

File No. 120496

Board Item No. 22

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date June 19, 2012

#### Cmte Board

- |                                     |                          |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Analyst Report                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form (for hearings)             |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | MOU  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 – Ethics Commission                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence                        |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Victor Young Date June 14, 2012

Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.  
The complete document can be found in the file.

1 [Affirming the Exemption Determination - 601 Dolores Street]

2  
3 **Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a project located at**  
4 **601 Dolores Street is exempt from environmental review.**

5  
6 WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that a project located at 601  
7 Dolores Street is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental  
8 Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter  
9 31. The proposed project involves the conversion of an existing residential use in a former  
10 church structure into middle-school class rooms and a multi-purpose assembly space and  
11 associated interior and exterior changes to the building. By letter to the Clerk of the Board,  
12 Jeffery Goldfarb and Elizabeth Erhardt of Rutan & Tucker, LLP, on behalf of J. Landon Gates  
13 and Anne Timmer Gates, (Appellants) received by the Clerk's Office on May 9, 2012,  
14 appealed the exemption determination. The Appellants provided a copy a Certificate of  
15 Determination, Exemption From Environmental Review, issued by the Planning Department  
16 on April 9, 2012, finding the proposed project exempt from environmental review under CEQA  
17 Guidelines Class 32 (14 Cal. Code. Regs. §15332); and

18 WHEREAS, On June 19, 2012, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
19 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants, and following the  
20 public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

21 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board  
22 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to  
23 concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before  
24 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to  
25 the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board

1 of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the project based on the written  
2 record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in  
3 support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and  
4 opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing  
5 before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the  
6 appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.  
7 120495 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

8           MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
9 hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set  
10 forth, the exemption determination; and be it

11           FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole  
12 record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project  
13 circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the  
14 conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the  
15 proposed project at its various locations is exempt from environmental review; and be it

16           FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption  
17 determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the  
18 public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption  
19 determination, this Board concludes that the project at its various locations qualifies for a  
20 exemption determination under CEQA.

