| File No. 10013 | 88 |
|----------------|----|
|----------------|----|

| Committee | Item No. | 5 |
|-------------------|----------|---|
| Board Item | No | |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: | City Operations & Neighborhood S | Ser. Date: February 22, 2010 |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Board of Su | pervisors Meeting | Date |
| Cmte Boa | | |
| | Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | |
| OTHER | (Use back side if additional space | ce is needed) |
| • | | Date_February 18, 2010 Date |

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

| | : | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | • | · |
| | | , | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

[Accept and Expend Grant – Recreation and Park Department Urban Forestry Project - \$65,000]

Resolution authorizing the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to apply for, accept and expend an Urban Forestry Education Grant administered by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection in the amount of \$65,000 for the Urban Forestry Project.

WHEREAS, The Governor of the State of California in cooperation with the California State Legislature has enacted Propositions 40 and 84, which provide funds to the State of California and its political subdivisions for urban forestry programs; and,

WHEREAS, The State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of the program within the State, setting up necessary procedures governing application by local agencies and non-profit organizations under the program; and,

WHEREAS, Said procedures established by the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of application before submission of said application to the State; and,

WHEREAS, The applicant will enter into an agreement with the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to carry out an urban forestry education project; and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Recreation and Park Department (SFRPD) has submitted a grant application to the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection in the amount of \$65,000 for the Urban Forestry Project; and,

WHEREAS, The SFRPD proposes to maximize use of available grant funds on program expenditures by not including indirect cost in the grant budget; and,

WHEREAS, The grant does not require an amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance because no positions are being created or funded through SFRPD; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the SFRPD to apply for "Propositions 40 and 84" grant program funds; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the SFRPD to accept and expend \$65,000 in grant funds from the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection for the Urban Forestry Project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby waives inclusion of indirect costs in the grant budget; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Recreation and Park Commission certifies that the said applicant has or will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Recreation and Park Commission certifies that said applicant will expend grant funds prior to March 30, 2012; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the General Manager of the SFRPD or his designee to execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project.

Recommended:

General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

Approved: Controller Mayor CERTIFICATION

^{**}Recreation and Park Department**
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Grant Information Form

| File Number: | | (Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors |
|--------------|----------------|--|
| | • | |
| | <u>Grant I</u> | nformation Form |
| | (Effecti | ive January 2000) |

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: Urban Forestry Education Grant Program

2. Department: Recreation and Park Department, Capital Division

3. Contact Person: Rick Thall, Project Manager, Telephone: 415-558-4007

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[] Approved by funding agency [X] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Applied for: \$65,000

6. Amount of Grant funding awarded:

\$ 65,000 (Pending final approval from Cal Fire)

6a. Matching Funds Required: Yes

6b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):

| Source | Amount | Date Appropriated | ' |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|
| Open Space | \$20,000 | | FY 09-10 |
| RPD General Fund | \$5,000 | | FY 09-10 |
| In-Kind Services (IT staff) | \$ 10,000 | | FY 09-10 |

7a. Grant Source Agency: California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

7b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Not Applicable.

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary:

This project will engage the services of one of our not-for-profit partners or a consultant to provide outreach and educational services for the Recreation and Park Department's Urban Forestry Program. The selected consultant would plan an educational campaign to precede the implementation of forestry improvement measures, such as tree removal, major limb removal or new planting.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: January 1, 2010

End-Date: March 30, 2012

10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0

| mon or empi | oyees once the grant ends! N/A |
|---|--|
| ing goals as 1 | department's MBE/WBE required by the Human Rights acting out? One-time |
| [] Yes | [X] No |
| [] To max | imize use of grant funds on direct vices. |
| mments: No | |
| e Mayor's O as proposed er Federal, of persons | ture(s) Site(s) (s) or Service(s) e(s) Office on Disability have reviewed will be in compliance with the State and local access laws and with disabilities, or will require |
| in the com | nents section: |
| | PDCLIPTAD DINSUN- and Park Department on and Park Department |
| | \$ 65,000 es goals of the ing goals as re est for contra [] Yes [] To max ntractual ser mments: No all that apply xisting Structure e Mayor's Cas proposed er Federal, of persons in the comments Mayor's Recreation a |

DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION



Urban & Community Forestry Program P.O. Box 944246 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2460 (916) 661-6423

Website: www.fire.ca.gov



January 9, 2008

Department of Recreation & Park of S.F. Planning Division, McLaren Lodge 501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117-1898

RE: Concept Application for Urban Forestry Education Grant Funding Cycle 2008/2009

Dear Applicant,

Congratulations! Your project concept application has been ranked high enough to be eligible for the next step in the application process. Two hard copies of the final proposal will be due to your CAL FIRE Regional Urban Forester by February 23, 2009. The enclosed checklist will show you what needs to be added to your concept application. Additionally, we have enclosed an Urban Forester contact list and a flow chart outlining the grant process.

Contact your CAL FIRE Regional Urban Forester for further details and instructions. He or she will also ultimately be the person administering the grant for CAL FIRE if you are successful in the final proposal process. It is suggested that you turn in your final proposal to the Regional Urban Forester well before the due date to enable them to advise you should anything be missing from your final proposal package. They will not be able to provide assistance with your final proposal package after the due date specified above.

The funds for your project have been set aside at this point pending a successful final proposal. Grant funds have not yet been awarded to your organization. You must meet the requirements of the final proposal in the allotted time to be awarded grant funds.

You should note that there is uncertainty at this time as to when grant funding will be available due to the freezing of all bond-funded obligations by the State Pooled Money Investment Board. CAL FIRE will stick to the application timeline explained above despite this uncertainty so that once the budget crisis has been resolved, grant agreements can be developed quickly thereafter. I look forward to getting your final proposal so that final grant award decisions and execution of grant agreements can be done as expeditiously as possible.

I would like to again congratulate you on a successful concept application.

Sincerely,

John Melvin

Forester I

Urban & Community Forestry Program Lead

enc

FULL PROPOSAL APPLICATION PACKAGE

For the Excel version please go to: http://www.fire.ca.gov/resource_mgl/resource_mgl/urbanforestry

IMPORTANT

1. This is the full application packet to be completed by applicants who have received prior written approval to proceed with a full application. Some information may be copied directly from the approved Concept Proposal Application. However, more detail is required for the Project Description and the Budget Information. To obtain necessary information such as application instructions, program guidelines, and sample budgets, please refer to the complete application packet available on-line at:

http://www.fire.ca.gov/resource_mgt/resource_mgt/urbanforestry

- The Full Proposal Application and all accompanying documents must be mailed or delivered
 to California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection at the address specified in your
 acceptance letter.
- 3. Please limit your responses. Use the guidelines indicated for each response, using a minimum of 11 point font. You can insert text in unprotected cells and insert lines in excel to help with text formatting, etc. Some cells are protected and cannot be changed. The Full Proposal document should not exceed 10 pages.

Full Proposal Application Form

1. APPLICANT AND PROJECT INFORMATION

The applicant must meet eligibility requirements listed in the Request for Proposal (RFP). The applicant will act as the fiscal agent and will enter into a contract with the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection.

Proposal Information

| Project Title: | Forestry Education Grant (for San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department) |
|--------------------------|--|
| Project Manager: | Karen Mauney-Brodek |
| Grant Amount Requested: | \$65,000 |
| Project Start Date: | July 1, 2009 |
| Project Completion Date: | March 1, 2011 |

| A) APPLICANT//EISCAL/AGENT | |
|--|--|
| | |
| The applicant must meet eligibility req of Forestry and Fire Protection if awar | ulrements listed above. The applicant will act as the fiscal agent and will enter into a contract with the Department ded. |
| Name of Organization: | Recreation & Park Department of San Francisco |
| Type of Organization: | City and County Department |
| Applicant Contact Person | |
| Last Name: | Mauney-Brodek |
| First Name: | Karen |
| Title: | Planner |
| Telephone: | 415-831-2789 |
| E-mail: | karen.mauneybrodek@gmail.com |
| Mailing address | |
| Line 1: | Planning Division, San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department, McLaren Lodge |
| Line 2: | 501 Stanyan Street |
| City: | San Francisco |
| State: | CA , |
| Zip Code: | 94117-1898 |
| B) PARTNER//CO-SPONSOR | |
| 40.00 | |
| List partner or co-sponsor, if applicable | |
| Name of Organization: | Mayor's Office of Greening, City and County of San Francisco |
| Type of Organization: | City and County Office |
| Partner Contact Person | |
| Last Name: | Haryati |
| First Name: | Astrid |
| Title: | Greening Director |
| Telephone: | 415-554-4886 |
| E-mail: | astrid.haryati@sfgov.org |
| Other: | |
| Partner mailing address | |
| Line 1: | City Hall, Room 201 |
| Line 2: | 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place |
| City: | San Francisco |
| State: | CA CA |
| Zip Gode: | 94102 |
| C) LOCATION INFORMATION | |
| Please describe the location of the pro | posed project and include a map that clearly locates your project. |
| Name of project: Forcetor | Education Grant (for San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department) |
| | corporated Area) City of San Francisco |
| Oomination (Oily of Office | orporation, though of the following the foll |
| Countles: County of San Fra | encisco |
| 2. PROJECT SPECIFIC INFORMATI | |
| A) PROJECTION | |
| ANALOS DESCRIPTOS | |

.

ί

Describe the project. Include the number and job nature of the people involved in the project, the source of funds, materials, equipment, or services provided by the applicant. (500 words maximum)

The cilizens of San Francisco voted overwhelmingly on February 5, 2008 for a number of improvements to our parks, including a commitment to our urban forest. Proposition A — the Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond - passed with 71% of the vote, exceeding the 66.7% required threshold. This bond measure includes \$4 million dollars for urban forestry projects on RPD property. However, having funds alone to implement work is not enough. We have found that the public is understandably very concerned about the trees in the parks, and we need to couple these dollars for forestry improvements with an education campaign. The use of General Obligation Bond funds does not cover the educational needs of this program. The Department needs assistance this grant can provide to develop tools and provide resources to talk to members of the public about 1) why we need to inspect and evaluate trees, 2) why a tree may appear to be healthy but could be a hazard, 3)what is a tree hazard, 4) why we need to have trees of all ages in our parks, 5) the need for tree diversity and species appropriateness. These are just some of the questions which come up and the department does not currently have the resources to adequately address these questions.

