

File No. 140833

Committee Item No. 5

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Government Audit and Oversight Date September 25, 2014

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- Clerk of the Board's Memo Civil Grand Jury (CGJ) Report to Board
- Response from Mayor for CGJ Report
- Response from Mayor's Office on Disability for CGJ Report
- Response from City Attorney's Office for CGJ Report
- Response from Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs
- Clerk of the Board's 60-Day Receipt of Dept Responses to CGJ
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____


Completed by: Erica Major Date September 19, 2014

Completed by: _____ Date _____

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

DATE: September 9, 2014
TO: Members of the Board of Supervisors
FROM:  Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
SUBJECT: 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report "Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites"

We are in receipt of the following required responses to the San Francisco Civil Grand Jury report released July 8, 2014, entitled: **Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites**. Pursuant to California Penal Code, Sections 933 and 933.05, the City Departments shall respond to the report within 60 days of receipt, or no later than September 6, 2014.

For each finding the Department response shall:

- 1) agree with the finding; or
- 2) disagree with it, wholly or partially, and explain why.

As to each recommendation the Department shall report that:

- 1) the recommendation has been implemented, with a summary explanation; or
- 2) the recommendation has not been implemented but will be within a set timeframe as provided; or
- 3) the recommendation requires further analysis. The officer or agency head must define what additional study is needed. The Grand Jury expects a progress report within six months; or
- 4) the recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

The Civil Grand Jury Report identified the following City Departments to submit responses (attached):

- Mayor's Office
(Received September 5, 2014, for Findings 2 and 3 and Recommendations 2 and 3)
- Mayor's Office on Disability
(Received September 5, 2014, for Finding 1 and Recommendations 1a and 1b)
- City Attorney
(Received September 5, 2014, for Finding 4 and Recommendation 4)
- Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (submitted but not required)
(Received September 8, 2014, for Finding 1 and Recommendation 1b)

These departmental responses are being provided for your information, as received, and may not conform to the parameters stated in California Penal Code, Section 933.05 et seq. The Government Audit and Oversight Committee will consider the subject report, along with the responses, at an upcoming hearing and will prepare the Board’s official response by Resolution for the full Board’s consideration.

C:

Honorable Cynthia Ming-mei Lee, Presiding Judge
Elena Schmid, Foreperson, 2013-2014 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury
Joy Bonaguro, Mayor’s Office
Antonio Guerra, Mayor’s Office
Roger Kim, Mayor’s Office
Carla Johnson, Director, Mayor’s Office of Disability
Ben Rosenfield, Controller
Asja Steeves, Controller’s Office
Jon Givner, Deputy City Attorney
Rick Caldeira, Legislative Deputy
Severin Campbell, Budget and Legislative Analyst’s Office
Matt Jaime, Budget and Legislative Analyst’s Office
Adrienne Pon, Director, Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT & IMMIGRANT AFFAIRS

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Naomi Kelly, City Administrator

Adrienne Pon, Executive Director

September 5, 2014

Presiding Judge Cynthia Ming-mei Lee
Department 206
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102-4514

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 SEP - 8 PM 1:19

Re: 2013-14 Civil Grand Jury Report: *Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites*

Dear Judge Lee,

This letter responds to the 2013-14 Civil Grand Jury Report on the survey of San Francisco commission websites and its findings regarding notices that inform citizens of their rights, and the process, for requesting disability accommodations and/or language support. The Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) oversees citywide compliance with the San Francisco Language Access Ordinance (LAO) as authorized in San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 91: Language Access. The Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) oversees ADA related disability accommodations will be responding to these issues in a separate letter.

In accordance with the Civil Grand Jury's report and authority, OCEIA is providing the following responses to Finding 1 and Recommendation 1b as it relates to language access.

CIVIL GRAND JURY'S FINDING	OCEIA'S REPOSENSE
<p>A statement that informs the process of requesting accommodation for physical disability and/or language support is not easily found on many commission websites.</p>	<p>Partial Agreement: Disability accommodations are under the purview of MOD and MOD has responded separately to this issue. Language Access laws in San Francisco were enacted by the Board of Supervisors in 2001, first as the Equal Access to Services Ordinance and amended in 2009 as the Language Access Ordinance (LAO). OCEIA has been overseeing LAO compliance since 2009 and has been training city departments annually on requirements and responsibilities.</p> <p>All city departments that provide information or</p>

	<p>services to the public are covered under the LAO. In addition, 26 named Tier 1 Departments must meet additional requirements and file annual compliance plans with OCEIA.</p> <p>The LAO does not specifically address requirements for website information. Departments are required to post notices in a public place informing Limited English Speaking Persons who seek services, in their native tongue, of their right to request translation services from all City departments.</p> <p>Section 91.6 of the LAO (Public Meetings and Hearings) requires City Boards, Commissions and Departments to provide oral interpretation of any public meeting or hearing if requested at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting or hearing. Meeting minutes shall be translated if: 1) requested; 2) after the legislative body adopts the meeting minutes; and 3) within a reasonable time period thereafter. The LAO states that City Boards, Commissions and Departments shall <u>not</u> automatically translate meeting notices, agendas or minutes. There is no reference in the LAO to any requirement for website information for City Boards, Commissions and Departments.</p>
<p>1 b. When commission websites are developed to include language support that support should be provided in the same languages used in the voter's guide.</p>	<p>Will Not be Implemented at this time.</p> <p>The LAO specifies which languages are required for language support by authorizing OCEIA to annually determine whether at least 10,000 Limited English Speaking residents speak a shared language other than English. This sets a threshold that three languages meet at this time: Chinese (both Cantonese and Mandarin), Spanish and Filipino (Tagalog). Departments covered under the LAO must provide services in these required languages. This information is validated each year using the best available data from the United States Census Bureau and/or other reliable sources. Departments may use a determination of five percent of Limited English Speaking Persons who use the Department's services Citywide to provide support in languages other than the three currently required.</p> <p>There are a number of issues with website based</p>

	information and translating this information accurately in language: 1) The LAO does not require ALL information to be translated (only vital information is required) and does not reference website information at all; 2) not all members of the public have access to the internet or are able to read/understand/access or navigate information in written form; and 3) current and common usage of online translation tools are inaccurate, particularly for character-based languages such as Chinese. OCEIA has been working with City departments to develop better online tools and approaches even those this is not required by the LAO or ADA and issued a number of guidances on language access.
--	---

Thank you for the Civil Grand Jury's attention to language access issues which we consider critical to full and meaningful civic participation. Please feel free to contact my office if you have any questions or need additional information.

