

File No. 240508

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

Board Item No. 22

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: May 21, 2024

#### Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

#### OTHER

- Assembly Bill 2655 - 4/24/24
- Assembly Bill 2839 - 5/2/24
- Assembly Bill 3211 - 4/18/24
- Senate Bill 1228 - 4/25/24
- CSAC/LCC Position
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: Lisa Lew

Date: May 17, 2024

Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1 [Supporting California State Assembly Bill Nos. 2655 (Berman), 2839 (Pellerin), 3211 (Wicks),  
2 and Senate Bill No. 1228 (Padilla) - Artificial Intelligence in Elections]

3 **Resolution supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 2655 introduced by Assembly**  
4 **Member Marc Berman, Assembly Bill No. 2839 introduced by Assembly Member Gail**  
5 **Pellerin, Assembly Bill No. 3211 introduced by Assembly Member Buffy Wicks, and**  
6 **Senate Bill No. 1228 introduced by Senator Steve Padilla to address various**  
7 **technologies of artificial intelligence in elections.**

8  
9 WHEREAS, Artificial Intelligence technology has greatly developed in recent years,  
10 causing concerns over the potential impacts on election integrity; and

11 WHEREAS, There have already been instances across the country of AI technology  
12 being used to interfere in elections on all levels; and

13 WHEREAS, There have been efforts at the state and federal levels, including the  
14 Federal Elections Commission (FEC), to introduce legislation to reduce the potential harms of  
15 AI on elections; and

16 WHEREAS, Supervisor Dean Preston held a hearing on AI in local elections at the  
17 Rules Committee of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on May 13, 2024; and

18 WHEREAS, The Brennan Center released a report on May 8, 2024, entitled ‘How  
19 Election Officials Can Identify, Prepare for, and Respond to AI Threats,’ which provides  
20 recommendations on how cities can reduce and prevent risks associated with AI technology,  
21 and which was discussed at the May 13, 2024, Rules Committee hearing; and

22 WHEREAS, A State Bill package was identified at the May 13, 2024 Rules Committee  
23 hearing as crucial to preventing misleading or deceptive AI-generated material, and  
24 proactively helping to ensure that Californians have access to transparent and accurate  
25 information; and

1           WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 2655 (AB 2655), introduced by Assembly Member Marc  
2 Berman, would require large online platforms to block the posting or sending of materially  
3 deceptive and digitally modified or created content related to elections, or to label that content,  
4 during specified periods before and after an election; and

5           WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 2839 (AB 2839), introduced by Assembly Member Gail  
6 Pellerin, would prohibit the distribution of an advertisement or other election communication  
7 that contains materially deceptive and digitally altered or created images, audio, or video files  
8 with the intent to influence an election or solicit funds for a candidate or campaign; and

9           WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 3211 (AB 3211), introduced by Assembly Member Buffy  
10 Wicks, would require the implementation of watermark and content provenance requirements  
11 on artificial intelligence (AI) system providers, camera technology manufacturers, and online  
12 platforms, enforceable through administrative penalties assessed by the California  
13 Department of Technology (CDT); and

14           WHEREAS, Senate Bill No. 1228 (SB 1228), introduced by State Senator Steve  
15 Padilla, would require large online platforms to seek to verify influential users, to label such  
16 accounts and their posts with notes that the user is or is not authenticated by the platform,  
17 and authorizes public prosecutors to file prioritized actions to enjoin violations and seek other  
18 equitable relief; now, therefore, be it

19           RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors expresses its concern  
20 about the potential use of AI-generated content to mislead or deceive San Francisco voters  
21 and threaten election integrity; and, be it

22           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors finds that it is  
23 essential for the State of California to take action to prevent the potential use of AI-generated  
24 content to mislead or deceive San Francisco voters and threaten election integrity; and, be it

25

1           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors supports, and  
2 urges the California State Legislature and Governor to support, AB 2655, AB 2839, AB 3211,  
3 and SB 1228; and, be it

4           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the  
5 Board to transmit a copy of this resolution to Assemblymember Matt Haney,  
6 Assemblymember Phil Ting, State Senator Scott Wiener, Assembly Member Marc Berman,  
7 Assembly Member Gail Pellerin, Assembly Member Buffy Wicks, State Senator Steve Padilla,  
8 California Senate President Pro Tempore Mike McGuire, California Assembly Speaker Robert  
9 Rivas, and Governor Gavin Newsom.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 24, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 1, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 21, 2024

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2023–24 REGULAR SESSION

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 2655**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Members Berman and Pellerin  
(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Cervantes)  
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Bennett)**

February 14, 2024

---

---

An act to amend Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and to add Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 20510) to Division 20 of the Elections Code, relating to elections.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2655, as amended, Berman. Defending Democracy from Deepfake Deception Act of 2024.

Existing law establishes requirements for the conduct of election campaigns, including requirements regarding the endorsement of candidates, political corporations, campaign funds, fair campaign practices, and libel and slander. Existing law, until January 1, 2027, prohibits any person, committee, or other entity from distributing, with actual malice, materially deceptive audio or visual media of a candidate for elective office with the intent to injure the candidate's reputation or to deceive a voter into voting for or against the candidate, within 60 days of the election. Existing law requires specified actions pertaining to elections to be given precedence when they are filed in court, including actions involving the registration of voters, the certification of candidates and measures, and election contests, and, until January

1, 2027, actions involving the foregoing prohibition against materially deceptive media.

This bill would establish the Defending Democracy from Deepfake Deception Act of 2024 for the purpose of preventing the online dissemination of manipulated media and disinformation meant to deceive voters and to prevent them from voting. The bill would require a large online platform, as defined, to block the posting or sending of materially deceptive and digitally modified or created content related to elections, during specified periods before and after an election. The bill would require a large online platform to label certain additional content inauthentic, fake, or false during specified periods before and after an election.

The bill would require a large online platform to develop procedures for California residents to report content that has not been blocked or labeled in compliance with the act. The bill would also authorize California residents, the Attorney General, and a district attorney or city attorney to seek injunctive relief against a large online platform for noncompliance with the act, as specified, and would assign precedence to such actions when they are filed in court.

The bill would exempt from its provisions a regularly published online newspaper, magazine, or other periodical of general circulation that routinely carries news and commentary of general interest, if the publication complies with specified disclosure requirements. The bill would also exempt content that is satire or parody.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as  
2 amended by Section 1 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is  
3 amended to read:  
4 35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial  
5 of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification  
6 of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot  
7 measures, election contests, actions under Section 20010 of the  
8 Elections Code, actions under Chapter 7 (commencing with Section  
9 20510) of Division 20 of the Elections Code, and actions under  
10 Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 21100) of Division 21 of the

1 Elections Code shall be placed on the calendar in the order of their  
2 date of filing and shall be given precedence.

3 (b) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2027,  
4 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that  
5 is enacted before January 1, 2027, deletes or extends that date.

6 SEC. 2. Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as amended  
7 by Section 2 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is amended  
8 to read:

9 35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial  
10 of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification  
11 of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot  
12 measures, election contests, actions under Chapter 7 (commencing  
13 with Section 20510) of Division 20 of the Elections Code, and  
14 actions under Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 21100) of  
15 Division 21 of the Elections Code shall be placed on the calendar  
16 in the order of their date of filing and shall be given precedence.

17 (b) This section shall become operative January 1, 2027.

18 SEC. 3. Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 20510) is added  
19 to Division 20 of the Elections Code, to read:

20

21 CHAPTER 7. DEFENDING DEMOCRACY FROM DEEPFAKE  
22 DECEPTION ACT OF 2024

23

24 20510. This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the  
25 Defending Democracy from Deepfake Deception Act of 2024.

26 20511. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

27 (a) California is entering its first-ever generative artificial  
28 intelligence (AI) election, in which disinformation powered by  
29 generative AI will pollute our information ecosystems like never  
30 before. Voters will not know what images, audio, or video they  
31 can trust.

32 (b) In a few clicks, using current technology, bad actors now  
33 have the power to create a false image of a candidate accepting a  
34 bribe or a fake video of an elections official “caught on tape”  
35 saying that voting machines are not secure, or to generate the  
36 Governor’s voice telling millions of Californians their voting site  
37 has changed.

38 (c) In the lead-up to the 2024 presidential elections, candidates  
39 and parties are already creating and distributing deepfake images  
40 and audio and video content. These fake images or files can spread

1 to millions of Californians in seconds and skew election results or  
 2 undermine trust in the ballot counting process.

3 (d) The labeling information required by this bill is narrowly  
 4 tailored to provide consumers with factual information about the  
 5 inauthenticity of particular images, audio, video, or text content  
 6 in order to prevent consumer deception.

7 (e) In order to ensure California elections are free and fair,  
 8 California must, for a limited time before and after elections,  
 9 prevent the use of deepfakes and disinformation meant to prevent  
 10 voters from voting and to deceive voters based on fraudulent  
 11 content.

12 20512. For purposes of this chapter, the following terms have  
 13 the following meanings:

14 (a) *“Artificial intelligence” means an engineered or*  
 15 *machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and that*  
 16 *can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it*  
 17 *receives how to generate outputs that can influence physical or*  
 18 *virtual environments.*

19 ~~(a)~~

20 (b) (1) “Elections official” means any of the following persons,  
 21 but only in their capacity as a person charged with holding or  
 22 conducting an election, conducting a canvass, assisting with the  
 23 holding or conducting of an election or a canvas, or performing  
 24 another duty related to administering the provisions of this code:

25 (A) An elections official as defined in Section 320.

26 (B) The Secretary of State and their staff.

27 (C) A temporary worker, poll worker, or member of a precinct  
 28 board.

29 (D) Any other person charged with holding or conducting an  
 30 election, conducting a canvass, assisting with the holding or  
 31 conducting of an election or a canvas, or performing another duty  
 32 related to administering the provisions of this code.

33 (2) The requirements of this chapter relating to content  
 34 portraying an elections official apply only if the large online  
 35 platform knows or should know that the person is an elections  
 36 official.

37 ~~(b)~~

38 (c) “Election processes” means any government process related  
 39 to an election, including, but not limited to, elections, candidates,



1 vote counting, redistricting, and proceedings or processes of the  
2 electoral college.

3 (e)

4 (d) (1) “Materially deceptive and digitally modified or created  
5 content” means an image or an audio or video recording or other  
6 digital content, including a chatbot, that has been intentionally  
7 manipulated such that all of the following conditions are met:

8 (A) (i) The digital content is the product of digital manipulation,  
9 *including, but not limited to*, artificial intelligence, ~~or machine~~  
10 ~~learning, including deep learning techniques, that merges,~~  
11 ~~combines, replaces, or superimposes content onto an image or an~~  
12 ~~audio or video recording, creating an image or an audio or video~~  
13 ~~recording that appears authentic, or that otherwise generates an~~  
14 ~~inauthentic image or an audio or video recording that appears~~  
15 ~~authentic, and~~ *but* that contains a false portrayal of any of the  
16 following: a candidate for elective office, elected official, elections  
17 official, voting machine, ballot, voting site, other property or  
18 equipment related to an election, or elections process.

19 (ii) For purposes of this subdivision, “false portrayal” means  
20 the content would cause a reasonable person to have a  
21 fundamentally different understanding or impression of the content  
22 than the person would have if they were hearing or seeing ~~the~~  
23 ~~unaltered, original~~ *an authentic* version of the content.

24 (B) The person or entity who attempted to post or send, or who  
25 did post or send, the content did so knowing the portrayal was  
26 false, or did so with reckless disregard for whether the portrayal  
27 was false. If the content is intentionally manipulated and contains  
28 a false portrayal as specified in subparagraph (A), there shall be a  
29 rebuttable presumption that the person or entity knew the portrayal  
30 was false or that they acted with reckless disregard for whether  
31 the portrayal was false.

32 (2) “Materially deceptive and digitally modified or created  
33 content” does not include any image or audio or video recording  
34 that contains only minor modifications that do not lead to  
35 significant changes to the perceived contents or meaning of the  
36 content. Minor changes include changes to the brightness or  
37 contrast of images, removal of background noise in audio, and  
38 other minor changes that do not impact the content of the image  
39 or audio or video recording.

40 (d)

1 (e) “Large online platform” means a public-facing internet  
2 website, web application, or digital application, including a social  
3 network, video sharing platform, advertising network, or search  
4 engine that had at least 1,000,000 California users during the  
5 preceding 12 months.

6 20513. (a) Any large online platform, using state-of-the-art,  
7 best available tools to detect digitally modified or created content,  
8 shall develop and implement procedures for blocking and  
9 preventing, and shall, if the large online platform knows or should  
10 know that the digitally modified or created content meets the  
11 requirements of this section, block and prevent, the posting or  
12 sending of any materially deceptive and digitally modified or  
13 created content, during the applicable time period or periods set  
14 forth in subdivision (c), of any of the following:

15 (1) A candidate for election portrayed as doing or saying  
16 something that the candidate did not do or say.

17 (2) An elections official portrayed as doing or saying something  
18 in connection with the performance of their elections-related duties  
19 that the elections official did not do or say.

20 (3) An elected official portrayed as doing or saying something  
21 that influences the election that the elected official did not do or  
22 say.

23 (4) A voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other property or  
24 equipment related to an election that is portrayed in a materially  
25 false way.

26 (b) (1) Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), a large  
27 online platform shall not prevent a candidate for an election, during  
28 the time period set forth in subdivision (c), from portraying  
29 himself as doing or saying something that the candidate did not  
30 do or say, but only if the digital content includes a disclosure  
31 stating the following: “This \_\_\_\_\_ has been manipulated.” The  
32 blank in this disclosure shall be filled in with whichever of the  
33 following terms most accurately describes the media:

34 (A) Image.

35 (B) Audio.

36 (C) Video.

37 (2) (A) For visual media, the text of the disclosure shall appear  
38 in a size that is easily readable by the average viewer and no  
39 smaller than the largest font size of other text appearing in the  
40 visual media. If the visual media does not include any other text,

1 the disclosure shall appear in a size that is easily readable by the  
2 average viewer. For visual media that is video, the disclosure shall  
3 appear for the duration of the video.

4 (B) If the media consists of audio only, the disclosure shall be  
5 read in a clearly spoken manner and in a pitch that can be easily  
6 heard by the average listener, at the beginning of the audio, at the  
7 end of the audio, and, if the audio is greater than two minutes in  
8 length, interspersed within the audio at intervals of not greater than  
9 two minutes each.

10 (c) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), any large online  
11 platform shall block and prevent the content described in  
12 subdivision (a), and any candidate shall include the disclosure  
13 required by subdivision (b), during a period beginning 120 days  
14 before the election and through the day of the election.

15 (2) If the content described in subdivision (a) depicts or pertains  
16 to elections officials, or depicts or pertains to a voting machine,  
17 ballot, voting site, or other property or equipment related to an  
18 election, a large online platform shall block and prevent the content  
19 during a period beginning 120 days before the election and ending  
20 on the 60th day after the election.

21 20514. (a) With respect to any materially deceptive and  
22 digitally modified or created content that pertains to election  
23 processes and that is not subject to Section 20513, a large online  
24 platform, using state-of-the-art, best available tools to detect  
25 digitally modified or created content, shall develop and implement  
26 procedures for labeling such content as inauthentic, fake, or false,  
27 and shall, if the large online platform knows or should know that  
28 the digitally modified or created content meets the requirements  
29 of this section, label such content in this manner, during the  
30 applicable time period or periods set forth in subdivision (c).

31 (b) The label required by subdivision (a) shall permit users to  
32 click or tap on it and to inspect all available provenance data about  
33 the digitally modified or created content in an easy-to-understand  
34 format.

35 (c) The labeling requirement set forth in subdivision (a) applies  
36 during any of the following time periods, to the extent applicable:

37 (1) The period beginning one year before the election and  
38 through the day of the election that is specified in or implicated  
39 by the content.

1 (2) The period beginning one year before the election process  
2 and through the final day of the election process that is specified  
3 in or implicated by the content.

4 (3) If the content depicts or pertains to elections officials, the  
5 period beginning one year before the election or election process  
6 that is specified in or implicated by the content and ending on the  
7 60th day after that election or the 60th day after the final day of  
8 that election process, as applicable.

9 20515. (a) A large online platform shall provide an easily  
10 accessible way for California residents to report to that platform  
11 content subject to Section 20513 or 20514 that was not blocked  
12 or labeled as required. The online platform shall respond to the  
13 person who made the report, within 36 hours of the report,  
14 describing any action taken or not taken by the online platform  
15 with respect to the content.

16 (b) Any California resident who has made a report to a large  
17 online platform under subdivision (a) and who either has not  
18 received a response within 36 hours or disagrees with the response,  
19 may seek injunctive or other equitable relief against the online  
20 platform to compel compliance with this chapter. The court shall  
21 award a prevailing plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs.  
22 An action under this subdivision shall be entitled to precedence in  
23 accordance with Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

24 20516. The Attorney General or any district attorney or city  
25 attorney may seek injunctive or other equitable relief against any  
26 large online platform to compel compliance with this chapter. The  
27 court shall award a prevailing plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees  
28 and costs. An action under this section shall be entitled to  
29 precedence in accordance with Section 35 of the Code of Civil  
30 Procedure.

31 20517. This chapter applies to materially deceptive and digitally  
32 modified or created content, regardless of the language used in the  
33 content. If the language used is not English, the disclosure required  
34 by subdivision (b) of Section 20513 and the label required by  
35 Section 20514 must appear in the language used as well as in  
36 English.

37 20518. A large online platform that blocks or labels any  
38 materially deceptive and digitally modified or created content shall  
39 maintain a copy of the digital content for a period of not less than  
40 five years from the election or election process specified or

1 implicated in the content and shall make such digital content  
2 available to the Secretary of State, the Fair Political Practices  
3 Commission, and researchers, if requested.

4 20519. (a) This chapter does not preclude a large online  
5 platform from blocking or labeling any materially deceptive and  
6 digitally modified or created content outside of the time periods  
7 specified in Sections 20513 and 20514.

8 (b) This chapter does not preclude any online platform not  
9 subject to this chapter from blocking or labeling any materially  
10 deceptive and digitally modified or created content.

11 20520. This chapter does not apply to either of the following:

12 (a) A regularly published online newspaper, magazine, or other  
13 periodical of general circulation that routinely carries news and  
14 commentary of general interest, and that publishes any materially  
15 deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created image, audio,  
16 or video recording that an online platform is required to block or  
17 label based on this chapter, if the publication contains a clear  
18 disclosure that the materially deceptive and digitally altered or  
19 digitally created image or audio or video recording does not  
20 accurately represent any actual event, occurrence, appearance,  
21 speech, or expressive conduct.

22 (b) Materially deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created  
23 content that constitutes satire or parody.

24 20521. The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any  
25 provision of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that  
26 invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can  
27 be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

O

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 2, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 11, 2024

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2023–24 REGULAR SESSION

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 2839**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Members Pellerin and Berman**  
**(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Cervantes)**  
**(Coauthors: Assembly Members Bennett, Jackson, *Quirk-Silva*,**  
**Ting, Valencia, Weber, and Wood)**  
**(Coauthors: Senators Becker and Dodd)**

February 15, 2024

---

---

An act to amend Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and to add Section 20012 to the Elections Code, relating to elections.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2839, as amended, Pellerin. Elections: deceptive media in advertisements.

Existing law prohibits certain distribution of materially deceptive audio or visual media of a candidate within 60 days of an election at which the candidate will appear on the ballot, unless the media includes a disclosure stating that the media has been manipulated, subject to specified exemptions. Existing law authorizes a candidate whose voice or likeness appears in audio or visual media distributed in violation of these provisions to file specified actions, and it requires a court to place such proceedings on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and give the proceedings precedence.

This bill would prohibit a person, committee, or other entity from knowingly distributing an advertisement or other election communication, as defined, that contains certain materially deceptive

and digitally altered or digitally created images or audio or video files, as defined, with the intent to influence an election or solicit funds for a candidate or campaign, subject to specified exemptions. The bill would apply this prohibition within 120 days of an election and, in specified cases, 60 days after an election. The bill would authorize a recipient of a materially deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created image or audio or video file distributed in violation of this section, candidate or committee participating in the election, or officer holding an election or conducting a canvass to file a civil action to enjoin the distribution of the media and to seek damages against the person, committee, or other entity that distributed it. The bill would require a court to place such proceedings on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and give the proceedings precedence.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no.  
 State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as  
 2 amended by Section 1 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is  
 3 amended to read:

4 35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial  
 5 of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification  
 6 of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot  
 7 measures, election contests, actions under Section 20010 or 20012  
 8 of the Elections Code, and actions under Chapter 2 (commencing  
 9 with Section 21100) of Division 21 of the Elections Code shall be  
 10 placed on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and shall  
 11 be given precedence.

12 (b) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2027,  
 13 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that  
 14 is enacted before January 1, 2027, deletes or extends that date.

15 SEC. 2. Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as amended  
 16 by Section 2 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is amended  
 17 to read:

18 35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial  
 19 of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification  
 20 of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot  
 21 measures, election contests, actions under Section 20012 of the  
 22 Elections Code, and actions under Chapter 2 (commencing with

1 Section 21100) of Division 21 of the Elections Code shall be placed  
2 on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and shall be given  
3 precedence.

4 (b) This section shall become operative January 1, 2027.

5 SEC. 3. Section 20012 is added to the Elections Code, to read:  
6 20012. (a) The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

7 (1) California is entering its first-ever artificial intelligence (AI)  
8 election, in which disinformation powered by generative AI will  
9 pollute our information ecosystems like never before. Voters will  
10 not know what images, audio, or video they can trust.

11 (2) In a few clicks, using current technology, bad actors now  
12 have the power to create a false image of a candidate accepting a  
13 bribe, or a fake video of an elections official “caught on tape”  
14 saying that voting machines are not secure, or generate an artificial  
15 robocall in the Governor’s voice telling millions of Californians  
16 their voting site has changed.

17 (3) In the lead-up to the 2024 presidential elections, candidates  
18 and parties are already creating and distributing deepfake images  
19 and audio and video content. These fake images or files can skew  
20 election results, even if they use older methods of distribution,  
21 such as mail, television, telephone, and text, and undermine trust  
22 in the ballot counting process.

23 (4) In order to ensure California elections are free and fair,  
24 California must, for a limited time before and after elections,  
25 prevent the use of deepfakes and disinformation meant to prevent  
26 voters from voting and deceive voters based on fraudulent content.  
27 *The provisions of this bill are narrowly tailored to advance*  
28 *California’s compelling interest in protecting free and fair*  
29 *elections.*

30 (5) The labeling information required by this bill is narrowly  
31 tailored to provide consumers with factual information about the  
32 inauthenticity of particular images, audio, ~~video~~ *video*, or text  
33 content in order to prevent consumer deception.

34 (b) (1) A person, committee, or other entity shall not, during  
35 the time period set forth in subdivision (c), with the intent to  
36 influence an election or solicit funds for a candidate or campaign,  
37 knowingly distribute an advertisement or other election  
38 communication containing a materially deceptive and digitally  
39 altered or digitally created image or audio or video file of any of  
40 the following:



1 (A) A candidate portrayed as doing or saying something that  
2 the candidate did not do or say.

3 (B) An officer holding an election or conducting a canvass  
4 portrayed as doing or saying something in connection with the  
5 election that the officer holding an election or conducting a canvass  
6 did not do or say.

7 (C) An elected official portrayed as doing or saying something  
8 in connection with the election that the elected official did not do  
9 or say.

10 (D) A voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other  
11 elections-related property or equipment portrayed in a materially  
12 false way.

13 (2) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1), a  
14 candidate may portray himself as doing or saying something that  
15 the candidate did not do or say, but only if the image or audio or  
16 video file includes a disclosure stating “This \_\_\_\_ has been  
17 manipulated.” and complies with the following requirements:

18 (A) The blank in the disclosure required by paragraph (2) shall  
19 be filled with whichever of the following terms most accurately  
20 describes the media:

- 21 (i) Image.
- 22 (ii) Audio.
- 23 (iii) Video.

24 (B) (i) For visual media, the text of the disclosure shall appear  
25 in a size that is easily readable by the average viewer and no  
26 smaller than the largest font size of other text appearing in the  
27 visual media. If the visual media does not include any other text,  
28 the disclosure shall appear in a size that is easily readable by the  
29 average viewer. For visual media that is video, the disclosure shall  
30 appear for the duration of the video.

31 (ii) If the media consists of audio only, the disclosure shall be  
32 read in a clearly spoken manner and in a pitch that can be easily  
33 heard by the average listener, at the beginning of the audio, at the  
34 end of the audio, and, if the audio is greater than two minutes in  
35 length, interspersed within the audio at intervals of not greater than  
36 two minutes each.

37 (c) The prohibition in subdivision (b) applies only during the  
38 following time periods:

- 39 (1) One hundred twenty days before any election.

1 (2) For people and items set forth in subparagraphs (B) and (D)  
2 of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b), 120 days before any election  
3 through 60 days after the election, inclusive.

4 (d) (1) A recipient of a materially deceptive and digitally altered  
5 or digitally created image or audio or video file distributed in  
6 violation of this section, candidate or committee participating in  
7 the election, or officer holding an election or conducting a canvass  
8 may seek injunctive or other equitable relief prohibiting the  
9 distribution of the materially deceptive and digitally altered or  
10 digitally created image or audio or video file in violation of this  
11 section. The court shall also award a prevailing plaintiff reasonable  
12 attorney's fees and costs. An action under this paragraph shall be  
13 entitled to precedence in accordance with Section 35 of the Code  
14 of Civil Procedure.

15 (2) A recipient of a materially deceptive and digitally altered  
16 or digitally created image or audio or video file distributed in  
17 violation of this section, candidate or committee participating in  
18 the election, or officer holding an election or conducting a canvass  
19 may bring an action for general or special damages against the  
20 person, committee, or other entity that distributed the materially  
21 deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created image or audio  
22 or video file in violation of this section. The court shall also award  
23 a prevailing party reasonable attorney's fees and costs. This  
24 subdivision shall not be construed to limit or preclude a plaintiff  
25 from securing or recovering any other available remedy at law or  
26 equity.

27 (3) In any civil action alleging a violation of this section, the  
28 plaintiff shall bear the burden of establishing the violation through  
29 clear and convincing evidence.

30 (e) (1) This section does not apply to a radio or television  
31 broadcasting station, including a cable or satellite television  
32 operator, programmer, or producer, that broadcasts any materially  
33 deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created image or audio  
34 or video file prohibited by this section as part of a bona fide  
35 newscast, news interview, news documentary, or on-the-spot  
36 coverage of bona fide news events, if the broadcast clearly  
37 acknowledges through content or a disclosure, in a manner that  
38 can be easily heard or read by the average listener or viewer, that  
39 the materially deceptive audio or visual media does not accurately

1 represent any actual event, occurrence, appearance, speech, or  
2 expressive conduct.

3 (2) This section does not apply to a regularly published  
4 newspaper, magazine, or other periodical of general circulation,  
5 including an internet or electronic publication, that routinely carries  
6 news and commentary of general interest, and that publishes any  
7 materially deceptive and digitally altered or digitally created image  
8 or audio or video file prohibited by this section, if the publication  
9 clearly states that the materially deceptive and digitally altered or  
10 digitally created image or audio or video file does not accurately  
11 represent any actual event, occurrence, appearance, speech, or  
12 expressive conduct.

13 (3) This section does not apply to a materially deceptive and  
14 digitally altered or digitally created image or audio or video file  
15 that constitutes satire or parody.

16 (f) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

17 (1) “Advertisement” means any general or public  
18 communication that is authorized or paid for the purpose of  
19 supporting or opposing a candidate for elective office or a ballot  
20 measure and that is broadcast by or through television, radio,  
21 telephone, or text, or disseminated by print media, including  
22 billboards, video billboards or screens, and other similar types of  
23 advertising.

24 (2) “Artificial intelligence” means an engineered or  
25 machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and that  
26 can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it  
27 receives how to generate outputs that can influence physical or  
28 virtual environments.

29 ~~(2)~~

30 (3) “Committee” means a committee as defined in Section 82013  
31 of the Government Code.

32 ~~(3)~~

33 (4) “Election communication” means any general or public  
34 communication not covered under “advertisement” that is broadcast  
35 by or through television, radio, telephone, or text, or disseminated  
36 by print media, including billboards, video billboards or screens,  
37 and other similar types of communications, that concerns any of  
38 the following:

39 (A) A candidate for office or ballot measure.

40 (B) Voting or refraining from voting in an election.

1 (C) The canvass of the vote.

2 ~~(4)~~

3 (5) (A) “Materially deceptive and digitally modified or created  
4 image or audio or video file” means an image or an audio or video  
5 file that has been intentionally manipulated in a manner such that  
6 all of the following conditions are met:

7 (i) The image or audio or video file is the product of digital  
8 manipulation, artificial intelligence, or machine learning, including  
9 deep learning techniques, that merges, combines, replaces, or  
10 superimposes content onto an image or an audio or video file,  
11 creating an image or an audio or video file *manipulation or*  
12 *artificial intelligence* that appears authentic, ~~or generates an~~  
13 ~~inauthentic image or an audio or video file that appears authentic.~~  
14 *but that contains a false portrayal of any of the following:*

15 (I) *Candidate for elective office.*

16 (II) *Elected official.*

17 (III) *Elections official.*

18 (IV) *Voting machine.*

19 (V) *Ballot.*

20 (VI) *Voting site.*

21 (VII) *Other property or equipment related to an election or*  
22 *elections process.*

23 (ii) ~~(I) The image or audio or video file represents a false~~  
24 ~~portrayal of a candidate for elective office, an elected official, an~~  
25 ~~elections official, or a voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other~~  
26 ~~elections property or equipment.~~

27 (H)

28 (ii) For the purposes of this clause, “a false portrayal of the  
29 candidate for elective office, an elected official, an elections  
30 official, or a voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other elections  
31 property or equipment” means the image or audio or video file  
32 would cause a reasonable person *to believe that the content is*  
33 *authentic and* to have a fundamentally different understanding or  
34 impression of the expressive content of the image or audio or video  
35 file than that person would have if the person were hearing or  
36 seeing the ~~unaltered, original~~ *authentic* version of the image or  
37 audio or video file.

38 (iii) The person, committee, or other entity distributed the image  
39 or audio or video file knowing the portrayal of the candidate for  
40 elective office, the elected official, the elections official, or the

1 voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other elections property or  
2 equipment was false or with a reckless disregard for the true  
3 portrayal of the candidate, the elected official, the elections official,  
4 or the voting machine, ballot, voting site, or other elections  
5 property or equipment. This clause is presumed when an image or  
6 audio or video file has been intentionally manipulated to represent  
7 a false portrayal of the candidate for elective office, the elected  
8 official, the elections official, or the voting machine, ballot, voting  
9 site, or other elections property or equipment, but may be rebutted.

10 (B) “Materially deceptive and digitally modified or created  
11 image or audio or video file” does not include any image or audio  
12 or video file that contains only minor modifications that do not  
13 lead to significant changes to the perceived contents or meaning  
14 of the content. Minor changes include changes to brightness or  
15 contrast of images, removal of background noise in audio, and  
16 other minor changes that do not impact the content of the image  
17 or audio or video file.

18 ~~(5)~~

19 (6) “Officer holding an election or conducting a canvass” has  
20 the same meaning as in Section 18502.

21 ~~(6)~~

22 (7) “Recipient” includes a person who views, hears, or otherwise  
23 perceives an image or audio or video file that was initially  
24 distributed in violation of this section.

25 (g) The provisions of this section apply regardless of the  
26 language used in the advertisement or solicitation. If the language  
27 used is not English, the disclosure required by paragraph (2) of  
28 subdivision (a) shall appear in the language used in the  
29 advertisement or solicitation.

30 (h) The provisions of this section are severable. If any provision  
31 of this section or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall  
32 not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect  
33 without the invalid provision or application.

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 18, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 21, 2024

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2023–24 REGULAR SESSION

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 3211**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Member Wicks**

February 16, 2024

---

---

An act to add Chapter 41 (commencing with Section 22949.90) to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, relating to artificial intelligence.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 3211, as amended, Wicks. California Provenance, Authenticity and Watermarking Standards.

Existing law requires the Secretary of Government Operations to develop a coordinated plan to, among other things, investigate the feasibility of, and obstacles to, developing standards and technologies for state departments to determine digital content provenance. For the purpose of informing that coordinated plan, existing law requires the secretary to evaluate, among other things, the impact of the proliferation of deepfakes, as defined.

Beginning February 1, 2025, this bill, the California Provenance, Authenticity and Watermarking Standards Act, would require a generative artificial intelligence (AI) system provider, as defined, to take certain actions to assist in the disclosure of provenance data to mitigate harms caused by inauthentic content, including placing imperceptible and maximally indelible watermarks containing provenance data into content created by an AI system that the generative AI system provider makes available: *provider to, among other things,*

*place imperceptible and maximally indelible watermarks containing provenance data into synthetic content produced or significantly modified by a generative AI system that the provider makes available, as those terms are defined.* The bill would require, within 24 hours of discovering a vulnerability or failure in ~~an~~ a generative AI system, a generative AI ~~system~~ provider to report the vulnerability or failure to the Department of Technology and to notify other generative AI ~~system~~ providers, as specified. The bill would also require a conversational AI system, as defined, to clearly and prominently disclose to users that the conversational AI system receives synthetic content.

Beginning March 1, 2025, this bill would require a large online platform, as defined, to, among other things, use labels to prominently disclose the provenance data found in watermarks or digital signatures in content distributed to users on its platforms, as specified. The bill would require a large online platform to ~~use state-of-the-art techniques, including, but not limited to, analysis of user behavioral signals indicating usage of synthetic content, to detect and label inauthentic text content that is uploaded or distributed by individual users or networks of users.~~ *require a user that uploads or distributes content on its platform to disclose whether the content is synthetic content, as specified.*

Beginning January 1, 2026, this bill would require newly manufactured digital cameras and recording devices sold, offered for sale, or distributed in California to offer users the option to place an authenticity watermark and provenance watermark in the content produced by that device. The bill would require the authenticity watermark and provenance watermark to be compatible with widely used industry standards, as specified. ~~If a digital camera or recording device purchased in California prior to January 1, 2026, is capable of receiving a software or firmware update that would enable a user to place an authenticity watermark and provenance watermark on the content created by the device, the bill would require the device's manufacturer to offer that update.~~ *standards. If technically feasible, the bill would require a camera and recording device manufacturer, as defined, to offer to a user of a digital camera or recording device purchased in California prior to January 1, 2026, a software or firmware update enabling the user to place an authenticity watermark and provenance watermark on the content created by the device.*

Beginning January 1, 2026, and annually thereafter, this bill would also require ~~specified entities~~ *generative AI providers and large online*

*platforms to produce a Risk Assessment and Mitigation Report that assesses the risks posed by synthetic content and the harms that have been or could be caused by synthetic content, and harms caused by synthetic content generated in their systems or hosted on their platforms, as prescribed. The bill would require the report to be audited by qualified, independent auditors who are required to assess and either validate or invalidate the claims made in the report, as specified.*

This bill would provide that a violation of its provisions may result in an administrative penalty, assessed by the department, of up to \$1,000,000 or 5% of the violator’s annual global revenue, whichever is greater. The bill would require the department to adopt regulations as necessary to implement and carry out the purposes of this act and to review and update those regulations as needed.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

- 1     SECTION 1. *The Legislature finds and declares all of the*
- 2 *following:*
- 3     (a) *Generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) technologies are*
- 4 *increasingly able to produce inauthentic images, audio, video, and*
- 5 *text content in ways that are harmful to society.*
- 6     (b) *In order to reduce the severity of the harms caused by GenAI,*
- 7 *it is important for GenAI content to be clearly disclosed and*
- 8 *labeled.*
- 9     (c) *Failing to appropriately label GenAI content can skew*
- 10 *election results, enable academic dishonesty, and erode trust in*
- 11 *the online information ecosystem.*
- 12     (d) *The Legislature should act to adopt standards pertaining*
- 13 *to the clear disclosure and labeling of GenAI content, in order to*
- 14 *alleviate harms caused by the misuse of these technologies.*
- 15     (e) *The Legislature should push for the creation of tools that*
- 16 *allow Californians to assess the authenticity of online content.*
- 17     (f) *The Legislature should require online platforms to label*
- 18 *inauthentic content produced by GenAI.*
- 19     (g) *Through these actions, the Legislature can help to ensure*
- 20 *that Californians remain safe and informed.*
- 21     SEC. 2. *Chapter 41 (commencing with Section 22949.90) is*
- 22 *added to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, to read:*



1  
2 *CHAPTER 41. CALIFORNIA PROVENANCE, AUTHENTICITY, AND*  
3 *WATERMARKING STANDARDS*

4  
5 22949.90. *For purposes of this chapter, the following*  
6 *definitions apply:*

7 (a) *“AI red-teaming” means a structured testing effort to find*  
8 *flaws and vulnerabilities in an AI system, including, but not limited*  
9 *to, harmful or discriminatory outputs, unforeseen or undesirable*  
10 *system behaviors, limitations, or potential risks associated with*  
11 *misuse of the system.*

12 (b) *“Artificial intelligence” or “AI” means an engineered or*  
13 *machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and that*  
14 *can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it*  
15 *receives how to generate outputs that can influence physical or*  
16 *virtual environments.*

17 (c) *“Authentic content” means images, videos, audio, or text*  
18 *created by human beings without any modifications or with only*  
19 *minor modifications that do not lead to significant changes to the*  
20 *perceived contents or meaning of the content. Minor modifications*  
21 *include, but are not limited to, changes to brightness or contrast*  
22 *of images, removal of background noise in audio, and spelling or*  
23 *grammar corrections in text.*

24 (d) *“Conversational AI system” means chatbots and other*  
25 *audio- or video-based systems that can hold humanlike*  
26 *conversations through digital media, including, but not limited to,*  
27 *online calling, phone calling, video conferencing, messaging,*  
28 *application or web-based chat interfaces, or other conversational*  
29 *interfaces. Conversational AI systems include, but are not limited*  
30 *to, chatbots for customer service or entertainment purposes*  
31 *embedded in internet websites and applications.*

32 (e) *“Digital signature” means a digital method that allows a*  
33 *user to sign a piece of authentic or synthetic content with their*  
34 *name or device information, verifying that they created the content.*

35 (f) *“Generative AI hosting platform” means an online repository*  
36 *or other internet website that makes generative AI systems*  
37 *available for download.*

38 (g) *“Generative AI provider” means an organization or*  
39 *individual that creates, codes, substantially modifies, or otherwise*  
40 *produces a generative AI system.*

1 (h) “Generative AI system” means an artificial intelligence  
2 system that generates derived synthetic content, including images,  
3 videos, audio, text, and other digital content.

4 (i) “Inauthentic content” means synthetic content that is so  
5 similar to authentic content that it could be mistaken as authentic.

6 (j) “Large online platform” means a public-facing internet  
7 website, web application, or digital application, including a social  
8 network, video sharing platform, messaging platform, advertising  
9 network, or search engine that had at least 1,000,000 California  
10 users during the preceding 12 months.

11 (k) “Maximally indelible watermark” means a watermark that  
12 is designed to be as difficult to remove as possible using  
13 state-of-the-art techniques and relevant industry standards.

14 (l) “Provenance data” means data that identifies the origins of  
15 synthetic content, including, but not limited to, the following:

16 (1) The name of the generative AI provider.

17 (2) The name and version number of the AI system that  
18 generated the content.

19 (3) The time and date of the creation.

20 (4) The portions of content that are synthetic.

21 (m) “Synthetic content” means information, including images,  
22 videos, audio, and text, that has been produced or significantly  
23 modified by a generative AI system.

24 (n) “Watermark” means information that is embedded into a  
25 generative AI system’s output for the purpose of conveying its  
26 synthetic nature, identity, provenance, history of modifications,  
27 or history of conveyance.

28 (o) “Watermark decoders” means freely available software  
29 tools or online services that can read or interpret watermarks and  
30 output the provenance data embedded in them.

31 22949.90.1. (a) A generative AI provider shall do all of the  
32 following:

33 (1) Place imperceptible and maximally indelible watermarks  
34 containing provenance data into synthetic content produced or  
35 significantly modified by a generative AI system that the provider  
36 makes available.

37 (A) If a sample of synthetic content is too small to contain the  
38 required provenance data, the provider shall, at minimum, attempt  
39 to embed watermarking information that identifies the content as  
40 synthetic and provide the following provenance information in

1 order of priority, with clause (i) being the most important, and  
2 clause (iv) being the least important:

3 (i) The name of the generative AI provider.

4 (ii) The name and version number of the AI system that  
5 generated the content.

6 (iii) The time and date of the creation of the content.

7 (iv) If applicable, the specific portions of the content that are  
8 synthetic.

9 (B) To the greatest extent possible, watermarks shall be designed  
10 to retain information that identifies content as synthetic and gives  
11 the name of the provider in the event that a sample of synthetic  
12 content is corrupted, downscaled, cropped, or otherwise damaged.

13 (2) Develop downloadable watermark decoders that allow a  
14 user to determine whether a piece of content was created with the  
15 provider's system, and make those tools available to the public.

16 (A) The watermark decoders shall be easy to use by individuals  
17 seeking to quickly assess the provenance of a single piece of  
18 content.

19 (B) The watermark decoders shall adhere, to the greatest extent  
20 possible, to relevant national or international standards.

21 (3) Conduct AI red-teaming exercises involving third-party  
22 experts to test whether watermarks can be easily removed from  
23 synthetic content produced by the provider's generative AI systems,  
24 as well as whether the provider's generative AI systems can be  
25 used to falsely add watermarks to otherwise authentic content.  
26 Red-teaming exercises shall be conducted before the release of  
27 any new generative AI system and annually thereafter.

28 (A) If a provider allows their generative AI systems to be  
29 downloaded and modified, the provider shall additionally conduct  
30 AI red-teaming to assess whether their systems' watermarking  
31 functionalities can be disabled.

32 (B) A provider shall make summaries of its AI red-teaming  
33 exercises publicly available in a location linked from the home  
34 page of the provider's internet website, using a clearly labeled  
35 link that has a similar look, feel, and size relative to other links  
36 on the same web page. The provider shall remove from the  
37 summaries any details that pose an immediate risk to public safety.

38 (C) A provider shall submit full reports of its AI red-teaming  
39 exercises to the Department of Technology within six months of  
40 conducting a red-teaming exercise pursuant to this section.

1 (b) A generative AI provider may continue to make available a  
2 generative AI system that was made available before the date upon  
3 which this act takes effect and that does not have watermarking  
4 capabilities as described by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), if  
5 either of the following conditions are met:

6 (1) The provider is able to retroactively create and make  
7 publicly available a decoder that accurately determines whether  
8 a given piece of content was produced by the provider's system  
9 with at least 99 percent accuracy as measured by an independent  
10 auditor.

11 (2) The provider conducts and publishes research to definitively  
12 demonstrate that the system is not capable of producing inauthentic  
13 content.

14 (c) Providers and distributors of software and online services  
15 shall not make available a system, application, tool, or service  
16 that is designed to remove watermarks from synthetic content.

17 (d) Generative AI hosting platforms shall not make available a  
18 generative AI system that does not place maximally indelible  
19 watermarks containing provenance data into content created by  
20 the system.

21 (e) (1) Within 24 hours of discovering a vulnerability or failure  
22 in a generative AI system, a generative AI provider shall report  
23 the vulnerability or failure to the Department of Technology.

24 (A) A provider shall notify other generative AI providers that  
25 may be affected by similar vulnerabilities or failures in a manner  
26 that allows the other generative AI provider to harden their own  
27 AI systems against similar risks.

28 (B) A provider shall notify affected parties, including, but not  
29 limited to, online platforms, researchers or users who received  
30 incorrect results from a watermark decoder, or users who produced  
31 AI content that contained incorrect or insufficient watermarking  
32 data. A provider shall not be required to notify an affected party  
33 whose contact information the provider has not previously collected  
34 or retained.

35 (2) A provider shall make any report to the Department of  
36 Technology under this subdivision publicly available in a location  
37 linked from the home page of the provider's internet website with  
38 a clearly labeled link that has a similar look, feel, and size relative  
39 to other links on the same web page. If public disclosure of the

1 report under this subdivision could pose public safety risks, a  
2 provider may instead do either of the following:

3 (A) Post a summary disclosure of the reported vulnerability or  
4 failure.

5 (B) Delay, for no longer than 30 days, the public disclosure of  
6 the report until the public safety risks have been mitigated. If a  
7 provider delays public disclosure, they shall document their efforts  
8 to resolve the vulnerability or failure as quickly as possible in  
9 order to meet the reporting requirements under this subdivision.

10 (f) (1) A conversational AI system shall clearly and prominently  
11 disclose to users that the conversational AI system generates  
12 synthetic content.

13 (A) In visual interfaces, including, but not limited to, text chats  
14 or video calling, a conversational AI system shall place the  
15 disclosure required under this subdivision in the interface itself  
16 and maintain the disclosure's visibility in a prominent location  
17 throughout any interaction with the interface.

18 (B) In audio-only interfaces, including, but not limited to, phone  
19 or other voice calling systems, a conversational AI system shall  
20 verbally make the disclosure required under this subdivision at  
21 the beginning and end of a call.

22 (2) In all conversational interfaces of a conversational AI  
23 system, the conversational AI system shall, at the beginning of a  
24 user's interaction with the system, obtain a user's affirmative  
25 consent acknowledging that the user has been informed that they  
26 are interacting with a conversational AI system. A conversational  
27 AI system shall obtain a user's affirmative consent prior to  
28 beginning the conversation.

29 (3) Disclosures and affirmative consent opportunities shall be  
30 made available to a user in the language in which the  
31 conversational AI system is communicating with the user.

32 (4) The requirements under this subdivision shall not apply to  
33 conversational AI systems that do not produce inauthentic content.

34 (g) This section shall become operative on February 1, 2025.

35 22949.90.2. (a) For purposes of this section, the following  
36 definitions apply:

37 (1) "Authenticity watermark" means a watermark of authentic  
38 content that includes the name of the device manufacturer.

39 (2) "Camera and recording device manufacturer" means the  
40 makers of a device that can record photographic, audio, or video

1 content, including, but not limited to, video and still photography  
2 cameras, mobile phones with built-in cameras or microphones,  
3 and voice recorders.

4 (3) “Provenance watermark” means a watermark of authentic  
5 content that includes details about the content, including, but not  
6 limited to, the time and date of production, the name of the user,  
7 details about the device, and a digital signature.

8 (b) (1) Beginning January 1, 2026, newly manufactured digital  
9 cameras and recording devices sold, offered for sale, or distributed  
10 in California shall offer users the option to place an authenticity  
11 watermark and provenance watermark in the content produced  
12 by that device.

13 (2) A user shall have the option to remove the authenticity and  
14 provenance watermarks from the content produced by their device.

15 (3) Authenticity watermarks shall be turned on by default, while  
16 provenance watermarks shall be turned off by default.

17 (4) Newly manufactured digital cameras and recording devices  
18 subject to the requirements of this subdivision shall clearly inform  
19 a user of the existence of the authenticity and provenance  
20 watermarks settings upon the user’s first use of the camera or the  
21 recording function on the recording device.

22 (A) When a camera or audio recording application is open, a  
23 newly manufactured digital camera or recording device shall have  
24 a clear indicator that a watermark is being applied.

25 (B) A newly manufactured digital camera or recording device  
26 shall allow the user to adjust the watermarks settings.

27 (5) Authenticity and provenance watermarks shall, if enabled,  
28 be applied to authentic content produced using third-party  
29 applications that bypass default camera or recording applications  
30 in order to offer camera or audio recording functionalities.

31 (c) The authenticity watermark and provenance watermark, as  
32 required by subdivision (b), shall be compatible with widely used  
33 industry standards.

34 (d) Beginning January 1, 2026, a camera and recording device  
35 manufacturer shall offer a software or firmware update enabling  
36 a user to place an authenticity watermark and provenance  
37 watermark on the content created by the device to a user of a  
38 digital camera or recording device purchased in California prior  
39 to January 1, 2026, if technically feasible.

1 22949.90.3. (a) Beginning March 1, 2025, a large online  
2 platform shall use labels to prominently disclose the provenance  
3 data found in watermarks or digital signatures in content  
4 distributed to users on its platforms.

5 (1) The labels shall indicate whether content is fully synthetic,  
6 partially synthetic, authentic, authentic with minor modifications,  
7 or does not contain a watermark.

8 (2) A user shall be able to click or tap on a label to inspect  
9 provenance data in an easy-to-understand format.

10 (b) The disclosure required under subdivision (a) shall be  
11 readily legible to an average viewer or, if the content is in audio  
12 format, shall be clearly audible. A disclosure in audio content  
13 shall occur at the beginning and end of a piece of content and shall  
14 be presented in a prominent manner and at a comparable volume  
15 and speaking cadence as other spoken words in the content. A  
16 disclosure in video content should be legible for the full duration  
17 of the video.

18 (c) A large online platform shall use state-of-the-art techniques  
19 to detect and label synthetic content that has had watermarks  
20 removed or that was produced by generative AI systems without  
21 watermarking functionality.

22 (d) (1) A large online platform shall require a user that uploads  
23 or distributes content on its platform to disclose whether the  
24 content is synthetic content.

25 (2) A large online platform shall include prominent warnings  
26 to users that uploading or distributing synthetic content without  
27 disclosing that it is synthetic content may result in disciplinary  
28 action.

29 (3) A large online platform may provide users with an option  
30 to indicate that the user is uncertain whether the content they are  
31 uploading or distributing is synthetic content. If a user uploads or  
32 distributes content and indicates that they are uncertain of whether  
33 the content is synthetic content, a large online platform shall  
34 indicate that the uploaded or distributed content is possibly  
35 synthetic and shall display that indication in a manner that is  
36 visible or audible to viewers or listeners of the content.

37 (e) A large online platform shall use state-of-the-art techniques  
38 to detect and label text-based inauthentic content that is uploaded  
39 by users.

1 (f) A large online platform shall make accessible a verification  
2 process for users to apply a digital signature to authentic content.  
3 The verification process shall include options that do not require  
4 disclosure of personal identifiable information.

5 22949.90.4. (a) (1) Beginning January 1, 2026, and annually  
6 thereafter, generative AI providers and large online platforms  
7 shall produce a Risk Assessment and Mitigation Report that  
8 assesses the risks posed and harms caused by synthetic content  
9 generated by their systems or hosted on their platforms.

10 (2) The report shall include, but not be limited to, assessments  
11 of the distribution of AI-generated child sexual abuse materials,  
12 nonconsensual intimate imagery, disinformation related to  
13 elections or public health, plagiarism, or other instances where  
14 synthetic or inauthentic content caused or may have the potential  
15 to cause harm.

16 (b) The report required under subdivision (a) shall be audited  
17 by qualified, independent auditors who shall assess and either  
18 validate or invalidate the claims made in the report. Auditors shall  
19 use state-of-the-art techniques to assess reports, and shall adhere  
20 to relevant national and international standards.

21 22949.90.5. A violation of this chapter may result in an  
22 administrative penalty, assessed by the Department of Technology,  
23 of up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or 5 percent of the  
24 violator's annual global revenue, whichever is greater.

25 22949.90.6. Within 90 days of the date upon which this act  
26 takes effect, the Department of Technology shall adopt regulations  
27 to implement and carry out the purposes of this chapter. The  
28 department shall review and update its regulations relating to the  
29 implementation of this chapter as needed, including, but not limited  
30 to, adopting specific national or international standards for  
31 provenance, authenticity, watermarking, and digital signatures,  
32 as long as the standards do not weaken the provisions of this  
33 chapter.

34 22949.91. The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any  
35 provision of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that  
36 invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can  
37 be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

38 ~~SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the~~  
39 ~~following:~~



1 (a) In light of the widespread adoption of generative artificial  
2 intelligence (AI) technologies that are increasingly able to generate  
3 inauthentic images, audio, video, and text content, sometimes  
4 called “deepfakes,” it is increasingly important that the provenance  
5 of this content be clearly disclosed and labeled in ways that could  
6 both prevent harms, reduce the severity of harms, and also make  
7 it more difficult and costly for bad actors to cause these harms.

8 (b) (1) The harms caused by inauthentic content that is  
9 presented as authentic span a wide gamut of fields. These harms  
10 can be costly and deeply damaging to individuals and society.  
11 Some prominent categories of harm include scams and fraud, child  
12 sexual abuse material (CSAM) and nonconsensual intimate imagery  
13 (NCII), disinformation, and plagiarism and academic integrity.

14 (A) The Federal Trade Commission reports that people lost \$8.8  
15 billion to scams in 2022. This is only expected to increase with  
16 broad access to generative AI tools, with one study already finding  
17 a 1,265-percent increase in phishing scam emails since the fourth  
18 quarter of 2022.

19 (B) A recent study found that a version of one of the most  
20 popular AI image generator tools was trained on CSAM, which  
21 facilitates production in derivative models of both CSAM and  
22 NCII of children. Another recent study found 34 different AI  
23 “undressing” tools that produce realistic naked images based on  
24 clothed photographs of individuals. Many of these tools only work  
25 on women. One recent case involved a high school where 30  
26 different teenage girls were victims of these tools.

27 (C) From politics to public safety, disinformation can have a  
28 multiplicity of negative impacts on society. It can skew election  
29 results, as may have been the case with a convincing audio  
30 deepfake in Slovakia. In the lead up to the 2024 United States  
31 elections, we have already seen candidate- and party-produced  
32 advertisements using deepfake audio and video content. A deepfake  
33 of an explosion at the Pentagon caused a brief but significant stock  
34 market dip.

35 (D) Educational institutions have struggled to adopt clear best  
36 practices for assigning students at-home writing assignments since  
37 the widespread use of high-quality AI text generation tools.

38 (2) More broadly, erosion of trust in the information ecosystem,  
39 sometimes referred to as “truth decay,” can be shown to increase  
40 polarization and stands to be greatly exacerbated by our ongoing

1 failure to establish norms for clearly disclosing and labeling the  
2 provenance of digital media:

3 (e) (1) For the above-described reasons, this act provides for  
4 the phased introduction of the California Provenance, Authenticity  
5 and Watermarking Standards (PAWS), which aim to provide the  
6 public with tools to understand how the content that they see across  
7 digital media was produced and if it is, in fact, authentic.

8 (2) The PAWS require all producers of media to embed  
9 maximally indelible and privacy-preserving content provenance  
10 data into the content that they generate, whether AI-generated or  
11 authentic. The PAWS also require all large online platforms to  
12 display clearly understandable labels on content that alert users to  
13 its provenance, or to the absence of available provenance data.

14 (3) The provenance data required by PAWS is narrowly tailored  
15 to provide consumers with factual information about the  
16 authenticity or inauthenticity of images, audio, video, or text  
17 content in order to prevent consumer deception.

18 (4) While the PAWS alone will not fully eliminate the harms  
19 described above, it has the capacity to dramatically reduce these  
20 harms by signaling to the would-be audiences for and victims of  
21 these harms that inauthentic content will not be easily mistaken  
22 for authentic content. The PAWS will also significantly reduce  
23 the burden on large online platforms that would like to display  
24 content provenance data to their users, but are not able to do so  
25 because of the lack of an industrywide standard for embedding of  
26 provenance data in their content.

27 SEC. 2. Chapter 41 (commencing with Section 22949.90) is  
28 added to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, to read:

29

30 CHAPTER 41. CALIFORNIA PROVENANCE, AUTHENTICITY AND  
31 WATERMARKING STANDARDS

32

33 22949.90. For purposes of this chapter, the following  
34 definitions apply:

35 (a) “AI red-teaming” means a structured testing effort to find  
36 flaws and vulnerabilities in an AI system, often in a controlled  
37 environment and in collaboration with developers of artificial  
38 intelligence (AI) and is most often performed by dedicated “red  
39 teams” that adopt adversarial methods to identify flaws and  
40 vulnerabilities, including, but not limited to, harmful or

1 discriminatory outputs from an AI system, unforeseen or  
2 undesirable system behaviors, limitations, or potential risks  
3 associated with misuse of the system.

4 (b) “AI system” means a machine-based system that, for explicit  
5 or implicit objectives, infers, from the input it receives, how to  
6 generate outputs, including, but not limited to, predictions, content,  
7 recommendations, or decisions that may influence physical or  
8 virtual environments.

9 (c) “Authentic content” means images, videos, audio clips, or  
10 text created by human beings without any modifications or with  
11 only minor modifications that do not lead to significant changes  
12 to the perceived contents or meaning of the content. Minor  
13 modifications include, but are not limited to, changes to brightness  
14 or contrast of images, removal of background noise in audio, and  
15 spelling or grammar corrections in text.

16 (d) “Camera and recording device manufacturers” means the  
17 makers of a device that can record photographs, audio, or video  
18 content, including, but not limited to, video and still photography  
19 cameras, mobile phones with built-in cameras or microphones,  
20 and voice recorders.

21 (e) “Conversational AI systems” means chatbots and other  
22 audio- or video-based systems that can hold humanlike  
23 conversations through digital media, including, but not limited to,  
24 online calling, phone calling, video conferencing, messaging,  
25 application or web-based chat interfaces, or other conversational  
26 interfaces. Conversational AI systems includes chatbots for  
27 customer service or entertainment purposes embedded in internet  
28 websites and applications.

29 (f) “Digital signature” means a digital method that allows a user  
30 to sign a piece of authentic or synthetic content with their name  
31 or device information, verifying that they created the content, and  
32 that is included in the content’s provenance data.

33 (g) “Generative AI system” means the class of AI models that  
34 emulates the structure and characteristics of input data to generate  
35 derived synthetic content, including images, videos, audio, text,  
36 and other digital content. The synthetic content generated may,  
37 but does not necessarily have to, be of the same content type as  
38 the input data.

39 (h) “Generative AI system distributors” means organizations  
40 or individuals that distribute generative AI systems, or substantial

1 components thereof, such as model weights, in ways that can be  
2 downloaded and used by individuals locally on their own hardware,  
3 or modified or incorporated into other products or services.

4 (i) “Generative AI system providers” means organizations or  
5 individuals that make AI systems, or substantial components  
6 thereof, available on the market, put them into service, provide  
7 them as standalone models or embed them in other systems or  
8 products, or provide them under free and open source licenses as  
9 a service, or through other large online platforms. Generative AI  
10 system distributors include repositories or hosting internet websites  
11 that make AI systems available for download, even if those  
12 repositories are not the original makers of the AI systems they  
13 make available, and providers of conversational AI systems.

14 (j) “Inauthentic content” means synthetic content that is so  
15 similar to authentic content that it could be mistaken as authentic.

16 (k) “Large online platform” means a public-facing internet  
17 website, web application, or digital application, including a social  
18 network, video sharing platform, messaging platform, advertising  
19 network, or search engine that had at least 1,000,000 California  
20 users during the preceding 12 months.

21 (l) “Legacy generative AI systems” means generative AI systems  
22 created and released before the date upon which this act takes  
23 effect.

24 (m) “Maximally indelible watermarks” means watermarks that  
25 are as difficult to remove as is possible with currently available  
26 techniques and that are tested through AI red-teaming.

27 (n) “Provenance data” means data that includes the name of the  
28 AI system that generated the content, the underlying AI models  
29 that were part of the AI system, the time and date of the creation  
30 of the content, and, if applicable, which specific portions of the  
31 content are synthetic.

32 (o) “Synthetic content” means information, including images,  
33 videos, audio clips, and text, that has been significantly modified  
34 or generated by algorithms, including by AI.

35 (p) “Tamper-evident” means a type of watermark that contains  
36 provenance data that cannot be modified without leaving evidence  
37 of tampering.

38 (q) “Watermark” means embedded information, typically  
39 difficult to remove, into outputs created, including into  
40 photographs, videos, audio clips, or text, for the purposes of

1 verifying the authenticity of the output or the identity or  
2 characteristics of its provenance, modifications, or conveyance.

3 (r) “Watermark decoders” means freely available software tools  
4 or online services that can read or interpret watermarks and output  
5 the provenance data embedded in them.

6 ~~22949.90.1. (a) A generative AI system provider shall do all~~  
7 ~~of the following:~~

8 ~~(1) Place imperceptible and maximally indelible watermarks~~  
9 ~~containing provenance data into content created by an AI system~~  
10 ~~that the generative AI system provider makes available. If the~~  
11 ~~content is manipulated in ways that are intended to remove a~~  
12 ~~watermark, or if a content sample, including a cropped portion of~~  
13 ~~an image, a truncated segment of a generated piece of audio or~~  
14 ~~video content, or a small amount of text content, is damaged or~~  
15 ~~becomes too small to contain the required provenance data, the~~  
16 ~~generative AI system provider shall, at minimum, embed~~  
17 ~~watermarking information that identifies the content as synthetic~~  
18 ~~and gives the name of the generative AI system provider.~~

19 ~~(2) Provide downloadable software tools or online services to~~  
20 ~~determine whether a piece of content was created with the~~  
21 ~~provider’s system and make those tools available to all large online~~  
22 ~~platforms and the public.~~

23 ~~(A) A generative AI system provider shall produce the software~~  
24 ~~tools or online services in ways that are easy to use manually by~~  
25 ~~individuals seeking to quickly assess the provenance of a single~~  
26 ~~piece of content and to use in an efficient and automated fashion~~  
27 ~~by online platforms or researchers seeking to assess the provenance~~  
28 ~~of hundreds or thousands of pieces of content per minute.~~

29 ~~(B) A generative AI system provider shall produce the software~~  
30 ~~tools or online services to be interoperable to the greatest extent~~  
31 ~~possible with decoders made available by other providers or~~  
32 ~~organizations. The decoders shall adhere, to the greatest extent~~  
33 ~~possible, to relevant national or international standards, if available.~~

34 ~~(3) Conduct AI red-teaming exercises that involve third-party~~  
35 ~~experts to test whether watermarks can be easily removed from~~  
36 ~~their synthetic content and whether AI systems could be used to~~  
37 ~~falsely add watermarks to authentic content that indicates that it~~  
38 ~~is inauthentic.~~

39 ~~(A) If a generative AI system provider intends to allow their~~  
40 ~~systems to be downloaded and modified, the provider shall conduct~~

1 ~~AI red-teaming to assess whether the systems' watermarking~~  
2 ~~functionalities can be disabled to generate deceptive, inauthentic~~  
3 ~~content.~~

4 ~~(B) A generative AI system provider shall make summaries of~~  
5 ~~its AI red-teaming exercises publicly available in a location linked~~  
6 ~~from the home page of the generative AI system provider's internet~~  
7 ~~website with a clearly labeled link that has a similar look, feel, and~~  
8 ~~size relative to other links on the same web page and shall remove~~  
9 ~~from the summaries details that may pose immediate public~~  
10 ~~security. A generative AI system provider shall submit full reports~~  
11 ~~of its AI red-teaming exercises to the Department of Technology~~  
12 ~~within six months from the date upon which this act takes effect,~~  
13 ~~and annually thereafter.~~

14 ~~(b) A generative AI system provider may continue providing~~  
15 ~~legacy generative AI systems that do not have watermarking~~  
16 ~~capabilities as described by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) if~~  
17 ~~either of the following applies:~~

18 ~~(1) The generative AI system provider is able to retrospectively~~  
19 ~~create and make publicly available a decoder that accurately~~  
20 ~~determines whether content generated by the legacy generative AI~~  
21 ~~system is synthetic with at least 99 percent accuracy as measured~~  
22 ~~by an independent auditor.~~

23 ~~(2) The generative AI system provider conducts and publishes~~  
24 ~~research to definitively demonstrate that the legacy generative AI~~  
25 ~~system is not capable of producing inauthentic content of a quality~~  
26 ~~that is sufficiently realistic to be potentially mistaken for authentic~~  
27 ~~content.~~

28 ~~(c) A generative AI system provider, generative AI system~~  
29 ~~distributor, or other provider, or a distributor of software or online~~  
30 ~~services shall not make available or distribute an AI system,~~  
31 ~~application, tool, or service that has the capacity to remove~~  
32 ~~watermarks from synthetic content or an AI system that has the~~  
33 ~~capacity to remove watermarks from synthetic content but was not~~  
34 ~~explicitly designed for that purpose.~~

35 ~~(d) (1) If a generative AI system provider distributes a~~  
36 ~~generative AI system in a way that allows the generative AI system~~  
37 ~~to be modified by others, the generative AI system provider shall~~  
38 ~~ensure that the generative AI system does not allow for removal~~  
39 ~~of the system's watermarking functionality.~~

1 ~~(2) A generative AI system provider shall not distribute a~~  
2 ~~generative AI system or make a generative AI system available~~  
3 ~~for use if the system's watermarking functionality can be removed~~  
4 ~~by others.~~

5 ~~(e) (1) Within 24 hours of discovering a vulnerability or failure~~  
6 ~~in an AI system, a generative AI system provider shall report the~~  
7 ~~vulnerability or failure to the Department of Technology. A~~  
8 ~~generative AI system provider shall also notify other generative~~  
9 ~~AI system providers that may be affected by similar vulnerabilities~~  
10 ~~or failures in a manner that allows the other generative AI system~~  
11 ~~provider to harden their own AI systems against similar risks.~~

12 ~~(2) A generative AI system provider shall also notify any~~  
13 ~~affected party. Affected parties include, but are not limited to, an~~  
14 ~~online platform, researchers or users who received incorrect results~~  
15 ~~from a watermark decoder, or users who produced AI content that~~  
16 ~~contained incorrect or insufficient watermarking data.~~

17 ~~(3) A generative AI system provider shall make any report to~~  
18 ~~the Department of Technology under this subdivision publicly~~  
19 ~~available in a location linked from the home page of the generative~~  
20 ~~AI system provider's internet website with a clearly labeled link~~  
21 ~~that has a similar look, feel, and size relative to other links on the~~  
22 ~~same web page.~~

23 ~~(4) If public disclosure of the report under this subdivision could~~  
24 ~~pose public safety risks, a generative AI system provider may~~  
25 ~~instead do either of the following:~~

26 ~~(A) Post a summary disclosure of the reported vulnerability or~~  
27 ~~failure.~~

28 ~~(B) Delay, for no longer than 30 days, the public disclosure of~~  
29 ~~the report until the public safety risks have been mitigated. If a~~  
30 ~~generative AI system provider delays public disclosure, the~~  
31 ~~provider shall document and demonstrate that they moved as~~  
32 ~~quickly as possible to resolve the vulnerability or failure and meet~~  
33 ~~the reporting requirements under this subdivision.~~

34 ~~(f) (1) A conversational AI system shall clearly and prominently~~  
35 ~~disclose to users that the conversational AI system receives~~  
36 ~~synthetic content.~~

37 ~~(A) In visual interfaces, including, but not limited to, text chats~~  
38 ~~or video calling, a conversational AI system shall place the~~  
39 ~~disclosure required under this subdivision in the interface itself~~

1 and maintain the disclosure’s visibility in a prominent location  
2 throughout any interaction with the interface.

3 (B) In audio-only interfaces, including, but not limited to, phone  
4 or other voice calling systems, a conversational AI system shall  
5 verbally make the disclosure required under this subdivision at the  
6 beginning and end of a call.

7 (2) In all conversational interfaces of a conversational AI system,  
8 the conversational AI system shall, at the beginning of a user’s  
9 interaction with the system, obtain a user’s affirmative consent  
10 acknowledging that the user has been informed that they are  
11 interacting with a conversational AI system. A conversational AI  
12 system shall obtain a user’s affirmative consent prior to beginning  
13 the conversation.

14 (3) Disclosures and affirmative consent opportunities shall be  
15 made available to a user in the language in which the  
16 conversational AI system is communicating with the user.

17 (4) The requirements under this subdivision shall not apply to  
18 conversational AI systems that produce content that could not be  
19 reasonably mistaken as authentic.

20 (g) This section shall become operative on February 1, 2025.

21 22949.90.2. (a) For purposes of this section, the following  
22 definitions apply:

23 (1) “Authenticity watermark” means a watermark of authentic  
24 content that includes the name of the device manufacturer.

25 (2) “Provenance watermark” means a watermark of authentic  
26 content that includes details about the content, including, but not  
27 limited to, the time and date of production, the name of the user,  
28 details about the device, and a digital signature.

29 (b) (1) Beginning January 1, 2026, newly manufactured digital  
30 cameras and recording devices sold, offered for sale, or distributed  
31 in California shall offer users the option to place an authenticity  
32 watermark and provenance watermark in the content produced by  
33 that device.

34 (2) A user shall have the option to remove the authenticity and  
35 provenance watermarks from the content produced by their device.

36 (3) Authenticity watermarks shall be turned on by default, while  
37 provenance watermarks shall be turned off by default.

38 (4) Newly manufactured digital cameras and recording devices  
39 subject to the requirements of this subdivision shall clearly inform  
40 a user of the existence of the authenticity and provenance



1 watermarks settings upon the user's first use of the camera or the  
2 recording function on the recording device.

3 (A) When a camera or audio recording application is open, a  
4 newly manufactured digital camera or recording device shall have  
5 a clear indicator that a watermark is being applied.

6 (B) A newly manufactured digital camera or recording device  
7 shall allow the user to adjust the watermarks settings.

8 (5) The authenticity watermark and provenance watermark shall  
9 persist in content produced using newly manufactured digital  
10 cameras or recording devices even if the content is created or  
11 recorded in third-party applications that offer camera or audio  
12 recording functionalities.

13 (e) The authenticity watermark and provenance watermark, as  
14 required in subdivision (b), shall be compatible with widely used  
15 industry standards, including the Coalition for Content Provenance  
16 and Authenticity's content credentials.

17 (d) Beginning January 1, 2026, a camera and recording device  
18 manufacturer shall offer a software or firmware update enabling  
19 a user to place an authenticity watermark and provenance  
20 watermark on the content created by the device to a user of a digital  
21 camera or recording device purchased in California prior to January  
22 1, 2026. This requirement shall only apply if a digital camera or  
23 recording device purchased in California prior to January 1, 2026,  
24 is capable of receiving a software or firmware update that would  
25 enable the user to place an authenticity watermark and provenance  
26 watermark on the content created by the device.

27 22949.90.3. (a) Beginning March 1, 2025, a large online  
28 platform shall use labels to prominently disclose the provenance  
29 data found in watermarks or digital signatures in content distributed  
30 to users on its platforms by making use of the data contained in  
31 watermarks and digital signatures embedded in content using  
32 widely used industry standards, including the Coalition for Content  
33 Provenance and Authenticity's content credentials, and synthetic  
34 content decoders provided by generative AI system providers.

35 (1) The labels shall indicate whether content is fully synthetic,  
36 partially synthetic, authentic, authentic with minor modifications,  
37 or does not contain a watermark.

38 (2) A user shall be allowed to click or tap on a label to inspect  
39 all available provenance data in an easy-to-understand format.

1 ~~(b) The disclosure required under subdivision (a) shall be readily~~  
2 ~~legible to an average viewer or, if the content is in audio format,~~  
3 ~~shall be clearly audible. A disclosure in audio and video content~~  
4 ~~shall occur at the beginning and end of a piece of content and shall~~  
5 ~~be presented in a prominent manner and at a comparable volume~~  
6 ~~and speaking cadence as other spoken words in the content.~~

7 ~~(c) A large online platform shall use state-of-the-art techniques~~  
8 ~~to detect and label synthetic content that has had watermarks~~  
9 ~~removed or was produced by AI systems without watermarking~~  
10 ~~functionality.~~

11 ~~(d) (1) A large online platform shall require a user that uploads~~  
12 ~~or distributes content on its platform to disclose whether the~~  
13 ~~uploaded or distributed content is synthetic content.~~

14 ~~(2) A large online platform shall include prominent warnings~~  
15 ~~to users that uploading or distributing synthetic content without~~  
16 ~~disclosing that it is synthetic content is not permissible and will~~  
17 ~~result in disciplinary action by the large online platform.~~

18 ~~(3) A large online platform may provide users with an option~~  
19 ~~to indicate that the user is uncertain whether the content they are~~  
20 ~~uploading or distributing is synthetic content. If a user uploads or~~  
21 ~~distributes content and indicates that they are uncertain of whether~~  
22 ~~the content is synthetic content, a large online platform shall~~  
23 ~~indicate that the uploaded or distributed content is possibly~~  
24 ~~synthetic and shall display that indication in a manner that is visible~~  
25 ~~or audible to viewers or listeners of the content.~~

26 ~~(e) (1) A large online platform shall use state-of-the-art~~  
27 ~~techniques to detect and label inauthentic text content that is~~  
28 ~~uploaded or distributed by individual users or networks of users.~~

29 ~~(2) A large online platform may use a variety of methods to~~  
30 ~~detect inauthentic text content, including, but not limited to, the~~  
31 ~~following:~~

32 ~~(A) Bulk analysis of collected text content from users or~~  
33 ~~networks of users.~~

34 ~~(B) Analysis of user behavioral signals indicating usage of~~  
35 ~~synthetic content.~~

36 ~~(C) Assessing large quantities of text generated by users or~~  
37 ~~networks of users for watermarked content.~~

38 ~~(D) Considering whether a user's typing cadence indicates~~  
39 ~~authenticity or automation.~~

1 ~~(E) Verification that users are matched to unique device~~  
2 ~~identifications such as a subscriber identity module (SIM) card,~~  
3 ~~international mobile equipment identity (IMEI), or multifactor~~  
4 ~~authentication (MFA):~~

5 ~~(3) A large online platform shall also consider account age,~~  
6 ~~login frequency, connection to other identity verification services,~~  
7 ~~frequency of content uploading or distributing, authenticity of~~  
8 ~~original media content, and other on-platform behaviors by a user~~  
9 ~~that could be used to detect undisclosed inauthentic content~~  
10 ~~production.~~

11 ~~(4) If a large online platform discovers that a user has uploaded~~  
12 ~~or distributed inauthentic content and the user did not disclose that~~  
13 ~~the uploaded or distributed content is synthetic content pursuant~~  
14 ~~to subdivision (d), the large online platform shall disable the~~  
15 ~~account of the user that uploaded or distributed the undisclosed~~  
16 ~~inauthentic content.~~

17 ~~(f) A large online platform shall make accessible a verification~~  
18 ~~process for users to apply a digital signature to content created by~~  
19 ~~a human being. The verification process shall include options to~~  
20 ~~verify in a variety of methods that do not necessarily require~~  
21 ~~disclosure of personal identifiable information, including, but not~~  
22 ~~limited to, uploading a government-issued identification and~~  
23 ~~matching picture identification or verifying that a user possesses~~  
24 ~~a unique device with a SIM card and active phone number.~~

25 ~~22949.90.4. (a) (1) Beginning January 1, 2026, and annually~~  
26 ~~thereafter, generative AI system providers, generative AI system~~  
27 ~~distributors, and large online platforms shall produce a Risk~~  
28 ~~Assessment and Mitigation Report that assesses the risks posed~~  
29 ~~by synthetic content and the harms that have been or could be~~  
30 ~~caused by synthetic content.~~

31 ~~(2) The report shall include, but is not limited to, assessments~~  
32 ~~on the distribution of AI-generated child sexual abuse materials,~~  
33 ~~nonconsensual intimate imagery, disinformation related to elections~~  
34 ~~or public health, plagiarism, or other instances where synthetic or~~  
35 ~~inauthentic content caused or may have the potential to cause harm.~~

36 ~~(3) The report shall be audited by qualified, independent auditors~~  
37 ~~who shall assess and either validate or invalidate the claims made~~  
38 ~~in the report. An auditor shall assess the report by using~~  
39 ~~state-of-the-art techniques and adhering to national and~~

1 international standards for the auditing of AI systems as they  
2 become available.

3 (b) The Department of Technology may authorize independent  
4 researchers associated with educational institutions or civil society  
5 organizations approved by the Department of Technology to access  
6 special researcher tools designed to facilitate large-scale and  
7 efficient analysis of content and application programming  
8 interfaces (APIs) from generative AI system providers and large  
9 online platforms for the purposes of generating test content,  
10 studying the efficacy of labeling and effects on users, and  
11 evaluating the overall effectiveness of this chapter in preventing  
12 harms caused by inauthentic content.

13 22949.90.5. A violation of this chapter may result in an  
14 administrative penalty, assessed by the Department of Technology,  
15 of up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or 5 percent of the  
16 violator's annual global revenue, whichever is greater.

17 22949.90.6. Within 90 days of the date upon which this act  
18 takes effect, the Department of Technology shall adopt regulations  
19 as necessary to implement and carry out the purposes of this  
20 chapter. The department shall review and update its regulations  
21 relating to the implementation of this chapter as needed, including,  
22 but not limited to, adopting specific national or international  
23 standards for provenance, authenticity, watermarking, and digital  
24 signatures, so long as the standards do not weaken the provisions  
25 of this chapter.

26 22949.91. The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any  
27 provision of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that  
28 invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can  
29 be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 25, 2024  
AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 10, 2024  
AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 18, 2024

**SENATE BILL**

**No. 1228**

---

---

**Introduced by Senator Padilla**

February 15, 2024

---

---

An act to add Chapter 22.9 (commencing with Section 22684) to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, ~~and to amend Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure~~, relating to ~~online social media~~ platforms.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1228, as amended, Padilla. ~~Large online platforms: user~~ *User* identity authentication.

Existing law generally regulates online platforms, including by requiring a social media company, as defined, to post terms of service for each social media platform owned or operated by the social media company in a manner reasonably designed to inform all users of the social media platform of the existence and contents of the terms of service.

~~Existing law requires certain actions in cases involving the registration or denial of registration of voters, among others, to be placed on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and given precedence.~~

This bill would require a ~~large online social media~~ platform, as defined, to seek to verify the name, telephone number, and email address of an influential user, as defined, by a means chosen by the ~~large online social media~~ platform and would require the *social media* platform to seek to verify the identity of a highly influential user, as defined, by

asking to review the highly influential user’s government-issued identification.

This bill would require a ~~large online~~ *social media* platform to note on the profile page of an influential or highly influential user, in type at least as large and as visible as the user’s name, whether the user has been authenticated pursuant to those provisions, as prescribed, and would require the platform to attach to any post of an influential or highly influential user a notation that would be understood by a reasonable person as indicating that the user is authenticated or unauthenticated, as prescribed.

This bill would authorize the Attorney General or any district attorney or city attorney to seek injunctive or other equitable relief against a ~~large online social media~~ platform to compel compliance with the ~~bill and would require those actions to be placed on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and given precedence.~~ *bill.*

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Chapter 22.9 (commencing with Section 22684)  
2 is added to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, to  
3 read:

4  
5 CHAPTER 22.9. VERIFY OR FLAG

6  
7 22684. For the purposes of this chapter:

8 (a) “Highly influential user” means a user of a ~~large online~~  
9 *social media* platform that meets any of the following criteria:

10 (1) Content authored, created, or produced by the user has been  
11 seen by more than 100,000 users within a seven-day period over  
12 all of the accounts that they control or administer on the platform.

13 (2) Accounts controlled or administered by the user have more  
14 than 30,000 followers.

15 (3) The user ranks in the top 3 percent of users by amount of  
16 content viewed by users on the platform within a seven-day period  
17 over all of the accounts that the user controls or administers on the  
18 platform.

19 (b) “Influential user” means a user of a ~~large online~~ *social media*  
20 platform that meets any of the following criteria:

1 (1) Content authored, created, or produced by the user has been  
2 seen by more than 50,000 users within a seven-day period over all  
3 of the accounts that the user controls or administers on the platform.

4 (2) Accounts controlled or administered by the user have more  
5 than 15,000 followers.

6 (3) The user ranks in the top 6 percent of users by amount of  
7 content viewed by users on the platform within a seven-day period  
8 over all of the accounts that the user controls or administers on the  
9 platform.

10 ~~(e) “Large online platform” means a public-facing internet~~  
11 ~~website, web application, or digital application, including a social~~  
12 ~~network, video sharing platform, messaging platform, advertising~~  
13 ~~network, or search engine that had at least 1,000,000 California~~  
14 ~~users during the preceding 12 months.~~

15 ~~(d)~~

16 (c) “Sensitive personal information” has the same meaning as  
17 defined in Section 1798.140 of the Civil Code.

18 (d) “Social media platform” has the same meaning as defined  
19 in Section 22675.

20 22684.1. (a) (1) (A) ~~A large online social media~~ platform  
21 shall seek to verify an influential user’s name, telephone number,  
22 and email address by a means chosen by the large online platform.

23 (B) ~~A large online social media~~ platform shall seek to verify a  
24 highly influential user’s identity by asking to review the highly  
25 influential user’s government-issued identification.

26 (2) ~~A large online social media~~ platform shall not use the  
27 identification information provided by a user for the purpose of  
28 complying with this subdivision for any purpose other than  
29 compliance with this subdivision.

30 (3) (A) Subject to subparagraph (B), ~~a large online social media~~  
31 platform shall protect any identification information provided by  
32 an influential user in compliance with this section using, at a  
33 minimum, the standard of the industry used to protect the  
34 confidential information of users unless the ~~large online social~~  
35 ~~media~~ platform makes that information public in the normal course  
36 of a user’s use of the ~~large online social media~~ platform.

37 (B) ~~A large online social media~~ platform shall not allow a user’s  
38 sensitive personal information to become public.

1 (b) A ~~large online~~ *social media* platform shall note on the profile  
2 page of an influential or highly influential user, in type at least as  
3 large and as visible as the user’s name, either of the following:

4 (1) “This user has been authenticated,” or some similar phrase,  
5 if the user has complied with the ~~large online~~ *social media*  
6 platform’s identification process required by subdivision (a).

7 (2) “This user is unauthenticated,” or some similar phrase, if  
8 the user has failed to comply with the ~~large online~~ *social media*  
9 platform’s identification process required by subdivision (a).

10 (c) (1) A ~~large online~~ *social media* platform shall attach to any  
11 post of an influential or highly influential user a notation that would  
12 be understood by a reasonable person as indicating that the user  
13 is authenticated or unauthenticated.

14 (2) For a post from an unauthenticated influential or highly  
15 influential user, the notation required by paragraph (1) shall be  
16 visible for at least two seconds before the rest of the post is visible  
17 and then shall remain visible with the post.

18 (d) A ~~large online~~ *social media* platform shall allow its users to  
19 opt out of receiving any posts, information, or other distributions  
20 from a user who is not authenticated.

21 (e) A ~~large online~~ *social media* platform shall maintain proof  
22 that it has complied with the verification requirements of this  
23 section but may refrain from storing or maintaining the verification  
24 information or documentation.

25 22684.2. (a) The Attorney General or any district attorney or  
26 city attorney may seek injunctive or other equitable relief against  
27 a ~~large online~~ *social media* platform to compel compliance with  
28 this chapter.

29 (b) In an action filed pursuant to this section, the court shall  
30 award a prevailing plaintiff reasonable attorney’s fees and costs.

31 22684.3. (a) This chapter does not preclude a ~~large online~~  
32 *social media* platform from developing and implementing policies  
33 that seek to verify the identity of users who are not influential or  
34 highly influential users or from verifying the identity of influential  
35 or highly influential users with additional proof.

36 (b) This chapter does not preclude a ~~large online~~ *social media*  
37 platform from requiring that its users comply with any  
38 identification verification required by the ~~company~~ *social media*  
39 *platform*.



1 (c) This chapter does not preclude a ~~large online~~ *social media*  
2 platform from requiring that all users, including influential or  
3 highly influential users, be identified as either “this user has been  
4 authenticated” or “this user is unauthenticated” or similar phrases.

5 (d) This chapter does not preclude a ~~large online~~ *social media*  
6 platform from requiring or prohibiting its users to be publicly  
7 identified.

8 (e) This chapter does not require that a ~~large online~~ *social media*  
9 platform provide less exposure or visibility to the posts made or  
10 digital media content created by users who decline to provide  
11 identity verification.

12 (f) The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any provision  
13 of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall  
14 not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect  
15 without the invalid provision or application.

16 (g) *This chapter does not apply to a social media platform that*  
17 *had less than 1,000,000 California users during the preceding 12*  
18 *months.*

19 ~~SEC. 2.—Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as amended~~  
20 ~~by Section 1 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is amended~~  
21 ~~to read:~~

22 ~~35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial~~  
23 ~~of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification~~  
24 ~~of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot~~  
25 ~~measures, election contests, actions under Section 20010 of the~~  
26 ~~Elections Code, actions under Chapter 2 (commencing with Section~~  
27 ~~21100) of Division 21 of the Elections Code, and actions under~~  
28 ~~Chapter 22.9 (commencing with Section 22684) of Division 8 of~~  
29 ~~the Business and Professions Code shall be placed on the calendar~~  
30 ~~in the order of their date of filing and shall be given precedence.~~

31 ~~(b) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2027,~~  
32 ~~and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that~~  
33 ~~is enacted before January 1, 2027, deletes or extends that date.~~

34 ~~SEC. 3.—Section 35 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as amended~~  
35 ~~by Section 2 of Chapter 343 of the Statutes of 2023, is amended~~  
36 ~~to read:~~

37 ~~35. (a) Proceedings in cases involving the registration or denial~~  
38 ~~of registration of voters, the certification or denial of certification~~  
39 ~~of candidates, the certification or denial of certification of ballot~~  
40 ~~measures, election contests, actions under Chapter 2 (commencing~~

1 ~~with Section 21100) of Division 21 of the Elections Code, and~~  
2 ~~actions under Chapter 22.9 (commencing with Section 22684) of~~  
3 ~~Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code shall be placed~~  
4 ~~on the calendar in the order of their date of filing and shall be given~~  
5 ~~precedence.~~  
6 ~~(b) This section shall become operative January 1, 2027.~~

O

**From:** [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Resolution supporting State Bills to Address Artificial Intelligence in Elections  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 14, 2024 6:13:22 PM

---

Thank you, Arthur. To my knowledge, the California State Association of Counties, League of California Cities, or the National League of Cities have *not* taken a position on these bills.

Preston Kilgore  
Pronouns: He/Him  
Chief of Staff | District 5  
Supervisor Dean Preston  
Sign up for the District 5 Newsletter [here!](#)

---

**From:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 14, 2024 2:34 PM  
**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Resolution supporting State Bills to Address Artificial Intelligence in Elections

Hello Preston,

Per Board Rule 2.8.2, please confirm that organizations such as the [California State Association of Counties](#), [League of California Cities](#), or the National League of Cities have *not* taken a position on these bills. If they have, please provide a copy of their statement for completeness of the file

Thanks,

*Arthur Khoo*

Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4447 | (415) 554-5163  
[arthur.khoo@sfgov.org](mailto:arthur.khoo@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

---

**From:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 14, 2024 2:32 PM  
**To:** Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Resolution supporting State Bills to Address Artificial Intelligence in Elections

Hi Preston,

Since the item is requested to be placed on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference of the agenda, pursuant to Board Rule 2.1.2, please confirm that these matters are routine, not contentious in nature, and of no special interest.

Also, please provide copies of the State Assembly Bills as referenced in the subject Resolution. Plus, we are also seeking your Supervisor's approval for the introduction.

Regards,

*Arthur Khoo*

Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-4447 | (415) 554-5163  
[arthur.khoo@sfgov.org](mailto:arthur.khoo@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <[preston.kilgore@sfgov.org](mailto:preston.kilgore@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 14, 2024 2:26 PM  
**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Resolution supporting State Bills to Address Artificial Intelligence in Elections

Good afternoon,

Please find an introduction form and a resolution supporting State Bills to Address Artificial Intelligence in Elections from Supervisor Preston.

If you have any questions or concerns,

Please do not hesitate to reach out.

Thanks

Preston Kilgore

Pronouns: He/Him

Chief of Staff | District 5

Supervisor Dean Preston

Sign up for the District 5 Newsletter [here!](#)

## Introduction Form

*(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)*



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
*(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)*
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor  inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No.  from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission       Youth Commission       Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission       Building Inspection Commission       Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes                       No

*(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)*

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: