AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 11/18/2024

FILE NO. 241022

ORDINANCE NO. 288-24

[Administrative Code - Artificial Intelligence Inventory]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish a process for creating a publicly available inventory of Artificial Intelligence ("AI") the City uses, reporting requirements, and enforcement measures.

NOTE:

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>. Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>. Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>. Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding new Chapter 22J consisting of Sections 22J.1, 22J.2, 22J.3, 22J.4, and 22J.5, to read as follows:

CHAPTER 22J: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TOOLS SEC. 22J.1. BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS.

(a) Many technologists, historians, scientists, elected officials, and other societal leaders believe that the advent of Artificial Intelligence that has advanced significantly with the release of generative systems is revolutionizing, and will continue to revolutionize, our world.

(b) Local governments have been using AI products since the early 1990s. However, beginning in the 2010s, significant advancements in AI technology, including machine and deep learning, led to a surge in acquisition of various products by local governments. With the advent of Generative AI products like Chat GPT and others that produce original content, the potential benefits and risks to San Francisco residents and workers have increased.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(c) Policymakers are trying to avoid repeating past mistakes with technological developments,
like the failure to regulate social media before it led to many societal harms, and find ways to protect
human beings from the worst predictable problems of this newest wave of technological advancement.
(d) While the City government, as with all levels of government, continues to develop the best
tools for the City to both harness the benefits and protect against the harms of emerging AI technology,
it is important that policymakers and the public understand the AI technologies the City is using and
will use in the future.
(e) The City has a decentralized Information Technology (IT) system. Most City departments
have their own IT units and as of 2024 the City's Department of Technology ("DT") did not generally
know which AI products and systems were in use by departments.
(f) This Chapter 22J remedies this problem by requiring the City's Chief Information Officer
("CIO") to create a public inventory of AI technologies used within City government. The inventory
will include basic facts about technologies including their purpose, accuracy, biases, and limits.
(g) As of 2024, the City used AI technologies in a variety of ways. Here are just a few
illustrative examples:
(1) The Department of Technology used AI to review activity on IT infrastructure for
network security, intrusion detection, and to identify other potential cybersecurity threats.
(2) The SF311 mobile application used AI to make upfront service type
recommendations based on the user's description or picture of the issue. A model had been trained on
years of service request (SR) data.
(3) The Department of Public Health (DPH) Radiology Department used an AI-based
medical imaging tool to support the confirmatory diagnosis of cerebrovascular events (strokes). The Al
system reviewed imaging studies (CT scans) and provided supporting information to the physicians
who make the diagnoses.

(h) The use of AI technologies by local governments can offer many benefits including but not
limited to increased efficiency and effectiveness of public services, quick and accurate analysis of large
volumes of data, automation of routine administrative tasks, facilitation of communication between
residents and their local government through chatbots and virtual assistants, and prediction of
potential hazards.

- (i) However, with the increased use of AI technologies, local governments also potentially subject their workers, residents, and visitors to new risks, including:
- (1) Privacy Concerns: AI systems often collect, store, and analyze vast amounts of data, which can include personal information of individuals. This raises concerns about privacy breaches, unauthorized data sharing, and surveillance, potentially leading to a loss of anonymity in public spaces.
- (2) Bias and Discrimination: AI algorithms can perpetuate or amplify existing biases if they are trained on data that reflects societal inequities. This can result in discriminatory outcomes in areas such as law enforcement, housing, and public services, disproportionately affecting marginalized communities.
- (3) Lack of Transparency: Many AI systems operate as "black boxes," meaning the processes and decision-making criteria are not transparent to the public. This can erode trust and make it challenging for individuals to understand how decisions that affect their lives are made.
- (4) Job Displacement: The automation of certain government functions through AI can lead to job losses in the public sector or in industries reliant on those functions, impacting the employment landscape and economic stability of communities.
- (5) Security Risks: AI systems can be vulnerable to cyberattacks and exploitation. If malicious actors gain access to these systems, they can manipulate data, disrupt services, or compromise sensitive information, potentially leading to significant harm to individuals.