Always,



Adrienne Pon
Executive Director

cc: Civil Grand Jury
Board of Supervisors



September 5, 2014

The Honorable Cynthia Ming-mei Lee
Presiding Judge
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Lee:

Pursuant to Penal Code sections 933 and 933.05, the following is in reply to the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury report, *Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites*. We would like to thank the members of the Civil Grand Jury for their interest in the operations and transparency of the commission process.

The various boards, commissions, task forces, and committees that develop and approve policy are a core part of San Francisco government. The City has thousands of citizens that share in our commitment to solving problems and crafting a local government that better serves its citizenry.

As noted in the original report, the Jury found "that the commissions reviewed did well complying with open meeting standards. We noted consistent practices, with advance notice and scheduling of meetings, preparation of agenda, invitation of public comment, and posting of meeting minutes." While these practices exhibit San Francisco's culture of open and inclusive government, the Jury has correctly noted room for improvement. Providing greater access to annual reports and commission attendance would improve public transparency and knowledge of the commission process.

The Mayor's Office response to the Civil Grand Jury's findings and recommendations is as follows:

Accountability

Finding 2:

Fewer than 50% of the commissions post an annual report as required.

Response: *Agree.* City Charter section 4.103 mandates that, "each board and commission of the City and County shall be required by ordinance to prepare an annual report describing its activities, and shall file such report with the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors." However, while posting an annual report should be considered a best practice, this specific language does not specifically *require* posting on a website.

Recommendation 2:

The Mayor should ensure that each commission posts its annual report on the commission website and provides a URL link to the SFPL, promptly.

Response: *Recommendation will be implemented in the future.* By the end of the current fiscal year, a letter will be issued to all boards and commissions encouraging them to post their annual report on their website as well as send an e-copy of the report to the Library and the Board of Supervisors.

Attendance

Finding 3:

Commissioner attendance records are not readily available to the public. To discover this information after the fact is difficult.

Response: *Disagree.* Attendance records for Mayoral appointees are posted online on the Mayor's website. The "Mayoral Appointments" page links to quarterly attendance reports for boards and commissions.


Recommendation 3:

All commissions should keep and post to their website a record of commissioner attendance. Maintenance of an ongoing record should be required.

Response: *Recommendation will not be implemented, not warranted.* While boards and commissions should keep and post to their website a record of attendance, this recommendation must be implemented by the individual entities themselves and not the Mayor's Office.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this Civil Grand Jury report.

Sincerely,



Edwin M. Lee
Mayor



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

JON GIVNER
Deputy City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4694
E-MAIL: jon.givner@sfgov.org

September 5, 2014

Hon. Cynthia Ming-mei Lee
Presiding Judge
San Francisco Superior Court
400 McAllister Street, Room 8
San Francisco, California 94102

Re: City Attorney Office's response to the June 2014 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled, "Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites"

Dear Judge Lee:

In accordance with Penal Code Sections 933 and 933.05, the City Attorney's Office submits the following response to the Civil Grand Jury Report entitled, "Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites" issued in June 2014. The Grand Jury requested that this office respond to the report.

For the Civil Grand Jury finding for which you ask a response from the City Attorney's Office, you asked that we either:

1. agree with the finding, or
2. disagree with it, wholly or partially, and explain why.

For the Civil Grand Jury recommendation for which you ask a response from the City Attorney's Office, you asked that we report either:

1. the recommendation has been implemented, with a summary explanation; or
2. the recommendation has not been implemented but will be within a set timeframe as provided; or
3. the recommendation requires further analysis. The officer or agency head must define what additional study is needed. The Grand Jury expects a progress report within six months; or
4. the recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

Accordingly, the City Attorney's Office responds as follows:

Finding No. 4: There is no easy reference to all of the commissions in San Francisco. The most complete list the Jury was able to find is located in the Index of the City Attorney Opinion 2010-01 (pages 98-99).

City Attorney's Office Response to Finding No. 4: Partially agree. There are a number of resources on City websites that list active commissions, including three that are particularly useful. First, the San Francisco Conflict of Interest Code (S.F. Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Article III, Chapter 1) lists all City decision-making bodies whose members must

Letter to Hon. Cynthia Ming-mei Lee
Page 2
September 5, 2014

file Statements of Economic Interests with the City's Ethics Commission. Second, as the Jury's report notes, City Attorney Opinion 2010-01 lists City boards and commissions, along with a description of their duties and powers. This Office recently updated and re-issued that opinion as City Attorney Opinion 2014-01, available on the City Attorney's website at <http://www.sfcityattorney.org/modules/showdocument.aspx?documentid=1734>. Third, under Government Code Section 54972, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors annually publishes a list of all boards, commissions, committees and task forces to which the Board of Supervisors makes appointments. The most recent such publication is available at <http://www.sfbos.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=47458>. Although these three resources include information about all active commissions in the City, there is no alphabetical listing of active commissions easily accessible to the public.

Recommendation No. 4: The City Attorney should ensure that there is an annual list of active commissions that is complete and listed alphabetically.

City Attorney's Office Response to Recommendation No. 4: The recommendation has not been implemented but will be implemented within 90 days. The City Attorney's Office will prepare a list of decision-making boards and commissions created by ordinance or City Charter. The Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and City agencies sometimes create advisory bodies that have no policy-making authority and whose members are not required to file financial disclosures. The City Attorney's Office does not track those bodies and may not maintain a list of them.