B) PROGRAMIOBIECTIVES

Describe the Project's objectives

The Recreation and Parks Department's (RPD) more than 3,000 acres of parkland are estimated to contain between 50-100,000 trees. With the Bond funds in place, RPD is starting a systematic tree inventory, assessment, including removals, repair and replanting as per the recommendations of these assessments over the next 4-5 years. During the first two years of this project, the Department would use these funds (from this grant and department in-kind resources) to implement an urban forestry education program. The objective of this program would be to provide educational support and assistance to the forestry program. The education would be provided in a variety of forms including educational workshops and community meetings and written materials including flyers and brochures and online materials. This program would educate the public about the needs, value and importance of our urban forest. At the same time, this will be an opportunity to educate them about current urban forestry management techniques and hazard mitigation that will be utilized in the Bond Forestry Program. This education program would precede the Implementation of forestry improvement measures such as tree removal, major limb removal or new planting.

ON SCOPE OF WORK

Describe your approach, the design (methods), and implementation of the proposed work. (200 words)

This project will engage the services of one of our not-for-profit partners or another consulting firm (service provider) which can provide outreach and educational services. Special consideration would be given to organizations which have urban forestry experience or experience working with park groups in San Francisco, such as Friends of the Urban Forest, Neighborhood Parks Council, Urban Ecology or other such organizations. These consultants would work with our Department's Forestry, Capital and Planning staff to plan an educational campaign to precede the implementation of forestry improvement measures such as tree removal, major limb removal or new planting. It is anticipated that this educational program or campaign would include a series of meetings, the creation and distribution of educational material such as handouts, mailings, and online resources. This program would focus on trees within the park and would complement an education program proposed by the City's Department of Public works which would focus on street trees.

The Department, upon final grant award, will seek (through a competitive process) the services of a provider such as one of the above listed. The educational services provided by this proposal will be provided to Neighborhood Organizations, "Friends-of-Parks" Groups, organizations like Neighborhood Parks Council, Parks Trust and their affiliates, Community Organizations and neighborhood residents and local businesses located near the parks with recommended tree work.

D) ESTUMATED TIMELINE

Briefly describe the timeline for completing proposal objectives (200 words)

July 2009 - October 2009 - Detailed Education Plan Finalized with Outreach Provider

October 2009- March 2010 - Phase One of Outreach begins, Written and Online Materials Developed, Broad Community Outreach and Education Campaign about Park Forestry Program, Tree Inventory and Assessment Program and Tree Work

April 2010 -March 2011 - Phase Two, Targeted Outreach to Communities around Parks with New Assessment and Forestry Work Occurring

Describe the products resulting from the proposed work. (200 words)

The proposed work will result in:

- Clear and Focused, San Francisco Park Forestry Program Educational Materials including interactive portion of the Clean and Safe Neighborhood Bond
 website with online information and downloadable handouts describing the Bond's Forestry Program, discussing overall park tree needs, discussing
 healthy forestry care measures such as tree pruning and selective removal for safety and tree planting goals. In addition, these materials can be used in
 online eblasts to park stakeholders and community organizations and neighborhood newsletters and local newspapers.
- 2) A series of public meetings on the Forestry Program, discussing park forestry needs, the issues with many of our park trees, our departmental challenges, the work the Bond will deliver to improve the health of the urban forest including hazard work and new tree planting. At this time, we plan at least 5 public meetings around San Francisco discussing the Park Urban Forestry Program, but there will likely be more targeted meetings with particular neighborhood groups adjacent to parks the Forestry Program will focus on. Our outreach partner will develop
- with the department a PowerPoint presentation that can be used by all of our staff to provide a basic introduction to the Forestry Program so that the presentation can be given by all levels of our staff. In addition, the PowerPoint will be available online for simple public viewing by those members of the public who would like to see it from home.

F) BENEFITS

Explain how this proposal will benefit Urban Forestry. (200 words)

A more educated public is always a better public. Often forestry work is confusing and, at times, disturbing to the public. The grant will greatly benefit the education level of the public allowing them to better understand how and why the department must actively manage its urban forestry resources. In addition, this will provide an invaluable opportunity for the public to understand more the very large challenge we face managing tens of thousands of trees with a very limited staff of tree professionals. We have a sizable task and public participation and support is essential to maintain and develop our resources.

Today, San Francisco has a very developed 311 system which allows members of the public to report various conditions they note on city property, including trees. The more we can do to educate the public about important tree issues, the more sophisticated eyes we have on our almost 100,000 trees.

G) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Describe any other important features of your proposal. (200 words)

This is a great example of the partnering of State and City Bond funds; the two budgets together can do more than either alone. This proposal is not a "stand-alone" program - it is a assisting in a large initiative for improving the information about and health of our urban forest, funded by a portion of the 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond. The bond provided \$4,000,000 for urban forestry work - mainly focusing on hazard mitigation. These valuable grant funds will allow the department to clearly and effectively explain and discuss the work of that program with the public in addition to having a large discussion about our park trees:

3. TASK AND BUDGET INFORMATION

Please provide an estimate of cost & budget information for your proposal in the following tables.

