

File No. 250967

Committee Item No. 1

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Committee Date March 18, 2026

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date _____

Cmte Board

☐	☐	Motion
☐	☐	Resolution
☒	☐	Ordinance
☒	☐	Legislative Digest
☐	☐	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
☐	☐	Youth Commission Report
☐	☐	Introduction Form
☒	☐	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
☐	☐	MOU
☐	☐	Grant Information Form
☐	☐	Grant Budget
☐	☐	Statement on Retroactivity
☐	☐	Contract/Agreement
☐	☐	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
☐	☐	Notice of Award/Award Letter
☐	☐	Application
☒	☐	Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

☒	☐	<u>Noticing Documents</u>
☒	☐	<u>DPH Presentation 2/4/2026</u>
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date March 12, 2026

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date _____

[Health, Business and Tax Regulations, Public Works Codes - Compact Mobile Food Operation Definitions and Fees]

Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit, and add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, and revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions in that Code; and expand the definition of stadium concession to include food facilities in stadiums with a seating capacity of 5,000 or more; establish annual permit and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and ~~remove annual food facility surcharge fees,~~ waive license and permit fees for compact mobile food operations; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and to require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and the Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncoded text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

1 Section 1. Article 8 of the Health Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 451
2 and 454, to read as follows:

3
4 **SEC. 451. FOOD PREPARATION AND SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT.**

5 For purposes of this Article 8, the following terms have the following meanings:

6 **“Bar or tavern”** means any Food Preparation and Service Establishment ~~that~~^{which}
7 primarily prepares and/or serves alcoholic beverages.

8 ~~**“Bed and breakfast establishment”** means a “restricted food service facility” as defined in~~
9 ~~California Health and Safety Code Section 113893, as may be amended from time to time.~~

10 **“Boardinghouse”** means any building, or portion thereof, occupied or intended,
11 arranged, or designed for occupation, by six or more but less than 35 guests, where sleeping
12 rooms and meals are provided to the guests for compensation; ~~in addition,~~ Boardinghouse
13 includes, but is not limited to, all private institutional-type homes where inspection is made by
14 the Department of Public Health.

15 **“Caterer”** means a person who is in the business of providing food, beverages, and
16 sometimes service, at social gatherings. The Caterer prepares the food at a location
17 separate from the social gathering, though the Caterer may engage in Limited food
18 preparation at the location where the Caterer serves the food. A Caterer is not a private
19 chef or chef for hire who prepares food in a private home.

20 **“Catering facility”** means any Catering operation ~~Food Preparation and Service~~
21 ~~Establishment~~ where a Caterer prepares food for service at another location and includes
22 Catering facility - Cooking and Catering facility - No Cooking.

23 ~~(1)~~ **“Catering facility - Cooking”** means a Catering facility where food is cooked for
24 service at another location.

1 ~~(2)~~—“**Catering facility - No Cooking**” means a Catering facility where Limited food
2 preparation occurs, but cooking is not allowed.

3 “**Catering operation**” has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section
4 113739.1, as may be amended from time to time.

5 “**City**” means the City and County of San Francisco.

6 “**Commissary**” ~~means any food establishment in which food, containers, equipment, or~~
7 ~~supplies are stored or handled for use in vehicles, mobile food preparation units, food carts, or vending~~
8 ~~machines—~~ has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section 113751, as may be
9 amended from time to time. Commissary includes, but is not limited to, Commissary for cooking and
10 Commissary for Mobile Food Facility servicing.

11 —~~(1)~~ “**Commissary for cooking**” means a Commissary where cooking occurs.

12 —~~(2)~~ “**Commissary for Mobile Food Facility servicing**” means a Commissary
13 where food is stored for a Mobile Food Facility, and where no food preparation or cooking is
14 allowed.

15 “**Community event**” has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section
16 113755, as may be amended from time to time.

17 “**Compact Mobile Food Operation**” means a Mobile Food Facility that operates from an
18 individual or from a pushcart, stand, display, pedal-driven cart, wagon, showcase, rack, or other
19 nonmotorized conveyance. Compact Mobile Food Operation includes Compact Mobile Food
20 Operation – Low Risk, Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk, and Compact Mobile Food
21 Operation – High Risk.

22 “**Compact Mobile Food Operation – Low Risk**” means a Compact Mobile Food Operation that
23 offers for sale only Prepackaged, non-Potentially hazardous food and whole uncooked produce and
24 features more than 25 square feet of food display.

1 **“Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk”** means a Compact Mobile Food
2 Operation that offers unpackaged foods (non-PHF or PHF) and may engage in Limited food
3 preparation. Prepackaged Potentially hazardous foods may also be offered. This operation shall not
4 include raw meat, raw poultry, or raw fish.

5 **“Compact Mobile Food Operation – High Risk”** means a Compact Mobile Food Operation
6 that offers unpackaged Potentially hazardous food and engages in Limited food preparation. This
7 operation can prepare raw meat, raw poultry, or raw fish and meets the warewashing and
8 handwashing facility requirements for mobile food facilities as stated in Chapter 10 of the California
9 Health and Safety Code (commencing on Section 114294), as may be amended from time to time.

10 **“Consumer”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section
11 113757, as may be amended from time to time.

12 **“Cooking school”** means a Food facility that operates as a school where students
13 prepare and consume food products.

14 **“Director”** means the “Director of Health ~~of the City~~ or the Director’s designee.
15 ~~“Inspectors” shall mean the “Inspectors of the Department of Public Health,” administered by said~~
16 ~~Director. The Director shall be responsible for the administration and enforcement of this Article 8 and~~
17 ~~the rules and regulations relating thereto. The Director shall, after a public hearing, prescribe the~~
18 ~~rules and regulations relating thereto. All Food Preparation and Service Establishments shall be~~
19 ~~operated, conducted, and maintained in accordance therewith.~~

20 **“Employee Cafeteria”** means a Ffood facility located within a business premises
21 where the ~~business~~ employees of the business are provided or sold food on a regular basis.
22 Food and drink are not regularly served to the public and the Ffood facility~~establishment~~ is not
23 subject to tax. The operators of the Ffood facility are either employees of the business or are
24 contracted by that business.

1 **“Food demonstrations”** means any food preparation and/or service facility operating
2 out of ~~a Temporary food facility~~ ies approved by the Director ~~of Health~~ for a period of time not to
3 exceed seven consecutive days for purposes of demonstrating food preparation or equipment.

4 **“Food facility”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section 113789,
5 as may be amended from time to time.

6 **“Food preparation”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section
7 113791, as may be amended from time to time.

8 **“Food Preparation and Service Establishment”** means any ~~R~~estaurant, ~~M~~mobile
9 ~~F~~ood ~~F~~acility, Compact Mobile Food Operation, ~~guest house~~, ~~B~~oardinghouse, ~~S~~pecial events,
10 ~~S~~chool food concessions, ~~B~~ar or tavern, ~~T~~ake-out establishment, ~~fast food establishment~~,
11 ~~C~~aterer, ~~C~~atering facility – Cooking, Catering facility - No Cooking, ~~T~~emporary food facility,
12 ~~F~~ood demonstration, ~~C~~ommissary, ~~pusheart~~, ~~S~~tadium concession, ~~V~~ending machine,
13 ~~Restricted food service facility~~ ~~bed and breakfast establishment~~, ~~E~~mployee ~~C~~afeteria, ~~P~~private
14 school cafeteria, ~~H~~ospital kitchen, and ~~L~~icensed ~~H~~health ~~C~~are ~~F~~acility, as those terms are
15 defined herein.

16 **“Guest house”** means any building, or portion thereof, occupied or intended,
17 arranged, or designed for occupation, by 35 or more guests where sleeping rooms and meals
18 are provided to the guests for compensation and ~~shall include~~ s, but is not limited to, ~~“guest~~
19 ~~house,”~~ “residence club,” “lodge,” “dormitory,” “residence cooperative,” and any of its variants.

20 **“Hospital kitchen”** means any ~~F~~ood ~~preparation and service~~ facility operating within a
21 hospital that serves food to staff or the general public, but not to patients.

22 **“Host facility”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section
23 113806.1, as may be amended from time to time.

24 **“Licensed Health Care Facility”** means a Food facility located in any ~~all~~ of the following
25 health facilities ~~with~~ that have 16 or more beds and are designated for the diagnosis, care,

1 prevention, and treatment of human illness, physical or mental, including convalescence,
2 rehabilitation, and perinatal care ~~during and after pregnancy~~, to which persons are admitted for a
3 24-hour stay or longer:

4 (1) General Acute Care Hospital as defined in California Health and Safety Code
5 Section 1250(a), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~;

6 (2) Acute Psychiatric Hospital as defined in California Health and Safety Code
7 Section 1250(b), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~;

8 (3) Skilled Nursing Facility as defined in California Health and Safety Code
9 Section 1250(c), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~;

10 (4) Intermediate Care Facility as defined in California Health and Safety Code
11 Section 1250(d), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~;

12 (5) Special Hospital as defined in California Health and Safety Code Section
13 1250(f), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~;

14 (6) Intermediate Care Facility/Developmentally Disabled ~~as defined in California~~
15 Health and Safety Code Section 1250(g), as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive~~
16 ~~statutes~~; and

17 (7) Chemical Dependency Recovery Facility as defined in California Health and
18 Safety Code Section 1250.3, as may be amended from time to time ~~or any successive statutes~~.

19 Notwithstanding the above definition, the term "Licensed Health Care Facility" does not
20 include aAny of the health facility types listed above that are operated by the State of California
21 Departments of Health Care Service ~~Mental Health~~, Developmental Services, or Corrections and
22 Rehabilitation, or any respective successor agencies ~~Youth Authority are not included in this definition.~~

23 **"Limited food preparation"** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety
24 Code Section 113818, as may be amended from time to time.

1 **“Limited service charitable feeding operation”** has the meaning set forth in
2 California Health and Safety Code Section 113819, as may be amended from time *to time*.

3 **“Mobile Food Facility”** means any vehicle ~~or pushcart~~ used in conjunction with a
4 commissary or other permanent ~~E~~food facility upon which food is sold or distributed at retail.
5 Mobile Food Facilities may be located on private or public property. A Mobile Food Facility
6 does not include a Transporter used to transport packaged food from a ~~E~~food facility or other
7 approved source to the Consumer. As distinguished from a Compact Mobile Food Operation,
8 ~~T~~there are ~~two~~^{five} categories of Mobile Food Facilities for licensing and fee payment purposes
9 under Business and Tax Regulations Code Section 249.1, Mobile Food Facility 4– Low Risk
10 and Mobile Food Facility 2– High Risk, as set forth below.

