File	No.	240727

Committee Item No.	_2	
Board Item No.		

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting: Date: October 28, 2024 Date: October 29, 2024			
Cmte Board			
☐ ☐ Motion ☐ ☐ Resolution ☐ ☐ Ordinance	r Letter and/or Report		
 ☐ CEQA Determination – Se ☐ Referrals CEQA and PC – ☐ Hearing Notice – October 	July 3, 2024		
Prepared by: John Carroll Prepared by: John Carroll Prepared by:	Date: October 25, 2024 Date: October 28, 2024 Date: October 28, 2024		

1	[Planning Code; Zoning Map - New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue]
2	r domo / Wendej
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior
4	Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel
5	Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination
6	under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience,
7	and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of
8	consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,
9	Section 101.1.
10	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
11	Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.
12	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
13	Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
14	
15	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
16	
17	Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.
18	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
19	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
20	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
21	Supervisors in File No. 240727 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
22	this determination.
23	(b) On September 19, 2024, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21613,
24	adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
25	with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The

- Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 240727, and is incorporated herein by reference.
 - (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21613, and the Board adopts such reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 240727 and is incorporated herein by reference.

- Section 2. Additional Findings.
- (a) Chinatown is one of the densest neighborhoods in the City. Over one-third of its residents live below the poverty line and Chinatown has the lowest average median income in the City. Many of its residents live in overcrowded conditions and there is an urgent need for quality affordable housing, particularly for its aging, senior residents.
- (b) The properties located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, are located within the Chinatown Residential Neighborhood Commercial zoning district, which provides a height limit of 65 feet and bulk limits of 50 to 75 feet in length or 100 feet in diagonal dimension.
- (c) The New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District (SUD) is intended to facilitate reuse of the buildings at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue and construction of a 100% affordable senior housing project. The SUD would allow an exception to the existing height and bulk district controls, up to a maximum height of 155 feet including any additional height required by the use of the California State Density Bonus Law, California Government Code Section 65915 et seq., or any other local and state program that allows additional density and Planning Code modifications. All other applicable Planning Code provisions would continue to apply to the SUD.

1	Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section
2	249.19, to read as follows:
3	SEC. 249.19. NEW ASIA SENIOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
4	(a) Purpose. The New Asia Senior Affordable Housing Special Use District is intended to
5	facilitate the rebuilding and reuse of property located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Block
6	0161, lots 14 and 15, for 100% affordable housing for seniors.
7	(b) Location. The boundaries of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District are shown
8	on Special Use District Map SU01 of the Zoning Map.
9	(c) Controls. Except as stated in subsection (d), all the applicable provisions of the Planning
10	Code shall apply, including a height limit of 65 feet, as shown on Height and Bulk District Map HT01
11	of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.
12	(d) Height Exception for Certain 100% Affordable Housing Projects. A project that meets all
13	of the following criteria shall be exempt from the 65-N height and bulk limit set forth in Section 250:
14	(1) Includes Residential Units, all of which are Affordable Units, as defined in Section
15	401, and occupied by at least one person 62 years of age or older;
16	(2) Includes an Institutional Community Use and/or a Commercial Use on the ground
17	floor; and,
18	(3) Does not exceed a height of 155 feet, as measured by Section 260(a), but not
19	including any exemptions set forth in Section 260(b). The preceding height limitation shall be inclusive
20	of any additional height permissible under any state or local density bonus program that requires or
21	permits increases in height, including Planning Code Section 206.6 and State Density Bonus Law,
22	California Government Code Section 65915 et seq.
23	
24	Section 4. Article 8 of the Planning Code is hereby revised by amending Section 812
25	to read as follows:

1 SEC. 812. CHINATOWN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL 2 DISTRICT. 3 Table 812 4 CHINATOWN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT 5 **ZONING CONTROL TABLE** 6 7 Chinatown Residential 8 **Neighborhood Commercial** 9 District 10 § References Zoning Category Controls 11 **BUILDING STANDARDS** 12 Massing and Setbacks 13 Height and Bulk Limits §§ 102, 105, 106, <u>249.19</u>, 40-X, 50-N, 65-N, 65-85-N, & 14 250-252, 254, 260, 263.4, 110-G. P up to 35 feet; C 15 270, 271. See also Height above 35 feet. See Height 16 and Bulk District Maps. and Bulk Map for more 17 information. 18

19 20

Section 5. Zoning Map. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Special Use District Map SU01 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

2223

24

25

Description of Property	Special Use District Hereby Approved
Assessor's Block 0161, Lot 14	New Asia Senior Affordable Housing Special
Assessor's Block 0161, Lot 15	Use District

1	Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
2	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
3	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
4	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
5	
6	Section 7. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
7	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
8	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
9	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
10	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
11	the official title of the ordinance.
12	
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
14	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
15	By: <u>/s/ Audrey Pearson</u> AUDREY PEARSON
16	Deputy City Attorney
17	n:\legana\as2024\2400471\01770857.docx
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(Substituted, 7/09/2024)

[Planning Code; Zoning Map - New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Block 0161, Lots 14 and 15; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

The existing height limit at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue is 65 feet.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would create a special use district for the parcels at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue. The Planning Code controls would apply in the SUD. However, for projects that (1) are 100% affordable housing for seniors; (2) include a commercial or institutional use at the ground floor; and (3) are no taller than 155 feet, including any additional height allowed by any state or local density bonus program, the existing 65 foot height limit would not apply.

The ordinance also would make conforming amendments to the zoning map, and the table in Planning Code Section 812, Chinatown Residential Neighborhood Commercial District.

Background Information

This ordinance would facilitate the construction of a 100% affordable housing project for seniors.

n:\legana\as2024\2400471\01770930.docx

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 1



October 1, 2024

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Supervisor Peskin **Board of Supervisors** City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2024-006177PCAMAP:

New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue Board File No. 240727

Planning Commission Recommendation: Adopt a Recommendation for Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Peskin,

On September 19, 2024, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance, introduced by Supervisor Peskin. The ordinance would create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue. At the hearing the Planning Commission adopted a recommendation for approval.

The proposed amendments have been determined to qualify as a statutory exemption per CEQA Section 21080.40. This section exempts affordable housing projects that consist of multifamily residential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential and nonresidential uses, with at least two-thirds of the square footage of the project designated for residential use

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc: Audrey Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Nate Horrell, Aide to Supervisor Peskin
John Carroll, Office of the Clerk of the Board

ATTACHMENTS:

Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary





PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21613

HEARING DATE: September 19, 2024

Project Name: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue

Case Number: 2024-006177PCAMAP [Board File No. 240727]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced June 25, 2024

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores Legislative Affairs

veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525

Reviewed by: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL WITH ANTICIPATED AMENDMENT OF A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE AND ZONING MAP TO CREATE THE NEW ASIA SENIOR HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT LOCATED AT 758 AND 772 PACIFIC AVENUE, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 0161, LOT NOS. 14 AND 15; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE FINDINGS UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2024 Supervisor Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 240727, which would amend the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on September 19, 2024; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to qualify for a statutory exemption per CEQA Section 21080.40. This section exempts affordable housing projects that consist of multifamily residential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential and nonresidential uses, with at least two-thirds of the square footage of the project designated for residential use; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby adopts a **recommendation for approval** of the proposed ordinance.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The proposed Ordinance will support a 100% affordable senior housing project at 772 and 758 Pacific Avenue. The proposed development includes a mix of unit types, including 124 studios, 50-1 bedrooms, and a 2-bedroom unit. Additionally, the resulting project will include units available to low- and very low-income households.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1.B

ADVANCE EQUITABLE HOUSING ACCESS

OBJECTIVE 3.C

ELIMINATE DISPLACEMENT OF VULNERABLE COMMUNITIES AND COMMUNITIES OF COLOR.

OBJECTIVE 4.A

SUBSTANTIALLY EXPAND THE AMOUNT OF PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR EXTREMELY LOW- TO MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

OBJECTIVE 4.C

EXPAND AND DIVERSIFY HOUSING TYPES FOR ALL.



Policy 5

Improve access to the available Affordable Rental and Homeownership units especially for disproportionately underserved racial and social groups.

Policy 6

Advance equal housing access by eliminating discrimination based on race, ethnicity, immigration status, HIV+ status, gender identity, sexual orientation, disabilities, age, prior incarceration, or mental health and improving housing programs for underserved groups.

