
From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 11:00 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject: FW: Appeal of Determination of Community Plan Evaluation – 344-14th Street

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2019 12:12 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Brown, Vallie (BOS) <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Appeal of Determination of Community Plan Evaluation – 344-14th Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Monday October 7, 2019

Dear President Yee and Members of the Board Supervisors:

RE: Case No. 2014.0948ENX 344 14th Street Appeal of the July 25, 2019 Planning Commission Decision

We request a reversal of the Determination of Community Plan Evaluation on the 344-14th Street Project - #190890. There are major problems with the stale data in the EIR. It that does not address the effects of the increased use of private vehicles and other new modes of transit on our streets now or take into account the possibility of driverless vehicles and other transit modes that may lead to a decrease in public transportation.

The Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR adopted in 2008 is woefully inadequate to address the current environment, much less the future. Any future predictions should be based on the current reality and the CEQA determinations should be based on new data and take into account the cumulative effects that have already hit the neighborhood, not the studies and analysis from 2008.

In 2008 the country was experiencing a rapidly declining economy and the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR was based on the need to revive it. Incentives to invest during the downturn were developed. Fast forward to 2019 and we are drowning in a sea of cash and wealth that few could have imagined in 2008. Those incentives are not needed now.

We bring your attention to the pace of change that is overwhelming many and exhausting the rest of us. This rapid growth has been a blessing to some and a headache to many, but, most important for this discussion is the fact that the data that was used to predict the future growth cycles in San Francisco is inadequate to describe the reality we live in today.

In 2008 we lived with miscalculations made by financial institutions. Today we live with miscalculations made by the authors of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan EIR.

During the analysis prior to the drafting of the EIR assumptions were made to predict the rate of growth in the economy and the increase in population, jobs, and infrastructure. Those the predictions having proven to be woefully inaccurate. How can a Neighborhood Plan EIR be adequate when it is based on erroneous, inaccurate data and calculations? We are living in 2019 not in 2008.

We are living with a lot of mistakes and miscalculations that have turned our city into a national embarrassment. Our traffic and parking problems are legendary. Now is the time to stop pushing this problem aside by making false claims. Now is the time to stop using old numbers that we know are inaccurate.

No one can dispute the fact that the tech boom has brought gentrification and added more private vehicles to the Mission neighborhood than was predicted by the original authors of the Eastern Neighborhood Plan EIR.

The out of date, inadequate data and documents must be called into question at some point and now is as good a time as any.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, concerned Mission resident