File Number:	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Resolution Information Form

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

- 1. Grant Title: United States Department of Energy Buildings Upgrade Challenge, Phase 1
- 2. Department: San Francisco Environment Department
- **3.** Contact Person: Alice Hur Telephone: (415) 355-3709
- 4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved

- 5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$400,000
- 6. a. Matching Funds Required: None
 - b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): Not applicable
- 7. a. Grant Source Agency: United States Department of Energy
 - b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: In collaboration with PODER, Rising Sun Center for Opportunity, and QuitCarbon Inc., the project team will develop an **implementation plan** aimed at swiftly enhancing 200 homes within a 200-day timeframe. This comprehensive plan will include detailed schedules, budgets, and programmatic specifications, focusing on the installation of electric heat pump water heaters (HPWH) and other energy-efficient measures in the residences of a San Francisco communities.

With the Bay Area Air District mandating the discontinuation of natural gas water heater sales by 2027, this initiative becomes important in ensuring an equitable and affordable rollout of HPWH. The project's overarching objective is to demonstrate an innovative way to quickly and equitably upgrade single-family homes and validate its ability to scale citywide.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: December 15, 2023 End-Date: None required

- 10. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$ 200,000
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? A Request for Co-applicants was completed prior to submitting the grant application to the funder.
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? Not applicable because it is Federal funding.
 - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time
- 11. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?

 [X] Yes

 [] No
 - b. If yes, how much? \$ 71,457.00
 - c. How was the amount calculated? 10% de minimis rate applied to direct labor costs
 - d. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
 - [] Not allowed by granting agency [] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services
 - [] Other (please explain):
 - e. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?
- 12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

Federal prize awards were designed to be less administratively burdensome than federal grants. Prize funding is provided up front and does not have an expiration date. The proposed budget shows the plan for spending but is not binding. While DOE would prefer that prize winners spend funds needed to complete work that enables successful competition in subsequent phases of the competition, it is not required.

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Info	rmation
Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)	

13. This Grant is intended fo	or activities at (check all that apply)):
] Existing Site(s)] Rehabilitated Site(s)] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X] New Program(s) or Service(s)
concluded that the project as other Federal, State and loca	s proposed will be in compliance w	on Disability have reviewed the proposal and with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all cions and will allow the full inclusion of persons ed to:
1. Having staff trained in h	now to provide reasonable modifica	ations in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids ar	nd services available in a timely m	anner in order to ensure communication access;
•	approved by the DPW Access Cor	n to the public are architecturally accessible and impliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on
4. All websites and digital definition Standard.	content developed as part of this p	project will comply with the <u>Digital Accessibility</u>
f such access would be tech	nnically infeasible, this is described	d in the comments section below:
Comments: Departmental ADA Coordina	ator or Mayor's Office of Disability	Reviewer:
Deborah Kaplan		
Name)		
Deputy Directorfor Programmatic A	Access	
Title) Date Reviewed: 10/10/2025		Docusigned by: Deboral Eaplan
		(Signature Nequireu)
Department Head or Desig	nee Approval of Grant Informat	ion Form:
Tyrone Jue		
Name)		
Director		— DocuSigned by:
Title)		Tyrone Ju
Date Reviewed: 10/14/2025		(Signature Required)