

File No. 250590

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Appropriations Committee Date June 13, 2025

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date _____

Cmte Board

- ☒ ☐ Ordinance
 - [Mayor's Proposed Annual Salary Ordinance – FYs 2026 and 2027](#)
- ☐ ☐ Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- ☐ ☐ Youth Commission Report
- ☒ ☐ Introduction Form
- ☒ ☐ Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
 - [Mayor's Proposed Budget Book](#)
 - Mayor's Submission Letter 5/30/2025
- ☒ ☐ Department Presentations

6/11/2025

- [Department of Technology](#)
- [Board of Appeals](#)
- [Health Service System](#)
- [Department of Human Resource](#)
- [Department of Elections](#)
- [General Responsibility](#)
- [Department of Building Inspection](#)
- [Ethics Commission](#)

6/12/2025

- [Asian Arts Museum](#)
- [Academy of Sciences](#)
- [Child Support Services](#)
- [Recreation and Park Department](#)
- [Department of Public Health](#)
- [Mayor's Office/Housing and Community Development](#)
- [Human Rights Commission/Department on the Status of Women](#)

6/13/2025

- [Law Library](#)
- [Public Library](#)
- [Rent Board](#)
- [Public Defender](#)
- ☒ ☐ [Public Correspondence](#)

OTHER [\(Click on hyperlinks to be forwarded to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of voluminous documents\)](#)

☒ ☐ ASO Administrative Provisions – Clean and Tracked Changes

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MYR Interim Exceptions 5/30/2025
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MYR Minimum Compensation Ordinance Letter 5/30/2025
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MYR Transfer of Functions 5/30/2025
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MYR Trailing Legislation List
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BIC Letter 3/11/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Completed by: Brent Jalipa
Date June 5, 2025
Completed by: Brent Jalipa
Date

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to
view the entirety of this document

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MAYOR'S PROPOSED SALARY ORDINANCE

AS OF MAY 30, 2025



File No. 250590

Ordinance No. _____

**FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2026 and
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2027**

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to
view the entirety of this document

DANIEL LURIE

PROPOSED BUDGET

FISCAL YEARS 2025-2026 & 2026-2027



MAYOR'S OFFICE OF PUBLIC POLICY AND FINANCE

Joshua Cardenas

Daniel Cawley

Luisa Coy

Jack English

Sophia Kittler

Matthew Puckett


Eliza Pugh

Tabitha Romero-Bothi

Santiago Silva

Tiffany Young

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.



FY 25-26 Budget

BOS Budget &
Appropriations
Committee

June 11, 2025

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

BOARD OF APPEALS

BOS Budget Presentation
FY26 & FY27
June 11, 2025



San Francisco Health Service System Proposed Budget for FYE 2026 and 2027

Board of Supervisors
Budget and Appropriations Committee
Presentation

Presented by
Rey Guillen, Executive Director
Iftikhar Hussain, Chief Financial & Affordability Officer

June 11, 2025

Click on this page to be redirected to the
Legislative Research Center to view the
entirety of this document.

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

Department of Human Resources Budget Proposal Fiscal Years 2025-26 & 26-27

Carol Isen, Human Resources Director
June 11, 2025



[Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.](#)

Department of Elections

Proposed Budget


FY 2025 – 2026 and FY 2026 – 2027

Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing

June 11, 2025



General City Responsibility (GEN)



[Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.](#)

Office of the Controller

June 12, 2024

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.



Department of Building Inspection Proposed Budget FY 2025-26 and 2026-27

Patrick O’Riordan, Director



City & County of San Francisco Ethics Commission

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.



FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Departmental Budget Presentation BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee Wednesday, June 11, 2025

Patrick Ford, Executive Director



Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

DRAFT

sfac san francisco
arts commission

FY 2026 & FY 2027 Proposed Budget

Budget and Finance Committee Hearing
June 12, 2025

La Rose des Vents by Jean-Michel Othoniel, 2015; Photo by Ethan Kaplan Photography

SCI FY25-26 & FY 26-27 Budget Presentation

Mathew Lau
Chief Financial Officer
California Academy of Sciences

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.



[Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.](#)



Department of Child Support Services

Proposed Budget for

FY 2025-2026 and FY 2026-2027

Karen M. Roye, Department Head





San Francisco Recreation & Parks

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

The San Francisco Recreation and Park Department's Mission is to provide enriching recreational activities, maintain beautiful parks and preserve the environment for the well-being of everyone in our diverse community



**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BUDGET & APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE**

June 2025



Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

FY 25-27 BUDGET

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development and Office of the Mayor



MAYOR'S OFFICE OF
HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT



OFFICE OF MAYOR DANIEL LURIE

Proposed Budget
June 12, 2025



Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN RIGHTS
COMMISSION



FY26 & FY27 Proposed Budget

Human Rights Commission

June 12, 2025

DRAFT
AS OF JUNE 6, 2025

SINCE



1870


Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

SAN FRANCISCO LAW LIBRARY

Providing the judiciary, the public, the bar, and city, county, and state officials free access and use of legal reference materials in order that they may conduct their legal affairs and preserve their legal rights.

<https://sf.gov/sflawlibrary>

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.



**SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY**

SF Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee

Fiscal Year 26 & 27 Proposed Budget

Michael Lambert
City Librarian

[Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.](#)

RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION & ARBITRATION BOARD

BUDGET PRESENTATION
FY 2025-2026 & FY 2026-2027
JUNE 13, 2025

Click on this page to be redirected to the Legislative Research Center to view the entirety of this document.

San Francisco Public Defender Mano Raju

Budget Presentation to Board of Supervisors

June 13, 2025



**SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC DEFENDER**

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

Note: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
deletions are ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman~~.
Board amendment additions are double underlined.
Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

AN ORDINANCE ENUMERATING POSITIONS IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET AND
APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2026, AND
JUNE 30, 2027 CONTINUING, CREATING, OR ESTABLISHING THESE POSITIONS;
ENUMERATING AND INCLUDING THEREIN ALL POSITIONS CREATED BY CHARTER OR
STATE LAW FOR WHICH COMPENSATIONS ARE PAID FROM CITY AND COUNTY
FUNDS AND APPROPRIATED IN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE;
AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS OR CONTINUATION OF APPOINTMENTS THERETO;
SPECIFYING AND FIXING THE COMPENSATIONS AND WORK SCHEDULES THEREOF;
AND AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY POSITIONS AND FIXING
COMPENSATIONS THEREFORE.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT, CREATION AND CONTINUATION OF POSITIONS.

In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Code and Labor & Employment Code,
the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created,
established, or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2026. Positions created or
authorized by Charter or State law, compensations for which are paid from City and County
funds and appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, as that ordinance may be
modified during the fiscal year in supplemental appropriation or de-appropriation ordinances

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

(together “Annual Appropriation Ordinance”), are enumerated and included herein.

The word “position” or “positions” as used in the ordinance shall be construed to include office or offices, and the word “employee” or “employees” shall be construed to include officer or officers. The terms “requisition” and “request to fill” are intended to be synonymous and shall be construed to mean a position authorization that is required by the Charter.

Section 1.1. APPOINTMENTS AND VACANCIES - PERMANENT POSITIONS.

Section 1.1A. Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, to make or continue appointments as needed during the fiscal year to permanent positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance. Such appointments shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Charter. Appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Controller. Provided further, that if the Mayor declares an intent to approve requests to fill due to unanticipated financial reasons, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Mayor. Provided further, that if changes occur to the classification, compensation, or duties of a permanent position, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in such position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Department of Human Resources. Provided further, that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of a request to fill, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee.

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1
2 Provided that if the proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller
3 shall approve as to conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure.

4 Appointing officers shall not authorize or permit employees to work in inter-departmental
5 service unless the following provisions are satisfied. The payment of compensation for
6 the employment of persons in inter-departmental service shall be within the limit of the
7 funds made available by certified inter-departmental work orders and such compensation
8 shall be distributed to the inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute
9 proper detailed charges.

10
11 A. If the appointing officer is unable to employ a qualified person to cover the work
12 schedule of a position herein established or authorized, the appointing officer, subject
13 to the provisions of this ordinance and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and with
14 the approval of the Department of Human Resources, may in the appointing officer's
15 discretion employ more than one person on a lesser work schedule but the combined
16 salaries shall not exceed the compensation appropriated for the position, or may
17 appoint one person on a combined work schedule but subject to the limitation of the
18 appropriation and the compensation schedule for the position and without
19 amendment to this ordinance.

20
21 B. Where a vacancy exists in a position, the Human Resources Director may and is
22 hereby authorized to approve a temporary (diverted) request to fill in a different class,
23 provided that the Controller certifies that funds are available to fill that vacancy on this
24 basis, and provided that no action taken as a result of the application of this section
25 will affect the classification of the position concerned as established in the Annual

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1 Appropriation Ordinance and this ordinance.

2
3 An appointing officer, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, the Annual
4 Appropriation Ordinance, the Controller's certification of funds, and Civil Service
5 certification procedures, may employ more than one person on a combined work
6 schedule not to exceed the permanent full-time equivalent, or may combine the
7 appropriations for more than one permanent part-time position in order to create a single
8 full-time equivalent position limited to classifications of positions herein established or
9 authorized and their respective compensation schedules. Such changes shall be
10 reported to the Department of Human Resources and the Controller's office. No full-time
11 equivalent position which is occupied by an employee shall be reduced in hours without
12 the voluntary consent of the employee, if any, holding that position. However, the
13 combined salaries for part-time positions created shall not exceed the compensation
14 appropriated for the full-time position, nor will the salary of a single full-time position
15 created exceed the compensation appropriated for part-time positions. Each permanent
16 part-time employee shall receive the same benefits as existing permanent part-time
17 employees. The funding of additional fringe benefit costs subject to availability of funds
18 will be from any legally available funds.

19
20 Section 1.1B. The Human Resources Director is solely authorized to administratively
21 adjust the terms of this ordinance as follows:

22
23 A. To change the classification of a position provided that the rate of pay is the
24 same or less and the services are in the same functional area.

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1 B. To adjust the compensation of a position pursuant to an approved Memorandum
2 of Understanding or ordinance.

3
4 C. To reflect the initial rates of compensation for a newly established classification,
5 excluding classes covered under Administrative Code Sections 2A.76 and 2A.90.

6
7 D. To add positions funded in accordance with section 10.22 of the Annual
8 Appropriation Ordinance, regarding Professional Service Contracts, and section 26
9 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, regarding work order appropriations.

10
11 The Department of Human Resources shall promptly notify the Controller, the Clerk of the
12 Board, the Mayor's Office and the affected department(s) if the Human Resources Director
13 takes such actions as authorized above.

14
15 Section 1.1C. Provided further, that if requests to fill for vacant permanent positions issued by
16 departments where the appointing officers are elected officials enumerated in Article II and
17 Section 6.100 of the Charter (the Board of Supervisors, Assessor-Recorder, City Attorney,
18 District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, and Treasurer) are approved by the Controller and
19 are not approved or rejected by the Mayor and the Department of Human Resources within 15
20 working days of submission, the requests to fill shall be deemed approved. If such requests
21 to fill are rejected by the Mayor and/or the Department of Human Resources, the appointing
22 officers listed above may appeal that rejection in a hearing before the Budget and Finance
23 and/or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of
24 Supervisors in its discretion may then grant approval of said requests to fill.

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1 Section 1.1D. The Human Resources Director is authorized to make permanent exempt
2 appointments for a period of up to 6 months to permit simultaneous employment of an existing
3 City employee who is expected to depart City employment and a person who is expected to
4 be appointed to the permanent position previously held by the departing employee when such
5 an appointment is necessary to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to
6 facilitate the transfer of mission-critical knowledge within City departments.

7
8 Section 1.1E. The Human Resources Director, with concurrence of the Controller, is
9 authorized to adjust the terms of this ordinance to reflect the conversion of temporary
10 positions to a permanent position(s) when sufficient funding is available and conversion is
11 needed either (A) to maintain services when elimination of temporary positions is consistent
12 with the terms of Memoranda of Understanding, or (B) to address City staffing needs created
13 by the San Francisco Housing Authority's changing scope of work, or (C) when the Human
14 Resources Director determines the conversion is warranted and the Controller certifies that
15 the conversion will not require additional salary appropriations in that fiscal year.

16
17 Section 1.2. APPOINTMENTS - TEMPORARY POSITIONS.

18
19 Section 1.2A. Temporary appointments to positions defined by Charter Section 10.104(16) as
20 seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess
21 of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated and such other
22 temporary services as required at rates not in excess of salary schedules if funds have been
23 appropriated and are available for such temporary service. Such appointments shall be
24 limited in duration to no more than 1040 hours in any fiscal year. No appointment to such
25 temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller has certified the availability

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1 of funds, and the request to fill for such service is approved by the Controller and the
2 Department of Human Resources. Provided further that in order to prevent the stoppage of
3 essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment
4 pending approval or disapproval of the request to fill, if funds are available to pay the
5 compensation of such emergency appointee. No such appointment shall continue beyond the
6 period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. Provided that if the
7 proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller shall approve as to
8 conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure. Appointing officers shall not
9 authorize or permit employees to work in inter-departmental service unless the following
10 provisions are complied with. The payment of compensation for the employment of persons
11 in inter-departmental service shall be within the limit of the funds made available by certified
12 inter-departmental work orders and such compensation shall be distributed to the
13 inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute proper detailed charges.

14
15 Section 1.2B. Temporary Assignment, Different Department. When the needs and the best
16 interests of the City require, appointing officers are authorized to arrange among themselves
17 the assignment of personnel from one department to another department on a temporary
18 basis. Such temporary assignments shall not be treated as transfers, and may be used to
19 alleviate temporary seasonal peak load situations, complete specific projects, provide
20 temporary transitional work programs to return injured employees to work, or other
21 circumstances in which employees from one department can be effectively used on a
22 temporary basis in another department. All such temporary assignments between
23 departments shall be reviewed and approved by the Department of Human Resources.

24
25 Section 1.3. EXCEPTIONS TO NORMAL WORK SCHEDULES FOR WHICH NO EXTRA

1 COMPENSATION IS AUTHORIZED.

2
3 Employees appointed to salaried classifications (i.e., designated –Z symbol) shall work such
4 hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall
5 receive no additional compensation for work on holidays or in excess of eight hours per day
6 for five days per week, but may be granted compensatory time off under the provisions of any
7 applicable Memorandum of Understanding or ordinance. Provided that, subject to the fiscal
8 provisions of the Charter and the availability of funds, the Human Resources Director may
9 suspend the provisions of this section to allow overtime payment. Approval of overtime
10 payments shall be limited to extraordinary circumstances in which employees are required to
11 work a significant number of hours in excess of their regular work schedules for a prolonged
12 period of time, with a limited ability to use compensatory time off. Further, such payment shall
13 be consistently applied to all personnel in a class.

14
15 SECTION 2. COMPENSATION PROVISIONS.

16
17 Section 2.1. PUC EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED TO HETCH HETCHY AND RECREATION
18 AND PARKS EMPLOYEES PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED TO CAMP MATHER.

19
20 The Public Utilities Commission and Recreation and Parks Department will pay a stipend
21 of \$558.72 per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled in the
22 Health Services System with employee plus two or more dependents where HMOs are not
23 available and such employees are limited to enrollment to the City Plan I. The Public
24 Utilities Commission and Recreation and Parks Department will pay a stipend of \$162.67
25 per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled in the Health

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

Services System with employee plus one dependent where HMOs are not available and such employees are limited to enrollment to City Plan I. These rates may be adjusted by the Health Service System Board to reflect the increase in premiums effective January 1, 2025. The City reserves the right to either reimburse the affected employees or provide an equivalent amount directly to the Health Services System.

Section 2.2. MOVING EXPENSES.

Where needed to recruit employees to fill Department Head, Deputy Director or Manager Level IV or higher (Manager V or higher for SFMTA) positions, an appointing authority may authorize the expenditure of pre-offer recruitment expenses, such as interview travel expenses, and reimbursement of post-offer expenses, such as moving, lodging/temporary housing and other relocation costs, not to exceed \$27,004. Reimbursement will be made for actual expenses documented by receipts. As an alternative, the Controller may authorize advance payment of approved expenses. Payments under this section are subject to approval by the Controller and the Human Resources Director (except for SFMTA, where the approval is the Controller and Director of Transportation). This amount shall be indexed to the growth rate in the Consumer Price Index – All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the San Francisco Metropolitan Statistical Area from February to February of the preceding fiscal year.

Section 2.3. SUPPLEMENTATION OF MILITARY PAY.

A. In accordance with Charter Section A8.400(h) and in addition to the benefits provided pursuant to Section 395.01 and 395.02 of the California Military and Veterans Code and

1 the Civil Service Rules, any City employee who is a member of the reserve corps of the
2 United States Armed Forces, National Guard or other uniformed service organization of
3 the United States and is called into active military service in response to the September
4 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related extraordinary
5 circumstances, or to provide medical or logistical support to federal, state, or local
6 government responses to the COVID-19 pandemic shall have the benefits provided for in
7 subdivision (B).

8
9 B. Any employee to whom subdivision (A) applies, while on military leave, shall receive
10 from the City the following supplement to their military pay and benefits:

11
12 1. The difference between the amount of the individual's gross military pay and the
13 amount of gross pay the individual would have received as a City employee, had the
14 employee worked the employee's regular work schedule (excluding overtime unless
15 regularly scheduled as part of the employee's regular work schedule).

16
17 2. Retirement service credit consistent with Section A8.520 of the Charter.

18
19 3. All other benefits to which the employee would have been entitled had the employee
20 not been called to eligible active military service, except as limited under state law or
21 the Charter.

22
23 C. As set forth in Charter Section A8.400(h), this section shall be subject to the following
24 limitations and conditions:

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

1 1. The employee must have been called into active service for a period greater than 30
2 consecutive days.

3
4 2. The purpose for such call to active service shall have been to respond to the
5 September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related
6 extraordinary circumstances, or to the COVID-19 pandemic, and shall not include
7 scheduled training, drills, unit training assemblies or similar events.

8
9 3. The amounts authorized under this section shall be offset by amounts required to be
10 paid pursuant to any other law, so there are no double payments to the employee.

11
12 4. Any employee receiving compensation under this section shall execute an
13 agreement providing that if the employee does not return to City service within 60 days
14 of release from active duty (or if the employee is not fit for employment at that time,
15 within 60 days of a determination that the employee is fit for employment), then the
16 compensation described in Sections (B)(1) through (B)(3) shall be treated as a loan
17 payable with interest at a rate equal to the greater of (i) the rate received for the
18 concurrent period by the Treasurer's Pooled Cash Account or (ii) the minimum amount
19 necessary to avoid imputed income under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as
20 amended from time to time, and any successor statute. Interest shall begin to accrue
21 90 days after the employee's release from active service or return to fitness for
22 employment. Such loan shall be payable in equal monthly installments over a period
23 not to exceed 5 years, commencing 90 days after the individual's release from active
24 service or return to fitness for employment.

1 5. This section shall not apply to any active duty served voluntarily after the time that
2 the employee is called to active service.

3
4 Section 2.4 CITY EMPLOYEES SERVING ON CHARTER-MANDATED BOARDS AND
5 COMMISSIONS, OR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES CREATED BY
6 INITIATIVE ORDINANCE.

7
8 A. City employees serving on Charter-mandated boards and commissions, or boards,
9 commissions and committees created by initiative ordinance, shall not be compensated for
10 the number of hours each pay period spent in service of these boards and commissions,
11 based on a 40-hour per week compensation assumption.

12
13 B. City employees covered by this provision shall submit to the Controller each pay period
14 a detailed description of the time spent in service, including attending meetings, preparing
15 for meetings, meeting with interested stakeholders or industry, and writing or responding to
16 correspondence. There is a rebuttable presumption that such employees spend 0.25 of
17 their time in service of these duties. This information shall be made publicly available
18 pursuant to the Sunshine Ordinance.