11 ~~—(1)—~~**“Mobile Food Facility 4– Low Risk”** means a motorized Mobile Food Facility
12 (such as, but not limited to, a food truck or trailer) where a Mobile Food Facility Vendor offers
13 only handles Pprepackaged ~~and non-potentially hazardous foods, including but not limited to,~~
14 ~~pastries, bagels, donuts, popcorn, chips, candies, sodas, or bottled drinks~~ and does not engage in Food
15 preparation.

16 ~~—(2)—~~**“Mobile Food Facility 2– High Risk”** means a motorized Mobile Food Facility
17 (such as, but not limited to, a food truck or trailer) where a Mobile Food Facility Vendor
18 offers handles non-Pprepackaged ~~and potentially hazardous foods and engages in Food preparation,~~
19 ~~including but not limited to, cold sandwiches, salads, pasta, or cold noodles.~~

20 ~~—(3)—~~**“Mobile Food Facility 3”** means a ~~Mobile Food Facility where a Mobile Food Facility~~
21 ~~Vendor handles non-prepackaged and non-potentially hazardous foods, including but not limited to,~~
22 ~~ehurros, salted bagels, cotton candy, lemonade, or tea.~~

23 ~~—(4)—~~**“Mobile Food Facility 4”** means a ~~Mobile Food Facility where a Mobile Food Facility~~
24 ~~Vendor engages in Limited food preparation.~~

1 ~~—(5) “Mobile Food Facility 5” means a Mobile Food Facility where a Mobile Food Facility~~
2 ~~Vendor engages in full food preparation or any food preparation not covered by Mobile Food Facility~~
3 ~~Categories 1-4, including but not limited to, tacos, burritos, crepes, or falafel.~~

4 **“Mobile Food Facility Vendor”** means any Person engaged in the business of
5 operating a Mobile Food Facility within the City.

6 **“Mobile Support Unit” means a motorized vehicle used in conjunction with a Commissary or**
7 **other permanent Food facility, that travels to, and services, Mobile Food Facilities as needed to**
8 **replenish supplies, including food and potable water, clean the interior of the unit, or dispose of liquid**
9 **or solid wastes.**

10 **“Owner” or “owners”** mean those Persons, ~~partnerships, or corporations~~ who are
11 financially interested in the operation of a Food Preparation and Service Establishment.

12 **“Operator”** means any Person engaged in the dispensing of ƒ or in assisting in the
13 preparation of ƒ food, or a Person otherwise employed in a Food Preparation and Service
14 Establishment.

15 **“Permitted Auxiliary Conveyance” means a facility containing the necessary handwashing and**
16 **warewashing sinks when operating a Compact Mobile Food Operation at a site-specific location.**

17 **“Person” has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section 113855, as**
18 **may be amended from time to time.**

19 **“Potentially hazardous food”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and
20 Safety Code Section 113871, as may be amended from time to time.

21 **“Prepackaged food”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code
22 Section 113876, as may be amended from time to time.

23 **“Private school cafeteria”** means any Food ~~preparation and service~~ facility serving
24 food to faculty and/or students of a school not operated by the San Francisco Unified School
25 District.

1 **“Restaurant”** means any Food facility, including by way of example but not limitation, any
2 coffee shop, cafeteria, short-order cafe, luncheonette, cocktail lounge, sandwich stand, soda
3 fountain, public school cafeteria or eating establishment, in-plant or employee eating
4 establishment, and any other eating establishment, organization, club, including Veterans’
5 Club, fast food establishment, boardinghouse, bed and breakfast establishments, or Guest house,
6 that which gives, sells, or offers for sale, food to the public, guests, patrons, or employees. The
7 term Restaurant includes as well as kitchens or other food preparation areas in which food is
8 prepared on the premises for serving or consumption on or off the premises, and requires no
9 further preparation, and also includes manufacturers of perishable food products that prepare
10 food on the premises for sale directly to the public. The term “Restaurant” shall does not
11 include Mmobile Ffood Ffacilities, cooperative arrangements made by employees who
12 purchase food or beverages for their own consumption and where no employee is assigned
13 full-time to care for or operate equipment used in such arrangement, or private homes, ~~nor~~
14 ~~shall the term “Restaurant” does not~~ include churches, church societies, private clubs, or other
15 nonprofit associations of a religious, philanthropic, civic improvement, social, political, or
16 educational nature, which purchase food, food products, or beverages, or which receive
17 donations of food, food products, or beverages for service without charge to their members, or
18 for service or sale at a reasonable charge to their members or to the general public at
19 occasional fundraising events, for consumption on or off the premises at which the food, food
20 products, or beverages are served or sold, if the service or sale of such food, food products,
21 or beverages does not constitute a primary purpose or function of the club or association, and
22 if no employee or member is assigned full-time to care for or operate equipment used in such
23 arrangements.

24 **“Restricted food service facility”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety
25 Code Section 113893, as may be amended from time to time.

1 **“School food concessions”** means any food preparation, food service, or food
2 products intended for consumption by students attending or participating in activities within a
3 school facility.

4 **“Shared kitchen complex”** means a facility that provides services and restrooms to
5 Food Preparation and Service Establishments located within the facility for the purpose of
6 cleaning, storage, refuse disposal, and wastewater disposal.

7 **“Special events”** means any organized collection of food purveyors operating
8 individually or collaboratively out of approved temporary or ~~Mmobile Ffood Ffacilities~~ at a fixed
9 location for a period of time not to exceed 25 days in a 90-day period in conjunction with a
10 single, weekly, or monthly ~~Ccommunity event as defined in California Health and Safety Code~~
11 ~~Section 113755, as may be amended from time to time.~~

12 **“Stadium concession”** means any ~~Ffood preparation and/or service~~ facility operating
13 within the footprint of a stadium, arena, or auditorium, with a seating capacity of 25,000 or
14 more.

15 **“Take-out establishment”** means any Food ~~facility Preparation and Service~~
16 ~~Establishment~~ that primarily prepares food for consumption off premises and does not have
17 seating for guests, patrons, or employees. The term “Take-out establishment” does not include Mobile
18 Food Facilities.

19 **“Temporary food facility”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code
20 Section 113930, as may be amended from time to time~~means any food preparation and service facility~~
21 ~~operating out of temporary facilities approved by the Director of Health at a fixed location for a period~~
22 ~~of time not to exceed 25 days in any 90-day period in conjunction with a single event or celebration.~~

23 **“Transporter”** has the meaning set forth in California Health and Safety Code Section 113932,
24 as may be amended from time to time.

1 **“Vending machine”** means any self-service device, which upon insertion of money,
2 credit card, bank card, mobile payment, or tokens, dispenses Potentially hazardous food or
3 beverages without the necessity of replenishing the device between each vending operation.
4

5 **SEC. 454. REGULATIONS.**

6 The Director shall be responsible for the administration and enforcement of this Article 8 and
7 the rules and regulations relating thereto. The Director may prescribe the rules and regulations
8 relating thereto. All Food Preparation and Service Establishments shall be operated, conducted, and
9 maintained in accordance with said rules and regulations. The rules and regulations to be issued
10 by ~~said~~the Director, shall, among other matters, provide for the following:

- 11 (a) Suitable ducts in said kitchens and elimination of obnoxious and disagreeable
12 odors from said public eating places;
- 13 (b) Suitable hoods for ranges;
- 14 (c) Proper ventilation for kitchens and dining rooms;
- 15 (d) Basements and storerooms to be dry, clean, and sanitary;
- 16 (e) Regulation of refrigeration and storage of foodstuffs;
- 17 (f) Installation and maintenance of proper sanitary plumbing;
- 18 (g) Handling, storage, and dispensing of milk;
- 19 (h) Receptacles for soiled linen, use of clean linens, and laundering thereof;
- 20 (i) Methods and manner of dishwashing;
- 21 (j) Collection and disposition of garbage and proper receptacles and containers
22 therefor;
- 23 (k) Adequate toilet facilities and the location of water closets, dressing rooms,
24 lockers, and wash basins;
- 25 (l) Cleanliness of the premises, utensils, and towels.

Section 2. Article 2 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 249.1 and 249.23, to read as follows:

SEC. 249.1. FOOD PREPARATION AND SERVICE ESTABLISHMENTS.

Every person, firm, or corporation engaged in the business of operating food preparation and service establishments, as defined in Section 451 of the Health Code, that requires permits from the Department of Public Health shall pay an annual license fee to the Tax Collector as follows:

(a)

Class	Fee
Class A. Food preparation and service establishments with a total square footage of:	
Less than 1,000 square feet	\$879
1,000 square feet to 2,000 square feet:	\$1,158
Greater than 2,000 square feet	\$1,326
Class B. Bar or tavern	
Without food preparation	\$750
With food preparation	\$950
Class C. Take-out establishment	\$1,051
Class D. <i>(Reserved) Fast food establishment</i>	\$1,189
Class E. Catering facility	

1	Catering facility – No Cooking	\$618
2	Catering facility – Cooking	\$1,054
3	Class F. Temporary <u>food</u> facility	\$176
4	Class G. Commissary	\$998
5	Commissary for Mobile Food Facility servicing	\$618
6	Commissary for cooking	\$1,027
7	Cooking school	\$618
8	Limited service charitable feeding operation	\$0
9	Host facility	\$824
10	Shared Kitchen Complex, less than 2,000 square feet	\$824
11	Shared Kitchen Complex, 2,000 square feet or more	\$1,030
12	Class H. Mobile Food Facilities	
13	Class H-1. Mobile Food Facility 1– <u>Low Risk</u>	\$195 <u>893</u> <u>778</u>
14	Class H-2. Mobile Food Facility 2– <u>High Risk</u>	\$292 <u>893</u> <u>778</u>
15	Class H-3. Mobile <u>Support Unit-Food Facility 3</u>	\$195 <u>781</u>
16	–Class H-4. Mobile Food Facility 4	\$778
17	–Class H-5. Mobile Food Facility 5	\$778
18	Class I. Stadium concession	\$710
19	Class J. Food Vending machines	\$227 per machine

Class K. <i>Restricted food service facility Bed and breakfast establishment</i>	\$1,126
Class L. Boarding house	\$283
Class M. Private school cafeteria	
Without food preparation	\$342
With food preparation	\$527
Class N. Hospital kitchen, with food service to the general public and staff only	\$1,060
Class O. Licensed Health Care Facility	\$1,169
Class P. Caterer	\$376
Class Q. Employee Cafeteria	
With only Limited food preparation	\$669
With food preparation	\$1,029
<u>Class R.</u> <i>Compact Mobile Food Operation</i>	
<i><u>Class R-1. Compact Mobile Food Operation – Low Risk</u></i>	<i><u>\$188</u></i>
<i><u>Class R-2. Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk</u></i>	<i><u>\$377</u></i>
<i><u>Class R-3. Compact Mobile Food Operation – High Risk</u></i>	<i><u>\$502</u></i>
<i><u>Class R-4. Permitted Auxiliary Conveyance</u></i>	<i><u>\$188</u></i>

The license fees set forth above shall be paid annually on or before March 31, in accordance with the provisions of Section 76.1 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

Notwithstanding the chart above, for license periods beginning on or after April 1, 2026, the annual license fee for the following licenses shall be \$0:

—(1) Class A: Food preparation and service establishments with a total square footage less than 1,000 square feet.

—(2) Class A: Food preparation and service establishments with a total square footage 1,000 square feet to 2,000 square feet.

—(3) Class A: Food preparation and service establishments with a total square footage greater than 2,000 square feet.

—(4) Class B: Bar or tavern without food preparation.

—(5) Class B: Bar or tavern with food preparation.

—(6) Class C: Take-out establishment.

~~—(7) Class D: Fast food establishment.~~

—(8) Class E: Catering facility – No Cooking.

(9) Class E: Catering facility – Cooking.

—(10) Class H: Mobile Food Facility 1— Low Risk.

~~—(11) Class H: Mobile Food Facility 2— High Risk.~~

—(12) Class H: Mobile Support Unit-Food Facility 3.

~~—(13) Class H: Mobile Food Facility 4.~~

~~—(14) Class H: Mobile Food Facility 5.~~

—(15) Class P: Caterer.

Class R-1: Compact Mobile Food Operation – Low Risk.

Class R-2: Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk.

Class R-3: Compact Mobile Food Operation – High Risk.

Class R-4: Permitted Auxiliary Conveyance.

1 (b) **Exemptions.** The following establishments are exempt from paying the fees
2 required by this Section 249.1:

3 (1) Food preparation and service establishments used exclusively by day care
4 facilities.

5 For the purpose of this subsection (b)(1), a “day care facility for children” shall
6 mean a “community care facility” licensed pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 3, Division 2
7 of the California Health and Safety Code (commencing at Section 1500), which provides
8 nonmedical care to children in need of personal services, supervision, or assistance essential
9 for sustaining the activities of daily living or for the protection of the individual on less than a
10 24-hour basis, or a “family day care home for children” licensed pursuant to the provisions of
11 Chapter 3.6, Division 2 of the California Health and Safety Code (commencing at Section
12 1597.50).

13 (2) Food preparation and service establishments funded through the Disability and
14 Aging Services Commission for nutrition projects for older individuals.

15 (3) Food preparation and service establishments owned and operated by a non-
16 profit organization for the purpose of charitable feeding.

17 (c) Beginning with fiscal year 2010-2011 and annually thereafter, the fees set forth
18 in this ~~s~~Section 249.1 may be adjusted each year, without further action by the Board of
19 Supervisors, as set forth in this subsection (c). Not later than April 1, the Director shall report
20 to the Controller the revenues generated by the fees for the prior fiscal year and the prior
21 fiscal year's costs of operation, as well as any other information that the Controller determines
22 appropriate to the performance of the duties set forth in this Article 2. Not later than May 15,
23 the Controller shall determine whether the current fees have produced or are projected to
24 produce revenues sufficient to support the costs of providing the services for which the fee is
25 assessed and that the fees will not produce revenue that is significantly more than the costs of

1 providing the services for which the fee is assessed. The Controller shall, if necessary, adjust
2 the fees upward or downward for the upcoming fiscal year as appropriate to ensure that the
3 program recovers the costs of operation without producing revenue that is significantly more
4 than such costs. The adjusted rates shall become operative on July 1.

5 (d) All permit fees and license fees required under the Municipal Code for the
6 operation of a Compact Mobile Food Operation ("CMFO") shall be waived by the department
7 otherwise authorized to impose such fees. For purposes of this subsection (d), "Permit fees"
8 means all permit, application, or other fees payable to the City upon application for, or
9 issuance of, any permit relating to the operation of a CMFO, and "license fees" means fees
10 payable to the City relating to the operation of a CMFO, including but not limited to fees
11 imposed under Section 249.23(b) of the Health Code. Notwithstanding the foregoing
12 sentence, the waiver in this subsection (d) does not apply to the Business Registration Fee
13 imposed under Article 12 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

14
15 **SEC. 249.23. MOBILE FOOD FACILITY AND COMPACT MOBILE FOOD**
16 **OPERATION PLAN CHECK FEES.**

17 (a) Each person filing an application for an initial Mobile Food Facility permit under
18 Public Works Code Section 184.83 also shall pay the Department of Public Health
19 ("Department") a plan check fee of ~~\$184~~ \$251 per hour. This fee is due and payable to the
20 ~~Health~~-Department at the time the applicant requests said plan check from the ~~Health~~
21 Department. The fee amount shall be based on the ~~Health~~-Department's estimate of the time
22 required to check the applicant's plans. The ~~Health~~-Department may refund a portion of the
23 fee payment or require additional payment if actual plan check time differs from the initial
24 estimate. The ~~Health~~-Department may withhold plan check approval until payment of the plan
25 check fees is received. ~~and the Department of Public Works' Mobile Food Facility permit shall not be~~

~~final and effective until the Health Department issues plan check approval.~~ The Controller may adjust this fee annually as set forth in Section 249.1(c).

(b) Each person filing an application for an Auxiliary Conveyance, an initial Compact Mobile Food Operation, or a Mobile Support Unit permit under Health Code Section 452 shall pay the Department a plan check fee as follows:

<u>Auxiliary Conveyance</u>	<u>\$188</u>
<u>Compact Mobile Food Operation – Low Risk</u>	<u>\$188</u>
<u>Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk</u>	<u>\$377</u>
<u>Compact Mobile Food Operation – High Risk</u>	<u>\$502</u>
<u>Mobile Support Unit</u>	<u>\$502</u>

Except where the Municipal Code provides for a waiver, this fee is due and payable to the Department at the time the applicant requests said plan check from the Department. The Department may withhold plan check approval until payment of the plan check fees is received. The Controller may adjust this fee annually as set forth in Section 249.1(c).

Section 3. The Public Works Code is hereby amended by revising Section 184.80 of Article 5.8 and Sections 5.9-2 and 5.9-8 of Article 5.9, to read as follows:

SEC. 184.80. DEFINITIONS.

For the purpose of this Article the following words and phrases mean and include:

* * * *

Mobile Food Facility. Any vehicle ~~or pushcart~~ used in conjunction with a commissary or other permanent food facility upon which food is sold or distributed at retail. Mobile Food

Facility does not include a "Transporter" used to transport packaged food from a food facility or other approved source to the consumer or a "compact mobile food operation" as defined in California Health and Safety Code Section 113831(c), as it may be amended from time to time. A Mobile Food Facility does not include any use that sells goods, wares, or merchandise other than food or drink intended for human consumption, or a Vendor eligible for or holding a valid permit pursuant to Article 5.9, ~~who sells pre-packaged food, foodstuffs, confectionary, condiment, or beverage for human consumption that is being resold in its original packaging.~~ For purposes of this Article, ~~a pushcart or~~ a mobile caterer ~~is~~ are both referred to as a Mobile Food Facility unless specifically stated otherwise.

* * * *

SEC. 5.9-2. DEFINITIONS.

For the purpose of this Article 5.9, the following words and phrases have the following meanings:

* * * *

Food. Any raw, cooked, or processed edible substance, ice, beverage, or any ingredient used or intended for use or sale in whole or in part for human consumption, or chewing gum. ~~pre-packaged food, foodstuffs, confectionary, condiment, or beverage for human consumption that a Vendor is reselling in its original packaging.~~

* * * *

SEC. 5.9-8. DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY FOR RULEMAKING.

(a) **Rules and Regulations Authorized.** The Department, in consultation with the Department of Public Health, Fire Department, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, and ~~the~~ Human Rights Commission, may adopt Rules and Regulations related to the

1 administration and enforcement of this Article 5.9, in order to further the purposes of this
2 Article 5.9, and to promote public health, safety, or welfare. The Rules and Regulations may
3 include but are not necessarily limited to:

4 * * * *

5 Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
6 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
7 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
8 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
9 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under
10 the official title of the ordinance.

11 Section 5. This ordinance, as introduced on September 30, 2025, proposed to amend
12 the Business and Tax Regulations Code by eliminating a permit fee for a catering facility and
13 rescinding Section 249.21, which established a Food Facility Charge. But those changes to
14 the Business and Tax Regulations Code had already been effectuated through the enactment
15 of Ordinance No. 279-24, which was approved on January 18, 2025, and Ordinance No. 140-
16 25, which was approved August 31, 2025. Therefore, the inclusion of those proposed
17 amendments was in error. The committee amendments introduced on February 4, 2026 omit
18 those proposed changes from the ordinance.

Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/ BESS IBTISAM HANISH
Deputy City Attorney

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST
(Amended in Committee, 2/4/2026)

[Health, Business and Tax Regulations, Public Works Codes - Compact Mobile Food Operation Definitions and Fees]

Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit, add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions in that Code, and expand the definition of stadium concession to include food facilities in stadiums with a seating capacity of 5,000 or more; establish annual permit and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits, and waive license and permit fees for compact mobile food operations; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and to require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and the Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors.

Existing Law

Article 8 of the Health Code defines various types of food preparation and service establishments, including Mobile Food Facilities (MFF), Commissaries, and Catering operations. But the current law does not include definitions for Compact Mobile Food Operations (CMFOs), Mobile Support Units, or Permitted Auxiliary Conveyances. The Public Works Code regulates mobile food vendors but does not currently define or authorize regulation of CMFOs, nor does it require interdepartmental consultation when issuing rules for street vending.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance makes changes to the Health Code, the Business and Tax Regulations Code, and the Public Works Code to align local law with recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code and to update related sections.

In the Health Code, the ordinance revises the definition of stadium concession to include food facilities in stadiums with a seating capacity of 5,000 or more. It eliminates MFF categories 1 through 3 and renames MFF categories 4 and 5 as MFF-high risk and MFF-low risk. The ordinance also introduces new definitions for CMFOs, Mobile Support Units, and Auxiliary Conveyances. CMFOs are further categorized into low-, moderate-, and high-risk tiers based on the type of food sold and the level of food preparation involved.

In the Business and Tax Regulations Code, the ordinance establishes plan check and annual license fees for CMFOs: \$188 for low-risk operations, \$377 for moderate-risk operations, and \$502 for high-risk operations, with an additional \$188 fee for permitted auxiliary conveyances. It also introduces a \$781 annual license fee and a \$502 plan check fee for Mobile Support Units and increases the hourly plan check fee for an initial MFF permit from \$181 to \$251.

The ordinance further waives all permit and license fees required under the Municipal Code for the operation of a CMFO, except for the Business Registration Fee imposed under Article 12 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

In the Public Works Code, the ordinance adds a definition for CMFOs, expands the Department of Public Works' authority to regulate them, and requires the Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and the Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations governing street vendors.

Background Information

The California Legislature amended the California Retail Food Code to formally recognize CMFOs as a distinct category of food facility. These changes were intended to support small-scale food vendors by creating a more accessible and tiered regulatory framework. This ordinance brings San Francisco's local codes into alignment with those state-level changes. This ordinance also modernizes and clarifies definitions and fee structures across the Health Code and Business and Tax Regulations Code. The ordinance is designed to support public health, economic opportunity, and regulatory clarity for vendors and enforcement agencies alike.

This Legislative Digest reflects an amendment adopted by the Budget and Finance Committee on February 4, 2026. The amendment waives all permit and license fees required under the Municipal Code for the operation of a CMFO, except for the Business Registration Fee imposed under Article 12 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code. It also clarifies the consolidation of five MFF categories into two by renaming the remaining categories as MFF-low risk and MFF-high risk, retaining the \$778 annual fee previously applied to MFF categories 4 and 5, and eliminating the proposed \$893 fees.

Finally, this ordinance, as introduced on September 30, 2025, proposed to amend the Business and Tax Regulations Code by eliminating a permit fee for a catering facility and rescinding Section 249.21, which established a Food Facility Charge. But those changes to the Business and Tax Regulations Code had already been effectuated through the enactment of Ordinance No. 279-24, which was approved on January 18, 2025, and Ordinance No. 140-25, which was approved August 31, 2025. Therefore, the inclusion of those proposed amendments was in error. The committee amendments introduced on February 4, 2026 omit those proposed changes from the ordinance.



Health, Business and Tax Regulations, Public Health Codes - Compact Mobile Food Operation Definitions and Fees File # 250967

BOS Budget & Finance Committee

Philips Ossai - Senior Environmental Health Inspector

February 4, 2026

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Compact Mobile Food Operations Background



- California Senate Bill SB 972 – Enhancing Safety of Sidewalk Food Vending
 - Modified California Retail Food Code
 - **Approved by Governor Newsom on Sept 23, 2022 and into effect Jan 1, 2023**
- **What is a Compact Mobile Food Operation (CMFO)?**
 - Mobile Food Facility
 - Operates from an individual or from a pushcart, stand, pedal-driven cart, wagon, showcase, rack, or other nonmotorized conveyance.



Compact Mobile Food Operations Overview



- SF BOS File #250967 - Health, Business and Tax Regulations, Public Health Codes - Compact Mobile Food Operation Definitions and Fees
 - Amends Article 8 of the Health Code which defines various types of food preparation and service establishments
 - **Ordinance Brings San Francisco's local codes into alignment with state-level changes.**
 - The ordinance is designed to support public health, economic opportunity, and regulatory clarity for vendors and enforcement agencies alike



CMFO Defined - SF Health Code, Article 8



- **“CMFO – Low Risk”** means a Compact Mobile Food Operation that is approved for the sale of more than 25 square feet of prepackaged non-potentially hazardous food and/or whole uncut produce.
- **“CMFO – Moderate Risk”** means a Compact Mobile Food Operation that is approved for sale of prepackaged potentially hazardous foods and/or Limited food preparation that does not include preparing raw meat, raw poultry or raw fish.
- **“CMFO – High Risk”** means a Compact Mobile Food Operation that is approved for limited food preparation including the preparation of raw meat, raw poultry or raw fish and meets the requirements for mobile food facilities as defined in Division 104, Part 7, Chapter 10 of the California Health and Safety Code.

Other Ordinance Amendments



- Other definition updates in alignment with State Code amendments
 - Mobile Support Unit
 - Permitted Auxiliary Conveyance - required handwashing and/or warewashing sinks when operating a CMFO at a site-specific location.
- **Enforcement**
 - Clarifies the joint authority to enforce CMFOs by DPH and DPW, in partnership.



Permitting



- CMFO requires permits from DPH, DPW and SF Fire (if applicable)

Application



Structural
Inspection



Permit Issued

A sample "PERMIT TO OPERATE AND CERTIFICATE OF SANITARY INSPECTION" form. The form is issued by the Department of Public Health, Environmental Health Branch, City and County of San Francisco. It authorizes the conduct of a "FOOD PREPARATION AND SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT" (Type of Operation: Compact Mobile Food Facility 1). The form includes fields for Owner, DBA, and Street Address (32 20TH St, San Francisco, CA 94132). It also includes a signature line for the Director of Environmental Health and a date field (ISSUED: 6/15/2022).

Fees



Created New Fee Category	Permit Fee
Compact Mobile Food Operation – Low Risk	\$188
Compact Mobile Food Operation – Moderate Risk	\$377
Compact Mobile Food Operation – High Risk	\$502
Auxiliary Conveyance	\$188
Mobile Support Unit	\$502



Conclusion

Thank you!



415.480.1185
sfnewdeal.org
hi@sfnewdeal.org

654 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

February 24, 2026

Re: File 250967 – Compact Mobile Food Operation Definitions and Fees

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisor Dorsey, and Supervisor Sauter:

SF New Deal is a nonprofit dedicated to strengthening neighborhoods by making it easier for under-resourced small business owners to succeed. Since March 2020, we have disbursed more than \$57 million directly to 2,076 small businesses across San Francisco.

We support this ordinance (File 250967). Aligning San Francisco's codes with the State's recognition of Compact Mobile Food Operations (CMFOs) and waiving local permit and license fees for CMFO operators are important steps toward regulatory clarity and creating economic opportunity for communities.

However, legalization of CMFOs without the infrastructure to support them is not enough. For that reason, we urge the City to work with vendors and advocates to create a regulatory structure for Microenterprise Home Kitchen Operations (MEHKOs) – as allowed by State law.

CMFOs and MEHKOs are complementary tools. CMFOs regulate how food is sold in public space. MEHKOs create a lower-cost, neighborhood-based food production pathway. Together, they can form a coherent micro-enterprise ecosystem. Without both, we risk creating a compliance gap that puts undue financial pressure on vendors.

We respectfully request that the City:

- Advance a clear timeline for legalizing and implementing MEHKOs;
- Identify interim solutions for affordable food preparation space;
- Provide capital support or financing pathways for required CMFO and MEHKO infrastructure investments; and
- Coordinate enforcement timelines with the availability of compliant infrastructure.

Small businesses — including micro-enterprises — are core to the cultural and commercial vibrancy of our neighborhoods, from the sidewalks of the Mission to downtown storefront activations like Vacant to Vibrant. If the goal is economic opportunity for communities, the regulatory pieces need to move together.

We support this ordinance and urge the Committee to ensure that MEHKO implementation advances in tandem so that CMFO legalization expands opportunity instead of frustrating it.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Simon Bertrang', written over a light blue rectangular background.

Simon Bertrang
Executive Director


Timestamp	Speaker's name	Spanish comment's transcript	English translation
2:45:39	Andrea	<i>Buenas tardes, mi nombre es Andrea y soy líder del comité de vendedores de comida de San Francisco. Quiero ser muy clara sobre lo que esta ordenanza significa para los vendedores como yo: la regulación sin los apoyos necesarios no es una transición, es una destrucción económica. Nos están diciendo que cumplamos ahora mientras que las cosas que hacemos es cumplir. Prometen, prometen, pero después estamos trabajando en eso pronto eso no ayuda a los vendedores para sobrevivir el día a día. Sin el programa MEHKO los vendedores somos obligados a cocinar y a usar cocinas, algo que es muy caro que cuesta alrededor de 22,000 al año sin apoyo de la ciudad. Nos exigen comprar carritos entre 8 y 18.000 dólares y la mayoría de nosotros no tenemos ese dinero. Cuando esta ordenanza pase, la aplicación de la ley no espera. La renta nos espera, los gastos nos esperan, los vendedores seremos expulsados ante cualquier apoyo. Si la ciudad quiere que los vendedores sobrevivan, deben de avanzar paralelamente en el programa MEHKO. Apoyo para carritos y tener un espacio seguro donde nosotros podamos vender día a día. Gracias</i>	Good afternoon, my name is Andrea and I am the leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. I want to be very clear about what this ordinance means for vendors like me: regulations without the necessary support is not a transition, it's economic destruction. They are telling us to comply now. And while the thing we do is comply... promises, promises, then "we are working on it soon". That does not help the vendors survive day to day. Without the MEHKO program, vendors are forced to cook and use kitchens, something that is very expensive that costs about \$22,000 a year without city help. We are required to buy carts between 8k and \$18,000 dollars and most of us do not have that money. When this ordinance passes, the enforcement of the law does not wait. Rent awaits us, expenses await us, vendors will be expelled from any support. If the city wants the vendors to survive, they must advance in parallel in the MEHKO program. Provide support for carts and a safe space where we can sell everyday. Thank you
2:49:00	Rosa Villanueva	<i>Mi nombre es Rosa Villanueva. Nuestro comité reunió más de 1000 firmas en la comunidad y entienden lo que estamos pasando. Además, los personales tratan de apoyarnos pero en la realidad eso no está pasando. Nosotros pedimos que la ley MEHKO pase de la misma manera que la otra ley que ustedes quieren que pase. Porque eso haría que nuestras familias se mantengan a flote. Porque nosotros somos personas de bajos recursos y no tenemos los fondos necesarios para poder comprar los carritos que ahorita nos están pidiendo. Por el momento, ahorita en la mayoría de nosotros desde el día sábado no hemos podido vender nuestra comida y eso implica que toda esta semana no hemos tenido dinero para nuestras familias. Muchísimas gracias por escucharme.</i>	My name is Rosa Villanueva. Our committee gathered over 1,000 signatures in the community because they understand what we're going through. Also, the staff try to support us, however in reality, this is not happening. We ask that the MEHKO law is approved in the same way as that other law you want to approve. Because that would keep our families afloat. Because we are low-income people and do not have the necessary funds to be able to afford the carts that they are asking us for now. For the time being, most of us, since Saturday, have not been able to sell our food and that means that all this week we have had no money for our families. Thank you so much for listening.

2:50:49	Edgar Roge	<i>Buenas tardes, supervisores. Mi nombre es Edgar. Soy un líder del comité de vendedores de comida de San Francisco. Yo he sido un vendedor en la Misión por muchos años. Sé lo que cuesta mantener abierto. Y también sé que cuando algo salga no cuadra. En este momento la ciudad les está pidiendo a los vendedores que se legalicen sin darnos el suelo para pararnos. Eso no es el camino, es un plan de cierre. Seguimos escuchando que el MEHKO vendrá después, y el dinero tal vez venga después, el espacio se arregla después pero “después” no protege a los vendedores. Cuando esta ordenanza ya está en vigor, sin MEHKO enfrentamos decenas de miles de dólares en nuevos costos cada año. Sin ayuda para los carritos, enfrentamos gastos inusuales que no podemos pagar y sin espacio, incluso los vendedores que quieren cumplir con lo que tienen. ¿A dónde ir? Si estos apoyan o llegan al mismo tiempo, la regularización de vendedores desaparecerá de inmediato. La certeza es ahora, la única forma de evitar la destrucción después. Gracias</i>	Good afternoon, supervisors. My name is Edgar. I am a leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. I have been a vendor in the Mission for many years. I know what it takes to stay open. And I also know when something doesn't add up. Right now, the city is asking vendors to legalize without giving us the ground to stand on. That's not a path forward; it's a plan to shut us down. We keep hearing that MEHKO will come later, and the money may come later, and the space will be arranged later, but “later” doesn't protect vendors. When this ordinance goes into effect, without MEHKO, we face tens of thousands of dollars in new costs each year. Without help for the carts, we face uncommon expenses that we cannot pay, and without a space, even vendors who want to comply with what they have. Where do we go? If these come together or arrive at the same time, the regularization of vendors will disappear immediately. Certainty is now the only way to avoid destruction later. Thank you.
	María Villegas	<i>Buenas tardes supervisores, mi nombre es María Villegas. Soy representante de un grupo de más de 60 vendedores de la Misión y venimos aquí para pedirles que nos den el soporte necesario para poder continuar vendiendo en la Misión. Ya que varios vendedores dependemos de nuestras ventas diarias, y en este momento estamos suspendidas de vender en la Misión por motivos del Super Bowl. Y en lugar de darnos la oportunidad de hacer un dinero extra, nos están reprimiendo y haciendo sufrir en la bolsa de nosotros. Ya que nosotros, los vendedores ambulantes, somos parte de la comunidad hispana y somos importantes para los visitantes que vienen al Super Bowl que vienen a conocer la cultura que tiene San Francisco. Otra cuestión es que... Gracias.</i>	Good afternoon supervisors, my name is María Villegas. I represent a group of more than 60 vendors from the Mission, and we are here to ask you to give us the support we need to continue selling in the Mission. Several vendors depend on our daily sales, and we are currently suspended from selling in the Mission because of the Super Bowl. Instead of giving us the opportunity to make extra money, they are repressing us and making us suffer financially. We, street vendors, are part of the Hispanic community and are important to visitors who come to the Super Bowl to learn about the culture of San Francisco. Another issue is that... Thank you.
2:53:50	Dailis Pérez	<i>Buenas tardes, mi nombre es Dailis Pérez y pertenezco a la comunidad de los vendedores ambulantes de la Mission Street. Gusto de saludarles y gracias por la oportunidad. El motivo de nuestra visita es porque para nosotros es de gran interés que esta ley sea evaluada y analizada antes de ponerla en marcha. Ya que está sacrificando los salarios de las personas de bajos recursos y a través de esto es que nosotros damos la manutención para nuestra familia y nuestros hijos. Muchas gracias</i>	Good day, my name is Dailis Pérez, and I belong to the community of street vendors on Mission Street. It is a pleasure to meet you, and thank you for this opportunity. The reason for our visit is, because it is of great interest to us, that this law be evaluated and analyzed before it is implemented. It is sacrificing the wages of low-income people, and it is through this that we provide for our families and our children. Thank you very much.
2:55:44 2:56:35	Celia Romero	<i>Buenas tardes, yo soy Celia Romero. Soy vendedora de alimentos y líder del comité de vendedores de alimentos de San Francisco. En teoría, esta política puede parecer viable en la política, sin todos las piezas no lo es. Muy buenas tardes, excelente día.</i>	Good afternoon, my name is Celia Romero. I am a food vendor and leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. In theory, this policy may seem viable in politics, but without all the pieces in place, it is not. Good afternoon, everyone. Have a great day.

2:57:20	Cecilia Contreras	<i>Hola, muy buenas tardes. Mi nombre es Cecilia Contreras y soy vendedor de alimentos y líder de comité de vendedores de alimentos de San Francisco. Los vendedores no deberían perder sus medios de vida porque las distintas partes del sistema avancen. A distintas velocidades las demoras burocráticas no deberían determinar quién sobrevive y quién no. Y aquí les pedimos a los supervisores que se pongan la mano en el corazón por nuestras familias que ahora mismo están siendo impactadas por lo del Super Bowl. Nosotros no pedimos que nos mantengan ni nada, solo queremos que nos dejen trabajar dignamente como lo estamos haciendo. Porque es el único sustento que tenemos para llevar un pan, un plato de comida a nuestros hijos y para pagar la renta de nuestras familias. Muchas gracias supervisores si pónganse la mano en el corazón si tienen familia igual que nosotros. Gracias.</i>	Hello, good afternoon. My name is Cecilia Contreras, and I am a food vendor and leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. Vendors should not lose their livelihoods because different parts of the system move forward at different speeds. Bureaucratic delays should not determine who survives and who does not. And here, we ask the supervisors to put their hands on their hearts for our families who are currently being impacted by the Super Bowl. We are not asking to be supported or anything, we just want to be allowed to work with dignity, as we do right now. Because it is the only livelihood we have, to put bread and food on the table for our children, and to pay the rent for our families. Thank you very much, supervisors, please put your hand on your heart if you have a family like us. Thank you.
2:59:05	Felipe Reyes Pérez	<i>Buenas tardes señores supervisores. Mi nombre es Felipe Reyes Pérez. Soy vendedor de alimentos, líder del comité de vendedores y alimentos de San Francisco. Nos ponemos a la indignación, nos ponemos a una importentación (SIC) completa que deposite todo el riesgo en los proveedores. Yo quisiera que nos dieran la oportunidad de, lo que estamos vendiendo en la vía pública hemos sido capacitados todos por la higiene por bacterias cruzadas qué es lo que tenemos más precaución. Y necesitamos el apoyo de ustedes que nos apoyen en estos carritos que nos están pidiendo que están escuchando por los compañeros.</i> <i>Les agradezco mucho, yo vengo de un trasplante de riñón que, gracias a Dios, he cumplido 10 años, y estoy deshabilitado de por vida. Y no puedo trabajar 8 horas, yo así estoy por 4 horas para trabajar por mi trasplante de riñón. Y muchas gracias, espero que nos escuchen a todos y la verdad es que necesitamos el apoyo de ustedes de antemano, porque en mi parte mía, yo no tengo la ayuda de nadie. Y si yo no trabajo al día, no llega el pan de cada día a mi familia. Gracias</i>	Good afternoon, supervisors. My name is Felipe Reyes Pérez. I am a food vendor and leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. We are outraged, we are completely fed up with all the risk being placed on vendors. I would like you to give us the opportunity to sell on public streets. We have all been trained in hygiene and cross-contamination, which is what we are most cautious about. And we need your support for these carts that you are asking us to listen to our colleagues about. I am very grateful to you. I had a kidney transplant, and thank God, I have made it 10 years, but I am disabled for life. I can't work 8 hours a day, I can only work 4 hours because of my kidney transplant. Thank you very much, I hope you will listen to us all. The truth is that we need your support in advance, because I don't have anyone to help me. If I don't work every day, my family won't have their daily bread. Thank you.
3:01:25	Yeseña	<i>Buenas tardes, mi nombre es Yeseña. Soy vendedora de alimentos y líder del comité de vendedores de alimentos de San Francisco. Actualmente la responsabilidad cae en los vendedores, una política justa y reparte la responsabilidad entre la ciudad y la comunidad. Solo les pedimos a los supervisores que nos dejen trabajar. Estamos trabajando legalmente, pagamos taxis y nosotros si no trabajamos no alimentamos a nuestra familia. Thank you</i>	Good afternoon, my name is Yeseña. I am a food vendor and leader of the San Francisco Food Vendors Committee. Currently, the responsibility falls on the vendors, which is a fair policy and shares the responsibility between the city and the community. We only ask the supervisors to let us work. We are working legally, we pay taxes, and if we don't work, we can't feed our families. Thank you.

3:02:35	Gloria Mejía	<i>Buenas tardes, profesores. Mi nombre es Gloria Mejía. Soy una vendedora aquí en San Francisco. Por aquí, estoy aquí luchando en la vida. Soy madre soltera. No tengo trabajo, ya tengo 3 años que no tengo trabajo. Estoy luchando para adelante con mis dos niños, una niña y un niño. Por eso estoy luchando, y yo necesito apoyo. Por eso estoy con esos grupos. Gracias</i>	Here, I am struggling to get by. I am a single mother. I don't have a job; I haven't had a job for three years. I am struggling to get by with my two children, a girl and a boy. That is why I am struggling, and I need support. That is why I am with these groups. Thank you.
3:03:35	Marí-a Jorge	<i>Buenas tardes, mi nombre es Marí-a Jorge. Y nada más les pido a los supervisores que nos ayuden, que nos den un permiso para vender en la Misión. Que nos den la oportunidad. Y les agradecemos mucho. Gracias</i>	Good afternoon, my name is María Jorge. And I just ask the supervisors to help us, to give us a permit to sell in the Mission. To give us the opportunity. And we thank you very much. Thank you.
3:04:00	Abby Texin	<i>Muy buenas tardes, mi nombre es Abby Texin y soy un vendedor de alimentos y líder del comité de vendedores de alimentos de San Francisco. Y seguimos escuchando que la ciudad está trabajando en soluciones pero los vendedores no podemos sobrevivir con solo trabajar en ello. Necesitamos apoyo, la ley debe avanzar con todas las piezas en su lugar al mismo tiempo para que los vendedores no corramos el riesgo de que nos quiten. Muchas gracias</i>	Good afternoon, my name is Abby Texin, and I am a food vendor and leader of the San Francisco Food Vendor Committee. We keep hearing that the city is working on solutions, but vendors cannot survive by just working on on plans. We need support. The law must move forward with all the pieces in place at the same time, so that vendors do not run the risk of being removed. Thank you very much.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: February 4, 2026

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject: **File No. 250967.** Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit and add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, and revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions in that Code; establish annual permit and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and remove annual food facility surcharge fees; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors.

If this legislation passes, Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.1, will be amended to create a new Class R for Compact Mobile Food Operations establishing annual license fees of \$188 for low-risk operations (R-1), \$377 for moderate-risk (R-2), \$502 for high-risk (R-3), and \$188 for permitted auxiliary conveyances (R-4). The fee for Class H-1 Mobile Food Facility 1 will increase from \$195 to \$893, Class H-2 Mobile Food Facility 2 from \$292 to \$893, and H-3 Mobile Support Unit (formerly Mobile Food Facility 3) from \$195 to \$781.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

File No. 250967 (10-Day Fee Ad)

Hearing Date: February 4, 2026


Page 2

Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.23, will be amended to increase plan check fees for each person filing an application for an initial Mobile Food Facility permit from \$181 to \$251 per hour. Plan check fees will be established for each person filing an application for an Auxiliary Conveyance and initial Compact Mobile Food Operation - Low Risk at \$188, Moderate Risk at \$377, and High Risk or a Mobile Support Unit at \$502.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 30, 2026.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee:

Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) – (415) 554-7712)



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

bjj:jec:ams

DATED/POSTED: January 23, 2026

PUBLISHED: January 25, and February 1, 2026

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E 1ST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839
Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

BRENT JALIPA
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

BJJ Fee Ad File No. 250967

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

01/25/2026 , 02/01/2026

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$1839.60
Set aside for CCSF Outreach Fund	\$204.40
Total	\$2044.00

EXM# 4006748

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC
HEARING
BUDGET AND FINANCE
COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-
CISCO
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY
4, 2026 - 10:00 AM
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER,
ROOM 250, CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B.
GOODLETT PLACE, SAN
FRANCISCO, CA 94102**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows: at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 250967**. Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit and add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, and revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions in that Code; establish annual permit and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and remove annual food facility surcharge fees; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors. If this legislation passes, Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.1, will be amended to create a new Class R for Compact Mobile Food Operations establishing annual license fees of \$188 for low-risk operations (R-1), \$377 for moderate-risk (R-2), \$502 for high-risk (R-3), and \$188 for permitted auxiliary conveyances (R-4). The fee for Class H-1 Mobile Food Facility 1 will increase from \$195 to \$893, Class H-2 Mobile Food Facility 2 from \$292 to \$893, and H-3 Mobile Support Unit (formerly Mobile Food

Facility 3) from \$195 to \$781. Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.23, will be amended to increase plan check fees for each person filing an application for an initial Mobile Food Facility permit from \$181 to \$251 per hour. Plan check fees will be established for each person filing an application for an Auxiliary Conveyance and initial Compact Mobile Food Operation - Low Risk at \$188, Moderate Risk at \$377, and High Risk or a Mobile Support Unit at \$502. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center/lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 30, 2026. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org - (415) 554-7712) Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco

EXM-4006748#



* A 0 0 0 0 0 7 3 0 2 5 5 2 *

SAFETY

Continued from page A1

How governments strike that balance could be crucial to San Francisco companies and residents. The City has become the epicenter of AI development, home to OpenAI and Anthropic — the two best-funded and most highly valued venture-backed companies in the sector — and numerous other startups. Much of San Francisco’s economic rebound, particularly the gradual filling of vacant office space, is being driven by the AI sector.

At the same time, city residents — and people elsewhere — have had to contend with harm caused by the technology, including AI-related delusions. And some technology experts worry such harm will only get worse, potentially risking catastrophes, such as aiding the development of weapons of mass destruction.

Such large-scale harm is what SB 53 is intended to avert. Although the law, which took effect Jan. 1, has other provisions, it’s mainly focused on transparency, shining a light on what developers are doing — or not — to prevent those dangers.

The measure requires developers of cutting-edge AI models to create and make public a “framework” discussing how they assess whether the systems pose threats of catastrophic risk, the steps they’ve taken to prevent such risks, and whether they’ve had third parties evaluate their risk assessments and mitigation steps.

Under the law, a catastrophic risk is one that could lead to the death or serious injury of more than 50 people, or property damage in excess of \$1 billion, thanks to an AI model helping develop or deploy a chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear weapon; the model launching on its own a cyberattack or engaging in a serious crime like murder or theft; or the model evading developer or user control.

The law also requires developers to report to state authorities “critical safety incidents,” such as if a model causes a catastrophe or if deaths or injuries have occurred due to loss of control of an AI system.

SB 53 was the result of a long process that started with the prior year’s Senate Bill 1047, which Wiener also wrote. That bill would have required developers of cutting-edge models to safety test them and would have barred them from releasing models that had an “unreasonable risk” of leading to a catastrophe.

Although the California Legislature overwhelmingly passed SB 1047, it drew widespread opposition from the tech industry, venture investors such as Andreessen Horowitz, and AI researchers such as Stanford’s Fei-Fei Li. Newsom ended up vetoing the bill — but in doing



CRAIG LEE/THE EXAMINER

State Sen. Scott Wiener spent more than a year working to craft SB 53 and get it enacted after SB 1047, his first AI safety bill, was vetoed.

so, he put together an advisory panel that included Li and charged it with making recommendations about AI safety.

That panel encouraged the state to focus on transparency, and Wiener — who was not available for comment — made that the centerpiece of SB 53, which won the approval of both the Legislature and Newsom.

The RAISE Act originally started out as something of a hybrid between SB 1047 and SB 53 — it emphasized transparency but also barred developers from releasing unsafe models. New York has a process in which governors essentially make their signing of bills contingent on the legislature agreeing to certain changes to them, and that’s what happened with the RAISE Act.

As amended by Hochul, the bill no longer includes the prohibition on releasing unsafe models and instead has much the same transparency language as SB 53. But unlike the California measure, it creates a new office in the New York’s Department of Financial Services that will have the authority to make additional rules to enhance reporting and transparency requirements. It also requires developers to report critical safety incidents within 72 hours, rather than within 15, as under SB 53.

While he was disappointed that the bar on unsafe models wasn’t included in the final version, New York Assemblymember Alex Bores — the RAISE Act’s author — said he was excited the bill passed and built on SB 53 in “meaningful ways.”

It’s now “the strongest AI safety law in the country,” he said.

“It pierces the myth that states have no role in regulating AI or that SB 53 is the ceiling of what is required,” Bores said.

The passage of the two bills came as the tech industry has been fighting hard against AI regulation, particularly at the state level. Last month, spurred on by tech industry figures, President Donald Trump signed an executive order seeking to block

states from enacting laws governing the technology.

Meanwhile, a super PAC backed by Andreessen Horowitz, San Francisco investor Ron Conway and other tech- and venture-industry figures has targeted Bores in particular, aiming to undermine his run for Congress due to his

advocacy of the RAISE Act.

In the face of such headwinds, Michael Kleinman — the head of U.S. policy for the Future of Life Institute, an advocacy group that pushes for legislation to protect against large-scale AI risks — said he was “thrilled” to see the RAISE Act pass.

The enactment of SB 53 and the New York bill “shows not only that we can pass AI safety regulation, but that there’s political will and momentum to do so,” Kleinman said.

And those measures might only be the start. Not only are other states working on their own AI transparency bills, some — such as Utah and Nebraska — are including extra provisions in them that are focused on protecting kids.

Those provisions in the Utah and Nebraska bills would require developers to not only disclose how they test for and

mitigate catastrophic risks but also — if they offer AI chatbots — risks to child safety. The measures define child-safety risks as those that would, if done by a person, be considered to have recklessly or intentionally caused death, severe emotional distress or injury to a child, including self-harm.

Both Fiefia and Nebraska state Sen. Tanya Storer, the author of Legislative Bill 1083, cited the case of Southern California teen Adam Raine in explaining why they included the child-safety provisions in their bills.

Raine died by suicide in April, allegedly after being talked into it and given instructions by OpenAI’s ChatGPT. Raine is one of several teens and even adults whose suicides were allegedly encouraged by ChatGPT or other chatbots.

Such dangers have led child-advocacy group Common Sense Media to advise parents to not allow their children to use chatbots for companionship or emotional or mental-health support.

“As tragic cases like the death of sixteen-year-old Adam Raine show, damage is being done today,” Storer said in an email. “We cannot afford to make the mistake we made with social media by leaving tech companies to govern themselves.”

Tech-industry representatives remain opposed to state-level regulations and advocate a federal solution. States that overregulate AI could thwart innovation within their borders, said Megan Stokes, state director of the Computer & Communications Industry Association, a trade group. And companies could find it a challenge to comply with different regulations in different states, she said.

“CCIA is incredibly concerned with the possibility of a company being in compliance in one state, which then requires them to be out of compliance in another state,” Stokes said.

But advocates for AI safety legislation said there’s a need for states to step in because Congress hasn’t done so. The idea that other states are building on SB 53 should put to rest the idea that companies will have to contend with conflicting statutes in different states, said Sunny Gandhi, vice president of public affairs at Encode, an AI advocacy group that sponsored Wiener’s measure and is sponsoring Fiefia’s bill in Utah.

Even if the bills vary a bit from state to state, that’s actually a good thing, Fiefia said. States should respond to the needs and concerns of their own citizens, he said.

“This isn’t a ‘one size fits all,’” Fiefia said.

If you have a tip about tech, startups or the venture industry, contact Troy Wolverton at twolverton@sfxaminer.com or via text or Signal at (415) 515-5594.

San Francisco Examiner

PUBLIC NOTICES

Qualified for San Francisco and San Mateo Counties
File & Publish New Business Name: Examiner.DBAStore.com
Other Legal Notices: Examiner.LegalAdStore.com

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DAILY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER • BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROGRESS • MILLBRAE • SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER • EXAMINER • SO. SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER • SAN BRUNO

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
JANUARY 28, 2026 - 10:00 AM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc> in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4006749#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2026 - 10:00 AM
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco’s Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 250967**. Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit and add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, and revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions. In that Code, establish annual and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and remove annual food facility surcharge fees; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works’ street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors. If this regulation passes, Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.1, will be amended to create a new Class R for Compact Mobile Food Operations establishing annual license fees of \$188 for low-risk operations (R-1),

\$372 for moderate-risk (R-2), \$507 for high-risk (R-3), and \$188 for permitted auxiliary conveyances (R-4). The fee for Class H-1 Mobile Food Facility 1 will increase from \$195 to \$893. Class H-2 Mobile Food Facility 2 from \$292 to \$893, and H-3 Mobile Support Unit (formerly Mobile Food Facility 3) from \$195 to \$781. Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.23, will be amended to increase plan check fees for each person filing an application for an initial Mobile Food Facility permit from \$181 to \$251 per hour. Plan check fees will be established for each person filing an application for an Auxiliary Conveyance and initial Compact Mobile Food Operation - Low Risk at \$188, Moderate Risk at \$377, and High Risk or a Mobile Support Unit at \$502. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors’ Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 30, 2026. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-7712; Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco

EXM-4006748#

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
JANUARY 27, 2026 - 2:00 PM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4006405#

Invitation for Bid # 2026-095

John Adams Allied Health

Relocation

Bids due Tuesday, February 17th, 2026 at 1:00 PM

IFB #2026-095 John Adams Allied Health Relocation.

City College of San Francisco is soliciting bids for John Adams Allied Health

Relocation. Scope includes Partial tenant improvement (TI) for basement, 1st, 2nd & 3rd floors for relocating the Allied Health program to John Adams Center. The program includes registered nursing, diagnostic medical imaging, and dental assisting program from Ocean Campus Cloud Hall to John Adams Center. Associated modifications to structural, electrical, mechanical & plumbing as needed. Restroom accessibility upgrade as needed. IFB documents will be available on **1/26/2026** from: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/office-facilities-and-capital-planning/bid-opportunities>. Mandatory Pre-bid walk on **1/28/2026 at 10:00am** or **2/3/2026 at 10:00am** by appointment only, see bid documents for scheduling information. Attendance to at least one pre-bid walk is required. For questions, email CCSF.Facilities@ccsf.edu. Submit sealed bids at District Facilities Office, B606, 50 Frida Kahlo Way to facilities@ccsf.edu. Bids due **2/17/26 at 1:00 PM. Required License - "B". Project Estimate: \$5,000,000.** Small/local businesses welcome. District reserves right to reject any/all bids. Advertising dates: **1/25/26 and 2/1/26.**

EXM-4006735#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY
February 2, 2026 - 1:30 PM
Legislative Chamber, Room 250, City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco’s Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 250814**. Ordinance ordering the summary street vacation of City property on unimproved street areas of Moraga and Noriega Avenues; finding the street vacation area is not necessary for the City’s use; reserving easements related to support for the City-owned retaining wall from the street vacation properties and including other conditions to the street vacation; amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to rezone the City property identified as Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 2042, Lot Nos. 039-041 from P (Public)/OS (Open Space) to RH-2 (Residential Housing Two-family/40-X, and the rezoned parcels on Kensington Way adjacent to Vasquez Avenue shown on Assessor’s

Parcel Block No. 2923, Lot Nos. 010A and 024-027 from RH-1(D)/40-X to Public/Open Space; affirming the Planning Commission’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of the Planning Code, Section 101.1 and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (bos@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors’ Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 30, 2026. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-4445

EXM-4004401#

GOVERNMENT

City of Daly City
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS

Notice is hereby given that the Planning Commission of the City of Daly City will hold a Meeting on Tuesday, **February 3, 2026**, at the hour of 7:00 p.m., in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 333-90th Street, Daly City, California, to consider the following proposed project: **1. Zone Change ZC 04-24-16507.** Request by the City of Daly City to rezone certain parcels on Mission Street and Geneva Avenue into the CC-Commercial Cannabis Combining District (overlay zone), permitting the non-retail sale of commercial cannabis “by-right” without the requirement for additional public hearings. The parcels proposed for rezoning are those parcels with Mission Street frontage between Crocker Avenue and Trenchard Avenue, with Geneva Avenue frontage between Talbert Street and Baysshore Boulevard, and with Geneva Avenue frontage

between Castillo Street and Calgary Street. Said sales would occur in accord with Chapter 5.104 of the Daly City Municipal Code - Commercial Cannabis. The proposed regulations are exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section of 15061 - Review for Exemption. At said time and place, the Planning Commission will receive all material evidence and will hear all interested persons concerning the item. Questions may be addressed to the Planning Division office at (650) 991-8033. Tatum Mothershead, Director, Department of Economic and Community Development. PUBLISHED: Sunday, January 25, 2026 **1/25/26**
SPEN-4006471#
EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

PROBATE

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF JANICE MARIE MACLAREN
CASE NO. 26-PRO-00077

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of: **JANICE MARIE MACLAREN** A Petition for Probate has been filed by **KATHLEEN MACLAREN** in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO. The Petition for Probate requests that **KATHLEEN MACLAREN** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. The Petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice

to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in this court on **2/20/2026** at **9:00 A.M.** in Dept. **PROBATE** Room **N/A** located at **400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063**. If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.

Attorney for Petitioner: **SALLY BERGMAN, 1900 S. NORFOLK STREET, SUITE 350, SAN MATEO, CA 94403**, Telephone: **650-242-9800** 1/23, 1/25, 2/1/26 **SPEN-4005596#**
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF MARGARET M. BERNARDIN
CASE NO. 26-PRO-00011

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of: **MARGARET M. BERNARDIN AKA MARGARET BERNARDIN** A Petition for Probate has been filed by **MARK BERNARDIN** in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO. The Petition for Probate requests that **MARK BERNARDIN** be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. The Petition requests the decedent’s will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court. The Petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an

interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority. A hearing on the petition will be held in this court on **2/17/2026** at **9:00 A.M.** in Dept. **13** Room **N/A** located at **800 NORTH HUMBOLDT STREET, SAN MATEO, CA 94401**. If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.

You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk. Attorney for Petitioner: **MARK A. HOMEN, 1728 B STREET, HAYWARD, CA 94541**, Telephone: **510-247-0400** 1/16, 1/18, 1/25/26 **SPEN-4003867#**
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

San Francisco Examiner

PUBLIC NOTICES

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DALY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER • BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROGRESS • MILLBRAE • SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER • EXAMINER - SO. SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER - SAN BRUNO

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
FEBRUARY 4, 2026 - 10:00 AM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc> in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4009340#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery Financing District

Date/Time: Thursday, February 12, 2026, at 1:30 p.m., location: Committee Room 263, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 Meeting Title: Public Hearing regarding the Downtown Revitalization Financing Plan for the San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery Financing District Background: On June 3, 2025, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ("City") adopted Resolution No. 279-25 ("Resolution of Intention"), which was signed by the Mayor on June 12, 2025, stating its intention to cause the establishment of the San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery Financing District ("District"). The Board of Supervisors adopted the Resolution of Intention pursuant to Division 8 of Title 6 of the California Government Code (commencing with Section 62450) ("District Law"). The District would be a legally constituted governmental entity separate and distinct from the City. The District would be established for the sole purpose of financing conversion projects or other projects of communitywide significance in downtown San Francisco (as defined in Government Code section 62450(h)) that support downtown revitalization and economic recovery. The Board of Supervisors established the Board of Directors of the San Francisco Downtown Revitalization and Economic Recovery District ("Board of Directors") to act as the governing board for the District pursuant to Ordinance No. 082-25, adopted on June 10, 2025, and signed by the Mayor on June 12, 2025 ("Ordinance Establishing Board of Directors"). On September 25, 2025, the Board of Directors adopted Resolution No. 2025-01, directing the preparation of a Downtown Revitalization Financing Plan ("Downtown Revitalization Plan") for the District. The Downtown Revitalization Plan will include a description of the boundaries of the District and the potential commercial-to-residential conversion projects or other projects of communitywide significance that may receive financial assistance from the District; a financing section including a description of the incremental tax revenue of the City to be committed to the District; and other such information and analyses required by the District Law. Previous Public Hearings: Pursuant to the District Law, the Board of Directors is required to consider adoption of the Downtown Revitalization Plan at three public hearings. The Board of Directors previously held two public hearings on the Downtown Revitalization Plan. Upon the completion of the second public hearing, the Board of Directors approved by resolution certain modifications of the Plan. In addition, on January 28, 2026, the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors held a noticed public hearing relative to the Downtown Revitalization Plan. The Board of Supervisors is scheduled to consider approval of the Downtown Revitalization Plan on February 3, 2026. Meeting Description: At the meeting described in this Notice, which is the third public hearing, the Board of Directors will consider any written and oral comments, and may enact a resolution to approve the Downtown Revitalization Plan. Access to Downtown Revitalization Plan: The Board of Directors has made the draft Downtown Revitalization Plan available on the following internet website: <https://sfbos.org/san-francisco-downtown-revitalization-and-economic-recovery-financing-district>. Further Information: For further information on this matter or details regarding

future meetings or activities, please contact: Erica Major at (415) 554-4441 or email DRFD@sfgov.org. This notice is being delivered in the manner required by the District Law.

EXM-4008907#

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT, AND SUMMARY OF ACTIONS OF THE JANUARY 27, 2026 MEETING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
are available at www.sfbos.org; 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102; or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4008862#

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250,
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
FEBRUARY 3, 2026 - 2:00 PM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4008861#

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
February 5, 2026 - 10:00 AM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-4008538#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 2026 - 10:00 AM
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 250967**, Ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit and add definitions for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code, and revise the definitions of various other terms to reflect State law definitions in that Code; establish annual permit and plan check fees for auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and remove annual food facility surcharge fees; amending the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and to expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations, and require that Department to consult with the Department of Public Health and Fire Department when issuing rules and regulations that regulate street vendors. If this legislation passes, Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.1, will be amended to create a new Class R for Compact Mobile Food Operations establishing annual license fees of \$188 for low-risk operations (R-1), \$377 for moderate-risk (R-2), \$502 for high-risk (R-3), and \$188 for permitted auxiliary conveyances (R-4). The fee for Class H-1 Mobile Food Facility 1 will increase from \$195 to \$893, Class H-2 Mobile Food Facility 2 from \$292 to \$893, and H-3 Mobile Support Unit (formerly mobile Food Facility 3) from \$195 to \$781. Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.23, will be amended to increase plan check fees for each person filing an application for an initial Compact Mobile Food permit from \$181 to \$251 per hour. Plan check fees will be established for each person filing an application for an Auxiliary Conveyance and initial Compact Mobile Food Operation - Low Risk at \$188, Moderate Risk at \$377, and High Risk or a Mobile Support Unit at \$502. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who

are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Galvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, January 30, 2026. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-7712 | Angela Galvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Office and County of San Francisco

EXM-4006748#

Invitation for Bid # 2026-095
John Adams Allied Health Relocation
Bids due Tuesday, February 17th, 2026 @ 1:00 PM

IFB #2026-095 John Adams Allied Health Relocation. City College of San Francisco is soliciting bids for **John Adams Allied Health Relocation**. Scope includes Partial tenant improvement (TI) for basement, 1st, 2nd & 3rd floors for relocating the Allied Health program to John Adams Center. The program includes registered nursing, diagnostic medical imaging, and dental assisting program from Ocean Campus Cloud Hall to John Adams Center. Associated modifications to structural, electrical, mechanical & plumbing as needed. Restroom accessibility upgrade as needed. IFB documents will be available on 1/26/2026 from: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/office-facilities-and-capital-planning/bid-opportunities>. Mandatory Pre-bid walk on 1/28/2026 at 10:00am or 2/3/2026 at 10:00am by appointment only, said documents for scheduling information. Attendance to at least one pre-bid walk is required. For questions, email CCSF Facilities at facilities@ccsf.edu. Subtly sealed bids at District Facilities Office, B606, 50 Frida Kahlo Way to facilities@ccsf.edu. Bids due 2/17/26 at 1:00 PM. **Required License - "B" Project Estimated \$5,000,000** Small/local businesses welcome. District reserves right to reject any/all bids. Advertising dates: 1/25/26 and 2/1/26.

EXM-4005735#

BULK SALES

NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF BULK SALE
UCC 6105

Escrow No. BU-4434-JS Notice is hereby given that a bulk sale is about to be made. The name and business address of the Seller is: (1) The name(s) and business address of the Seller is/are: LAILA CUTLER, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, 330 MAIN STREET, #105, HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019 (2) The location in California of the chief executive office of the Seller is: 544 GROVE STREET, HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019 (3) The business is known as: VINOTECA (4) All other business names and addresses used by the Seller(s) within the past (3) years, as stated by the Seller is: NONE (5) The name(s) and business address(es) of the Buyer(s) is/are: ASK THE MOON LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, 300 MAIN STREET, HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019 (6) The assets of VINOTECA to be sold are described in general as: INVENTORY, FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT, GOODWILL, LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS, AND COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE and are located at: 330 MAIN STREET, #105, HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019 (7) The anticipated date of the bulk sale is FEBRUARY 23, 2026, at the office of: SECURED TRUST OF VICTOR, INC., 21111 ECTOR ST., TORRANCE, CA 90503, ATTN: BELL SANTIAGO, EMAIL: INFO@SECUREDTRUSTSCROW.COM ** Must reference Escrow No. BU-4434-JS (8) The last day for filing claims by any creditor shall be FEBRUARY 20, 2026, which

is the business day before the anticipated sale date specified above. Claims may be filed with as stated above in item 7. (9) This Bulk Sale is not subject to California Uniform Commercial Code Section 6106.2. DATE: 27 JAN 2026 BUYER: ASK THE MOON LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ORD-4655318 EXAMINER- SAN MATEO WEEKLY 2/1/26 **SPEN-4009011#**
EXAMINER & SAN MATEO WEEKLY

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-302688

The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: EXM-4006748# REAL- MENLO PARK, CA 94025 County of SAN MATEO GREENBOX SYSTEMS LLC, 300 EL CAMINO REAL, MENLO PARK, CA 94025 This business is conducted by Limited Liability Company, State of Organization: Delaware The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ VIKAS PAREKH, MANAGER This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 01/16/2026. Mark Church, County Clerk KAMILLE SANTOS, Deputy Original 2/1, 2/8, 2/15, 2/22/26 **NPEN-4008789#**
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-302735

The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **VINTAGE ELEVATOR SERVICES, 3991 PACIFIC BLVD, SAN MATEO, CA 94403** County of SAN MATEO **SPECIALIZED ELEVATOR CO, INC., 62 SHAWMUT RD., STE. 1, CANTON, MA 02021** This business is conducted by A CORPORATION STATE OF INCORPORATION: MASSACHUSETTS The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 12/01/2025 I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ SILVANA HERNANDEZ - C/ This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 01/22/2026. Mark Church, County Clerk 2/1, 2/8, 2/15, 2/22/26 **NPEN-400882#**
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

GOVERNMENT

Request for Proposal RFP # 2026-106
Special Inspection Testing Services for John Adams Allied Health Relocation
Submissions due Tuesday, February 24, 2026, at 2:00PM

RFP # 2026-106 Special Inspection Testing Services for John Adams Allied Health Relocation. City College of San Francisco is soliciting proposals for Special Inspection services. The Firm must have pre-qualified through RFQ #2025-094 "Request for Qualifications for Special Inspection Services". RFP documents will be available on February 2, 2026 from: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/office-facilities-and-capital-planning/bid-opportunities>. For questions, email CCSF Facilities at facilities@ccsf.edu. Submit electronic proposals to facilities@ccsf.edu, due **February 24, 2026 at 2:00pm**. Small/local businesses encouraged. District reserves the right to reject any/all submissions. Advertising dates: 2/1/26 and 2/8/26. **CNS-4008796#**
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

Request for Proposal RFP # 2026-105
Inspector of Record for John Adams Allied Health Relocation

Submissions due Tuesday, February 24, 2026, at 2:00PM

RFP # 2026-105 Inspector of Record for John Adams Allied Health Relocation. City College of San Francisco is soliciting proposals for Inspector of Record services. The Firm must have pre-qualified through RFQ #2025-093 "Request for Statement of Qualifications for Project Inspection Services". RFP documents will be available on February 2, 2026 from: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/office-facilities-and-capital-planning/bid-opportunities>. For questions, email CCSF Facilities at facilities@ccsf.edu. Submit electronic proposals to facilities@ccsf.edu, due **February 24, 2026 at 2:00pm**. Small/local businesses encouraged. District reserves the right to reject any/all submissions. Advertising dates: 2/1/26 and 2/8/26. **CNS-4008797#**
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF DALY CITY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ORDINANCE SUMMARY
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY AMENDING CHAPTER 2.10 OF THE DALY CITY MUNICIPAL CODE

RECREATION COMMISSION
1. SUMMARY In 2017, the Daly City Youth Advisory Committee (DCYAC) was established. The DCYAC was created to offer school-aged residents an opportunity to serve on a board, observe and participate in local government, and gain valuable experience. Over time, the Commission struggled to maintain a quorum due to extracurricular commitments and scheduling conflicts, including after-school sports. Staff now proposes repealing and replacing the Daly City Municipal Code Chapter 2.20 (Recreation Commission) to include up to two Youth Advisory Members ("Youth Commissioners") and one alternate, each of which must be residents of Daly City, between 13 and 17 years of age. Each Youth Commissioner shall serve in an advisory capacity only. 2. CERTIFICATION AND POSTING AFTER ADOPTION This Ordinance was adopted on January 26, 2026. Within fifteen days after adoption (1) the Summary of this Ordinance was published in a newspaper of general circulation and circulated in the City, and (2) a certified copy of this Ordinance, with the names of those City Council members voting for or against, or otherwise voting, was posted in my office, all in accordance with Government Code Section 36933. The vote was recorded as follows: FOR: Daus-Magbual, DiGiovanni, Manalo, Proano, Sylvester AGAINST: None ABSTAIN: None **SPEN-4008202#**
EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF DALY CITY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ORDINANCE SUMMARY
THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY REPEALING AND REPLACING CHAPTER 2.10 OF THE DALY CITY MUNICIPAL CODE
RE: ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION
1. SUMMARY The Arts & Culture Commission was established by the Daly City Council in December 2013 to develop and encourage programs in the fine arts and to promote and celebrate the cultural diversity of the community. The role of the Commission has expanded beyond advising on fine arts—it has evolved into building bridges, amplifying marginalized voices, and intentionally using culture to address social issues. Staff is recommending revising the duties of the Arts and Culture Commission. To support the growing responsibility, City Council desires to establish an Art in Public Places account in order to ensure adequate funding to support the Commission. This account is designed to provide clear oversight of funds donated or allocated by the City Council for cultural programming and will ensure accountability and the ability to strategically plan long-term arts initiatives. 2. CERTIFICATION AND POSTING AFTER ADOPTION This Ordinance was adopted on January 27, 2026. K. Annette Hipona CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY

2/1/26 **SPEN-4007912#**
EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF DALY CITY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ORDINANCE SUMMARY
THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY REPEALING AND REPLACING CHAPTER 2.10 OF THE DALY CITY MUNICIPAL CODE

RE: ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION
1. SUMMARY The Arts & Culture Commission was established by the Daly City Council in December 2013 to develop and encourage programs in the fine arts and to promote and celebrate the cultural diversity of the community. The role of the Commission has expanded beyond advising on fine arts—it has evolved into building bridges, amplifying marginalized voices, and intentionally using culture to address social issues. Staff is recommending revising the duties of the Arts and Culture Commission. To support the growing responsibility, City Council desires to establish an Art in Public Places account in order to ensure adequate funding to support the Commission. This account is designed to provide clear oversight of funds donated or allocated by the City Council for cultural programming and will ensure accountability and the ability to strategically plan long-term arts initiatives. 2. CERTIFICATION AND POSTING AFTER ADOPTION This Ordinance was adopted on January 27, 2026. K. Annette Hipona CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY

on January 26, 2026. Within fifteen days after adoption (1) the Summary of this Ordinance was published in a newspaper of general circulation and circulated in the City, and (2) a certified copy of this Ordinance, with the names of those City Council members voting for or against, or otherwise voting, was posted in my office, all in accordance with Government Code Section 36933. The vote was recorded as follows: FOR: Daus-Magbual, DiGiovanni, Manalo, Proano, Sylvester AGAINST: None ABSTAIN: None **SPEN-4007906#**
EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

2/1/26 **SPEN-4007906#**
EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT

Invitation for Bid 2026-063
Creative Arts Extension Sewage Ejector Replacement
Bids due Tuesday, March 3, 2026 @ 2PM

IFB #2026-063: Creative Arts Extension Sewage Ejector Replacement project. Work includes procurement and installation of 2 new pumps, electrical equipment, and trenching for electrical conduit, at CCSF's Ocean Campus. Bid documents will be available on 2/5/2026 from: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/office-facilities-and-capital-planning/bid-opportunities>. Mandatory Pre-bid walk on 2/18/26 at 9:00AM by appointment only, see bid documents for scheduling information. For questions, email CCSF Facilities at facilities@ccsf.edu. Submit bid at the Office of Facilities Planning and Construction, Bungalow 606, 50 Frida Kahlo Way, San Francisco, CA 94112. Bids due 3/3/2026 at 2PM. Required License - "B" Project Estimate: \$250,000.00. This project is a public works project subject to prevailing wage laws. Small/local businesses welcome. Right to reject any/all bids. Advertising dates: 2/1/2026 and 2/8/2026. 2/1, 2/8/26 **CNS-4007042#**
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

PROBATE

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF LEOVIGILDO WILLIAMSON PEPA, JR.
CASE NO. 26-PRO-00100

To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of: LEOVIGILDO WILLIAMSON PEPA, JR. A Petition for Probate has been filed by DENNIS A. PEPA in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO. The Petition for Probate requests that DENNIS A. PEPA be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent. The Petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.

A hearing on the petition will be held in this court on 2/27/2026 at 9:00 A.M. in Dept. 13 Room N/A located at 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063.

If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law. You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code. Other California



City and County of San Francisco
Daniel L. Lurie
Mayor

San Francisco Department of Public Health

Daniel Tsai
Director of Health

September 22, 2025

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Please find attached a proposed ordinance amending the Health and Business and Tax Regulations Codes to revise the definition of a mobile food facility permit and add a definition for compact mobile food operations, mobile support unit, and permitted auxiliary conveyance permits to reflect recent amendments to the California Retail Food Code. The ordinance would revise existing definitions of various other terms to reflect State definitions in the California Retail Food Code; would establish annual permit and plan check fees for an auxiliary conveyance, compact mobile food operation, and mobile support unit permits; and would remove annual food facility surcharge fees. The ordinance would also amend the Public Works Code to include a definition for compact mobile food operations and expand the Department of Public Works' street vending authority to include regulation of compact mobile food operations.

The following is a list of accompanying documents:

- Ordinance approved as to form
- Legislative Digest

For questions on this matter, you may contact Claire Altman, DPH Acting Government Affairs Manager, at (415) 579-3336 or claire.altman@sfdph.org.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Tsai".

Daniel Tsai
Director of Health

cc: Sneha Patil, Director, DPH Office of Policy and Planning
Jennifer Callewaert, Acting Director, DPH Environmental Health Branch