

FILE NO. 140365

Petitions and Communications received from April 7, 2014, through April 14, 2014, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on April 22, 2014.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From Clerk of the Board, regarding the following appointments by the Mayor: (1)
Paul Tour-Sarkissian - Small Business Commission
Gabe Harp - Juvenile Probation Commission
John Lee - Library Commission

From concerned citizens, regarding seismic evaluation of private schools. File No. 140120. 37 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From 311 Customer Service Center, responding to Supervisor Norman Yee's inquiry. (Reference No. 20140107-002). (3)

From Entertainment Commission, responding to Supervisor Norman Yee's inquiry. (Reference No. 20140107-006). (4)

From Police Department, responding to Supervisor Norman Yee's inquiry. (Reference No. 20140107-007). (5)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding Municipal Transportation Agency reform. 107 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding GoSolarSF. File No. 140076. 126 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Juvenile Probation Commission, submitting letter to Mayor Lee supporting Juvenile Probation Department budget. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Department of Public Works, submitting Monument Preservation Fund annual report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Controller, regarding update to the website for viewing vendor payments at SFOpenBook. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee, regarding budget request to create unit for vehicular manslaughter cases. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From concerned citizens, submitting signatures for petition regarding electronic cigarettes. 50 signatures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Youth Commission, regarding actions taken at the April 7, 2014, meeting. File No. 140274. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Alvin Ja, regarding City College of San Francisco Board of Trustees. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee, regarding minimum automobile liability insurance. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Barbra Paul-Elzer, regarding Sunday parking enforcement. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Round The Diamond, regarding proposal for Warriors Multi-purpose Cultural Center and Basketball Arena. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From James Corrigan, regarding hands-free cell phone devices for City vehicles. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Judy Mcree, regarding rainbow flag installation and widening of Castro Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: April 10, 2014
To: Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: APPOINTMENTS BY THE MAYOR

The Mayor has submitted the following appointments:

- Paul Tour-Sarkissian, Small Business Commission, term ending January 6, 2018
- Gabe Harp, Juvenile Probation Commission, term ending January 15, 2018
- John Lee, Library Commission, term ending January 15, 2018

Under the Board's Rules of Order, a Supervisor can request a hearing on an appointment by notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment(s) to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment(s) and act within thirty days of the appointment(s) as provided in Section 3.100(18) of the Charter.

Please notify me in writing by **5:00 p.m., Friday, April 18, 2014**, if you wish any of these appointments to be scheduled.

Attachment

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

*Orig: Rules Clerk
C: COB, Deputy Atty
At file*

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2014 APR -9 PM 4:27

AK

Notice of Appointment

April 8, 2014

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointments:

Paul Tour-Sarkissian to the Small Business Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Luke O'Brien, for a term ending January 6, 2018


Gabe Harp to the Juvenile Probation Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Dirk Beijen, for a term ending January 15, 2018

John Lee to the Library Commission, for a term ending January 15, 2018

I am confident that Mr. Tour-Sarkissian, Mr. Harp, and Mr. Lee, electors of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are their qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,


Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

April 8, 2014

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 3.100(18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointments:

Paul Tour-Sarkissian to the Small Business Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Luke O'Brien, for a term ending January 6, 2018


Gabe Harp to the Juvenile Probation Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Dirk Beijen, for a term ending January 15, 2018

John Lee to the Library Commission, for a term ending January 15, 2018

I am confident that Mr. Tour-Sarkissian, Mr. Harp, and Mr. Lee, electors of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached herein for your reference are their qualifications to serve.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton, at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,


Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

PAUL TOUR-SARKISSIAN
TOUR-SARKISSIAN LAW OFFICES
211 GOUGH STREET, THIRD FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102
TELEPHONE (415) 626-7744
FACSIMILE (415) 626-8189
Paul@tslo.com

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

A 32-year resident of San Francisco, Paul specializes in business and real estate transactions, corporate law, food and beverage law, international private law, estate planning, trust law, and probate. He co-founded Tour-Sarkissian Law Offices in 1992. Paul advises many food service companies and retail establishments, and has particular expertise in organizing business start-ups and other commercial ventures. Paul represents local and foreign clients with respect to their business matters in San Francisco. Since 2002, Paul has been one of two official legal advisors to the Consulate General of France in San Francisco. In his practice, Paul has facilitated trade exchanges between France and San Francisco.

Paul is the legal advisor or a board member of local non-profit organizations including the Alliance Française of San Francisco, the French American Cultural Association, the Council of Armenian American Organizations of Northern California (the purchaser and owner of the Mount Davidson Cross memorial in San Francisco), and various Arab American community groups. Paul has been involved over the years in civic and cultural initiatives in the City and County of San Francisco.

EDUCATION AND PUBLICATIONS

Paul has a J.D. from the University of San Francisco School of Law, and a Master of Laws in Taxation from Golden Gate University School of Law. He also has a diploma in Banking and a Master of Laws from the Université Jean Moulin in Lyon, France. He has been admitted to practice law in California and New York since 1986.

Paul's publications include the co-authorship of The Essential Guide to California Restaurant Law (Carolina Academic Press 2010), the only California legal treatise on restaurant law.

Paul has been a licensed real estate broker in California since 2000.

PERSONAL

Paul is married to his wife Tania. They have two children who attend San Francisco public schools.

Paul is fluent in French, Arabic, and Armenian.

Biography

Gabe Harp is a resident of San Francisco. He grew up in the Potrero Hill District and attended Saint Teresa grammar school. He graduated from Sacred Heart High School, and then received a B.A. in Social Science and a M.S. in Counseling from San Francisco State University. He had advanced studies in Public Administration through Golden Gate University and received a Multiple Subject Teaching Credential through New College. He is married to Lucia Vazquez Harp and they combined families resulting in nine grown children, six grandchildren and they live in the Excelsior District.

Gabe joined the San Francisco Police Department in 1969 and served in the Mission District during most of his career. He was involved in juvenile programs with the Housing Authority at Valencia Gardens and Holly Park. He participated in the Juvenile Diversion Program that was an effort to steer youth to counseling rather than detention facilities. This program involved the Unified School District, Juvenile Probation Department and the Police Department. He was active in the Police Activities League (PAL) where he coached and organized soccer, football and baseball teams. Gabe is the first to say that the success of the PAL could not have come to fruition without the support of coaches who were fellow officers, firefighters, parents, Recreation and Parks personnel, Unified School District staff and donors. His high school athletic coaches and teachers inspired him to serve others and athletics was a magnet to keep many young people positively active.

In the police department Gabe was involved in the Employee Assistance Program, the Critical Incident Response Teams, and the Peer Counseling Program. He was a police academy instructor and coordinator. He spent many years assigned to the Patrol Division where he also worked with a team of officers assigned to address school related problems. After assignments at Ingleside, Bay View and Mission Stations, and working with hundreds of dedicated officers, civilian and executive staff, he retired as a lieutenant in 2000. Gabe is thankful for the many friendships he made in the communities he worked.

After police retirement, Gabe started his four year teaching experience as an assistant teacher at Stuart Hall, then as a language arts teacher at Saint James Elementary School. He appreciated the dedication and work involved in teaching our youth. Eventually, Gabe returned to police related work. For the last seven years, Gabe has been employed by the State of California as a Senior Law Enforcement Consultant with The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). POST is an organization dedicated to continually enhance the professionalism of California Law Enforcement in serving its communities. Gabe is involved in police course certifications and consulting with police training managers. Finally, Gabe believes that collaborative effort between individuals, communities, institutions and action can promote positive change.

John Lee, PMP

275 Ewing Terrace,
San Francisco, Ca 94118

(415) 281 6751
john.ele81946@gmail.com

IT Executive with vision to bring Information, Process and Technology based strategic advantage to organizations. Proven ability with timely execution and delivery of expected outcomes in organization large and small, multiple industries

EXPERIENCE:

YMCA of San Francisco

2008 - present

IT Executive

San Francisco, Ca

Revamped systems from late 1990's technologies to near current, vastly improving business continuity and adding eCommerce capabilities without budget increase. Led organization in the selection of mission critical systems. Reduced aged collection of \$200+k after first year of implementation. Positioned organization to operate more effectively.

Sirna Therapeutics, now part of Merck

2006 -2007

Director of IT

San Francisco, Ca

Built out new headquarters for growth and instituted IT practice. Facilitated Merck's absorption of Sirna after its purchase of Sirna. Won Merck Award.

Nektar Therapeutics

1997 - 2005

Director of IT

San Carlos, Ca

Built Information Systems organization to meet 400% business growth and regulatory expectations relating to Pre-Approval Inspection, 21CFR11 requirement and Sarbanes Oxley. Provided company portal facilitating collaboration with business partners, integration of subsidiaries and build-out of offshore facilities.

Cultivated a collaborative environment and created metrics to foster high motivation and performance across multiple disciplines. Achieved high level of customer satisfaction on both project and service level. Lowest staff turnover rate during the 90's dot-com bubble.

Matson Navigation Company

1989 -1997

Associate Director

San Francisco, Ca

Delivered mission-critical system for "one call does all" business strategy and software re-engineering. Resulted in increased billing accuracy to 98% and staff reduced by 15%.

Midland Montague Securities

1987 - 1989

Director

San Francisco, Ca

Managed systems to support a primary dealership with daily trading volume of \$5billion.

Designed and implemented a strategic trading decision support system to balance company's trading portfolio. Planned and moved the company across the country without loss of a single business transaction.

Bank of America

1975 – 1989

VP

San Francisco, Ca and London UK

Managed \$40million expense on external Financial Market Information Services and voice/data communications used in Foreign Exchange and Money trading centers worldwide. Saved over \$2million annually.

Provided trading desk worldwide strategic advantage before the age of internet.

Provided risk management systems to help Executive Committee manage \$1b interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, settlement and cross country risk.

EDUCATION:

Post-Grad in **Business Studies**, University of Warwick

Warwick, UK

M.S. **Applied Statistics**, University of Southampton

Southampton, UK

B.S, **Electrical Engineering**, Hong Kong Polytechnic

Hong Kong

COMMUNITY:

Evergreen Education Foundation

2004 - current

Board Member, and multiple capacities to bring education opportunities to rural areas in China

MentorNet

1998 - current

Mentored more than 15 senior university students across United States

RedCross

1998 - current

CPR/First Aid instructor and DSHR

SF NERT

2009 - current

Certified to assist SFFD when occasion arises

File 140120
BOS-11

From: socalm@bellsouth.net
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Laura Maneschi
160 Shrader street
San Francisco, CA 94117-1017

April 14, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Laura and Antonio Maneschi
4153872062

From: cplestelle@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:40 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Cathy Estelle
1822 38th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-4148

April 14, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Cathy Estelle

From: tara.moriarty@ktvu.com
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Tara Moriarty
67 Weston Dr
Daly City, CA 94015-3048

April 14, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Tara Moriarty
415 606-1687

From: rosaleenc7@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2014 11:10 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Rosaleen Connolly
962 EDINBURGH ST
San Francisco, CA 94112-3818

April 13, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Rosaleen Connolly
4157221225

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California

From: mgmacias13lovepeace@yahoo.cm
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 1:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

manuel macias
2316 hepworth ave
commerece, CA 90040-1121

April 12, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

manuel macias

From: robert.rodriguez3@sbdinc.com
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Robert Rodriguez
78 Rudden Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94112-2540

April 12, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Robert D. Rodriguez

From: nealonka@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Karen Nealon
78 Rudden Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94112-2540

April 12, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Karen C. Nealon
(415) 602-329-

From: tomwalsh1534@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 5:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Thomas Walsh
1534 26th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122-3218

April 11, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard.

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Thomas Walsh
415*681-3229

From: peskysf@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 9:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

christopher bessette
201 ewing terrace
san francisco, CA 94118-4408

April 11, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

christopher bessette
4156010793

From: reneemcknn@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 5:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Renee McKenna
3131 rivera st
san francisco, CA 94116-1524

April 11, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Renee McKenna
415 731 5832

From: paulus4934@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Paulus Dinh
4934 arnica ct
san jose, CA 95111-3901

April 11, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Paulus Dinh
408-629-7834

From: fotieileen@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Eileen Foti
741 Joost Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94127-2203

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Eileen Foti
415 596-6259

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California

From: bernice314@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Bernice Palacio
71 Oneida ave
San Francisco, CA 94112-3211

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Bernice Palacio

From: arostrain@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Anna Strain
130 Funston ave
SF, CA 94118-1119

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Anna Strain
415-215-5027

From: jferretty@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Javier Ferretty
258 A Street
Redwood City, CA 94063-1010

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Javier Ferretty

From: aferretty@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Annette Ferretty
258 A Street
Redwood City, CA 94063-1010

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Annette Ferretty

From: giame@domino.it
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 9:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Fabrizio Giamello
1410 Dolores St
San Francisco, CA 94110-4321

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the scope to school buildings;
- Avoid unrelated code upgrade requirements.

This ordinance should be carefully crafted to limit its impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students such as Catholic schools.

Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate. Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Fabrizio Giamello
415508301

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California

Nevin, Peggy

From: joe@alliancetravel.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

joe Dugan
1458 10th ave
san francisco, CA 94122-3603

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

joe dugan

From: jennycdugan@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Jennifer Dugan
1458 10th ave
san francisco, CA 94122-3603

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

I find this proposed ordinance highly unfair: I pay prop tax but dont benefit from public school use or public school funds but I have to pay to make my private school a safe haven. No.

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Jennifer Dugan

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California

From: aprilsarraille@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

April Sarraille
542 LOMBARD ST
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94133-2314

April 10, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

Please craft this ordinance to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco.

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

April Sarraille
4156375951

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California

From: riagleva@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 8:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Rebecca Ruiz
215 Raymond Ave
San Francisco, CA 94134-2327

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Rebecca Ruiz
415-859-0135

From: coletteakelly@gmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 4:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

colette kelly
275 broadway
San Francisco, CA 94115

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Colette A. Kelly

From: derekshot@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Derek Sho
27 Mandalay Place
South San Francisco, CA 94080-1669

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Derek Sho
415.310.9293

From: criseniacabuloy@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 11:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Crisenia Cabuloy
136 Baltimore Way
San Francisco, CA 94112-4504

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Crisenia Cabuloy
4156526527

From: dalai_lumma@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

John Lum
1530 O'Farrell St., apt. 3
San Francisco, CA 94115-3752

April 9, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

John Lum
415-929-8755

From: margaret4004@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 8:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Margaret McMackin
2347 17th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116-2507

April 8, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Margaret McMackin
415-564-9917

From: j9ratti@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 7:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Jeannine Ratti
1021 Granada Dr
Pacifica, CA 94044-3518

April 8, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Jeannine Ratti
650-355-0193

From: j9ratti@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 5:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Jeannine Ratti
1021 Granada Dr
Pacifica, CA 94044-3518

April 8, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Jeannine Ratti
650-355-0193

File 140120

y

From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Ausberry, Andrea
Subject: Private Schools Earthquake Evaluation Ordinance - File # 140120
Attachments: Thursday Agenda Topics.pdf

From: Denise LaPointe [<mailto:denise@lapointeassociates.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 2:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Private Schools Earthquake Evaluation Ordinance - File # 140120

Denise M. LaPointe
LaPointe and Associates
290 Twin Peaks Boulevard
San Francisco, California 94114

ph: 415-665-4346
fax: 415-665-4347
denise@lapointeassociates.com



April 8, 2014

Patrick Otellini, Chief Resiliency Officer
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 12
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Patrick:

The meeting you set for April 10, 2014 at 3 pm can be an important opportunity to improve the dialogue and raise the level of information and awareness regarding the Private Schools Earthquake Evaluation Ordinance introduced on February 11, 2014 by Mayor Ed Lee.

As we noted in earlier communications, securing attendance could be challenging given Spring Break for schools along with Passover, Easter and Orthodox Easter celebrations. It is our hope that the meeting will yield an informed, broad-based coalition of schools that can work alongside your Working Group, which you have also encouraged to attend.

Since the meeting on March 19, 2014 convened by Supervisor Scott Wiener, Chair of the Land Use and Economic Development Committee, concerns about this ordinance have amplified among the private schools that serve almost 30,000 students in San Francisco.

Many of these schools' concerns served as the focus of an in-depth discussion held yesterday morning at St. Mary's Cathedral. More than 60 principals, vice-principals, parent volunteers and other representatives were in attendance, representing the diverse communities served by private schools – including large independent schools, schools serving low-income and working families, cultural and language-based schools, schools serving special needs and learning-challenged populations, as well as religious schools of various denominations.

In more than two hours of discussion, these schools shared the common goals of increased, dedicated outreach on the ordinance and for improving seismic safety of their facilities while articulating their concerns. Private school students, their families, and faculty and staff deserve the same reassurance that San Francisco's public schools can offer their constituencies that the seismic safety process is inclusive and informed by real dialogue.

Yet, several concerns emerged again and again. I've taken the liberty of outlining them below in the hope that these can be incorporated into the agenda you are planning for Thursday's meeting, which most of Monday's attendees indicated they would be attending, so that we can move forward in a collaborative way:

1. There needs to be greater and more effective outreach to, and inclusion of, San Francisco's diverse private school communities in this process given the wide-ranging impacts of the proposed ordinance.

A significant number of schools had simply not heard anything about the ordinance; others were only partially aware of the advanced state of its development. It's fair to say that most of the schools represented Monday were apprehensive, even fearful, because they knew so little about this legislation.

One school said it had participated earnestly in the working group process, made a simple but significant request to adopt the “state definition” of schools and have it incorporated into the ordinance, only to have it ignored in the ordinance draft.

On Thursday, perhaps you can address these concerns and set forth a program that would build better knowledge and ultimately support among San Francisco’s private schools. These schools simply need more time to educate their diverse constituencies in a way that supports the goals of this legislation, not just studies of building conditions – but actual improvement of seismic safety, without engendering unnecessary fears about seismic issues.

2.

There must be greater emphasis on transparency and shared goals. The very real effects of the legislation should be disclosed and discussed candidly.

There is a concern, based on some of your discussion with us and representations in the media, that an “us vs. them” dynamic is developing. Collaboration should be the order of the day when the safety of San Francisco schoolchildren is the goal.

Your characterization of this ordinance as requiring “just a study” masks the very real chain of events that will be put in motion once this legislation passes. Representations that such studies can be done “for as little as \$8,000” suggest that private schools’ concerns are simply economic. Finally, many schools expressed frustration at your repeated representations to the Mayor, elected officials, decision-makers and City Hall staff that private schools’ concerns had been “worked out” or “smoothed over”. Clearly, there are many unresolved and serious issues.

The schools we heard from would greatly appreciate a toning down of such divisive characterizations, with a greater emphasis on how we can work *together* to make San Francisco more resilient and seismically safe for our children.

Better yet, we think it would be useful to collaborate on a set of shared goals and objectives that recognizes the aspirations and challenges this legislation incorporates. **As written, this ordinance will result in the closing of schools**: that is not a scare tactic but an inevitability for schools who serve poorer communities. Like all private schools, their tuitions cover only a portion of overall costs; unlike larger, more affluent schools, they cannot readily access or raise necessary funds.

We would like to see a strong statement from the City that private schools play an important role for San Francisco’s educational and economic future, that they contribute to the quality of life, economic and social diversity and values of our City, and that their concerns deserve to be addressed in the face of this proposed legislation so that none will be forced to shut its doors in the name of seismic safety.

What was clear from the discussion this morning, is the following events will almost certainly ensue after these seemingly simple studies are completed and posted as public information:

First, schools will feel a moral obligation to take action on whatever problems may surface. They will feel such an obligation without the benefit of necessary resources.

Second, there will be widespread concern among our parent communities that children may not be attending “safe” schools, with ensuing pressure to act quickly, again without the necessary resources to do so.

Third, it will be only a matter of time before private lawsuits are filed against private schools. As a practical matter, this will be the rough and expensive mechanism that moves a “simple study” to enforcement, however well intended the “study-only” language is.

Finally, as another practical matter, once permits are pulled for any seismic upgrades resulting from these studies, the City will compel schools to immediately comply with other building-safety statutes (ADA, energy efficiency, lead and asbestos abatement) that may apply. Some of the schools we heard from Monday indicated these related expenses could cost twice the amount of their seismic improvements.

3. Vague portions of the legislation will have devastating impacts on some private schools; these need to be clarified and made more specific.

It would be very helpful in the Thursday meeting if you could address very specifically what school facilities are covered by the ordinance. As you know, many private schools are located in older, sometimes historic, facilities. Many schools make use of facilities for very different purposes than those originally intended. What, in The City's view, is a "school building" as it pertains to the ordinance?

Likewise, it would also be important to specify which types of schools or facilities are specifically excluded from the ordinance, for example, pre-K services or optional enrichment programs such as dance, music, art, or gymnastics.

4. The City's flexibility with regard to timelines will not only mitigate some of the unintended negative consequences of this legislation, but it will show the same kind of consideration extended to public schools in meeting their seismic safety obligations.

It was noted this morning that schools in the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) have been working to achieve seismic safety for more than 25 years after the ordinance affecting public schools became law. SFUSD schools had the benefit of a long-term comprehensive facilities planning process, a broad and ongoing funding mechanism from several sources (federal, state, and local), and flexibility when it came to recognizing the special circumstances of certain facilities. Private schools do not, at the moment, believe this same level of recognition, funding, and flexibility is being extended to them.

For religious schools in particular, the offer of City-endorsed loans is a nonstarter given church-state concerns. Realistically, private schools are left without a dedicated funding mechanism or even time to determine if and how to raise these funds privately.

Additionally, we spoke this morning of the significant number of private schools located in leased facilities (there are seven such Catholic schools alone). In this hot real estate market, many property owners will simply choose to discontinue renting to schools if they are required to adhere to the ordinance as written. San Francisco can ill-afford the spectacle of private schools essentially orphaned, and possibly closing, in the headlong rush to attain the requirements of imprecisely written legislation.

I want to reiterate our interest in working with City Hall to achieve our shared seismic safety goals. I hope that this preview of some of the concerns that may arise on Thursday will provide you with time to address them accordingly and to improve the process going forward. Let's continue to work together to achieve common goals.

Sincerely,



Denise M. LaPointe
For the Strengthen Our Schools Coalition

cc: Mayor Edwin Lee
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Building Inspection Commission

From: zinasni@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 1:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Zina Snigorenko
1250 35 Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-1311

April 8, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Zina Snigorenko
415 759-8436

Catholic Legislative Network, A Voice for Life & Dignity in California.

File 140120

From: ktrouble@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 1:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Karen Takamoto
2211 38th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116-1649

April 8, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Karen Takamoto
415-661-3397

From: jmhurabiell@aol.com
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 4:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Judi Hurabiell
259 14 th Avwe.
San Francisco, CA 94118

April 7, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Judi Hurabiell

From: mr_mol2002@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 1:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Aaron Molinari
812 laguna honda blvd
san francisco, CA 94127-1024

April 7, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Aaron Molinari

From: lennyoei@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 12:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Lenny Oei
1607 38th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122-3001

April 7, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no.. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Lenny Oei
4156813786

From: eao814@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 12:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Elizabeth Gamarra
1275 40th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94122-1236

April 7, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Gamarra
415-505-3614

From: csalonso@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 12:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: Help Save Our Schools!

Charito Alonso
513 Avalon Dr
South San Francisco, CA 94080-5559

April 7, 2014

City and County of San Francisco
1 Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4603

Dear City and County of San Francisco:

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance

Sincerely,

Charito Alonso

File 140120

29 March 2014

005-11
page
file

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2014 APR -7 PM 2:39

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl. #244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subj: SAN FRANCISCO PRIVATE SCHOOL SEISMIC ORDINANCE

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Fully 30 percent of all San Francisco students attend private schools. Catholic schools disproportionately serve working class and inner-city families and are largely supported by local parishes, not the Archdiocese.

The proposed ordinance for seismic evaluation of private school buildings (file no. 140152), is being pushed too fast, and is problematic and punitive to private schools, as well as to the thousands of families with kids in San Francisco that they serve.

This ordinance needs to be revised to:

- Limit the study evaluation levels to the standard of life-safety;
- Limit the ordinance to school buildings;
- Encourage, rather than discourage, the seismic safety work by not triggering the catastrophic expense of unrelated code requirements.

This ordinance is being rushed for no reason, when instead it should be carefully crafted to limit its drastic impact on our schools, especially those that serve inner city students. Input from the private school community has been limited. We have a great deal of knowledge and expertise to contribute to this debate.

The working families and kids we serve are becoming an endangered species in San Francisco. So, please allow a diverse set of views to be part of the process on this proposed ordinance so that affected communities in San Francisco can be heard

Please work with us to amend the legislation to incorporate the life-safety standard, limit the ordinance to school buildings, and grant relief from unrelated code requirements.

Thank you...for giving our religious, independent, and community schools a chance.

Sincerely,

Mary S. Rodgers
St. Vincent de Paul Church parishioner

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 3:47 PM
To: BOS-Operations
Subject: FW: BOS Inquiry Number 20140107-002
Attachments: Noise Workgroup Agendas and Sign-In Sheets.pdf

From: Alfaro, Nancy (311)
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2014 10:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Scanlon, Olivia (BOS); Weintraub, June
Subject: BOS Inquiry Number 20140107-002

Hello,


The Department of Public Health provided you a response on Thursday, April 10, 2014 related to the inquiry by Supervisor Yee on January 7, 2014. Please consider their response as the response from the various departments, including 311, since they have assumed the lead and will be the one responding to inquiries on this matter on behalf of all involved departments.

Please let me know if you have any further questions or need any other information.

Respectfully,

Nancy

Nancy Alfaro | Director | 311 Customer Service Center | d: (415) 701-3137 | f: (415) 701-3104 | c: (415) 260-4724
email: nancy.alfaro@sfgov.org



From: Weintraub, June
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 1:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS); Scanlon, Olivia (BOS)
Subject: Inquiry Number 20140107-002

Good afternoon,

In response to Supervisor Yee's Letter of Inquiry dated January 7, 2014, representatives from city agencies have convened to address the elements of the inquiry. We have had one initial meeting of the large group, attended by

representatives from SFDBI, SFPlanning, SFDPW, RPD, 311, SFMTA, SF Entertainment Commission, SFFD, SFPD, SFDPH, and Office of the City Attorney. Follow up from that meeting and next steps are described in the email below.

We have also convened the three Subgroup Meetings as described in 1a, 1b, and 1c below. I have attached the sign-in sheets and agendas from each of the meetings we have convened so far.

We anticipate that our second meeting of the full workgroup will be held in May.

June Weintraub

****Please note I am in the office M, W, F 8:45-2:45 and T, Th 8:30-5****

June M. Weintraub, Sc.D.

Acting Manager of Air, Water, Noise, Radiation and Smoking Programs | Environmental Health Branch
Population Health Division | San Francisco Department of Public Health | 1390 Market St, Ste 210 | San Francisco CA 94102

phone: 415-252-3973 | fax: 415-252-3894

email: June.Weintraub@sfdph.org | <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH>

**** CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**** This email message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete or otherwise destroy the information.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:21 PM
To: BOS-Operations
Subject: FW: Inquiry Number 20140107-002
Attachments: Noise Workgroup Agendas and Sign-In Sheets.pdf

From: Weintraub, June
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 1:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS); Scanlon, Olivia (BOS)
Subject: Inquiry Number 20140107-002

Good afternoon,

In response to Supervisor Yee's Letter of Inquiry dated January 7, 2014, representatives from city agencies have convened to address the elements of the inquiry. We have had one initial meeting of the large group, attended by representatives from SFDBI, SFPlanning, SFDPW, RPD, 311, SFMTA, SF Entertainment Commission, SFFD, SFPD, SFDPH, and Office of the City Attorney. Follow up from that meeting and next steps are described in the email below.

We have also convened the three Subgroup Meetings as described in 1a, 1b, and 1c below. I have attached the sign-in sheets and agendas from each of the meetings we have convened so far.

We anticipate that our second meeting of the full workgroup will be held in May.

June Weintraub

Please note I am in the office M, W, F 8:45-2:45 and T, Th 8:30-5

June M. Weintraub, Sc.D.
Acting Manager of Air, Water, Noise, Radiation and Smoking Programs | Environmental Health Branch
Population Health Division | San Francisco Department of Public Health | 1390 Market St, Ste 210 | San Francisco CA 94102

phone: 415-252-3973 | fax: 415-252-3894
email: June.Weintraub@sfdph.org | <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH>

**** CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**** This email message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete or otherwise destroy the information.

From: Weintraub, June
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 1:51 PM
To: 'andy.maimoni@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; 'Anthony.Rivera@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; Burke, Sean;
'Cammy.Blackstone@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; Cushing, Stephanie; Dario Elizondo, Virginia; Dennis, Rassendyll; Duffy,

Joseph; Fosdahl, Patrick; Garrity, John; 'Heidi.Kline@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; 'Janine Young'; Kane, Jocelyn; 'Keiichiro.Zushi@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; Lotti, Bob; Maimoni, Andy; Martinsen, Janet; 'Michael.Jacinto@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; 'Nancy.Alfaro@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; 'Olivia.Scanlon@sfgov1.onmicrosoft.com'; O'Malley, Lisa; Piakis, Jonathan; Sanchez, Diego; 'Sean.Burke@SFGOV1.onmicrosoft.com'; Thi, Khun; Veneracion, April; Wong, Clifton; Wong, Kenny
Cc: Alves, Kelly; Ballard, Sarah; Chawla, Colleen; Lee, Richard; Lombardi, Ken; Range, Jessica; Strawn, William; Turrell, Nannie

Subject: Noise Workgroup Meeting #1 Follow-up

Good morning,

Thank you all for attending our productive and efficient meeting yesterday.

As follow-up items:

1—We will work to set up interim meetings with interested subgroups to discuss:

- a. Inter-agency referrals, through 311 and direct agency-to agency (all)
- b. Construction noise and related permitting (DBI, DPW, Planning, others?)
- c. Public entertainment, street fairs, street performers, parks (Entertainment, Rec & Park, DPW, others?)

Please let me know if there are other issues that you think we should schedule subset-meeting to discuss.

2—Once these interim meetings get scheduled and underway, we'll collectively figure out when our large group Meeting #2 can best be scheduled.

3—We discussed the resources available at [sfdph.org](http://www.sfdph.org). They are available from our main noise page:

<http://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Noise/default.asp>. From that page you can see the link to the Citizen's Guide to Noise in the City, which contains the detailed table about how we currently handle noise (Here's the direct link for your convenience: <http://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/EHSdocs/ehsNoise/GuideNoiseControl.pdf>) Also there you can view DPH's technical guidance that we use for implementing our portion of the noise ordinance.

4—DPW sent me the attached Night Noise Approval Guidance, which we discussed yesterday. I would like to request that other departments **please send me copies of any relevant guidance you have**. I will collate them and distribute to the group.

I appreciate everyone's participation and am looking forward to working with all of you to address Supervisor Yee's Letter of Inquiry.

June



City and County of San Francisco
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Director of Health

Richard J. Lee, MPH, CIH, REHS
Acting Environmental Health Director

Interagency Noise Workgroup Meeting #1

Monday March 3, 2014 1:30 – 2:30 pm

City Hall Conference Room 278

Agenda

Overall Goal of the Workgroup: Address Supervisor Yee Letter of Inquiry

Meeting #1 Goal: Assess successes and obstacles to interagency implementation issues with noise laws in the city.

1. Introductions (June, Olivia) (10 minutes)
2. Overview (June, DPH/EH Staff) (10 minutes)
 - a. Purpose of the Workgroup—timeline, overall goals, agenda for this meeting
 - b. Article 29—Revision History
 - c. Work of the Noise Task Force
3. Current implementation of the law (all attendees) (15 minutes)
 - a. What is missing or incorrect in the list attached?
 - b. Agency challenges implementing the requirements of the law
4. Noise issues that agencies are faced with that are not covered by the law (all attendees) (20 minutes)

Given current understanding and interpretation of the existing law, technical capacities and human resources:

 - a. Issues not specified within Article 29 for enforcement authority that “should”, or “could” be specified (e.g. through amendments, rules and regs or guidance)
 - b. Issues not specified within Article 29 for enforcement authority that “should not”, or “could not” be specified (e.g. because it does not make sense to try to regulate these problems as a public health hazard or nuisance)
5. Next steps (all attendees) (5 minutes)
 - a. Schedule interim meeting with 311 to discuss referral strategies
 - b. Schedule interim meeting with DBI and SF Planning to discuss acoustical report review and referral
 - c. Schedule additional interim meetings as identified
 - d. Schedule Interagency Workgroup Meeting #2



MULTI-AGENCY ROLES IN NOISE CONTROL (REGULATORY AND JURISDICTIONAL)

STP

- Accept and refer citizen noise complaints

SFPD

- Noise from mechanical fixed noise sources
- Amplified sound from open top tour buses
- Street entertainment

Department of Planning

- Violation of conditions of approval (includes noise)
- Environmental review of plans and projects

Department of Building Inspection

- Regulation of construction noise

Muni

- Vehicle and transit noise

Fire Department

- Sirens

Entertainment Commission

- Regulation of entertainment noise (night clubs)

Rec and Park

- Noise in parks and outdoor city venues

Department of Public Works

- Permitted street uses (e.g. parades and temporary parking spot uses)
- Regulation of construction noise

Police Department

- Regulation of noise from audio equipment
- Regulation of noise from people or animals
- Street entertainment

City Attorney

- Ordinance interpretation and revision
- Enforcement

Barking dogs

NOISE WORKGROUP MEETING #1

MARCH 3, 2014

HISTORY OF NOISE LAW FEDERAL

- 1970 Clean Air Act established EPA Office of Noise Abatement and Control (EPA phased out the office's funding in 1982)
- 1972 Noise Control Act
- 1974 "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise: Request to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety" released
 - 24-hour exposure level of 70 decibels to prevent any measurable hearing loss over a lifetime.
 - 55 decibels outdoors and 45 decibels indoors to prevent activity interference and annoyance—levels represent averages of acoustic energy over periods of time such as 8 hours or 24 hours, and over long periods of time such as years.
- 1978 Quiet Communities Act

Noise Control Act of 1972 and the Quiet Communities Act of 1978 were never rescinded by Congress and remain in effect today, although essentially unfunded.

HISTORY OF NOISE LAW SAN FRANCISCO

- 1972: Ordinance 274-72
- 1973: Added construction equipment
- 2008: Repealed and replaced many sections
- 2011: Added Licensed Limited Live Performance Locales
- 2012: Tourbuses added
- 2013: Expanded definition of live performance and granted SFPDPH authority to issue administrative citations

Everyone talks about the noise ordinance laws of SF, but no one knows exactly what the laws are...

MULTI-AGENCY ROLES IN NOISE CONTROL (REGULATORY AND JURISDICTIONAL)

<p>311</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accept and refer citizen noise complaints <p>SFPDPH</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise from mechanical fixed noise sources • Amplified sound from open top low buses • Street entertainment <p>Department of Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Violation of conditions of approval (includes noise) • Environmental review of plans and projects <p>Department of Building Inspection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation of construction noise <p>Muni</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicle and transit noise <p>Fire Department</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street 	<p>Entertainment Commission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation of entertainment noise (includes) <p>Rec and Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise in parks and outdoor city venues <p>Department of Public Works</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permitted street uses (e.g. parties and temporary parking spot uses) • Regulation of construction noise <p>Police Department</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation of noise from audio equipment • Regulation of noise from people or animals • Street entertainment <p>City Attorney</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ordinance Interpretation and revision • Enforcement
--	---

NOISE TASK FORCE 2008-2011

"Enforcement of noise problems is fractionated among many different Commissions, Agencies, and Departments and this has led to unclear jurisdiction and confusion for the public filing complaints. The new noise task force would develop understanding regarding jurisdiction and clarify responsibilities. In addition it will identify noise problems that require specific new legislation for proper control." (from the 2008 Legislative Digest)

SELECTED ISSUES DISCUSSED BY NOISE TASK FORCE 2008-2011

- Motorcycle noise and vehicle code enforcement
- Collection of noise complaint data - 311
- Helicopter noise
- Garbage collection
- Street cleaning noise
- Sirens
- Backup alarms
- Entertainment noise
- Planning projects
- Nighttime construction noise
- Emergency generators
- Churches

SUPERVISOR YEE LETTER OF INQUIRY


- Assess successes and obstacles to interagency implementation issues with noise laws in the city.
- Assess the need for revisions to the existing noise ordinance and, if appropriate, recommend a framework and strategy to develop revisions that includes an opportunity for community and stakeholder input.
- Initiate and draft uniform guidance and agreements on inter-departmental cooperation to guide interpretation and improve consistency in implementation of the existing law.

NEXT STEPS TODAY

Goal: Assess successes and obstacles to interagency implementation issues with noise laws in the city.

- Current implementation of the law
- Noise issues that agencies are faced with that are not covered by the law (all attendees)
- Appropriate gaps in noise control to fill
- Next steps

PROPOSED TIMELINE





City and County of San Francisco
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Director of Health

Richard J. Lee, MPH, CIH, REHS
Acting Environmental Health Director

**Interagency Noise Workgroup
Subgroup Meeting A**

Wednesday April 2, 2014 11:30 am – 12:30 pm

1390 Market Street, Suite 810

Agenda

Overall Goal of the Workgroup: Address Supervisor Yee Letter of Inquiry

Meeting A Goals:

- Understand perspectives toward strategies for handling noise complaints related specifically to public entertainment, street fairs, street performers, parks, etc.
- Brainstorm mutually manageable ideas for how to improve or change current strategies

1. Introductions (June) (5 minutes)
2. Current implementation challenges of the law (all attendees) (40 minutes)
 - a. Measuring ambient
 - b. Deciding if a public health hazard exists
 - c. Determining where to take measurements
 - d. Multiple complaints from a single person
 - e. Repeat violators
 - f.
 - g.
 - h.
 - i.
 - j.
3. Addressing the challenges and defining next steps (10 minutes)
 - a. Inter agency guidance
 - b. Article 29 revisions
 - c. Ongoing collaborative meetings
 - d.
 - e.
 - f.
 - g.
 - h.



City and County of San Francisco
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Barbara A. Garcia, MPA, Director of Health

Richard J. Lee, MPH, CIH, REHS
Acting Environmental Health Director

Interagency Noise Workgroup
Subgroup Meeting B

Friday April 4, 2014, 2014 10:00 am – 11:00 am

1390 Market Street, Suite 810

Agenda

Overall Goal of the Workgroup: Address Supervisor Yee Letter of Inquiry

Meeting B Goals:

- Understand perspectives toward strategies for handling noise complaints related specifically to **construction noise and permit issues**.
- Brainstorm mutually manageable ideas for how to improve or change current strategies

1. Introductions (June) (5 minutes)
2. Current implementation challenges of the law (all attendees) (40 minutes)
 - a. Measuring and monitoring compliance with permits and with Article 29
 - b. Permit requirements, conditions of approval
 - c. Technology measures for sound mitigation
 - d.
 - e.
 - f.
 - g.
 - h.
3. Addressing the challenges and defining next steps (10 minutes)
 - a. Inter agency guidance
 - b. Article 29 revisions
 - c. Ongoing collaborative meetings
 - d.
 - e.
 - f.
 - g.
 - h.



**Interagency Noise Workgroup
Subgroup Meeting C**

Monday April 7, 2014, 2014 1:00 pm – 2:00 pm

1390 Market Street, Suite 810

Agenda

Overall Goal of the Workgroup: Address Supervisor Yee Letter of Inquiry

Meeting C Goals:

- Understand challenges and develop improved strategies for **inter-agency referrals through 311 and direct agency-to agency referrals** for noise complaints

1. Introductions (June) (5 minutes)
2. Current needs for interagency communication (all attendees) (40 minutes)
 - a. As part of complaint response (e.g. checking permits, understanding whose jurisdiction a complaint would fall under, when to refer to police, other resource referrals)
 - b. Interagency communication needs in planning, development, and permit application processes to try to prevent noise issues
 - c.
 - d.
 - e.
3. Addressing the challenges and defining next steps (10 minutes)
 - a. Inter agency guidance / referral matrix
 - b. Ongoing collaborative meetings
 - c. Customized 311 eform
 - d.
 - e.
 - f.
 - g.
 - h.



From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:21 PM
To: BOS-Operations
Subject: FW: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

-----Original Message-----

From: Kane, Jocelyn (ADM)
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS)
Subject: RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

The Entertainment Commission is working with the Health Dept and all other agencies as a member of the Noise Workgroup. We have met once in an all agency meeting and once as a subgroup. Plesae let me know if this is sufficient as reply. thanks

Jocelyn Kane, Executive Director
San Francisco Entertainment Commission
City Hall, Room 453
415 554-5793 (voice)
415 554-7934 (fax)
jocelyn.kane@sfgov.org
facebook blog

-----Original Message-----

From: Board of Supervisors [<mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Kane, Jocelyn (ADM)
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS)
Subject: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INQUIRY - SECOND PAST DUE NOTICE
If you have already responded, please disregard this notice.
For any questions, call (415) 554-7708.

TO: Jocelyn Kane
entertainment commission

FROM: Clerk of the Board
DATE: 4/10/2014
REFERENCE: 20140107-006
FILE NO.

Due Date: 2/8/2014
Reminder Sent: 2/4/2014
Past Due Notice Sent: 4/10/2014

The inquiry referenced above from Supervisor Yee was made at the Board meeting on 1/7/2014 and a response was requested by the due date shown above.

Please indicate the reference number shown above in your response, direct the original via email to Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org and send a copy to the Supervisor(s) noted above.

For your convenience, the original inquiry is repeated below.

Requesting various City agencies to convene a working group to assess the need for revisions to the existing noise ordinance and to include the following three goals: 1) Assess successes and obstacles to interagency implementation issues with noise laws in the city. 2) Assess the need for revisions to the existing noise ordinance and, if appropriate, recommend a framework and strategy to develop revisions that include an opportunity for community and stakeholder input. 3) Initiate and draft uniform guidance and agreements on inter-departmental cooperation to guide interpretation and improve consistency in implementation of the existing law.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:25 PM
To: BOS-Operations
Subject: FW: Noise Standards and Enforcement Mechanisms
Attachments: Permits Noise Enforcement Mechanisms.docx; Permits Noise Law.pdf; Permits Noise Task Force Agenda 2010.pdf

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Due By: Friday, April 11, 2014 5:00 PM
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Rowena.Carr@sfgov.org [mailto:Rowena.Carr@sfgov.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Yee, Norman (BOS)
Subject: Fw: Noise Standards and Enforcement Mechanisms
Importance: High

Please see an updated response to **REFERENCE: 20140107-007**
We ask that you disregard previous email.

Thank you,
Rowena

Rowena V. Carr
San Francisco Police Department
Office of the Chief of Police
415-553-1602

-----Forwarded by Rowena Carr/SFPD/SFGOV on 04/10/2014 04:58PM -----

To: Rowena Carr/SFPD/SFGOV@SFGOV
From: Milanda Moore/SFPD/SFGOV
Date: 04/10/2014 04:30PM
Cc: William Roualdes/SFPD/SFGOV@SFGOV
Subject: Noise Standards and Enforcement Mechanisms

(See attached file: Permits Noise Enforcement Mechanisms.docx)
(See attached file: Permits Noise Law.pdf)
(See attached file: Permits Noise Task Force Agenda 2010.pdf)

Board of Supervisor's Inquiry: Noise Standards and Enforcement Mechanisms

Access successes and obstacles to interagency implementation issues with noise laws in the city.

The Success: The San Francisco Police Department used to be a member of the Supervisor's *Noise Task Force* along with the Board of Supervisor's, City Attorney's Office, 311, DPH, Entertainment Commission, GSA/EHS (BID), Park and Recreation, and Planning Department. Sgt. Bill Coggan was the original representative of the SFPD on the *Noise Task Force* and when he retired Officer Jim O'Meara took his place on the Board. Sgt. Bob Guinan also appeared in front of this Board due to noise complaints and calls for service on Broadway Street.

The Obstacle: The *Noise Task Force* was disbanded in December of 2011 because it was not fully funded. In addition the head of the task force from the Department of Public Health, Tom Rivard, retired shortly after.

Assess the need for revision to the existing noise ordinance and, if appropriate, recommend a framework and strategy to develop revisions that include an opportunity for community and stakeholder input.

The San Francisco Police Department pays special attention to Section 2916 the Enforcement Code of Article 29. The Chief of Police may enforce the provisions of this Article that relate to noise created by humans or any other noise source not specifically or designated to another Department or Agency. Attached is Article 29 of the Police Code as well as Sections 43-49 of the Municipal Police Codes.

The Department of Building Inspection may enforce the provisions of Sections 2907 and 2908 of this Article insofar as said provisions relate to construction operations conducted on private property under appropriate permits issued pursuant to the San Francisco Building Code, Housing Code, Electrical Code and Plumbing Code.

Insofar as these provisions relate to construction operations conducted on publicly-owned property subject to the police power of the City and County of San Francisco, the Department of Public Works may enforce the provisions of Sections 2907 and 2908 of this Article.

The Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission may enforce noise standards associated with licensed Places of Entertainment.

The rule of thumb for the San Francisco Police Department is we use the audibility at 50 feet as a standard without having the sound level meter at our disposal. At one time we did have sound equipment at our disposal which was supplied to us by the Department of Health. Officer Ed Anzore use to handle all noise complaints forwarded to our office. However we no longer handle noise abatement calls in our office.

The protocol now is to call 311 and the operator will forward the complaint to the proper Department. The Environmental Health staff shall respond to complaints regarding operational noise by notifying the owner or his designee of the alleged violation including type of noise, duration, and time of activity. This notification may take place by telephone or electronic mail and does not require a specific visit to the site. Upon receipt of 3 complaints on separate days within a 10 day period or 5 complaints on separate days within a 30 day period a site investigation shall be made during normal working hours. Based upon facts collected during the site visit, a written notification shall be given regarding restrictions upon noisy activities at night. Subsequent to written notification if additional complaints are received the following procedures shall be implemented. A public hearing shall be scheduled during which all interested parties shall present their issues to the hearing officer. At the discretion of the hearing officer and based upon facts presented at the hearing, the hearing officer may order a field investigation at the time the alleged violations occur.

Initiate and draft uniform guidance and agreements on inter-departmental cooperation to guide interpretation and improve consistency in implementation of the existing law.

Attached are the last minutes of the Board of Supervisors *Noise Task Force* that were written in November of 2010.

Submitted by
Lt. Moore and Off. O'Meara
Permits Bureau
San Francisco Police Department

1 [Police Code – Updating Noise Standards]

2
3 **Ordinance amending Article 29 of the Police Code by updating noise standards and**
4 **enforcement mechanisms, specifying the hours for electrical generator testing,**
5 **reducing nighttime construction noise periods, revising noise limits for industrial and**
6 **mixed use properties, and creating exemptions from the noise standards set forth in**
7 **the Article by amending Sections 2901, 2906, 2907, 2908, 2909, 2912, 2916, and 2917.**

8 NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
9 deletions are strike-through italics Times New Roman.
10 Board amendment additions are double-underlined;
11 Board amendment deletions are strikethrough-normal.

11 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

12 Section 1. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Sections
13 2901, 2906, 2907, 2908, 2909, 2912, 2916, and 2917, to read as follows:

14 **SEC. 2901. DEFINITIONS.**

15 (a) "Ambient" means the sound level exceeded 90% of the time (L₉₀) ~~lowest sound level~~
16 ~~repeating itself during a minimum ten-minute period~~ as measured with a type 1, precision sound
17 level meter, using slow response and "A" weighting. The L₉₀ ^{MEASURED NUMBER} ~~minimum sound level~~ shall be
18 determined with the noise source at issue silent, and in the same location as the
19 measurement of the noise level of the source or sources at issue. However, for purposes of
20 this chapter, in no case shall the ambient be considered or determined to be less than: (1)
21 Thirty-five dBA for interior residential noise, and (2) Forty-five dBA in all other locations. ~~If a~~
22 ~~significant portion of the ambient is produced by one or more individual identifiable sources of noise~~
23 ~~that contribute cumulatively to the sound level and may be operating continuously during the minimum~~
24 ~~ten-minute measurement period, determination of the ambient shall be accomplished with these~~
25 ~~separate identifiable noise sources silent or otherwise removed or subtracted from the measured~~

1 ~~ambient sound level.~~ The L_{90} may be calculated with sound measuring and data logging
2 instrumentation or by collecting noise levels every 10 seconds for 5 minutes and determining the level
3 exceeded 90% of the time. The Department of Public Health may develop guidelines for determining
4 the ambient noise level including methods of extrapolating night noise level from measurements taken
5 at other times of the day.

6 (b) "Commercial" means that part of a property that is used for licensed business,
7 manufacturing, or entertainment activities. Where a property contains both commercial and residential
8 uses, the residential standard shall be applied to noise sources originating in the residential use and
9 the commercial standard shall apply to noise sources originating in the commercial use.

10 (cb) "Director" means the Director or department head of any City department having
11 administrative or enforcement responsibilities under this Article or any other provision of the
12 Municipal Code regarding noise control, as well as his or her designee.

13 (de) "Dwelling Unit" means (1) a dwelling space consisting of essentially complete
14 independent living facilities for one or more persons, including, for example, permanent
15 provisions for living and sleeping; (2) a room in group housing, even if such room lacks private
16 cooking facilities and private plumbing facilities, such as rooms in senior citizen housing,
17 single room occupancy or residential hotels, dorms, hostels, or shelters; or, (3) a
18 housekeeping room as defined in the Housing Code.

19 (ed) "Emergency work" means work made necessary to restore property to a safe
20 condition following a public calamity or work required to protect persons or property from an
21 imminent exposure to danger or work by private or public utilities when restoring utility service.
22 This term shall not include testing of emergency equipment.

23 (fe) "Fixed source" means a powered machine or device that is permanently fixed in place
24 and capable of creating a noise level at the property upon which it is regularly located,

PDR - zoning by CP
PDR - 12
PDR - 12
PDR - 12

1 including but not limited to industrial and commercial process machinery and equipment,
2 pumps, fans, air-conditioning apparatus or refrigeration machines.

3 (g) "Industrial noise" means a noise source emanating from any location in the following
4 zoning categories:

5 M-1

6 M-2

7 PDR-1-B

8 PDR-1-D

9 PDR-1-G

10 PDR-2

11 (hf) "Low frequency ambient" ^{simplify MEASURING SYSTEM} means the sound level exceeded 90% of the time (L_{90}) as
12 measured with a type 1, precision sound level meter, using slow response and "C" weighting. The L_{90}
13 shall be determined with the noise source at issue silent, and in the same location as the measurement
14 of the noise level of the source or sources at issue. But for purposes of this Article, in no case shall the
15 ambient be considered or determined to be less than: (1) Thirty-five dBA for interior residential noise,
16 or (2) Forty-five dBA in all other locations. The L_{90} may be calculated with sound measuring and data
17 logging instrumentation or by collecting noise levels every 10 seconds for 5 minutes and determining
18 the level exceeded 90% of the time. means the lowest sound level repeating itself during a ten-minute
19 period as measured with a sound level meter, using slow response and "C" weighting.

20 The minimum sound level shall be determined with the music or entertainment noise source at
21 issue silent, and in the same location as the measurement of the noise level of the source or sources at
22 issue. However, for purposes of this chapter, in no case shall the local ambient be considered or
23 determined to be less than: (1) Forty five dBC for interior residential noise, and (2) Fifty five dBC in
24 all other locations. If a significant portion of the ambient is produced by one or more individual
25 identifiable sources that would otherwise be operating continuously during the minimum ten-minute

1 ~~measurement period, determination of the low frequency ambient shall be accomplished with these~~
2 ~~separate identifiable noise sources silent or otherwise removed or subtracted from the measured~~
3 ~~ambient sound.~~

4 (ig) "Noise level" means the maximum continuous sound level or repetitive peak sound
5 level, produced by a source or group of sources as measured with a sound level meter. In
6 order to measure a noise level, the controls of the sound level meter should be arranged to
7 the setting appropriate to the type of noise being measured or as established in guidance
8 produced by the Director of Public Health under Section 2920. For example, the settings should be
9 slow response for continuous noise sources and fast response for noises with rapid onset and decline.

10 (ih) "Person" means a person, firm, association, copartnership, joint venture,
11 corporation, or any entity, public or private in nature, except that the City and County of San
12 Francisco shall not be considered a person under this definition.

13 (ii) "Place of Entertainment" has the same meaning as the term is defined in San
14 Francisco Police Code Section 1060.

15 (ij) "Powered construction equipment" means any tools, machinery, or equipment used
16 in connection with construction operations which can be driven by energy in any form other
17 than manpower, including all types of motor vehicles when used in the construction process of
18 any construction site, regardless of whether such construction site be located on-highway or
19 off-highway, and further including all helicopters or other aircraft when used in the
20 construction process except as may be preempted for regulation by State or Federal law.

21 (m) "Property maintenance" means cleaning, gardening, landscaping, sweeping, or tree
22 trimming of exterior property or vegetation.

23 (nk) "Property plane" means a vertical plane including the property line that determines
24 the property boundaries in space. The property plane is bounded by the area that is within the line
25 of sight between a noise source and a space regularly occupied by a human receptor.

1 (of) "Public Property " means property leased or owned by a governmental entity, to
2 which the public or a substantial group of persons has access, including but not limited to any
3 street, highway, parking lot, plaza, transportation facility, school, place of amusement, park, or
4 playground located within the City and County of San Francisco.

5 (pm) "Residential Property" means any property that has at least one dwelling unit and
6 has been approved for human habitation by the City and County of San Francisco.

7 (qm) "Sound level," expressed in decibels (dB), means a logarithmic indication of the
8 ratio between the acoustic energy present at a given location and the lowest amount of
9 acoustic energy audible to sensitive human ears and weighted by frequency to account for
10 characteristics of human hearing, as given in the American National Standards Institute
11 Standard S1.1, "Acoustic Terminology," paragraph 2.9, or successor reference. All references
12 to dB in this chapter refer to the A-level or C-level weighting scale, abbreviated dBA or dBC,
13 measured as set forth in this section.

14 **SEC. 2906. ELECTRICAL GENERATOR TESTING. RESERVED.**

15 Generator testing may only be conducted between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. unless
16 granted a variance by the Director of Public Health based upon public health and safety necessity to
17 test at alternate times.

18 **SEC. 2907. CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT.**

19 (a) Except as provided for in Subsections (b), (c), and (d) hereof, it shall be unlawful for
20 any person to operate any powered construction or property maintenance equipment if the
21 operation of such equipment emits noise at a level in excess of 80 dBA when measured at a
22 distance of 100 feet from such equipment, or an equivalent sound level at some other
23 convenient distance.

24 (b) The provisions of Subsections (a) of this Section shall not be applicable to impact
25 tools and equipment, provided that such impact tools and equipment shall have intake and

1 exhaust mufflers recommended by the manufacturers thereof and approved by the Director of
2 Public Works or the Director of Building Inspection as best accomplishing maximum noise
3 attenuation, and that pavement breakers and jackhammers shall also be equipped with
4 acoustically attenuating shields or shrouds recommended by the manufacturers thereof and
5 approved by the Director of Public Works or the Director of Building Inspection as best
6 accomplishing maximum noise attenuation.

7 (c) The provisions of Subsection (a) of this Section shall not be applicable to
8 construction equipment used in connection with emergency work.

9 (d) Helicopters shall not be used for construction purposes for more than two hours in
10 any single day or more than four hours in any single week.

11 **SEC. 2908. CONSTRUCTION NOISE TIMES; NIGHT NOISE PERMITS; PROPERTY**
12 **MAINTENANCE CONSTRUCTION WORK AT NIGHT.**

13 (a) Residential property. It shall be unlawful for any person, between the hours of
14 5:008:00 p.m. of any day and 8:007:00 a.m. of the following day to erect, construct, demolish,
15 excavate for, alter or repair any building or structure if the noise level created thereby is in
16 excess of the ambient noise level by 5 dBA at the nearest property plane, unless a special
17 permit ~~therefor~~ has been applied for and granted by the Director of Public Works or the
18 Director of Building Inspection. ~~In granting such special permit the Director of Public Works or the~~
19 ~~Director of Building Inspection shall consider: if construction noise in the vicinity of the proposed work~~
20 ~~site would be less objectionable at night than during daytime because of different population levels or~~
21 ~~different neighboring activities if obstruction and interference with traffic, particularly on streets of~~
22 ~~major importance, would be less objectionable at night than during daytime; if the kind of work to be~~
23 ~~performed emits noises at such a low level as to not cause significant disturbance in the vicinity of the~~
24 ~~work site, if the neighborhood of the proposed work site is primarily residential in character wherein~~
25 ~~sleep could be disturbed; if great economic hardship would occur if the work were spread over a~~

1 ~~longer times if the work will abate or prevent hazard to life or property; and if the proposed night~~
2 ~~work is in the general public interest. The Director of Public Works or the Director of Building~~
3 ~~Inspection shall prescribe such conditions, working times, types of construction equipment to be used,~~
4 ~~and permissible noise emissions, as required in the public interest.~~

5 (b) Mixed commercial. It shall be unlawful for any person, between the hours of 6:00 p.m. of
6 any day and 7:00 a.m. of the following day to erect, construct, demolish, excavate for, alter or repair
7 any building or structure if the noise level created thereby is in excess of the ambient noise level by 5
8 dBa at the nearest property plane, unless a special permit therefor has been applied for and granted by
9 the Director of Public Works or the Director of Building Inspection.

10 (c) Industrial property. It shall be unlawful for any person, between the hours of 8:00 p.m. of
11 any day and 7:00 a.m. of the following day to erect, construct, demolish, excavate for, alter or repair
12 any building or structure if the noise level created thereby is in excess of the ambient noise level by 5
13 dBa at the nearest property plane, unless a special permit therefor has been applied for and granted by
14 the Director of Public Works or the Director of Building Inspection.

15 (d) Special permits. In granting such special permit the Director of Public Works or the
16 Director of Building Inspection shall consider: if construction noise in the vicinity of the proposed work
17 site would be less objectionable at night than during daytime because of different population levels or
18 different neighboring activities; if obstruction and interference with traffic, particularly on streets of
19 major importance, would be less objectionable at night than during daytime; if the kind of work to be
20 performed emits noises at such a low level as to not cause significant disturbance in the vicinity of the
21 work site; if the neighborhood of the proposed work site is primarily residential in character wherein
22 sleep could be disturbed; if great economic hardship would occur if the work were spread over longer
23 times; if the work will abate or prevent hazard to life or property; and if the proposed night work is in
24 the general public interest. The Director of Public Works or the Director of Building Inspection shall
25

1 prescribe such conditions, working times, types of construction equipment to be used, and permissible
2 noise emissions, as required in the public interest.

3 (e) Property maintenance associated with powered equipment, including but not limited to leaf
4 blowers and chainsaws, shall be permitted only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on
5 residential and mixed use property unless it complies with the noise standards for the specific property
6 classification stated in Section 2909.

7 The provisions of this Section shall not be applicable to emergency work.

8 **SEC. 2909. NOISE LIMITS.**

9 (a) Residential Property Noise Limits.

10 (1) No person shall produce or allow to be produced by any fixed source noisemachine, or
11 device, music or entertainment, or any combination of same, on residential property over which
12 the person has ownership or control, a noise level more than five dBA above the ambient at
13 any point outside of the property plane.

14 (2) No person shall produce or allow to be produced by any fixed noise sourcemachine, or
15 device, music or entertainment, or any combination of same, on multi-unit residential property
16 over which the person has ownership or control, a noise level more than five dBA above the
17 local ambient three feet from any wall, floor, or ceiling inside any dwelling unit on the same
18 property, when the windows and doors of the dwelling unit are closed, except within the
19 dwelling unit in which the noise source or sources may be located.

20 (b) Commercial And ~~Industrial Property~~ Mixed Commercial and Residential Noise Limits.

21 No person shall produce or allow to be produced by any fixed noise sourcemachine, or device,
22 music or entertainment, or any combination of same, on commercial ~~or industrial~~ property over
23 which the person has ownership or control, a noise level more than eight dBA above the local
24 ambient at any point outside of the property plane. When multiple uses occur within the same
25 building the property plane shall be defined as that plane three feet from the wall, floor or ceiling

1 separating the noise receiver from the noise source. With respect to noise generated from a
2 licensed Place of Entertainment, in addition to the above dBA criteria a secondary low
3 frequency dBC criteria shall apply to the definition above. No noise or music associated with a
4 licensed Place of Entertainment shall exceed the low frequency ambient noise level defined in
5 Section 2901(f) by more than 8 dBC.

6 (c) Industrial Noise Limits. Noise sources within Industrial areas shall not exceed 75 dBA at
7 the property plane.

8 (de) Public Property Noise Limits. No person shall produce or allow to be produced by
9 any fixed source noise machine, or device, or any combination of same, on public property, a
10 noise level more than ten dBA above the local ambient at a distance of twenty-five feet or
11 more, unless the machine or device is being operated to serve or maintain the property or as
12 otherwise provided in this Article.

13 (e#) Fixed Residential Interior Noise Limits. In order to prevent sleep disturbance,
14 protect public health and prevent the acoustical environment from progressive deterioration
15 due to the increasing use and influence of mechanical equipment, no fixed noise source may
16 cause the noise level measured inside any sleeping or living room in any dwelling unit located
17 on residential property to exceed 45 dBA between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. or 55
18 dBA between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00p.m. with windows open except where building
19 ventilation is achieved through mechanical systems that allow windows to remain closed.

20 (e) Noise Caused By Activities Subject To Permits From the City and County of San Francisco.
21 None of the noise limits set forth in this Section apply to activity for which the City and County of San
22 Francisco has issued a permit that contains noise limit provisions that are different from those set forth
23 in this Article.

24 (g) Exceptions.
25

1 (i) Noise Caused By Activities Subject To Permits From the City and County of San Francisco.
2 None of the noise limits set forth in this Section apply to activity for which the City and County of San
3 Francisco has issued a permit that contains noise limit provisions that are different from those set forth
4 in this Article.

5 **SEC. 2912. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC**
6 **HEALTH AND THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION.**

7 (a) The Department of Public Health shall designate a Noise Prevention and Control
8 Officer to coordinate the responsibilities of the Department of Public Health under this Article
9 and the Health Code with respect to noise.

10 (b) The Department of Public Health may monitor the noise complaint response by all
11 City agencies charged with regulating noise under this Article. City Departments and Agencies
12 charged with responsibility for responding to noise complaints shall cooperate and share
13 information with the Department of Public Health in tracking and monitoring complaint
14 responses.

15 (c) At least every two years the Department of Public Health shall make
16 recommendations to the Planning Commission for noise assessment and prevention in land
17 use planning or environmental review.

18 (d) The Department of Public Health may investigate and take enforcement action on
19 any noise complaint resulting in human health impacts. The Director of the Department of
20 Public Health shall be the sole determiner of what constitutes a human health impact with
21 respect to noise.

22 (e) The Department of Building Inspection shall send acoustical reports submitted with
23 each building permit to the Department of Public Health within 15 days of the date the building
24 permit applicant submits the acoustical report to the Department of Building Inspection.

1 (f) The Department of Building Inspection shall require third party field testing by a person
2 with experience in acoustical engineering of all new residential construction that is subject to the
3 requirements of California Building Code Sections 1207.11 through 1207.12. This testing shall
4 conform to the requirements of Section 1207.13, however, it shall be required under all circumstances
5 where acoustical insulation, design, and construction is required under California Building Code
6 Section 1207.11. The Director of the Department of Building Inspection must determine that a building
7 passes accoustical tests before occupany is permitted. Records of all testing shall be maintained by
8 the Department of Building Inspection.

9 **SEC. 2916. ENFORCEMENT.**

10 The Director of Public Health may enforce the provisions of Section 2904, 2909, and
11 2912 of this Article.

12 The Department of Building Inspection may enforce the provisions of Sections 2907
13 and 2908 of this Article insofar as said provisions relate to construction operations conducted
14 on private property under appropriate permits issued pursuant to the San Francisco Building
15 Code, Housing Code, Electrical Code and Plumbing Code. Insofar as these provisions relate
16 to construction operations conducted on publicly-owned property subject to the police power
17 of the City and County of San Francisco, the Department of Public Worlds may enforce the
18 provisions of Sections 2907 and 2908 of this Article. The Executive Director of the
19 Entertainment Commission may enforce noise standards associated with licensed Places of
20 Entertainment.

21 The Chief of Police may enforce the provisions of this Article that relate to noise
22 created by humans or any other noise source not specifically assigned or designated to
23 another Department or Agency.

24 **SEC. 2917. VIOLATIONS.**

1 (a) Criminal Penalties. Any person violating any of the provisions of this Article shall be
2 deemed guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined in an amount not
3 exceeding (1) \$100 for a first violation of this Article; (2) \$200 for a second violation of this
4 Article; and (3) up to \$300 for each additional violation of this Article within one year of the
5 date of a second or subsequent violation. Each day such violation is committed or permitted to
6 continue shall constitute a separate offense and shall be punishable as such.

7 (b) Administrative Penalties. Administrative penalties shall be assessed and collected
8 by the Departments specified in Section 2916 in accordance with San Francisco
9 Administrative Code Chapter 100.

10 (c) Civil Penalties.

11 (1) Presumption of Noncompliance with Order. In addition to any other penalties provided in
12 this Article, any person or entity served with a notice or order by the Director setting forth the nature
13 of the violation of this Article, demanding correction of such violation, and specifying the time within
14 which such violation must be corrected, shall be presumed, in subsequent civil proceedings, to have
15 failed to comply with that notice or order at and after the time given in that notice or order for
16 correction of such violation, after the time period specified in the notice or order has expired without
17 correction of that violation.

18 (2) Penalty Amounts. Any person or entity violating this Article shall be liable for a civil
19 penalty of up to \$1,000 per violation for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue,
20 which penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the
21 City and County of San Francisco by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction.

22 (3) Setting Civil Penalty. In assessing the amount of the civil penalty, the Court shall consider
23 any one or more of the relevant circumstances presented by any of the parties to the case, including but
24 not limited to the following: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the
25 persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of

1 the defendant's misconduct, and the defendant's assets, liabilities, and net worth. Any penalty assessed
2 and recovered in an action brought pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the City and credited to
3 [Fund] for use in enforcement and prevention of violations of this Article. In addition, such violations
4 will not be deemed legally abated until the property owner makes full payment of the assessment of
5 costs and fees awarded to the City under this Article or any applicable State law.

6 (5) Cost Recovery. In any civil proceeding filed by the City Attorney to collect civil penalties,
7 the Court may award the Department the costs and fees, including but not limited to attorneys' fees,
8 authorized under this Article.

9 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
10 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

11 By: _____
12 CECILIA T. MANGOBA
13 Deputy City Attorney

later than ten City business days from the date of the notice of decision issued under subparagraph (d)(4).

(3) If a penalty due and payable under paragraphs (1) or (2) remains unpaid after the specified due date, the Director of Public Works shall send the violator written notice that the penalty is overdue. Penalties that remain unpaid 30 days after the due date shall be subject to a late payment penalty of ten percent (10%) plus interest at the rate of one percent (1%) per month on the outstanding balance, which shall be added to the penalty amounts from the date that payment is due. Persons and entities against whom administrative penalties are imposed shall also be liable for the costs and attorney's fees incurred by the City and County in bringing any civil action to enforce the provisions of this section, including obtaining a judgment for the amount of the administrative penalty and other costs and charges.

(4) Where there is a nexus between the violation and property in the City owned by the violator, the Director shall further inform the violator that if the amount due is not paid within 30 days from the date of the notice, the Director shall initiate proceedings to make the amount due and all additional authorized costs and charges, including attorneys fees, a lien on the property. Such liens shall be imposed in accordance with Chapter 10, Article XX of the Administrative Code.

(f) The revenues generated by penalties from an administrative citation issued pursuant to this Section may be expended only by the department that is responsible for issuing the administrative citation, except that each department other than Public Works that issues administrative citations pursuant to this Section shall reimburse the Department of Public Works for the costs incurred by the Department of Public Works in administering review of those citations issued by the other department. The revenues from administrative citations issued by Class 8280 Environmental Control Officers and 8282 Senior Environmental Control Officers may be expended exclusively by the Department of Public

Works for the purpose of funding litter enforcement and abatement except where the use or expenditure of those revenues is specifically directed by law to another program within the Department of Public Works. (Added by Ord. 87-03, File No. 030482, App. 5/9/2003; amended by Ord. 27-06, File No. 051142, App. 2/16/2006)

SEC. 43. PERMITS FOR USE OF LOUDSPEAKER OR SOUND AMPLIFYING EQUIPMENT OUTSIDE BUILDINGS OR OUT OF DOORS.

(a) **Use of Loudspeakers.** Upon application made as herein provided and subject to the provisions of Sections 47.2 and 49 of this Code, the Entertainment Commission, at its discretion, may issue a permit for use of a loudspeaker or sound amplifier not attached to sound trucks to project sound outside of any building or at any location out of doors in any part of said City and County at such times and upon such days as it may designate, for any lawful purpose.

(b) **Information Required for Permit.** Application to the Entertainment Commission for a permit to use a loudspeaker or sound amplifier as herein provided shall be made on a form available at the office of the Entertainment Commission and shall contain the following information:

- (1) The name and address of applicant;
- (2) The purpose for which sound amplification will be used;
- (3) Location at which loudspeaker or amplifier will be placed;
- (4) Hours during which sound will be amplified; and
- (5) Dates upon which sound amplification will be made. (Amended by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69; Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002; Ord. 193-05, File No. 051027, App. 7/29/2005)

SEC. 43.1. FILING FEE.

Every person desiring a permit pursuant to Section 43 of this Article shall file an application with the Entertainment Commission upon a form

provided by the Entertainment Commission and shall pay a filing fee. (Added by Ord. 555-81, App. 11/12/81; amended by Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002)

SEC. 43.2. LICENSE FEE.

Upon granting the loudspeaker permit, the Entertainment Commission shall forward the permit to the Tax Collector, if the permit authorizes sound amplification for seven or more days in a calendar year, who shall issue a license upon payment by the applicant of the license fee, payable in advance. (Added by Ord. 555-81, App. 11/12/81; amended by Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002; Ord. 193-05, File No. 051027, App. 7/29/2005)

SEC. 44. "PERSON" DEFINED.

The word "person" as used in Sections 43 to 49, inclusive, of this Article shall include and mean any person, firm, association or corporation. (Amended by Ord. 172-69, pp. 5/21/69)

SEC. 45. EXCEPTIONS—RADIO, TELEVISION RECEIVING SETS, PEDESTRIAN OPERATED BULLHORNS.

The provisions of Sections 43 to 48, inclusive, of this Article shall not apply (a) to radio or television receiving sets permanently installed in private automobiles to receive programs broadcast from regularly licensed and established radio stations or to other mechanical sound or voice-reproducing devices for the pleasure and entertainment of the occupants of such automobiles; (b) to radio or television receiving sets installed in any dwelling house to receive programs broadcast from regularly licensed and established radio stations for the pleasure and entertainment of the occupants of such dwelling houses; (c) to radio or television receiving sets established or maintained in stores indoors to demonstrate radio or television sets carried for sale or demonstration; or (d) pedestrian operated bullhorns, not exceeding 10 watts, E.I.A.; provided, however, that the provisions of Section 49 hereof shall be applicable to all such sets or devices. (Amended by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69)

SEC. 46. DEFINITIONS.

(a) **"Sound Truck."** The words "sound truck" as used in this Code shall mean any motor vehicle, horse drawn vehicle or other means of conveyance, having mounted thereon, attached thereto or carrying any sound amplifying equipment.

(b) **"Sound Amplifying Equipment."** The words "sound amplifying equipment" as used in this Code, shall mean any machine or device for the reproduction or amplification of the human voice, music or other sound. "Sound amplifying equipment" shall not be construed as including standard automobile radios, television receiving sets or mobile radio telephone equipment or other mechanical sound or voice-reproducing devices when used and heard only by occupants of the vehicle in which installed, or warning devices on authorized emergency vehicles or horns or other warning devices on other vehicles used only for traffic safety purposes. (Amended by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69)

SEC. 47. USE OF SOUND TRUCKS, REGISTRATION AND PERMIT REQUIRED.

It shall be unlawful for any person to use or cause to be used in the City and County of San Francisco any sound truck without first having obtained from the Entertainment Commission a certified copy of the endorsed registration statement which shall constitute a permit to use and operate such equipment. This certified copy shall be placed in a conspicuous and uniform place on each sound truck for which the permit is obtained, and shall be promptly displayed and shown to any San Francisco police officer upon request.

(a) Each person desiring to use, or cause to be used, any sound truck within the City and County of San Francisco must file with the Entertainment Commission thereof a written registration statement in duplicate, which shall state the following:

(1) Name and home address of the applicant;

- (2) Address of place of business of applicant;
 - (3) Name and address of person having direct charge of the sound truck;
 - (4) The purpose for which the sound truck will be used;
 - (5) A general statement as to the section or sections of the city in which the sound truck will be used;
 - (6) The proposed hours of operation of the sound truck;
 - (7) The number of days of proposed operation of the sound truck;
 - (8) The general description of the sound amplifying equipment which is to be used;
 - (9) The maximum sound-producing power of the sound amplifying equipment to be used in or on the sound truck, the voltage used by said equipment and the rated power output in watts.
- (b) A filing fee shall be charged for each original written registration statement filed with the Entertainment Commission. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69; amended by Ord. 555-81, App. 11/12/81; Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002)

SEC. 47.1. REGISTRATION STATEMENT AMENDMENT.

Any person using, or causing to be used, a sound truck within the City and County of San Francisco shall amend the registration statement filed pursuant to Section 47(a) within 48 hours after any change in the information therein furnished. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69)

SEC. 47.2. REGULATIONS FOR USE.

Use of any sound amplifying equipment, whether truck-mounted or otherwise, within the City and County of San Francisco shall be subject to the following regulations:

- (1) The only sounds permitted are music or human speech;
- (2) Hours of operation permitted shall be between 9:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.; operation after 10:00 p.m. is permitted only at the location

of a public event or affair of general public interest or as otherwise permitted by the Entertainment Commission;

(3) Except as permitted by the Entertainment Commission sound shall not be issued within 450 feet of hospitals, schools, churches, courthouses, public libraries or mortuaries;

(4) No sound truck with its amplifying device in operation shall traverse any one block in the City and County more than four times in any one calendar day;

(5) Amplified human speech and music shall not be unreasonably loud, raucous, jarring or disturbing to persons of normal sensitiveness within the area of audibility, nor louder than permitted in Subsections (6) and (7) hereof;

(6) When the sound truck is in motion, the volume of sound shall be controlled so that it will not be audible for a distance in excess of 450 feet from its source; provided, however, that when the sound truck is stopped by traffic, the said sound amplifying equipment shall not be operated for longer than one minute at such stop;

(7) Except as permitted by the Entertainment Commission for public gatherings, in all cases where sound amplifying equipment remains at one location or when the sound truck is not in motion, the volume of sound shall be controlled so that it will not be audible for a distance in excess of 250 feet from the periphery of the attendant audience;

(8) No sound amplifying equipment shall be operated unless the axis of the center of any sound reproducing equipment used shall be parallel to the direction of travel of the sound truck; provided, however, that any sound reproducing equipment may be so placed upon said sound truck as to not vary more than 15° either side of the axis of the center of the direction of travel and, provided further, that radial, nondirectional type of loudspeakers may be used on said sound trucks either alone or in conjunction with sound reproducing equipment placed within 15° of the center line of the direction of travel. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69; amended by Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002)

SEC. 48. LICENSE REQUIRED.

It shall be unlawful for any person to use or cause to be used any sound truck in the City and County of San Francisco for any purpose before an application has been filed with the Entertainment Commission as provided in Section 47(a) of this Code; the applicant has expressly assumed responsibility for performance of all matters and observance of all restrictions contained in Section 47.2 of this Code; the Entertainment Commission has approved the application and issued a permit at its discretion, as provided in Section 652 et seq. of this Code; and a license has been obtained from the Tax Collector as therein provided. The terms and conditions of Section 682 et seq. of this Code apply with full force and effect to the licensing of all sound trucks used for any purpose within the City and County of San Francisco. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69; amended by Ord. 555-81, App. 11/12/81; Ord. 164-02, File No. 020783, App. 7/26/2002; Ord. 193-05, File No. 051027, App. 7/29/2005)

SEC. 49. UNNECESSARY NOISE, AUTHORIZED EMERGENCY VEHICLES.

Except as provided in Sections 43, 45, 46, 47.1, 47.2, and 48 of this Code, and to amplifying equipment used in authorized emergency vehicles as defined in the California Vehicle Code, it shall be unlawful for any person to use, operate, maintain, or permit to be played, used or operated any radio or television receiving set, musical instrument, phonograph, juke box, broadcasting equipment or other machine or device for the producing, reproducing or amplification of sound or human voice in such manner as to produce raucous noises or in such manner so as to disturb the peace, quiet and comfort of persons in the neighborhood or with volume louder than is necessary for convenient hearing for the person or persons for whom said machine, instrument or device is operated.

The operation of any such set, instrument, phonograph, juke box, broadcasting equipment, machine or device between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of 50 feet from the

property line of the property from whence the sound is emitted, shall be prima facie evidence of a violation of this Section.

The operation of any such set, instrument, phonograph, juke box, broadcasting equipment, machine or device at any time in such a manner as to cause a noise level in excess of the standards set forth in Article 29 of this Code shall be prima facie evidence of a violation of this Section. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69; amended by Ord. 274-72, App. 9/20/72; Ord. 278-08, File No. 081119, App. 11/25/2008)

SEC. 50. SEVERABILITY.

It is the intention of the Board of Supervisors that each separate section, subsection or subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of Sections 43 to 49, inclusive, of this Code shall be deemed independent of each other and it is the further intention of the Board of Supervisors that if any section, subsection or subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase be declared invalid or unconstitutional, all other remaining portions thereof shall remain valid and enforceable. (Added by Ord. 172-69, App. 5/21/69)

SEC. 51. SMOKING IN ENCLOSED SECTION OF STREET CARS, CABLE CARS, MOTOR COACHES AND TROLLEY COACHES PROHIBITED.

It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to carry any lighted cigar, pipe or cigarette within the enclosed section of any street car, cable car, motor coach or trolley coach operated within the City and County of San Francisco. (Amended by Ord. 4007, Series of 1939, App. 9/5/46)

Sec. 51.1.

(Added by Ord. 49-73, App. 2/8/73; amended by Ord. 562-88, App. 12/27/88; repealed by Ord. 14-02, File No. 011845, App. 2/8/2002)

Sec. 51.2.

(Added by Ord. 49-73, App. 2/8/73; repealed by Ord. 14-02, File No. 011845, App. 2/8/2002)

SEC. 52. PENALTY.

Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of Sections 51, 51.1 or 51.2 of this Article shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding \$25 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than 10 days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. (Added by Ord. 49-73, App. 2/8/73)

SEC. 53. RESERVED. (Added by Ord. 74-86, App. 3/14/86; amended by Ord. 562-88, App. 12/27/88; Repealed by Ord. 312-08, File No. 081009, App. 12/19/2008)

SEC. 53.1. RESERVED. (Added by Ord. 74-86, App. 3/14/86; Repealed by Ord. 312-08, File No. 081009, App. 12/19/2008)

SEC. 55. SMOKING, ETC., ON WHARVES, ETC.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke, carry or possess a lighted cigar, cigarette, or pipe, or to smoke tobacco or any other similar substance in any form, or to ignite any match or mechanical lighter on or in any wharf, pier, dock, bulkhead, or marine facility; provided, however, that tobacco may be smoked in any area or space on or in any such place or structure, that may be set apart for such purpose by the joint action of the Chief of the Fire Department and the Board of State Harbor Commissioners, and clearly so designated by duly posted signs; but any such permission to smoke in a designated area or space may be withdrawn at any time by like joint action. Provided, however, that in every area or space set apart as a space or area within which smoking is permitted, in accordance with the provisions of this Section, there shall be provided at least one approved fireproof container filled with sand for every three hundred square feet or fraction thereof of floor area for the purpose of depositing cigarettes or cigar butts, tobacco, matches and other material which may be productive of starting fires and at least one approved portable fire extinguisher for every five

hundred square feet or fraction thereof of said floor area, which fire extinguisher shall be at least two and one-half gallon capacity or at least one quart capacity if said fire extinguisher is of the carbon tetrachloride type.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke, carry or possess a lighted cigar, cigarette, or pipe, or to smoke tobacco or any other similar substance in any form, or to ignite any match or mechanical lighter on any vessel moored at any wharf, pier, dock, bulkhead, or marine facility; provided, however, that tobacco may be smoked in any area or space that may be set apart for such purpose on any such vessel by the joint action of the Chief of the Fire Department and the master of said vessel, and clearly so designated by duly posted signs; but, any such permission to smoke in a designated area or space may be withdrawn at any time by like joint action; and provided further, that in no case shall smoking be permitted

- (1) On weather decks,
- (2) When loading or discharging explosives,
- (3) In cargo spaces,
- (4) When gas freeing ship's tanks or when loading in bulk any liquid inflammable cargo having a flash point of 80° F. or below. (Amended by Ord. 2692, Series of 1939, App. 4/26/44)

SEC. 55.1. OPEN FLAME OR ELECTRIC ARC UNLAWFUL—EXCEPTION.

It shall be unlawful for any person to use an open flame of any character or an electric arc, excepting only when said open flame or electric arc is necessarily employed in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on or in any wharf, pier, dock, bulkhead or marine facility or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is or may be kept or stored in any vessel on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco. (Added by Ord. 2692, Series of 1939, App. 4/26/44)

SEC. 56. AUTHORITY TO MAKE RULES.

The Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, in conjunction with the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, is hereby

authorized and given full power and authority to make all necessary rules and regulations, not in conflict with the provisions of Sections 55 and 55.1 of this Chapter, providing for the use of any open flame or electric arc when the same are used in the making of repairs, alterations, or structural changes on any wharf, pier, dock, bulkhead or marine facility or within any hatch, hold or other space wherein cargo of any character is or may be kept or stored in any vessel on or within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the safe and proper fire protection for any area or space, including any office or lunchroom, wherein smoking is permitted in accordance with Section 55 of this Chapter. (Amended by Ord. 2692, Series of 1939, App. 4/26/44)

SEC. 57. VIOLATION.

Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of Sections 55, 55.1 and 56 of this Article, or any rule or regulation made by the Chief of the Fire Department in conjunction with the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, under authority hereof, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not exceeding \$500, or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. (Amended by Ord. 1691, Series of 1939, App. 4/26/44)

SEC. 58. EXCEPTION.

The Municipal Recreation Concrete Pier at the Aquatic Park shall be exempt from the provisions of Sections 55 and 57 of this Article, except those provisions contained in Section 56 of this Article. (Added by Ord. 1.075, App. 10/11/38)

SEC. 63. OBSTRUCTIONS ON STREETS AND SIDEWALKS.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, occupying or having charge or control of any premises, to place or cause to be placed, or suffer to remain upon the sidewalk, or upon the half of the street in front of such premises, any Article or substance which shall obstruct the passage of such street or sidewalk.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to enter into a lease, rental agreement or contract of any kind, written or oral, with or without compensation, for the use of any street or sidewalk.

(c) As an alternative to any other fines or penalties applicable to a violation of this section, any person, firm or corporation who is in violation of this section shall be subject to an administrative penalty not to exceed \$300 for each violation. The administrative penalty shall be assessed, enforced and collected in accordance with Section 39-1 of this Code. (Amended by Ord. 169-87, App. 5/4/87; Ord. 87-03, File No. 030482, App. 5/9/2003)

SEC. 64. EXCEPTIONS.

The provisions of Section 63(a) of this Article shall not apply to:

(a) Goods or merchandise in actual course of receipt, delivery or removal;

(b) Lamp posts or hydrants, erected by permission of the Director of Public Works;

(c) Any tree, plant or shrub planted in the sidewalk area, or any boxed or potted tree, plant or shrub set on the sidewalk area when the containers are not attached to the building;

(d) Watering troughs placed by permission of the Director of Public Works upon sidewalks for the accommodation of the public;

(e) Bicycle racks or motorcycle racks placed upon the sidewalks by permission of the Director of Public Works and of the adjoining property owners for the accommodation of persons using such bicycle or motorcycle, the same not to exceed three feet in width and three feet in height and to be entirely devoid of advertising matter; provided, that motorcycle racks shall be supplied with a metallic pan for the purpose of catching oil drippings;

(f) Hitching posts placed by permission of the Director of Public Works upon sidewalks, in accordance with pattern indicated in the design approved by and on file in the office of said Director;

(g) Sockets to be placed upon the outer line of the sidewalk within the curb line for the

AGENDA

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NOISE TASK FORCE

THURSDAY, November 18, 2010
10am-noon, ROOM 278, CITY HALL

1. Status report on Tour Bus Noise Regulations. -Cecilia Mangoba
2. Review of Proposed Changes to Noise Control Ordinance. -TomRivard
 - a. Definition of ambient
 - b. Location of noise measurements
 - c. Clarification of "person" to specifically exclude the City and County of San Francisco
 - d. Definition of the "property plane".
 - e. Clarification of the definition of "commercial"
 - f. Exclusion of "wind chimes" from regulation
 - g. Clarification of permitted testing time for electrical generators
 - h. Establishment of new construction noise times
 - i. Creation of specific exemption to the noise regulations.
 - j. Creation of procedure to require third party testing of acoustical design effectiveness in complying with Title 24, CBC
3. Discussion necessary public hearings to allow input and review of changes. -All
4. Review of possible Board of Supervisor sponsors for the proposed amendments. -All

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: FW: 107 signers: Fix the MTA! petition

From: Peter Kirby [<mailto:petitions@moveon.org>]
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 2:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 107 signers: Fix the MTA! petition

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I started a petition to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, the petition has 107 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240412-Bjj54R

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1188877&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1188877&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Thank you.

--Peter Kirby

If you have any other questions, please email petitions@moveon.org.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: 51 signers: Fix the MTA! petition

From: Peter Kirby [mailto:petitions@moveon.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 51 signers: Fix the MTA! petition

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I started a petition to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, the petition has 51 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240410-Epcb6c

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1186820&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1186820&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Thank you.

--Peter Kirby

If you have any other questions, please email petitions@moveon.org.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Olga Kist [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 9:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 28th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 28 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

With mismanagement and waste this SFMTA board has no credibility and voters will not fund bonds or want to increase fares. Transit first is a farce and it is the result of slowing traffic and instead of increasing lines and frequency they are cutting lines and creating obstacles to MUNI's success. We need real professionals running MUNI not bureaucrats who puts time and money into grant driven projects instead of projects that really improve transit.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184570&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184570&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Olga Kist
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Peter Kirby [petitions@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 9:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: 28 signers: Fix the MTA! petition

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I started a petition to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, the petition has 28 total signers.

You can post a response for us to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

To download a PDF file of all your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184571&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184571&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Thank you.

--Peter Kirby

If you have any other questions, please email petitions@moveon.org.

The links to download the petition as a PDF and to respond to all of your constituents will remain available for the next 14 days.

This email was sent through MoveOn's petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJyYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmIzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172

From: Karen cliffe [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 8:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 25th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 26 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

In the past year I have been to 5 MTA community meetings. They never wanted to hear our message(don't remove neighborhood parking spaces) their minds were made up before meeting number 1.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184489&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184489&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Karen cliffe
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: jerry meehan [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 6:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 21st signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 21 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

Muni is a cheat

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184291&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184291&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

jerry meehan
San francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: David P Schneider [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 6:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 20th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 21 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

I agree while reserving judgment until voting day that if nothing else it's time to focus on fixing Muni although I am not sure at this time that the proposed cure is worse than the alleged fix. I am also in favor of cab drivers having a guaranteed living wage and benefits pretty much like Muni drivers and all cabs should be equipped with a state of the art emergency panic button going right into SFPD. The SFMTA dropped the ball on that one, too.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184290&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184290&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

David P Schneider
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Ricky Camacho [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 12:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 18th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 18 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

Glad to sign this petition.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184179&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184179&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Ricky Camacho
SSF, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Michael Barrett [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 12:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 17th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 17 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240408-=xgMYD

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

It is terrific that you are doing this! Petitions get the wrong-doers attention....today you can NOT trust otherwise.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184166&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184166&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Michael Barrett
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Mary Etta Moose [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 10:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 15th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 15 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

Muni should foot our bills for vector control caused by unearthing rats from their tunnels during the Pagoda dig in North Beach.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184136&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184136&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Mary Etta Moose
san francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: David K [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 10:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 13th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 14 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

We need a elected regulating body that has authority without taking orders from the city hall and stand for the public.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184096&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184096&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

David K
Daly City, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: herbert weiner [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 8:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 12th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 12 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

The MTA has been on a collision course since its inception. Service has declined in quality and reliability. Their latest proposal of the Transit Effectiveness Project removes buses from the neighborhoods and requires the disabled and elderly to walk up to a quarter mile to the bus stop. This plan, like other MTA plans, does not address the flawed internal structure and operations of this agency. It is unaccountable and a law unto itself. A recent article shows how the books were cooked for the Central Subway project. Falsification of statistics has occurred before in respect to service reliability for MUNI buses. There is no reason why a city of 49 square miles can't have a reliable transportation system that is the best in the country. It is high time to strive toward this goal with this initiative.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184024&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1184024&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

herbert weiner
san francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172

From: peter kirby [peterakirby@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 8:36 PM
Subject: Please sign our new Fix the MTA petition!

Under open: it that you are not. We of the San Francisco MTA Committee have contacted an office public.
STAFF CONTACT INFORMATION
STAFF CONTACT INFORMATION
*Please do not publish any public information with our public name at the SFMTA. Every time somebody signs the petition, an email is sent to every member of the San Francisco board of supervisors and the Mayor. Sixty petitions have proven effective in the past. The more public interest and participation we have, the better chance we have of winning our goals.
*Please share the petition with your friends. Thank you.
Peter
FixTheMTA.org

Lies comfort.
Truth hurts.

From: Judith Berkowitz [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 11th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLO

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

SFMTA is power mad and broken; not a good combination. Fix the MTA? You betcha! Let's do it!

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183391&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183391&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Judith Berkowitz
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Joan Satriani [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 9th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

This is my city. I use mass transit. I want to decide transit implementation. As with the parking meter fiasco, it's time to let the voter's decide what's best for the city they love.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183393&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183393&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Joan Satriani
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172

From: Robert Francis [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 8th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLO

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

San Francisco's transit system is the slowest one in the nation and our parking tickets are now the most expensive in the country. Where is the money going?

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183390&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183390&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Robert Francis
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: richard p [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 6th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

They should not be giving tickets at broken meters. The MUNI should work. There needs to be a better balance between cars, bikes and public transit - right now the SFMTA only seems to care about bikes and driving small businesses out of the city with excessive meter rates. The SFMTA is definitely broken. Not sure you can fix it with a petition - but wish you well. at least you are trying.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183392&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:
http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183392&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

richard p
san francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:
http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: tommy d [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 4th signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLQ

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

Nobody trust this MTA Board with any more money. Let the voters decide.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183389&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183389&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

tommy d
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUOkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLIN1cGVydmlzb3JzQHNMZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

From: Sebra Leaves [petitions-noreply@moveon.org]
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors
Subject: I'm the 3rd signer: "Fix the MTA!"

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled *Fix the MTA!*. So far, 11 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. **Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here:** http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-70172-custom-39492-20240407-1cwWLO

The petition states:

"We support a Charter Amendment to reform the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA / MTA) and request that the District Supervisors support a ballot initiative to let the voters decide. It is Muni's job to get us where we need to go, not tell us how to get there. "

My additional comments are:

Let the voters decide what to do with the SFMTA.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183388&target_type=custom&target_id=39492

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1183388&target_type=custom&target_id=39492&csv=1

Sebra Leaves
San Francisco, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here:

http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=A6ccxHGcs0jUQkZWj4v0gUJvYXJkLm9mLlN1cGVydmlzb3JzOHNmZ292Lm9yZw--&petition_id=70172.

File 140076
BOS-11

From: Andrew Pontti [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Corey Perlmutter, Paula Lee...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 126 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

126. Corey Perlmutter san francisco, California
123. Paula Lee San Francisco, California
122. Sue Wawrzycki San Francisco, California
118. Stefan Linder San Francisco, California
117. Justice Steele San Francisco, California



From: Andrew Pontti [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 11:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Corey Perlmutter, Paula Lee...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 126 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

126. Corey Perlmutter san francisco, California
123. Paula Lee San Francisco, California
122. Sue Wawrzycki San Francisco, California
118. Stefan Linder San Francisco, California
117. Justice Steele San Francisco, California

From: Lorelei Levy [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 12:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Lorelei Levy, Jim Vermeulen...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 115 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

115. Lorelei Levy P. D., California
114. Jim Vermeulen Syracuse, New York
113. Evan Weissman Syracuse, New York
112. Noah Ginsburg Berkeley, California
111. richard stone san francisco, California



From: Patty Ruano [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 12:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Stefan Linder, Justice Steele...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 120 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

118. Stefan Linder San Francisco, California
117. Justice Steele San Francisco, California
116. Pam Boland Grovetown, Georgia
115. Lorelei Levy P. D., California
114. Jim Vermeulen Syracuse, New York



From: Greg Rosenthal [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 5:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Greg Rosenthal, Sierra Wallsmith...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 105 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

105. Greg Rosenthal Baltimore, Maryland
104. Sierra Wallsmith San Francisco, California
102. Matt Manson Oakland, California
101. Leilani Lee San Francisco, California
100. Kevin Vielbaum San Francisco, California



From: James Gibbon [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 8:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Kassie Taylor, Nate O'Neil...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 110 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

109. Kassie Taylor San Mateo, California
107. Nate O'Neil Pleasant Hill, California
106. Miquel Penn San Francisco, California
105. Greg Rosenthal Baltimore, Maryland
104. Sierra Wallsmith San Francisco, California



From: Devon Lindsley [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 1:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Devon Lindsley, Lou Perez...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 95 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 95. Devon Lindsley San Francisco, California
- 94. Lou Perez Chapel Hill, North Carolina
- 93. Andy Leventhal San Mateo, California
- 90. Chelsea Watkins San Francisco, California
- 89. marsha weissman syracuse, New York



From: Kevin Vielbaum [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 2:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Kevin Vielbaum, Olivia Godsey...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 100 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 100. Kevin Vielbaum San Francisco, California
- 99. Olivia Godsey San Francisco, California
- 98. Mara Ivan San Francisco, California
- 96. Nick Ochi San Francisco, California
- 95. Devon Lindsley San Francisco, California



From: Alex Grande [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 1:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Alex Grande, Shiyin Wang...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 85 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 85. Alex Grande San Francisco, California
- 84. Shiyin Wang San Francisco, California
- 83. Nathaniel Rosenbloom San Francisco, California
- 82. Vincent Bonanno San Francisco, California
- 80. Yung Chen Alameda, California



From: Chelsea Watkins [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 1:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Chelsea Watkins, marsha weissman...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 89 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

90. Chelsea Watkins San francisco, California
89. marsha weissman syracuse, New York
88. Kathy Cheung San Francisco, California
85. Alex Grande San Francisco, California
84. Shi Yin Wang San Francisco, California



From: Eric O'Donnell [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Eric O'Donnell, Chris Cholette...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 74 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 75. Eric O'Donnell San Francisco, California
- 74. Chris Cholette San Francisco, California
- 73. Harry payne danvi, California
- 72. Jo Stein San Francisco, California
- 71. Lucy syamsu San Francisco, California



From: Yung Chen [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Yung Chen, Sevasti Travlos...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 80 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 80. Yung Chen Alameda, California
- 79. Sevasti Travlos San Francisco, California
- 78. Sarah Wolfe San Francisco, California
- 77. Kelley Franco San Francisco, California
- 75. Eric O'Donnell San Francisco, California



From: Karlie Nieto [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:23 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Karlie Nieto, Colton Staab...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 64 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 65. Karlie Nieto San Francisco, California
- 64. Colton Staab San Francisco, California
- 62. Emilia Flin Vallejo, California
- 61. Laurie Neighbors San Francisco, California
- 59. Meghan Post San Francisco, California

From: Chris Vonier [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Chris Vonier, Gregory Hoffmann...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 70 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 70. Chris Vonier San Francisco, California
- 68. Gregory Hoffmann San Francisco, California
- 67. Erin Nicolai San Francisco, California
- 66. Zach Mueller Daly City, California
- 65. Karlie Nieto San Francisco, California



From: Amy C [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Amy C San Francisco, California

There are now 43 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Anthony Chan [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 2:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Anthony Chan San Francisco, California

There are now 44 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Mark Lobach [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 11:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Mark Lobach, Elise Gabriel...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 54 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 55. Mark Lobach San Francisco, California
- 54. Elise Gabriel Oakland, California
- 53. Steven Quintanilla San Francisco, California
- 52. Peter Schwartz San Francisco, California
- 51. Kim Sanders San Francisco, California

From: Erin Murphy [mailto:erin@changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 12:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: 5 new petition signatures: Meghan Post, Ben Shapiro...

5 new people recently signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

There are now 60 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

- 59. Meghan Post San Francisco, California
- 58. Ben Shapiro Oakland, California
- 57. John Hovell San Francisco, California
- 56. Danny Abajian San Francisco, California
- 55. Mark Lobach San Francisco, California

From: Hilary Pearson [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 11:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Hilary Pearson Oakland, California

There are now 49 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Nang-keo Duarte [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 11:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Nang-keo Duarte San Ramon, California

There are now 50 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Todd Snyder [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 10:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Todd Snyder San Francisco, California

There are now 47 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Arthur Yeh [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 11:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Arthur Yeh San Francisco, California

There are now 48 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: DJ Martinez [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 10:27 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
DJ Martinez Oakland, California

There are now 45 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Jeremy Liu [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 10:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jeremy Liu San Francisco, California

There are now 46 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Jorge Mercado [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jorge Mercado San Francisco, California

There are now 43 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Elmer Zhu [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Elmer Zhu San Francisco, California

There are now 44 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Alanna Weissman-Ward [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Alanna Weissman-Ward San Francisco, California

There are now 41 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: rima miles [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
rima miles san francisco, California

There are now 42 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Shirley Wong [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 7:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Shirley Wong El Sobrante, California

There are now 39 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Katherine T [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 7:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Katherine T , United States

There are now 40 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



File 140076

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Subject: File 140076: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

BOS-11

The Clerk's Office has received 55 petition emails like the one below.

From: Joshua Arce [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Joshua Arce San Francisco , California

There are now 37 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

file 140076

From: Nicholas Josefowitz [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 5:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Nicholas Josefowitz San Francisco, California

There are now 2 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: B McGregor [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
B McGregor San Francisco, California

There are now 36 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Eunice Yang [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Eunice Yang San Francisco, California

There are now 28 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Concerned Citizen [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Concerned Citizen New City, New York

There are now 35 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: B McGregor [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
B McGregor San Francisco, California

There are now 36 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Concerned Citizen [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Concerned Citizen New City, New York

There are now 35 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Jenn Keys [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jenn Keys South San Francisco, California

There are now 34 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Jen Hewett [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jen Hewett san francisco, California

There are now 33 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Jenn Keys [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jenn Keys South San Francisco, California

There are now 34 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Elizabeth Ren [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Ren San Francisco, California

There are now 32 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Jen Hewett [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 5:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Jen Hewett san francisco, California

There are now 33 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Patricia Drury [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Patricia Drury Pleasanton, California

There are now 31 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Elizabeth Ren [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Ren San Francisco, California

There are now 32 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Luis Baptista [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Luis Baptista San Francisco, California

There are now 30 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Patricia Drury [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Patricia Drury Pleasanton, California

There are now 31 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: David Cohen [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
David Cohen Brookline, Massachusetts

There are now 29 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Luis Baptista [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Luis Baptista San Francisco, California

There are now 30 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Eunice Yang [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Eunice Yang San Francisco, California

There are now 28 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: David Cohen [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
David Cohen Brookline, Massachusetts

There are now 29 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

File 140076

From: Solomon So [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

GAO

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Solomon So San Francisco, California

There are now 27 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Kevin Chan [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Kevin Chan San Francisco, California

There are now 38 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Eric Brooks [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Eric Brooks San Francisco, California

There are now 26 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Solomon So [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Solomon So San Francisco, California

There are now 27 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: George Rush [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
George Rush San Francisco, California

There are now 24 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Crystal Wong [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Crystal Wong San Francisco, California

There are now 25 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Sam Green [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Sam Green SF, California

There are now 22 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Maggie Ahn [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Maggie Ahn , France

There are now 23 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Leona Wong [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Leona Wong San Francisco, California

There are now 21 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Bethany Meisberger [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Bethany Meisberger Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

There are now 22 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Linda Meeks [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 1:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Linda Meeks San Francisco, California

There are now 19 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Gary True [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Gary True Oakland, California

There are now 20 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Ramona Atanacio [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Ramona Atanacio San Francisco, California

There are now 17 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Deborah Weissman [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 1:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Deborah Weissman Chapel Hill , North Carolina

There are now 18 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Betty Wong [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 10:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Betty Wong San Francisco, California

There are now 16 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Lorie Enlow [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:40 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,

Lorie Enlow san Francisco, California

There are now 16 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Eddie Ahn [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Eddie Ahn San Francisco, California

There are now 16 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Nancy Chang [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 10:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Nancy Chang San Francisco, California

There are now 15 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Gary Merck [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:46 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Gary Merck San Francisco, California

There are now 14 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Michael Gibbons [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Michael Gibbons San Francisco, California

There are now 15 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Ed Donaldson [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Ed Donaldson San Francisco, California

There are now 12 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Nathan Shih [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Nathan Shih Berkeley, California

There are now 13 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Diego Medrano [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Diego Medrano East Northport, New York

There are now 11 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Lisa Weissman-ward [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:52 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Lisa Weissman-ward San Francisco, California

There are now 12 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Peter McDonald [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Peter McDonald Sam Framcoscp, California

There are now 9 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Mario Ramirez [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Mario Ramirez San Francisco, California

There are now 10 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Hilary Herrington [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Hilary Herrington San Francisco , California

There are now 7 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Eric Woo [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Eric Woo San Francisco, California

There are now 8 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Chad Herrington [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Chad Herrington San Francisco, California

There are now 5 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Maria Allocco [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 11:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Maria Allocco San Francisco, California

There are now 6 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Save GoSolarSF [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: New petition to you: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

Save GoSolarSF started a petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" targeting you on Change.org that's starting to pick up steam.

Change.org is the world's largest petition platform that gives anyone, anywhere the tools they need to start, join and win campaigns for change. Change.org never starts petitions on our own -- petitions on the website, like "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!", are started by users.

While "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" is active, you'll receive an email each time a signer leaves a comment explaining why he or she is signing. You'll also receive periodic updates about the petition's status.

Here's what you can do right now to resolve the petition:

- Review the petition. Here's a link:
 - <http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf>
- See the 5 signers and their reasons for signing on the petition page.
- Respond to the petition creator by sending a message here:
 - <http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Sincerely,
Change.org

There are now 5 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Save GoSolarSF [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: New petition to you: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

Save GoSolarSF started a petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" targeting you on Change.org that's starting to pick up steam.

Change.org is the world's largest petition platform that gives anyone, anywhere the tools they need to start, join and win campaigns for change. Change.org never starts petitions on our own -- petitions on the website, like "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!", are started by users.

While "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" is active, you'll receive an email each time a signer leaves a comment explaining why he or she is signing. You'll also receive periodic updates about the petition's status.

Here's what you can do right now to resolve the petition:

- Review the petition. Here's a link:
 - <http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf>
- See the 5 signers and their reasons for signing on the petition page.
- Respond to the petition creator by sending a message here:
 - <http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

Sincerely,
Change.org

There are now 5 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Diego Hernandez [mailto:mail@changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 9:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Diego Hernandez Oakley, California

There are now 4 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:
<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Peter Vandross [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "[Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!](#)" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Peter Vandross San Francisco, California

There are now 5 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>



From: Peter McDonald [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 6:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Peter McDonald San Francisco, California

There are now 3 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Mike Gutierrez [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 7:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Save GoSolarSF's petition "Mayor Ed Lee: Please Fully Fund GoSolarSF!" on Change.org.

We ask you to please restore full funding for the City's GoSolarSF program to its prior full amount of \$5 million. This landmark program has more than quadrupled the number of solar rooftops in the San Francisco and created hundreds of jobs. Please don't let this program grind down to a halt yet again, let's move forward and not backward with a fully funded GoSolarSF!

Sincerely,
Mike Gutierrez SF, California

There are now 3 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Save GoSolarSF by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/mayor-ed-lee-please-fully-fund-gosolarsf/responses/new?response=278ffa470b0c>

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors; Miller, Alisa
Subject: FW: Juvenile Probation Commission's letter to Mayor Lee supporting JPD's budget
Attachments: 04-09-14 JPC Mayor's Budget Letter.pdf

From: Silva-Re, Pauline (JUV)
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 9:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Juvenile Probation Commission's letter to Mayor Lee supporting JPD's budget

Hello Board of Supervisors,

Attached please find the Juvenile Probation Commission's letter addressed to Mayor Lee supporting the Juvenile Probation Department's budget.

Thank you.

Pauline

Pauline Silva-Re
Commission Secretary
Juvenile Probation Commission
Office: (415) 753-7870
Pauline.Silva-Re@sfgov.org

For more information on the Juvenile Probation Commission, please visit:

<http://sfgov.org/juvprobation/juvenile-probation-commission>


<http://sfgov.org/juvprobation/juvenile-probation-commission-meeting-information>

<http://sfgov.org/juvprobation/juvenile-probation-commission-audio-archive>

Online Database of Board & Commission Appointments:

<http://www.sf311.org/index.aspx?page=766>

Susan Jones, President
Joseph Arellano, Vice President
Julian Chang, Commissioner
Gabe Harp, Commissioner
Annie Wong, Commissioner
Rebecca Woodson, Commissioner



City and County of San Francisco
Juvenile Probation Commission

Allen Nance
Chief Juvenile Probation Officer

April 9, 2014

The Honorable Edwin M. Lee
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Lee:

On April 9, 2014, by unanimous vote, the members of the Juvenile Probation Commission approved this letter, and authorized me to forward it immediately to your attention. This year we would like to request additional funds to support the Juvenile Probation Department (Department) in its efforts to address the Department's permanent staffing needs, including increasing staff analytical capacity, and also to support the Department's capital infrastructure request.

We truly appreciate the economic challenges and fiscal realities your office faces given the City's ever increasing expenses while trying to accommodate ongoing increased budget expenditures. We applaud your leadership and efforts of your staff to close the budget gap over the past fiscal year. That said, as we work together to meet the budget targets imposed on the Department, we believe this fiscal year that addressing the Department's permanent staffing needs and capital infrastructure requests are important to the health and safety of the youth of San Francisco. We can no longer ignore the needs of the Department, which include the following:

- Developing the master plan for Log Cabin Ranch
- Increasing the organizational capacity of the Department
- Security cameras for the Juvenile Justice Center
- Capital expenditures to improve the safety and regulatory compliance at the Department's facilities

Given that this department is responsible for the safety of youth in our care, these are basic necessities to maintain and are vital to our City. The Juvenile Probation Department is charged with helping the City's most vulnerable youth – those who have lost their way and look to the Department and its dedicated staff to build their confidence and faith in themselves, complete their education, obtain job skills and make other significant changes necessary to create a better future outside the criminal justice system.

The Commission strongly urges you to accept the Department's proposed operating and capital budgets. These budgets will allow the Department to increase positions necessary to maintain critical staffing levels, maintain core services, as well as update its essential infrastructure so that it may return its focus to the youth and families served by the Department. We appreciate your consideration of this letter and look forward to working closely with your office.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan Jones".

Susan Jones, President
Juvenile Probation Commission

cc: Board of Supervisors
Allen Nance, Chief Probation Officer
Nicole Wheaton
Capital Planning Committee

City and County of San Francisco



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Mohammed Nuru, Director
Fuad S. Sweiss, PE, PLS,
City Engineer & Deputy Director of Engineering



BOS-11, cpage
Phone: (415) 554-5827
Fax: (415) 554-5324
www.sfdpw.org
Subdivision.Mapping@sfdpw.org

Department of Public Works
Office of the City and County Surveyor
1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Bruce R. Storrs, City and County Surveyor

April 8, 2014

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place
City Hall – Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Monument Preservation Fund annual report

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Enclosed is the yearly report concerning the Monument Preservation Fund, as required by the San Francisco Administrative Code Section 10.100-50(c).

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Mr. Javier Rivera of my staff at 554-5864.

Sincerely

Bruce R. Storrs
City & County Surveyor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2014 APR -9 PM 3:38
AK

Attached:
DPW-Survey Monument Preservation Fund Report
DPW- 2012-2013 Annual Report

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN SAN FRANCISCO

Customer Service

Teamwork

Continuous Improvement





Department of Public Works
Office of the City and County Surveyor
1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Bruce R. Storrs, City and County Surveyor

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor
Mohammed Nuru, Director
Fuad S. Sweiss, PE, PLS,
City Engineer & Deputy Director of Engineering

Department of Public Works
Survey Monument Preservation Fund
Annual Report
June 30, 2013

In order to properly establish public right-of-way, it is vital that survey monuments be referenced both before and after construction has taken place within 10 feet of a survey monument. While protecting a survey monument during construction is state law and one of the conditions of construction permits, it is an issue that is easily overlooked.

The primary monument preservation effort for the 2012-2013 fiscal year was the safeguarding of survey monuments that were located in areas that were to be under construction. This includes but is not limited to performing a field survey prior to and after construction took place, and creating corner records to record the work performed. Over the year several monuments that were destroyed were reestablished.

During the 2012-2013 fiscal year City survey crews were exceptionally busy performing surveys for various City agencies. These surveys were not directly associated with monument preservation projects. This resulted in a reduction of staff time dedicated to creating and expanding a digital basemap showing the location and condition of survey monuments throughout the city. The GPS network has continued to expand; however, it is not expanding at the rate that was expected two years ago. This too is the result of a significant staff shortage.

The 2013-2014 fiscal year has thus far been equally as busy as the 2012-2013 fiscal year. We are in the process of requesting additional field staff in order to once again aggressively pursue the preservation and improvement of the survey monument system as we did in previous years. Staff will be dedicated to protecting monuments in construction zones, completing the monumentation portion of our digital basemap, and the expansion and densification of our GPS network.

Monument Preservation work was performed at the following locations:

Date	Location	Task Description
7/3/2012	Geary & Steiner	Reestablish Monument
7/20/2012	Vicente & 36 th Ave	Reestablish Monument
7/20/2012 7/23/2012 7/24/2012	Geary & Steiner	Monument Reset & file field notes
7/30/2012 7/31/2012 8/9/2012	Caselli & 19 th	Reference Monument & file field notes
9/7/2012	San Fernando & Monterey	Reference Monument & file field notes
9/11/2012	Clement & 8 th Ave	Reference Monument & file field notes
10/4/2012	Avalon & Athens	Reference Monument & file field notes
11/7/2012	Anza & 2 nd Ave	Reference Monument & file field notes
11/09/2012 11/13/2012 11/14/2012 11/15/2012	Various	Drafting of Corner Records
2/22/2013 2/25/2013	Parnassus & Woodland	Reestablish Monument- GPS Leveling
3/07/2013	Maddux & Topeka	Reestablish Monument
3/21/2013	95 Country Club Drive	Reference Monument & file field notes
4/9/2013	Oak Place/ Forest Knolls	Reference Monument – GPS network
5/30/2013 6/10/2013 6/11/2013 6/12/2013 6/24/2013 6/25/2013 6/26/2013	Newcomb & Newhall	Reference Monument – GPS network
6/4/2013	Alemanly & Ottawa	Reference Monument & file field notes
6/18/2013	Alemanly & Mt. Vernon	Reference Monument & file field notes
6/19/2013	Alemanly & Huron	Reference Monument & file field notes
6/20/2013	22 nd St & Rhode Island	Reference Monument & file field notes
6/25/2013	Pacheco & 48 th Ave	Reference Monument & file field notes
6/25/2013	Newhall, Oakdale and Phelps	Reestablish Monument


Department of Public Works
Monument Preservation Fund
Annual Report
Fiscal Year Ending Jun 30, 2013

Beginning Cash Balance - July 1, 2012	\$248,970.00
Receipts: Collected by the County Recorder's Office	\$119,060.00
Payment: Labor Expenditures	<u>(\$19,685.00)</u>
Ending Balance – June 30, 2012	<u>\$348,345.00</u>

From: McGuire, Kristen on behalf of Reports, Controller
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 8:56 AM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Nevin, Peggy; Kawa, Steve (MYR); BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Howard, Kate (MYR); Steeves, Asja (CON); Campbell, Severin; Newman, Debra; Rose, Harvey; SF Docs (LIB); CON-EVERYONE; CON-Finance Officers
Subject: Controller's Office Releases Updated Vendor Payment Reporting on SFOpenBook

The Office of the Controller has released an update to the interactive website for viewing vendor payments at the SFOpenBook transparency portal (<http://openbook.sfgov.org>).

- The vendor payment search function is now available in the Spending and Revenue report, which allows users to analyze vendor payments by department, program, type of spending, and more.



- Citywide vendor reports are now available through the Citywide Reports button.

These features allow the public to search, browse, and download vendor payment information from Fiscal Year 2006-7 onward.

To find vendor payment information, visit <http://openbook.sfgov.org> and click the Spending and Revenue icon or the Citywide Reports icon. People that use the old site's address will be forwarded to a page explaining the update and directing them to the new pages.

This is a send only email.

Follow us on Twitter [@sfcontroller](https://twitter.com/sfcontroller)



From: Board of Supervisors
To: BOS-Supervisors; Wong, Linda (BOS)
Subject: PSAC Letter - Vehicular Manslaughter Unit
Attachments: DA.docx; ATT00001.htm

From: Zachary Marks [<mailto:zackmarks@me.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: PSAC Letter - Vehicular Manslaughter Unit

April 9, 2014

Dear the Clerk of the Board,

The San Francisco Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee recommends approving the creation of a dedicated unit as proposed in the District Attorney's Office budget for the 2014-2015 year to handle vehicular manslaughter cases. We strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to approve this budget request.

Please refer to the attached letter and let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zack Marks
(925) 818-6888 | www.zackmarks.com
zackmarks@me.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#)





The Board of Supervisors finds and declares that it is in the public interest to officially recognize walking as an important component of our transportation system, and as a key component to creating livable and suitable communities.

Therefore the Board of Supervisors established the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee. This Advisory Committee, composed of concerned and informed residents, will provide a source of expertise on issues concerning pedestrian safety, convenience, ambiance, and planning.

Committee Members

Zack Marks – Chairman
John Alex Lowell
Howard Strassner
Kevin Clark
Thomas Rogers
Robin Brasso
R. Gary McCoy
Chris Coghlan
Howard Bloomberg
Morgan Fitzgibbons
Jon Winston
Christina Tang
Becky Hogue
Anyan Cheng
Devin Silvernail
Sonja Kos
Trevor McNeil
Alex Reese
Kevin Stull
Pi Ra

San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett
(Polk Street) Room 400

April 9, 2014

Dear the Clerk of the Board:

The San Francisco Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC) strongly recommends approval of the creation of a dedicated unit as proposed in the District Attorney's Office budget for the 2014-2015 year to handle vehicular manslaughter cases.

Among California cities, San Francisco has historically had one of the highest per capita vehicle pedestrian injury collision rates. In 2013, San Francisco reached an unprecedented number of pedestrians and bicyclists dying on our streets, which represents the highest number of fatalities in the past six years. Last year, automobiles hit more than 1,000 pedestrians, and the number continues to grow. With the alarming number of collisions, the investigation and prosecution of these cases must be handled appropriately, effectively and in a timely fashion.

These cases are some of the most serious matters the District Attorney's Office handles, and the cases require extensive investigation and attention. With a dedicated unit, the District Attorney's office can devote the necessary resources to ensure appropriate investigation, charging, prosecution, and case management that these incidents so desperately need. We anticipate that the prosecutor will handle all felony vehicular manslaughter cases, serve as a liaison with advocacy groups and law enforcement partners, and assist the Major Traffic Investigation Unit on the scene of incidents. The investigator will conduct the required work to ensure the cases are handled with the care they deserve, and the paralegal will assist with case management, data collection, and work with the victim's family as well as the other witnesses on the cases.

San Francisco is experiencing a record number of serious incidents, and it is crucial to make sure this unit is created and supported. We strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to approve this budget request for the next fiscal year.

Sincerely,

Zack Marks

From: Jen Valentino [mailto:changemail.org]
Sent: Saturday, April 12, 2014 7:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Don't ban eCigs in San Francisco

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

I just signed Jared Grippe's petition "[Edwin M. Lee: Don't ban eCigs in San Francisco](#)" on Change.org.

I urge all of you to vote NO on Ordinance No. 131208 I'm a San Francisco resident, and I support banning sales of e-cigarettes to minors, but I OPPOSE banning e-cigarette use where smoking is banned. The content of e-cigarette vapor is identical to the haze from nightclub smoke machines! • Smoking bans are enacted to protect the public from the harm of secondhand smoke, but e-cigarettes have not been shown to cause harm to bystanders. In fact, all evidence to date shows that the low health risks associated with e-cigarettes are comparable to other smokeless nicotine products. • The low risks of e-cigarettes is supported by research done by Dr. Siegel of Boston University, Dr. Eissenberg of Virginia Commonwealth, Dr Maciej L Goniewicz of the Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Dr. Laugesen of Health New Zealand, Dr. Igor Burstyn of Drexel University, and by the fact that the FDA testing, in spite of its press statement, failed to find harmful levels of carcinogens or toxic levels of any chemical in the vapor. • A comprehensive review conducted by Dr. Igor Burstyn of Drexel University School of Public Health based on over 9,000 observations of e-cigarette liquid and vapor found "no apparent concern" for bystanders exposed to e-cigarette vapor, even under "worst case" assumptions about exposure. • Electronic cigarette use is easy to distinguish from actual smoking. Although some e-cigarettes resemble real cigarettes, many do not. It is easy to tell when someone lights a cigarette from the smell of smoke. E-cigarette vapor is practically odorless, and generally any detectable odor is not unpleasant and smells nothing like smoke. Additionally, e-cigarette users can decide whether to release any vapor ("discreet vaping"). With so little evidence of use, enforcing use bans on electronic cigarettes would be nearly impossible. • The ability to use electronic cigarettes in public spaces will actually improve public health by inspiring other smokers to switch. Surveys of thousands of users indicate that the majority of those who switch completely replace tobacco cigarettes with the electronic cigarettes, reducing their health risks by an estimated 99%. By switching to a smokeless product, you have greatly reduced your health risks. • Many people are steadily switched from toxic and terrible traditional cigarettes to vapor-based e-cigarettes. Please don't put obstacles in the way of our friends' and family's health! For more information: <http://blog.casaa.org/2014/02/call-to-action-san-francisco-california.html>

Sincerely,
Jen Valentino Coventry, Rhode Island

There are now 50 signatures on this petition. Read reasons why people are signing, and respond to Jared Grippe by clicking here:

<http://www.change.org/petitions/edwin-m-lee-don-t-ban-ecigs-in-san-francisco/responses/new?response=d25e3858801f>

From: Youthcom
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2014 10:40 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Mayor (MYR)
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); sandrafewer@sfusd.edu; emilymurase@sfusd.edu; matthaney@sfusd.edu; kim-shreemaufas@sfusd.edu; hydramendoza@sfusd.edu; Rachel Norton; jillwynns@sfusd.edu; RichardCarranza@sfusd.edu; Suhr, Chief (POL); Maria Su (CHF); Mendoza, Hydra (MYR); Nance, Allen (JUV); Elliott, Jason (MYR); Still, Wendy (ADP); Nicholas Persky
Subject: Youth Commission actions at the 4/7/14 meeting: Support on BOS file no. 140274; Motions sponsoring Summer Learning Day 2014; signing letter in support of SFPD DGO 7.04; sponsoring Civics Day; resolution 1314-04 recommended policies for the Children's Fund
Attachments: YC actions and requests on 4-7-14.pdf; 1314--04--Resolution on Youth Commission recommended policies and priorities for the Childrens Fund.pdf

YOUTH COMMISSION
MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor Edwin M. Lee
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Honorable Members, Board of Education
Richard Carranza, Superintendent, San Francisco Unified School District
Greg Suhr, Chief of Police
Maria Su, Director, Department of Children, Youth, and their Families
Hydra Mendoza, Mayor's Families & Children's Advisor
Allen Nance, Chief, Juvenile Probation Department
Jason Elliott, Director of Legislative & Government Affairs, Mayor's Office
Wendy S. Still, Chief, Adult Probation Department

FROM: Youth Commission

DATE: Monday, April 14th, 2014

RE: Youth Commission actions at the April 7th, 2014 meeting: Support and statement on BOS file no. 140274; Motion to sponsor Summer Learning Day 2014; Motion signing onto a letter in support of the establishment of SFPD time of arrest protocols in DGO 7.04; Motion to sponsor Generation Citizens' Civics Day; and adopting resolution 1314-04 Youth Commission's recommended policies and priorities for the Children's Fund.

At our regular meeting on Monday, April 7th, 2014, the Youth Commission voted to support and provided a referral response on the following from the Board of Supervisors:

- **To support [BOS File No. 140274] Hearing – Expanding Technology Sector Opportunities for Girls and Low-Income Youth.**

At the same meeting, the Youth Commission adopted the following motions:

- Motion 1314-M-07 to support and sponsor Summer Learning Day 2014, an event which highlights summer programming in San Francisco and gathers several hundred students to participate in a resource fair at Civic Center Plaza on June 20th, 2014.
- Motion 1314-M-08 to sign onto a letter by the San Francisco Children of Incarcerated Parents partnership in support of the establishment of San Francisco Police Department's time-of-arrest protocols aimed at keeping children safe at the time of arrest and creating an expedited process for identifying who would care for them in the wake of a parent's arrest, as Department General Order 7.04.
- Motion 1314-M-09 to support and co-sponsor Generation Citizens Civics Day, an event which celebrates a semester of young people engaging and leading change on important community issues. The event will take place on Friday, May 9th, 2014.

Finally, the Youth Commission adopted resolution 1314-04 Youth Commission's recommended policies and priorities for the Children's Fund. This resolution calls on the Board of Supervisors to consider: allowing the use of fund for disconnected transitional age youth, reserving youth seats on any body in charge of Children's Fund oversight; proactively supporting and facilitating better coordination between the City, the SFUSD, and community based organizations serving youth; resourcing youth leadership groups to design and facilitate annual youth led town halls to evaluate services received as part of the Community Needs Assessment; prioritize and expand services for undocumented youth, and increase support for juvenile detainees, probationers, and transitional age youth in the adult probation system.

If you have any questions about these items or anything related to the Youth Commission, please don't hesitate to contact our office at (415) 554-6446 or your Youth Commissioner.

San Francisco Youth Commission
 City Hall, Room 345 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Office: (415) 554-6446 | Fax: (415) 554-6140
<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5585>

Sign up for our newsletter

Tell us what you think are important issues affecting youth in SF!

Complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Satisfaction form by clicking the link below:

<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=104>

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Appointment of Special Trustee legally defective

From: ajahjah@att.net [mailto:ajahjah@att.net]
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2014 7:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Appointment of Special Trustee legally defective

Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for having passed Resolution 140123 unanimously regarding SFCCD's Board of Trustees and Special Trustee.

I believe the appointment of the Special Trustee for SFCCD was legally defective.

CCC BOG amended 5 CCR 58312 to allow for appointment of special trustee for accreditation problems. I believe that this amendment went above and beyond their legal power and authority provided by Ed Code 84040.

Ed Code 84040 authorizes appointment of special trustee only for fiscal problems. It does not authorize appointment of special trustee for accreditation problems.

The hierarchy of Ed Code 84040 is as follows:

Ed Code Title 3 Postsecondary Education
Division 7 Community Colleges
Part 50 Finance
Chapter 1 State Financial Management and Control (84000-840043)
Article 2 Accounting, Budget Controls and Audits (84030-84207)
Section 84040

84040 (c) (3) states:

The board of governors, by regulation, shall develop appropriate procedures and actions for districts that fail to achieve fiscal stability or that fail to comply with the board of governors' recommendations. The procedures and remedies may include the appointment of a special trustee to manage the district.

84040 DOES NOT AUTHORIZE APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR ACCREDITATION REASONS. 84040 only authorizes CCC to appoint a special trustee for financial problems.

CCC BOG has overreached in amending 5 CCR 58312.

Because of this overreach in using and misapplying 84040 as justification for the appointment of a Special Trustee for SFCCD, the following should take place:

- nullify the November 2013 CCC BOG passage of amendment to 5 CCR 58312;
- invalidate the legitimacy of the appointment of Special Trustee for SFCCD

Please forward this to appropriate parties (Attorney General Kamala Harris?) for handling.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Alvin Ja

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: PSAC Resolution - Minimum Automobile Liability Insurance
Attachments: Resolution.docx; ATT00001.htm

From: Zachary Marks [<mailto:zackmarks@me.com>]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 9:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: PSAC Resolution - Minimum Automobile Liability Insurance

April 10, 2014

Dear the Clerk of the Board,

The San Francisco Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee urges the Board of Supervisors to make it the city's official policy that California's bodily injury insurance minimums should be increased to at least \$50,000 for the bodily injury or death of one person and \$100,000 for the bodily injury or death of two or more persons, and that the Board of Supervisors call upon the members of San Francisco's state legislative delegation to introduce legislation to that effect.

Please refer to the attached PSAC Resolution and let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Zack Marks
(925) 818-6888 | www.zackmarks.com
zackmarks@me.com | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#)



Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee
www.sfpsac.com

April 8, 2014

The Board of Supervisors finds and declares that it is in the public interest to officially recognize walking as an important component of our transportation system, and as a key component to creating livable and suitable communities.

Therefore the Board of Supervisors established the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee. This Advisory Committee, composed of concerned and informed residents, will provide a source of expertise on issues concerning pedestrian safety, convenience, ambiance, and planning.

Committee Members

Zack Marks – Chairman
John Alex Lowell
Howard Strassner
Kevin Clark
Thomas Rogers
Robin Brasso
R. Gary McCoy
Chris Coghlan
Howard Bloomberg
Morgan Fitzgibbons
Trevor McNeil
Jon Winston
Christina Tang
Becky Hogue
Anyan Cheng
Devin Silvernail
Sonja Kos
Alex Reese
Kevin Stull
Pi Ra

San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett
(Polk Street) Room 400

RESOLUTION PSAC – 2 – 2014

Subject: Minimum Automobile Liability Insurance

WHEREAS, the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee (“PSAC”) is the official public representative to the San Francisco Board of Supervisors on pedestrian safety issues;

WHEREAS, California Code of Insurance § 11580.1b and California Vehicle Code §§ 16054 and 16056(a) require that California automobile drivers carry a minimum of \$15,000 in liability insurance for the bodily injury or death of one person in any one accident and a minimum of \$30,000 in liability insurance for the bodily injury or death of two or more persons in any one accident (collectively, “California’s bodily injury insurance minimums”);

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2014, PSAC heard testimony from San Francisco resident Jikiah Stevens, who was the victim of a collision with an automobile and suffered serious injuries, including brain injuries, that caused her to incur thousands of dollars in ongoing and unreimbursed medical expenses;

WHEREAS, on October 8, 2013, PSAC heard testimony from San Francisco resident Monique Porsandeh, who was the victim of a collision with an automobile at Fillmore and Green Streets in San Francisco and suffered serious injuries, including brain injuries, that caused her to incur thousands of dollars in ongoing and unreimbursed medical expenses;

WHEREAS, Ms. Stevens and Ms. Porsandeh are just two examples of the many pedestrians who are victims of collisions with automobiles in San Francisco each year;

WHEREAS, PSAC has heard testimony that pedestrian victims of collisions with automobiles such as Ms. Stevens and Ms. Porsandeh

are often unable to secure adequate reimbursement for their medical expenses from the drivers of the automobiles that struck them, even when the drivers are at fault, because California's bodily injury insurance minimums are too low to cover even a fraction of the medical costs associated with such collisions;

WHEREAS, California's bodily injury insurance minimums were set in 1974 and have not been increased since that time;

WHEREAS, the cost of medical care has increased drastically since 1974;

WHEREAS, numerous studies show that the cost of healthcare in California is among the highest of any state in the nation, and the cost of medical procedures in San Francisco is among the highest in the state;

WHEREAS, only two states in the country have bodily injury insurance minimums that are lower than California's;

WHEREAS, other states such as Wisconsin, Maine, and Alaska have bodily injury insurance minimums of \$50,000 for the bodily injury or death of one person and \$100,000 for the bodily injury or death of two or more persons;

WHEREAS, PSAC finds that California's current bodily injury insurance minimums are too low and often unfairly shift the financial burden of automobile collisions from drivers to pedestrian victims;

WHEREAS, PSAC finds that increasing California's bodily injury insurance minimums would help redress this unfairness and thereby support pedestrian safety and promote walking as a healthful transportation activity;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee urges the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to make it the city's official policy that California's bodily injury insurance minimums should be increased to at least \$50,000 for the bodily injury or death of one person and \$100,000 for the bodily injury or death of two or more persons, and that the Board of Supervisors call upon the members of San Francisco's state legislative delegation to introduce legislation to that effect.

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Sunday Parking

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbra-Elzer [<mailto:elzersf@comcast.net>]
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 7:22 AM
✓ To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Sunday Parking

Dear Mayor, as a native San Franciscan, I am against Sunday metered parking. If the Board is worried about money, let them spend less all around. The city is NOT the place I grew up, largely due to stupid decisions from he board. Do not let them make another one. Thank you
Barbra Paul-Elzer 2331 34th Avenue 94116

Sent from my iPad Barbra Paul-Elzer



From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: BCDC/SF PORT:Design Review Boards/Warriors Arena & Classroom

From: dennismackenzie@roundthediamond.com [mailto:dennismackenzie@roundthediamond.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 13, 2014 1:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: RE: BCDC/SF PORT:Design Review Boards/Warriors Arena & Classroom

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

City Hall, Room 244

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Please include this letter in your SF Board of Supervisors office records.

Thank you,

Dennis MacKenzie

April 7, 2014

Bay Conservation and Development Commission / Design Review Board

50 California Street, Suite 2600

San Francisco, CA 94111

Port of San Francisco / Waterfront Design Advisory Committee

Pier 1, The Embarcadero

San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: April 7, 2014 - BCDC/Design Review Board & Port of SF/Waterfront Design Advisory Committee Meeting

Port of San Francisco / Pier One, Bayside Conference Room
San Francisco, CA 94111

Agenda Item: 3. First Pre-Application Review of Piers 30-32 Mixed-Use Development (Sports Arena and Event Center, Offices and Team Practice Facilities, Parking Garage, Retail and Other Commercial Uses, Maritime Operations, Municipal Fire Station, and Public Access) and Seawall Lot 330 Mixed-Use Development (Residential, Hotel, Retail, Restaurant, and Parking). Exhibit [pdf 7.5MB]

The Design Review Board will conduct its first pre-application review of the Port of San Francisco's and GSW Arena, LLC's proposal to develop a project at Piers 30-32 on The Embarcadero, between Bryant and Brannan Streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, which is located in the Commission's jurisdiction. The Piers 30-32 project is associated with the proposed development of Seawall Lot 330, located west of Piers 30-32 on The Embarcadero and bounded by Bryant and Beale Streets, which is located outside of Commission's jurisdiction. The proposed Piers 30-32 project would involve: the construction of an approximately 128-foot-high, 695,000 gross square feet ("GSF") arena with seating capacity for over 18,000 patrons, a team practice facility, office space, and a community room; an approximately 26,000 GSF event hall; a three-level, 100,000 GSF retail center at Herb Caen Way fronting on The Embarcadero; a two-level, approximately 18,000 GSF municipal firehouse; a three-level, 234,411 GSF parking garage for 500 vehicles with an ingress/egress point along The Embarcadero and crossing Herb Caen Way; a 3,280-square-foot water taxi docking facility; a temporary large ship berthing facility at the eastern pier edge; and public access entry points, plazas, terraces, and promenades. The project would also involve the relocation of Red's Java House on the piers and the reconstruction of the pile-supported piers at Piers 30-32. At Seawall Lot 330, located outside of

the Commission's jurisdiction, a residential, hotel, retail and parking project is proposed.
(Jaime Michaels) [415/352-3613 jaimem@bcdc.ca.gov]

Round The Diamond™ / Proposal©1985:

High School-College Career Pathway & Field Study Classroom

Public-Private Partnership: Multi-Purpose Pavilion and Arena

Port of San Francisco / City and County of San Francisco / Golden State Warriors

Dear Design Review Board and Advisory Committee Members,

I am writing to share with you, BCDC members, Port of San Francisco and City and County of San Francisco

officials several thoughts and a brief response to the recent BCDC meeting held on February 6, 2014 where you were briefed on the Warriors Multi-Purpose Pavilion and Arena proposed to be built on Piers 30-32 of the San Francisco waterfront.

I have provided the BCDC with my High School-College Career Pathway and Field Study Classroom® proposal and several updates that I have submitted to the Warriors and all the San Francisco public officials and agencies involved in this project over the past 5 years, including the BCDC and State Lands Commission.

As you evaluate this San Francisco-Warriors Arena project, I continue to promote education and real-world career development opportunities as essential socio-economic responsibilities and elements inherently available within our national professional sports facilities and institutions. With this in mind, I once again respectfully ask that the BCDC and all the public-private partnership leaders and officials contemplate the long-term comprehensive and far-reaching local and state benefits that the implementation of my proposal can provide our San Francisco and Oakland Bay Area community - including all State of California citizens and communities as a whole.

As was mentioned in your 2/6/14 BCDC meeting, one of many critical criteria that the waterfront public trust speaks to is that Port property requires developments to provide state-wide benefits. I want to take this opportunity to address this issue, and remind all responsible public officials and private sector business leaders involved in this project of the tremendous potential for innovative and influential state-wide benefits that a Model, Multi-Purpose Sports Facility Classroom can offer. I believe all the local, state and federal government and public service representatives and officials working on this unique project can create a model blue-print for cooperative ventures in collaboration with private sector leaders in order to enhance and expand - for the benefit of all our citizens and businesses - newly created jobs and career opportunities for all of our numerous, diverse and cross-cultural communities for generations to come.

The following several statements were made at the discussion held during your 2/6/14 BCDC briefing by the Warriors and the Port of San Francisco, and documented in the Minutes regarding the SF-Warriors Arena project and presented by Mr. Brad Benson of the Port, and Mr. Craig Dykers of Snohetta on behalf of the Warriors:

Minutes/Item 10. Briefing on Legislation AB 1273 (Ting);

“Vice Chair Halsted continued: The next item on our agenda is Item 10, a briefing on legislation AB 1273. Steve Goldbeck will present the information: Chief Deputy Director Steve Goldbeck presented the following: Thank you Acting Chair Halsted. You have before you the language of Assembly Bill 1273 that is regarding a multi-purpose venue and arena proposed by the Golden Gate State Warriors to be constructed on Piers 30/32 along the San Francisco Waterfront. I’m going to go over the main provisions in the bill that are of interest to the Commission. The first part of this is in Section 6 where it authorizes the State Lands Commission to approve the development.”

...

“Commissioner McGrath continued: So in respect to that you think we retain a fair amount of discretion in determining what “substantially” might be? Chief Deputy Director Goldbeck answered: I think we do.”

...

“It has a couple of other requirements that were put in regarding the public trust. The project has to be otherwise consistent with the public trust and the development has to be in the best interests of the entire state.”

...

“It also requires that people be able look into the venue from certain locations.”

...

“Also a community meeting space needs to be included as well.”

...

“Those are the lists of findings that the State Lands Commission has to do to make a finding of trust approval. It has other things of interest to the Commission. In Section 6 it has a ‘good government’ provision that says that BCDC and the State Lands Commission will work together closely as this project moves through and coordinate their processing of it and public hearings and the like.”]

Also, in a power point presentation given by the Warrior’s Project Executive Team member Mr. David Carlock at the Port’s Piers 30-32 CAC/Quality of Life Sub-Committee meeting on February 20, 2014, he shared information regarding the potential mix of events capable of being held at the Pavilion & Arena. In this presentation, Mr. Carlock included a slide illustrating the Community Room in a schematic drawing, and mentioned it would be have an exterior view looking south from the Arena.

Mr. Dykers of Snohetta also acknowledged at the full CAC meeting in November, 2013 during the revised project design/version 3.0 presentation, that the Community Room would now be located inside the Arena, and that it would include space for my suggested High School Classroom. I trust the location will include an interior view of the Court in order to provide the most valuable and effective, “best and highest use possible” of Port property (as per SF Port’s goals and policy) for the benefit of offering education and career development programs within this proposed model Warriors facility; which location wise sounds like a perfect fit – since the neighboring community has expressed interest in having the Community Room allow for exterior views of the surrounding Bay environment.

Please review my proposal asking that the Warriors and all San Francisco public service and private sector leaders collaborate to include within the original design and construction of the Multi-Purpose Pavilion and Arena that is proposed to be built on San Francisco’s public trust waterfront land, a visionary facility capable of creating a model High School-College Career Pathway & Field Study Classroom worthy of National and International respect and emulation; as well as creation of truly model public-private partnerships, including the capacity to integrate the innate capacity of sports and their facilities to expand Cross-Cultural Sports & Education Exchange Programs and Sister-City Relationships throughout the United States - as well as throughout the Americas - and beyond.

Thank you once again for your time, consideration and support; and I look forward to working with everyone in the most beneficial capacity possible.

Sincerely,

Dennis G. MacKenzie

CC:

Mr. Joseph Jacob and Mr. Peter Guber, Golden State Warriors owners;

C/o Mr. Rick Welts, President and Chief Operating Officer

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce;

Mr. Bob Linscheid, President & CEO

C/o Ms. Jada Jackson, Executive Assistant

The San Francisco African American Chamber of Commerce

C/o Mr. Frederick E. Jordan, PE, President and Chairman

BCDC Commission, and Executive Staff;

Honorable R. Zachary Wasserman, Chair

Honorable Anne Halsted, Vice Chair

Mr. Larry Goldzband, Executive Director

Mr. Stephen Goldbeck, Chief Deputy Director

C/o Ms. Graciela Gomez, Executive Secretary

Ms. Ellen Miramontes, DRB Liaison

State Lands Commission, and Executive Staff;

C/o Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer

Ms. Sheri Pemberton, Legislative Liaison

San Francisco Port Commission;

Honorable Leslie Katz, President, and Members

Port of San Francisco;

Ms. Monique Moyer, Executive Director, and Staff

C/o Ms. Amy Quesada, Commission & Executive Assistant

Port Commission/Piers 30-32 CAC Members

C/o Ms. Diane Oshima, Port of SF/Lead Staff

Honorable Ed Lee, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

San Francisco Board of Supervisors;

Honorable David Chiu, President and Members

C/o Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

SF Office of Economic & Workforce Development:

Proposed Warriors Arena/Piers 30-32 Project Team;

C/o Ms. Jennifer Matz, Waterfront Development Director,

Mr. Ken Rich, Ms. Anne Tapier, Mr. Adam Van De Water

San Francisco Planning Commission, and Executive Staff;

Honorable Cindy Wu, President and Members,

Mr. John Rahaim, Director of Planning

C/o Jonas P. Ionin, Commission Secretary

Honorable Phil Ting, Assemblyman; CA State Assembly, 19th District

C/o Mr. Walt Donner, Field Representative

Honorable Tom Ammiano, Assemblyman, 13th District

State of California Assembly

Honorable Mark Leno, Senator, District 11

State of California Senate

San Francisco Unified School District, including:

High School Teachers, Athletic Directors, Principals and Administrators

San Francisco Board of Education;

Honorable Sandra Fewer, President and Members

C/o Ms. Esther V. Casco, Executive Assistant

Mr. Richard A. Carranza, Superintendent, SFUSD

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: Do as I say, Not as I do....City of San Francisco

-----Original Message-----

From: James Corrigan [<mailto:seamus37@icloud.com>]
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 2:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do as I say, Not as I do....City of San Francisco

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

This morning around 8:30 A.M. while crossing Irving St. at 9th Ave,

I saw a bicyclist pull up alongside an S.F.F.D. red, City Inspector's car. They were both stopped for the traffic light.

The bicyclist pulls out camera/phone and takes a picture of the SFFD driver talking on a cell phone.

As the bicyclist began taking a second photo, the SFFD member put the phone down quickly.

Bicyclist says, "Now, you put it down. You're busted."

It started me thinking.

I'm willing to bet probably none of the SFFD cars have "hands off" communication devices. I further ask of the 5,000 plus City owned automobiles how many have "hands off" communication installed.

The question is Does the city of San Francisco send out thousands of vehicles each day onto our streets knowing full well the drivers are probably using hand held cell phones.

In fact, do the different agencies contact these drivers by cell phone to communicate with them in this manner, making the streets less safe?

All things are possible,

Jim Corrigan

Date / Time: 2014-03-18 14:03:50.4 13:57:08

BOS-11
Service Request *page*
Number: 3466469

Request for City Services

CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name: **Judy Mcree**
Phone: **415-431-4177**
Address:
Email:

DEPARTMENTS:

Department: * **Board of Supervisors (BOS)**
Sub-Division:* **Board of Supervisors - District 8**

Nature of Request: * **Complaint**

ADDITIONAL REQUEST DETAILS:

Additional Request Details: * **Caller states "I am very upset about the idea of installing a rainbow flag in the concrete at 18th and Castro and any other purposed locations. Also she is opposed to the widening of Castro Street."**

BACK OFFICE USE *** ONLY**

Source Agency Request Number: _____
Responsible Agency Request Number: _____
Service Request Work Status: _____
Work Status Undated: _____