Very truly yours,

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney


Jon Givner
Deputy City Attorney

cc: Erica Major, Clerk's Office

Mayor's Office on Disability



Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Carla Johnson, CBO, CASp.
Director

Hon. Cynthia Ming-Mei Lee
Presiding Judge, County of San Francisco
Superior Court of California
400 McAllister Street, Room 008
San Francisco, CA 94102

*Re: 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report:
"Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites"*

September 5, 2014

Dear Judge Lee:

This letter serves as the response from the Mayor's Office on Disability (MOD) to the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury's report on the survey of San Francisco commission websites, and their findings regarding notices that inform citizens of their rights, and the process, for requesting disability accommodations and or language support. I appreciate the Civil Grand Jury's efforts and their attention to this issue because access to the democratic and citizen participatory process that unfolds at all City and County Commissions, is a fundamental right for people with disabilities and paramount to our work as the City of San Francisco's overall ADA Coordinator.

I wish to clarify however that while MOD's role as San Francisco's ADA Coordinator means that we work collaboratively with the different City Departments and Commission Secretaries to ensure that their websites and meetings are accessible to all, language access is directly under the purview of the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) through their enforcement of the Language Access Ordinance. As a result, you will be receiving separate correspondence from their Director explaining their actions in response to the Civil Grand Jury Report.

The Civil Grand Jury (CGJ) acknowledged that they had some difficulty identifying all of the commissions operating in San Francisco, and that they relied upon an index from a 2010 City Attorney Opinion to develop their list. Their bar graph statistics indicated that the CGJ surveyed thirty two websites serving the commissions. Unfortunately however the report did not contain a list of which websites they investigated. When we contacted the CGJ and requested clarification, they cited confidentiality concerns and were unable to provide us their list, so MOD chose to work from the most current list provided by the City Attorney's Office for boards & commissions that were created by City Charter. As a result, MOD surveyed thirty nine websites, or seven more than the CGJ.


In accordance with the Civil Grand Jury's report and authority, the Mayor's Office on Disability was directed to provide responses to Finding 1 and Recommendations 1a and 1b. The following are our responses:

Civil Grand Jury's Finding	MOD Response
<p>A statement that informs the process of requesting accommodation for physical disability and/or language support is not easily found on many commission websites.</p>	<p>Partially Agree. The Mayor's Office on Disability is the City's ADA compliance office and in this capacity it is our mission to ensure that all City and County programs, services and activities are compliant with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Providing a notice of the right to request disability accommodations and the process by which to do so is one of the fundamental administrative requirements of Title II of the ADA. While the ADA is clear that notice is required, it does not specifically state that notice shall be posted on a website (as opposed to including the information on a meeting Agenda), however we agree that this is a best practice because it makes the information easier to find.</p> <p>In accordance with the ADA, boards and commissions must provide communication access to people with disabilities; therefore all print and electronic communications must include an accessibility notice so that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in the meetings. MOD conducts frequent training to various City departments and staff and emphasizes key elements of conducting fully accessible meetings including providing an accessibility notice in all event communications.</p> <p>The ADA, however, does not specifically address the needs of Limited English Proficiency (LEP) for San Francisco residents. The Language Access Ordinance (LAO) is the specific mandate that addresses this issue. The Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) is specifically tasked with monitoring and enforcing compliance with the LAO. Upon receiving the CGJ report, MOD immediately notified OCEIA and they will be preparing a separate report on the notification for language access.</p>

Civil Grand Jury's Recommendations	MOD Response
<p>1a. The Mayor's Office on Disability should coordinate with commissions to ensure that statements for accommodation are easily located on commission websites.</p>	<p>The recommendation has been implemented. Upon receipt of the list of boards and commissions from the City Attorney's Office, MOD staff conducted a review of the 39 commission websites.</p> <p>MOD found that the majority of the commission agenda's (32 out of 39) contained an accessibility notice, but at the initial review only 12 of the commission's websites had specific statements for disability accommodations.</p> <p>Subsequent to the review, MOD staff identified and contacted all commission secretaries and provided technical assistance via electronic mail and telephone call. To date, 35 out of the 39 commissions now feature an accessibility notice prominently on both their website and agenda material.</p> <p>Of the remaining four commissions, all agenda materials now feature the accessibility notices. Two are in the process of updating their website through their webmaster. And two failed to respond despite multiple attempts to reach them.</p>
<p>1b. When commission websites are developed to include language support that support should be provided in the same languages used in the voter's guide.</p>	<p>This recommendation will not be implemented by MOD. As discussed previously, language support matters fall within the jurisdiction of the Office of Civic Engagement & Immigrant Affairs. They will be submitting a separate report addressing their efforts to implement language access.</p>

Thank you again for the Civil Grand Jury's attention to disability rights issues. If you have additional questions about this report please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Carla Johnson, CBO, CASp.
 Director
 Enclosures [1]

Cc: Civil Grand Jury
 Board of Supervisors

**MOD Reviewed List of Commission Websites & Agendas That Comply with Disability Access Notice
As of September 3, 2014**


Commission Websites Reviewed	Disability Language on Webpage	Disability Language on Agendas	Notes	Website address
Access Appeals	Yes	Yes		http://sfdbi.org/access-appeals-commission
Commission on Aging	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfhsa.org/490.htm
Airport	Yes	Yes		http://www.flysfo.com/about-sfo/airport-commission/about-commission/disability-access
Animal Control and Welfare	No	Yes	<i>msg. 8/21/2014; 8/26/2014</i>	http://www.sfgov2.org/index.aspx?page=369
Asian Arts	Yes	Yes		http://www.asianart.org/visit/visitors-with-special-needs
Arts Commission	No	Yes		http://www.sfartscommission.org/
Building Inspection	Yes	Yes		http://sfdbi.org/about-bic
Children And Families First	Yes	Yes		http://www.first5sf.org/about/agendas
Civil Service	Yes	Yes		http://sfgov.org/civil_service/commission-hearing-policies-and-procedures
City Hall Preservation Advisory	No	Yes	<i>msg. 8/21/2014 and 8/22/2014; 8/26/2014</i>	http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=743
Code Advisory	Yes	Yes		http://sfdbi.org/code-advisory-committee
Community Investment and Infrastructure	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfocii.org/index.aspx?page=261
Elections	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfgov2.org/index.aspx?page=4214#access
Entertainment	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfgov2.org/index.aspx?page=338
Environment	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfenvironment.org/commission
Ethics	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfethics.org/ethics/2009/05/contact-the-commission.html
Film	Yes	Yes		http://38.106.4.41/index.aspx?page=53
Fire	Yes	Yes		http://www.sf-fire.org/index.aspx?page=250
Historic Preservation	Yes	Yes		http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1892
Housing Authority	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfha.org/Board-of-Commissioners.html
Human Rights	Yes	Yes		http://sf-hrc.org/commission-meetings

**MOD Reviewed List of Commission Websites & Agendas That Comply with Disability Access Notice
As of September 3, 2014**

Commission Websites Reviewed	Disability Language on Webpage	Disability Language on Agendas	Notes	Website address
Human Services	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfhsa.org/491.htm
Immigrant Rights	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfgov2.org/index.aspx?page=2322
Juvenile Probation	Yes	Yes		http://sfgov.org/juvprobation/juvenile-probation-commission-meeting-information
Law Library	Yes	Yes		http://38.106.4.152/index.aspx?page=8
Library	Yes	Yes		http://sfpl.org/index.php?pg=2000059001
Local Agency Formation	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=4154
Planning	Yes	Yes		http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=7
Police	Yes	Yes		http://sf-police.org/index.aspx?page=2572
Port	Yes	Yes		http://www.sf-port.org/index.aspx?page=133
Public Utilities	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfwater.org/index.aspx?page=167
Rec and Park	Yes	Yes		http://sfrecpark.org/about/disability-questions/
Rent Board Commission	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfrb.org/index.aspx?page=938
Small Business	Yes	Yes		http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=4204
Southeast Community Facility	Yes	Yes		http://sfgov.org/sefacility/meeting-information
SFMTA/MTC	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfmta.com/about-sfmta/organization/committees/multimodal-accessibility-advisory-committee-maac
Veteran Affairs	No	No	<i>msg. 8/21/2014; 8/26/2014</i>	http://sfgov.org/vets/
Status of Women	Yes	Yes		http://sfgov.org/dosw/cosw-meeting-information-0
Youth	Yes	Yes		http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5653

Survey of San Francisco Commission Websites

June 2014



City and County of San Francisco

Civil Grand Jury 2013-2014

City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone 415-554-6630

MEMBERS OF THE 2013-2014
CIVIL GRAND JURY
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Elena Schmid, Foreperson

Robert van Ravenswaay, Foreperson Pro Tem

Thomas Duda, Recording Secretary

Maryta Piazza, Corresponding Secretary

Larry Bush

Hans Carter

Daniel Chesir

Barbara Cahrssen

Mike Ege

John Finnick

Kai Forsley

Charles Head

David Hoiem

Joseph Kelly

Mazel Looney

Claudia O'Callaghan

Ernestine Patterson

Michael Skahill

THE CIVIL GRAND JURY

The Civil Grand Jury is a government oversight panel of volunteers who serve for one year. It makes findings and recommendations resulting from its investigations.

Reports of the Civil Grand Jury do not identify individuals by name. Disclosure of information about individuals interviewed by the jury is prohibited. California Penal Code, section 929

STATE LAW REQUIREMENT California Penal Code, section 933.05

Each published report includes a list of those public entities that are required to respond to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court within 60 to 90 days, as specified.

A copy must be sent to the Board of Supervisors. All responses are made available to the public.

For each finding the response must:

- 1) agree with the finding, or
- 2) disagree with it, wholly or partially, and explain why.

As to each recommendation the responding party must report that:

- 1) the recommendation has been implemented, with a summary explanation; or
- 2) the recommendation has not been implemented but will be within a set timeframe as provided; or
- 3) the recommendation requires further analysis. The officer or agency head must define what additional study is needed. The Grand Jury expects a progress report within six months; or
- 4) the recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ISSUES..... 1

SUMMARY..... 1

BACKGROUND..... 2

DISCUSSION 3

Compliance with Statement of Economic Interest Form 700, Ethics, and Sunshine Act Training...3

Open Meetings3

Accessibility4

Accountability5

 Annual Report 5

 Attendance5

List of Commissions6

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 7

Accessibility7

Accountability7

 Annual Report 7

 Attendance7

List of Commissions8

RESPONSE MATRIX 9

METHODOLOGY 11

BIBLIOGRAPHY 12

APPENDICES 13

ISSUES

Commissions are the place for citizens to participate in the democratic process. Commissioners must make ethical choices free from conflicts of interest. For citizens to participate, the commission meetings must be open, invite public comment, and be accessible to all. Commissioners and commissions must also be accountable. Responsibilities include holding and attending meetings, posting annual reports, completing training and disclosing financial holdings. The 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury reviewed the internet presence of commissions for compliance, openness, accessibility, and accountability.

SUMMARY

The jury looked at the purpose, activities, appointed members, and meetings of each commission, as well as its compliance with ethical and procedural requirements. Using the Budget and Legislative Analyst 2011 Report on Boards and Commissions¹ as a guide, the jury developed a survey form that was used to provide information on 32 commissions and 225 commissioners. This information was obtained between January 2, 2014 and May 1, 2014.

Compliance by Commissioners with the ethical requirements of filing a Statement of Economic Interest (SEI Form 700)² and providing evidence of completing ethics training³ and Sunshine Act Training⁴ was difficult to determine.

The Jury is pleased to find that the commissions reviewed did well complying with open meeting standards. We noted consistent practices, with advance notice and scheduling of meetings, preparation of agenda, invitation of public comment, and posting of meeting minutes.

Not all of the commission websites made it easy to find a statement that provides information about requesting accommodation for physical disability and/or language support. It is important that meetings be open and accessible to all people.

The city should have a list of active commissions including their functions. Records of commissioner meeting attendance are not readily available. A review of lists and resources available from SF311.org, the City Attorney, the Mayor's Office and the Clerk of the Board failed to provide one easily accessible and complete list of commissions.

¹ Budget and Legislative Analyst (2011) Report on Boards, Commissions, Committees, Task Forces and other Oversight and Advisory Bodies .

² California Fair Political Practices Commission: Form 700 SEI.
<http://www.fppc.ca.gov/INDEX.php?id=500>.

³ AB1234 California Legislative Digest, February 22, 2005 Accessed March 30, 2014.
http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/05-06/bill/asm/ab_1201-1250/ab_1234_bill_20051007_chaptered.html.

⁴ San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67 Sunshine Ordinance.

The Jury, with much difficulty, eventually located a list in the index of an 100 page opinion from the City Attorney.⁶ We believe a simple, easily accessible and complete list of commissions and relevant information should be readily available for the public.

BACKGROUND

Commissions are where the citizens, our elected officials, and City Departments intersect. The Budget and Legislative Analyst's (2011) report on commissions and commissioners provides a very good description of the role of commissions and commissioners in San Francisco Government.⁵ To summarize: Many of the commissions provide advice and develop policy for city departments and elected officials. Commissioners are members of the public who are appointed by government officials to represent the best interests of the city. Forming a commission involves describing its purpose, scope of activities, how long the commission will exist, and who will appoint its members. Most commissioners are appointed by the Mayor because commissions are part of the executive branch of San Francisco Government. However, the Board of Supervisors makes appointments, as do individual supervisors, and for a few commissions, a city department or a community group appoints a commissioner who provides knowledge on specific issues.

In the Good Government Guide, the San Francisco City Attorney does not differentiate among commissions, boards, committees, authorities, task-forces and other entities which are a part of city/county government.⁶ The Budget Analyst identifies commissions formed by administrative code or city charter as having the primary departmental oversight responsibility for most city/county departments. Some commissions not created by code have limited authority and are charged with collecting and distilling information on a specific topic for some combination of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, other elected officials and/or City departments.⁷

California AB1234,⁸ adopted in 2005, requires that each commissioner complete a minimum of two hours of ethics training every two years. Commissioners in San Francisco are charged with acting in the best interest of the city and its residents. Commissioners must not use their office for personal or political gain, and they must file financial disclosure forms, report gifts, and campaign contributions, and provide evidence of completion of mandated ethical trainings.⁹

⁵ Budget and Legislative Analyst (2011) Report on Boards, Commissions, Committees, Task Forces and other Oversight and Advisory Bodies.

⁶ City Attorney of San Francisco (2010) Good Government Guide. San Francisco.

⁷ Budget and Legislative Analyst (2011) Report on Boards, Commissions, Committees, Task Forces and other Oversight and Advisory Bodies.

⁸ AB1234 California Legislative Digest, February 22, 2005.

⁹ California Fair Political Practices Commission AB1234 and Online Ethics Training.

Commissions are expected to hold regular meetings, which are announced in advance with an agenda, and are accessible to the public, allowing public comment on issues prior to voting by the commissioners.¹⁰

DISCUSSION

The following sections discuss survey questions and the results of the selected responses. Jurors reviewed commission websites and other online resources maintained by the City including those of the Ethics Commission, SF311.org, and Data.sfgov.org.

Compliance with Statement of Economic Interest Form 700, Ethics, and Sunshine Act Training.

To assure that commissioners engage in fair political practices, without financial conflicts of interest, and that they are working on behalf of and in the best interest of the people of the City of San Francisco, commissioners are required to submit financial disclosures (Form 700) and to complete training in ethics and the Sunshine Ordinance.¹¹ The survey was conducted over a period of time during which commissioners were still complying with these requirements. Therefore, the compliance with these elements was difficult to discern for some commissioners. With a more timely completion of these important requirements by the commissioners, that information would have been an interesting element of this report.

Open Meetings

For citizens to engage in the political process and to have influence upon issues which matter to them, they must be able to participate. For the purpose of maintaining a record of the actions taken and to inform interested parties as to the activities of government entities, keeping and posting meeting minutes are required.

¹⁰ California Attorney Generals Office (2003) The Brown Act: Open Meetings for Local Legislative Bodies.

¹¹ San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67 Sunshine Ordinance Article IV Policy Implementation <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5550>.

Minutes are available from the commission website.




* 32 total responses, 100% of submissions

The Jury is impressed by the open meeting culture of San Francisco.

Accessibility

For all members of the public to participate and have influence on the political process meetings must be accessible.

The commission website included information and resources to assist people with disabilities.



* 29 total responses, 100% of submissions

The commission website announces that the commission can provide language assistance to people who speak a language other than English.




* 32 total responses, 100% of submissions

The Statement of Accommodation was not easily found in all cases. Most commissions refer requests for accommodation to the Mayor's Office of Disability. By not following up on those requests, the commission neglects its responsibility to this issue. The Rent Board Commission and the MTA websites are good examples of providing support pages for non-English speakers within the site. For those who wish assistance where resources are not readily available, it should be clearer that assistance is available when requested in advance.

Accountability

Annual Report

Commissions are required to produce an annual report highlighting their projects, outcomes, effectiveness, and community contributions.¹² City Administrative Code does not permit annual reports to be distributed in paper form.¹³ Instead, posting the annual report to the commission's website and providing a link to the San Francisco Public Library, as described in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 8.16, makes the information available to the people of San Francisco.¹⁴



At the time of the review, 47% of the commissions had posted an annual report.

Attendance

In 2006 Mayor Newsom established a policy on commissioner attendance requiring commission secretaries to submit an annual attendance record and to request that the commission's liaison be contacted regarding commissioners who miss more than three meetings in one fiscal year.¹⁵ Also in 2006, the Board of Supervisors passed a resolution that all commissions should develop an attendance policy.¹⁶

In 2011, Mayor Lee reiterated the importance of attendance at meetings and requested a posting of commissioner attendance at meetings. We noted that the Mayor's website has

¹² San Francisco Administrative Code 1.56 (a) Annual Reports.

¹³ San Francisco Administrative Code 8.12.5 Electronic Distribution of Multi-page Documents.

¹⁴ San Francisco Administrative Code Section 8.16 Filing Annual Reports and Official Documents with the San Francisco Public Library.

¹⁵ <http://www.sfcityattorney.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=686>, p. 161.

¹⁶ <http://www.sfcityattorney.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=686>, p.162.

recorded commissioner attendance for FY 2011-2012.¹⁷ Attendance records have not been updated since then.