1	"CIO" means the City's Chief Information Officer, or designee.
2	"City" means the City and County of San Francisco.
3	"COIT" means the Committee on Information Technology or one of its committees.
4	"Data" means information prepared, managed, used, or retained by a department or employee
5	of the City or a data user relating to the activities or operations of the City.
6	"Department" means any unit or component of City government, including but not limited to
7	boards and commissions, departments, offices, agencies, or officials.
8	"Department Head" means the head of a Department, or designee.
9	"DT" means the Department of Technology.
10	"Inventory" means the information collected and published in accordance with Section 22J.3.
11	"Training Data" means the dataset that is used by a machine learning model to learn the rules.
12	SEC. 22J.3. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.
13	(a) Chief Information Officer.
14	(1) Within six months of the effective date of this Chapter 22J, the CIO shall collect the
15	data requested under subsections (b)(1)-(22) from Departments using AI technology, and begin
16	publishing the Inventory responses on the DataSF platform.
17	(2) Within one year of the effective date of this Chapter 22J, the Inventory shall be
18	complete, including any and all AI technology used by the City. In addition, within one year of the
19	effective date, the CIO shall update the Inventory with any AI technology that the City is in the process
20	of purchasing, borrowing, or receiving as a gift, with or without the exchange of compensation or other
21	consideration before acquiring the technology and/or putting the technology into use. If the technology
22	is never obtained or no longer used, it shall be removed from the Inventory.
23	(b) Department Head. The Department Head shall disclose and submit to the CIO for inclusion
24	on the Inventory the AI technologies the Department has procured, borrowed, or received as a gift,

1	with or without the exchange of money or compensation, and for each technology shall disclose the
2	following information:
3	(1) Name of the technology and vendor;
4	(2) A brief description of the technology's purpose and function;
5	(3) The intended use of the technology;
6	(4) The context or domain in which the technology is intended to be used;
7	(5) The data used to train the technology;
8	(6) An explanation of how the technology works;
9	(7) The data generated by the technology;
10	(8) A description of what the technology is optimizing for, and its accuracy, preferably
11	with numerical performance metrics;
12	(9) Conditions necessary for the technology to perform optimally;
13	(10) Conditions under which the technology's performance would decrease in
14	<u>accuracy;</u>
15	(11) Whether testing has been performed to identify any bias in the technology such as
16	bias based on race, gender, etc., and the results of those tests;
17	(12) A description of how and where people report bias, inaccuracies, or poor
18	performance of the technology;
19	(13) A description of the conditions or circumstances under which the technology has
20	<u>been tested;</u>
21	(14) A description of adverse incident monitoring and communication procedures;
22	(15) A description of the level of human oversight associated with the technology;
23	(16) A description of whether the data collected will or can be used for training of
24	proprietary vendor or third-party systems;
25	(17) The individuals and communities that will interact with the technology;

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(b) The CIO shall quarterly report to the Board of Supervisors the notices of alleged violation that the CIO deemed valid and were not cured within 30 days of the notice.

(c) If the report described in subsection (b) identifies any Departments out of compliance with this Chapter 22J, then the Board of Supervisors shall calendar within 60 days of receiving the quarterly report a hearing on each such Department's noncompliance in the Government Audit and Oversight Committee, or successor committee, of the Board of Supervisors, at which hearing the Department Head shall report on the Department's plan for coming into compliance with this Chapter 22J.

(d) This Section 22J.4 shall not preclude the use of any other City process or program, such as the Controller's Whistleblower Program, for raising an issue concerning compliance with this Chapter 22J.

SEC. 22J.5. PROMOTION OF THE GENERAL WELFARE.

In enacting and implementing this Chapter 22J, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/
MARGARITA GUTIERREZ
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2024\2500072\01800343.docx

Supervisor Ronen; Peskin, Chan, Preston, Walton **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**



City and County of San Francisco Tails Ordinance

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 241022

Date Passed: December 10, 2024

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish a process for creating a publicly available inventory of Artificial Intelligence ("AI") the City uses, reporting requirements, and enforcement measures.

November 18, 2024 Rules Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

November 18, 2024 Rules Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

December 03, 2024 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 10 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai and Walton

December 10, 2024 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 10 - Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Mandelman, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai and Walton

File No. 241022

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 12/10/2024 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

London N. Breed Mayor

11/29

Date Approved