

File No. 250419

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. 23

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date June 16, 2025

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date June 24, 2025

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 - Ethics Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 700 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Completed by: Victor Young Date June 12, 2025

Completed by: _____ Date _____

1 [Appointment, Police Commission - Pratibha Tekkey]

2
3 **Motion confirming the appointment of Pratibha Tekkey, term ending April 30, 2029, to**
4 **the Police Commission.**

5
6 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does
7 hereby confirm the appointment of the hereinafter designated person to serve as a member of
8 the San Francisco Police Commission, pursuant to the provisions of Charter, Section 4.109,
9 for the terms specified:

10 Pratibha Tekkey, seat 3, succeeding Jesus Gabriel Yanez, term expired, must be
11 nominated by the Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee and subject to confirmation by the
12 Board of Supervisors, for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending April 30, 2029.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): Any Vacant Seat

Albert P. Mayer



Zip Code: 94133

Occupation: Attorney/Consultant

Work Phone: (401) 339-3436

Employer: EliotNest

Business Address: 1050 Green St. #2

Zip Code: 94133

Business Email: albertpmayer@gmail.com

Home Email: [Redacted]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐

If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

My main qualification is my record of public service in the U.S. Department of Justice and, before that, the Virginia Attorney General's Office. When DOJ leadership promoted me to the role of Senior Counsel, they highlighted my steadfast commitment to working collaboratively with everyone affected by my cases, including not only victims and courts but also defense counsel and, where appropriate, defendants themselves. My collaborative approach led to successful resolutions of matters including multiple major cases against pharmaceutical companies for fraudulently promoting opioid drugs. My secondary qualifications are that I am a parent raising a child in the city (I live on Green Street in Russian Hill with my wife, who is a Bay Area native, and my daughter, who is almost four years old), and I understand both sides of criminal justice issues because I have assisted close friends and immediate family members in situations where they have been arrested and faced serious criminal charges. Additionally, early in my career as an attorney, I represented a New York prison inmate who was physically abused by guards, and won a jury trial and a significant monetary judgment for him. My career in law enforcement has not blinded me to such challenges and complexities but rather has reinforced my passion for ensuring a justice system everyone can trust.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

-Consultant with EliotNest (startup developing AI tools for investigations) (2024-Present)
-Attorney with U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, Fraud Section (combatting fraud on federal government, particularly Medicare and Medicaid; recipient of various awards, promoted to Senior Counsel) (2015-2024)
-Attorney with Virginia Attorney General's Office, Health Care Fraud and Elder Abuse Section (2013-2015)
-Attorney with U.S. Attorney's Office for the Western District of Virginia (federal criminal prosecutor) (2012)
-Attorney with private law firms (first Weil Gotshal and later Baker Hostetler) (2007-2011)
-J.D. with Honors from the University of Texas at Austin (2007)
-B.A. from Bowdoin College (Maine) (2003)

Civic Activities:

Restrictions associated with my work for DOJ, and the challenge of raising my daughter while my wife and I both pursue careers (my wife is a vice president of the cryptocurrency exchange Coinbase) have limited my civic engagement in recent years. Earlier, I handled pro bono cases on behalf of prison inmates and nursing home residents who had been physically abused, provided volunteer income tax assistance in disadvantaged neighborhoods, and oversaw the structural reinforcement of the historic five-story TIC building in San Francisco where we lived (1450 Green St.).

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐
(Online)

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: April 11, 2025 Applicant's Signature (required): Albert Pilavin Mayer
(Manually sign or type your complete name.
NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

**STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE**
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST)	(FIRST)	(MIDDLE)
MAYER	ALBERT	PILAVIN

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

COMMISSIONER

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: _____ Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County _____

☐ County of _____

☐ City of _____

☒ Other **SAN FRANCISCO**

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☐ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left ____/____/_____
(Check one circle below.)

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
December 31, 2024.

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed ____/____/____

☐ The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
the date of leaving office.

☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page: _____

Schedules attached

☒ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or- ☐ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule**

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)				
1050 GREEN ST APT 2		SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94133
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER		EMAIL ADDRESS		
(401) 339-3436		albertpmayer@gmail.com		

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____
(month, day, year)

Signature _____
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 <small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small>	
Name	

<BLUE> is a required field

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS ACTIVITY	FAIR MARKET VALUE (Select from drop down list)	NATURE OF INVESTMENT (Select from drop down list. If "other," describe)	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE (mm/dd/yyyy)	
				ACQUIRED	DISPOSED
ALBANY INTL CORP	textiles	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
APTIV PLC	auto parts	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
AXA SA	insurance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
AMERICAN EXPRESS CO	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
BAE SYS PLC	defense contractor	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
BASF SE	chemicals	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
CARRIER GLOBAL CORP	hvac	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
CARREFOUR SA	retail	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
CISCO SYS INC	tech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
DBS GROUP HLDGS LTD	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
DHL GROUP	delivery	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
DISNEY WALT CO	entertainment	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
DOCUSIGN INC	tech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
DEUTSCHE TELEKOM	telecom	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
EVEREST REINSURANCE	insurance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
FREEPORT-MCMORAN INC	mining	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
FISERV INC	fintech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
FIRST PAC LTD	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
GXO LOGISTICS INC	logistics	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
HONDA MTR LTD	auto	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
ORIX CORP	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
JETBLUE AWYS CORP	airline	\$2,000 - \$10,000	Stock		
KB FINL GROUP INC	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
KIMBERLY CLARK DE	consumer goods	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
KINGFISHER PLC	retail	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
CARMAX INC	auto sales	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
MARRIOTT INTL INC	hotels	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
MERCEDES BENZ GROUP	auto	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
META PLATFORMS INC	tech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
MICHELIN COMPAGNIE	auto parts	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
MATERION CORP	chemicals	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
NTNL GRID PLC	energy	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
ANGLO AMERN PLC	mining	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORP	defense contractor	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
NORDEA BANK AB	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
POSCO HOLDINGS INC	steel	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
RELX PLC	tech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
RIO TINTO PLC	mining	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
CHARLES SCHWAB CORP	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SHELL PLC	energy	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SKANSKA AB	construction	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SEKISUI HOUSE LTD	chemicals	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SUMITOMO MITSUI	real estate	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SWISS RE LTD	insurance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
SYSCO CORP	food	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
TELENOR ASA	telecom	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
TERADYNE INC	tech	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
TRIPADVISOR INC	travel	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
TOTALENERGIES SE	energy	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC	transit	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
UNILEVER PLC	consumer goods	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
UPM KYMMENE CORP	forestry	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
UNITED RENTALS INC	equipment rental	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT	waste management	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS	telecom	\$2,000 - \$10,000	Stock		
WPP PLC	public relations	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
XPO INC	freight	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
ZURICH INS GROUP LTD	insurance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
GABX	mutual fund	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
REALTY INCOME CORP REIT	real estate	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
RAINIER PAC	finance	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
RAYONIER INC REIT	real estate	\$10,001 - \$100,000	Stock		
COINBASE INC.	cryptocurrency exchange	\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Stock		

Investments

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

[Redacted]

[illegible]

Cell: A7

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: A9

Note: Disclose the name of the business entity.

Cell: B9

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity (for example, pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).

Cell: C9

Note: Select the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period. If you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement, indicate the fair market value on the filing date or the date you took office, respectively.

Cell: D9

Note: Identify the nature of your investment (for example, stocks, warrants, options, or bonds).

Cell: E9

Note: If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this interest during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.

**Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts**
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts (Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts (Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

**Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts**
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

**Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts**
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

**Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts**
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

Cell: A3

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: A8

Note: Disclose the name and address of the business entity or trust.

Cell: B8

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity (for example, pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).

Cell: C8

Note: Select the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period. If you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement, indicate the fair market value on the filing date or the date you took office, respectively.

Cell: D8

Note: If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this interest during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.

Cell: E8

Note: Indicate whether you acquired or disposed of the business entity or trust by showing "A" if acquired, or "D" if disposed.

Cell: F8

Note: Identify the nature of your investment (for example, stocks, warrants, options, or bonds).

Cell: G8

Note: Disclose your job title or business position held with the entity, (for example, if you were a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or held any position of management).

Cell: H8

Note: Select your pro rata share of the gross income received by the business entity or trust. This amount includes your pro rata share of the gross income from the business entity or trust, as well as your community property interest in your spouse's or registered domestic partner's share. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes.

Cell: I8

Note: Disclose the name of each reportable source of income from which your pro rata share is \$10,000 or more. (See Schedule A-2 Instructions for more information.)

Cell: J8

Note: Report any investments or interests in real property held by the business entity or trust identified in part 1 if your pro rata share of the interest held was \$2,000 or more during the reporting period.

Cell: K8

Note: If real property, report the address or other precise location (for example, an assessor's parcel number).

Cell: L8

Note: Select the highest fair market value of your real property or investment during the reporting period. (Report the fair market value of the portion of your residence claimed as a tax deduction if you are utilizing your residence for business purposes.)

Cell: M8

Note: If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this investment or interest in real property during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.

Cell: N8

Note: Indicate whether you acquired or disposed of the business entity or interest in real property by showing "A" if acquired, or "D" if disposed.

Cell: O8

Note: Select the nature of your interest. If you select "Leasehold" you must also type the years remaining on the lease.

Interests in Real Property

(Including Rental Income)

700

Name _____

<BLUE> is a required field

* Select from drop down list

*You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

[illegible]

Cell: G1

Note: REMINDERS

- Income and loans already reported on Schedule B are not also required to be reported on Schedule C.
- Code filers – Do your disclosure categories require disclosure of real property?

Cell: A7

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: H11

Note: Loans from a private lender that total \$500 or more and are secured by real property may be reportable. Reportable loans may be disclosed on Schedule B or Schedule C. Loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status are not reportable.

Cell: A12

Note: Report the address or other precise location (for example, an assessor's parcel number) of the real property.

Cell: B12

Note: Select the highest fair market value of your interest in the real property during the reporting period (regardless of what you owe on the property). If you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement, indicate the fair market value on the filing date or the date you took office, respectively.

Cell: C12

Note: If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this interest in real property during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.

Cell: D12

Note: Indicate whether you acquired or disposed of the interest in real property by showing "A" if acquired, or "D" if disposed.

Cell: E12

Note: Select the nature of your interest. If you select "Leasehold" you must also type the years remaining on the lease.

Cell: F12

Note: Select your pro rata share of the gross rental income received. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes.

Cell: G12

Note: If you had a 10% or greater interest in real property and received rental income, list the name of the source(s) if your pro rata share of the gross income from any single tenant was \$10,000 or more during the reporting period. If you received a total of \$10,000 or more from two or more tenants acting in concert (e.g., in most cases, this will apply to married couples), disclose the name of each tenant. Otherwise, leave this section blank.

Cell: H12

Note: Provide the name and address of the lender. Identify a guarantor, if applicable.

Cell: I12

Note: Describe the lender's business activity.

Cell: J12

Note: Disclose the interest rate. For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (for example, Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the reporting period.

Cell: K12

Note: Disclose the term of the loan. The term of a loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was established.

Cell: L12

Note: Select the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

Name

* Select from drop down list

[illegible]

Cell: A7

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: A11

Note: For income from rental property that is not required to be listed on Schedule B, enter "Rental Income" under "Name of Source," check the box indicating the gross income received, and, if you had a 10% or greater interest in the rental property, list the name of each tenant if your pro rata share of the gross income from that tenant was \$10,000 or more during the reporting period.

Cell: A12

Note: Disclose the name and address of each source of income or each business entity with which you held a business position.

Cell: B12

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity if the source is a business entity (for example, pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).

Cell: C12

Note: Disclose your job title or business position held with the entity, (for example, if you were a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, or held any position of management).

Cell: D12

Note: Select the amount of gross income received.

Cell: E12

Note: Select the consideration for which the income was received. If you received commission or rental property income of \$10,000 or more from a single source, you must provide the name of the source.

Cell: F12

Note: Provide the name and address of the lender. Identify a guarantor, if applicable.

Cell: G12

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity if the lender is a business entity.

Cell: H12

Note: Select the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.

Cell: I12

Note: Disclose the interest rate. For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (for example, Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the

reporting period.

Cell: J12

Note: Disclose the term of the loan. The term of a loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was established.

Cell: K12

Note: Identify the security, if any, for the loan.

CALIFORNIA FORM		700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION		
Name		

[illegible]

Schedule D

Income - Gifts

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

700

Name

<BLUE> is a required field

[illegible]

Schedule D

Income - Gifts

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

700

Name

<BLUE> is a required field

[illegible]

Cell: A4

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: A6

Note: Disclose the full name (not an acronym) of the source of the gift.

Cell: B6

Note: Disclose the address of the source of the gift.

Cell: D6

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity of the source (for example, pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).

Cell: E6

Note: Provide the entire date (month, day, and year) that the gift was received.

Cell: F6

Note: Provide the fair market value of the gift.

Cell: G6

Note: Provide a description of the gift.

700

PTION

Cell: A4

Note: After completion, ensure that there are no blue fields on this schedule. If so, you must complete that cell.

Cell: A9

Note: Disclose the full name (not an acronym) and address of the source of the travel payment.

Cell: B9

Note: Provide a general description of the business activity of the source (for example, pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).

Cell: C9

Note: Enter "Y" if this payment is from a 501(c)(3) organization

Cell: D9

Note: Disclose the entire date or range of dates, if gift.

Cell: E9

Note: Disclose the amount of income or the fair market value of the gift.

Cell: F9

Note: Select either "gift" or "income" to indicate whether the payment was a gift or income. Travel payments are gifts if you did not provide services that were equal to or greater in value than the payments received. Travel payments are income if you provided services that were equal to or greater in value than the payments received.

Cell: G9

Note: Provide travel destination for gifts of travel on or after 1/1/16.

Cell: H9

Note: Enter "Y" if you made a speech or participated in a panel.

Cell: I9

Note: Provide a description of the travel payment.

Form 700x Comments

Schedules A-1 through E Comments

CALIFORNIA FORM
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

700

Name

Schedule A-1 Comments

These stocks are chosen by a financial advisor, not my wife or me. We have no role in picking the stocks.

Schedule A-2 Comments

Schedule B Comments

Schedule C Comments

Schedule D Comments

Schedule E Comments

The values of these two gifts are estimates. I don't have precise figures for what they're worth.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Franco Cirelli



Zip Code: 94131

Occupation: Finance Consultant

Work Phone: 415-269-1692

Employer: Franco Cirelli, CPA, CFP®

Business Address: 18 Fairmount Street

Zip Code: 94131

Business Email: francocirelli@gmail.com

Home Email: [Redacted]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐ If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

My parents immigrated directly to San Francisco from Italy when I was three years old, and I later started kindergarten at San Francisco's Cleveland Elementary School before I could communicate in English. I was educated in the SFUSD from K – 12, graduating from Balboa High School and becoming the first member of my family to attend college

Frankly, I struggled on many levels in school including academically and socially as I tried to figure out how I could acclimate into this new culture. The daily challenges associated with being an immigrant and learning a new language both challenged and educated me.

These personal experiences served to make me very sensitive to San Francisco's diverse communities, and I remain a passionate advocate for diversity: immigrants, English language learners, working class families with modest means and so many others who may feel that their voices may not be heard. I stand with them consistently.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

As an independent finance professional with a CFP® credential, I earned an MBA from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University, a BS from the Haas School of Business at UC Berkeley and a CPA license upon completion of the required experience with Deloitte & Touche. Earlier in my professional career, I held positions at noteworthy organizations including Microsoft and the National Basketball Association.

I worked as an adjunct faculty member for about fifteen years at City College of San Francisco, where I taught entrepreneurship, business and real estate courses and developed new curriculum. My classroom served as an educational model with the goal of helping all students from our diverse communities realize their full potential. In fact, one peer reviewer's feedback read, "I left your class last night with my chest puffed up with emotion. The accomplishments your students have made are truly life-changing, and you have been the fulcrum point of that experience for them. You should be very proud of yourself!!"

Civic Activities:

Current:

- Citizen Police Advisory Board – SFPD's Ingleside Precinct
- San Francisco Italian Athletic Club Foundation Board - Treasurer
- iTAL Foundation Board - Treasurer
- Italian Caucus of California (of the State Assembly and Senate) - Treasurer
- Order of Malta (Global Humanitarian, Medical & Social Assistance Providers) - Western US Association

Previous:

- SFUSD Quality Teacher and Education Act Oversight Committee - Co-chair
- San Francisco School Alliance Advisory Council
- Friends of the Children Board
- Junior Achievement Advisory Council

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 06/05/25

Applicant's Signature (required):



(Manually sign or type your complete name.

NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

FRANCO CIRELLI, CPA, CFP®

18 Fairmount Street
San Francisco, CA 94131

Phone: 415.269.1692
Email: francocirelli@gmail.com

SUMMARY

Kellogg MBA with over 30 years of professional experience in organizations ranging from startups to large enterprises. Oversaw financial operations for venture-backed company that raised \$26M in first two rounds. Senior finance executive responsible for growth of pre-revenue business to over \$10M and more than 100 employees. Managed licensing business for globally recognized brand with worldwide retail value over \$175M for single product category. Key skills include optimizing business expertise to support entrepreneurial endeavors and public education, presenting financial data and metrics to non-finance audience, and developing complex financial models to assess organization's future viability.

EXPERIENCE

Franco Cirelli, CPA, CFP®

San Francisco, CA

Independent Licensed Finance Professional

2007 - Present

- Serve as trusted financial advisor to numerous clients including non-profit organizations.
- Developed comprehensive long-term financial model for biotech firm seeking additional venture funding to perform R&D of new vaccination against lethal virus.
- Advised clinical research firm CEO on proposed acquisition of competitors in US and Europe and developed model to present, analyze and evaluate effects of transactions on shareholder value and related metrics.
- Provided expert witness testimony for law firm representing business owner in payroll tax litigation.
- Performed rigorous analytical review of purchase and related intangible asset accounting for venture-backed business in preparation for its first audit. Proposed necessary financial statement adjustments and enhancements to company's financial and accounting operations.
- Engaged in similar independent consulting projects from 2000 – 2003.

CITY COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO

San Francisco, CA

Instructor

2003 – 2018

- Taught numerous real estate courses including finance and economics to students working toward their California broker or salesperson license. Lectured at general business and entrepreneurship classes for day and evening students including working adults who aspired to change careers or gain valuable credentials to further their current career paths.
- Planned, developed and received approval for new customer service curriculum. Led seminar with abbreviated version of curriculum for business school dean's staff.
- Selected to serve on Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills. Implemented recommended changes into course syllabi to link education goals to practical work applications.

MOTIF INVESTING, INC.

San Francisco, CA

Financial Operations Principal

2011 - 2012

- Led entire financial operations of venture-backed online securities broker/dealer whose investors include renowned technology and finance authorities.
- Directed all aspects of firm's response to annual audits which always generated unqualified opinions and no findings.
- Reported monthly, quarterly and annual results to board with an emphasis on cash flow and expense management. Performed regular variance analysis for senior management to optimize spend.
- Planned and developed accounting and financial reporting systems to fulfill numerous requirements especially those mandated by investors, FINRA, and SEC.
- Collaborated with other firm principals during extremely thorough and highly demanding application process for broker/dealer license that was granted in June 2011.

SEATSUB, INC.

San Francisco, CA

Cofounder and Chief Financial Officer

2007 - 2011

- Cofounded business which developed patented process to help live event premium seat owners manage ticket inventory and optimize return on investment.
- Authored key portions of business plan with emphasis on finance and marketing functions.
- Developed revenue model for new business and oversaw integration of model into client proposals and agreements.
- Coordinated with two other founders in successful Series A financing for company.
- Directed all accounting and finance procedures for GAAP, tax and related purposes such as reporting to outside investors in accordance with Series A financing provisions.
- Marketed service offering to prospective clients such as professional sports teams, venue managers, and event sponsors to build awareness of company and its value proposition.

PHACIL, INC.**San Francisco, CA****Chief Financial Officer****2004 - 2007**

- Oversaw entire financial operations for award-winning government contractor in civil and defense markets as business expanded from 3 full-time employees to over 100 and greater than \$10 million in revenue.
- Planned and implemented new Deltek accounting and timekeeping systems and ADP payroll service while ensuring no disruption to accounting, finance and all other related operations. Adhered to tight budgets and timelines to achieve desired goals for these major projects.
- Researched and structured comprehensive financial policies and procedures to comply with multiple regulatory agencies such as DCAA, DCMA and SBA.
- Led company's preparation for and effort in rigorous, thorough audits by regulators. Designed and executed company's first-ever, fully-compliant cost accounting system and resulting indirect cost rates.
- Prepared cash flow analysis on a weekly basis. Reported financial results to senior management and updated corporate forecast on a monthly basis. Reviewed quarterly expenses with department heads to ensure fiscal responsibility and accountability.
- Managed relationships with banks, independent accountants, numerous major clients and other vital third parties.

MICROSOFT**San Francisco, CA****Business Development Manager****1999 - 2000**

- Lead negotiator with major OEMs in discussions to broaden scope of bCentral content, services and distribution. Options for partnerships included creation of joint venture leading to a possible spin-off.
- Primary contact within Microsoft and at major partners on bCentral services distribution.
- Designed partnering plans for small business service providers such as American Express Small Business Services with immediate, intermediate and long-term online partnership opportunities.
- Collaborated with Office - Small Business team to present and implement partnership strategy and value proposition to Microsoft field teams.

NATIONAL BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION**New York, NY****Product Manager****1997 - 1999**

- One of only four candidates selected in nationwide search for NBA's first annual International Management Development Program. Completed high-profile managerial assignments in NBA's most significant businesses. Presented major branding strategy project to NBA Commissioner.
- Managed relationships with four of the NBA's top five consumer products business partners whose category (i.e. trading cards) had retail sales of \$175 million worldwide.
- Coordinated marketing strategy and implementation for product category with key licensee personnel. Developed category promotions on NBA.com and other NBA media assets.
- Negotiated contracts generating at least \$40 million in revenue to NBA over three-year period. Benchmarked NBA's brand value for bankruptcy litigation that resulted in recovery of \$12 million in disputed earnings.

CALPINE CORPORATION**San Jose, CA****Senior Financial Analyst****1994 - 1995****WELLS FARGO BANK****San Francisco, CA****Senior Financial Analyst****1993 - 1994****DELOITTE & TOUCHE****San Francisco, CA****Senior Accountant****1991 - 1992****Staff Accountant****1989 - 1991****EDUCATION****KELLOGG SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT****Evanston, IL****NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY****1995 - 1997**

Master of Business Administration, June 1997.

Majors in marketing, management and strategy, and international business.

HAAS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS**Berkeley, CA****UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY****1984 - 1988**

Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, December 1988.

OTHER DATA

US Patent # 8041610. Cofounded Primeros Pasos® LLC. DRE License # 01360735.

Franco Cirelli

Franco Cirelli, a life-long San Franciscan, is an active community builder in our city and remains committed to helping all of us thrive in a safe, welcoming and vibrant urban setting. He holds leadership roles and is active in a number of organizations, guiding them in their pursuit of service to others:

- Citizen Police Advisory Board – SFPD's Ingleside Precinct
- San Francisco Italian Athletic Club Foundation Board
- ITAL Foundation Board
- Order of Malta (Global Humanitarian, Medical & Social Assistance Providers) - Western US Association

Franco co-chaired (along with Supervisor Shamann Walton) San Francisco's Quality Teacher and Education Act Oversight Committee which helps monitor how over \$35 million annually are invested in the operations of San Francisco's public schools. In addition, he was an active member of the San Francisco School Alliance Advisory Committee (chaired by the late Warren Hellman), the Junior Achievement Advisory Committee, and the Friends of The Children Board.

An independent finance professional with a CFP® credential, Franco earned an MBA from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University, a BS from the Haas School of Business at UC Berkeley and a CPA license upon completion of the required experience with Deloitte & Touche. Earlier in his professional career, Franco held positions at noteworthy organizations including Microsoft and the National Basketball Association. He worked as an adjunct faculty member for fifteen years at City College of San Francisco where he taught entrepreneurship, business and real estate courses and developed new curriculum.

With over 30 years of professional experience in organizations ranging from startups to large enterprises, Franco co-owns with his wife, Gabriella, Primeros Pasos® Spanish immersion daycare and preschool. As he does for his finance clients, Franco helps ensure that the business side of the preschools receives the necessary attention to optimize use of their resources in pursuit in their missions. Gabriella applies her extensive experience and vision of providing the highest quality Spanish immersion education to young children in an environment that focuses unwaveringly on them.

Franco, Gabriella and their two children reside in the Glen Park neighborhood. His parents immigrated directly to San Francisco from Italy when Franco was three years old, and he later started kindergarten at San Francisco's Cleveland Elementary School where he had to learn to speak English. He was educated in the SFUSD from K – 12, graduating from Balboa High School and becoming the first member of his family to attend college.

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: _____

Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

State

Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

Multi-County _____

County of _____

City of _____

Other _____

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/____, through
December 31, 2024.

Assuming Office: Date assumed ____/____/____

Leaving Office: Date Left ____/____/____
(Check one circle below.)

The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/____, through
the date of leaving office.

Candidate: Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page: _____

Schedules attached

Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule attached

Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule attached

Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached

Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached

Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached

Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached

-or-

None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER

()

E-MAIL ADDRESS

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____

(month, day, year)

Signature

Francine

(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.



► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE _____

\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT _____

Stock Other _____ (Describe)

Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE _____

\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT _____

Stock Other _____ (Describe)

Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE _____

\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT _____

Stock Other _____ (Describe)

Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE _____

\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT _____

Stock Other _____ (Describe)

Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

► NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY _____

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE _____

\$2,000 - \$10,000	\$10,001 - \$100,000
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000	Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT _____

Stock Other _____ (Describe)

Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Filer's Verification

Print Name _____

Office, Agency or Court _____

Statement Type 2024/2025 Annual Assuming Leaving
 (yr) Annual Candidate

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____ (month, day, year)

Filer's Signature Francoirelli

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

► **1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST**

Name _____

Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____

Check one

Trust, go to 2

Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

\$0 - \$1,999

\$2,000 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

\$100,001 - \$1,000,000

Over \$1,000,000

____/____/ **24**

ACQUIRED

____/____/ **24**

DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

Partnership

Sole Proprietorship

Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____

► **2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)**

\$0 - \$499

\$500 - \$1,000

\$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

OVER \$100,000

► **3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)**

None or Names listed below

► **4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST**

Check one box:

INVESTMENT

REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

\$2,000 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

\$100,001 - \$1,000,000

Over \$1,000,000

____/____/ **24**

ACQUIRED

____/____/ **24**

DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

Property Ownership/Deed of Trust

Stock

Partnership

Leasehold

Yrs. remaining

Other

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments:

Filer's Verification

Print Name _____

Office, Agency or Court _____

Statement Type

2024/2025 Annual

____ Annual
(yr)

Assuming

Leaving

Candidate

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____
(month, day, year)

Filer's Signature Francis Livi

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

AMENDMENT

Comments:

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

Filer's Verification

FPPC Form 700 - Schedule C (2024/2025)
advice@fppc.ca.gov • 866-275-3772 • www.fppc.ca.gov

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
AMENDMENT

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

CITY

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

\$2,000 - \$10,000 ____/____/ **24** ____/____/ **24**

\$10,001 - \$100,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

\$100,001 - \$1,000,000

Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST

Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement

Leasehold ____/____/____ ____/____/____

Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

None

NAME OF LENDER*	
ADDRESS <i>(Business Address Acceptable)</i>	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	
INTEREST RATE	TERM (Months/Years)
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> _____ % None </div>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> _____ _____ </div>
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	
\$500 - \$1,000	\$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000	OVER \$100,000
Guarantor, if applicable	

Comments: _____

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards / Commissions / Committees / Task Forces
INSTRUCTIONS AND APPLICATION

San Francisco is a diverse City and County with a wide range of people and issues affecting it. In order to take advantage of the extensive experience and knowledge available throughout our communities, various Boards/Commissions/Committees/Task Forces have been established to bring that knowledge together. These groups and their membership requirements are established by legislation approved through the local, state, and/or federal government.

In addition to setting up the purpose and goals of the various groups, the governing legislation outlines the type of person - in terms of desirable skills and/or knowledge - who can contribute their knowledge and perspective. In this manner, a group of San Franciscans, who are representative of the City and County, can be active participants in addressing issues affecting the entire City and County.

If you are interested in serving the City and County of San Francisco, the following procedures are provided:

1. A list of vacancies and expected vacancies, with their qualifications, can be found at the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, at the San Francisco Main Public Library, and online on the Board of Supervisors' website (<http://www.sfbos.org/vacancy>). Please review this list for positions of interest.
2. Submit an application ([http://www.sfbos.org/vacancy application](http://www.sfbos.org/vacancy_application))
(List all of the appropriate seat number(s) and/or category/categories for which you qualify. We request applications be received ten (10) days before the scheduled hearing.)

Applicants may also need to submit a Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests (<https://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html>), along with their application for all bodies listed in [Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, Section 3.1-103\(a\)\(1\)](#).

3. If the seat(s) you are applying for is vacant and requires the Board of Supervisors' confirmation, the Rules Committee may schedule your application for review. Applicants should expect to appear before the Rules Committee to speak on their qualifications and answer questions during a public hearing.
(There are no set instructions on what you are expected to present to the Rules Committee; however, a brief description of how your qualifications distinguish you from other applicants, reasons for your interest in the subject, and/or a short summarization on why you would make a good candidate is appropriate.)
4. The Rules Committee may or may not make a recommendation for appointment. If a recommendation is made by the Rules Committee, the recommendation is forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for approval. It generally takes approximately 15 days from the date the Rules Committee makes their recommendation, for the individual to become officially appointed.
5. Depending on the type of organization, a new appointee may need to take an Oath of Office.

If there are no vacancies, your application will be retained for one year. If any openings occur during this time, your application will be submitted to the Rules Committee for review.

If you have any further questions, please contact the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184. If you require detailed information concerning the operations of a particular Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force, please contact the administering department directly.

(Applications must be submitted to BOS-Appointments@sfgov.org or to the mailing address listed above.)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: San Francisco Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Hasib Emran

Zip Code: 94102

Attorney (Lic #336662)

Work Phone: 9162037788 Employer: State of California

Business Address: 455 Golden Gate Avenue #10500 San Francisco, CA Zip Code: 94102

Business Email: hemran@sco.ca.gov Home Email: [REDACTED]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐

If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

My qualifications represent the communities of interest and neighborhoods because I am the first ever Afghan-American and Muslim California Deputy State Controller in California's 175-year history. My experiences as a son of Afghan refugees who escaped war, poverty, and violence when the Communist Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan, my parents came to California for a better life and to chase the California Dream. I have lived in San Francisco for over a decade now, educating myself at some of the finest academic institutions in the City, including San Francisco State with a Criminal Justice major and my Juris Doctor at University of California, College of the Law, San Francisco. It's my time spent in the Civic Center-Tenderloin, where I still reside to this day I delved into a sense of community, where over 3,000 schoolchildren live in Civic Center neighborhood and the working class, blue-collar families of this amazing City reside and shown to be the frontline workers. Often feeling voiceless, it is through continued advocacy that the residents feel a sense of belonging and security. From schools, to places of worship, and civic gathering areas I have made it a priority to be present and active in the community when it comes to safety, respect, and living with a sense of dignity. Moreover, my almost 2-year term as Vice Chair of the San Francisco Human Rights Commission has taught me some of the more serious issues this City faces when it comes to communities of color thoughts and ideas on community-orientated policing, housing discrimination, and the opportunity of equal employment. I believe my shared experiences on both the State and City level has equipped me for the challenges ahead. I have devoted my career in public service to the betterment of all communities across all walks of life and will continue to do so moving forward.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

- California Deputy State Controller, Taxation-- represent the Controller on the California State Board of Equalization, the nation's only elected tax board and the Chair of the Franchise Tax Board, the second largest tax department in the country behind the Federal IRS. (Jan. 2023-Present)
- Special Advisor to the Chair, California State Board of Equalization (May 2021-Jan 2023)
- Vice Chair, San Francisco Human Rights Commission (June 2023- Present)
- United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Enforcement Division at the Washington D.C. Headquarters, clerkship (Fall 2019)
- Contra Costa County Superior Court, Criminal Division, Law Clerk to Judge Judy Johnson (Summer 2018)
- Antioch Unified School District, 9th Grade Biology at Antioch High School (2016-2017 School Year)
- San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Office of Supervisor Malia Cohen Intern (November 2015-April 2021)

Civic Activities:

- Board Member, San Francisco Muslim Community Center (4760 Mission Street)
- San Francisco Muslim Impact Council Member, help raise money and organize the Annual San Francisco City Hall Ramadan Iftar in partnership with the Mayor's Office
- Volunter Income Tax Assistance Program (VITA), assist low-income and marginalized community members filed their taxes at no cost in the Civic Center area.
- Organizer and Volunteer, Proposition V SF Soda Tax and Ban which passed by voters in November 2018 and has invested millions in funding for health initiatives for young children. Also, championed the SF Flavored Tobacco Ban which was passed by the SF BOS in June 2017 and went into effect in 2018.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 04/25/2025 Applicant's Signature (required): Hasib Emran
(Manually sign or type your complete name.
NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST)

(FIRST)

(MIDDLE)

Emran, Hasib**1. Office, Agency, or Court**

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

City and County of San Francisco

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

Human Rights CommissionMember, Human Rights Commission

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: _____ Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)☐ State☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)☐ Multi-County _____☒ County of San Francisco☒ City of San Francisco☐ Other _____**3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)**☒ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/____, through
December 31, 2024.☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left ____/____/____
(Check one circle below.)☐ The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ The period covered is ____/____/____, through
the date of leaving office.☐ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed ____/____/____☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____**4. Schedule Summary (required)**► Total number of pages including this cover page: 1**Schedules attached**☐ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached-or- ☒ **None** - No reportable interests on any schedule**5. Verification**

MAILING ADDRESS

STREET

CITY

STATE

ZIP CODE

(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

25 Van Ness Avenue, 8th FloorSan FranciscoCA94102

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER

EMAIL ADDRESS

(415) 252-2500

hasib.emran@sfgov.org

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed

03/17/2025

(month, day, year)

Signature Hasib Emran

(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A Public Document

 Date Initial Filing Received
 Filing Official Use Only

1630161

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER	(LAST)	(FIRST)	(MIDDLE)
Emran, Hasib			

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

City and County of San Francisco

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

Human Rights Commission

Member, Human Rights Commission

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: _____ Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)☐ State☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)☐ Multi-County _____☒ County of San Francisco☒ City of San Francisco☐ Other _____**3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)**☒ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2023 through
December 31, 2023.☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left ____/____/____
(Check one circle)

-or-

The period covered is 06 / 06 / 2023, through
December 31, 2023.☐ The period covered is January 1, 2023 through the date
of leaving office.☐ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed ____/____/____☐ The period covered is ____/____/____, through the date
of leaving office.☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____**4. Schedule Summary (required)**► Total number of pages including this cover page: 1**Schedules attached**☐ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or-

☒ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule****5. Verification**

MAILING ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)				
25 Van Ness Avenue, 8th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94102
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL ADDRESS			
(415) 252-2500	hasib.emran@sfgov.org			

 I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained
 herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

 Date Signed 03/27/2024
 (month, day, year)

 Signature Hasib Emran
 (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Full Name: Marjan Ghafourpour Philhour

[Redacted] San Francisco Zip Code: 94121

[Redacted] Occupation: Small Business Owner

Work Phone: 415-395-6275 Employer: Marjestic

Business Address: 860 41st Avenue, San Francisco Zip Code: 94121

Business Email: marjan@philhour.com Home Email: [Redacted]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐ If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

As a daughter of immigrants from the Philippines and Iran, and a mother raising my three children in San Francisco, my background and lived experience reflect the diversity and complexity of San Francisco. Navigating life as a multiracial woman, balancing professional and family responsibilities, and working in the public and private sectors for over three decades connects me directly with the communities our police department serves. Throughout my career, I have championed equity, safety, and accountability at every level of government. I have worked alongside immigrant families, small business owners, and community organizations across neighborhoods and have prioritized listening to and elevating voices that have been historically underrepresented in the decision making process. The Police Commission should focus on oversight while ensuring transparency and accountability without tying the hands of law enforcement. My approach to public safety reflects the concerns I hear every day from residents all over San Francisco. We cannot keep our neighborhoods safe if we don't have enough officers to respond when our residents need help. I support a department that reflects our values and has the resources to do its job. We must continue to give officers modern tools to solve crimes and deter criminal activity, especially now that we face a staffing shortage that grows with every passing year. By streamlining these policies, officers will be better able to spend time in the community, building trust and responding to public safety needs in real time. I bring not only the perspective of someone deeply rooted in San Francisco's neighborhoods, but also a strong record of public service focused on reform, access, and inclusion. I understand firsthand the nuanced relationship our diverse communities have with law enforcement, from those demanding accountability and reform to those simply asking to feel safe in their own homes, schools, businesses, and transit corridors. As a member of the Police Commission, I will bring a balanced, community-grounded perspective, committed to rebuilding trust, advancing reform, and ensuring that our approach to public safety reflects the full diversity of our communities. Our City can and must be safe for everyone.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

I bring over three decades of experience in public service, policy, and small business leadership. I began my career in Washington, D.C., working on Capitol Hill, where I focused on equity and access in education and labor policy. I later served as Senior Advisor to the Chief of Staff to California Governor Gray Davis, working on statewide policy implementation and interagency coordination.

Upon returning to San Francisco, I launched a small business and consulting firm focused on helping women of color gain access to public leadership and policymaking roles. As a small business owner in San Francisco, I also experienced firsthand the challenges of navigating the city's bureaucracy and the impacts of crime on our neighborhood corridors.

I co-founded the Balboa Village Merchants Association and led numerous community initiatives to support small businesses, improve public safety, and strengthen neighborhood resilience. My professional background also includes managing complex city and state government relations, stakeholder engagement, and navigating large-scale policy issues. These skills are directly relevant to the oversight and governance responsibilities of the Police Commission.

I understand how city systems work, where they fall short, and how we can fix them. This experience uniquely positions me to bring practical, policy-informed, and community-rooted insight to the work of the Police Commission.

Civic Activities:

These civic roles reflect my deep, long-term engagement with San Francisco's neighborhoods, and my commitment to ensuring that City Hall and our institutions work for all of us.

Member, San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee (DCCC): Elected member of the local Democratic Party. I am especially committed to ensuring that the work of the Democratic Party's leadership and platform are relevant to every day San Franciscans.

Co-Founder, Balboa Village Merchants Association: Launched and lead this organization to support small businesses in the Outer Richmond. Work with merchants on safety, storefront revitalization, and post-COVID economic recovery efforts.

Community Organizer and Advocate: I've organized neighborhood events, safety forums, and small business roundtables across the Richmond District, with a focus on public safety, housing, and clean streets. I have held positions at local schools to help young people navigate civic engagement opportunities.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: May 4, 2025 Applicant's Signature (required): **Marjan Philhour**
(Manually sign or type your complete name.
NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Philhour Marjan Ghafourpour

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

City and County of San Francisco

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Police Commission

Your Position

Commissioner

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County

☒ County of San Francisco

☒ City of San Francisco

☐ Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☐ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024,

-or-

The period covered is / / , through
December 31, 2024.

☒ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed 05 / 04 / 2025

☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left / /
(Check one circle below.)

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ The period covered is / / , through
the date of leaving office.

☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election and office sought, if different than Part 1:

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page:

Schedules attached

☐ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached

x ☒ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or- ☐ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule**

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

860 41st Avenue

San Francisco

CA

94121

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER

(415) 395-6275

EMAIL ADDRESS

marjan.philhour@gmail.com

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed May 4, 2025
(month, day, year)

Signature Marjan Philhour
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE C
Income, Loans, & Business
Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name Marjan Philhour

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED	▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME San Francisco University High School	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME San Francisco University High School
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 3065 Jackson Street, San Francisco CA 94115	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 3065 Jackson Street, San Francisco CA 94115
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE Education	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE Education
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Civic Engagement Manager	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Dean of Teaching and Learning
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE	TERM (Months/Years)
_____	_____ % <input type="checkbox"/> None	_____
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	SECURITY FOR LOAN	
_____	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Personal residence	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> Real Property _____	Street address
_____		City
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	<input type="checkbox"/> Guarantor _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	(Describe)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000		
<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000		
<input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000		

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name Marjan Philhour

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

The Marjestic Corporation

Name
860 41st Avenue, San Francisco CA 94121

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☒ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Consulting

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☒ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24 ____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☒ Sole Proprietorship ☐ _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION **Owner**

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☐ None or ☒ Names listed below

Asian, Inc. - fundraising consulting

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24 ____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining ☐ Other _____

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Data Second, Inc.

Name
860 41st Avenue, San Francisco CA 94121

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☐ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Data Analysis

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☒ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24 ____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☒ Sole Proprietorship ☐ _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION **Spouse's business**

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☒ \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☐ None or ☒ Names listed below

KMM Strategies

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24 ____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining ☐ Other _____

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: _____

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Full Name: Meredith Osborn

[Redacted] Zip Code: 94122

Occupation: Attorney

Work Phone: (415) 471-3140 Employer: Arnold & Porter LLP

Business Address: 3 Embarcadero Ctr. 9th Floor Zip Code: 94111

Business Email: meredith.osborn@arnoldporter.com Home Email: [Redacted]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐ If No, place of residence: _____
18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

I am a lifelong resident of San Francisco. I was raised in San Francisco's Mission District in the 1980s and 1990s and attended public elementary and middle schools. My middle school, Horace Mann (now Buena Vista Horace Mann) was majority-minority, and had a significant population of newcomer students learning English as a second language. In high school, I served as Supervisor Tom Ammiano's appointee to the San Francisco Youth Commission, where I advocated for the creation of San Francisco's first LGBTQ+ homeless youth shelter. I am now raising my own three daughters in the Inner Sunset neighborhood, while my mother continues to age-in-place in the house where I grew up. All three of my daughters attend the nation's first public Chinese immersion school, Alice Fong Yu. As the head of a family struggling to raise children in an ever-more expensive city (and during a pandemic), I have found our school community to be a place of support and growth. Our family has participated in traditions including marching in the Lunar New Year parade and traveling to China on a school-sponsored cultural immersion trip. I consider myself an ally to San Francisco's immigrant and LGBTQ+ communities, and representative of the city's working families. I am also the proud owner of a rescue dog, an avid park lover and runner, and a city cyclist.

Business and/or Professional Experience:

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP, Partner, 2023 - present
Litigation partner in White Collar Defense and Investigations practice group.
CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Chief Trial Deputy, 2020 – 2023
Managed team of 24 attorneys and 14 staff members responsible for handling civil defensive litigation for city agencies and departments and their employees in state and federal courts. Assigned and supervised over 500 active cases, while personally litigating individual high-exposure cases.
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, Assistant United States Attorney, 2014 – 2019
Investigated and prosecuted multi-defendant conspiracies involving money laundering, fraud, and related charges. First-chaired two jury trials and one bench trial resulting in guilty verdicts. Managed large-scale investigations involving extensive use of federal legal process, including orders sought under the Stored Communications Act and the Federal Pen Register Statute. Wrote 26 briefs and argued 8 criminal cases before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU, Enforcement Attorney, 2011 – 2014
Represented government agency in regulatory investigations and enforcement actions involving violations of consumer protection laws, including privacy laws, fair lending laws, and consumer payments protections. Developed and implemented strategies for managing and resolving regulatory inquiries and enforcement actions. Obtained millions of dollars in restitution for American consumers. Lead attorney for Bureau enforcement action against debt-relief company establishing abusive acts and practices under Dodd-Frank Act for the first time. Developed internal office policy manual for handling all aspects of investigations and enforcement actions, including joint civil and criminal investigations. Received annual award for contributions to the Office of Enforcement in 2012 and 2013.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, Policy Advisor, 2010 – 2011
Part of small team that planned and implemented enforcement function for new federal agency. Drafted and negotiated interagency agreements with state and federal agencies.
Served as special assistant to Assistant Director for Office of Enforcement Richard Cordray (later Director of the CFPB), advising him ahead of strategic planning sessions with federal agency counterparts. Drafted speeches and talking points for his public appearances.

Civic Activities:

Chair, Judiciary Committee, San Francisco Bar Association, 2024 – present (member since 2023)
Board of Directors, Northern District of California Historical Society, 2024 – present
Adjunct Professor, University of California College of Law San Francisco, 2019 – 2024
Board of Directors, Bay Area Chapter of the American Constitution Society, 2018 – 2021
Barrister, Edward J. McFetridge American Inn of Court, 2017 – 2019
Executive Committee, San Francisco Bar Association Litigation Section, 2013 – 2014
California Bar Consumer Financial Services Committee, 2014
Member, Citizens Advisory Committee, Office of Early Childhood Education, 2016-2018
Member, San Francisco Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee, 2014-2018
Member, SF Parents (formerly Decreasing the Distance), 2020 - present
Member, WalkSF, 2024 - present
Volunteer, Glide Memorial Church and SF-Marin Foodbank, intermittent

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 4/17/2025

Applicant's Signature (required):

Meredith Osborn

Digitally signed by Meredith Osborn
Date: 2025.04.17 11:47:34 -07'00'

(Manually sign or type your complete name.)

NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

Your Position

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

State

Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

Multi-County

County of

City of

Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

-or-

The period covered is / , through
December 31, 2024.

Assuming Office: Date assumed / /

Leaving Office: Date Left / /
(Check one circle below.)

The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

The period covered is / / , through
the date of leaving office.

Candidate: Date of Election and office sought, if different than Part 1:

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page:

Schedules attached

Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule attached

Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule attached

Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached

Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached

Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached

Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached

-or- None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER EMAIL ADDRESS
()

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed (month, day, year)

Signature (File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
(Including Rental Income)

Name _____

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

CITY _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
\$2,000 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 / / 24 / / 24
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST

Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement

Leasehold _____
Yrs. remaining Other _____

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

None

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

CITY _____

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
\$2,000 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 / / 24 / / 24
\$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST

Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement

Leasehold _____
Yrs. remaining Other _____

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

\$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

None

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
_____% None _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE TERM (Months/Years)
_____% None _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: San Francisco Police Commission

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Full Name: Neil Patrick Hallinan

[Redacted] Zip Code: 94118

Occupation: Attorney at Law

Work Phone: _____ Employer: Self

Business Address: 345 Franklin Street, San Francisco Zip Code: 94102

Business Email: neil@hallinanlawfirm.com Home Email: [Redacted]

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐ If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

The first community that comes to mind is that of greater San Francisco. Like all San Franciscans, on occasion I have needed the assistance of law enforcement: sometimes I benefited from a swift and compassionate response; other times I have been harmed by late or no response, due either to the department's lack of resources or an officer's apathy. As a criminal defense attorney of almost 18 years, I have represented numerous clients arrested by the SFPD, sometimes wrongfully, and thus I have built a career around testing and challenging the credibility of police and am adept at flushing mistruths in testimony. But I also know many members of the police department: a few with whom I grew up, who I consider friends; and a handful with whom I have become friendly on a professional basis – working on opposite sides of multiple cases, but as professionals who understand each has a job to do, without whom our justice system would not function. Many clients and some with whom I grew up come from neighborhoods where antagonistic relationships between the police and the community are endemic – where police suspicion extends well beyond specific individuals of interest, and where community-wide distrust of police is rampant. Through my life experience, I have become intimately familiar with this diverse array of communities, such that I understand the proper balance between acknowledging the difficulty of being an effective and honorable police officer versus the need to hold police to the high standard we should expect of anybody who chooses to pursue a career in law enforcement and the power that comes with it.

My qualifications are informed by my uniquely San Franciscan background and experience. I am a 4th generation San Franciscan, of Irish and Jewish decent, born in the city just like both of my parents and all four of my grandparents. All my forefathers left their respective homes on opposite sides of Europe to escape oppression and poverty. An Irish great grandfather became a streetcar driver on Market Street; his family was evicted from their apartment in the aftermath of the 1906 earthquake to make room for, and collect higher rents from wealthier residents who had been displaced by the fire. A great great grandfather on my Jewish side was an immigrant carpenter struggling to raise a family of four on the Upper East Side of Manhattan, who heeded the call to builders across the continental United States to come to help rebuild our city, moving here in 1906, followed by his wife and children who arrived by train on April 18, 1907 – the first anniversary of the quake. The lessons of their experiences – persecution, poverty, immigration, and the values of good education, hard work, family and community – were never lost on their descendants, including myself. San Francisco has provided the community in which my family was allowed to thrive and succeed without ever betraying those lessons. My wife, also born and raised in San Francisco, is a first generation Mexican American who grew up in the Mission District; we met at Sacred Heart Cathedral Prep in 1992. For the first 9 years of our marriage, we rented an apartment downstairs from the apartment where she grew up, which her family still rented. Her neighbors became my neighbors, her family and friends became my family and friends. Despite both of us finding success in legal careers, we remain renters in the city in which we both grew up. Living in the Mission, I witnessed how police conduct their work there, informed by characteristics unique to the Mission District. The differences included the types of patrols required in the Mission, and the ways some department members responded to community members - sometimes for better, sometimes for worse.

Business and/or Professional Experience: 18 Years as a Criminal Defense Attorney

As stated, I am a criminal defense attorney, representing numerous clients with pending cases at 850 Bryant, having represented close to one hundred others over the last 8 years. I served as a public defender for 10 years, between two other counties, and I have been in private practice in San Francisco since April 2018. My first job upon college graduation was at the Board of Supervisors, from 2000-2001. Between working at City Hall, at 850 Bryant, and living in San Francisco for the vast majority of my life, I have had tremendous and insightful experience with the SFPD.

I understand law enforcement from the perspective of a criminal defense attorney, but I also know enough police officers and Sheriff's deputies on a personal level to understand that oversight requires the right combination of 1) understanding policy and 2) empathizing with the people to whom any such policies apply and/or affect - which policies require strict application and enforcement, and which should allow for flexibility and second chances.

Civic Activities:

I was active in local civics between my college graduation in 2000 and the beginning of law school in 2003. I have been eager to re-engage.


Since then, I have been on two non-profit boards, including the San Francisco Criminal Trial Lawyers Association, of which I have been president for three years.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☐ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 04/16/2025

Applicant's Signature (required):



(Manually sign or type your complete name.)

NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
Hallinan Neil Patrick

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

San Francisco Police Department

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

San Francisco Police Commission

Your Position

Applicant, Seat 3

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: Position:

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County

☐ County of

☐ City of

☒ Other City & County of San Francisco

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☐ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left / /
(Check one circle below.)

-or-

The period covered is / / , through
December 31, 2024.

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☒ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed 04 / 30 / 2025

☐ The period covered is / / , through
the date of leaving office.

☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election and office sought, if different than Part 1:

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page:

Schedules attached

☒ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or- ☐ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule**

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)

345 Franklin Street

San Francisco

CA

94102

DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER

(415) 837-3449

EMAIL ADDRESS

neil@hallinanlawfirm.com

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 04/16/2025

(month, day, year)

Signature

(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Hallinan Law Firm

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Alameda County Employee Retirement Agency

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☒ Other Public Employee Retirement System
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Sacramento County Employee Retirement System

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Public Employee Retirement System

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other Retirement
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Charles Schwab

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Investment Banking

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☒ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☒ Other SEP IRA
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Empower RET

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Investment Banking

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☒ Other Deferred Comp (457(b))
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Salesforce, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☒ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☐ Stock ☐ Other _____
(Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments:

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name _____

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Hallinan Law Firm

Name

345 Franklin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☒ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☒ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☒ Sole Proprietorship ☐ _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Sole Proprietor, Attorney at Law

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☒ OVER \$100,000
☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☒ None or ☐ Names listed below

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☒ REAL PROPERTY

345 Franklin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☒ Leasehold 0
Yrs. remaining ☐ Other _____

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Name

Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one

☐ Trust, go to 2 ☐ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$0 - \$1,999
☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

☐ Partnership ☐ Sole Proprietorship ☐ _____ Other

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)

☐ None or ☐ Names listed below

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:

☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or
Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or
City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

____/____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold _____
Yrs. remaining ☐ Other _____

☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: #4 pertains to office rental

SCHEDULE C
Income, Loans, & Business
Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED	▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME <u>Hallinan Law Firm</u>	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) <u>345 Franklin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102</u>	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE <u>Sole Law Practice, Criminal Defense</u>	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION <u>Sole Proprietor, Attorney at Law</u>	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Legal Services</u> _____ (Describe)	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* 	INTEREST RATE _____% <input type="checkbox"/> None	TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 	SECURITY FOR LOAN <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Personal residence	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER 	<input type="checkbox"/> Real Property _____ Street address _____ City	
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	<input type="checkbox"/> Guarantor _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)	

Comments: _____

4/30/2025

TO
The Board of Supervisors ,
City Hall , San Francisco CA

Re : Application to Police Commission Seat #3.

Dear Supervisors ,

I would like to put forward my candidature for the Police Commission.

I have been living in San Francisco for 12 years and worked in the the Tenderloin community for 16 years .

As a community organizer in Tenderloin i have collaborated with SFPD, City departments and the community to come up with creative policy solutions to improve public safety, e.g. the Store Hour Legislation (pilot project).

I have been very engaged in voicing the community concerns in a constructive manner while working with SFPD and other City departments to come up with solutions works for all in safety issues.

My strengths are being able to build trust using direct and open communication and being able to include diverse viewpoints.

I will support SFPD to carry out their work in the community using my strengths of building relationships and create a culture of trust and bi-directional communication.

I have listed several initiatives i was able to lead and participate in the DMACC area in my application that demonstrates my track record.

I am eager, motivated and honored to serve the City if i given the opportunity to do so .

I look forward to the opportunity to present my candidate in person.

Sincerely
Pratibha Tekkey



BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Police Commision

Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 3

Full Name: Pratibha Pisharam Tekkey




Zip Code: 94127

Community Organzier

Work Phone: _____ Employer: Tenderloin Housing Clinic

Business Address: 472 Ellis Street , San Francisco, ca Zip Code: 94102

Business Email: pratibha@thclinic.org Home Email 

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.

Resident of San Francisco: Yes ☒ No ☐ If No, place of residence: _____

18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ☒ No ☐

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

please see attachment #1

Business and/or Professional Experience:

Please see Attachment #2 Resume

Civic Activities:

Board Member of TLCBD (Tenderloin Community Benifits District) 2015-2019
TLCBD is leading the evolution of the Tenderloin neighborhood into vibrant communtiy for all !
side walk cleaning , safety in parks and street . Supporting small buiness in the Tenderloin.

Board Member of La Cocina -2019-2020
La Cocina provides affordable commerical kitchen space , industry - specific technical assistance and access to market opportunities to women from communities of color and immigrant communtites.

Board Member of Miraloma Improvement Club (MPIC) 2016-2018
The Miraloma improvement Club works fo sustain a high quality of life in Miraloma park , a neighbourhood of 2,200 home on the slopes of Mt Davidson in San francisco , MPIC informs, celebrates and advocates for the neighbourhood . MPIC partners with City agencies to keep the neighrhood safe . clean and resilient during disaster.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ☒ No ☐

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date: 4/30/25 Applicant's Signature (required): _____

(Manually sign or type your complete name.

NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: _____ Term Expires: _____ Date Vacated: _____

Attachment #1

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

I immigrated to United States from India about 26 years ago and graduated from SFSU with a bachelor's in SW, I have spent my entire professional career in community organizing.

I started my career as UN Volunteer in Nepal where I worked on women's economic empowerment and Non Profit sustainability through funding , training , building partnership between Community and Government .

Worked for 7 years as a union organizer ,organizing health care workers throughout California and fighting for their first Union contract

I learnt fundamentals about organizing, representation and community work during that time which I carried to working as Community organizer in Tenderloin Community for now 16 years . Apart from working on Tenant rights working with SRO and families in Tenderloin and SOMA , I worked on

- Building bridges between Community and SFPD (Tenderloin Station) turning out residents to coffee with cops
- Several Merchant walks to introduce Captain and officers to the business owners so they can build better relationship and understand the Community they are serving .
- Coordinating Community meetings between Captain and residents of blocks where we have safety issues on the sidewalk ie 600 blocks of Ellis ,
- Worked with residents from the community to positively activate the unsafe Tenderloin parks monthly for 2 years (Sgt Macauley on Larkin and O' Farrell Street) until finally City renovated the park . It was part of the take back the park campaign .
- Hosted many Take Back the Block along with the residents and business owners of the blocks on 600 Eddy , 400 Turk , unit block of Turk to reclaim the sidewalk from the illegal and unsafe activities .
- Worked with the residents and other community partners to push for installation 100 historic pedestrian lights in the Tenderloin to improve safety in the Tenderloin in the night .
- Few years ago worked with the DA's office to bring victim services staff to have office hours twice a month in the Tenderloin in our office so community members don't have to travel far and felt comfortable to access services in their own community .
- Over the years worked on number of policy and legislation that would help to keep Tenderloin vibrant , safe and clean neighborhood for example TPE legislation , Neon sign legislation and Store hours legislation.

In working in all the above initiatives i worked with immigrant families , seniors , SRO tenants and business owners .

PRATIBHA TEKKEY

COMMUNITY ORGANIZER

PROFILE

More than 22 years of experience as community and labor organizer in the USA and Nepal. Crafted and led multiple community based programs to address issues and create new opportunities for community members. Planned and delivered projects to create sustainable organizations and communities

AREAS OF EXPERTISE

- Developing and leading union organizing campaigns
- Developing and training community leaders
- Planning and organizing mass action
- Communication strategies
- Government, NGO and private sector fund raising

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Social Work

San Francisco State University
San Francisco, CA USA

Bachelor of Science

Mt Carmel College
Bangalore, India

Languages: English | Hindi | Nepali
Malayalam | Bengali

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Tenderloin Housing Clinic. San Francisco, CA 2009 - Present **Director of Organizing**

THC is a nonprofit organization that helps low-income San Francisco residents prevent homelessness and access housing opportunities by offering permanent and transitional accommodation, legal assistance, money management, community organizing, and more.

- Manage the organizing department
 - Managing 12 staff, 30 Community leaders
 - Budget over a US\$1.5M a year
 - Helped and led legislative solutions to address issues in the community
 - Department serves over 1000 Community members a year
 - Oversee food insecurity issues in the community by offering weekly food distribution
 - Managed 3 different Community outreach programs
 - Advocated and led campaigns to increase more safe open spaces to be used by the Community
 - Led Community benefits negotiations with Market rate housing developers.
- Develop and nurture relations with government agencies, other nonprofits, community-based organizations (CBO), neighborhood groups, elected officials, landlords, and tenants.
- Work with the Executive Director and funders to develop systems and procedures
- Oversee the contractual obligations
- Developed evaluation matrix for various programs

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE, CONTINUED

SEIU United Healthcare Workers. Oakland, CA

2003 - 2009

Lead Organizer

Service Employees International Union-United Healthcare Workers West (SEIU-UHW) is a healthcare justice union of more than 100,000 healthcare workers, patients, and healthcare consumers united to ensure affordable, accessible, high-quality care for all Californians, provided by valued and respected healthcare workers.

- Led a team of 15 organizers across five health care facilities, facilitating union formation and securing the first contract for 500 employees.
- Organized several Health Care facility workers into forming a union and fighting for first contract Health Care facility throughout California. (Hospitals, Nursing homes and Assisted living facility)
- Worked with workers on a successful statewide legislation campaign AB1629, to increase funding for nursing homes from the state to provide better care for residents and workers. Signed by Governor
- Ran Multi hospital Union Organizing campaign which required to build strong coalition between workers and community members who benefited from the hospital

Domestic Development Service worker - UNPD Nepal

1993 - 1995

- Worked with a NGO Rajapur, Nepal to promote sustainability of the organization.
- Trained the members to assess the needs of the community, build programs or projects to help the community and involve community in the program and projects to develop ownership.
- Key results: Organized free health clinics for women in multiple rural areas.
- Secured funding from international donors and government and organized: Build skill training program for women resulting in women being self-employed in their community.
- Training for local level NGO members officers on managing not-for-profit organizations.
- Secured funding from Canadian Cooperation and built primary school building in one of the villages.

ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES

Board Member of TLCBD (Tenderloin Community Benefits District)

2015-2019

TLCBD is leading the evolution of the Tenderloin neighborhood into a vibrant community for all! We are on the streets, in the parks, and cleaning every day, 365 days a year we provide stewardship of all three parks in the Tenderloin, provide safe passage escorts to children,

Board Member of La Cocina

2019-2020

La Cocina provides affordable commercial kitchen space, industry-specific technical assistance and access to market opportunities to women from communities of color and immigrant communities.

Board Member of MPIC (Miraloma Park improvement Club)

2016-2018

The Miraloma Park Improvement Club works to sustain a high quality of life in Miraloma Park, a neighborhood of 2,200 homes on the slopes of Mt. Davidson in San Francisco. MPIC informs, celebrates and advocates for the neighborhood. MPIC partners with City agencies to keep the neighborhood safe, clean and resilient during disaster

2024-2025 Statement of Economic Interests



Form 700

A Public Document

Table of Contents

Quick Start Guide	p.2
What to Know	p.3
Types of Statements.....	p.5
Cover Page and Schedules	
Cover Page	p.6
Schedule A-1 (<i>Investments</i>).....	p.8
Schedule A-2 (<i>Business Entities/Trusts</i>)	p.10
Schedule B (<i>Real Property</i>)	p.12
Schedule C (<i>Income</i>)	p.14
Schedule D (<i>Gifts</i>).....	p.16
Schedule E (<i>Travel Payments</i>).....	p.18
Restrictions and Prohibitions.....	p.20
Q & A	p.21

Helpful Resources

- Video Tutorials
- Reference Pamphlet
- Excel Version
- FAQs
- Gift and Travel Fact Sheet for State and Local Officials

California Fair Political Practices Commission

1102 Q Street, Suite 3050 • Sacramento, CA 95811

Email Advice: advice@fppc.ca.gov

Toll-free advice line: 1 (866) ASK-FPPC • 1 (866) 275-3772

Telephone: (916) 322-5660 • Website: www.fppc.ca.gov

December 2024

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS
COVER PAGE
A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE)
TEKKEY PRATIBHA PISHARAM

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

Your Position

APPLICANT TO THE COMMISSION #3

► If filing for multiple positions, list below or on an attachment. (Do not use acronyms)

Agency: **n/a** Position: _____

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

☐ State

☐ Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner
(Statewide Jurisdiction)

☐ Multi-County _____

☒ County of **SAN FRANCISCO**

☒ City of **SAN FRANCISCO**

☐ Other _____

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

☒ **Annual:** The period covered is January 1, 2024, through
December 31, 2024.

☐ **Leaving Office:** Date Left ____/____/_____
(Check one circle below.)

-or-

The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
December 31, 2024.

☐ The period covered is January 1, 2024, through the date of
leaving office.

-or-

☐ **Assuming Office:** Date assumed ____/____/____

☐ The period covered is ____/____/_____, through
the date of leaving office.

☐ **Candidate:** Date of Election _____ and office sought, if different than Part 1: _____

4. Schedule Summary (required)

► Total number of pages including this cover page: _____

Schedules attached

☒ **Schedule A-1 - Investments** – schedule attached

☒ **Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule A-2 - Investments** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule D - Income – Gifts** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule B - Real Property** – schedule attached

☐ **Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments** – schedule attached

-or- ☐ **None - No reportable interests on any schedule**

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
(Business or Agency Address Recommended - Public Document)				
277 MARIETTA DRIVE		SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94127
DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER		EMAIL ADDRESS		
(510) 333-2170		pratibha.tekkey@gmail.com		

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed _____
(month, day, year)

Signature _____
(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Investments must be itemized.

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

Name

Pratibha Tekkey

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

American Tower Crop

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

wireless infrastructure company

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Amgen Inc

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Biotech company

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Amphenol Crop Class A

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

electrtical and fiber optics manufacture

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Blackstone Inc

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Asset management company

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Broadcom Inc

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Semiconductor company

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

Cisco System

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Tech Company

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000 ☒ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 ☐ Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT

- ☒ Stock ☐ Other _____ (Describe)
☐ Partnership ☐ Income Received of \$0 - \$499
☐ Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

 / / 24 / / 24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: please see attachment # 3

SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets
of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name Pratibha Tekkey

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
N/A	
Name _____	
Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____	
Check one <input type="checkbox"/> Trust, go to 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/24 ____/_____/24 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____	

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000	<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> None or <input type="checkbox"/> Names listed below	

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Check one box: <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> REAL PROPERTY	
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property _____	
Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/24 ____/_____/24 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership	
<input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
N/A	
Name _____	
Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____	
Check one <input type="checkbox"/> Trust, go to 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2	
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$1,999 <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/24 ____/_____/24 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Sole Proprietorship <input type="checkbox"/> _____ Other	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____	

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$0 - \$499 <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000	<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> None or <input type="checkbox"/> Names listed below	

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Check one box: <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> REAL PROPERTY	
Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property _____	
Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property _____	
FAIR MARKET VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> \$2,000 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000	IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE: _____/_____/24 ____/_____/24 ACQUIRED DISPOSED
NATURE OF INTEREST <input type="checkbox"/> Property Ownership/Deed of Trust <input type="checkbox"/> Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership	
<input type="checkbox"/> Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached	

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
(Including Rental Income)

Name
Pratibha Tekkey

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

N/A

CITY

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

- ☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold _____
Yrs. remaining ☐ _____
Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

☐ None

► ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

N/A

CITY

FAIR MARKET VALUE

- ☐ \$2,000 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000
☐ \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
☐ Over \$1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:

_____/_____/24 _____/_____/24
ACQUIRED DISPOSED

NATURE OF INTEREST

- ☐ Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Easement
☐ Leasehold _____
Yrs. remaining ☐ _____
Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

- ☐ \$0 - \$499 ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.

☐ None

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE

TERM (Months/Years)

_____% ☐ None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER*

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

INTEREST RATE

TERM (Months/Years)

_____% ☐ None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- ☐ \$500 - \$1,000 ☐ \$1,001 - \$10,000
☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
☐ Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C
Income, Loans, & Business
Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name Pratibha Tekkey

▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED	▶ 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME Tenderloin Housing Clinic	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME Beeline
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 126 Hyde Street , SF , CA 94102	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) 5011 Gate Parkway, Jacksonville, FL 32256
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE Non Profit Permanent Supportive Housing	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE Tech Company
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION Director of Community Organizing	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION VP - Product
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> No Income - Business Position Only <input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Salary <input type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/> Salary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.) <input type="checkbox"/> Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Loan repayment <input type="checkbox"/> Commission or <input type="checkbox"/> Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more _____ (Describe) <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ (Describe)

▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from a commercial lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER*	INTEREST RATE	TERM (Months/Years)
_____	_____ % <input type="checkbox"/> None	_____
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	SECURITY FOR LOAN	
_____	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Personal residence	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> Real Property _____	Street address
_____		City
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	<input type="checkbox"/> Guarantor _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> \$500 - \$1,000	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	(Describe)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$1,001 - \$10,000		
<input type="checkbox"/> \$10,001 - \$100,000		
<input type="checkbox"/> OVER \$100,000		

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE D

Income – Gifts

<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>N/A</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div>N/A</div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div></div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div></div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div></div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	<div> <div>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</div> <div></div> <div>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</div> <div>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</div> </div> <table> <tr> <th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th> <th>VALUE</th> <th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>___/___/___</td> <td>\$ _____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____	___/___/___	\$ _____	_____
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							
___/___/___	\$ _____	_____																							

Comments:

SCHEDULE E
Income – Gifts
Travel Payments, Advances,
and Reimbursements

Name

Pratibha Tekkey

- Mark either the gift or income box.
- Mark the “501(c)(3)” box for a travel payment received from a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization or the “Speech” box if you made a speech or participated in a panel. Per Government Code Section 89506, these payments may not be subject to the gift limit. However, they may result in a disqualifying conflict of interest.
- For gifts of travel, provide the travel destination.

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

CITY AND STATE

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____
(If gift)

► MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description _____

► If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

N/A

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

CITY AND STATE

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____
(If gift)

► MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description _____

► If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

CITY AND STATE

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____
(If gift)

► MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description _____

► If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

► NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

CITY AND STATE

☐ 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE(S): ____/____/____ - ____/____/____ AMT: \$_____
(If gift)

► MUST CHECK ONE: ☐ Gift **-or-** ☐ Income

☐ Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel

☐ Other - Provide Description _____

► If Gift, Provide Travel Destination _____

Comments: _____

June 10, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Community Support for Pratibha Tekkey's Appointment to the SF Police Commission

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a coalition of institutions, residents, and neighborhood stakeholders, we write to express our unified and **enthusiastic support for the appointment of Pratibha Tekkey to the San Francisco Police Commission**. Pratibha has dedicated over 16 years of frontline service in San Francisco, with a specific emphasis on the Tenderloin neighborhood, bringing together tenants, small businesses, families, and City agencies to address public safety, housing justice, and equitable neighborhood development.

Through her leadership at the Central City SRO Collaborative, she has played a pivotal role in facilitating trust, accountability, and constructive collaboration between residents and the San Francisco Police Department. From organizing *Take Back the Block* and *Take Back the Park* initiatives, to co-developing the Tenderloin Store Hours legislation, and advocating for pedestrian safety infrastructure, Pratibha has demonstrated a deep, practical understanding of what community-centered public safety can look like. She has repeatedly shown the ability to listen with empathy, mediate across sectors, and elevate community voice into meaningful, systemic action.

Her lived experience as an immigrant woman of color, her long-standing relationships with working-class and underrepresented communities, and her commitment to integrity, accountability, transparency, and collaboration uniquely position her to serve effectively and with integrity on the Police Commission. She brings not only policy experience, but also relational trust built through decades of grassroots organizing. We believe that her presence on the Police Commission would be a powerful step toward inclusive governance, equitable policing, and stronger ties between law enforcement and the neighborhoods they serve. We urge you to support her appointment and ensure that this vital seat reflects the voices of those most impacted by public safety decisions.

We thank you for your leadership and consideration.


In solidarity,

Community Institutions, Residents, and Neighborhood Stakeholders of San Francisco



UC Law San Francisco





O'Farrell Neighbors Group (ONG)

Individual Support

Peter Gallotta, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Kim Hopper, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Rachel Johnson, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Barbara Swan, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Rudy Corpuz
Jane Weil
Chris Schulman
Shakirah Smiley
Calen McEndowny
Helene Sautou
Bayron Wilson

*Organization included for identification purposes only



POLICE COMMISSION

The below listed summary of seats, term expirations and membership information shall serve as notice of vacancies, upcoming term expirations, and information on currently held seats, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Appointments by other bodies are listed, if available.

Seat numbers listed as "VACANT" are open for immediate appointment. However, you are able to submit applications for all seats and your application will be maintained for one year, in the event that an unexpected vacancy or opening occurs.

Membership and Seat Qualifications

Seat #	Appointing Authority	Seat Holder	Term Ending	Qualification
1	BOS	Cindy Elias	4/30/27	Must be nominated by the Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee and subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. Term: 4-years
2	BOS	Kevin Michael Benedicto	4/30/26	
3	BOS	VACANT	4/30/29	
4	Mayor	C. Don Clay	4/30/28	Must be nominated by the Mayor with at least one retired judge or an attorney with trial experience. Term: 4-years
5	Mayor	W.E. Wilson Leung	4/30/28	
6	Mayor	Larry Yee	4/30/26	
7	Mayor	Mattie Scott	4/30/26	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (BOS) APPLICATION FORMS AVAILABLE HERE

- English - https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application.pdf
- 中文 - https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_CHI.pdf
- Español - https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_SPA.pdf
- Filipino - https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy_application_FIL.pdf

(For seats appointed by other Authorities please contact the Board / Commission / Committee / Task Force (see below) or the appointing authority directly.)

FORM 700 FILING REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to the Board of Supervisors Rules of Order all applicants applying for this body must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700). Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 is not received.

FORM 700 AVAILABLE HERE (Required)
<https://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html>

THE APPLICATION PROCESS

Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, a vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if a vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184.

Next Steps: Applicants who meet minimum qualifications will be contacted by the Rules Committee Clerk once the Rules Committee Chair determines the date of the hearing. Members of the Rules Committee will consider the appointment(s) at the meeting and applicant(s) may be asked to state their qualifications. The appointment of the individual(s) who is recommended by the Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

The Police Commission shall consist of seven (7) members:

- Three (3) members shall be nominated by the Rules Committee of the Board of Supervisors; and
- Four (4) members nominated by the Mayor, at least one (1) shall be a retired judge or an attorney with trial experience.

Each nomination shall be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. The Mayor's nominations shall be the subject of a public hearing and vote within 60 days. If the Board of Supervisors rejects the Mayor's nomination to fill the seat designated for a retired judge or attorney with trial experience, the Mayor shall nominate a different person with such qualifications. If the Board of Supervisors fails to act on a mayoral nomination within 60 days from the date the nomination is transmitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the nominee shall be deemed confirmed.

To stagger the terms of the seven members, of the first four members nominated by the Mayor, two members shall serve two year terms and two members shall serve terms of four years; and of the three members nominated by the Rules Committee, one member shall serve a term of one year, one member shall serve a term of two years, and one member shall serve a term of three years. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall designate such initial terms by lot. All subsequent appointments to the commission shall be for four-year terms.

The tenure of each member shall terminate upon the expiration of the member's term. The Mayor shall transmit a nomination or re-nomination to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors no later than 60 days prior to the expiration of the term of a member nominated by the Mayor. For vacancies occurring for reasons other than the expiration of a member's term, within 60 days following the creation of such vacancy, the Mayor shall nominate a member to fill such vacancy if the vacancy is for a seat filled by nomination of the Mayor.

The District Attorney, Sheriff, and Public Defender may recommend persons to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors for nomination or appointment to the Commission.

The Mayor, with the consent of the Board, may remove a member the Mayor has nominated. The Board of Supervisors may remove a member the Rules Committee has nominated.

The Police Commission oversees the Police Department and the Office of Citizen Complaints (OCC). The OCC investigates complaints of police misconduct and neglect of duty. The Director of the OCC may verify and file disciplinary charges with the Police Commission against members of the Police Department arising out of citizen complaints that are sustained by the OCC after meeting and conferring with the Chief of Police.

Holdover Limit: There can be no holdover tenure for members of the Commission.

(Charter § 4.109.) The Mayor must submit a nomination or renomination to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors no later than 60 days before the expiration of the term of a mayoral appointee. (Charter § 4.109.) For vacancies occurring for reasons other than expiration of a member's term, within 60 days following the creation of the vacancy, the Mayor must submit a nomination to fill the vacancy, if it is for one of the mayoral seats on the Commission. (Charter § 4.109.)

Authority: Charter, Sections 4.109 and 4.127 (Proposition H, November 4, 2003)

Sunset Date: None

Contact: Stacy Youngblood
Police Department
1245 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7070
stacy.a.youngblood@sfgov.org

Updated: May 13, 2025



Gender Analysis
San Francisco Commissions and Boards
FY 2020-2021



London N. Breed
Mayor

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



Dear Honorable Mayor London N. Breed and Board of Supervisors:

Please find attached the 2021 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards Report. We are pleased to share that under Mayor Breed's leadership, representation of women, people of color, and women of color on policy bodies continues to increase. Mayoral appointments are more diverse based on gender and race compared to both supervisorial appointments and appointments in general.

Overall, policy bodies have a larger percentage of women, members of the LGBTQIA+ community, and Veterans¹ than the general San Francisco population. The percentage of women of color and people with disabilities appointed to policy bodies is near equal to the general population. Fiscal year 2020-2021 saw the largest increase in representation of women on policy bodies since the Department on the Status of Women started collecting data in 2009. Women of color have the highest representation of appointees to date.

Black and African American women and men are notably well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 8 percent of appointees compared to 2.4 percent of the general San Francisco population, and Black men are 4 percent of appointees compared to 2.5 percent of the general San Francisco population. Additionally, almost 1-in-4 appointees who responded to the survey question identify as a member of the LGBTQIA+ community.

Commissions that oversee the largest budgets have members of the LGBTQIA+ community, people with disabilities, and Veterans represented at higher percentages than the general population.

While San Francisco continues to make strides in diversity, there is still work to do in achieving parity of representation for Latinx and Asian groups in appointed positions overall, as well as women, people of color, and women of color on Commissions overseeing the largest budgets. The Department applauds Mayor Breed for remaining committed to diversifying policy body appointments across all diversity categories, including for positions of influence and authority.

Thank you to Department staff who worked on this report and to members of the Commission on the Status of Women for their ongoing advocacy for intersectional gender equity efforts.

Kimberly Ellis, Director of the Department on the Status of Women

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kim Ellis".

¹ "Veterans" refers to people who have served and/or have an immediate family member who has served in the military.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....	1
II. Findings	2
A. Gender.....	2
B. Race and Ethnicity.....	5
C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender	8
D. LGBTQIA+ Identity	10
E. Disability Status	11
F. Veteran Status	12
G. Policy Bodies by Budget.....	14
H. Comparison of Advisory Body, Commission, and Board Demographics	16
I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees.....	17
J. Religious Affiliations	18
III. Methodology and Limitations.....	19
IV. Conclusion	21
V. Appendix.....	23
VI. Acknowledgements.....	29

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2021.....	2
Figure 2: 12-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies.....	2
Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2021 Compared to 2017 and 2019.....	3
Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2021 Compared to 2017 and 2019.....	4
Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest Percentage of Women, 2021.....	4
Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies.....	5
Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2021	6
Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2021 Compared to 2019 and 2017	7
Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2021 Compared to 2019 and 2017	7
Figure 10: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies	8
Figure 11: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2021.....	9
Figure 12: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019.....	9
Figure 13: LGBTQIA+ Identity of Appointees, 2021	10
Figure 14: LGBTQIA+ Population of Appointees, 2019.....	11
Figure 15: Disability Status of Appointees, 2021.....	11
Figure 16: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender Identity, 2021.....	12
Figure 17: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2019	13
Figure 18: Appointees with Military Service, 2021	13
Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service by Gender, 2021.....	14
Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2020-2021	15
Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2021.....	15
Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2021.....	16
Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2021	17
Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2021.....	18
Figure 25: Religious Affiliations of Appointees, 2021.....	19
Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021	23
Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017	28

Executive Summary

In 2008, San Francisco voters approved a City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) establishing as City policy for the membership of Commissions and Boards to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population and appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates. Additionally, it requires the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The 2021 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards Report (2021 Gender Analysis Report) evaluates representation of the following groups across appointments to San Francisco policy bodies:

- Women
- People of color
- LGBTQIA+ individuals
- People with disabilities
- Veterans (or people who have immediate family members that have served)
- Various religious affiliations

The report includes policy bodies such as task forces, committees, and Advisory Bodies, in addition to Commissions and Boards.

This year, data was collected from 92 policy bodies and from a total of 349 members, mostly appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. The policy bodies surveyed for the 2021 Gender Analysis Report fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney.² The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The report examines policy bodies and appointees both comprehensively as a whole and separately by the two categories.

Several changes were made to the survey questions for the 2021 Gender Analysis Report. Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (SOGI) categories were aligned with the latest classifications used by the Office of Transgender Initiatives. The classification of Veteran Status was also expanded to include individuals with close family members that have served in the military and armed forces. This addition to Veteran Status was adopted based on feedback from previous reports.

While the overall number of policy bodies that submitted data increased compared to 2019, the total number of individual members who participated in the survey was dramatically less than the number who participated in 2019. Due to the pandemic, data collection methods

² "Sec. 3.1-103. Filing Officers." *American Legal Publishing Corporation*, https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/san_francisco/latest/sf_campaign/0-0-0-979.


were limited compared to previous years, including the ability to conduct paper surveys and in-person meetings. Reliance on online surveying significantly reduced the level of participation, despite three to five direct contact efforts with policy bodies via phone and email. Moving forward, in addition to collecting data through paper/in-person surveys, when possible, the Department on the Status of Women recommends that all policy body appointees be required to take a training on the Gender Analysis survey process, alongside the required Ethics training, to guarantee participation.

Similarly, due to census data not being collected during COVID-19, updated demographic information on the general population of San Francisco was not available for years more recent than 2019. In this report, data on the San Francisco population references data from previous years (2015-2019) populations.

Key Findings


Gender

- Women's representation on policy bodies is 55%, above parity with the San Francisco female population of 49%.
- FY 2021 oversaw the largest increase in the representation of women on San Francisco policy bodies since 2009.




Race and Ethnicity

- The representation of people of color on policy bodies is 54%. Comparatively, in San Francisco, 62% of the population identifies with a race other than white.
- While the overall representation of people of color has increased since the 2019 report at 50%, representation has still decreased compared to 57% in 2015.
- As found in previous reports, Latinx and Asian groups are underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies as compared to the population. Latinx individuals are 15% of the population but make up only 9% of appointees. Asian individuals are 36% of the population but make up only 26% of appointees.



Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- On the whole, women of color are 32% of the San Francisco population and 32% of appointees. This 4% increase is the highest representation of women of color appointees to date.
- Meanwhile, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.



- Both white women and men are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies. White women are 25% of appointees compared to 17% of the San Francisco population. White men are 21% of appointees compared to 20% of the population.
- Black and African American women and men are well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 8% of appointees compared to 2.4% of the population, and Black men are 4% of appointees compared to 2.5% of the population.
- Latinx women are 7% of the San Francisco population but 4% of appointees, and Latinx men are 7% of the population but 4% of appointees.
- Asian women are 17% of the San Francisco population but 15% of appointees, and Asian men are 15% of the population but 11% of appointees.

Additional Demographics

- Out of the 74% of appointees who responded to the survey question on LGBTQIA+ identity, 23% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, nonbinary, queer, or questioning, and 77% of appointees identify as straight/heterosexual.
- Out of the 70% of appointees who responded to the question on Disability Status, 12.6% identify as having one or more disabilities, which is just above parity of the 12% of the adult population with a Disability Status in San Francisco.
- Out of the 67% of appointees who responded to the question on Veteran Status, 22% have served in the military (or have an immediate family member who has served) compared to 3% of the San Francisco population (census data on military service does not include immediate family members who have served).

Proxies for Influence: Budget and Authority

- Although women are half of all appointees, those Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets have fewer women, and especially fewer women of color. Meanwhile, representation of women on Boards and Commissions with the smallest budgets are just below parity with the San Francisco population.
- Although still underrepresented relative to the San Francisco population, there is a larger percentage of people of color on Commissions and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets compared to overall appointees.
- The percentage of total women is greater on Advisory Bodies than Commissions and Boards. Women are 60% of appointees on Advisory Bodies and 53% of appointees on Commissions and Boards. The percentage of women of color on Advisory Bodies is also higher than on Commissions and Boards.

Appointing Authorities

- Mayoral appointments include 60% women, 59% people of color, and 37% women of color, which is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointments and total appointments.

Demographics of Appointees Compared to the San Francisco Population

	Women	People of Color	Women of Color	LGBTQIA+	Disability Status	Veteran Status
San Francisco Population**	49%	62%	32%	6%-15%*	12%	2.7%
Total Appointees	55%	54%	32%	23%	13%	22%
10 Largest Budgeted Commissions and Boards	43%	44%	21%	16%	15%	20%
10 Smallest Budgeted Commissions and Boards	48%	43%	29%	17%	9%	12%
Commissions and Boards	53%	53%	30%	18%	11%	21%
Advisory Bodies	60%	53%	33%	31%	15%	20%

San Francisco population estimates come from the 2017 and 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection and Analysis Report, 2021.

**Note: Estimates vary by source. See page 16 for a detailed breakdown.*

***Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, updated data is unavailable for race/ethnicity, LGBTQIA+ status, Disability Status, and Veteran Status in 2021. Therefore, the data used to represent the San Francisco population is from the 2019 Gender Analysis Report.*

I. Introduction

Inspired by the fourth U.N. World Conference on Women in Beijing, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women. The CEDAW Ordinance was passed unanimously by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and signed into law by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. on April 13, 1998.³ In 2002, the CEDAW Ordinance was revised to address the intersection of race and gender and incorporate reference to the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Race Discrimination. The Ordinance requires the City to take proactive steps to ensure gender equity and specifies "gender analysis" as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination. Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women has employed this tool to analyze the operations of 10 City Departments using a gender lens.

In 2007, the Department on the Status of Women conducted the first gender analysis to evaluate the number of women appointed to City Commissions and Boards. The findings of this analysis informed a City Charter Amendment developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 Election. This City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) was overwhelmingly approved by voters and made it City policy that:

- The membership of Commissions and Boards are to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population,
- Appointing officials are to be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates, and
- The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The 2021 Gender Analysis Report examines the representation of women, people of color, LGBTQIA+ individuals, people with disabilities, Veterans, and religious affiliations of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. As was the case for the 2019 Gender Analysis Report, this year's analysis involved increased outreach to policy bodies as compared to previous analyses that were limited to Commissions and Boards. As a result, the data collection and analysis examine a more diverse and expansive layout of City policy bodies. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. A detailed description of methodology and limitations can be found on page 27.

³ San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 33.A.
[http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited?](http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited?f=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$anc=JD_Chapter33A)
[f=templates\\$fn=default.htm\\$3.0\\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca\\$anc=JD_Chapter33A](http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited?f=templates$fn=default.htm$3.0$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco_ca$anc=JD_Chapter33A).

II. Findings

Many aspects of San Francisco's diversity are reflected in the overall population of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. The analysis includes data from 92 policy bodies, of which 788 of the 979 seats are filled, leaving 20% vacant. As outlined below in Figure 1, slightly more than half of appointees are women and people of color, 32% are women of color, 23% identify as LGBTQIA+, 13% have a disability, and 22% are Veterans.

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2021


Appointee Demographics	Percentage of Appointees
Women (n=349)	55%
People of Color (n=341)	54%
Women of Color (n=341)	32%
LGBTQIA+ Identifying (n=334)	23%
People with Disabilities (n=349)	13%
Veteran Status (n=349)	22%

However, further analysis reveals underrepresentation of particular groups. Subsequent sections present comprehensive data analysis providing comparison to previous years, detailing the variables of gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQIA+ identity, Disability Status, Veteran Status, religious affiliations, and policy body characteristics of budget size, decision-making authority, and appointment authority.

A. Gender

On San Francisco policy bodies, 55% of appointees identify as women, which is above parity compared to the San Francisco female population of 49%. The representation of women remained stable at 49% from 2013 until 2017, with a slight increase to 51% in 2019. This increase could be partly due to the larger sample size used in the 2019 analysis compared to previous years. A 12-year comparison shows that the representation of women appointees has gradually increased since 2009 by a total of ten percentage points.

Figure 2: 12-year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies



Figures 3 and 4 analyze Commissions and Boards. Figure 3 showcases the five Commissions and Boards with the highest representation of women appointees as compared to 2017 and 2019. The Commission on the Status of Women is currently comprised of all women appointees. This finding has been consistent for the Commission on the Status of Women since 2015. The Aging and Adult Services Commission, Health Commission, and Library Commission are all at 71%, respectively.

Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with the Highest Percentages of Women, 2021 Compared to 2017 and 2019

Policy Body	Percent of Women	Response Rate	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Commission on the Status of Women	100%	100%	100%	100%
Arts Commission	79%	100%	67%	60%
Children and Families (First 5) Commission	75%	75%	100%	100%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	71%	86%	57%	40%
Health Commission	71%	100%	43%	29%
Library Commission	71%	100%	71%	80%

Out of the Commissions and Boards in this section, 6 have 40% or less women. The Commissions and Boards with the lowest representation of women are displayed in Figure 4. The lowest percentage is found on the Board of Examiners, which has 90% of responses from the Board, but 0 members identifying as women. Unfortunately, demographic data is unavailable for the Board of Examiners for 2017, however there was 0% of female representation in 2019 as well. The Police Commission, Human Services Commission, and Access Appeals Commission all have entirely completed the demographics survey at 100%, yet still have some of the lowest percentages of women at 20%. It should be noted that policy bodies with a small number of members, such as the Residential Users Appeal Board (which currently has two members), means that minimal changes in its demographic composition greatly impacts percentages. Additionally, several policy bodies had low response rates to the demographics survey, ultimately impacting the representation for their respective policy body accordingly.

Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2021 Compared to 2017 and 2019

Policy Body	Percent of Women	Response Rate	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Residential Users Appeal Board	0%	50%	0%	N/A
Board of Examiners	0%	90%	0%	N/A
Assessment Appeals Board No. 3	0%	67%	50%	N/A
Assessment Appeals Board No. 2	0%	100%	50%	N/A
Rent Board Commission	10%	60%	44%	30%
Small Business Commission	14%	43%	43%	43%
Retirement System Board	14%	57%	43%	43%
Health Service Board	14%	43%	33%	29%
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	14%	14%	50%	N/A
Treasure Island Development Authority	17%	50%	50%	43%
Public Utilities Commission	20%	60%	67%	40%
Police Commission	20%	100%	43%	29%

Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2021 Compared to 2017 and 2019, Continued

Policy Body	Percent of Women	Response Rate	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Human Services Commission	20%	100%	40%	20%
Access Appeals Commission	20%	100%	N/A	N/A
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	25%	75%	33%	33%
Ethics Commission	25%	25%	100%	33%

**Commission and Boards with 70% response rates or higher are highlighted in grey.*

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of women. This is the second year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years before 2019 is unavailable. Figure 5 below displays the five Advisory Bodies with the highest representations of women. Due to a lack of survey responses from several Advisory Bodies, analysis on the five lowest representations of women is unavailable. The Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory Committee has the greatest representation of women at 67%, followed closely by the Citizen's Committee on Community Development at 63%.


Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest Percentage of Women, 2021

Policy Body	Percent of Women	Response Rate	2019 Percent
Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory Committee	67%	78%	89%
Citizens' Committee on Community Development	63%	63%	75%
Ballot Simplification Committee	50%	75%	75%
Immigrant Rights Commission	43%	57%	54%
Municipal Green Building Task Force	43%	67%	50%

B. Race and Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic identity was collected from 341 participants, or 98% of the surveyed appointees. Although half of appointees identify as a race or ethnicity other than white or Caucasian, people of color are still underrepresented compared to the San Francisco population of 62%. The representation of people of color has increased since 2009 but has decreased following 2015. The number of appointees analyzed increased substantially in 2017 and 2019, as compared to 2015. These larger data samples have coincided with smaller percentages of people of color.

Figure 6: 12-year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies




The racial and ethnic breakdown of policy body members compared to the San Francisco population is shown in Figure 7. This analysis reveals underrepresentation and overrepresentation in San Francisco policy bodies for certain racial and ethnic groups. Nearly half of all appointees are white, an overrepresentation by 6 percentage points. The Black community is represented on appointed policy bodies at 11% compared to 6% of the population of San Francisco.⁴ This is a decrease of representation compared to the 14% representation in 2019. Characterizing these as overrepresentations is inaccurate given the representation of Black or African American people on policy bodies has been consistent over the years, while the San Francisco population has declined over the same period.⁵

⁴ US Census Bureau, 2018, Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218>.

⁵ Samir Gambhir and Stephen Menendian, "Racial Segregation in the Bay Area, Part 2," Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society (2018).

Considerably underrepresented racial and ethnic groups on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population are individuals who identify as Asian or Latinx. While the Asian population is 36% of the San Francisco population, they make up 26% of appointees. While the Latinx population of San Francisco is 15%, 9% of appointees are Latinx. Although there is a small population of Native Americans and Alaska Natives in San Francisco of 0.4%, only one (0.3%) surveyed appointee identified themselves as such. The San Francisco population of Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders is 0.3%, which slightly less than the 0.6% of identifying appointees.

Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2021



Note: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, updated data is unavailable for race/ethnicity in 2021. Therefore, the data used to represent the San Francisco population is from the 2019 Gender Analysis Report.

The next two figures illustrate Commissions and Boards with the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. As shown in Figure 8, the Commission on the Status of Women holds the highest representation of people of color at 86%, with a 100% response rate. Both the Health Commission and Juvenile Probation Commission have decreased their percentages of people of color since 2019 and 2017.

Figure 8: Commission and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2021 Compared to 2019 and 2017

Policy Body	Percent of POC	Response Rate	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Commission on the Status of Women	86%	100%	71%	71%
Police Commission	80%	100%	71%	71%
Arts Commission	71%	100%	60%	53%
Health Commission	71%	100%	86%	86%
Library Commission	71%	100%	57%	60%
Juvenile Probation Commission	67%	83%	100%	86%
Board of Appeals	60%	100%	40%	40%
Fire Commission	60%	100%	40%	60%
Human Services Commission	60%	100%	40%	60%
Asian Art Commission	54%	81%	59%	59%
Assessment Appeals Board No.2	50%	100%	63%	N/A
Children and Families (First 5) Commission	50%	75%	75%	63%

There are 28 Commissions and Boards that have 40% or less appointees who identified a racial and ethnic category other than white. None of the current appointees of the Access Appeals Commission identified as people of color. Additionally, the Historic Preservation Commission remains at 14% representation since 2019. The Citizens General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee and Assessment Appeals Board No.1 are both at 17% representation for people of color. Lastly, the Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board had a large drop in representation of people of color going from 67% in 2019 to 25% this year.

Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2021 Compared to 2019 and 2017

Policy Body	Percent of POC	Response Rate*	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Residential Users Appeal Board	0%	50%	50%	N/A
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	0%	14%	75%	N/A
Building Inspection Commission	0%	50%	14%	14%
Access Appeals Commission	0%	100%	N/A	N/A
Small Business Commission	14%	43%	43%	50%
Historic Preservation Commission	14%	71%	14%	17%
Health Service Board	14%	43%	50%	29%
Citizens General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee	17%	100%	N/A	N/A
Assessment Appeals Board No.1	17%	100%	20%	N/A
War Memorial Board of Trustees	18%	45%	18%	18%
Public Utilities Commission	20%	60%	0%	33%
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	25%	75%	67%	67%

Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2021 Compared to 2019 and 2017, Continued


Policy Body	Percent of POC	Response Rate*	2019 Percent	2017 Percent
Ethics Commission	25%	25%	50%	67%
Retirement System Board	29%	57%	29%	29%
Recreation and Park Commission	29%	43%	43%	43%
Rent Board Commission	30%	60%	33%	50%

Commission and Boards with 70% response rates or higher are highlighted in grey.

C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender

Both white men and women are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies, while Asian and Latinx men and women are underrepresented. The representation of women of color at 32% is equal to the San Francisco population of 32%, which is a notable increase compared to the 2019 percentage of 28%. Meanwhile, men of color are 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.

Figure 10: 12-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



The following figures present the breakdown for appointees and the San Francisco population by race, ethnicity, and gender. Both white men and women are overrepresented, holding 24% and 20% of appointments, respectively, compared to 20% and 17% of the population. Asian men and women are slightly underrepresented with Asian women making up 15% of appointees compared to 17% of the population, while Asian men comprise 11% of appointees and 15% of the population. Latinx men and women are also slightly underrepresented, with Latinx men and women comprising 4% of appointees each and 7% of the population each. Black men and women are well-represented with Black women comprising 8% of appointees, compared to 2.4% of the general San Francisco population, and Black men comprising 4% of appointees,

compared to 2.5% of the general San Francisco population. Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander men and women, and multiracial women are below parity with the population. Similarly, although Native American and Alaska Native men and women make up only 0.4% of San Francisco's population, only one (0.3%) of the surveyed appointees identified as such.

Figure 11: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2021





Figure 12: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity




D. LGBTQIA+ Identity

LGBTQIA+ identity data was collected from 334 participants, or 96% of the surveyed appointees. This is a notable increase in data on LGBTQIA+ identity compared to previous reports. Due to limited and outdated information on the population of the LGBTQIA+ community in San Francisco, it is difficult to adequately assess the representation of the LGBTQIA+ community. However, compared to available San Francisco, greater Bay Area, and national data, the LGBTQIA+ community is well represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Recent research estimates the California LGBTQIA+ population is 5.3%⁶. The LGBTQIA+ population of the San Francisco and greater Bay Area is estimated to rank the highest of U.S. cities at 6.2%,⁷ while a 2006 survey found that 15.4% of adults in San Francisco identify as LGBTQIA+⁸.

Of the appointees who responded to this question, 23% identify as LGBTQIA+ and 77% identify as straight or heterosexual. Of the LGBTQIA+ appointees, 56% identify as gay/lesbian, 20% as bisexual, 9% as queer, 9% as transgender, 2% as questioning, and 4% as other LGBTQIA+ identities. Data on LGBTQIA+ identity by race was not captured. Efforts to capture data on LGBTQIA+ identity by race for future reports would enable more intersectional analysis.

Figure 13: LGBTQIA+ Identity of Appointees, 2021




⁶ <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/publications/adult-lgbt-pop-us/>

⁷ Gary J. Gates and Frank Newport, "San Francisco Metro Area Ranks Highest in LBGT Percentage," GALLUP (March 20, 2015) https://news.gallup.com/poll/182051/san-francisco-metro-area-ranks-highest-lgbtpercentage.aspx?utm_source=Social%20Issues&utm_medium=newsfeed&utm_campaign=titles.

⁸ Gary J. Gates, "Same Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey," The Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law (2006).

Figure 14: LGBTQIA+ Population of Appointees, 2021



E. Disability Status

Overall, more than one in twenty adults in San Francisco live with one or more disabilities. Data on Disability Status was obtained from nearly 100% of the appointees who participated in the survey. 12.6% of participating appointees reported to have one or more disabilities. Of these appointees with one or more disabilities, 56% are women, 30% are men, 2% are trans women, 5% are trans men, and 7% are nonbinary individuals.

Figure 15: Disability Status of Appointees, 2021






Figure 16: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender Identity, 2021



F. Veteran Status

Overall, 2.7% of the adult population in San Francisco have served in the military. Data on Veteran status was obtained from 334 appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 334 appointees who responded to this question, 22% served in the military. Men comprise 47.2% and women make up 51.4% of the total number of Veteran appointees. Of participating appointees, 1.4% are nonbinary individuals. Veteran status data on transgender and gender-nonconforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable. The vast increase of appointees with military service compared to 2019's 7.1% of appointees is likely due to the change in wording in the 2021 Gender Analysis Report from previous years, which defines an appointee with Veteran status as someone with a spouse or direct family member who has served, as opposed to only oneself or their spouse. This change was implemented based on feedback from prior reports. Future analyses may want to ask separate questions regarding one's personal experience with military service and one's familial ties to military service, in order to distinguish the most accurate and aggregated data results.

Figure 17: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender*



**This graph is from the 2019 Gender Analysis Report. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, updated data on the gendered population of Veterans in San Francisco is unavailable. This graph fails to identify nonbinary individuals with military experience. However, this graph highlights the gender disparity amongst male and female Veterans, with only 0.2% identifying as women.*

Figure 18: Appointees with Military Service, 2021





Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service by Gender, 2021



G. Policy Bodies by Budget

This 2021 Gender Analysis Report examines the demographic representativeness of policy bodies by budget size. Budget size is used as a proxy for influence. Although this report has expanded the scope of analysis to include more policy bodies compared to previous reports, this section of analysis was limited to Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members file financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission.

Overall, appointees from the 10 **largest** budgeted Commissions and Boards are 44% people of color, 43% women, and 21% women of color. Appointees from the 10 **smallest** budgeted Commissions and Boards are 43% people of color, 48% women, and 29% women of color.

Representation for women, women of color, and overall people of color is below parity with the population on both the 10 smallest and 10 largest budgeted bodies. The representation of women and women of color is greater on smaller budgeted policy bodies by 5% and 8%, respectively. The representation of people of color is 1% higher on Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets.

Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2020-2021




Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2021

Policy Body	FY20-21 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	Response Rate	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Health Commission	\$2.7B	7	7	100%	71%	43%	71%
Public Utilities Commission	\$1.43B	5	5	60%	20%	20%	20%
Airport Commission	\$1.37B	5	5	100%	40%	0%	40%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	\$1.26B	7	6	50%	33%	33%	50%
Human Services Commission	\$604M	5	5	100%	20%	0%	60%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	\$435M	7	7	86%	71%	29%	43%
Fire Commission	\$414M	5	5	100%	40%	20%	60%
Library Commission	\$341B	7	7	100%	71%	43%	71%
Recreation and Park Commission	\$231.6M	7	7	43%	29%	14%	29%
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	\$171.5M	11	7	14%	14%	0%	0%
Total	\$8.9B	66	61	74%	58%	29%	60%


Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2021

Policy Body	FY20-21 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	Response Rate	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Commission on the Status of Women	\$9M	7	7	100%	100%	86%	86%
Ethics Commission	\$6.5M	5	4	25%	25%	25%	25%
Small Business Commission	\$3.5M	7	7	43%	14%	0%	14%
Film Commission	\$1.5M	11	11	100%	45%	27%	45%
Civil Service Commission	\$1.3M	5	5	100%	60%	20%	40%
Entertainment Commission	\$1.2M	7	7	100%	29%	14%	43%
Board of Appeals	\$1.2M	5	5	100%	40%	20%	60%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1	\$701,348	8	6	100%	50%	0%	17%
Local Agency Formation Commission	\$427,685	7	4	50%	50%	50%	50%
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force	\$172,373	11	9	89%	56%	44%	44%
Total	\$25.5M	73	65	86%	56%	35%	51%

H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics

The comparison of the two policy body categories in this section provides another proxy for influence. Commissions and Boards whose members file disclosures of economic interest have greater decision-making authority in San Francisco than Advisory Bodies whose members do not file economic interest disclosures. The percentages of total women, LGBTQIA+ people, people with disabilities, and women of color are larger for total appointees on Advisory Bodies. However, the percentages of Veterans on Commissions and Boards slightly exceeds the percentage on Advisory Bodies, and both Commissions and Boards and Advisory Bodies have 53% people of color.


Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2021



I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees

Figure 24 compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color for appointments made by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointments are more diverse, and consist of more women, women of color, and people of color compared to Supervisorial appointments. Mayoral appointments include 60% women, 37% women of color, and 59% people of color, while Supervisorial appointments are 56% women, 36% women of color, and 58% people of color. The total of all approving authorities combined average out at 55% women, 32% women of color, and 54% people of color. This disparity in diversity between Mayoral and Supervisorial appointments may be due in part to the appointment selection process for each authority. The 11-member Board of Supervisors only sees applicants for specific bodies through the 3- member Rules Committee or by designees, stipulated in legislation (e.g., "renter," "landlord," "consumer advocate"), whereas the Mayor typically has the ability to take total appointments into account during selections, and can therefore better address gaps in diversity.


Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2021



J. Religious Affiliations

The 2021 Gender Analysis Report collected data on religious affiliations to fully examine the demographics and representation of appointees. This is the first-year religious affiliations have been examined. Figure 25 illustrates the religious demographics of appointees, with the largest number of appointees identifying as Christian (30%), and the smallest number of appointees identifying as Hindu (1%) or Muslim (1%).

Figure 25: Religious Affiliations of Appointees, 2021



III. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions, Boards, task forces, councils, and committees that have the majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors and have jurisdiction limited to the City. The 2021 Gender Analysis Report reflects data from the policy bodies that provided information to the Department on the Status of Women through digital survey. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the normal outreach method of paper surveys and in-person meetings was unavailable, ultimately leaving all survey outreach and correspondence to be conducted online. Unfortunately, obtaining the data strictly online had a significant negative impact on participation rates. Following initial email outreach, policy bodies were contacted three to five times via email and phone, including two emails to Department Heads from Department on the Status of Women Director, Kimberly Ellis. All possible measures were taken to obtain accurate and complete data. While participation rates are lower than the 2019 Gender Analysis Report, this report features the most diverse individual responses, as well as participation of the largest number of Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies to date.

Data was requested from 109 policy bodies and acquired from 92 of those bodies, a total of 349 appointees. Comparatively, the 2019 Gender Analysis Report received data from 84 policy bodies (380 Commission and Boards and 389 Advisory Bodies), a total of 741 total appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, Disability Status, Veteran Status, or religious affiliations were among data elements collected on a *voluntary* basis. Therefore, responses were incomplete or unavailable for some appointees but are included to the extent possible.

As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report. Data for some policy bodies was incomplete, and all appointees who responded were included in the total demographic categories. Only policy bodies with full data on gender and race for all appointees were included in sections comparing demographics of individual bodies. It should be noted that for policy bodies with a small number of members, the change of a single individual greatly impacts the percentages of demographic categories. This should be kept in mind when interpreting these percentages.

Several changes were made to the survey questions since the 2019 Gender Analysis Report with the goal of distinguishing all possible areas of underrepresentation. In addition to updating SOGI (sexual orientation and gender identity) categories to align with the latest classifications used by the Office of Transgender Initiatives, the 2021 Gender Analysis Report expanded its classification of Veteran Status to include individuals with close family members that have served, as opposed to only oneself or their spouse. This addition to Veteran Status was adopted based on feedback from previous reports.

As acquiring data was the biggest limitation of this report, ensuring participation from all policy bodies could significantly improve or further efforts to address underrepresentation. Some methods of guaranteeing participation include surveying all appointees during their initial onboarding training with the City, as well as relying on paper/in-person survey outreach for future reports.

The surveyed policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney document entitled List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute.⁹ This document separates San Francisco policy bodies into two different categories. The first category includes Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. The second category encompasses Advisory Bodies whose members do not submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. Depending on the analysis criteria in each section of this report, the surveyed policy bodies and appointees are either examined comprehensively as a whole or examined separately in the two categories designated by the Office of the City Attorney.

Data from the U.S. Census American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates provides a comparison to the San Francisco population. Due to census data not being collected during COVID-19, updated demographic information on the general population of San Francisco was not available for years more recent than 2019. Comparisons of 2021 demographic data to data on the San Francisco population reference population data from previous years (2015-2019) and will be noted as such. Figures 26 and 27 in the Appendix display these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.

⁹"List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, <https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf>, (August 25, 2017).

IV. Conclusion

Since the first Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007, the representation of women appointees on San Francisco policy bodies has gradually increased. The 2021 Gender Analysis Report finds the percentage of women appointees is 55%, which exceeds the population of women in San Francisco.

When appointee demographics are analyzed by gender and race, the representation of women of color has increased to 32%, which is 4% higher than 2019 representation, matching the San Francisco population. Most notably, underrepresented are individuals identifying as Asian, making up 36% of the San Francisco population but only 26% of appointees, and Latinx-identifying individuals who make up 15% of the population but only 9% of appointees. Additionally, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees relative to their San Francisco population, 31%.

Furthermore, when analyzing the demographic composition of larger and smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards, women of color are underrepresented on Commission and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets. Women comprise 43% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies compared to the population of 49%, and women of color comprise 21% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, with the San Francisco population at 32%. Comparatively, women are 48% of total appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies, and women of color are 29% of appointees. However, the representation of people of color is higher on larger budgeted policy bodies by 1%. People of color make up 44% of appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies and 43% of appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies compared to 54% of total appointees. The San Francisco population of people of color exceeds these percentages at 62%.

In addition to using budget size as a proxy for influence, this report analyzed demographic characteristics of appointees on Commissions and Boards who file disclosures of economic interest and have decision-making authority and appointees on Advisory Bodies who do not file economic interest disclosures. Over half (60%) of appointees on Advisory Bodies are women, while 53% of appointees on Commissions and Boards are women. Ultimately, women comprise a higher percentage of appointees on Advisory Bodies compared to Commissions and Boards.

The 2021 Gender Analysis Report found a relatively high representation of LGBTQIA+ individuals on San Francisco policy bodies. For the appointees that provided LGBTQIA+ identity information, 23% identify as LGBTQIA+ with the largest subset identifying as gay or lesbian (56%), 16% of appointees from the largest budgeted policy bodies identify as LGBTQIA+, and 17% from the smallest budgeted bodies. However, there is a significant difference of LGBTQIA+ representation when comparing Commissions and Boards (18%) and Advisory Bodies (31%). The representation of appointees with disabilities is 13%, slightly exceeding the 12% population. Veterans are highly represented on San Francisco policy bodies at 22% compared to the Veteran population of 2.7%, which could be due to differences in each source's classification of Veteran Status.

Additionally, this report evaluates and compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color appointees by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of

all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointees include 60% women, 37% women of color, and 59% people of color, which overall is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointees and total appointees.

This report is intended to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other appointing authorities, as they select appointments to policy bodies for the City and County of San Francisco. In the spirit of the 2008 City Charter Amendment that establishes this biennial Gender Analysis Report requirement and the importance of diversity on San Francisco policy bodies, efforts to address gaps in diversity and inclusion should remain at the forefront when making appointments, in order to accurately reflect the population of San Francisco.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various Policy Body members, Commission secretaries, and Department staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies, particularly Department Interns Charly De Nocker and Brooklynn McPherson for the data collection and analysis of this report.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Breanna Zwart
Vice President Dr. Shokooh Miry
Commissioner Sophia Andary
Commissioner Sharon Chung
Commissioner Dr. Anne Moses
Commissioner Dr. Raveena Rihal
Commissioner Ani Rivera

Kimberly Ellis, Director
Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports>.

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240
San Francisco, California 94102
sfgov.org/dosw
dosw@sfgov.org
415.252.2570

Appendix

Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021

Policy Body*	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY20-21 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color	Survey Response Rate
Access Appeals Commission	5	5	\$0	20%	0%	0%	100%
Advisory Committee of Street Artists and Craft Examiners	5	5	\$0	20%	20%	20%	20%
African American Reparations Committee	15	15	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	7	7	\$ 435,011,663	71%	29%	43%	86%
Airport Commission	5	5	\$ 1,370,000,000	40%	0%	40%	100%
Animal Control and Welfare Commission	7	7	\$0	29%	14%	29%	43%
Arts Commission	15	14	\$ 23,762,015	79%	57%	71%	100%
Asian Art Commission	27	26	\$ 10,200,000	50%	35%	54%	81%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1	8	6	\$ -	50%	0%	17%	100%
Assessment Appeals Board No.2	8	4	\$ -	0%	0%	50%	100%
Assessment Appeals Board No.3	8	3	\$ -	0%	0%	33%	67%
Ballot Simplification Committee	5	4	\$0	50%	0%	0%	75%
Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee	12	8	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Board of Appeals	5	5	\$ 1,177,452	40%	20%	60%	100%
Board Of Examiners	13	10	\$0	0%	0%	40%	90%
Building Inspection Commission	7	6	\$ 89,600,000	33%	0%	0%	50%
Cannabis Oversight Committee	16	16	\$0	19%	31%	38%	25%

Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021, Continued

Policy Body*	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY20-21 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color	Survey Response Rate
Central Subway Community Advisory Group	21	14	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Children and Families Commission (First 5)	9	8	\$ 31,019,003	75%	50%	50%	75%
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	11	7	\$ 171,481,507	14%	0%	0%	14%
Citizen's Advisory Committee for the Central Market Street and Tenderloin Area	9	8	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Citizen's Committee on Community Development	9	8	\$ 27,755,465	63%	50%	50%	63%
Citizens General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee	9	6	\$0	50%	0%	17%	100%
City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission	5	5	\$0	0%	0%	0%	20%
Civil Service Commission	5	5	\$ 1,286,033	60%	20%	40%	100%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	7	6	\$0	17%	17%	33%	50%
Commission on the Aging Advisory Council	22	14	\$0	21%	0%	0%	21%
Commission on the Environment	7	7	\$0	57%	29%	43%	86%
Commission on the Status of Women	7	7	\$ 9,089,928	100%	86%	86%	100%
Committee on Information Technology	17	17	\$ 22,934,703	12%	0%	6%	18%

Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021, Continued

Policy Body*	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY20-21 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color	Survey Response Rate
Elections Commission	7	5	\$ 69,000	60%	20%	40%	100%
Entertainment Commission	7	7	\$0	29%	14%	43%	100%
Ethics Commission	5	4	\$ 6,500,000	25%	25%	25%	25%
Film Commission	11	11	\$0	45%	27%	45%	100%
Fire Commission	5	5	\$ 414,360,096	40%	20%	60%	100%
Health Commission	7	7	\$ 2,700,000,000	71%	43%	71%	100%
Health Service Board	7	7	\$ 16,500,000	14%	14%	14%	43%
Historic Preservation Commission	7	7	\$0	29%	14%	14%	71%
Historic Preservation Fund Committee	7	7	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Housing Authority Commission	7	5	\$ 55,800,000	20%	20%	20%	20%
Human Rights Commission	11	9	\$ 13,618,732	0%	0%	0%	0%
Human Services Commission	5	5	\$ 604,412,630	20%	0%	60%	100%
Immigrant Rights Commission	15	14	\$0	43%	36%	50%	57%
Juvenile Probation Commission	7	6	\$0	50%	33%	67%	83%
Library Commission	7	7	\$ 341,000,000	71%	43%	71%	100%
Local Agency Formation Commission	7	4	\$ 427,685	50%	50%	50%	50%
Local Homeless Coordinating Board	9	7	\$ 54,000,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
Long Term Care Coordinating Council	40	35	\$0	9%	3%	6%	14%
Mental Health Board	17	9	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	7	6	\$ 1,258,700,000	33%	33%	50%	50%

Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021, Continued

Policy Body*	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY20-21 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color	Survey Response Rate
Municipal Green Building Task Force	21	21	\$0	43%	24%	29%	67%
Municipal Transportation Agency Citizens' Advisory Council	15	13	\$0	15%	8%	8%	15%
Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory Committee	9	9	\$0	67%	33%	44%	78%
Paratransit Coordinating Council	40	25	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Park, Recreation, and Open Space Advisory Committee	23	19	\$0	26%	11%	11%	53%
Planning Commission	7	7	\$ 62,194,821	57%	29%	43%	71%
Police Commission	7	5	\$0	20%	20%	80%	100%
Port Commission	5	5	\$ 125,700,000	60%	40%	40%	60%
Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee	17	14	\$0	21%	0%	14%	43%
Public Utilities Commission	5	5	\$ 1,433,954,907	20%	20%	20%	60%
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	7	4	\$0	25%	0%	25%	75%
Recreation and Park Commission	7	7	\$ 231,600,000	29%	14%	29%	43%
Reentry Council	7	5	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rent Board Commission	10	10	\$ 9,381,302	10%	0%	30%	60%
Residential Users Appeal Board	3	2	\$ 900	0%	0%	0%	50%
Retire Health Care Trust Fund Board	5	5	\$ 70,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
Retirement System Board	7	7	\$ 90,000,000	14%	14%	29%	57%
Small Business Commission	7	7	\$ 3,505,244	14%	0%	14%	43%
SoMa Community Planning Advisory Committee	11	7	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Figure 26: Policy Body Demographics, 2021, Continued

Policy Body*	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY20-21 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color	Survey Response Rate
SoMa Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee	14	10	\$0	0%	0%	10%	10%
Southeast Community Facility Commission	7	7	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force	11	9	\$0	56%	44%	44%	89%
Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group	11	6	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Transgender Advisory Committee	14	14	\$0	0%	0%	21%	36%
Treasure Island Development Authority	7	6	\$0	17%	17%	33%	50%
Urban Forestry Council	15	14	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Veterans Affairs Commission	17	16	\$ 150,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
War Memorial Board of Trustees	11	11	\$ 18,500,000	27%	18%	18%	45%
Workforce Investment Board	30	27	\$0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Commission	17	17	\$0	41%	35%	71%	88%

**Policy Bodies in bold are Commission and Boards, while unbolded bodies are Advisory Bodies.*

Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017*

Race/Ethnicity	Total		Female		Male	
	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent
San Francisco County, California	864,263	-	423,630	49%	440,633	51%
White, non-Hispanic or Latino	353,000	38%	161,381	17%	191,619	20%
Asian	295,347	31%	158,762	17%	136,585	15%
Hispanic or Latinx	131,949	14%	62,646	7%	69,303	7%
Some Other Race	64,800	7%	30,174	3%	34,626	4%
Black or African American	45,654	5%	22,311	2.4%	23,343	2.5%
Two or More Races	43,664	5%	21,110	2.2%	22,554	2.4%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,226	0.3%	1,576	0.2%	1,650	0.2%
Native American and Alaska Native	3,306	0.4%	1,589	0.2%	1,717	0.2%

San Francisco Population estimates come from the 2017 and 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

**Due to unavailable updated data on San Francisco population, the data used to represent the San Francisco population is from the 2019 Gender Analysis Report.*



London N. Breed
Mayor

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



Acknowledgments

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various policy body members, commission secretaries, and city staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies. In particular, the Department would like to thank interns Charly De Nocker and Brooklynn McPherson for the data collection and analysis of this report.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Breanna Zwart
Vice President Dr. Shokooh Miry
Commissioner Sophia Andary
Commissioner Sharon Chung
Commissioner Dr. Anne Moses
Commissioner Dr. Raveena Rihal
Commissioner Ani Rivera

Kimberly Ellis, Director
Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports>.

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240
San Francisco, California 94102
sfgov.org/dosw
dosw@sfgov.org
415.252.2570

June 10, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Community Support for Pratibha Tekkey's Appointment to the SF Police Commission

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

As a coalition of institutions, residents, and neighborhood stakeholders, we write to express our unified and **enthusiastic support for the appointment of Pratibha Tekkey to the San Francisco Police Commission**. Pratibha has dedicated over 16 years of frontline service in San Francisco, with a specific emphasis on the Tenderloin neighborhood, bringing together tenants, small businesses, families, and City agencies to address public safety, housing justice, and equitable neighborhood development.

Through her leadership at the Central City SRO Collaborative, she has played a pivotal role in facilitating trust, accountability, and constructive collaboration between residents and the San Francisco Police Department. From organizing *Take Back the Block* and *Take Back the Park* initiatives, to co-developing the Tenderloin Store Hours legislation, and advocating for pedestrian safety infrastructure, Pratibha has demonstrated a deep, practical understanding of what community-centered public safety can look like. She has repeatedly shown the ability to listen with empathy, mediate across sectors, and elevate community voice into meaningful, systemic action.

Her lived experience as an immigrant woman of color, her long-standing relationships with working-class and underrepresented communities, and her commitment to integrity, accountability, transparency, and collaboration uniquely position her to serve effectively and with integrity on the Police Commission. She brings not only policy experience, but also relational trust built through decades of grassroots organizing. We believe that her presence on the Police Commission would be a powerful step toward inclusive governance, equitable policing, and stronger ties between law enforcement and the neighborhoods they serve. We urge you to support her appointment and ensure that this vital seat reflects the voices of those most impacted by public safety decisions.

We thank you for your leadership and consideration.


In solidarity,

Community Institutions, Residents, and Neighborhood Stakeholders of San Francisco



UC Law San Francisco





O'Farrell Neighbors Group (ONG)

Individual Support

Peter Gallotta, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Kim Hopper, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Rachel Johnson, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Barbara Swan, Board member, Lower Nob Hill Neighborhood Alliance*
Rudy Corpuz
Jane Weil
Chris Schulman
Shakirah Smiley
Calen McEndowny
Helene Sautou
Bayron Wilson

*Organization included for identification purposes only

Supervisor Shamann Walton
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102
Room 244

May 13, 2025

Dear Supervisor Walton - I am writing today on behalf of and in support of a good friend of mine, Pratibha Tekkey, who has submitted her name for consideration as Police Commissioner. I've known Pratibha since 2009, when we met as union activists in SEIU Local 1021 working to democratize our union and empower rank and file members. Pratibha never looked back, and has continued her advocacy for working people and communities in the Tenderloin.

I believe that Pratibha is an applicant that deserves serious consideration. I'm sure that many of the other applicants have good qualifications - but not all. Some are motivated to seek political advancement. Some are interested in pleasing the Mayor. Some are advancing the interests of the POA. I can say, without hesitation, that Pratibha's motivations are not related to self-interest or currying favor with anyone.

Like many public servants - Pratibha is one of those people who, when she sees an opportunity to represent the needs of those who are under-represented - she raises her hand - not because she is seeking her own advancement - but because she understands that bodies like the police commission need Commissioners who are in touch with and part of the community, and she knows that she can do the job. In the case of the Tenderloin - where Pratibha has worked for 16 years - these are communities who are impacted every day in significant ways by policing and SFPD policies.

While I don't believe Pratibha has ever held public office, she has, for many years, in her own way, been a public servant. She has spent 16 years working in the Tenderloin as a community organizer for the Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC). She has built relationships with hundreds of Tenderloin residents and with members of SFPD. She has facilitated meetings and worked on projects that brought the community and law enforcement together in cooperative ways. **Several examples of her work in this area are detailed in a document that Pratibha has already submitted to you. Those accomplishments speak for themselves. Pratibha walks the walk.**

I believe the Police Commission needs a representative like Pratibha. The Commission will be a better and more successful body if she is on it. And the residents of the Tenderloin and the City will have someone who will work hard to improve public safety in San Francisco. I hope you will support her candidacy. Let me know if there is anything else I can do to push this forward. Sincerely,
Jonathan Meade 650 492 1662



SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

800 Bryant Street, Second Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.861.5060 tel
415.552.5741 fax
www.sfpoa.org

TRACY MCCRAY
President

KEVIN WORRELL
Vice President

LOUIS WONG
Secretary

DAN KELLY
Treasurer

GAETANO ACERRA
Sergeant At Arms

May 27, 2025

President Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Support for Marjan Philhour for San Francisco Police Commission

Dear President Mandelman,

I write on behalf of the San Francisco Police Officers Association (SFPOA) to express our strong support for the appointment of Marjan Philhour to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Over the past decade, the SFPOA has developed a respectful and collaborative relationship with Marjan. She has consistently approached issues of public safety with integrity, clarity, and a willingness to engage with all sides—qualities that are critical for any member of the Police Commission. Her ability to build trust between law enforcement and the communities we serve has never been in doubt.

San Francisco continues to face serious and persistent public safety challenges. From organized retail theft and repeat offenders to open-air drug markets and community violence, many residents feel less safe than ever. In this climate, it's essential that appointments to the Police Commission reflect a commitment to both accountability and public safety. Marjan Philhour brings that balance.

As the daughter of immigrants from the Philippines and Iran, a small business owner, and a working mother raising three children in the Richmond District, she offers a lived experience that resonates with families across this city. She understands the fear parents feel when their children don't feel safe walking to school, and she understands the real limitations facing a police department that is significantly understaffed.

Marjan brings a thoughtful, measured approach to public service. She believes—as we do—that reform and safety are not competing values, but shared goals. She has consistently advocated for transparency and accountability while never losing sight of the fundamental role that officers play in ensuring San Francisco works for everyone.

At a time when consensus and steady leadership are needed, her appointment would offer balance, professionalism, and perspective. The Police Commission will soon undertake the important task of selecting a new Chief of Police. We are confident Marjan would approach that responsibility with fairness, rigor, and a deep respect for the process.

We urge you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to give her candidacy your full and fair consideration. Her presence on the Commission would be a meaningful step toward restoring public confidence and ensuring a safer, more unified San Francisco.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Tracy McCray', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Tracy McCray
President

San Francisco Police Officers Association











cc: Supervisor Shamann Walton, Chair, Rules Committee

Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, Member, Rules Committee

We, the below undersigned business owners of Lower Polk, the Tenderloin, and Lower Nob Hill, continue to stand in support and solidarity of and endorse PRATIBHA TEKKEY for appointment to San Francisco Police Commission by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Over the past 16 years, Ms. Tekkey has relentlessly worked in collaboration within the most complex, challenging neighborhood with community stakeholders and the realities of "Public Safety" and with business owners, residents and property owners in manner to achieve a sweeping change and the goals noted within the widely accepted modality of the 21st Century Policing standard which has been adopted by the City & County of San Francisco.











Ms. Tekkey's has worked relentlessly with City Leaders, stakeholders, residents, and businesses in a methodical manner, ensuring that everyone is inclusive in the processes and their voice heard. There is no doubt that she will continue to work tirelessly in matters presented before and within the Police Commission for the entire city/county of San Francisco with the same level of pride, dedication and commitment which has been displayed in our communities to date.

NAME/OWNER	BUSINESS NAME/ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
1 Sofia Karkiner	Tenderloin Museum	
2 JUSTIN Bautista	Tilted Brim	
3 Abdul ALAMMATH	Yemeni Kabab	
4 Estrella G	Estrellitas snacks	
5 Sandra Rodriguez	494 Eddy St. Golden Beauty Salon	
6 Felix Nuñez	250 Golden Gate Av.	
7 Olly Asatullaev	Jinmi / 366 Golden Gate ave	
8 Josselyn Ziron	El tesoro tequileria	
9 Hayri Kabulanbek	Acorat kabab	
10 MOHAMMAD BAIWA	CHUTNEY USA INC	

We, the below undersigned business owners of Lower Polk, the Tenderloin, and Lower Nob Hill, continue to stand in support and solidarity of and endorse PRATIBHA TEKKEY for appointment to San Francisco Police Commission by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Over the past 16 years, Ms. Tekkey has relentlessly worked in collaboration within the most complex, challenging neighborhood with community stakeholders and the realities of "Public Safety" and with business owners, residents and property owners in manner to achieve a sweeping change and the goals noted within the widely accepted modality of the 21st Century Policing standard which has been adopted by the City & County of San Francisco.

Ms. Tekkey's has worked relentlessly with City Leaders, stakeholders, residents, and businesses in a methodical manner, ensuring that everyone is inclusive in the processes and their voice heard. There is no doubt that she will continue to work tirelessly in matters presented before and within the Police Commission for the entire city/county of San Francisco with the same level of pride, dedication and commitment which has been displayed in our communities to date.

	NAME/OWNER	BUSINESS NAME/ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
1	Van Sincan	290 Golden Gate	
2	Tim Benson	Aralia's 409 Ellis St SF 94102	
3	Sandesh Gungu	Himalayan Pizzeria Momo	
4	Bhuvan Ward	Tenderloin Diner	
5	Ashok Kungu	Maryland Market 300 Turk	
6	Ali A. Moma	601 China Basin	
7	IR Fawaz	7010 Fawcett St	
8	BOUSSAD LAGAB	Panscape	
9	Ashok Thapa	Fish Market	
10	IR Fawaz	499 Eddy St	

We, the below undersigned business owners of Lower Polk, the Tenderloin, and Lower Nob Hill, continue to stand in support and solidarity of and endorse PRATIBHA TEKKEY for appointment to San Francisco Police Commission by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Over the past 16 years, Ms. Tekkey has relentlessly worked in collaboration within the most complex, challenging neighborhood with community stakeholders and the realities of "Public Safety" and with business owners, residents and property owners in manner to achieve a sweeping change and the goals noted within the widely accepted modality of the 21st Century Policing standard which has been adopted by the City & County of San Francisco.

Ms. Tekkey's has worked relentlessly with City Leaders, stakeholders, residents, and businesses in a methodical manner, ensuring that everyone is inclusive in the processes and their voice heard. There is no doubt that she will continue to work tirelessly in matters presented before and within the Police Commission for the entire city/county of San Francisco with the same level of pride, dedication and commitment which has been displayed in our communities to date.

NAME/OWNER	BUSINESS NAME/ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
1 Patricia Tu	Yale Hotel 633 Carkin St.	[Signature]
2 Liza Tu	Quoc Long 639 Carkin St.	[Signature]
3 Hanh Huynh	New Thong Phong Market 628 Ellis Street	[Signature]
4 XUONG DIEP	Middle East Asia Doc Sves and TAX LLC 626 ELLIS ST	[Signature]
5 Mouhannad Dabbour	Deena's 600 O'Farrell St, CA 94109	[Signature]
6 Waleed Radman	Deli Shack	[Signature]
7 MOHAMMED	Empire Market 484 Eddy	[Signature]
8 ELIAS B Shawel	TADU Ethiopian Kafe 484 Eddy	[Signature]
9 Jung Tran	Amh Saring 604 ELLIS ST	[Signature]
10 NGUYET NGUYEN	TUKIM CAFE 609 ELLIS ST SF CA 94109	[Signature]

June 13, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Individual Support for Pratibha Tekkey's Appointment to the SF Police Commission Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors

I write to join the multitude of community leaders writing in strong and unequivocal support of Pratibha Tekky's nomination to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Over the course of my career, I have had the opportunity to observe public systems from multiple vantage points — from the inside of the federal government, to the frontlines of grassroots advocacy, to the boardrooms of the technology industry. What I have learned is this: public safety and community trust are not mutually exclusive — they must be built together. Few people embody this integrated vision of public service better than Pratibha Tekky.

Pratibha has spent the last decade on the frontlines of some of San Francisco's most urgent challenges. As a senior leader at the Tenderloin Housing Clinic and co-director of the Central City SRO Collaborative, she has championed the rights of low-income tenants, immigrants, and seniors- communities that are too often left out of policymaking but bear the brunt of both violence and over-policing. She is a trusted organizer, a coalition builder, and a relentless advocate for dignity, safety, and justice.

And her impact is measurable. Through her leadership at the Central City SRO Collaborative and Tenderloin Housing Clinic, Pratibha has worked tirelessly to uplift immigrant families, working-class tenants, and SRO residents- communities often marginalized in our civic discourse yet disproportionately impacted by both crime and enforcement. Her work has not been abstract. She has rolled up her sleeves to co-lead initiatives like Take Back the Block and Take Back the Park, both of which have improved public safety in the Tenderloin by reclaiming public space for families, youth, and elders. She has been instrumental in shaping the Tenderloin Store Hours ordinance to reduce illicit activity while preserving community vibrancy, and she has advocated for pedestrian safety infrastructure that benefits everyone, including our most vulnerable neighbors.

In short, Pratibha doesn't theorize about public safety- she builds it.

She pursues practical, inclusive solutions rooted in lived experience and collaborative problem-solving. Pratibha's appointment would bring a vital perspective to a body tasked with ensuring public accountability. As an immigrant woman of color, a tenant rights advocate, and a bridge between marginalized communities and public institutions, she represents the best of what San Francisco aspires to be: bold, compassionate, and fair.

I urge you in the strongest terms to confirm her nomination.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Vikrum Aiyer

Commissioner, Workforce Investment Board (WISF)

From: [barbara swan](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mathewson, Melanie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Nagano, Tomio \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: In Support of Pratibha Tekkey nomination to police commission
Date: Friday, June 13, 2025 4:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

It is a pleasure to provide a letter in support of Pratibha Tekkey's consideration to the San Francisco Police Commission. I regret that due to a scheduling conflict, I am unable to stand before the Board and deliver this in person.

I imagine that there are two main criteria in choosing a police commissioner; the skills one brings to the team, along with the experience and knowledge related to policy-making and disciplinary procedures, that constitute the essential responsibilities of the commission. Pratibha Tekkey is blessed with an abundance of both hard-won experience and the often-overlooked soft skills that make or break the effectiveness of teams.

As a community organizer with sixteen years of experience, she has built strong relationships with her internal team members and established productive ties with police and other city departments. Her collaborations have resulted in safer streets, more housing options, and a reduction in food insecurities in her community.

Additionally, her prior background as a union organizer makes her uniquely situated to understand the disciplinary process and tasks that face the police commission.

Pratibha possesses the most elusive & rare quality of all: she is a calm, deep listener. Many times, I have witnessed her approach complex matters with thoughtful attention and a willingness to hear opposing points of view. In discussions of all sizes, her responses are always respectful, measured, and fair. These are essential characteristics for working productively in any high-stakes team, especially one that involves developing police policy and reviewing disciplinary matters.

I consider her a wise and trusted community partner. When she sees a problem, she gets to work. My hope in this writing is that you will see what an asset she will be as a member of the San Francisco Police Commission.

Sincerely,

Barbara Swan

621 Taylor Street #52

San Francisco, CA 94102

For identification purposes only

Board member

Lower Nob hill Neighborhood Alliance



Dear Chair Walton and Members of the Rules Committee:

I am writing this letter today on behalf of Afghan American Community Organization (AACO) in full support of Hasib Emran's nomination for the San Francisco Police Commission. During the several years of involvement within the organization, Hasib has demonstrated high level qualities of professionalism, integrity, and dedication to public service and helping others in need making him an excellent candidate for this position.

Afghan-American Community Organization (AACO), is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, dedicated to advancing the Afghan-American community and its allies through education and outreach, and promoting civic and social engagement through the annual conference and beyond.

Hasib, through his work as the California Deputy State Controller overseeing taxation in California knows the importance of a functioning government that meets the needs of the people. As a representative on the State Board of Equalization, property taxes administered have had a direct impact on funding our schools, first responders, and local governments. Moreover, Chairing the Franchise Tax Board, Hasib believes taxation is core to any democratic society and sees direct result of tax dollars at work which funds our police departments, equipment, infrastructure, and pays pensions for all government employees.

As Human Rights Commissioner, Hasib has had the honor of joining the AACO on several occasions, speaking to the community and students advocating for human rights here at home and abroad. Hasib brought us specific examples of problem solving, such as gathering community stakeholders to suppress crime at the Islamic Society of San Francisco who witnessed rampant drug dealing, prostitution, and shootouts at the mosque door. Hasib has always demonstrated strong communication skills, and shows his ability to effectively communicate with all individuals, regardless of religion or creed, which is an essential trait for anyone looking to hold some form of public office.

In addition, Hasib has consistently displayed exceptional leadership skills, as a former public schoolteacher, he has become a natural leader in the community who is able to motivate and inspire those around them, and the ability to challenge and bring the best out of their colleagues.

In conclusion, the AACO has the utmost confidence in Hasib's ability to excel as a San Francisco Police Commissioner. His life background, strong work ethic, and commitment to public service, and deep understanding of the community are all key to representing diverse San Francisco communities. AACO is confident that Hasib will be a valuable asset as a Police Commissioner.

Regards,

Afghan-American Community Organization (AACO)

Board of Directors

From: [Hasib Emran](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Support for Hasib's Appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission
Date: Saturday, June 14, 2025 2:45:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Aseel Fara <aseelfara99@gmail.com>
Date: June 14, 2025 at 2:11:30 PM PDT
To: waltonstaff@sfgov.org, sherrillstaff@sfgov.org, mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org
Subject: **Support for Hasib's Appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission**

Dear Chair Walton and Members of the Rules Committee,

As a proud District 6 resident, I, Aseel Fara, am honored to express my strong support for Hasib Emran in his pursuit of public service as a San Francisco Police Commissioner.

Through his role as California Deputy State Controller, overseeing statewide taxation, Hasib understands firsthand how a well-functioning government can directly improve people's lives. On the State Board of Equalization, he has helped oversee property tax systems that fund our schools, first responders, and local governments. As Chair of the Franchise Tax Board, Hasib recognizes that taxation is not only a civic responsibility—it is the engine of a healthy democracy. He sees the real-life impacts of public dollars at work, supporting infrastructure, public safety, and the pensions of our city's workforce.

Hasib's commitment to community doesn't stop at the state level. As a San Francisco Human Rights Commissioner, he has shown up time and again—speaking with youth, community members, and leaders on human rights challenges both locally and globally. One powerful example was his leadership in coordinating stakeholders to address public safety concerns at the Islamic Society of San Francisco, where the community faced open drug use, prostitution, and violence at their doorstep. His collaborative approach helped drive tangible solutions.

Hasib brings deep empathy and clarity to every conversation. His ability to connect across lines of faith, culture, and background reflects the inclusive spirit San Francisco needs in its leadership. A former public schoolteacher, Hasib leads with integrity and purpose, always seeking to bring out the best in others.

I have full confidence in Hasib's ability to serve honorably and effectively on the

San Francisco Police Commission. His life experiences, strong work ethic, and unwavering dedication to public service make him uniquely qualified to represent the voices of our city's most diverse communities.

Sincerely,
Aseel Fara

June 15, 2025

Board President Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Cc: Rules Committee Chair Shamann Walton, Rules Committee Member Supervisor Stephen Sherrill

RE: Strong Support for Marjan Philhour's Appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission

Dear Board President Mandelman,

As leaders within San Francisco's Filipino American community, we write with deep pride and full support for the appointment of Marjan Philhour to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Marjan's nomination represents more than just an appointment, it symbolizes the inclusion of Filipino voices in critical decision-making roles within our city. For generations, Filipino Americans have been integral to the cultural, civic, and labor fabric of San Francisco—from the historic struggle for housing justice at the I-Hotel to our frontline contributions in healthcare and public service. Yet our community remains underrepresented in the very institutions that shape city policy and public safety.

Marjan is uniquely positioned to help change that. As the daughter of a Filipino immigrant and a mother raising her children in San Francisco, she brings a lived experience that reflects both the challenges and aspirations of our community. Her perspective as a multiracial working mother is invaluable to a Commission tasked with overseeing a department that must serve all of San Francisco's diverse residents with fairness and respect.

Professionally, Marjan brings over three decades of policy, governance, and civic leadership experience that spans Capitol Hill, the Governor's office, and her own small business here in San Francisco. She understands how government should work and how to hold it accountable. Her work with small businesses, neighborhood safety forums, and the co-founding of the Balboa Village Merchants Association has built trust across communities and shown her deep commitment to public safety and equity.

Marjan understands that reform and public safety are not mutually exclusive. She has been a clear, consistent voice for police staffing, modern investigative tools, and community policing that actually works, especially in our merchant corridors where many Filipino-owned small businesses continue to struggle with break-ins and theft.

With the recent retirement announcement of Chief Bill Scott, the Police Commission faces the critical task of shaping the next chapter of leadership for SFPD. Marjan's inclusion on the Commission will bring balance, integrity, and a sharp understanding of both departmental operations and the broader needs of the community.

As Filipino American leaders, we believe that appointing Marjan Philhour is not only the right choice, it's the necessary one. Her voice on the Police Commission would mark a critical point for representation, safety, and inclusion in our city.

We strongly urge you to appoint Marjan Philhour to the San Francisco Police Commission.

With respect and solidarity,
(Organizations included for identification purposes only)

AL PEREZ

President, Filipino American Arts Exposition / Pistahan Parade and Festival

CARLA LAUREL

Executive Director, West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center

DAZ LAMPARAS

Community Organizer, Social Justice Activist and Community Advocate

EDWIN LOZADA

President, Philippine American Writers and Artists

ELEANORE FERNANDEZ

Board Member, Manilatown Heritage Foundation

EVELYN CENTENO

President, Friends of Filipino American Community PAC

FATIMA WRIGHT

Executive Board Member, Your Filipino Professionals Association

FLOYD SANTIAGO

Community Organizer, Social Justice Activist and Community Advocate

FRANCO FINN

Multi-Media Personality, Host and Broadcaster

FRANKLIN RICARTE

Founder and CEO, Kalesa

GENEVIEVE JOPANDA

Board Member, Filipino Young Leaders Program

JAENA RAE CABRERA

Social Justice and Community Advocate

JANET ALVARADO

Executive Director, The Alvarado Project

JOHN MORADA

Community Organizer, Social Justice Activist and Community Advocate

JOSE PECHO

Chairman, San Francisco Filipino American Chamber of Commerce

JR CALANOC
CEO, Dynamico Space

LOURDES MOLDRE, MSN, RN, ACNP
President, Philippine Nurses Association of Northern California

MICHAEL PANGILINAN
Board Member, Filipino American Democratic Club

MARILY MONDEJAR
CEO, Filipina Women's Network

MELVIN TANGONAN
Internal Vice President, National Federation of Filipino American Associations (NaFFAA),
Northern California Region

MIGUEL GUERRERO
External Vice President, National Federation of Filipino American Associations (NaFFAA),
Northern California Region

RADI CALALANG
Executive Director, Sentro Filipino

REUBEN BANABAN
Social Justice and Community Advocate

REX TABORA
Executive Director, Asian Pacific American Community Center

ROB CHUA
Director, Pistahan Parade

TESSIE GUILLERMO
Founding CEO, Asian and Pacific Islander American Health Forum

TIM VERCELES
Community Organizer, Social Justice Activist and Community Advocate



June 16, 2025

Dear Chair Walton and Members of the Rules Committee:

I am writing this letter today on behalf of Hasib Emran's nomination for the San Francisco Police Commission. During his several years of involvement within the Afghan American Community Organization, Hasib demonstrated high level qualities of professionalism, integrity, and dedication to public service and helping others in need making him an excellent candidate I believe for this position.

Hasib, through his work as the California Deputy State Controller overseeing taxation in California, knows the importance of a functioning government that meets the needs of the people. As a representative on the State Board of Equalization, property taxes administered have had a direct impact on funding our schools, first responders, and local governments. Chairing the Franchise Tax Board, Hasib believes taxation is core to any democratic society and sees the direct result of tax dollars at work which funds our police departments, equipment, infrastructure, and pays pensions for all government employees.

As a Human Rights Commissioner, Hasib has had the honor of joining the AACO on several occasions, speaking to the community and students advocating for human rights here at home and abroad. Hasib brought specific examples of problem solving, such as gathering community stakeholders to suppress crime at the Islamic Society of San Francisco who witnessed rampant drug dealing, prostitution, and shootouts at the mosque door. Hasib has always demonstrated strong communication skills, and shows his ability to effectively communicate with all individuals, regardless of religion or creed, which is an essential trait for anyone looking to hold some form of public office.

In addition, Hasib has consistently displayed exceptional leadership skills, as a former public schoolteacher, he has become a natural leader in the community who is able to motivate and inspire those around them, and the ability to challenge and bring the best out of their colleagues.

In conclusion, as a former Police Commissioner myself I have confidence in Hasib's ability to excel as a San Francisco Police Commissioner. His life background, strong work ethic, and commitment to public service, and deep understanding of the community are all key to representing diverse San Francisco communities.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Dion-Jay L. Brookter", with a large, stylized circular flourish at the end.

Dion-Jay L. Brookter, MBA

dionjaybrookter@gmail.com

June 16th, 2025

Dear Supervisors Walton, Sherrill, and Chair Mandelman

RE: Support Pratibha Tekkey to Police Commission Seat 3

File #250419

This letter is to express my enthusiastic support for Pratibha Tekkey's appointment to Police Commissioner Seat #3. Pratibha Tekkey is a tireless community organizer with an unwavering commitment to underserved communities.

I have known Pratibha for approximately 10 years during which time I have served in different roles with community-based organizations in the Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and Civic Center neighborhoods. In these roles, I have managed various street cleaning and community-led safety efforts and developed expertise in public safety issues, law enforcement, and other social issues impacting our city. I have worked with Pratibha on neighborhood campaigns, observing her skill in understanding complex issues and engaging residents, businesses, and stakeholders effectively. Her approach is rooted in fact-finding, relationship building, community engagement, and a tireless work ethic.

Pratibha has developed deep knowledge of public safety issues affecting our city and will bring an important voice to the commission. Her experience and relationships have given her a nuanced understanding of how crime and law enforcement impact residents, including families with children and businesses. She has demonstrated a strong commitment to addressing the needs of low-income communities of color, leading efforts to improve public safety, community policing, and accountability while centering the needs of the most vulnerable.

Pratibha is incredibly intelligent and works relentlessly to understand issues before the commission. She has developed a reputation as a 'straight-shooter', and she will bring a high level of respect and candor to any deliberation. She is not afraid to pound the pavement and engage directly with those impacted. She evaluates matters logically, reasonably, and with empathy before making fair decisions. She is the ideal candidate for a body tasked with accountability. Pratibha is focused on what is right and just for San Francisco, rather than advancing a political career.

Thank you,

Fernando Pujals

Deputy Director

Mid-Market Business Association

From: [Sue Vaughan](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the appointment of Marjan Philhour to the Police Commission
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 7:56:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Mandelman, Walton, and Sherrill (Rules Committee):

We, the Richmond District Democratic Club, are writing this letter to express our opposition and concerns regarding Marjan Philhour's bid for a seat on the San Francisco Police Commission. Our club members are quite familiar with Ms. Philhour as she has run to be our supervisor three times in our supervisorial district. As the commission is of high importance in overseeing the San Francisco Police Department and the Department of Public Accountability, our concerns about her candidacy include not only her views on policing, but also specific instances of conduct that show that she is unqualified to serve on a commission which deals with matters of public safety.

Ms. Philhour has a close relationship with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. They endorsed her in her previous bids for Supervisor and also contributed \$10,000 to her campaign for a seat on the SFDCCC. This close relationship presents a clear conflict of interest for Ms. Philhour. It's hard to see how Ms. Philhour can be objective on any issue regarding holding officers accountable for their conduct.

Also, at a time when we are experiencing an unprecedented expression of the authoritarian use of law enforcement to terrorize immigrant communities and crackdown on peaceful protests, we do not need a commissioner who advocates for a version of public safety that believes holding officers accountable is a hostile act that prevents them from doing their job. We need a police commissioner who will work with the department to make sure that policing San Francisco is done in a way that supports SFPD officers - is effective - applied equally - and holds officers accountable for their performance.

Regarding Ms. Philhour's personal conduct, during her first failed bid for Supervisor in 2016, she publicly questioned whether then-candidate Sandra Lee Fewer was actually of Asian descent, an attack which resembled the racist birther conspiracy theory attacks on President Barack

Obama. Given that the Police Commission has worked to eradicate racial bias from the police department, it is automatically disqualifying that she would resort to explicitly engaging in racial bias for her personal benefit. Ms. Philhour has never publicly or privately apologized for her statements calling into doubt the race of Supervisor Fewer.

We also have concerns about the type of people who she continually employed on her campaign despite troubling misconduct. During her most recent campaign for Supervisor, she employed a paid staffer by the name of Forrest Liu. In two separate incidents, Mr. Liu made statements

challenging Supervisor Chan and her supporters to a fight during an event and even yelled at her within five inches of her face. More details of these encounters can be found in this police

report.

Despite having knowledge of these incidents through media reports, she did not take steps to remove him from her campaign nor issue a public or private apology but instead employed him for the entire duration of her third failed bid for District 1 Supervisor.

The most recent disqualifying instance of conduct by Ms. Philhour herself is when she sought a restraining order against David Heller, the president of the Geary Boulevard Merchants' Association, after she alleged he grabbed her arm although no evidence exists of that encounter.

Mr. Heller's support for Connie Chan's re-election campaign for District 1 Supervisor was public and well-known within the campaigns. A judge denied an emergency restraining order stating the emergency restraining order "d[id] not sufficiently show acts of violence, threats of violence, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed [Philhour] and caused substantial emotional distress". Furthermore, when Ms. Philhour still pursued her claim, a judge found Ms. Philhour's pursuit of the restraining order so appalling that the case was not only dismissed but she was ordered to pay \$12,000 in attorney's fees of Mr. Heller.

Ms. Philhour's repeated harassment and bullying of people in opposition to her views, either through herself or her associates, automatically disqualifies her for any position which deals with public safety. We make no secret that our club endorsed Sandra Lee Fewer in 2016 and Connie

Chan in 2020 and 2024, the races in which Ms. Philhour ran for Supervisor in our district, but we are prompted to write this letter based on our experiences with her.

We urge you to reject Marjan Philhour's bid to serve on the San Francisco Police Commission because of grave concerns regarding her relationship with the SFPOA and the fact that she would seek to harass and cause emotional distress to those who she deems in opposition to her political viewpoints and career in the name of "public safety" and "law and order", rather than actually focus on serving and protecting the residents she would serve in this position. While some instances of conduct by Ms. Philhour and her associates are more documented than others, we hope that you take these facts presented in the totality of circumstances to oppose her nomination.

Sincerely,

Susan Vaughan
District 1
San Francisco

From: [Belal Mogaddedi](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of recommendation for Hasib Emran
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:21:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Esteemed Members of the Board,

I have the honor of speaking on behalf of a dear friend of mine—Hasib Emran—and to attest to his unwavering commitment and passion for the City and County of San Francisco, and its people.

My name is Belal Mogaddedi im a firefighter based here in San Francisco and one of the founders of the American Muslim First Responder Organization. Im writing this letter of recommendation with intentions to speak on How the city will benefit having Hasib apart of the police commission.

To understand why Hasib is the right person for this role, you have to understand where he comes from.

Hasib was raised by refugee parents who arrived here with nothing but hope and grit. They battled poverty, faced racism, and overcame the kinds of barriers that far too many minority families know all too well. And through all of that, they raised a son who didn't grow bitter—he grew into a servant of the people.

I've known Hasib for over a decade. He doesn't just talk about the community—he builds with it. I've watched him show up to city meetings, mentor young people, console families after tragedy, and stay long after the cameras are gone. He's not in it for attention—he's in it because it's right.

This isn't a man chasing titles. This is someone who's lived the consequences of broken systems—and now he's working to repair them from the inside out, with courage, compassion, and lived experience.

Hasib understands that accountability and trust must flow both ways between law enforcement and community. And he knows that real change doesn't start with slogans—it starts with honest, grounded voices at the table. Hasib is that voice.

So if you're tired of performative leadership and want someone who carries the struggle and the solution—someone who chooses justice, every time—then Hasib Emran is exactly who you need on the Police Commission.

Let's back someone who's always backed us.

Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write in full support of Hasib Emran's nomination to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Sincerely,
Russ W. Rosenzweig
CEO, Round Table Group

<https://url.avanan.click/v2/r0l/>, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/russ-rosenzweig-00683a2a/?XXArXoNnZHoYOMnE6boz2MmUjOZBmYJANdMsNdIdmKzmYmVLMzkLkTZYOWQ3MDo3OmNhYTg6NczrGE2MDA1N2MZYWM5YTJtNilmNa4UMGEwOTcSN2I4ZTZlYmViZWlnbWkiMjMj4ZFhmWf0MiVzbzMdtP0OI6Tq>