The jury found only one commission website that posts an attendance roster. To verify meetings and commissioner attendance, we looked at the minutes of every commission for every meeting to determine the proper responses for our survey, including: if or if not the meeting occurred, and for each commissioner, if or if not present and if not present then, if excused.

Attendance is a criterion on which a commissioner's performance is assessed. Except as noted above, there is no ongoing effort to maintain a current attendance record.

List of Commissions

Every resident has a right to know which commissions exist and the purposes for which they are maintained. Complete, concise, accurate information is not available. The jury expended much time in its attempt to create an accurate and complete list of commissions. After 9 months of research and attempts to compile this list, the Jury was able to locate an alphabetical list in the Index to the "City Attorney Opinion 2010-01" on pages 98-99. This obscure location is not easily accessible to the residents of the City.⁶

Commissioner vacancies are posted to a webpage by the Clerk of the Board who maintains a list of only those commissions to which the Board of Supervisors makes appointments, hence it is not complete. The annual list of entities maintained by the City Attorney also has notable omissions. A tabulation of SF311.org quarterly reports from commissions was also not complete.

¹⁷ Office of the Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, Mayoral Appointments. Accessed June 13, 2014 <http://www.sfmayor.org/index.aspx?page=617>.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Accessibility

Finding 1

A statement that informs the process of requesting accommodation for physical disability and/or language support is not easily found or present on many commission websites.

Recommendation 1a

The Mayor's Office on Disability should coordinate with commissions to ensure that statements for accommodation are easily located on commission websites.

Recommendation 1b

When commission websites are developed to include language support, that support should be provided in the same languages used in the voter's guide.

Accountability

Annual Report

Finding 2

Fewer than 50% of the commissions post an annual report as required.

Recommendation 2

The Mayor should ensure that each commission posts its annual report on the commission website and provides a URL link to the SFPL, promptly.

Attendance

Finding 3

Commissioner Attendance records are not readily available to the public. To discover this information after the fact is difficult.

Recommendation 3

All commissions should keep and post to their website a record of commissioner attendance. Maintenance of an ongoing record should be required.

List of Commissions

Finding 4

There is no easy reference to all of the commissions in San Francisco. The most complete list the Jury was able to find is located in the Index of the City Attorney Opinion 2010-01, (pages 98-99).

Recommendation 4

The City Attorney should ensure that there is an annual list of active commissions that is complete and listed alphabetically.

1
2

RESPONSE MATRIX

<p>Accessibility</p> <p>Finding 1 A statement that informs the process of requesting accommodation for physical disability and/or language support is not easily found or present on many commission websites.</p>	<p>Recommendation 1a The Mayor's Office on Disability should coordinate with commissions to ensure that statements for accommodation are easily located on commission websites.</p> <p>Recommendation 1b When commission websites are developed to include language support, that support should be provided in the same languages used in the voter's guide.</p>	<p>Mayor's Office on Disability</p>
<p>Accountability</p> <p>Finding 2 Fewer than 50% of the commissions post an annual report as required.</p>	<p>Recommendation 2 The Mayor should ensure that each commission posts its annual report on the commission website and provides a URL link to the SFPL, promptly.</p>	<p>Mayor</p>
<p>Attendance</p> <p>Finding 3 Commissioner Attendance records are not readily available to the public. To discover this information after the fact is difficult.</p>	<p>Recommendation 3 All commissions should keep and post to their website a record of commissioner attendance. Maintenance of an ongoing record should be required.</p>	<p>Mayor</p>

2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury City/County of San Francisco Commissions Report

<p>List of Commissions</p> <p>Finding 4 There is no easy reference to all of the commissions in San Francisco. The most complete list the Jury was able to find is located in the Index of the City Attorney Opinion 2010-01, (pages 98-99).</p>	<p>Recommendation 4 The City Attorney should ensure that there is an annual list of active commissions that is accurate, complete and listed alphabetically.</p>	<p>City Attorney</p>

METHODOLOGY

An extensive literature review was conducted and jurors interviewed staff members from San Francisco's Executive and Legislative branches regarding the operations of commissions, selection of commissioners, and assessment for re-appointment or potential removal from commission. Jurors also observed several commission meetings.

Using the Budget and Legislative Analyst 2011 Report on Boards and Commissions,¹⁸ the jury developed questions in a survey format. The survey was pilot tested during the last two weeks of December 2013. Because of the length of the survey and to protect commissioner confidentiality, the survey was divided into two parts. Data collection began during the second week of January 2014 and was completed on May 1, 2014. Jurors reviewed a commission website to locate specific items or information on the site that would answer the survey questions. Information may also have been gathered from SFData.org, sf311.org, and the Ethics Commission website. Data were collected about 225 commissioners from 32 commissions in San Francisco.

Forms were spot checked for accuracy and errors as they were submitted and a random selection of nine forms were reviewed for validation purposes. The questions and format of the survey forms are found in APPENDIX A and APPENDIX B.

¹⁸ Budget and Legislative Analyst (2011) Report on Boards, Commissions, Committees, Task Forces and other Oversight and Advisory Bodies.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

AB1234 California Legislative Digest, February 22, 2005 Accessed March 30, 2014
http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/05-06/bill/asm/ab_1201-1250/ab_1234_bill_20051007_chaptered.html

Board of Supervisor's Resolution on Attendance for Members of Boards and Commissions, 2006 Res No. 502-06 pg. 161 in Guide to Good Government, San Francisco City Attorney, 2010. Accessed March 31, 2014, <http://www.sfcityattorney.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=686>

Budget and Legislative Analyst (2011) Report on Boards, Commissions, Committees, Task Forces and other Oversight and Advisory Bodies

California Attorney General's Office (2003) The Brown Act: Open Meetings for Local Legislative Bodies. http://ag.ca.gov/publications/2003_Intro_BrownAct.pdf accessed 6/2/2014.

California Fair Political Practices Commission AS1234 and Online Ethics Training, Accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.fppc.ca.gov/index.php?id=477>

California Fair Political Practices Commission: Form 700 SEI.
<http://www.fppc.ca.gov/INDEX.php?id=500>

City and County of San Francisco. Health Services System. Municipal Executives, 2014 Premium Rates. Accessed March 31, 2014 http://www.myhss.org/downloads/forms_guides/2014_MEARates.pdf

City Attorney of San Francisco (2010) Good Government Guide. San Francisco
<http://www.sfcityattorney.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=686>

City Attorney Opinion 2010-01 February 21, 2010. Memorandum on Boards and Commissions to Mayor Newsom and the Clerk of the Board. San Francisco

City Attorney of San Francisco (2013) List of Active Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Task Forces and Requirements for Membership. San Francisco

City Attorney of San Francisco (2014) List of Active Boards, Commissions, Committees, and Task Forces and Requirements for Membership. San Francisco

Mayor's Policy on Commissioner Attendance, Office of the Mayor Gavin Newsom, City & County of San Francisco, September 18, 2006 pg. 162 in Guide to Good Government, San Francisco City Attorney, 2010. Accessed March 31, 2014
<http://www.sfcityattorney.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=686>

Office of the Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, Mayoral Appointments. Accessed June 13, 2014
<http://www.sfmayor.org/index.aspx?page=617>

San Francisco Administrative Code 8.12.5 Electronic Distribution of Multi-page Documents.

2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury City/County of San Francisco Commissions Report

San Francisco Administrative Code 1.56 (a) Annual Reports.

San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67 Sunshine Ordinance

San Francisco Administrative Code Section 8.16 Filing Annual Reports and Official Documents with the San Francisco Public Library.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Commission Survey Form Part 1

APPENDIX B: Commission Survey Form Part 2

Please note the new instructions for completing the survey Forms

Introduction: Data collection for this survey takes place in two documents. This one, titled APPENDIX A at the top and the other titled APPENDIX B on top. When you complete the questions and submit this form, the form for APPENDIX B will automatically load in your web browser.

To complete this survey you will be asked to gather information from various online sources, and in some cases compare or reconcile information contained on different web-sites. This process may require that you have more than one browser window or tabs open at the same time. Except for the website for the commission you are collecting information on, links to the websites needed to complete this form will be provided and highlighted in blue.

At the beginning of APPENDIX A and APPENDIX B you will be asked to identify the commission name of the commission you are researching. This will allow us to match the forms later in the data collecting process. Additionally, you are asked to enter your name and email address into each form. This allows us to keep track of who completed the forms and to provide you with an email copy of your responses to the questions. You may find the email containing your replies to Appendix A very helpful to organizing your replies to APPENDIX B.

Please retain your reply emails containing your responses.

To begin, in addition to this page, you will need to locate and open the web site for the commission on which you are collecting information. This can usually be done by searching the internet using Google or Bing or another search engine in another tab or browser window. Once you have opened that web site, check to be sure that you are on the commission web page which will be different from the department web page. Links to the other web sites you will need to visit to complete this form are provided on the form.

If you find an error or experience difficulty please contact me at sfcgj.mskahill@gmail.com I will do my best to resolve the issues.

Name of person completing this form

E-mail

Date Completed

At the end of this form, bottom left --> submit button, and bottom right --> save button. entering your E-mail here enables you to receive a receipt of your responses when you submit the completed form, and to receive an e-mail link to the partially completed form should you choose to save it and return later.

Section 1

Commission Name:

Commission Site URL

This Entity is a:

Section 2: Commissioners and Appointments

Using this link to the [Online Database of Commission and Board Appointments](#) For commission information, Scroll down the page and type the commission name into the appropriate field. For commissioners information and query for the results. Enter the commissioner name into the appropriate field.

Compliance: 2013 Financial Disclosures and Ethics

Statement of Economic Interests (SEI) Form 700, Sunshine Ordinance Declarations, and Certificate of Ethics Training can be found at this link to DATASF:

[Form 700 sunshine declaration and certificate of ethics training](#)

Commissioners

Appointed By

Some commissions have requirements or qualifications that must be met to fill specific commissioner positions where the qualifications may differ among the commissioners on the commission, in this case the commissioner position number and the qualifications are matched, hence the name, the commissioner position number must be matched with the qualifications for that position.

While completing the following section, whenever possible please match the commissioner name with their correct position number, which also then matches to the requirements for membership on the commission. It is not always possible to determine the position number that the individual holds, in which case, record one names for each position number in the order given.

Why 24? In almost every case you will be finished with this and other sections of the survey with far fewer than 24 responses. There are , however, a few commissions with as many as 24 commissioners. To construct a data collecting ins

Commissioners

Appointed By

Commissioner 1 Appointed By

Commissioner 1: 2013 Financial Disclosures (form 700) and ethics and sunshine training within the past 2 years

	Yes	No	Other
Form 700	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SF Ethics Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sunshine Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Commissioner 2 Appointed By

2013 Financial Disclosures (form 700) and ethics and sunshine training within the past 2 years

	Yes	No	Other
Form 700	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SF Ethics Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sunshine Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Commissioner 3 Appointed By

2013 Financial Disclosures (form 700) and ethics and sunshine training within the past 2 years

	Yes	No	Other
Form 700	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
SF Ethics Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sunshine Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Commissioner 4 Appointed By

Investigator Notes: Optional.

Coding and Confidentiality

Guidelines civil grand jury reports require that no names be disclosed. Much of the data we are collecting is name associated. Hence, we must use coding methods that allow us to track the integrity of our data, but protects the confidentiality of people.

When you were recording names above, you were asked to reconcile and match the commissioner position number and name information provided. And it is understood that it may not have been possible to do so.

Coding question:

- A) I was able to reconcile and match the commissioner position number and name while completing this form
- B) I was not able to reconcile and match the commissioner position number and name while completing this form

Use the email you receive upon submitting this form as a key to complete the remainder of the survey.

Save or Submit

Save: If you wish to continue completing this form at another time click the SAVE button to the right and below: You will receive an email with a link back to this form. The link will expire in 3 days.

Submit: To submit this form complete the 2 validation questions then select the submit button to the left and below. Once you have submitted this form, you will receive an e-mail receipt containing your responses.

IMPORTANT: The responses to this form will help you to complete the remaining questions associated with this survey. Once you have submitted this form, check your email for the auto-receipt. Print that e-mail and use the list of commissioners as an organizing guide in the next part of this survey.

Validation of Responses: Enter your name and check the validate box to indicate that you have completed this form truthfully and to the best of your ability..

Enter your name: Validate

you will automatically be redirected to the commission form when you select the submit button.

Commissioner Survey and Report Card: Continued. 2nd of two forms

If you locate errors or have difficulty please send me an e-mail sfcgj.mskahill@gmail.com I will do my best to resolve the problem.

Name of person completing this form

E-mail

Date Completed

For continued data security please enter you name and email again. At the end of this form, bottom left --> submit button, and bottom right --> save button. entering your E-mail here enables you to receive a receipt of your responses when you submit the completed form, and to receive an e-mail link to the partially completed form should you chose to save it and return later.

Section 1

Commission Name:

Commission Site URL

Was this commission Created in 2013

- Yes
 No

Did this commission sunset in 2013

- Yes
 No

If this commission is associated with a city Department, what is the name of the department?

Is there a link to the associated department on the commissions web site?

- Yes
 No

Section 2: Commissioners

Coding Label: Position Member

Select "Position" label if the numerical order of commissioners from the commissioner survey is identical to the designated commission position number.

Select "Member" Label if the numerical order of commissioners varies from the designated commission number.

Duplicate your response from the coding question on your Email Key.

- A) I was able to reconcile the commissioner name and commission position number when completing the previous form.
 B) I was not able to reconcile the commissioner name and commission position number when completing the previous form

The Role of this commission and it's commissioners (select all that apply).

- Advisory
 To Make Policy
 Quasi-Judicial
 Other

Compensation and Insurance information can be located in this [spreadsheet of Boards and Commissions](#) created By Hans Carter from San Francisco City Attorney Opinion 2010-01

Commissioners on this commission are eligible to participate in the City/County of San Francisco Health Plan. Yes
 No

The commissions received financial compensation. Yes
 No

The amount of compensation is
per

Section 3: Locating Standard Information for Commissions

For the following questions, select "yes" if you are able, and select "no" if you are not able to locate the requested resource on the commission web-site.

- Commission Telephone Number Yes No
- Commission e-mail Address Yes No
- Next commission meeting announcement Yes No
- Regular meeting, day, time, location indicated Yes No
- Information about accessibility and resources for persons with disabilities. Yes No
- Does the Commission site contain a link to a translation resource, like Google-translate Yes No
- Does the Commission site announce multi -lingual support? Yes No
- Commission Calendar Yes No
- Does the Calendar indicate the dates of regular meetings during 2013? Yes No
- Is there access to meeting agenda? Yes No
- Are meeting minutes posted on the site? Yes No
- Is a statement prioritizing agenda topics posted? Yes No
- Are annual reports for the commission posted? Yes No
- Are other reports from the commission posted? Yes No
- Is a statement of incompatible activities posted? Yes No
- Are commissioners names given Yes No
- Is biographical information give about the commissioners. Yes No.
-

Section 4: Commission Meetings

Commission Meetings and the Public Record.

In this section it will be necessary to determine the meeting schedule for the commission, record the date of each public meeting locate agenda and minutes for each meeting, and to review the minutes to verify that the meeting did occur, determine if commissioners were present, establish that members of the public had an opportunity to comment on issues before the commission, and to assess if an interested party reviewing the minutes would be informed as to the activities of that meeting.

This commission meets:

Meeting Status Legend

Confirmed = the meeting was held as scheduled and is supported by minutes

None Scheduled = No meeting was scheduled

Canceled: A scheduled meeting was announced as canceled..

No Meeting = The commission web-site indicates a meeting, but that meeting was not scheduled and/or did not occur

Planned Break = The canceled meeting was announced in advance as a break from the regular meeting schedule

Other = a condition that does not meet any of the above criteria

Attendance Legend

Attendance information taken from Meeting minutes:

Present: The commissioner was present for the meeting.

/P: The Commissioner was present for the meeting, but arrived late or left the meeting early.

Absent: Indicates that the commissioner was not present for the meeting.

Excused: The commissioner had significant reason or made prior arrangements to be absent.

Other: For a position that is not filled or condition otherwise not described above.

For each month, below, select the date or dates of regular commission meetings, then respond to questions pertaining to each meeting

January 2013

1st January Meeting
2013

Meeting Status

Select all that apply

Confirmed

public comments were heard.

None Scheduled

Minutes are posted

Canceled

Minutes are detailed.

No Meeting

Planned Break

Other

Commissioner Attendance

For integrity in process, Please retain the order in which you listed commissioner names associated with the position number that you established when completing APPENDIX A. Grand Jury rules do not allow for names to be identified within reports. It is important to retain name and position number integrity to validate our findings

1st January Meeting Attendance

	Present	/P	Absent	Excused	Other
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 6	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 8	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 9	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 10	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 11	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 12	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 13	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 14	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 15	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

1st February
Meeting 2013

Meeting Status

- Confirmed
- None Scheduled
- Canceled
- No Meeting
- Planned Break
- Other

Select all that apply

- public comments were heard.
- Minutes are posted
- Minutes are detailed.

Commissioner Attendance

The attendance roster repeats each month, January 2013 - December 2013

First December Meeting

	Present	/P	Absent	Excused	Other
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 6	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 8	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 9	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 10	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 11	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 12	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 13	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 14	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Position 15	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Notes

How many meetings was this commission scheduled to hold between January 1, and December 31, 2013

How many meetings did this commission actual hold between January 1 and December 31, 2012

VALIDATE AND SUBMIT

OR

SAVE

SAVE: If you wish to save this form, Select SAVE at the bottom right of this form. You will receive an email with a link back to this form. The link will expire in three days.

SUBMIT: To submit this form, respond to the validation questions (Name, Date, Validate) below then select SUBMIT, at the bottom left corner of this form. You will receive and email that contains your responses to this form. Please retain that email.

Enter your name here:

Enter Today's Date

I assert that I have completed this form truthfully and to the best of my ability

Validate

Then select submit, below and to the left.

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee:
- An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.
- 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee:
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
- 5. City Attorney request.
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).
- 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.
- 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:


- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

The text is listed below or attached:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: 

For Clerk's Use Only: