

CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address			Block/Lot(s)			
REC & PARK: Twin Peaks Promenade						
Case No.			Permit No.			
2022-003295ENV						
Ac	ldition/	Demolition (requires HRE for	New			
Alt	teration	Category B Building)	Construction			
Proje	Project description for Planning Department approval.					
REC & PARK: Twin Peaks Promenade - The scope of work includes: 1. Conversion of 1/3 to 1/2 of the roadway						
	(approximately 10,000-20,000 SF) from paved asphalt to a different pathway material (such as Park Tread,					
	decomposed granite w/binding, or light colored permeable concrete). 2. Removal of all or most of the concrete					
1	barrier and small section of existing metal guardrail along the western side of the pavement, in order to provide more expansive views for park users and to minimize graffiti. 3. Removal of narrow informal dirt trail just east of the					
concrete barrier4. New landscaping, including: 1. Seeding the length and width of the narrow informal dirt trail, just						
east of the paved roadway and concrete barrier, to match existing plants on the eastern flank of Twin Peaks and						
	provide a natural buffer to the sloped hillside. 2. Possible minimal roadway planting, to be 100% drought-tolerant					
	species 5. Installation of landscape accent elements (i.e boulders or other simple seating) 6. Installation of bicycle racks (estimated 3-5, likely provided through SFMTA program; see representative photo in attached					
1 -	•	interpretive signs, each with unique content (see r	·			
	•	nage (approximately 4 park map signs and 6 wayf				
FULL	PROJECT DESCI	RIPTION ATTACHED				
STEP	1: EXEMPTION T	YPE				
		letermined to be exempt under the California En	vironmental Quality Act (CEQA).			
	,	р	, in the same of t			
	Class 1 - Existin	g Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; addit	ions under 10,000 sq. ft.			
	Class 3 - New C	onstruction. Up to three new single-family resider	nces or six dwelling units in one building;			
		e structures; utility extensions; change of use unde	er 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or			
	with a CU.					
		I Development. New Construction of seven or mo	re units or additions greater than 10,000			
	-	s the conditions described below:	nation and all applicable general plan			
		s consistent with the applicable general plan desig as with applicable zoning designation and regulatio				
	•	d development occurs within city limits on a projec				
		rounded by urban uses.				
		ite has no value as habitat for endangered rare or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		he project would not result in any significant effect	s relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or			
water quality.		public continue				
	* *	(e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY				
	Other	DR ALTERATIONS TO LAND				
	OLAGO 4. IVIIINO	ALTERATIONS TO LAND				
<u> </u>						
I∐I	Common Sense	Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)	(3)). It can be seen with certainty that			

there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY

STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)		
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant. (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map)		
	Transportation: Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.		
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to The Environmental Information tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt. Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to The Environmental Planning tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to The Environmental tab on the San Francisco Property Information Map) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional): Don Lewis		

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5. Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4. Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6. STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. 3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 7. **Dormer installation** that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under *Zoning* Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows. 8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a П single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building: and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5. Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5. Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6. STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER Check all that apply to the project. 1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I) П Reclassify to Category C Reclassify to Category A a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): 2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4. 3. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character. 5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.

	6. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.				
	7. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.				
	8. Work consistent with the <i>Secretary of the Interior Standards f</i> (<i>Analysis required</i>): PLEASE SEE ATTACHED	or the Treatment of Historic Properties			
	9. Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis required):				
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource (Attach HRER Part II).				
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Prese	rvation Planner MUST sign below.			
	Project can proceed with exemption review. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.				
Comments (optional):					
Preser	vation Planner Signature: Monica Giacomucci				
STEP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER No further environmental review is required. The project is exempt under CEQA. There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect.					
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:			
	RPD Commission Approval of Conceptual Design	Don Lewis 05/04/2022			
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.				

Full Project Description

REC & PARK: Twin Peaks Promenade - The scope of work includes:

- 1. Conversion of 1/3 to 1/2 of the roadway (approximately 10,000-20,000 SF) from paved asphalt to a different pathway material (such as Park Tread, decomposed granite w/binding, or light colored permeable concrete).
- 2. Removal of all or most of the concrete barrier and small section of existing metal guardrail along the western side of the pavement, in order to provide more expansive views for park users and to minimize graffiti.
- 3. Removal of narrow informal dirt trail just east of the concrete barrier
- 4. New landscaping, including: 1. Seeding the length and width of the narrow informal dirt trail, just east of the paved roadway and concrete barrier, to match existing plants on the eastern flank of Twin Peaks and provide a natural buffer to the sloped hillside. 2. Possible minimal roadway planting, to be 100% drought-tolerant species
- 5. Installation of landscape accent elements (i.e.- boulders or other simple seating)
- 6. Installation of bicycle racks (estimated 3-5, likely provided through SFMTA program; see representative photo in attached materials board)
- 7. Two interpretive signs, each with unique content (see representative photo in attached materials board)
- 8. Wayfinding signage (approximately 4 park map signs and 6 wayfinding sign posts; see to help park users better navigate between the promenade and adjacent trails and site access points (see representative details attached)
- 9. Approximately 100 linear feet of low split-rail fencing to discourage park users from traversing planted habitat areas
- 10. Minimal striping and configuration adjustments to parking between peaks that was established via road closure
- 11. Bollards or boulders with chain or gate at access points, for fire / emergency access

Step 5: #8 Work Consistent With the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties Analysis

- 1. Conversion of 1/3 to 1/2 of the roadway (approximately 10,000-20,000 SF) from paved asphalt to a different pathway material (such as Park Tread, decomposed granite w/binding, or light colored permeable concrete).
- 2. Removal of all or most of the concrete barrier and small section of existing metal guardrail along the western side of the pavement, in order to provide more expansive views for park users and to minimize graffiti.
- 3. Removal of narrow informal dirt trail just east of the concrete barrier
- 4. New landscaping, including: 1. Seeding the length and width of the narrow informal dirt trail, just east of the paved roadway and concrete barrier, to match existing plants on the eastern flank of Twin Peaks and provide a natural buffer to the sloped hillside. 2. Possible minimal roadway planting, to be 100% drought-tolerant species 5. Installation of landscape accent elements (i.e.- boulders or other simple seating)
- 6. Installation of bicycle racks (estimated 3-5, likely provided through SFMTA program; see representative photo in attached materials board)
- 7. Two interpretive signs, each with unique content (see representative photo in attached materials board)
- 8. Wayfinding signage (approximately 4 park map signs and 6 wayfinding sign posts; see to help park users better navigate between the promenade and adjacent trails and site access points (see representative details attached)
- 9. Approximately 100 linear feet of low split-rail fencing to discourage park users from traversing planted habitat areas
- 10. Minimal striping and configuration adjustments to parking between peaks that was established via road closure
- 11. Bollards or boulders with chain or gate at access points, for fire / emergency access

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Modif	fied Project Description:						
		CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION					
Comp	npared to the approved project, would the modified project:						
Ш	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;						
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;						
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?						
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?						
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required							
DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION							
	The proposed modification wor	uld not result in any of the above changes.					
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed to the Environmental Review Officer within 10 days of posting of this determination.							
Plani	ner Name:	Date:					