19
20 C. This provision shall not apply to City employees whose service is specified in the
21 Charter or by initiative ordinance, nor shall it apply to City employees serving on
22 interdepartmental or other working groups created by initiative of the Mayor or Board of
23 Supervisors, nor shall it apply to City employees who serve on the Health Service Board,
24 Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, or Retirement Board

1 Section 2.5 STIPEND FOR PLANNING COMMISSIONERS FOR ATTENDANCE AT
2 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS.

3
4 Each commissioner serving on the Planning Commission may receive full stipend for that
5 commissioner's attendance at each meeting of the Commission, as enumerated and included
6 herein, if the commissioner is present at the beginning of the first action item on the agenda
7 for such meeting for which a vote is taken until the end of the public hearing on the last
8 calendared item. A commissioner of the Planning Commission who attends a portion of a
9 meeting of the Planning Commission, but does not qualify for full stipend, may receive one-
10 quarter of the stipend available for the commissioner's attendance at each meeting of the
11 commission, as enumerated and included herein.

12
13 Section 2.6 FIRE CHIEF RESIDENCE.

14
15 In connection with employment as Fire Chief, the Fire Chief is authorized to use residential
16 areas in the building known as the Dennis T. Sullivan Memorial Fire Chief's Home, located at
17 870 Bush Street, as a personal residence, subject to the terms of a lease between the Chief
18 and the Real Estate Division.

19
20
21
22 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

23
24 By: /s/
CECILIA MANGOBA
25 Deputy City Attorney

Annual Salary Ordinance Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS.

The following symbols used in connection with the rates fixed herein have the significance and meaning indicated.

B. Biweekly.

C. Contract rate.

D. Daily.

E. Salary fixed by Charter.

F. Salary fixed by State law.

G. Salary adjusted pursuant to ratified Memorandum of Understanding.

H. Hourly.

I. Intermittent.

J. Rate set forth in budget.

K. Salary based on disability transfer.

L. Salary paid by City and County and balance paid by State.

M. Monthly.

O. No funds provided.

P. Premium rate.

Q. At rate set under Charter Section A8.405 according to prior service.

W. Weekly.

Y. Yearly.

Note: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
deletions are ~~strikethrough italics Times New Roman~~.
Board amendment additions are double underlined.
Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

AN ORDINANCE ENUMERATING POSITIONS IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET AND
APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 202~~5~~6, AND
JUNE 30, 202~~7~~6 CONTINUING, CREATING, OR ESTABLISHING THESE POSITIONS;
ENUMERATING AND INCLUDING THEREIN ALL POSITIONS CREATED BY CHARTER OR
STATE LAW FOR WHICH COMPENSATIONS ARE PAID FROM CITY AND COUNTY
FUNDS AND APPROPRIATED IN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE;
AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS OR CONTINUATION OF APPOINTMENTS THERETO;
SPECIFYING AND FIXING THE COMPENSATIONS AND WORK SCHEDULES THEREOF;
AND AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY POSITIONS AND FIXING
COMPENSATIONS THEREFORE.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT, CREATION AND CONTINUATION OF POSITIONS.

In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Code and Labor & Employment Code,
the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created,
established, or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 202~~6~~5. Positions created or
authorized by Charter or State law, compensations for which are paid from City and County
funds and appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, as that ordinance may be
modified during the fiscal year in supplemental appropriation or de-appropriation ordinances

(together “Annual Appropriation Ordinance”), are enumerated and included herein.

The word “position” or “positions” as used in the ordinance shall be construed to include office or offices, and the word “employee” or “employees” shall be construed to include officer or officers. The terms “requisition” and “request to fill” are intended to be synonymous and shall be construed to mean a position authorization that is required by the Charter.

Section 1.1. APPOINTMENTS AND VACANCIES - PERMANENT POSITIONS.

Section 1.1A. Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, to make or continue appointments as needed during the fiscal year to permanent positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance. Such appointments shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Charter. Appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Controller. Provided further, that if the Mayor declares an intent to approve requests to fill due to unanticipated financial reasons, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Mayor. Provided further, that if changes occur to the classification, compensation, or duties of a permanent position, appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in such position until the request to fill for such position is approved by the Department of Human Resources. Provided further, that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of a request to fill, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee.

1
2 Provided that if the proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller
3 shall approve as to conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure.

4 Appointing officers shall not authorize or permit employees to work in inter-departmental
5 service unless the following provisions are satisfied. The payment of compensation for
6 the employment of persons in inter-departmental service shall be within the limit of the
7 funds made available by certified inter-departmental work orders and such compensation
8 shall be distributed to the inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute
9 proper detailed charges.
10

11 A. If the appointing officer is unable to employ a qualified person to cover the work
12 schedule of a position herein established or authorized, the appointing officer, subject
13 to the provisions of this ordinance and the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and with
14 the approval of the Department of Human Resources, may in the appointing officer's
15 discretion employ more than one person on a lesser work schedule but the combined
16 salaries shall not exceed the compensation appropriated for the position, or may
17 appoint one person on a combined work schedule but subject to the limitation of the
18 appropriation and the compensation schedule for the position and without
19 amendment to this ordinance.
20

21 B. Where a vacancy exists in a position, the Human Resources Director may and is
22 hereby authorized to approve a temporary (diverted) request to fill in a different class,
23 provided that the Controller certifies that funds are available to fill that vacancy on this
24 basis, and provided that no action taken as a result of the application of this section
25 will affect the classification of the position concerned as established in the Annual

Appropriation Ordinance and this ordinance.

An appointing officer, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, the Controller's certification of funds, and Civil Service certification procedures, may employ more than one person on a combined work schedule not to exceed the permanent full-time equivalent, or may combine the appropriations for more than one permanent part-time position in order to create a single full-time equivalent position limited to classifications of positions herein established or authorized and their respective compensation schedules. Such changes shall be reported to the Department of Human Resources and the Controller's office. No full-time equivalent position which is occupied by an employee shall be reduced in hours without the voluntary consent of the employee, if any, holding that position. However, the combined salaries for part-time positions created shall not exceed the compensation appropriated for the full-time position, nor will the salary of a single full-time position created exceed the compensation appropriated for part-time positions. Each permanent part-time employee shall receive the same benefits as existing permanent part-time employees. The funding of additional fringe benefit costs subject to availability of funds will be from any legally available funds.

Section 1.1B. The Human Resources Director is solely authorized to administratively adjust the terms of this ordinance as follows:

A. To change the classification of a position provided that the rate of pay is the same or less and the services are in the same functional area.

B. To adjust the compensation of a position pursuant to an approved Memorandum of Understanding or ordinance.

C. To reflect the initial rates of compensation for a newly established classification, excluding classes covered under Administrative Code Sections 2A.76 and 2A.90.

D. To add positions funded in accordance with section 10.22 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, regarding Professional Service Contracts, and section 26 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, regarding work order appropriations.

The Department of Human Resources shall promptly notify the Controller, the Clerk of the Board, the Mayor's Office and the affected department(s) if the Human Resources Director takes such actions as authorized above.

Section 1.1C. Provided further, that if requests to fill for vacant permanent positions issued by departments where the appointing officers are elected officials enumerated in Article II and Section 6.100 of the Charter (the Board of Supervisors, Assessor-Recorder, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, and Treasurer) are approved by the Controller and are not approved or rejected by the Mayor and the Department of Human Resources within 15 working days of submission, the requests to fill shall be deemed approved. If such requests to fill are rejected by the Mayor and/or the Department of Human Resources, the appointing officers listed above may appeal that rejection in a hearing before the Budget and Finance and/or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and the Board of Supervisors in its discretion may then grant approval of said requests to fill.

1 Section 1.1D. The Human Resources Director is authorized to make permanent exempt
2 appointments for a period of up to 6 months to permit simultaneous employment of an existing
3 City employee who is expected to depart City employment and a person who is expected to
4 be appointed to the permanent position previously held by the departing employee when such
5 an appointment is necessary to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to
6 facilitate the transfer of mission-critical knowledge within City departments.

7
8 Section 1.1E. The Human Resources Director, with concurrence of the Controller, is
9 authorized to adjust the terms of this ordinance to reflect the conversion of temporary
10 positions to a permanent position(s) when sufficient funding is available and conversion is
11 needed either (A) to maintain services when elimination of temporary positions is consistent
12 with the terms of Memoranda of Understanding, or (B) to address City staffing needs created
13 by the San Francisco Housing Authority's changing scope of work, or (C) when the Human
14 Resources Director determines the conversion is warranted and the Controller certifies that
15 the conversion will not require additional salary appropriations in that fiscal year.

16
17 Section 1.2. APPOINTMENTS - TEMPORARY POSITIONS.

18
19 Section 1.2A. Temporary appointments to positions defined by Charter Section 10.104(16) as
20 seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess
21 of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated and such other
22 temporary services as required at rates not in excess of salary schedules if funds have been
23 appropriated and are available for such temporary service. Such appointments shall be
24 limited in duration to no more than 1040 hours in any fiscal year. No appointment to such
25 temporary or seasonal position shall be made until the Controller has certified the availability

of funds, and the request to fill for such service is approved by the Controller and the Department of Human Resources. Provided further that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services, the Human Resources Director may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of the request to fill, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee. No such appointment shall continue beyond the period for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds. Provided that if the proposed employment is for inter-departmental service, the Controller shall approve as to conformity with the following inter-departmental procedure. Appointing officers shall not authorize or permit employees to work in inter-departmental service unless the following provisions are complied with. The payment of compensation for the employment of persons in inter-departmental service shall be within the limit of the funds made available by certified inter-departmental work orders and such compensation shall be distributed to the inter-departmental work orders against which they constitute proper detailed charges.

Section 1.2B. Temporary Assignment, Different Department. When the needs and the best interests of the City require, appointing officers are authorized to arrange among themselves the assignment of personnel from one department to another department on a temporary basis. Such temporary assignments shall not be treated as transfers, and may be used to alleviate temporary seasonal peak load situations, complete specific projects, provide temporary transitional work programs to return injured employees to work, or other circumstances in which employees from one department can be effectively used on a temporary basis in another department. All such temporary assignments between departments shall be reviewed and approved by the Department of Human Resources.

Section 1.3. EXCEPTIONS TO NORMAL WORK SCHEDULES FOR WHICH NO EXTRA

1 COMPENSATION IS AUTHORIZED.

2
3 Employees appointed to salaried classifications (i.e., designated –Z symbol) shall work such
4 hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall
5 receive no additional compensation for work on holidays or in excess of eight hours per day
6 for five days per week, but may be granted compensatory time off under the provisions of any
7 applicable Memorandum of Understanding or ordinance. Provided that, subject to the fiscal
8 provisions of the Charter and the availability of funds, the Human Resources Director may
9 suspend the provisions of this section to allow overtime payment. Approval of overtime
10 payments shall be limited to extraordinary circumstances in which employees are required to
11 work a significant number of hours in excess of their regular work schedules for a prolonged
12 period of time, with a limited ability to use compensatory time off. Further, such payment shall
13 be consistently applied to all personnel in a class.

14
15 SECTION 2. COMPENSATION PROVISIONS.

16
17 Section 2.1. PUC EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED TO HETCH HETCHY AND RECREATION
18 AND PARKS EMPLOYEES PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED TO CAMP MATHER.

19
20 The Public Utilities Commission and Recreation and Parks Department will pay a stipend
21 of ~~—\$55498.7248~~ per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled
22 in the Health Services System with employee plus two or more dependents where HMOs
23 are not available and such employees are limited to enrollment to the City Plan I. The
24 Public Utilities Commission and Recreation and Parks Department will pay a stipend ~~of~~
25 \$of \$16249.674 per month to employees residing in designated zip code areas enrolled in

1 the Health Services System with employee plus one dependent where HMOs are not
2 available and such employees are limited to enrollment to City Plan I. These rates may be
3 adjusted by the Health Service System Board to reflect the increase in premiums effective
4 January 1, 202~~5~~⁴. The City reserves the right to either reimburse the affected employees
5 or provide an equivalent amount directly to the Health Services System.

6
7 Section 2.2. MOVING EXPENSES.

8
9 Where needed to recruit employees to fill Department Head, Deputy Director or Manager
10 Level IV or higher (Manager V or higher for SFMTA) positions, an appointing authority may
11 authorize the expenditure of pre-offer recruitment expenses, such as interview travel
12 expenses, and reimbursement of post-offer expenses, such as moving, lodging/temporary
13 housing and other relocation costs, not to exceed \$2~~7,0046,294~~⁷. Reimbursement will be
14 made for actual expenses documented by receipts. As an alternative, the Controller may
15 authorize advance payment of approved expenses. Payments under this section are
16 subject to approval by the Controller and the Human Resources Director (except for
17 SFMTA, where the approval is the Controller and Director of Transportation). This amount
18 shall be indexed to the growth rate in the Consumer Price Index – All Urban Consumers
19 (CPI-U), as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the San Francisco Metropolitan
20 Statistical Area from February to February of the preceding fiscal year.

21
22 Section 2.3. SUPPLEMENTATION OF MILITARY PAY.

23
24 A. In accordance with Charter Section A8.400(h) and in addition to the benefits provided
25 pursuant to Section 395.01 and 395.02 of the California Military and Veterans Code and

1 the Civil Service Rules, any City employee who is a member of the reserve corps of the
2 United States Armed Forces, National Guard or other uniformed service organization of
3 the United States and is called into active military service in response to the September
4 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related extraordinary
5 circumstances, or to provide medical or logistical support to federal, state, or local
6 government responses to the COVID-19 pandemic shall have the benefits provided for in
7 subdivision (B).

8
9 B. Any employee to whom subdivision (A) applies, while on military leave, shall receive
10 from the City the following supplement to their military pay and benefits:

11
12 1. The difference between the amount of the individual's gross military pay and the
13 amount of gross pay the individual would have received as a City employee, had the
14 employee worked the employee's regular work schedule (excluding overtime unless
15 regularly scheduled as part of the employee's regular work schedule).

16
17 2. Retirement service credit consistent with Section A8.520 of the Charter.

18
19 3. All other benefits to which the employee would have been entitled had the employee
20 not been called to eligible active military service, except as limited under state law or
21 the Charter.

22
23 C. As set forth in Charter Section A8.400(h), this section shall be subject to the following
24 limitations and conditions:

1. The employee must have been called into active service for a period greater than 30 consecutive days.

2. The purpose for such call to active service shall have been to respond to the September 11th, 2001 terrorist attacks, international terrorism, conflict in Iraq or related extraordinary circumstances, or to the COVID-19 pandemic, and shall not include scheduled training, drills, unit training assemblies or similar events.

3. The amounts authorized under this section shall be offset by amounts required to be paid pursuant to any other law, so there are no double payments to the employee.

4. Any employee receiving compensation under this section shall execute an agreement providing that if the employee does not return to City service within 60 days of release from active duty (or if the employee is not fit for employment at that time, within 60 days of a determination that the employee is fit for employment), then the compensation described in Sections (B)(1) through (B)(3) shall be treated as a loan payable with interest at a rate equal to the greater of (i) the rate received for the concurrent period by the Treasurer's Pooled Cash Account or (ii) the minimum amount necessary to avoid imputed income under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, and any successor statute. Interest shall begin to accrue 90 days after the employee's release from active service or return to fitness for employment. Such loan shall be payable in equal monthly installments over a period not to exceed 5 years, commencing 90 days after the individual's release from active service or return to fitness for employment.

5. This section shall not apply to any active duty served voluntarily after the time that the employee is called to active service.

Section 2.4 CITY EMPLOYEES SERVING ON CHARTER-MANDATED BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS, OR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES CREATED BY INITIATIVE ORDINANCE.

A. City employees serving on Charter-mandated boards and commissions, or boards, commissions and committees created by initiative ordinance, shall not be compensated for the number of hours each pay period spent in service of these boards and commissions, based on a 40-hour per week compensation assumption.

B. City employees covered by this provision shall submit to the Controller each pay period a detailed description of the time spent in service, including attending meetings, preparing for meetings, meeting with interested stakeholders or industry, and writing or responding to correspondence. There is a rebuttable presumption that such employees spend 0.25 of their time in service of these duties. This information shall be made publicly available pursuant to the Sunshine Ordinance.

C. This provision shall not apply to City employees whose service is specified in the Charter or by initiative ordinance, nor shall it apply to City employees serving on interdepartmental or other working groups created by initiative of the Mayor or Board of Supervisors, nor shall it apply to City employees who serve on the Health Service Board, Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board, or Retirement Board

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Section 2.6 FIRE CHIEF RESIDENCE.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/
CECILIA MANGOKAKATE KIMBERLIN
Deputy City Attorney

EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS.

The following symbols used in connection with the rates fixed herein have the significance and meaning indicated.

- B. Biweekly.
- C. Contract rate.
- D. Daily.
- E. Salary fixed by Charter.
- F. Salary fixed by State law.
- G. Salary adjusted pursuant to ratified Memorandum of Understanding.
- H. Hourly.
- I. Intermittent.
- J. Rate set forth in budget.
- K. Salary based on disability transfer.

L. Salary paid by City and County and balance paid by State.

M. Monthly.

O. No funds provided.

P. Premium rate.

Q. At rate set under Charter Section A8.405 according to prior service.

W. Weekly.

Y. Yearly.



RECEIVED Ak
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PM04:21

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: May 30, 2025
Re: Interim Exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance

Dear Madam Clerk,

I herein present exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO) and Annual Appropriations Ordinance (AAO) for consideration by the Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors. The City's standard practice is to budget new positions beginning in pay period 7, at 0.79 FTE. Where there is justification for expedited hiring and spending, however, the Board may authorize exceptions to the Interim ASO and AAO, which allow new positions to be filled, prior to final adoption of the budget.

Exceptions are being requested for the following positions:

General Fund Positions (56.3 FTE)

- **Office of the Controller (CON)**
1688 Inspector General, Controller's Office (1.0 FTE). This position was created by voters through the passage of Proposition C in November 2024.
- **Department of Human Resources (HRD)**
1042 IS Engineer-Journey (3.0 FTE); 1053 IS Business Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE). These filled positions have been previously funded through project-based funding and are critical to support ongoing Hiring Modernization projects approved by the Committee on Information Technology (COIT).
- **Department of Public Health (DPH)**
2230 Physician Specialist (0.4 FTE); 0932 Manager IV (1.0 FTE); 1636 Health Care Billing Clerk II (1.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1932 Assistant Storekeeper (1.0 FTE); 2232 Senior Physician Specialist (1.0 FTE); 2593 Health Program Coordinator III (1.0 FTE); 2909 Hospital Eligibility Worker Supervisor (1.0 FTE); 2920 Medical Social Worker (1.0 FTE); P103 Special Nurse (1.4 FTE); 2328 Nurse Practitioner (1.5 FTE); 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE); 2588 Health Worker IV (2.0 FTE); 2586 Health Worker II (3.0 FTE); 2587 Health Worker III (3.0 FTE); 2312 Licensed Vocational Nurse (6.0 FTE); 2320 Registered Nurse (10.0 FTE); 2930 Behavioral Health Clinician (13.0 FTE). These positions are part of the City's investment in transformed behavioral health and homelessness response, with greater accountability, integrated service delivery, and prioritization of compassionate care.

Non-General Fund Positions (10.5 FTE)

- **Office of the Public Defender (PDR)**
8177 Attorney (Civil/Criminal) (1.0 FTE). An interim exception is required as this is a


new grant for an existing Clean Slate Attorney position at PDR.

- **Department of Public Health (DPH)**

2320 Registered Nurse (0.5 FTE); 2586 Health Worker II (1.0 FTE); 2587 Health Worker III (2.0 FTE); 2588 Health Worker IV (2.0 FTE); 2930 Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE); 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician (2.0 FTE). These positions are part of the City's investment in transformed behavioral health and homelessness response, with greater accountability, integrated service delivery, and prioritization of compassionate care.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding the requested interim exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SK' or similar, with a horizontal line extending to the left.

Sophia Kittler
Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee
Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office
Controller

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



DANIEL LURIE
MAYOR

RECEIVED **AK**
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PM04:20

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: May 30, 2025
Re: Minimum Compensation Ordinance and the Mayor's FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27
Proposed Budget

Madam Clerk,

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, SEC 12P.3, the minimum compensation for nonprofit corporations will be \$21.55 as of July 1, 2025, eventually reaching \$23.00 by January 1, 2026.

Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, SEC 12P.3, the minimum compensation for public entities will be \$22.50 as of July, 1, 2025, eventually reaching \$23.00 by January 1, 2026.

This letter provides notice to the Board of Supervisors that the Mayor's proposed budget for Fiscal Years (FY) FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 contains funding to support these minimum compensation age levels for nonprofit corporations and public entities.

If you have any questions, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Sophia Kittler", with a stylized, cursive script.

Sophia Kittler
Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office
Controller



RECEIVED **AK**
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PM 04:20

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: May 30, 2025
Re: Notice of Transfer of Functions under Charter Section 4.132

This memorandum constitutes notice to the Board of Supervisors under Charter Section 4.132 of transfers of functions between departments within the Executive Branch. All positions are regular positions unless otherwise specified. The positions include the following:


- One position (1.0 FTE 1093 IT Operations Support Administrator III) from the War Memorial (WAR) to the Department of Technology (TIS) in response to TIS's proposal to take on IT management responsibilities.
- Two positions (1.0 FTE 1054 IS Business Analyst-Principal; 1.0 FTE 1052 IS Business Analyst) to be transferred from the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families (CHF) to TIS to streamline IT service provision citywide, consolidating IT functions under the Department of Technology to improve efficiency and service delivery..
- Two positions (1.0 FTE 0922 Manager I; 1.0 FTE 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst) from the Human Rights Commission (HRC) to the Police Department (POL), which make up the Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) team, to be transferred from HRC to POL as the final move to transition SHARP to POL.
- Four positions (2.0 FTE 1777 Media/Security Systems Specialist; 2.0 FTE 1781 Media/Security Systems Supervisor) to be transferred from the Office of the City Administrator to TIS to align functions under the most appropriate teams. Currently, A/V services are split between Media Services and SFGovTV. Consolidating all A/V responsibilities under SFGovTV would improve service delivery, increase staffing flexibility, and better align technical expertise. Media Services also oversees security systems in Real Estate-managed buildings, and this responsibility would remain with Real Estate as part of its facilities management role.
- Fifteen positions, including all 7 Commissioners, (0.7 FTE 0111 Board/Commission Members, Group II; 0.09 FTE 0931 Manager III; 0.51 FTE 1823 Administrative Analyst; 2.0 FTE 2998 Representatives, Commission on the Status of Women; 1.0 FTE 0961 Department Head; 1.0 FTE 1840 Junior Management Assistant; 1.0 FTE 1822 Administrative Analyst; 1.0 FTE 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst from the Department on the Status of Women (WOM) to HRC). This list includes six General Fund and two Non-General Fund positions. These changes are made through the Department and do not affect the Commission on the Status of Women. The changes are made at the Mayor's request to consolidate the two Departments into a singular agency to

share financial resources that will benefit the communities of San Francisco and allow the Commissions to effectively follow their Charter mandates.

- Eleven positions (1.0 FTE 2593 Health Program Coordinator III; 1.0 FTE 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician; 2.0 FTE Behavioral Health Clinician; 7.0 FTE 2587 Health Worker III) from the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Services (HOM) to the Department of Public Health (DPH) to integrate staff providing physical and mental health support to people experiencing homelessness or transitioning out of homelessness as part of Whole Person Integrated Care.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SK', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Sophia Kittler
Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee
Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office
Controller



BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC)

Department of Building Inspection

49 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor San Francisco, California 94103

Voice (628) 652 -3510

March 11, 2025

Daniel Lurie
Mayor

COMMISSION

Alysabeth
Alexander-Tut
President

Evita Chavez
Catherine Meng
Bianca Neumann
Kavin Williams

Sonya Harris
Secretary

Monique Mustapha
Asst. Secretary

Patrick O'Riordan,
C.B.O., Director

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Dear Honorable Supervisors:

RE: Building Inspection Commission's official recommendation on Positions and Code Enforcement Grants included in DBI's Proposed Budget

The Building Inspection Commission (BIC) respectfully submits this letter as a supplemental request regarding staff positions and clarification of the BIC's official position regarding the general fund line in our budget that currently funds code enforcement outreach efforts in apartments and single room occupancy (SRO) buildings.

Positions:

The BIC commends Mayor Lurie for his bold leadership in enacting a hiring and contracting freeze to address the historic General Fund deficit. It is in the spirit of economic recovery and homelessness prevention that the BIC submits these requests for your consideration.

As an enterprise department, DBI staff and programs are funded by our fee revenue and service charges, our hiring does not impact the General Fund deficit.

The work done by the department is critical to economic recovery, health and safety, emergency preparedness, and emergency response. The department does this work by reviewing and approving plans for everything from a single family home renovation to a new hospital, by holding landlords to account for unsafe living conditions, through the soft story program, high-rise window inspections, and responding when disaster strikes; It is DBI's responsibility to ensure the safety and habitability of our City.

The BIC requests your support to fill the positions requested in DBI's budget submission to maintain, and increase the efficiency of providing core public services.

Please approve DBI's positions as requested in the proposed budget.

Code Enforcement Grants (Currently General Fund line)

The BIC passed one motion that approved forwarding the staff's budget to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors *with the recommendation that the Code Enforcement Grants be fully funded*. The BIC considered two pathways for funding this budget line by restoring FY 25-26 10% reduction and the FY 26-27 additional 15% reduction:

1. General Fund: The Mayor and Board of Supervisors could restore the Code Enforcement Grants to full funding using the General Fund; or
2. 1.5% Fee Increase: DBI could increase fees across the board by 1.5% as part of its fee legislation to compensate for the proposed General Fund reductions in support.

For decades, DBI funded community-based enforcement programming. However, most recently the General Fund has supported these programs. This change in funding source was, in part, a result of a budget shortfall.

The work of these Code Enforcement Grants is important and supports the core mission of DBI. These programs reach residents that are living in unsafe living conditions that do not know where to start or are too afraid to report. They are often the most vulnerable SRO residents, immigrant families, and low-income seniors. The BIC supports and recognizes the value of the work done by these programs and the necessity for culturally competent, multi-lingual, direct services to vulnerable populations. These providers go to the tenants as well as take complaints. Reduction in outreach services will not mean a reduction in need, it will mean more tenants leave inhabitable apartments and end up homeless or people will suffer health conditions as a result of uninhabitable housing.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these two important elements to keep city services running. We appreciate your time and attention to these services.

Sincerely,

Building Inspection Commissioners

Alysabeth Alexander-Tut

Evita Chavez

Catherine Meng

Bianca Neumann

Kavin Williams

cc: Patrick O'Riordan, Director
Mayor Daniel Lurie
Board of Supervisors



June 3, 2025

Budget and Appropriations Committee
Supervisors Connie Chan
Matt Dorsey
Joel Engardio
Shamann Walton
Rafael Mandelman

Dear Budget and Appropriations Committee:

It has come to my attention that the Legacy Business program is in jeopardy of losing its Program Manager position. From the very beginning, Richard Kurylo has exemplified his role in the program and has identified over 400 legacy businesses in San Francisco.

Due to Rick's advocacy, there are currently 13 legacy businesses in Japantown, and we are presently working on identifying additional businesses. Rick has been very instrumental in supporting our legacy small businesses and we could not have accomplished this arduous task without his dedicated support.

We understand that the current budget crisis is staggering but we urge you to please consider retaining this important position that will help keep San Francisco one of the top tourist destinations in the country especially as we rebuild the city's economic future.

Sincerely,

Richard Hashimoto
President

Cc: Supervisor Bilal Mahmood

From: [Jon T](#)
To: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public comment on Mayor's FY26 Proposed budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:50:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, currently working at City Planning in the finance and administration division as the Financial Operations Manager and my 1825 Principal Administrative Analyst II position and five others from my department are slated to be laid off in the Mayor's proposed FY26 budget.

This is my 19th year working for the city and county of San Francisco. I got my first start by participating in the accounting internship program hosted by the Controller's Office in 2006. Through the years I've taken many civil service exams and been placed on multiple hiring lists which has guided me to five different departments and five different promotive classifications.

The team I manage is small with only four members; it was 30% larger when I started four years ago, but it is mighty. Whenever a team member goes on any type of extended leave, we are forced to shift priorities and job roles.

In January we were slated to fill a vacated 1654 supervisory accounting III position but that was put on hold with the hiring freeze announced by the Mayor. Since then, another team member has gone on leave, and they will not be back until 2026.

With uncertainty around hiring next fiscal year from the mayor's office, we are asking the Controller's office to help us to hire one accountant intern instead.

In this current scenario, We would be laying off the person managing the finance operations for the department and replacing them with an accounting intern. The same position that I was hired for 19 years ago.

Please take some action so that we won't have to risk our fiscal operations.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

tso318@gmail.com

From: [Diana Kaytun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diana Kaytun
Email corex123@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Diana

From: [Ruth Levy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:19:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ruth Levy
Email rjlevy50@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Ruth J. Levy

From: [Firas Bukhari](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Firas Bukhari

Email firasbukhari@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Firas Bukhari

From: [David Wise](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Wise
Email namaste@sonic.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [James Earhart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: [jwearhart17@gmail.com](#)
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Earhart

Email jwearhart17@gmail.com

jwearhart17@gmail.com

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [JEFFREY NIGH](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:55:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JEFFREY NIGH
Email JANIGH@COMCAST.NET

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Sona Sondhi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sona Sondhi
Email sonya@sondhi.ca

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Lara Witter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Lara Witter
Email larawitter@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Peter Fortune](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:06:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Fortune

Email pt4tune@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Neville Morcom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Neville Morcom
Email nmorcom@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Denise Foley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Denise Foley

Email denisemrf79@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Barry Reder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Barry Reder
Email unclbar@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Gianmatteo Costanza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:45:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gianmatteo Costanza
Email gianmatteo.costanza@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Geoff Motlow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Geoff Motlow

Email geoff.motlow@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Email noguera@changes.world

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 6:29:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri

Email jenealann@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Devon Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 7:17:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Devon Johnson

Email dpj@FangJohnson.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Jim Connelly](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 8:20:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jim Connelly

Email jim-connelly@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Amir Talebi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Amir Talebi
Email AmirTalebi123@Gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [John Riley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John Riley
Email johnjriley@mindspring.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

John Riley

From: [Ronald Albucher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ronald Albucher
Email ronalbucher@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Vera Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Mayor Lurie's Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:42:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Vera Poon
Email vjpoon@gmail.com

I Support Mayor Lurie's Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!
It is time to end San Francisco's structural deficit.

Thank you Mayor Lurie for taking on structural budget reform.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M in inflation-adjusted dollars since 2012 (the last time we had the same population). We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that collaborate with city agencies and then lobby those agencies.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. Public safety underpins everything else in a healthy city.

Sincerely,

From: [James Bertana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Bertana
Email jimbertana@icloud.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Jim Bertana

From: [Peter Philipp](#)
To: [Mayor, MYR \(MYR\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor Lurie's Budget Proposal
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 6:10:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mandelman, and Members of the Board,

I'm writing as a resident of the Mission Dolores neighborhood to express my strong support for Mayor Lurie's proposal to reduce the city workforce by approximately 1,400 positions to help close San Francisco's budget deficit.

It's clear that the city is facing serious fiscal challenges, and difficult decisions are necessary to restore financial discipline and ensure long-term sustainability. I appreciate the Mayor's willingness to take responsible, proactive steps—even when they're not politically easy.

I hope the Board will work constructively with the Mayor to implement this proposal and take further measures to focus the city's resources on core services and measurable outcomes. As a constituent, I would like to see city government operate more efficiently, with greater accountability and a renewed focus on outcomes that improve quality of life for residents.

Thank you for your leadership during this critical time.

All the best,
Peter Philipp
350 Cumberland St
San Francisco CA 94114

From: [Marion Novasic](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marion Novasic
Email mn20001@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [David Nolley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 9:01:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Nolley
Email danolley@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

My wife and I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now instead of delaying this very much-needed process any longer.

It is clear to residents like us, having lived here for over 40 years, that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would surely support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget as you "call the shots".

All fraud should be rooted out. For examples,

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated Immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery while also protecting us elders from adversity.

From: [Dianne Brock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 11:52:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dianne Brock

Email diannebrock@mac.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Nancy Zajac](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nancy Zajac
Email njzajac@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Michelle Cody](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michelle Cody
Email wise8689@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Donna Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 3:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Donna Brown

Email donna.brown05@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Tobias H. Dodge](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 10:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Title: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance

Prepared for: [Insert Name of Mayor, Department, or Foundation]

Prepared by: Tobias Hafenecker-Dodge

Humboldt Growers Network

tobiasdodge@mac.com

415-583-3555

Date: [Insert Date]

For review and discussion only. Not for redistribution without permission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

San Francisco is facing a \$1 billion annual budget shortfall. Rather than rely on austerity, federal subsidies, or ever-increasing property taxes, this proposal outlines a Civic Wealth Strategy: a citywide public ownership model that allows San Francisco to earn what it needs to invest in its people, restore public trust, and create long-term economic resilience.

This strategy identifies nine key revenue-generating assets—ranging from public broadband and storefront leasing to bathhouses and solar leases—and outlines how the City can scale public ownership to reach \$1.5 billion in annual recurring revenue. With an emphasis on equity, fiscal autonomy, and collaborative governance, the Civic Wealth Strategy is a blueprint for rebuilding civic infrastructure from the ground up.

REVENUE STREAM STRATEGY

The Civic Wealth Strategy is built on the following nine revenue streams:

1. **Value Capture Districts** – Generate public revenue by capturing the rise in land value from public investments in transit, parks, and zoning.
2. **Storefront Activation** – Acquire and lease ground-floor retail space to local businesses and community institutions.
3. **Municipal Broadband Utility** – Establish a city-owned internet service to provide affordable, high-speed access and recurring revenue.
4. **Civic REIT** – Consolidate income-generating assets into a public real estate investment trust.

5. **Waterfront Redevelopment** – Transform underused shoreline into a public destination and commercial corridor.
6. **The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)** – Convert city buildings into civic wellness centers with equity-based pricing.
7. **Parking Infrastructure** – Build and operate garages with dynamic pricing to support city centers.
8. **Green Energy Leases** – Lease rooftops and land for solar development and civic resilience.
9. **Lifeline Hubs** – Repurpose civic buildings into community infrastructure for mentorship, jobs, and public care.

Together, these streams can replace regressive funding models, reduce reliance on enforcement systems, and stabilize neighborhood economies.

IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

Phase 1: Foundational (Year 1–2)

- Establish Civic Wealth Office and fund pilot staff positions
- Identify and acquire initial sites for storefronts, bathhouses, broadband, and Lifeline
- Launch bond initiative or REIT structuring study

Phase 2: Expansion (Year 3–7)

- Implement full-scale broadband and storefront leasing models
- Activate Value Capture Districts in tandem with major public works
- Expand Lifeline across key neighborhoods
- Launch Civic REIT and publish annual public dividend model

Phase 3: Institutionalization (Year 8–20)

- Reach 25% city ownership of SF built environment
 - Integrate revenue into general fund strategy
 - Sustain Lifeline and REIT as core city platforms
-

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

- **Civic Wealth Office** – Oversees interagency coordination, investment, and revenue

targets

- **REIT Governance Board** – Manages civic real estate and reports to public
- **Lifeline Local Councils** – Neighborhood boards to run Lifeline hubs and manage care systems
- **Public Accountability Reports** – Annual fiscal reporting and citizen oversight dashboards

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Revenue Stream	Annual Net Revenue	Startup Investment
Value Capture Districts	\$200M	\$1B
Storefront Activation	\$200M	\$4.2B
Municipal Broadband	\$150M	\$250M
Civic REIT	\$150M	\$2B
Waterfront Redevelopment	\$100M	\$1B
The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)	\$75M	\$300M
Parking Infrastructure	\$75M	\$500M
Green Energy Leases	\$50M	\$200M
Lifeline Hubs	High SROI (2–3x)	\$150K–\$300K per site

Total Target Revenue: \$1.5B annually

Investment Strategy: Bond issuance + phased asset acquisition

NEXT STEPS

- Secure pilot funding and legislative support
- Begin interagency coordination for Civic Wealth Office
- Identify initial acquisition targets and properties
- Launch public campaign around Civic Ownership and Lifeline

CLOSING STATEMENT

This proposal is intended as a foundation for dialogue, policy coordination, and civic innovation. We welcome questions, modifications, and collaboration with departments, foundations, and community stakeholders interested in realizing a fiscally self-reliant, equity-driven future for San Francisco.

Attachments available upon request:

- Appendix A: Sample Pilot Concepts
- Appendix B: Letters of Support / Use Cases
- Appendix C: Financial Modeling

From: [Robb Fleischer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robb Fleischer

Email rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [jtorres1950](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [joefitz@sfstandard.com](#); [ggreschler@sfstandard.com](#); [nbaustin@sfstandard.com](#)
Subject: SF Budget Decision
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 5:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

After reading the piece from [SF Standard on the budget](#), it's obvious we need people fiscally responsible making decisions concerning a \$16B budget.

We cannot allow city employees to dictate financial decisions if their primary concern is protecting their own interests over the well-being of San Francisco. Those making budgetary choices should be free of personal debt, have a proven track record of managing finances responsibly, and understand the importance of saving and spending within their means. These reasons are why some of you may not qualifications to balance our budget.

We do not need individuals engaging in personal attacks, whether in private or public discourse. Nor should budgetary decisions be left to those living paycheck to paycheck when they are responsible for the financial stability of an entire city.

As we work toward a sound budget, financial literacy must be a focus—ensuring city employees learn to spend responsibly within their means. Employee salaries should be tied to the financial health of San Francisco, meaning cost-of-living adjustments should reflect both economic highs and lows. Furthermore, a policy should be implemented to prevent city employees—particularly police—from publicly disparaging the city they serve.

For years, the police have voiced concerns about low morale, rising crime, and a liberal district attorney. Yet, despite these claims, officers have consistently padded their base salaries through excessive overtime—often earning double to quadruple their standard pay—while statistics indicate that San Francisco is safer than ever. It's time to educate both city employees and the public to prevent taxpayers from being misled by self-serving narratives.

We've seen troubling instances, such as an unhoused individual recording an SFPD officer stating his intent to clear RVs simply to satisfy the mayor. The union leader and officers openly defy the public, tactlessly giving the middle finger while knowingly getting recorded and undermining elected officials by saying they are only doing a job. These same individuals—who prioritize self-interest over civic duty—are contributing to the city's fiscal crisis. The fox is guarding the hen house.

We also have other City agencies under scrutiny for corruption and misallocation of funds. These agencies should have no say in the budget.

I long for the days when public employees were underpaid because a lifetime pension balanced the lower salaries. Now we have public employees with salaries that compete with the private sector and we are on a fiscal cliff.

Balancing the budget is a challenging task. I hope you all recognize that some of you may not

be the right people for the job and should defer to those with the proven capability to handle it effectively.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

From: [Eugene Galvin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 10:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Eugene Galvin
Email eggalvin@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

Why is San Francisco's number of city employees so very much larger per population than any other city in the state even taking into consideration that it also a county?

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Carmen Woo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:12:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carmen Woo

Email carmenwoo68@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Carmen Woo

From: [Jane Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Ma

Email mischama13@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [cynthia.brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent cynthia brown
Email cymphany@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Leland Faust](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Leland Faust
Email lfaust@taylorfaust.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Naeemah Charles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:32:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Naeemah Charles
ncharles@glide.org
899 pine street, apartment 1902
San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Erick Arguello](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:41:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erick Arguello
eriq94110@aol.com
1065 Hampshire St.
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Joyce Sabel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Cheryl Sinclair](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica , California 94044

From: [Byron Gordon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:56:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Byron Gordon
Marketing Manager
GLIDE

Byron Gordon
bgordon@glide.org
579 Texas Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Kirsten Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kirsten Smith
kirstensmith.lcsw@gmail.com
1600 Walnut Street
San Carlos, California 94070

From: [louise patterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 4:39:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent louise patterson
Email lmuhlfeld@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Justin Truong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 6:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Justin Truong
Email justintruong56@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Jordan Santana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Save Glide Social Justice Academy- Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:04:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello Supervisor Chan,

I am a D1 resident and I writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy.

This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thank you,
Jordan Santana

Jordan Santana
jordan@guitarsushi.com
2304 Clement Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [George Sutton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:47:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

George Sutton
georgethefree77@gmail.com
1760 Bush Street Apt 203
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Leroy Parkinson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leroy Parkinson
lman650@yahoo.com
140 Juanita Ave
Pacifica, 9404

From: [Vanessa S. Cline-Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Urgent: Restore Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed elimination of funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This vital program empowers marginalized communities, providing advocacy and leadership opportunities for individuals facing food insecurity, homelessness, and substance use issues.

Defunding the Academy would be a disservice to the very people San Francisco strives to uplift. Our city must prioritize programs that strengthen communities, foster equity, and offer tangible solutions to systemic challenges. I urge you to reconsider the budget proposal and restore funding to this essential initiative.

I ask that you stand with the residents who rely on the Academy and ensure it continues its transformative work. Thank you for your time and commitment to our city.

Sincerely, Vanessa

Vanessa S. Cline-Davis
vclinedavis@gmail.com
400 McAlister
Not Hispanic or Latino, California 94102

From: [Shiba Bandeeba](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:25:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shiba Bandeeba
shibabandeeba@gmail.com
1968 Great Highway Apt. A
San Francisco and 94103, California 94116

From: [Juliana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Against tennis court fee
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I am a tennis player in the city and will be attending the meeting later today virtually. I heard we cannot make comments virtually so wanted to express my thoughts prior.

“I am an avid tennis player in the city and feel it’s very important that the courts are accessible to all. Over the past year, I have reignited my love for tennis, play multiple times a week, and it has genuinely changed my life. Imposing a \$5 individual fee and \$20 nonprofit fee would constrict the amount of tennis I can play. There are many other ways to prevent no-shows, including having QR codes at the courts and requiring people to check in. Please do not pass this legislation.”

Thanks,
Juliana Gensheimer

From: [Charlotte Ashlock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Charlotte Ashlock
charlotte.ashlock@protonmail.com
758 Kingston Ave 3209
Oakland, California 94611

From: [Joshua Siebalt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:54:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joshua Siebalt
jsiebalt@yahoo.com
1186 Eddy St, A
San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Anna Sojourner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Glide Social Justice Program Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 11:20:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Please continue the essential funding GLIDE to continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anna Sojourner
bear.smokey@gmail.com
601 Van Ness Ave., Apt 852
San Francisco, California 94102-3260

From: [Adrienne Hoyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Adrienne Hoyer
Email amhoyet@sbcglobal.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Donna Stewart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Donna Stewart

Email donnasever@sbcglobal.net

Subject Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District

Message: Dear Supervisors,

San Francisco needs more shelter beds, but it is financially irresponsible, especially now with a looming deficit, to mandate placing shelter facilities in every district. Implementing shelters and behavioral health centers throughout our city will only create more problems and safety issues for everyday residents of San Francisco.

It risks disrupting stable communities without meaningfully addressing the root causes of homelessness concentrated in areas like the Tenderloin and SOMA. And I agree, SOMA, Tenderloin, Bayview should not bear the sole burden,

San Francisco officials would be wise to consider looking at land outside the city to provide shelter facilities, or at

I urge you to oppose District 5 Supervisor Bilal Mahmood's proposed legislation that would require the city to approve at least one shelter or behavioral health center in each district in the next 18 months.

Rather I encourage you to expand the search and implementation of shelters and behavioral health centers to pockets of underutilized or lightly used

light industrial areas of San Francisco or outside of San Francisco. For example: Log Cabin Ranch (a 600 acre property the city of San Francisco owns in Santa Cruz County).

Sincerely,

From: [Cornell Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:47:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cornell Lee
Email corny1215@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Alejandra Navarro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alejandra Navarro
navarro.ala@gmail.com
128 Eureka St
SF, California 94114

From: [Carmel Passanisi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carmel Passanisi

Email carmel2710@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Patrick Cannon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patrick Cannon

Email pecannon1@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: fjvelez73@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

fjvelez73@gmail.com
824 Palmer Road
Yonkers, New York 10708

From: [Tiffany Meyers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiffany Meyers
tiffariel@gmail.com
21 Thomas Ave, Apt. 10
Brisbane, California 94005-1701

From: [Jeffrey Hurwitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
jahurwitzhome@cs.com
584 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Justin Philipps](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps
jphilipps1259@gmail.com
1385 independence Court
Newark, Ohio 43055

From: [Martin Horwitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Martin Horwitz
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com
1326 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [James Phelps](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

James Phelps
chezphelps@juno.com
7511 Teasdale Ave.
St. Louis, Missouri 63130

From: [Kicab Castaneda-Mendez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
kicabcm@yahoo.com
878 Fearington Post
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

From: [Sharon Longyear](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:09:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sharon Longyear
sharonmlongyear@gmail.com
21 Rondout Harbor
Port Ewen, New York 12466

From: [Richard Stern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
1nycgator@gmail.com
11 Riverside Dr, 1NW
New York, New York 10023-2504

From: [Stephan Donovan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan
stephandonovan@aol.com
11900 N. Labyrinth Drive
Oro Valley, Arizona 85737

From: [Phillip Mixon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Mixon
phillipmixon1970@gmail.com
1468 Briarwood Rd NE Unit 1902
Atlanta, Georgia 30319

From: [Vinodkumar Gadley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley
vgadley2003@yahoo.com
2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135
Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

From: [Aj Cho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aj Cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: [Dudley and Candace Campbell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:50:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell
cdcampbl@roadrunner.com
13167 Ortleby Pl
Valley Glen, California 91401

From: [Birgit Hermann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Birgit Hermann
bhermannsf@aol.com
627 Page St
San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Steven Vogel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Steven Vogel
steven.j.vogel@earthlink.net
449 Hampton Court
Falls Church, Virginia 22046-4121

From: [Michael Palmieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri
mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com
9431 SW 1st PI # 3
Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420

From: [Robb Fleischer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:43:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robb Fleischer

Email rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [TYREE LESLIE](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Center for social justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TYREE LESLIE
leslietyree0@gmail.com
376 ELLIS APT 507
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: [melvin taylor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:03:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

melvin taylor
melvin-taylor@usa.net
6585 CalvineRoad
Sacramento, California 95823

From: [Giselle Flores](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:19:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead. Thank you.

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: [Jeffrey Allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Allen
jallen@tenderloinmuseum.org
398 Eddy Street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: [Giselle Flores](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead. Thank you.

With deep sincerity, 

Giselle Flores 

A fellow in Social Justice Academy

giselle.05f@gmail.com

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: [JL Angell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: [Robert Strelke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: [Tom Flint](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tom Flint
Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Susan Abby](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Susan Abby
mssueabby@aol.com
2117 Judah Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

From: [Tiziana Perinotti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St.
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Mary Anne .Paul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:46:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne .Paul
maryannepaul@hotmail.com
186 Crestview Court
Watsonville, California 95076

From: [Melissa Morales](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melissa Morales
melissamoralesm@gmail.com
7224 Dolores St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: iambasque@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

iambasque@gmail.com
2775 SW 107th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97225

From: [Tony Segura](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tony Segura
segura2112@yahoo.com
1700 Alta Dr
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

From: [Lacey Hicks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 8:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lacey Hicks
laceyhicks@hotmail.com
4463 Hyde
Fremont, California 94538

From: [Angela Griffin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:13:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin
angelagriffin3535@gmail.com
260 30th street
Oakland, 96611

From: [Lauren Murdock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: [Ashley Ouellette](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ashley Ouellette
agirl1018@gmail.com
311 Granite Street
Biddeford, Maine 04005

From: [\(MR.,\) CLAIRENCE PICKENS](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:36:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

(MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS
pickensclairence159@gmail.com
62MontvilleSt, B
Hartford, Connecticut 06120

From: [Janet Maker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker
janet29018@gmail.com
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, California 90024

From: [Lena Fine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lena Fine
lenafine7@gmail.com
265 Union
Campbell , California 95008

From: [Elizabeth](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#)
Subject: Zoo audit problem!!!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:04:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a voter and tax payer in San Francisco, I urge you to **stop paying \$4 million a year to an organization (the Zoo)** which does NOT comply with an audit! It is critical for San Francisco to take care of its finances -- especially in a year where the Mayor and Supervisors have to approve a lot of cuts to the budget! The **Zoo is NOT** a priority for San Francisco taxpayers!

We want you to cut their funding until they comply with the audit!!! Or to cut funding completely. Thank you.

Elizabeth Stahl
San Francisco

From: thenumber1murf@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:18:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

thenumber1murf@aol.com
4400 W. riiverside Dr. Ste 110-309
Burbank, California 91505

From: [Melanie Rogers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melanie Rogers
mrogers@glide.org
330 Ellis Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Sonny Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:31:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Sonny Lee

Email hobosf2@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Anne Hoyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Anne Hoyer
Email amhoyer2@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Anant Handa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:17:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Anant Handa

Email ananthanda@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Jay Rice](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jay Rice
jaysrice@comcast.net
72 Holstrom Cir
Novato, California 94947-2075

From: [Joyce Sabel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Regina Islas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Budget Proposal 2025/6
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:44:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk and Supervisors,

1. NO OT THIS YEAR for the SFPD, not One Dime. Make it YOUR MANTRA The 10B program must be eviscerated FULL STOP it is a cesspool of corruption as we learned by the Asst Chief's presentation here in APR. ALL THE NON-PROFs SERVING SF VULNERABLE citizens COULD'VE BEEN FULLY FUNDED WITH the wasted OT MONEY! THERE MUST BE CONSEQUENCES FOR OT VIOLATORS -
2. Spend the 500M FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING that has amassed FROM to Prop I THAT WE APPROVED BY OUR VOTES, NOW, TODAY, WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY OR FOOT DRAGGING BY THE MAYOR OR ANYONE ELSE. THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED IS URGENT AS YOU KNOW.
3. NO FURTHER CUTS TO MUNI PERSONNEL OR CURTAILING LINES WE SHOULD BE EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THIS VITAL & CRITICAL SERVICE TO OUR CITY USE THE GENERAL FUNDS THIS IS THE RAINY DAY! I JUST RETURNED FROM A TRIP TO CHICAGO WHERE I COULD TAKE TRAINS AND BUSES

THAT ARRIVED IN LESS THAN 20-30 MINS TO
GET EVERYWHERE-WE EXPECT NO LESS OF
OUR TRANSIT HERE!

Onward together,

Regina Islas
regina.islas@gmail.com
650.484.7706

From: [jtorres1950](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [FireAdministration, FIR \(FIR\)](#)
Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com
Subject: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:50:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,

The **San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over \$280 million** in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With **over 150,000 emergency calls annually**, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.

Optimizing Emergency Response

Despite their primary training for firefighting, **over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies** rather than fires. **Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases.** San Francisco has **over 1,500 firefighters**, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could **ensure faster, more efficient medical responses**. Seeing a firefighter, who makes \$200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million)**, **annual fire incidents average just 4,000**, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000) reports under 1,500 fires annually**—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco **reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility** in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. **Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties**, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide **a more effective and cost-efficient system** for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters **work three-day weeks**, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In **2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over \$150,000**,

with some topping **\$250,000 after overtime**. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making \$500K/year. With **mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing**, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to **more equitable pay distribution**. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to **purchase large fire trucks costing over \$1.5 million each**, despite declining fire incidents. **More than 60% of fire engines and 90% of fire trucks and ambulances** in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after **50 years**. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". **Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs** while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with **nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits**, many under questionable circumstances. **Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks** can protect workers while **reducing abuse of public funds**.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, **over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience**. Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, **firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired** compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet **its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models**. With **medical emergencies now the dominant service need**, the city should **modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions**—ensuring resources go where they're needed most.

From: [Gloria Fooks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks
gloriafooks@att.net
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, Missouri 63077

From: [Jackie Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over \$100M since 2012. We would support a \$2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: [Jackie Fletcher](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Denise Selleck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Denise Selleck

Email deniselleck@sbcglobal.net

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Mitchell Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:16:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Mitchell Smith

Email htimsm1@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Barbara Dwyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Barbara Dwyer

Email montereydivingwoman@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
which then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer
District 8

From: [Tom Flint](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Tom Flint

Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Judi Gorski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:35:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Judi Gorski

Email judigorski@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski,
SF Resident 45+ years

From: [michael ryan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent michael ryan

Email mmryan2@hotmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Jasmine Madatian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Jasmine Madatian

Email madatian.j@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies. Their agendas often work AGAINST
the needs of seniors and the disabled.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [ROBERT GEASE](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent ROBERT GEASE

Email robgease@yahoo.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: [Cornell Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Cornell Lee

Email corny1215@gmail.com

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special
interest organizations that lobby against my
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



DANIEL LURIE
MAYOR

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: May 30, 2025
Re: Mayor's FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Budget Submission

RECEIVED **AK**
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2025 MAY 30 PM04:18

Madam Clerk,

In accordance with City and County of San Francisco Charter, Article IX, Section 9.100, the Mayor's Office hereby submits the Mayor's proposed budget by May 30th, corresponding legislation, and related materials for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-26 and FY 2026-27.

In addition to the Mayor's Proposed FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 Budget Book, the following items are included in the Mayor's submission:

- Proposed Interim Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO)
- Proposed Interim Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO)
- Proposed Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO)
- Proposed Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO)
- Administrative Provisions for both, but separate documents of the AAO and ASO, in tracked changes, and on pleading paper
- Proposed Budget for the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
- A Transfer of Function letter detailing the transfer of positions from one City department to another
- An Interim Exception letter to the ASO
- PUC Capital Amendment and Debt Authorization
- Prop J Certification Letters
- A letter addressing funding levels for consumer price index increases for nonprofit corporations or public entities for the coming two fiscal years
- 40 pieces of trailing legislation
- Memo to the Board President requesting for 30-day rule waivers on ordinances

Please note the following:

- Technical adjustments to the June 1 budget are being prepared, but are not submitted with this set of materials.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "SK", with a green checkmark to the right.

Sophia Kittler
Mayor's Budget Director

cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office
Controller

No	DEPT	Item	Description	Type of Legislation	File #
1	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to modify the fees for the use of City Hall	Ordinance	250591
2	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to transfer responsibilities for oversight of the collection of sexual orientation and gender identity data from the City Administrator to the Human Rights Commission and removing obsolete reporting requirements	Ordinance	250593
3	ADM	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to clarify the status of the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") as a City department	Ordinance	250594
4	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Convention Facilities Management	Resolution	250615
5	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Security Services for RED Buildings	Resolution	250615
6	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Custodial Services for RED Buildings	Resolution	250615
7	ADM	Continuing Prop J	Security Guard Service at Central Shops	Resolution	250615
8	BOS	Continuing Prop J	Budget and Legislative Analyst Services	Resolution	250615
9	CON	Access Line Tax	Resolution concurring with the Controller's establishment of the Consumer Price Index for 2025, and adjusting the Access Line Tax by the same rate.	Resolution	250612
10	CON	Code Amendment	Amending the Administrative Code to eliminate the Budget Savings Incentive Fund	Ordinance	250595
11	CON	Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax	Adopting the Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax designation ceiling for tax year 2025	Ordinance	250596
12	DBI	DBI Fee Changes	Amending the Building, Subdivision, and Administrative Codes to adjust fees charged by the Department of Building Inspection and to establish Subfunds within the Building Inspection Fund; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Ordinance	250592
13	DEC	Early Care and Education	Modifying the baseline funding requirements for early care and	Ordinance	250597

		Commercial Rents Tax Baseline	education programs in Fiscal Years (FYs) 2025-2026 and 2026-2027, to enable the City to use the interest earned from the Early Care and Education Commercial Rents Tax for those baseline programs		cont'd 250597
14	DPH	State Recurring Grants FY25-36	Authorizing the acceptance and expenditure of Recurring State grant funds by the San Francisco Department of Public Health for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-2026	Resolution	250618
15	DPH	CCE Expansion Grant	Grant Agreement - California Department of Social Services - Community Care Expansion Program - Anticipated Revenue to the City \$9,895,834	Resolution	250619
16	DPH	Code Amendment	Various Codes - Environmental Health Permit, Fee, and Penalties Revisions	Ordinance	250606
17	DPH	HHIP Grant	Delegation of 9.118 Authority - Accept and Expend Grant - San Francisco Health Authority, a local governmental entity doing business as the San Francisco Health Plan ("Health Plan" or "SFHP") - Housing and Homelessness Incentive Program ("HHIP") Expanding San Francisco Department of Public Health Recuperative Care Community Supports - \$2,489,698.63	Resolution	250620
18	DPH	IPP Grant	Delegation of 9.118 Authority - Accept and Expend Grant - San Francisco Health Authority, a local governmental entity doing business as the San Francisco Health Plan ("Health Plan" or "SFHP") - Incentive Payment Program ("IPP") San Francisco Department of Public Health Epic Enhancement Implementation Project - \$6,000,000	Resolution	250621
19	DPH	Patient Revenues	Amending the Health Code to set patient rates for services provided by the Department of Public Health (DPH), for Fiscal Years 2025-2026 and 2026-2027; and authorizing DPH to waive or reduce fees to meet the needs of low-income patients through its	Resolution Ordinance	250607

			provision of charity care and other discounted payment programs		cont'd 250607
20	DPH	Continuing Prop J	Healthcare Security at Primary Care Clinics	Resolution	250615
21	DPW	DPW Fee Changes	Public Works, Subdivision Codes - Fee Modification and Waiver	Ordinance	250608
22	DPW	Continuing Prop J	Yard Operations and Street Tree Nursery	Resolution	250615
23	HOM	Continuing Prop J	Security Services	Resolution	250615
24	HOM	Continuing Prop J	Homelessness and Supportive Housing security services	Resolution	250615
25	HOM	Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund	Approving the FYs 2025-2026 and 2026-2027 Expenditure Plan for the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund	Resolution	250613
26	HOM	Our City, Our Home Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax	Funding Reallocation - Our City, Our Home Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax - Services to Address Homelessness - \$88,495,000 Plus Future Revenue Through FY 2027-28	Ordinance	250609
27	LIB	Friends of the Library A&E	Annual Accept & Expend legislation for the SFPL's Friends of the Library Fund	Resolution	250614
28	MOHCD	Continuing Prop J	Treeline Security Inc services for City-owned properties in predevelopment for affordable housing sites	Resolution	250615
29	OCII	OCII Budget Resolution	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2025-26 Budget	Resolution	250611
30	OCII	OCII Interim Budget Resolution	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2025-26 Interim Budget	Resolution	250610
31	PDR	Crankstart Foundation Grant A&E	Accept and Expend Grant - Retroactive - Immigration Defense Unit - Crankstart Foundation - Amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance for FYs 2024-25 and 2025-26 - \$3,400,000	Ordinance	250598
32	POL	Code Amendment	Registration Fees and Fingerprint ID Fund	Ordinance	250599
33	PUC	Fixed Budget Amendment	Continues waiving certain small business first-year permit, license, and business registration fees	Ordinance	250602

34	REC	Bobo Estate A&E	Accept and Expend Bequest - Estate of William Benjamin Bobo - Benches, Park Furnishings and Park Improvements Across San Francisco - \$3,600,000	Resolution	250616
35	REC	Code Amendment	Amending the Park Code to authorize the Recreation and Park Department to charge fees for reserving tennis/pickleball courts at locations other than the Golden Gate Park Tennis Center; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Ordinance	250603
36	REC	Authorizing Paid Parking in Golden Gate Park	Authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to set parking rates in Golden Gate Park in accordance with Park Code provisions that authorize SFMTA rate-setting on park property; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act	Resolution	250617
37	REC	Code Amendment	PUC Cost Recovery Fee	Ordinance	250604
38	REC	Code Amendment	Scholarship Recovery Fee	Ordinance	250605
39	REG	Continuing Prop J	Assembly and mailing of vote-by-mail ballot packets	Resolution	250615
40	SHF	Continuing Prop J	Jail Food Service	Resolution	250615