="mailto:seanrichardson@allstreetcapital.com">seanrichardson@allstreetcapital.com</a> or 213-443-6791.

Sincerely,

Sean Richardson Partner – Senior Advisor AllStreet Capital **BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

## VACANCY NOTICE

# ETHICS COMMISSION

## **Replaces All Previous Notices**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following vacancy and term expiration:

**Seat 1**, succeeding Quentin L. Kopp, term expires February 1, 2017, shall be broadly representative of the general public, for a six-year term ending February 1, 2023.

Members of the Ethics Commission are subject to the following restrictions during their tenure:

(a) Restrictions on Holding Office. No member of the Ethics Commission may hold any other City or County office or be an officer of a political party.

(b) Restrictions on Employment. No member of the Ethics Commission may be a registered lobbyist or campaign consultant, or be employed by or receive gifts or other compensation from a registered lobbyist or campaign consultant. No member of the Ethics Commission may hold employment with the City and County and no employee of the Commission may hold any other employment with the City and County.

(c) Restrictions on Political Activities. No member of the Ethics Commission may participate in any campaign supporting or opposing a candidate for City elective office, a City ballot measure, or a City officer running for any elective office. For the purposes of Charter, Section 15.100, participation in a campaign includes but is not limited to making contributions or soliciting contributions to any committee within the Ethics Commission's jurisdiction, publicly endorsing or urging endorsement of a candidate or ballot measure, or participating in decisions by organizations to participate in a campaign.

Reports: Statistical reports.

#### Sunset Date: None.

Additional information relating to the Ethics Commission may be obtained by reviewing Charter, Section 15.100, at <u>http://www.sfbos.org/sfmunicodes</u> or by visiting their website or <u>http://www.sfethics.org/</u>.

Interested persons may obtain an application from the Board of Supervisors website at <u>http://www.sfbos.org/vacancy\_application</u> or from the Rules Committee Clerk, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689. Completed

applications should be submitted to the Clerk of the Board. <u>All applicants must be</u> residents of San Francisco, unless otherwise stated.

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Rules of Order, Section 2.19, applicants applying for this Commission must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of Form 700 (Statement of Economic Interests). Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 (Statement of Economic Interests) is not submitted. Form 700 (Statement of Economic Interests) may be obtained at <a href="http://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html">http://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html</a>.

**Next Steps:** Applicants who meet minimum qualifications will be contacted by the Rules Committee Clerk once the Rules Committee Chair determines the date of the hearing. Members of the Rules Committee will consider the appointment at the meeting and applicants may be asked to state their qualifications. The appointment of an individual nominated by the Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

*Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, this vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at 415-554-7702.* 

CLOU AD Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

DATED/POSTED: January 26, 2017

# San Francisco BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Date Printed: February 17, 2017

Date Established:

November 6, 2001

Active

#### **ETHICS COMMISSION 2002**

#### **Contact and Address:**

LeeAnn Pelham Executive Director Ethics Commission 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 252-3100 Fax: (415) 252-3112 Email: leeann.pelham@sfgov.org

#### Authority:

Charter Section 15.100. (Proposition E – Elections, Ethics & Outside Counsel approved by the voters on November 6, 2001)

#### **Board Qualifications:**

The Ethics Commission shall consist of five members, one member of whom is appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The member appointed by the Board of Supervisors shall be broadly representative of the general public.

The Mayor, the City Attorney, the District Attorney and the Assessor each shall appoint one member of the Commission that comprise the other four members. The member appointed by the Mayor shall have a background in public information and public meetings. The member appointed by the City Attorney shall have a background in law as it relates to government ethics. The member appointed by the Assessor shall have a background in campaign finance. The member appointed by the District Attorney shall be broadly representative of the general public.

The members shall serve six-year terms, provided that the first five commissioners to be appointed to take office on the first day of February 2002 shall by lot classify their terms so that the term of one commissioner shall expire at 12:00 noon on each of the second, third, fourth, fifth and sixth anniversaries of such date, and on the expiration of these and successive terms of office, the appointments shall be made for six-year terms. In the event of a vacancy, the officer who appointed the member vacating the office shall appoint a qualified person to complete the remainder of the term.

# San Francisco BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Members of the Commission shall be officers of the City and County, and may be removed by the appointing authority only pursuant to Section 15.105. No person may serve more than one six-year term as a member of the Commission, provided that persons appointed to fill a vacancy for an unexpired term with less than three years remaining or appointed to an initial term of three or fewer years shall be eligible to be appointed to one additional six-year term. Any term served before the effective date of this Section shall not count toward a member's term limit. Any person who completes a term as a Commissioner shall be eligible for reappointment six years after the expiration of his or her term.

During his or her tenure, a member of the Commission may not: hold any other City or County office or be an officer of a political party. No member or employee of the Ethics Commission may be a registered lobbyist or campaign consultant, or be employed by or receive gifts or other compensation from a registered lobbyist or campaign consultant. No member or employee of the Ethics Commission may participate in any campaign supporting or opposing a candidate for City elective office, a City ballot measure, or a City officer running for any elective office. Participation in a campaign includes but is not limited to making contributions or soliciting contributions to any committee within the Ethics Commission's jurisdiction, publicly endorsing or urging endorsement of a candidate or ballot measure, or participating in decisions by organizations to participate in a campaign.

The Commission may subpoena witnesses, compel their attendance and testimony, administer oaths and affirmations, take evidence and require by subpoena the production of any books, papers, records or other items material to the performance of the Commission's duties or exercise of its powers.

The Commission serves the public, city employees and officials and candidates for public office through education and enforcement of ethics laws. The Commission provides open access to public records in ethics-related matters. The Commission acts as filing officer for, and auditor of, financial disclosure statements filed by political candidates and committees and designated City and County employees. The Commission assesses fees and penalties for failure to adhere to deadlines and requirements, audits statements to ensure compliance with contribution limits, administers an education program, and produces educational materials. It also oversees registration and regulation of lobbyists, investigates ethics complaints, provides advice on ethical matters and publishes statistical reports.

Its duties include: filing and auditing of campaign finance disclosure statements; campaign consultant registration and regulation; lobbyist registration and regulation; filing officer for statements of economic interest; administration of the Whistleblower program; investigations of ethics complaints; enforcement education and training; and providing advice and statistical reporting.

# San Francisco BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Reports: Statistical reports

Sunset Date: None

"R Board Description" (Screen Print)