Policy 7

Pursue permanently affordable housing investments that are specific to the geographic, cultural, and support needs of recently arrived or newly independent residents or residents from marginalized groups, including transgender and LGBTQ+ people.

Policy 15

Expand permanently affordable housing investments in Priority Equity Geographies to better serve American Indian, Black, and other People of color within income ranges underserved, including extremely-, very low-, and moderate-income households.

Policy 17

Expand investments in Priority Equity Geographies to advance equitable access to resources while ensuring community stability.

Policy 26

Streamline and simplify permit processes to provide more equitable access to the application process, improve certainty of outcomes, and ensure meeting State- and local-required timelines, especially for 100% affordable housing and shelter projects.

Policy 29

Complete community-led processes in Priority Equity Geographies that provide defined community benefits or mitigations for effects of new development consistent with state and federal law in order to reduce burdens on advocates of vulnerable populations and community members and establish more predictable outcomes for housing applications.

Policy 32

Promote and facilitate aging in place for seniors and multi-generational living that supports extended families and communal households.

CHINATOWN AREA PLAN

OBJECTIVE 3

STABILIZE AND WHERE POSSIBLE INCREASE THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING.



Policy 3.2

Increase the supply of housing.

The proposed SUD is located within the Chinatown Area Plan. The proposed Ordinance directly supports the Chinatown Area Plan objective to "stabilize and where possible increase the supply of housing". The proposed development adds 175 dwelling units to Chinatown.

The proposed Ordinance also supports the Housing Element's efforts to create housing for all, particularly for seniors. Objective 1.B strives to advance equitable housing access for all residents. Specifically, Policy 5 is to improve access to the available Affordable Rental and Homeownership units especially for disproportionately underserved racial and social groups. The proposed Ordinance would support a 100% affordable housing development targeted towards seniors or households with at least one senior. This helps ensure there are more housing opportunities for these vulnerable households. Policies 6, 7, 15, and 32 of the Housing Element – as detailed in the Draft Resolution – also specifically support our seniors and ensure they have access to permanently affordable housing.

In addition to creating more affordable housing for our seniors, the proposed Ordinance also seeks to prevent tenant and business displacement. This aligns with Objective 3.C: eliminate displacement of vulnerable communities and communities of color. These objectives and policies ensure our seniors can age in place.

Further, the community partner, Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC), conducted community outreach to engage with the neighborhood for the past two years. This included reaching out to community leaders and group members, business and restaurant owners, and arts and cultural leaders. Additionally, CCDC reached out to the eight immediately neighboring property and shop owners to notify them of the proposed development. CCDC shared the initial design and gathered input to incorporate into the project design and proposed SUD. CCDC reached out to the existing tenants and will provide temporary housing during construction and rehouse them in the new development. All these community engagement efforts align with Policy 29: complete community-led processes in Priority Equity Geographies.

The proposed development includes dwellings units available at 15% to 50% Area Median Income (AMI). This meets and exceeds the goals of Objective 4.A: substantially expand the amount of permanently low- to moderate-income households since it also caters to very low-income households. This range of AMI levels responds to Chinatown's low-income households and community needs.

Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.



2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.



NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on September 19, 2024.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES: Campbell, McGarry, Williams, Braun, Imperial, Moore, So

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: September 19, 2024





EXECUTIVE SUMMARYPLANNING CODE TEXT & ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

HEARING DATE: September 19, 2024

90-Day Deadline: October 15, 2024

Project Name: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue

Case Number: 2024-006177PCAMAP [Board File No. 240727]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced June 25, 2024

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores Legislative Affairs

veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525

Reviewed by: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

Environmental

Review: Statutory Exemption

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt a Recommendation for Approval

Planning Code Amendment

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District (SUD) located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15 (hereinafter Subject Site).

The Way It Is Now:

The Subject Site is located in the Chinatown Residential Neighborhood Commercial District (CRNC) Zoning District and have a Height/Bulk District of 65-N.

The Way It Would Be:

The New Asia Senior Housing SUD would be established at the Subject Site. See Figure 1 for the proposed SUD location. The SUD would provide a height and bulk exception for certain 100% affordable housing projects. Qualifying projects must demonstrate the following:

- Include Residential Units, all units must be affordable, and at least one person in each household must be 62 years or older;
- Include an Institutional Community Use and/or a Commercial Use on the ground floor; and
- Does not exceed 155 feet in height, including any additional height granted under any state or local density bonus programs.



Figure 1: Boundaries for proposed SUD



Anticipated Amendment

The sponsor intends to revise the proposed SUD name to "758 and 772 Pacific Avenue Affordable Senior Housing SUD". The Department supports this amendment and notes it should also be reflected in the revised Zoning Map.

Background

The proposed Ordinance impacts two parcels in Chinatown. 772 Pacific Avenue is City-owned, and 758 Pacific Avenue is owned by Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC). The long-term goal includes the City purchasing 758 Pacific Avenue, merging the two properties, and then leasing the combined property to CCDC. CCDC envisions a 100% affordable, 175-unit senior housing project with a banquet hall in the basement through second floors (hereinafter "Proposed Project"). 175 units is more than what would otherwise be permitted under the current Zoning and Height/Bulk district. The proposed Ordinance is intended to help them build their desired project.

Issues and Considerations

Project Site and Existing Uses

The existing building at 772 Pacific Avenue is approximately 20 feet tall. It is the site of the New Asia Restaurant, established at the site in February 1987 and continuously operated until 2020. New Asia Restaurant was nominated to the Legacy Business Registry in the fall of 2019. In 2020, the restaurant and banquet hall were converted to a Chinese grocery store because large gatherings were not allowed during the COVID-19 pandemic. The plan is for the grocery store to remain open until construction begins.

The existing building at 758 Pacific Avenue is approximately 40 feet tall. There is a restaurant on the ground floor and one dwelling unit on the second floor. The restaurant operator and family currently live in this unit. The sponsor is working with these existing tenants to arrange temporary housing during construction. The tenants will also be rehoused in the new development. The Department understands that the existing tenants do not object to the proposed project.

Zoning Limitations and How the Proposed SUD Supports the Proposed Project

Density

The existing zoning does not permit the Proposed Project. The CRNC Zoning District permits one unit per 200 square feet of lot area. With a combined lot area of approximately 11,200 square feet, the site is permitted to have 56 dwelling units. Senior housing is permitted in all density-controlled zoning districts of up to twice the number of allowed dwelling units. To qualify for this exception, these projects must comply with the location and operating conditions of Section 202.2(f)(1). For this project site, that means 112 senior housing units are allowed. However, State Density Bonus (SDB) Law provides a special program for 100% affordable housing projects that are within ½ mile of a major transit stop. Under this program, 100% affordable projects will receive unlimited density and additional incentives, concessions, and waivers. Thus, the proposed 175 units is allowed under this SDB program.



Height and Bulk

Projects can typically request a height waiver under SDB. However, this is not allowed under the proposed SUD.

The 65-N Height/Bulk District allows buildings up to 65 feet tall. The proposed project could typically request a height waiver under SDB to accommodate additional affordable units; however, the proposed Ordinance does not allow projects to request a height waiver under SDB. Instead, the proposed SUD increases the height limit to 155 feet to achieve the proposed project.

Bulk requirements were created to reduce building massing at the tallest portion of the building. This provides additional relief to neighboring buildings. This also makes the streets feel more welcome at the street level. Within the 65-N Height/Bulk District, buildings need to reduce the massing for portions above 40 feet high. The project may seek a waiver for bulk under SDB. However, the proposed Ordinance already includes a bulk exemption.

Other Potential Paths to Increase Height

There are other potential paths to achieve the Proposed Project. The project is seeking incentives, concessions, and waivers under the State Density Bonus and Assembly Bill 2011 (AB 2011). While height can be increased under those programs, the amount it could be increased would not be enough to build the desired project; however, there are other ways to achieve the desired height. Instead of establishing a new SUD, the height map could be amended to increase the height limit for these two parcels to 155 feet. The project could then request an incentive, concession, or waiver for all the other code requirements. Moreover, this could result in additional height granted through a state or local density bonus program making the project much taller than desired. In which case the height limit can be raised to a lower height, such as 120 or 130 feet. These other paths also facilitate the proposed project without the necessity of establishing a new SUD and were presented to the sponsor as an alternative. Ultimately, he decided to proceed with this approach instead given concerns over the uncertainty of how much height could be achieved under state programs.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed SUD is located within the Chinatown Area Plan. The proposed Ordinance directly supports the Chinatown Area Plan objective to "stabilize and where possible increase the supply of housing". The proposed development adds 175 dwelling units to Chinatown.

The proposed Ordinance also supports the Housing Element's efforts to create housing for all, particularly for seniors. Objective 1.B strives to advance equitable housing access for all residents. Specifically, Policy 5 is to improve access to the available Affordable Rental and Homeownership units especially for disproportionately underserved racial and social groups. The proposed Ordinance would support a 100% affordable housing development targeted towards seniors or households with at least one senior. This helps ensure there are more housing opportunities for these vulnerable households. Policies 6, 7, 15, and 32 of the



Housing Element – as detailed in the Draft Resolution – also specifically support our seniors and ensure they have access to permanently affordable housing.

In addition to creating more affordable housing for our seniors, the proposed Ordinance also seeks to prevent tenant and business displacement. This aligns with Objective 3.C: eliminate displacement of vulnerable communities and communities of color. These objectives and policies ensure our seniors can age in place.

Further, the community partner, Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC), conducted community outreach to engage with the neighborhood for the past two years. This included reaching out to community leaders and group members, business and restaurant owners, and arts and cultural leaders. Additionally, CCDC reached out to the eight immediately neighboring property and shop owners to notify them of the proposed development. CCDC shared the initial design and gathered input to incorporate into the project design and proposed SUD. CCDC reached out to the existing tenants and will provide temporary housing during construction and rehouse them in the new development. All these community engagement efforts align with Policy 29: complete community-led processes in Priority Equity Geographies.

The proposed development includes dwellings units available at 15% to 50% Area Median Income (AMI). This meets and exceeds the goals of Objective 4.A: substantially expand the amount of permanently low- to moderate-income households since it also caters to very low-income households. This range of AMI levels responds to Chinatown's low-income households and community needs.

Racial and Social Equity Analysis

The proposed Ordinance advances racial and social equality by creating a path to build more permanently affordable housing than otherwise allowed under today's zoning. Almost one-third of households in Chinatown live below the poverty line. The proposed project is 100% affordable and responds to these housing needs. Projects of this size in the CRNC district typically require 15% affordable housing. The proposed project far exceeds this standard requirement. Additionally, rental affordable housing units typically start at 55% of the AMI for very low-income households. The affordable units in the new development will range from 15% to 50% AMI. Projects rarely provide rental affordable housing at these lower rates. Thus, the proposed project creates new housing opportunities for very low-income households that might not be eligible for other developments.

In 2020, 16% of San Francisco's population was 65 years or older compared to 28% in Chinatown.² This means there was a much higher concentration of seniors within Chinatown compared to the city. Therefore, housing needs may differ than other neighborhoods. The proposed Ordinance facilitates housing where at least one resident is at least 62 years or old. This responds to the aging population within Chinatown.

CCDC shared they typically provide studios or 1-bedrooms because seniors tend to have smaller households. The 1-bedroom units provide space if the senior has live-in aide. CCDC met with several community groups and organizations to get input on the distribution between studios and 1-bedrooms. Some groups included

² American Community Survey, 2020.



¹ The San Francisco Standard, American Community Survey 2017-2021.

the Community Tenants Association (CTA), which is comprised of over 2000 seniors, and Self Help for the Elderly, who serves seniors in the community. The community expressed wanting to see as many units, particularly studios, as possible. CCDC considered this feedback and included 124 studios (70%) and 50-1 bedrooms (30%) in the proposed project.

There is one dwelling unit on the second floor of 758 Pacific Avenue. It is currently occupied by the ground floor restaurant operator and family. CCDC will provide temporary housing to these existing tenants during construction and rehouse them in the new development. This plan removes the stress of looking for housing during and after construction. Looking for affordable housing can be a bigger burden for low-income households, but CCDC's plan ensures these existing residents can stay in Chinatown and the community they are familiar with.

New Asia Restaurant is long-established family-owned business within Chinatown. The current owners (Hon Keung So and Candy Mei Yei So) are the spouses of the original owners (Robert Yick and Shew Yick). The proposed Ordinance supports this family continuing contributions to the Chinatown community. New Asia Restaurant previously employed over 45 employees on average. It was one of the largest employers in Chinatown. The proposed project would restore these jobs within the community. Additionally, New Asia Restaurant could previously accommodate 1,000 people and was the largest banquet hall in Chinatown in the past two decades. The proposed Ordinance facilitates restoring an important gathering space in the community.

Implementation

The Department has determined that this ordinance will not impact our current implementation procedures.

Recommendation

The Department recommends that the Commission *adopt a recommendation for approval* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

Basis for Recommendation

The Department supports the overall goals of this Ordinance because it facilitates a 100% affordable senior housing project within Chinatown. The project responds the housing needs based on Chinatown's senior and low-income population. Additionally, CCDC conducted two years of community outreach to get feedback on the initial design and to understand the types of units desired in the new development. CCDC incorporated this feedback by including a maximum of 15 stories. This also resulted in the proposed project of 70% studios and 30% 1-bedrooms to accommodate seniors with live-in assistance.

The Department also supports the proposed range of AMI levels. The project includes units for qualifying household incomes of 15% to 50% of the AMI, which is typically lower than what's required for other developments. This means that households that might not be eligible for other units may apply for these units. This is important because of almost one-third of households in Chinatown live below the poverty line.



Additionally, the Department supports CCDC's efforts to protect existing tenants and uses. CCDC will provide temporary housing to the existing tenants during construction. CCDC will also rehouse them in the new development to ensure they can stay in Chinatown. New Asia Restaurant also intends to return to the project site. The proposed Ordinance requires a community or commercial use on the ground floor whether it is New Asia Restaurant or another use in the future. This responds to the feedback that there should always be a community-serving use on the ground floor.

Required Commission Action

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may adopt a recommendation of approval, disapproval, or approval with modifications.

Environmental Review

The proposed amendments have been determined to qualify for a statutory exemption per CEQA Section 21080.40. This section exempts affordable housing projects that consist of multifamily residential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential and nonresidential uses, with at least two-thirds of the square footage of the project designated for residential use.

Public Comment

The Planning Department received six public comments in support of the project including from the Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), the Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco (CCC), and other community groups. The support letters discussed the need for senior housing in Chinatown, especially for low-income seniors. A few of the community groups also shared support for the new banquet hall to continue the tradition of family gatherings at this site. The Department also received one public comment in opposition to the proposed Ordinance. The primary concern was related to compromising the unique character of the neighborhood.

ATTACHMENTS:

Exhibit A: Draft Planning Commission Resolution Exhibit B: Board of Supervisors File No. 240727

Exhibit C: Draft plans

Exhibit D: Public Comments





49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

PLANNING COMMISSION DRAFT RESOLUTION

HEARING DATE: September 19, 2024

Project Name: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue

Case Number: 2024-006177PCAMAP [Board File No. 240727]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced June 25, 2024

Staff Contact: Veronica Flores Legislative Affairs

veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525

Reviewed by: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE AND ZONING MAP TO CREATE THE NEW ASIA SENIOR HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT LOCATED AT 758 AND 772 PACIFIC AVENUE, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 0161, LOT NOS. 14 AND 15; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE FINDINGS UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2024 Supervisor Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 240727, which would amend the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on September 19, 2024; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to qualify for a statutory exemption per CEQA Section 21080.40. This section exempts affordable housing projects that consist of multifamily residential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential and nonresidential uses, with at least two-thirds of the square footage of the project designated for residential use; and

establishing a statutory exemption for affordable housing projects that consist of multifamily residential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential and nonresidential uses, with at least two-thirds of the square footage of the project designated for

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby adopts a **recommendation for approval** of the proposed ordinance.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The proposed Ordinance will support a 100% affordable senior housing project at 772 and 758 Pacific Avenue. The proposed development includes a mix of unit types, including 124 studios, 50-1 bedrooms, and a 2-bedroom unit. Additionally, the resulting project will include units available to low- and very low-income households.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1.B

ADVANCE EQUITABLE HOUSING ACCESS

OBJECTIVE 3.C

ELIMINATE DISPLACEMENT OF VULNERABLE COMMUNITIES AND COMMUNITIES OF COLOR.



