

File No. 240118

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Public Safety and Ngbh Services

Date: March 28, 2024

Board of Supervisors Meeting:

Date: _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract / DRAFT Mills Act Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER

- Referral FYI – February 14, 2024
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: March 22, 2024

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Shireen McSpadden, Executive Director,
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

FROM: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Public Safety and Neighborhood Services
Committee, Board of Supervisors

DATE: February 14, 2024

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee has received the following hearing request, introduced by Supervisor Dorsey on February 6, 2024:

File No. 240118

Hearing to consider neighborhood and community impacts of Permanent Supportive Housing facilities, including requirements or policies for on-site security services; and requesting the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to report.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

CC:
Offices of Chair Stefani and Supervisor Dorsey
Dylan Schneider, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Emily Cohen, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Bridget Badasow, Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

From: [Owen, David \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting
Date: Monday, February 26, 2024 10:13:20 AM

I respectfully submit the below comments to the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee as public comment, in advance of this pending **Hearing (#240118)** "*Impacts of Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities*".

From: Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2024 8:53 PM
Subject: Re: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

Follow-up to Friday's email. I took the liberty of combining all threads to document this official dissent. Our neighborhood can no longer tolerate 10 months of inertia. I also included several residents who were dropped from the initial thread.

I respectfully submit the below comments to the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee as public comment, in advance of this pending Hearing (#240118) "*Impacts of Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities*". Kindly include it in the public record for that meeting. Adding Supervisor Dorsey and his team for proper visibility.

We might be fatigued and frustrated by HSH apathy. To Alex McNees' point, the neighborhood is not less engaged. We are emboldened by this dysfunctional process. **It took 10 days** for the city to reverse course for the proposed Chinatown ``sober living facility" (935 Kearny). **It is now 10 months** since the 1174 Folsom project was announced. 10 months later, we only have consistent inertia by the SF government and HSH. Literally zero contribution offered Soma West Neighborhood.

Adding Mayor Breed's office to this thread. Given the 935 Kearny precedent, it is now appropriate to consider alternatives for 1174 Folsom. There are zero safeguards or guardrails to 1174 Folsom supportive housing project.

It took 10 days in Chinatown to retract the "sober" housing project. It has been 10 months in Soma West. Still no contribution to offset 4 supportive housing projects. Still no meaningful safeguards to mitigate the impact of 1174 (no sobriety, no way to evict, etc). The Chinatown precedent is very disheartening. It is crystal clear that Soma West is overlooked, ignored and a dumping ground.

Regards
Patrick, Michelle, Charlotte (age 8), Cleo (age 3)

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 3:49 PM Gary Soiseth <gsoiseth@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Emily and Leo-

I echo the sentiments stated by my neighbors. I also won't be attending until these issues are on a meaningful road to being resolved.

Gary-
230 7th Street Resident

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 2:30 PM Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com> wrote:

Hi Emily and Leo,

I'm boycotting too but that doesn't mean we're going away. I'll suggest we continue offline on a different approach.

The city has continued to disregard community concerns and wastes our time on a series of calls for which we volunteer our time but leads to no meaningful action.

We live and work around this space and are reminded every day for your lack of accountability, care, and concern.

Alex

From: Kristin Ngo <kristin.ngo@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 23, 2024 2:19 PM

To: Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>

Cc: Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org>; Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>; Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com>; Hartman, Jordan (HOM) <jordan.hartman@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; Martin, Luke (POL) <Luke.Martin@sfgov.org>; Ebadi, Mahanaz (BOS) <mahanaz.ebadi@sfgov.org>; Dahl, Bryan (BOS) <bryan.dahl@sfgov.org>; Owen, David (BOS) <David.A.Owen@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Victoria (POL) <Victoria.Sullivan@sfgov.org>; Patricia <patricia.yip@gmail.com>; Nikhil Gowda <ngowda1223@gmail.com>; Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com>; Christian Martin <christian@swcbd.org>; Erica Waltemade <erica@swcbd.org>; Gary Soiseth <gsoiseth@gmail.com>; Reese Isbell <reese.isbell@gmail.com>; Simi DECANTSf <simi@decantsf.com>; Deli Dude <adam@deliboardsf.com>; vip.pty@gmail.com <vip.pty@gmail.com>; mirae yang <miraeyang@gmail.com>; Jose Zamudio-Meyer <mail@josezamudio.com>; Peter Darche <pdarche@gmail.com>; theocincotta@gmail.com <theocincotta@gmail.com>; James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>

Subject: Re: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

Hi Emily and Leo,

+1 with what Nikki and Patrick communicated above.

Our ask has been consistent every single meeting:

- 1. 24/7 private security.**
2. Bay of Burma relocation and/or rent subsidy. What is the status?

Regards,
Kristin

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 2:17 PM Theo Cincotta <theocincotta@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> I am with Patrick and Nikki.

>

> Theodore Cincotta

> SoMa West Property Owner & Resident

>

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 2:04 PM Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org> wrote:

Good afternoon all,

As Leo mentioned, HSH is funding an interim property management company to provide onsite property management until the supportive housing program begins this summer. We have been in contact with the property management company and requested that they immediately address the trash and graffiti at the site.

They assured us that it would be addressed ASAP.

Thank you,

Emily

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 1:38 PM Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com> wrote:

I 100% support Nikki's stance. I encourage others to follow suit. These community meetings are pure theatre and "check the box" for HSH and the City. I have attended countless Zoom meetings, in-person town halls and 1-on-1s. The lack of any meaningful contribution is insulting and demonstrates a complete lack of respect to all Soma West constituents. It is especially disrespectful to our seniors (Gary/Jesse), children (my 2 daughters) and small businesses (Bay of Burma). They represent the most vulnerable in our city. The narrative has changed.

Emily + Leo -

Again, can you please provide a formal response to the 2 requests below.

(1) Bay of Burma 2 year rent subsidy: Since the city officially owns 1174 Folsom, this is an easy and convenient concession. Otherwise Bay of Burma owners (Kay and Ryan) are subsidizing 1174 residents. Rent deferral is not a viable solution. They have received zero remuneration to-date. The only contribution has been a neighborhood-driven GoFundMe campaign.

(2) Private 24/7 Security: This has been the consistent + universal request from the first community meeting (Summer 2023)). Again there is ample budget (\$1.3M opex) to secure private security for the neighborhood. The creative solution is simply include this requirement in the RFP. Problem solved.

Soma West neighborhood deserves meaningful and tangible contributions from the city in

exchange for the concentration of supportive housing. The recent Chinatown "sober housing" reversal (<https://news.yahoo.com/sf-mayor-breed-pulls-chinatown-175338192.html>?) only reinforces the need that the city needs to do more

Again I don't believe there is the need for any more "community" discussions. To-date, HSH clearly ignores all input from the neighborhood. Our requests are fair, equitable and practical. HSH communicates that they want to work with the neighborhood, but actions speak louder than words. In May, we will hit 1 year of discussions with zero concessions or meaningful contributions. Something is broken and another community meeting won't fix it.

Please let us know your formal response to the 2 requests and postpone any future meetings until we have a resolution.

Regards

Patrick, Michell, Charlotte (age 8), Cleo (age 3)

On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 1:17 PM Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com> wrote:

After careful consideration and in light of recent discussions, I find myself compelled to express my current stance on the upcoming community meeting you are proposing.

The essence of my concern stems from the repetitive nature of discussions that fail to advance meaningful proposals on critical issues, despite their constant emphasis by community members. These are not just administrative oversights but fundamental shortcomings that question the very productivity of our dialogues.

Given this persistent disregard for actionable and substantive solutions, my participation in these meetings can no longer be justified. This decision, derived from a commitment to efficiency and tangible outcomes, symbolizes more than mere absence; it is an active boycott.

This stance is a call for a significant recalibration of our approach, demanding that future engagements are predicated on genuine progress and respect for the community's repeatedly voiced concerns. Only with such a shift will my participation be reconsidered.

Until then, consider my withdrawal as a firm stand against the current trajectory of these meetings, underscoring a refusal to lend credibility to a process that, thus far, has demonstrated a stark disconnect from the needs and wishes of our community.

On Thu, Feb 22, 2024 at 9:12 AM Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com> wrote:

Sounds like a disconnect on a number of fronts. My quick response. Given this inertia **I recommend to postpone any additional Community calls until we have a meaningful proposal. The City now owns the building. We need clarity on 2 specific asks (See #1 and #2 below)**

To take a step back, We had one productive session (I believe October 2023) in which all neighborhood participants + impacted parties agreed that private security was a prerequisite and critical path. Additionally we discussed a very creative solution to engage Soma West

CBD as a proper custodian of funds + oversight of services. Soma West CBD is audited and has the implicit trust of the neighborhood. I cannot say the same of nonprofits acting independently without proper oversight or auditing requirements.

The October 2023 session provided some false hope that we could collaborate together as a group and think creatively about how the city can contribute to our neighborhood in exchange. That trust is broken. The only HSH concession to-date was reducing 48 hour to 24 hour response time on official complaints. Researching other "good" neighbor policies, 24 hours is the benchmark :). Why wouldn't you start at 24 hours? It just seems very disingenuous especially given the background of the Chinatown project.

Additionally the recent Chinatown "sober supportive housing" project reversal sets a material precedent. Please acknowledge that Soma West constituents are simply asking for a contribution back to our neighborhood. There is no intellectual argument which could suggest that 1174 Folsom supportive project brings positive change to our neighborhood. **Full stop.** Please stop with this baseless assertion. We need the following to, at best, neutralize the impact of 1174 Folsom.

(1) 24/7 Security Front/Behind of 1174 Folsom: Since the city budget is an issue, the elegant and obvious solution is to include in the RFP for the 3rd party which manages 1174 housing project. There is ample budget (\$1.3M per annum given overhead of 42 residents; **\$31K per resident**). Please insert this in the RFP that this is a requirement for any 3rd party to provide. Easy, creative, win-win for all parties: Check, Check, Check.

(2) Bay of Burma remuneration (2 years of free rent): The City should subsidize 2 years of rent for the Bay of Burma owners, Kay and Ryan. For all the reasons we have previously mentioned the city is obligated to provide material relief. To-date they have only received \$2.3K from a neighborhood GoFundMe campaign. They have received literally nothing from the City despite 2 armed robbery attempts. Prior residents of 1174 residents were each offered \$50K (estimate from my memory).

Otherwise Kay and Ryan's monthly rent are subsidizing the TAY residents. The iron is overwhelming and would make for a scintillating op-ed on why "SF gov't is broken". The city owns the building; therefore, this is a layup. Do the right thing and do it today.

To Alex McNee's point, the situation is only getting worse. I cannot walk my children on Sumner to Howard given the drug addicts.

Please let us know your official recommendation to #1 and #2 before we have another nonproductive community session in the middle of a work day.

regards

Patrick, Michelle, Charlotte (age 8), Cleo (age 3)

From: Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 8:28 AM

To: Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>

Cc: Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com>; Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>;

Hartman, Jordan (HOM) <jordan.hartman@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; Martin, Luke (POL) <Luke.Martin@sfgov.org>; Ebadi, Mahanaz (BOS) <mahanaz.ebadi@sfgov.org>; Dahl, Bryan (BOS) <bryan.dahl@sfgov.org>; Owen, David (BOS) <David.A.Owen@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Victoria (POL) <Victoria.Sullivan@sfgov.org>; Patricia <patricia.yip@gmail.com>; Nikhil Gowda <ngowda1223@gmail.com>; Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com>; Christian Martin <christian@swcbd.org>; Erica Waltemade <erica@swcbd.org>; Gary Soiseth <gsoiseth@gmail.com>; Reese Isbell <reese.isbell@gmail.com>; Simi DECANTsf <simi@decantsf.com>; Kristin.ngo@gmail.com; Deli Dude <adam@deliboardsf.com>; vip.pty@gmail.com; mirae yang <miraeyang@gmail.com>; Jose Zamudio-Meyer <mail@josezamudio.com>; Peter Darche <pdarche@gmail.com>; theocincotta@gmail.com; James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>

Subject: RE: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

Hi Patrick,

Correction, yes the city owns the building what I meant to say is that the program is not operating yet. But we are working with the current property management to address the conditions outside.

Best,
Leo



Leo Alfaro (he/him)
Community Engagement Manager
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Leo.Alfaro@sfgov.org

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: [@SF_HSH](https://twitter.com/SF_HSH) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](https://www.facebook.com/SanFranciscoHSH)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

From: Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 8:25 AM

To: Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org>

Cc: Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com>; Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Hartman, Jordan (HOM) <jordan.hartman@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; Martin, Luke (POL) <Luke.Martin@sfgov.org>; Ebadi, Mahanaz (BOS) <mahanaz.ebadi@sfgov.org>; Dahl, Bryan (BOS) <bryan.dahl@sfgov.org>; Owen, David (BOS) <David.A.Owen@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Victoria (POL) <Victoria.Sullivan@sfgov.org>; Patricia <patricia.yip@gmail.com>; Nikhil Gowda <ngowda1223@gmail.com>; Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com>; Christian Martin <christian@swcbd.org>; Erica Waltemade <erica@swcbd.org>; Gary Soiseth <gsoiseth@gmail.com>; Reese Isbell <reese.isbell@gmail.com>; Simi DECANTsf

<simi@decantsf.com>; Kristin.ngo@gmail.com; Deli Dude <adam@deliboardsf.com>;
vip.pty@gmail.com; mirae yang <miraeyang@gmail.com>; Jose Zamudio-Meyer
<mail@josezamudio.com>; Peter Darche <pdarche@gmail.com>; theocincotta@gmail.com;
James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>

Subject: Re: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

My understanding is the city officially owns 1174 Folsom for nearly 2 months. If that is indeed the case, this sounds like another significant disconnect with HSH. Please clarify.

Regards
Patrick

On Wed, Feb 21, 2024 at 2:05 PM Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Alex,

The city still has not taken ownership of the building. I will work on my end to get that area cleaned up. Please continue to report these incidents to 311. Our hope is that once the building and program are in operation, the property management will be able to report these things faster or address it themselves.

Thank you,
Leo



Leo Alfaro (he/him)
Community Engagement Manager
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
Leo.Alfaro@sfgov.org

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: [@SF_HSH](https://twitter.com/SF_HSH) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](https://twitter.com/SanFranciscoHSH)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

From: Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2024 1:59 PM

To: Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Hartman, Jordan (HOM) <jordan.hartman@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; Martin, Luke (POL) <Luke.Martin@sfgov.org>; Ebadi, Mahanaz (BOS) <mahanaz.ebadi@sfgov.org>; Dahl, Bryan (BOS) <bryan.dahl@sfgov.org>; Owen, David (BOS) <David.A.Owen@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Victoria (POL) <Victoria.Sullivan@sfgov.org>

Cc: Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>; Patricia <patricia.yip@gmail.com>; Nikhil Gowda <ngowda1223@gmail.com>; Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com>; Christian Martin <christian@swcbd.org>; Erica Waltemade <erica@swcbd.org>; Gary Soiseth

<gsoiseth@gmail.com>; Reese Isbell <reese.isbell@gmail.com>; Simi DECANTsf <simi@decantsf.com>; Kristin.ngo@gmail.com; Deli Dude <adam@deliboardsf.com>; vip.pty@gmail.com; mirae yang <miraeyang@gmail.com>; Jose Zamudio-Meyer <mail@josezamudio.com>; Peter Darche <pdarche@gmail.com>; theocincotta@gmail.com; James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>

Subject: Re: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Leo and Emily,

Your email reminded me of the attached picture I took of the entry way to this space last night. I recall Supervisor Dorsey saying this could have a positive effect on our community which makes me laugh, cry, and roll my eyes (all at the same time). Clearly, we have yet to see any positive effect.

Is there any way someone from your team can pay attention to the space and regularly ensure it is not just a disgusting and unsafe blight on our community? I know the city used to fine small businesses to address graffiti.....

I can't wait to vote!

Alex

From: Alfaro, Leo (HOM) <leo.alfaro@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2024 12:53 PM

To: Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Hartman, Jordan (HOM) <jordan.hartman@sfgov.org>; Schneider, Ian (DPW) <ian.schneider@sfdpw.org>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; Martin, Luke (POL) <Luke.Martin@sfgov.org>; Ebadi, Mahanaz (BOS) <mahanaz.ebadi@sfgov.org>; Dahl, Bryan (BOS) <bryan.dahl@sfgov.org>; Owen, David (BOS) <David.A.Owen@sfgov.org>; Sullivan, Victoria (POL) <Victoria.Sullivan@sfgov.org>

Cc: Patrick Eggen <peggen@gmail.com>; Patricia <patricia.vip@gmail.com>; Nikhil Gowda <ngowda1223@gmail.com>; Nikki Ahmadi <nicu5000@gmail.com>; Alex McNees <amcnees@hotmail.com>; Christian Martin <christian@swcbd.org>; Erica Waltemade <erica@swcbd.org>; Gary Soiseth <gsoiseth@gmail.com>; Reese Isbell <reese.isbell@gmail.com>; Simi DECANTsf <simi@decantsf.com>; Kristin.ngo@gmail.com <kristin.ngo@gmail.com>; Deli Dude <adam@deliboardsf.com>; vip.pty@gmail.com <vip.pty@gmail.com>; mirae yang <miraeyang@gmail.com>; Jose Zamudio-Meyer <mail@josezamudio.com>; Peter Darche <pdarche@gmail.com>; theocincotta@gmail.com <theocincotta@gmail.com>; James Spinello <james@stuffsf.com>

Subject: 1174 Folsom Community Working Group Meeting

Hello Everyone,

Below is a doodle to pick a date for our next workgroup meeting. Please fill out the

poll and I will send out a zoom link with the selected meeting date.

Thank you!

Leo

<https://doodle.com/meeting/participate/id/dGwNv5Jd>



Leo Alfaro (he/him)

Community Engagement Manager

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Leo.Alfaro@sfgov.org

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: [@SF_HSH](https://twitter.com/SF_HSH) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](https://twitter.com/SanFranciscoHSH)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: