

File No. 111204

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 26

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date November 8, 2011

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form (for hearings) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | MOU |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ethics Form 126 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Correspondence |

OTHER

(Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Date November 3, 2011

Completed by: _____ Date _____

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.
The complete document is in the file.

1 [Approval of a 90-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Uses, Signs, Building
2 Features, Floor Area Ratio, Parking, and Compliance in Specified Use Districts (File No.
3 110548)]

4 **Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning**
5 **Commission may render its decision on a Ordinance (File No. 110548) amending the**
6 **San Francisco Planning Code by repealing Sections 136.2, 136.3, 158, 187, 249.15,**
7 **263.2, 263.3, 602.25, 602.26, 607.3, 607.4, and amending various other Code sections to:**
8 **1) increase the amount of principally permitted parking spaces for dwellings in RC-4**
9 **and C-3 Districts; 2) make off-street parking requirements in the Van Ness Special Use**
10 **District and RC-3 Districts consistent with those of RC-4 Districts; 3) eliminate**
11 **minimum parking requirements for the Chinatown Mixed Use Districts and North Beach**
12 **Neighborhood Commercial Districts; 4) allow exceptions from required parking under**
13 **specified circumstances; 5) amend the restrictions on off-street parking rates and**
14 **extend them to additional zoning districts; 6) revise sign, awning, canopy and marquee**
15 **controls in specified zoning districts; 7) increase the permitted use size for limited**
16 **corner commercial uses in RTO and RM districts, and allow reactivation of lapsed**
17 **limited commercial uses in R districts; 8) revise the boundaries of and modify parking**
18 **and screening requirements in the Washington-Broadway and Waterfront Special Use**
19 **Districts; 9) modify controls for uses and accessory uses in Commercial and**
20 **Residential-Commercial Districts; 10) permit certain exceptions from exposure and**
21 **open space requirements for historic buildings; and 11) modify conformity**
22 **requirements in various use districts; adopting findings, including environmental**
23 **findings, Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan and**
24 **the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.**
25

1 WHEREAS, On May 3, 2011, Supervisor Chiu introduced legislation amending the San
2 Francisco Planning Code that would repeal Sections 136.2, 136.3, 158, 187, 249.15, 263.2,
3 263.3, 602.25, 602.26, 607.3, 607.4, and amend various other Code sections to: 1) increase
4 the amount of principally permitted parking spaces for dwellings in RC-4 and C-3 Districts; 2)
5 make off-street parking requirements in the Van Ness Special Use District and RC-3 Districts
6 consistent with those of RC-4 Districts; 3) eliminate minimum parking requirements for the
7 Chinatown Mixed Use Districts and North Beach Neighborhood Commercial Districts; 4) allow
8 exceptions from required parking under specified circumstances; 5) amend the restrictions on
9 off-street parking rates and extend them to additional zoning districts; 6) revise sign, awning,
10 canopy and marquee controls in specified zoning districts; 7) increase the permitted use size
11 for limited corner commercial uses in RTO and RM districts, and allow reactivation of lapsed
12 limited commercial uses in R districts; 8) revise the boundaries of and modify parking and
13 screening requirements in the Washington-Broadway and Waterfront Special Use Districts; 9)
14 modify controls for uses and accessory uses in Commercial and Residential-Commercial
15 Districts; 10) permit certain exceptions from exposure and open space requirements for
16 historic buildings; and 11) modify conformity requirements in various use districts; adopting
17 findings, including environmental findings, Section 302 findings, and findings of consistency
18 with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. A copy of
19 said legislation is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 110548 and is
20 incorporated herein by reference; and

21 WHEREAS, On or about May 12, 2011, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred
22 the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission, which currently is reviewing the
23 proposed ordinance; and

1 WHEREAS, On or about July 26, 2011, the Board of Supervisors granted a 90-day
2 extension that extended the time in which the Planning Commission could review the
3 proposed for an additional 90-days to November 12, 2011; and

4 WHEREAS, On October 20, 2011 the Planning Commission had an informational
5 presentation by the Planning Department and took public comment on the proposed
6 ordinance, after which they continued the item to December 15, 2011; and

7 WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d) may, by
8 resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its
9 decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors
10 initiates; and

11 WHEREAS, Supervisor Chiu has requested additional time for the Planning
12 Commission to review the proposed ordinance; and

13 WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning
14 Commission additional time to review the proposed ordinance and render its decision; now
15 therefore be it

16 RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time
17 within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed ordinance for
18 approximately 90 additional days, until February 10, 2012.

INTRODUCTION FORM

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time Stamp or Meeting Date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction:

- 1. For reference to Committee: _____
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment
- 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee: _____
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor _____ inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney request
- 6. Call file from Committee
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File Nos.
- 9. Request for Closed Session
- 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole
- 11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on _____

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Building Inspection Commission
- Youth Commission
- Planning Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.]

Sponsor(s): Supervisor Chiu

Subject: Resolution approving a 90 day extension for Planning Commission review of File No. 110548.2

The text is listed below or attached: _____

David Chiu

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: _____

For Clerk's Use Only:

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2011 NOV -2 AM 11:28

111204