OBJECTIVE 4.A

SUBSTANTIALLY EXPAND THE AMOUNT OF PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR EXTREMELY LOW- TO MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

OBIECTIVE 4.C

EXPAND AND DIVERSIFY HOUSING TYPES FOR ALL.

Policy 5

Improve access to the available Affordable Rental and Homeownership units especially for disproportionately underserved racial and social groups.

Policy 6

Advance equal housing access by eliminating discrimination based on race, ethnicity, immigration status, HIV+ status, gender identity, sexual orientation, disabilities, age, prior incarceration, or mental health and improving housing programs for underserved groups.

Policy 7

Pursue permanently affordable housing investments that are specific to the geographic, cultural, and support needs of recently arrived or newly independent residents or residents from marginalized groups, including transgender and LGBTQ+ people.

Policy 15

Expand permanently affordable housing investments in Priority Equity Geographies to better serve American Indian, Black, and other People of color within income ranges underserved, including extremely-, very low-, and moderate-income households.

Policy 17

Expand investments in Priority Equity Geographies to advance equitable access to resources while ensuring community stability.

Policy 26

Streamline and simplify permit processes to provide more equitable access to the application process, improve certainty of outcomes, and ensure meeting State- and local-required timelines, especially for 100% affordable housing and shelter projects.

Policy 29

Complete community-led processes in Priority Equity Geographies that provide defined community benefits or mitigations for effects of new development consistent with state and federal law in order to reduce burdens on advocates of vulnerable populations and community members and establish more predictable outcomes for housing applications.

Policy 32

Promote and facilitate aging in place for seniors and multi-generational living that supports extended families and communal households.



CHINATOWN AREA PLAN

OBJECTIVE 3

STABILIZE AND WHERE POSSIBLE INCREASE THE SUPPLY OF HOUSING.

Policy 3.2

Increase the supply of housing.

The proposed SUD is located within the Chinatown Area Plan. The proposed Ordinance directly supports the Chinatown Area Plan objective to "stabilize and where possible increase the supply of housing". The proposed development adds 175 dwelling units to Chinatown.

The proposed Ordinance also supports the Housing Element's efforts to create housing for all, particularly for seniors. Objective 1.B strives to advance equitable housing access for all residents. Specifically, Policy 5 is to improve access to the available Affordable Rental and Homeownership units especially for disproportionately underserved racial and social groups. The proposed Ordinance would support a 100% affordable housing development targeted towards seniors or households with at least one senior. This helps ensure there are more housing opportunities for these vulnerable households. Policies 6, 7, 15, and 32 of the Housing Element – as detailed in the Draft Resolution – also specifically support our seniors and ensure they have access to permanently affordable housing.

In addition to creating more affordable housing for our seniors, the proposed Ordinance also seeks to prevent tenant and business displacement. This aligns with Objective 3.C: eliminate displacement of vulnerable communities and communities of color. These objectives and policies ensure our seniors can age in place.

Further, the community partner, Chinatown Community Development Center (CCDC), conducted community outreach to engage with the neighborhood for the past two years. This included reaching out to community leaders and group members, business and restaurant owners, and arts and cultural leaders. Additionally, CCDC reached out to the eight immediately neighboring property and shop owners to notify them of the proposed development. CCDC shared the initial design and gathered input to incorporate into the project design and proposed SUD. CCDC reached out to the existing tenants and will provide temporary housing during construction and rehouse them in the new development. All these community engagement efforts align with Policy 29: complete community-led processes in Priority Equity Geographies.

The proposed development includes dwellings units available at 15% to 50% Area Median Income (AMI). This meets and exceeds the goals of Objective 4.A: substantially expand the amount of permanently low- to moderate-income households since it also caters to very low-income households. This range of AMI levels responds to Chinatown's low-income households and community needs.



Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic



buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on September 19, 2024.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: NOES: ABSENT:

ADOPTED: September 19, 2024



ORDINANCE NO.

[Planning Code: Zoning Map - New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue 1 2 3 Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel 4 5 Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination 6 under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, 7 and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of 8 consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, 9 **Section 101.1.** 10 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font. **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*. 11 **Deletions to Codes** are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. 12 Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code 13 subsections or parts of tables. 14 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 15 16 Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings. 17 18 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this 19 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of 20 Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms 21 22 this determination. (b) On , the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. , adopted 23 24 findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board 25

1 adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the 2 Board of Supervisors in File No. , and is incorporated herein by reference. 3 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set 4 forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____, and the Board adopts such 5 6 reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference. 7 8 9 Section 2. Additional Findings. (a) Chinatown is one of the densest neighborhoods in the City. Over one-third of its 10 residents live below the poverty line and Chinatown has the lowest average median income in 11 12 the City. Many of its residents live in overcrowded conditions and there is an urgent need for 13 quality affordable housing, particularly for its aging, senior residents. 14 (b) The properties located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, are located within the 15 Chinatown Residential Neighborhood Commercial zoning district, which provides a height limit

- Chinatown Residential Neighborhood Commercial zoning district, which provides a height limit of 65 feet and bulk limits of 50 to 75 feet in length or 100 feet in diagonal dimension.
- (c) The New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District (SUD) is intended to facilitate reuse of the buildings at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue and construction of a 100% affordable senior housing project. The SUD would allow an exception to the existing height and bulk district controls, up to a maximum height of 155 feet including any additional height required by the use of the California State Density Bonus Law, California Government Code Section 65915 et seq., or any other local and state program that allows additional density and Planning Code modifications. All other applicable Planning Code provisions would continue to apply to the SUD.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


1	Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section
2	249.19, to read as follows:
3	SEC. 249.19. NEW ASIA SENIOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
4	(a) Purpose. The New Asia Senior Affordable Housing Special Use District is intended to
5	facilitate the rebuilding and reuse of property located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Block
6	0161, lots 14 and 15, for 100% affordable housing for seniors.
7	(b) Location. The boundaries of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District are shown
8	on Special Use District Map SU01 of the Zoning Map.
9	(c) Controls. Except as stated in subsection (d), all the applicable provisions of the Planning
10	Code shall apply, including a height limit of 65 feet, as shown on Height and Bulk District Map HT01
11	of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.
12	(d) Height Exception for Certain 100% Affordable Housing Projects. A project that meets all
13	of the following criteria shall be exempt from the 65-N height and bulk limit set forth in Section 250:
14	(1) Includes Residential Units, all of which are Affordable Units, as defined in Section
15	401, and occupied by at least one person 62 years of age or older;
16	(2) Includes an Institutional Community Use and/or a Commercial Use on the ground
17	floor; and,
18	(3) Does not exceed a height of 155 feet, as measured by Section 260(a), but not
19	including any exemptions set forth in Section 260(b). The preceding height limitation shall be inclusive
20	of any additional height permissible under any state or local density bonus program that requires or
21	permits increases in height, including Planning Code Section 206.6 and State Density Bonus Law,
22	California Government Code Section 65915 et seq.
23	
24	Section 4. Article 8 of the Planning Code is hereby revised by amending Section 812
25	to read as follows:


1	SEC. 812. CHINATOWI	N RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORH	OOD COMMERCIAL
2	DISTRICT.		
3	* * * *		
4		Table 812	
5	CHINATOWN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT		
6		ZONING CONTROL TABLE	
7			Chinatown Residential
8			Neighborhood Commercial
9			District
10	Zoning Category	§ References	Controls
11	BUILDING STANDARDS		
11 12	BUILDING STANDARDS Massing and Setbacks		
		§§ 102, 105, 106, <u>249.19,</u>	40-X, 50-N, 65-N, 65-85-N, &
12	Massing and Setbacks	§§ 102, 105, 106, <u>249.19,</u> 250-252, 254, 260, 263.4,	40-X, 50-N, 65-N, 65-85-N, & 110-G. P up to 35 feet; C
12 13	Massing and Setbacks		
12 13 14	Massing and Setbacks	250-252, 254, 260, 263.4,	110-G. P up to 35 feet; C
12 13 14 15	Massing and Setbacks	250-252, 254, 260, 263.4, 270, 271. See also Height	110-G. P up to 35 feet; C above 35 feet. See Height


Section 5. Zoning Map. The Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Special Use District Map SU01 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Description of Property	Special Use District Hereby Approved
Assessor's Block 0161, Lot 14	New Asia Senior Affordable Housing Special
Assessor's Block 0161, Lot 15	Use District

1	Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
2	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
3	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
4	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
5	
6	Section 7. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
7	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
8	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
9	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
10	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
11	the official title of the ordinance.
12	
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
14	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
15	By: <u>/s/ Audrey Pearson</u> AUDREY PEARSON
16	Deputy City Attorney
17	n:\legana\as2024\2400471\01770857.docx
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	













Flores, Veronica (CPC)

Subject: FW: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal

----Original Message-----

From: rodneyminott@everyactioncustom.com <rodneyminott@everyactioncustom.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 4:00 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commission, Planning Commission,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely, Rodney Minott San Francisco, CA 94107



September 3, 2024

VIA Email (ionathan.vimir@sfgov.org)

Jonathan Vimr Senior Planner, Preservation Specialist - Northeast Quadrant San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco. CA 94103

Re: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District

Project Sponsor: New Asia Senior Housing LP

Property Address: 758-772 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

Assessor Lot/Block Nos: 014/0161 and 015/0161

Dear Mr. Vimr:

Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) is pleased to support the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District for 752-778 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco, and the development of the New Asia Senior Housing Project. The New Asia Senior Housing Project will provide much needed quality senior affordable housing for the most vulnerable population of San Francisco.

CAA was founded in 1969 in San Francisco Chinatown to protect the civil and political rights of Chinese Americans and to advance multiracial democracy in the United States. Today, CAA is a progressive voice in and on behalf of the broader Asian American and Pacific Islander community.

Our communities deserve to live with dignity and respect. CAA works to build a world that ensures the health, safety, and well-being of all residents and communities. A safe community is not just defined by the absence of fear, violence, and hate. It is defined by the presence of economic opportunities, housing, health and mental health care, education, in-language and culturally relevant services, and other investments that support social cohesion and well-being. These positive investments not only increase safety, but expand economic opportunity and justice and ensure our communities receive the care and support they need.

Chinatown is the poorest neighborhood in San Francisco and almost one-third of its residents live below the poverty line. The majority of Chinatown residents are seniors who need access to essential services. According to City data, low-income seniors are

the single-fastest growing population in San Francisco, with the majority paying over 75% of their fixed-income to stay housed. High quality affordable housing projects designed for seniors are critical to ensuring our elders are able to age in place in their communities. We strongly recommend that the San Francisco Planning Commission and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approve the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Choi Co-Executive Director Chinese For Affirmative Action

cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin (<u>aaron.peskin@sfgov.org</u>)
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
(via email)

San Francisco Planning Commission jonas.ionan@sfgov.org commissions.secretary@sfgov.org (via email)

Bo Han (bo.han@chinatowncdc.org)
Tony Li (tony.li@chinatowncdc.org)
Chinatown Community Development Center (via email)

Allan E. Low, Esq. (<u>alow@perkinscoie.com</u>)
Perkins Coie, LLP
(via email)

Co-Chairs Tatwina Chinn Lee Jonathan Moscone

September 3, 2024

Vice Chair Rebecca Lee VIA Email (<u>jonathan.vimir@sfgov.org</u>)

Secretary

Jonathan Vimr

Cynthia Tongson

Senior Planner | Preservation Specialist - Northeast Quadrant

Treasurer George Mak San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400

San Francisco, CA 94103

Board of Directors Abby Chen Wai-Ling Eng Richard B. Evans Manni Liu

Re: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District

Jacinta Titialii-Abbott

Project Sponsor: New Asia Senior Housing LP

Alfred Tom

Jenny Leung

Property Address: 758-772 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

Jacinta Litialii-Abbott

Assessor Lot/Block Nos: 014/0161 and 015/0161

Executive Director

Dear Mr. Vimr:

Advisory Council Irene Yee Riley Sherman Tang Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco (CCC) is one of the leading and most prominent cultural and arts institutions in the city of San Francisco. The mission of CCC is to elevate underserved communities and give voice to equality through education and contemporary art. This letter is in support of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District for 752-778 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco, and the

Norman Yee

development of the New Asia Senior Housing Project.

XianRui Artists
Dora Hsiung
Summer Lee
Beili Liu
Cathy Lu
Adrian Wong
Stella Zhang

New Asia Senior Housing Project will be the largest housing development and investment in Chinatown in over 30 years bringing over 170 new households to our neighborhood to live, shop, and play. In addition to the new affordable senior housing units, the New Asia Senior Housing Project will also feature a new banquet hall. A new banquet hall will continue the long tradition for family gatherings and social, civic and political events drawing more visitors to Chinatown and supporting our cultural institutions.

Senior Advisor Mabel S. Teng

Chongbin Zheng

We strongly recommend that the San Francisco Planning Commission and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approve the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District.

Very Truly Yours,

Jenny Leung

Executive Director, Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco

cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin (<u>aaron.peskin@sfgov.org</u>)
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
(via email)

San Francisco Planning Commission jonas.ionan@sfgov.org commissions.secretary@sfgov.org (via email)

Bo Han (bo.han@chinatowncdc.org)
Tony Li (tony.li@chinatowncdc.org)
Chinatown Community Development Center (via email)

Allan E. Low, Esq. (alow@perkinscoie.com)
Perkins Coie, LLP
(via email)



Proudly consists of:

APA Family Support Services APA Heritage Foundation **API Cultural Center** API Legal Outreach Asian Law Caucus, Inc. Asian Pacific American Community Center ASIAN, Inc. Bayanihan Equity Center BeChinatown Brightline Defense Project Center for Asian American Media Charity Cultural Services Center Chinatown Community Children's Center Chinatown Community Development Center Chinatown Media and Arts Collaborative-Edge on the Square Chinatown YMCA Chinese Culture Center of San Francisco Chinese for Affirmative Action Chinese Historical Society of America Chinese Newcomers Service Center Chinese Progressive Association Coalition for Community Safety and Justice Community Youth Center Donaldina Cameron House Filipino Community Center Filipino-American Development Foundation First Voice Foundation for Filipina Women's Network Gum Moon/Asian Women Resources Center Japanese Community Youth Council Japantown Task Force Kai Ming Head Start Kimochi, Inc. Kultivate Labs Manilatown Heritage Foundation National Japanese American Historical Society NICOS Chinese Health Coalition Nihonmachi Street Fair On Lok Richmond Area Multi-Services Samoan Community Development Center Self-Help for the Elderly SF Community Health Center SF Hep B Free Southeast Asian Community Center Southeast Asian Development Center West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service, Inc.

Wu Yee Children's Services

September 3, 2024

Jonathan Vimr Senior Planner | Preservation Specialist - Northeast Quadrant San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District
Project Sponsor: New Asia Senior Housing LP
Property Address: 758-772 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco
Assessor Lot/Block Nos: 014/0161 and 015/0161

Dear Mr. Vimr.

On behalf of the Asian and Pacific Islander Council of San Francisco (API Council), I am writing this letter in support of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District for 752-778 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco, and the development of the New Asia Senior Housing Project.

The API Council advocates for equitable resources that improve the quality of life for San Francisco's underserved Asian & Pacific Islander residents. We are 49 members strong and serve over 250,000 Asian American and Pacific Islander San Francisco residents annually through policy work, advocacy, research, and network development.

The New Asia Senior Housing Project will be the largest investment in affordable housing in Chinatown in over 30 years. Chinatown is a high-needs district. 32.9% of the residents live below the poverty line and is the poorest neighborhood in San Francisco. An overwhelming majority of the residents are individuals over 60 who cannot afford to pay more than \$700 per month in rent. The New Asia Senior Housing Project is desperately needed to provide quality affordable housing for Chinatown senior residents whom many API Council member organizations serve.

We strongly recommend that the San Francisco Planning Commission and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approve the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District.

Please reach out to me if you have any questions at: callv.wong@apicouncil.org.

Sincerely,

Cally Wong
Executive Director
API Council

題其中參總會會

CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION

"Chinese Six Companies"

The Official Representative Association of Chinese in America 843 STOCKTON STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

TEL: (415) 982-6000 · FAX: (415) 982-6010

Jonathan Vimr (jonathan.vimir@sfgov.org)

September 3, 2024

Senior Planner | Preservation Specialist - Northeast Quadrant

San Francisco Planning Department

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400. SF, CA 94103

Re:

New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District

Project Sponsor:

New Asia Senior Housing LP

Property Address:

758-772 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

Dear Mr. Vimr:

Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association is the oldest Chinatown organization of family associations. This letter is in support of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District for 752-778 Pacific Avenue, SF, and the development of the New Asia Senior Housing Project.

Housing is critically important for our community, particularly for our aging seniors. There is simply not enough affordable housing to accommodate the demand for our low-income seniors. The New Asia Senior Housing Project will be a vital source of housing for those residents and will be a critical link to essential neighborhood services.

The New Asia Senior Housing Project will also provide a much-needed banquet hall for the community. Banquet halls are an especially key place for our member family associations to bring together our families and community in Chinatown. Over the years, there has been an elimination of Chinatown banquet halls, leaving only one operating banquet hall in Chinatown. The return of a banquet hall will be an important community asset for Chinatown.

We strongly recommend that the San Francisco Planning Commission approve the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District.

Very Truly Yours,

CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION

Roger Louis, Presiding President

cc: Supervisor Aaron Peskin

SF Planning Commission

Ben Han, Tony Li: Chinatown Community Dev. Ctr.

Alan R. Low, Esq.



www.selfhelpelderly.org

731 Sansome Street, Suite 100 | San Francisco, CA 94111-1725 t: (415) 677-7600 | f: (415) 296-0313 | e: info@selfhelpelderly.org Providing strength, hope and empowerment to seniors since 1966

September 9, 22024

VIA Email (jonathan.vimir@sfgov.org)

Jonathan Vimr Senior Planner | Preservation Specialist - Northeast Quadrant San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re:

New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District

Project Sponsor:

New Asia Senior Housing LP

Property Address:

758-772 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco

Assessor Lot/Block Nos:

014/0161 and 015/0161

Dear Mr. Vimr:

Self-Help for the Elderly promotes independence, well-being, and dignity for older adults through culturally aligned services and programs in the San Francisco Bay Area. We serve over 40,000 older adults each year in San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara Alameda, and Contra Costa counties. This letter is in support of the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District for 752-778 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco, and the development of the New Asia Senior Housing Project.

Chinatown is in desperate need of affordable senior housing, many living on low fixed incomes. Over 50% of Chinatown residents are over the age of 60 and over 60% cannot afford to pay more than \$700 per month in rent. The New Asia Senior Housing Project will provide the necessary housing for our seniors to access essential services and allow them to live in dignity.

We strongly recommend that the San Francisco Planning Commission and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors approve the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District.

Sincerely,

Anni Chung, President and CEO

cc:

Supervisor Aaron Peskin (aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

San Francisco 415.677.7500 601 Jackson Street San Francisco, CA 94133

San Mateo 650.342.0822 50 East Fifth Avenue San Mateo, CA 94401 **South Bay** 408.733.1883 550 East Remington Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Alameda 510.336.0144 2400 MacArthur Boulevard Oakland, CA 94602

Support Letter New Asia Senior Housing Project September 8, 2024 Page 2

(via email)

San Francisco Planning Commission jonas.ionan@sfgov.org commissions.secretary@sfgov.org (via email)

Bo Han (bo.han@chinatowncdc.org)
Tony Li (tony.li@chinatowncdc.org)
Chinatown Community Development Center
(via email)

Allan E. Low, Esq. (alow@perkinscoie.com)
Perkins Coie, LLP
(via email)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

	Date:	July 3, 2024		
То:		Planning Department/Planning Commission		
	From:	John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee		
	Subject:	Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral	•	
\boxtimes	(Californi ⊠	ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Det a Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.) Ordinance / Resolution Ballot Measure	The project meets the criterion under Assembly Bill 1449, therefore it is ministerial and no CEQA is required.	
\boxtimes	(Planning	nent to the Planning Code, including the fo Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Comeral Plan Planning Code, Section 101	mission review)	
		Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning (Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)		
	(Charter, (Require subdivisi relocatio public ho the annu	General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments (Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) (Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)		
		Preservation Commission Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section Designation for Significant/Contributory I	50280)	

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.





CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)
758 & 772 PACIFIC AVENUE			0161014, 0161015
Case No.			Permit No.
2024-004990PRJ			
Ad	dition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New
Alt	eration	Category B Building)	Construction
_	=	Planning Department approval.	
combi Franci the no parcel housir from the the top	ned parcels of 758 isco currently own: onprofit developer, from CCDC to many project over the district supervise.	a 15-story, 100% affordable, senior housing project and 772 Pacific Avenue in the Chinatown neighbors the 772 Pacific Avenue parcel and Chinatown Colowns the adjacent 758 Pacific Avenue parcel. The erge the two parcels, then ground lease the combinground floor banquet hall. The current CRNC zonesor, will submit a request for a height and density in the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District ordable housing.	orhood. The City and County of San ommunity Development Center ("CCDC"), e City will acquire the 758 Pacific Avenue ned parcel to CCDC to build the senior ing allows 65-N and CCDC, with support ncrease for a base height of 155 ft. to
EXEMPTION TYPE The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).			
		g Facilities. (CEQA Guidelines section 15301) Interior	·
	Class 3 - New Co	onstruction. (CEQA Guidelines section 15303) Up to the in one building; commercial/office structures; utilitrincipally permitted or with a CU.	
	Class 32 - In-Fill additions greater (a) The project is policies as well a (b) The proposed substantially surrection (c) The project s (d) Approval of the water quality.	Development. (CEQA Guidelines section 15332) New than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described to consistent with the applicable general plan designs with applicable zoning designation and regulation development occurs within city limits on a project rounded by urban uses. It has no value as habitat for endangered rare or the project would not result in any significant effects the adequately served by all required utilities and project.	cribed below: nation and all applicable general plan ons. t site of no more than 5 acres threatened species. s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or
		tion 21080.40 establishing a statutory exemption for sidential uses only or a mix of multifamily residential	
		Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)) bility of a significant effect on the environment.	(3)). It can be seen with certainty that

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT				
Comments:				
Plan	ner Signature: Joy Navarrete			
PROI	PERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE			
	ERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:			
	Category A: Known Historical Resource.			
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age).			
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age).			
PROF	POSED WORK CHECKLIST			
Check	all that apply to the project.			
	Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
	Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
	Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards.			
	Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, or			
H	replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
Щ	Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .			
	Addition(s) not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each			
	direction; or does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure, or does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
	cause the removal of architectural significant rooming reatures.			
	Façade or storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character -defining features.			
	Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic			
\square	photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
Note:	Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.			
	Project is not listed.			

Project involves scope of work listed above.

ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

Check all that apply to the project.			
	Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I relevant analysis; requires Principal Preservation Planner approval)		
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C	
		Lacks Historic Integrity	
		Lacks Historic Significance	
	Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A	A)	
	Project does not substantially impact character-defining features of a historic resource (see Comments)		
	Project is compatible, yet differentiated, with a historic resource.		
	Project consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties		
	Note: If ANY box above is checked, a Preservatio	on Planner MUST sign below.	
	Project can proceed with EXEMPTION REVIEW . The project ha Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review.	s been reviewed by the	
Comments by Preservation Planner: Qualifies under AB 1449			
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Joy Navarrete		
FYF	EMPTION DETERMINATION		
	No further environmental review is required. The project is exc	empt under CEQA.	
_			
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:	
	BOS Legislation adoption	Joy Navarrete	
		09/09/2024	
	Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Pro	Loperty Information Map, which can be	
	accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications		
	link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link.		
	Once signed and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and chapter 31 of		
	the San Francisco Administrative Code. Per chapter 31, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors shall be filed within 30 days after the approval action occurs at a noticed public hearing, or within 30		
	days after posting on the planning department's website (https://sfplanning.org/resource/ceqa-exemptions) a		

written decision or written notice of the approval action, if the approval is not made at a noticed public hearing.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date:

Monday, October 28, 2024

Time:

1:30 p.m.

Location:

Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject:

File No. 240727. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning

Code, Section 101.1.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (bos@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 25, 2024.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-4445)

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

iec:mcc:ams

San Francisco Examiner $PUBLIC\ Notices$

San Mateo County: 650-556-1556 • E-mail: smlegals@sfmediaco.com San Francisco: 415-314-1835 • E-mail: sflegals@sfmediaco.com

San Francisco Examiner • Daly City Independent • San Mateo Weekly • Redwood City Tribune • Enquirer - Bulletin • Foster City Progress • Millbrae - San Bruno Sun • Boutique & Villager • Examiner - So. San Francisco • Examiner - San Bruno

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY
October 28, 2024 - 1:30 PM
Legislative Chamber, Room

Legislative Chamber, Room 250, City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco. Ca 94102
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 24072T. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New As Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California. California Environmental
Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience and welfare findings unde Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Section 101.1. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244, San Francisco CA, 94102 or sent via ema (bos@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Board of Supervisors' the Board of Supervisors: Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 25, 2024.

October 25, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john. carroll@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-

EXM-3863069#

NOTICE OF REGULAR NOTICE OF REGULAR
MEETING SAN
FRANCISCO BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS LAND USE
AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMITTEE CITY HALL,
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER,
ROOM 3E 1 DR. CARLETON. ROOM 250 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 MONDAY, OCTOBER 21,

2024 - 1:30 PM The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://sfbos.org/ legislative-research-center-lrc, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-3863014#

CITATION
SUPERIOR COURT FOR
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

UNITED FAMILY COURT Case Number: JD23-3075 In the Matter of: DGP, DOB: November 27, 2012, A

To: Glenda Karen Parker, mother, Glen Lazaraus Pickett, father; and any other persons(s) claiming to be the Parent(s) of said minor.

You are hereby notified that the San Francisco Juvenile Dependency Court has ordered a hearing pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Section 366.26, to determine whether your parental rights should be terminated and your child(ren) be freed from your custody and control for the purpose of having him

BY ORDER OF THIS COURT, you are hereby cited and required to appear before this Court on the day of December 4, 2024 at 9:00 A.M., at the Juvenile Dependency Court, 400 McAllister Street, Room 425 San Francisco California, then and there to show cause, if any you have, why said minor(s) should not be declared free from the custody and control of his parent(s). This proceeding is for the purpose of developing a permanent plan for the child(ren), which could include

adoption you appear on the above mentioned date in the abovementioned courtroom, Judge will advise you of the nature of the proceedings, the procedures, and possible consequences of the entitled action. The parent(s) of the minor(s) have the right to have an attorney present and, the parent(s) cannot afford an attorney, the Court will appoint an attorney for the parent(s).

Dated: September 26, 2024 Beverly Tovio, Paralegal for Petitioner, Department of Human Services (415) 554-

Gabriel Wright, Deputy

EXM-3860564#

BULK SALES

NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF BULK SALE
TRANSFER AN ALCOHOLIC
BEVERAGE LICENSE
(U.C.C. 6101 ET SEQ. B & P
24073 ET SEQ.)

Escrow No. 10032024

Notice is hereby given to creditors of the within named seller that a bulk sale is about to be made of assets described below.

The names and business addresses of the seller are: SINA MIZRAWI TWAI AND VICTOR TWAI, DBA QUICK PICK MARKET, 1225 HILLSIDE BLVD., DALY CITY, CA 94014 The location in California of

As listed by the Seller all other business names and addresses used by the Seller within three years before the date such list was sent or

addresses of the buyer are: QUICK PICK MARKET INC.. 1225 HILLSIDE BLVD., DALY

delivered to the Buyer are

CITY, CA 94014 The assets to be sold are described in general as: Furniture, Fixtures, equipment, Inventory, Liquor License -TYPE (21-397779), Goodwill, and all tangible assets of the business The Purchase Price shall be

allocated as follows:

1. Furniture, Fixtures, and Equipment \$20,000.00 2. Goodwill \$50,000.00 3. Leasehold In \$110,000.00

Leasehold Improvements \$65,000.00 5. Covenant not to compete \$5,000.00 6. Liquor License TYPE (21-

397779) \$75,000.00 7. Inventory \$00.00 (TO BE DETERMINED) And are located at

And are located at: 1225 HILLSIDE BLVD., DALY CITY, CA 94014 The business name used by the seller at that location is: QUICK PICK MARKET

2024 at the office of SAADEH LAW FIRM, 333 GELLERT BLVD., SUITE CITY, CA 94015 145, DALY

This bulk sale is subject to California Uniform Commericial Code Section

If so subject, the name and if so subject, the name and address of the person with whom claims may be filed is SAADEH LAW FIRM, 333 GELLERT BLVD, SUITE 145, DALY CITY, CA 94015 and the last date for filing claims shall be NOVEMBER 12, 2024 which is the business day. which is the business day before the sale date specified

above.
This sale includes a liquor license transfer. All claims must be received prior to the date on which the notice of the transfer of liquor license is received by the Escrow Holder from the Department of Alcholic Beverage Control.

Date: 10/3/2024

QUICK PICK MARKET INC., BUYER EMAD NASSER (CEO) 10/3/24 SINA MIZRAWI TWAI, SELLER 10/3/2024 VICTOR TWAI, SELLER 10/18/24 SPEN-3859807# EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 24-CIV-05912 Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO Petition of: KUNLAYA RATAYAPANUMAS for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: BATAYAPANUMAS filed

KUNLAYA petition with this court for a decree changing names as KUNLAYA RATAYAPANUMAS

to KAYLA NUNTA
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at

least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may

is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: 11/21/2024, Time:
9:00AM, Dept.: MC
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA

(To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, ao to www.courts.ca.gov/find-mycourt.htm.)
A copy of this Order to Show

Cause must be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in

this county: THE EXAMINER Date: 09/25/2024

Judge of the Superior Court 10/11, 10/18, 10/25, 11/1/24 SPEN-3859410# EXAMINER - REDWOOD

FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 24-CIV-05900
Superior Court of California,
County of SAN MATEO
Petition of: THEPACHAI
NUNTAWORANUCH for

Change of Name
TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS: THEPACHAI Petitioner THEPACHAI NUNTAWORANUCH filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as

follows: T H E P A C H A I NUNTAWORANUCH to TED

NUNTA
The Court orders that persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the Any person objecting to time name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 11/19/2024, Time:

9:00AM, Dept.: MC The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 (To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-mycourt.htm.)

court.htm.)
A copy of this Order to Show
Cause must be published at
least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper general circulation, printed in

this county: THE EXAMINER Date: 09/23/2024

Judge of the Superior Court 10/11, 10/18, 10/25, 11/1/24 SPEN-3859409# EXAMINER - REDWOOD

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. CNC-24-559122 Superior Court of California County of SAN FRANCISCO
Petition of: ERIC NEAL
CHILDERS AKA ERIC NEAL BUNYARD for Change of

Name
TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS: PERSONS:
Petitioner ERIC NEAL
CHILDERS AKA ERIC NEAL
BUNYARD filed a petition
with this court for a decree
changing names as follows:
ERIC NEAL CHILDERS AKA ERIC NEAL BUNYARD to ERIC NEAL CHILDERS

The Court orders that persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted Any person objecting to the changes described must file a written above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may

grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: DECEMBER 19, 2024,

Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: 103N, Room: 103N The address of the court is 400 MCALLISTER STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 (To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-my

court.htm.)
A copy of this Order to Show Cause must be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER Date: SEPTEMBER 18, 2024

MICHELLE TONG
Judge of the Superior Court
10/4, 10/11, 10/18, 10/25/24 CNS-3858037# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FOR CHANGE OF NAME
Case No. 24-CIV-05846
Superior Court of California,
County of SAN MATEO County of SAN MATEO
Petition of: CHELSEA
GARRETT MACBRIDE
& MORGAN DONAION
MACBRIDE ON BEHALF OF
WALKER AVERY MORGAN
MACBRIDE, A MINOR for
Change of Name
TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS:
Petitioner CHELSEA

CHELSEA Petitioner GARRETT MACBRIDE & MORGAN DONAION MACBRIDE ON BEHALF OF WALKER AVERY MORGAN MACBRIDE, A MINOR filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as

WALKER AVERY MORGAN MACBRIDE to COOPER MORGAN MACBRIDE The Court orders that all

persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a

grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: 11/20/2024, Time: 9:00
A.M., Dept.: MC, Room: N/A
The address of the court is
400 COUNTY CENTER,
REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063
(To appear remotely, check
in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-mycourt.htm.)

A copy of this Order to Show Cause must be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

Judge of the Superior Court 9/27, 10/4, 10/11, 10/18/24 SPEN-3856137# EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME Case No. CNC-24-559130

Superior Court of California County of SAN FRANCISCO
Petition of: MARK NASSI for
Change of Name
TO ALL INTERESTED

PERSONS: Petitioner MARK NASSI filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as

follows: MARK NASSI to MARK DAVUT NASSI

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a

Notice of Hearing: Date: DECEMBER 24, 2024, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: 103N,

Room: 103N The address of the court is 400 MCALLISTER, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 (To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website To find your court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-my

court.htm.)
A copy of this Order to Show
Cause must be published at
least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: SAN FRANCISCO FYAMINER Date: SEPTEMBER 23, 2024

MICHELLE TONG Judge of the Superior Court 9/27, 10/4, 10/11, 10/18/24 CNS-3855587# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. M-298547

following person(s) is (are) doing business as: Silicon Valley Rentals, 2110 S. Bascom Avenue #101, Campbell, CA 95008 County of SANTA CLARA

Mailing Address: 1325 Howard Avenue #823, Burlingame, CA 94010

94010 KRC Group, Inc., 2110 S. Bascom Avenue #101, Campbell, CA 95008. State of Incorporation: CA This business is conducted by

a Corporation
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under

the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/01/2024. declare that all information

in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)

S/ Stephen Rumberg-CEO (CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER) This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on Mark Church, County Clerk

Original 10/4, 10/11, 10/18, 10/25/24 NPEN-3857460# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

> FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. M-298403

following person(s) is (are) doing business as: SAFE ASSURANCE FIRE PROTECTION, 174 SCHOOL ST, DALY CITY, CA 94014, County of SAN MATEO KENNETH YEE, 174 SCHOOL ST, DALY CITY, CA 94014

94014 SUI YONG ZHENG, 174 SCHOOL ST, DALY CITY, CA 94014 This business is conducted by

A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 08/28/2019

declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ KENNETH YEE

This statement with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 08/30/2024 Mark Church, County Clerk 9/8, 9/15, 9/22, 9/29/24 NPFN-3850247# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

GOVERNMENT

Cellco Partnership and its controlled affiliates doing business as Verizon Wireless (Verizon Wireless) proposes to collocate wireless communications antennas at a centerline height of 41-feet onto an existing 53-foot-tall rooftop at the approx. vicinity

of 1801 Murchison Drive, Burlingame, San Mateo County, California 94010. Public comments regarding potential effects from this site on historic properties may be submitted within 30 days the date of this publication to: Trileaf Corp. Samantha Neary. s.neary@trileaf.com, 2121 W. Chandler Blvd., Ste. 108, Chandler, AZ 85224, 480-850-

10/18/24 NPEN-3862887# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

NOTICE TO BIDDERS

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Education of the Jefferson Elementary School District, Daly City, CA, here after referred to as "OWNER", acting by and through its governing board and representatives in accordance with Public Contract Code 20118.2, is requesting sealed bids, for the furnishing of technology equipment for Request for Proposal (RFP) 268 25A11 WAN for Jefferson Elementary School District.
All bids shall be submitted to the project website at https://www.infinitycomm.com/ projects/. by 11/15/2024 up to, but no later than, 1:00 p.m. (Pacific Time). Proposals will not be received after the specified due date or time Bids received in any other format or method will not be accepted

Each bid must conform to the RFP and bid documents. Copies of the RFP and bid documents are available and must be obtained from the following websites: https://www.infinitycomm.com/

projects/ & www.usac.org.
The services requested depend on partial funding from the School and Libraries Division's E-Rate Program, All contracts entered into as a shall be contingent upon the approval of discounts from the Universal Services Administrative Company (USAC) and the OWNER's acceptance of the discounts The Contractor shall be responsible to and collect payment of the discounted contract amount from USAC unless otherwise specified or directed by the Owner. The undiscounted contract amount will be the maximum amount that the OWNER is liable. On the day of the bid the Contractor shall supply their Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN) and must certify that their SPIN is "current", to have their proposal considered.

OWNER is requesting a three

(3) year contract with two one extension starting on July 1, 2025

The Owner reserves the right to reject any and all bids and to valve any irregularities or informalities in any bids or in the bidding. All questions pertaining to this RFP must be submitted through the project website at https://www.infoities.com.com/projects/ infinitycomm.com/projects/ If you have any problems logging in or downloading the RFP, please contact our office immediately at P1bids@infinitycomm.com.

10/18, 10/24/24 NPEN-3862727# EXAMINER

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address: 915 E 1ST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839 Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

SF BOS (OFFICIAL) SF CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES) 1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: **GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE**

Ad Description

JEC - LUT Hearing - October 28, 2024 - File No. 240727

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

10/18/2024

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$593.88
Set aside for CCSF Outreach Fund	\$58.80
Clearinghouse Service Charge	\$88.20
Total	\$740.88

EXM# 3863069

EXM# 3863069

NOTICE OF PUBLIC
HEARING BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO LAND
USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
MONDAY October 28, 2024
- 1:30 PM Legislative
Chamber, Room 250, City
Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B.
Goodlett Place, San
Francisco. CA 94102
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN
THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County
of San Francisco's Land Use
and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing
to consider the following
proposal and said public
hearing will be held as
follows, at which time all
interested parties may attend
and be heard: File No.
240727. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and
Zoning Map to create the
New Asia Senior Housing
Special Use District located
at 758 and 772 Pacific
Avenue, Assessor's Parcel
Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14
Planning
Department's
determination under the
California Environmental
Quality Act; making public
necessity, convenience, and determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to

persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (bos@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board or Supervisors' Legislative Research (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-Irc), Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 25, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land

hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-4445)

EXM-3863069#





MYRNA MELGAR

DATE: October 24, 2024

TO: Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Supervisor Myrna Melgar, Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee

RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Land Use and Transportation Committee, I have deemed the following matters are of an urgent nature and request them be considered by the full Board on Tuesday, October 29, 2024.

File No. 240802 Planning Code - Authorize Increases to the Affordable Price

and Income Limits for Certain Below Market Rate Owned

Units and Require Amenities to be Resold with Unit

Sponsor: Melgar

File No. 240727 Planning Code; Zoning Map - New Asia Senior Housing

Special Use District - 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue

Sponsors: Peskin; Chan

These matters will be heard in the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, October 28, 2024.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>; <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng. Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS);

BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)

Subject: FW: SF Planning Com mtg 9/19.2024

Date: Thursday, September 19, 2024 11:37:15 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Dennis Hong regarding File No. 240727.

File No. 240727: Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Peskin, Chan)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Dennis Hong <dennisjames888@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2024 2:06 PM

Subject: SF Planning Com mtg 9/19.2024

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Honorable Planning Commissioners and everyone. It's 9/18/2024. As promised I would track this below Project. I have reviewed this both in the past and the most current from YIMBY-posts. I'm sorry I will be unable to attend your 9/19

meeting. With that said please use my email here for your meeting and other required documents as my approval of this badly needed project. If anyone has any questions here please send them to my email here. Should there be any oppositions to this development I would like to know why. In addition would like to see this project expedited thru the current approved process. All the best to all and be safe out there.

12. 2024-006177PCAMAP (V. FLORES: (628) 652-7525) 758 AND 772 PACIFIC AVENUE – NEW ASIA SENIOR HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT [BF 240727] – Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments – An ordinance, sponsored by Supervisor Peskin, to amend the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. Preliminary Recommendation: Adopt a Recommendation for Approva12. 2024-006177PCAMAP (V. FLORES: (628) 652-7525) 758 AND 772 PACIFIC AVENUE – NEW ASIA SENIOR HOUSING SPECIAL USE DISTRICT [BF 240727] – Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments – An ordinance, sponsored by Supervisor Peskin, to amend the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the New Asia Senior Housing Special Use District located at 758 and 772 Pacific Avenue, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0161, Lot Nos. 14 and 15; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. Preliminary Recommendation: Adopt a Recommendation for Approva

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I here	by subm	it the following item for introduction (select only one):		
	1.	For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)		
	2.	Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)		
	3.	Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee		
	4.	Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires"		
	5.	City Attorney Request		
	6.	Call File No. from Committee.		
	7.	Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)		
	8.	Substitute Legislation File No.		
	9.	Reactivate File No.		
	10.	Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on		
The p	roposed	legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):		
		nall Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission		
	□ Pla	unning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department		
Genei	al Plan l	Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):		
	□ Ye	s \square No		
(Note	: For Im	perative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)		
Spons	sor(s):			
G 1:				
Subje	ct:			
Long	Title or	text listed:		
		, 		
		Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:		
		Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor.		