For information regarding standard labor rates, visit: http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/

Part 1: Task Item Budget

Please provide an estimate of costs and budget information for the task items proposed. Include all tasks and sub-tasks, including the costs that may be supported by agreements with partners/sub-contractors listed above. Tasks that describe the work to be undertaken should be described in brief statements in the Scope of Work (2 C above). Each task should have a separate number assigned to it. You should include one or more tasks for CEQA compliance if your proposal is subject to CEQA. All projects, with the exception of land acquisition, should include a task for Project Monitoring and Evaluation. Land acquisition costs such as purchase price, appraisal charge, survey costs, preliminary title reports costs, escrow fees and title insurance fees should be reported as separate tasks. The total amounts must correspond to the line-item table in Part 2. Other Funds includes partner or cosponsor project amounts not included in the grant amount requested.

| Project Work Task | Task Description | Other Funds | | Project Total |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---------------|
| Task 1: | Outreach Services/Service Provider | | \$65,000 | \$65,000 |
| Task 2: | RPD Staff to Manage Provider, Assistance | \$20,000 | | \$20,000 |
| Task 3: | Webpage Creation and Maintenance | | | \$10,000 |
| Task 4: | Written Materials Reproduction and Distribution | , , | · | \$5,000 |
| Add additional tasks as needed: | • | | | \$(|
| Administration: | | | | · \$(|
| Reporting: | | | | \$(|
| Monthly, annual, special, final | | | | \$1 |
| Invoicing: | | | | \$ |
| Data collection: | | | | Şi |
| CEQA or Permitting: | | | | \$0 |
| Negative Declaration | 1.5 | | 3 | \$(|
| Mitigations | | • | | \$(|
| EIR/EIS . | | | *************************************** | \$(|
| other permits | | | | \$(|
| Totals | | \$0 | \$65,000 | |
| TOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET: (should m | atch total for Part 2: Lin | | | \$100,000 |

Part 2: Line Item Birdget

Line-Item details must correspond to the task-items listed above. Please add rows and information to meet the specific needs of your proposal. Benefits may be listed separately or incorporated into the cost per hour rate. Indirect costs should be included in the overhead line item.

| Lirie-Item Details | Description of activity | Other Funds | Grant Funds | Project Total |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Total Salaries & Wages: | | | | |
| Personnel Services: | | | | |
| Olean and the state of | | | | |
| Class or title: Urban Forestry Manager | RPD Staff to | | | 2133. |
| | Manage Provider, | | • | |
| Cool nos hour E2 00 | Assist in Outreach | | | |
| Cost per hour: 53,38 Anticipated Hours: 40 | | · | | |
| Benefits and 5% Overhead | | , | | |
| | | | | |
| included in cost per hour Class or title: Planner | 200 01 54 | | | |
| Class of title. Planner | RPD Staff to | 9609.6 | • | 9609. |
| | Manage Provider, | | | |
| Cost per hour: 60,06 | Assist in Outreach | **** | | |
| Anticipated Hours: 160 | · . | | | |
| Benefits and 5% Overhead | | | | |
| included in cost per hour | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Class or title: Project Manager | RPD Staff to | 8266.56 | • | 8266.5 |
| | Manage Provider, | | | |
| Cost nor hour 90 44 | Assist in Outreach | | | |
| Cost per hour: 86.11 Anticipated Hours:96 | | | | · |
| Benefits and 5% Overhead | | | ****** | |
| | | · | | • |
| included in cost per hour | | | | |
| Class or title: Business Analysis Computer Specialist) | Webpage Creation | 10136.25 | Ī | 10136.2 |
| Computer Specialisty | and Maintenance | ŀ | | |
| Cost per hour: 45.05 | | | *************************************** | MCCAC |
| Anticipated Hours: 225 | | | | |
| Benefits and 5% Overhead | | | | |
| included in cost per hour | , | | j | |
| insert more rows as applicable) | | • | | |
| Seneral Expenses: | | | | |
| | | , | | · |
| Travel | | | | ` ' |
| Equipment | | | | (|
| Supplies | | 5000 | | 5000 |
| Data Software/Hardware | | | | (|
| Partner Contracts | | | | . (|
| rofessional & Consultant contracts | - | more more construction and the second | \$65,000 | 65000 |
| verhead | | *** | | |
| | . 1 | | L. | 100145.61 |

IOTAL PROPOSED BUDGET: (should match total for Part 1: Task Item Table)

AN EXPLANATION OF EVERET AND COSTS

Describe the basis for the budget amounts listed in the tables above. (200 words)

Task 1 – This is based on a estimate of the cost of funding a non-profit partner or consultant (service provider) to provide program content, develop materials and contact a public education program. This is based on an estimate of 5 public meetings combined with targeted stakeholder engagement. Final details of the exact program would be finalized with the consulting organization, based on their education and outreach proposal.

Task 2 - This budget is based on an estimate of staff time needed to be spent by Planning, Forestry, Capital and Operations staff to review the materials and educational program developed by service provider, provide feedback, direction and assist as need in the implementation of the educational program.

Task 3 -This budget is based on the estimate of staff time needed to create and support the online materials for this educational program.

Task 4 - This budget is based on an estimate of the costs for the reproduction of written material, included distribution for this educational program.

B) OTHER FUND SOURCES:

List any other fund sources, grants or applications, public or private that are considered matching funds for this proposal.

| 1 | Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 4,000,000 | Yes |
|---------|---|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----|
| 2 | Staff and Supplies Funds | Open Space and General Fund | | 35,000 | Yes |
| 3 | | • | | | |
| 4)) | PROJECT WORK | Location | Slatus | | |

| SIGNATURE PAGE | • |
|--|----------|
| Original, authorized signatures are required from the applicant organization that will act as the fiscal agent. | |
| Sign and print name, title and date. SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF REPRESENTION FRANKS Approval 1 KLD: C. DE. DITECTOR OF ADMINI STRATION & FIN | |
| Approval 1 Katina & Patricia & ATHARLINE PETRLICIONE 2120109 | ANCE |
| Approval 2 Approv | |
| signature printed name Fille organization date SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION FRANKS | <u> </u> |
| MANNACHER OF FINANCE | |



CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION URBAN FORESTRY PROGRAM

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Part 1: ADMINSTRATIVE INFORMATION

| 1. | Project Title: San Francisco Rec | reation and Parks Department Forestry Program – Education Grant |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2. | Project Applicant's Name: | San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department |
| 3. | Name and title of checklist preparer: | Daniel LaForte, Planner, Recreation and Parks Department |

- This checklist is intended for use by applicants for California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF) Urban Forestry Program projects. It is modeled after the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Environmental Checklist which has been modified to more closely focus on the types of actions and impacts expected to occur while conducting urban forestry tree planting projects. As the lead agency under CEQA, CDF must make a determination as to a project's potential environmental impacts, develop mitigations if necessary and determine the level of environmental documentation and review required. The completion of this checklist will assist CDF in identifying impacts of the proposed project prior to approval. To meet that need someone that is intimately familiar with the project and knowledgeable on potential environmental consequences must complete this checklist. The information in the checklist is meant to supplement the information provided in your application. Maps and photos supplied with your application will assist in CDF's review. This checklist is available electronically (MS Word 97) or may be completed by hand. If you need assistance please contact CDF Urban Forestry Program personnel.
- lt is the intent of CDF and the Urban Forestry Program to approve tree-planting projects that are categorically exempt from further environmental review under CEQA. In order for a project to qualify for a categorical exemption CDF must find that there are no "unusual circumstances" associated with the project that lead to the project having impacts on environmental resources (e.g., threatened or endangered species, aesthetics, cultural resources, water quality, etc.) CEQA does not allow for a project's impacts to be minimized or compensated under a categorical exemption; avoiding impacts to resources is the only mitigation permitted. To meet that end the following questions were designed to identify environmental impacts that may occur in various tree planting settings and encourage project applicants to develop measures to avoid those impacts. In the event that potential impacts to a resource are identified it is recommended that the project applicant redesign or reconfigure their project proposal to avoid impacts to the resource. Failure to fully avoid impacts will result in your project requiring a "higher level" of environmental review such as the preparation of a negative declaration or environmental impact report. This could be costly and delay your project. Because of the time and cost associated with the preparation of a negative declaration or environmental impact report CDF encourages you to change your project in order to qualify for a categorical exemption.
- A brief explanation is required for "Yes" responses to the following questions. Responses must be supported by facts, not merely the personal opinion of the checklist preparer.
- All responses must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Earlier analyses may be used where an impact has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. In this case, a brief discussion should identify the earlier analysis, describe the

mitigations that were developed and supply copies of relevant sections/pages. A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion sections were necessary.

Part 2: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| 1. | Project Location: (city, county, nearest town, etc.) City of Sai | n Fran | cisco |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| 2. | and depth of excavations, planting site preparation preparing the planting site (e.g., backhoes, power any secondary, support, or off-site features necessible on activities that will potentially impact the | on (i.e., er auge essary e environ cation | services to discuss forestry needs with the public in |
| - | | | |
| - | | | |
| 3. 1 | dentify the type(s) of setting(s) where your project | | |
| | Urban or Developed Settings | | Rural, Undeveloped or Wildland Settings |
| | street (parkways, medians, sidewalks, etc.) | | undeveloped land |
| | existing landscaped city/county park | | new or rural park |
| | existing landscaped school grounds | | new school grounds |
| | urban trail, bike trail | | agricultural land |
| | public building grounds | | historic district, railroad right-of-way |
| х П | Other similar urban or developed setting. Describe setting: The project funded by this grant will have no physical affects. It will provide public education /outreach on trees needs in existing parks. | | riparian area (within 100 ft of a stream, lake or wetland) Other similar rural, undeveloped or wildland setting. Describe setting: |
| etti | ects confined to <u>urban</u> or <u>developed</u> ngs must address questions in cklist. Part 4. | wile | jects that include <u>rural, undeveloped</u> or <u>dland</u> settings must address questions in ecklist, Parts 3 and 4. |

Part 3: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR PROJECTS IN RURAL, UNDEVELOPED OR WILDLAND SETTINGS

Projects, or portions of projects, planned for rural, undeveloped or wildland settings may have impacts on various resources (e.g., threatened or endangered species, cultural resources, water quality, etc.). Therefore, project applicants must conduct the following resource studies to determine if resources exist that warrant protection.

Resource Studies:

Conduct a Natural Diversity Data Base Search

Contact CDF, Urban Forestry Program staff to determine how to conduct a Natural Diversity Data Base (NDDB) Search. Submit a copy of the search results along with this Environmental Checklist. If the NDDB search identifies any threatened or endangered species of animals or plants that may be present describe avoidance measures in the appropriate discussion section.

Conduct an archaeological records check

Contact CDF, Urban Forestry Program staff to determine how to conduct an Archaeological Records Check. Submit a copy of the results along with this Environmental Checklist. If the Records Check identifies cultural resources within the project site describe measures to avoid impacts in the appropriate discussion section.

Conduct an archaeological survey

Contact CDF, Urban Forestry Program staff to determine how to conduct an archaeology survey. Submit a copy of the survey results along with this Environmental Checklist. If the archeological survey identifies cultural resources within the project site describe measures to avoid impacts in the appropriate discussion section.

Based on the results of the resource studies please respond to the following questions for projects located in rural, undeveloped or wildland settings.

| 1. | Discuss the results of the resource studies and briefly describe the archaeologica identified within your project site. | l and | blologi | cal res | ources |
|-----|---|-------|---------|---------|--------|
| ٠. | | | | | |
| Wil | I the project: | | | | |
| 2. | Require the removal of native vegetation (trees, shrubs) prior to planting, thereby potentially impacting threatened or endangered plant or animal species or cultural resources? | | Yes | | Nо |
| 3. | Require extensive soil disturbance, thereby potentially causing soil erosion and impacting threatened or endangered species or cultural resources? | | Yes | | No |
| 4. | Require the use of large equipment (i.e., backhoe) thereby potentially impacting threatened or endangered species or cultural resources? | | Yes | | No |
| 5. | Occur within 100 feet of a perennial watercourse, riparian zone or wetland thereby potentially impacting threatened or endangered species, cultural resources or riparian values? | | Yes | | No |

| | \cdot |
|-------|---|
| | |
| | |
| 6. | Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to Yes No non-agricultural use? |
| 7 | Dispuse and West responded and departing magnificate agreed impacts |
| 7. | Discuss any "Yes" responses and describe measures to avoid impacts. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Part 4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR |
| • | ALL PROJECTS |
| | |
| Ple | ease answer the following questions for all projects and discuss all "Yes" responses. Il the proposed project: |
| | |
| 1. | Require approval from other public agencies (e.g., permits, financing approval, or Yes X No |
| • • • | require approval from other purpose of the control |
| ••• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, LI |
| •• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, right-of-way easements, etc.)) |
| •• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, L_I right-of-way easements, etc.)) |
| •• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, LI |
| •• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, L_I right-of-way easements, etc.)) |
| •• | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, L_I right-of-way easements, etc.)) |
| | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, L_I right-of-way easements, etc.)) |
| | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) |
| | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) |
| | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) |
| | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) |
| 2. | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) |
| 2. | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with Yes X No jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, |
| 2. | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with Yes X No |
| 2. | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, right-of-way easements, etc.)) Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, local coastal programs, or zoning ordinances)? |
| 2. | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, right-of-way easements, etc.)) Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with yes x No jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, local coastal programs, or zoning ordinances)? |
| 2. | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, local coastal programs, or zoning ordinances)? |
| 2. | participation agreement (e.g., grading permits, CALTRANS encroachment permits, right-of-way easements, etc.)) Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with yes x No jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, local coastal programs, or zoning ordinances)? |
| 3. | Include activities that were identified in other environmental documents or analyses and support findings of no significant impact (e.g., CEQA documents, environmental surveys, general plans, studies, reports, etc.) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to general plans, specific plans, local coastal programs, or zoning ordinances)? |

| | 5. | Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? | Yes | x No |
|---|----|--|-----|------|
| • | | | | |
| | 6. | Result in trees, once mature, coming into contact with power lines? | Yes | × No |
| • | ٠ | | | |
| | 7. | Expose people or structures to the risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? | Yes | x No |
| | | | | |
| | 8. | Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources or substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | Yes | × No |
| | | | | · |
| | | | | |
| | 9. | Result in substantial adverse impacts to public services for tree pruning and maintenance? | Yes | x No |
| | | | | |

. •

| reational facilities or require the construction of additional recreational facilities ulting in significant environmental impacts? | <u></u> | Yes | Ě | No |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| lude troce knows to produce pollen/allergens/odors that are irritants or chiectionable | | Yes | × | No |
| arge numbers of people? | | | | |
| eracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, | | Yes | х П | No |
| idences, offices, swimming pools, solar energy collectors, recreational facilities, etc. | | Yes | × | No |
| scure public safety improvements such as streetlights, traffic signals, signs, etc.? | | Yes | × | No |
| | blude trees known to produce pollen/allergens/odors that are irritants or objectionable large numbers of people? Ibstantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, sk outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, sk outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including of nearby property, including sidences, offices, swimming pools, solar energy collectors, recreational facilities, etc. subject adjoining properties to excessive amounts of litter and/or debris? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including to the existing visual aracter or quality of the exi | islude trees known to produce pollen/allergens/odors that are irritants or objectionable arge numbers of people? In the stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, obtained by the control of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, obtained by the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, obtained by the site and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, obtained by the state of the existing visual aracter or quality of the site and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | islude trees known to produce pollen/allergens/odors that are irritants or objectionable Yes large numbers of people? In the stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, ob outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? In the stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, ob outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, ob outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage as scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage as scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage as scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Yes are stantially damage as scenic resource or vi | stude trees known to produce pollen/allergens/odors that are irritants or objectionable Yes X large numbers of people? Vestantially damage a scenic resource or vista or degrade the existing visual Aracter or quality of the site and its surroundings including, but not limited to, trees, obtained and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? |

If you checked "No" to all preceding questions or checked "Yes" and have provided the required Resource Studies and identified measures sufficient to protect all resource values the project <u>may be</u> categorically exempt from further environmental review. Sign the Certification, below, and submit this Checklist with your application to the CDF, Urban Forestry Program office. CDF will review your responses and determine whether additional environmental review is necessary prior to project approval.

I certify that I have reviewed the proposed project's description and inspected the project site(s). I have provided accurate and factual responses to the questions and have supplied accurate information when requesting database searches. In my opinion the proposed project will not have any negative impacts on the environment.

2/20/09 Date

Part 6: DETERMINATION

DETERMINATION (To be completed by CDF, Urban Forestry Program Personnel) As a representative of CDF and as lead agency for environmental review under CEQA I have determined that an environmental impact evaluation for the proposed project has been satisfactorily completed. On the basis of this evaluation: I find that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment and meets the Class 6 categorical exemption requirements. This project is therefore exempt from the requirement for the preparation of environmental documents. A Notice of Exemption (NOE) will be prepared by CDF. I find that the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and does not meet the Class 4 categorical exemption requirements, therefore a negative declaration will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the applicant. A mitigated negative declaration will be prepared. I find that the proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment, and an environmental impact report is required. I find that the proposed project may have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated impact" on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An environmental impact report is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to an earlier EIR, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. Signature of Authorized CDF Reviewer Print Name Title. Date



City and County of San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

Capital Improvement Division 30 Van Ness Avenue., 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102-6020

Phone: 415-581-2559 Fax: 415-581-2540 Web: parks.sfgov.org

Statement of Compliance with Applicable Laws

I, <u>Jared Blumenfeld</u>, certify that the <u>San Francisco Recreation and Park Department</u> will abide by all applicable federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, regulations and policies in carrying out this State bond-funded project. Failure to do so will lead to cancellation of the grant award.

Signature

General Manager

Title

February 19, 2009

Date

(415) 831-2701

Phone Number

STATE OF CALIFORNIA-DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
PAYEE DATA RECORD
(Required when receiving payment from the State of California In Ileu of IRS W-9)
STD. 204 (Rev. 6-2003)

| | INSTRUCTIONS: Complete all information on this form. Sign, the bottom of this page. Prompt return of this fully completed for this form will be used by State agencies to prepare information R. Statement. NOTE: Governmental entitles, federal, State, and local (including the complete of | eturns (1099). Se | e reverse side f | or more information an | address shown at mation provided in kl Privacy |
|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | PAYEE'S LEGAL BUSINESS NAME (Type or Print) | | | • | |
| | City and County of San Francisco | . 71.4 2213 | E-MAIL ADDI | RESS | |
| 2 | SOLE PROPRIETOR - ENTER NAME AS SHOWN ON SSN (La | ist, first, M.L.) | | ey-Brodek@sfgov. | org |
| | | | i | | 6 |
| | MAILING ADDRESS | | | | |
| | 501 Stanyan Street | 501 Stanyan St | | | |
| | CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE | CITY, STATE, Z | | ono | |
| | San Francisco, CA, 94117-1898 | San Francisco, | CA, 94117-10 | 070 | |
| PAYEE ENTITY TYPE | ENTER FEDERAL EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PARTNERSHIP CORPORATION: MEDICAL MEDICAL LEGAL (e EXEMPT ALL OTHER | (e.g., dentistry, psyc g., attomey services) (nonprofit) | notherapy, chlrops | 0 0 4 1 7 | NOTE: Payment will not be processed without an accompanying taxpayer I.D. number. |
| CHECK ONE BOX ONLY | INDIVIDUAL OR SOLE PROPRIETOR ENTER SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: (SSN required by | authority of California | Revenue and Ta | x Code Section 18648) | |
| PAYEE RESIDENCY STATUS | ☐ California resident - Qualified to do business in California nonresident (see reverse side) - Paymer withholding. ☐ No services performed in California. ☐ Copy of Franchise Tax Board waiver or | nts to nonresider | ts for services | ent place of busines | s in California. State income tax |
| 5 | I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the Should my residency status change | information pres, I will promptly | ovided on thi y notify the S | s document is true tate agency below | and correct. |
| I | AUTHORIZED PAYEE REPRESENTATIVE'S NAME (Type or | Print) | | TITLE | • |
| | Jared Blumenfeld | | | General Manager | |
| | SIGNATURE) | DATE | | TELEPHONE | |
| 1 | 1 DAOW | 02/19/2009 | | (415) 831-2701 | |
| | Please return completely form to: | <u></u> | | | • |
| | | | | | |
| 6 | Department/Office: | | | | |
| | Unit/Section: | | | <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u> | |
| | Mailing Address: | | | | _ |
| | City/State/Zip: | 4 | | | |
| | Telephone: () | Fax: (_ | _) | | |
| | E-mail Address: | | | | |

4

Regulrement to Complete Payee Data Record, STD, 204

A completed Payee Data Record, STD. 204, is required for payments to all non-governmental entities and will be kept on file at each State agency. Since each State agency with which you do business must have a separate STD. 204 on file, it is possible for a payee to receive this form from various State agencies.

Payees who do not wish to complete the STD. 204 may elect to not do business with the State. If the payee does not complete the STD. 204 and the required payee data is not otherwise provided, payment may be reduced for federal backup withholding and nonresident State Income tax withholding. Amounts reported on Information Returns (1099) are in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

- 2 Enter the payee's legal business name. Sole proprietorships must also include the owner's full name. An individual must list his/her full name. The mailing address should be the address at which the payee chooses to receive correspondence. Do not enter payment address or lock box information here.
- Check the box that corresponds to the payee business type. Check only one box. Corporations must check the box that identifies the type of corporation. The State of California requires that all parties entering into business transactions that may lead to payment(s) from the State provide their Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN). The TIN is required by the California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 18646 to facilitate tax compliance enforcement activities and the preparation of Form 1099 and other Information returns as required by the Internal Revenue Code Section 6109(a),

The TIN for individuals and sole proprietorships is the Social Security Number (SSN). Only partnerships, estates, trusts, and corporations will enter their Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN),

Are you a California resident or nonresident?

A corporation will be defined as a "resident" if it has a permanent place of business in California or is qualified through the Secretary of State to do business in California.

A partnership is considered a resident partnership if it has a permanent place of business in California. An estate is a resident if the decedent was a California resident at time of death. A trust is a resident if at least one trustee is a California resident.

For Individuals and sole proprietors, the term "resident" Includes every individual who is in California for other than a temporary or transitory purpose and any individual domiciled in California who is absent for a temporary or transitory purpose. Generally, an individual who comes to California for a purpose that will extend over a long or indefinite period will be considered a resident. However, an individual who comes to perform a particular contract of short duration will be considered a nonresident.

Payments to all nonresidents may be subject to withholding. Nonresident payees performing services in California or receiving rent. lease, or royalty payments from property (real or personal) located in California will have 7% of their total payments withheld for State Income taxes. However, no withholding is required if total payments to the payee are \$1,500 or less for the calendar year.

For information on Nonresident Withholding, contact the Franchise Tax Board at the numbers listed below:

Withholding Services and Compliance Section: For hearing impaired with TDD, call:

1-888-792-4900 1-800-822-6268

. Website:

E-mail address: wscs.gen@ftb.ca.gov www.ftb.ca.gov

- Provide the name, title, signature, and telephone number of the individual completing this form. Provide the date the form was completed.
- This section must be completed by the State agency requesting the STD, 204.

Privacy Statement

Section 7(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-579) requires that any federal, State, or local governmental agency, which requests an individual to disclose their social security account number, shall inform that individual whether that disclosure is mandatory or voluntary, by which statutory or other authority such number is solicited, and what uses will be made of it.

It is mandatory to furnish the information requested. Federal law requires that payment for which the requested information is not provided is subject to federal backup withholding and State law imposes noncompliance penalties of up to \$20,000.

You have the right to access records containing your personal information, such as your SSN. To exercise that right, please contact the business services unit or the accounts payable unit of the State agency(ies) with which you transact that business,

All questions should be referred to the requesting State agency listed on the bottom front of this form.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NONDISCRIMINATION COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

STD. 19 (REV. 3-95)

COMPANY NAME

City and County of San Francisco, Recreation and Park Department

The company named above (herinafter referred to as "prospective contractor") hereby certifies, unless specifically exempted, compliance with Government Code Section 12990 (a-f) and California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Division 4, Chapter 5 in matters relating to reporting requirements and the development, implementation and maintenance of a Nondiscrimination Program. Prospective contractor agrees not to unlawfully discriminate, harass or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment because of sex, race, color, ancestry, religious creed, national origin, physical disability (including HIV and AIDS), medical condition (cancer), age (over 40), marital status, denial of family care leave and denial of pregnancy disability leave.

CERTIFICATION

I, the official named below, hereby swear that I am duly authorized to legally bind the prospective contractor to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

| OFFICIAL'S NAME Jared Blumenfeld | • |
|---|---------------------------|
| DATE EXECUTED | EXECUTED IN THE COUNTY OF |
| February 20, 2009 | San Francisco |
| PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S TITLE | |
| General Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | · . |
| PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S LEGAL BUSINESS NAME | , |
| City and County of San Francisco, Recreation and Park Department | |

CERTIFICATION

I, the official named below, hereby swear that I am duly authorized legally to bind the contractor or grant recipient to the certification described below. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.

| CONTRACTOR/BIDDER FIRM NAME | FEDERAL ID NUMBER |
|--|---|
| City and County of San Francisco, Recreation and Park Department | 94-6000417 |
| BY (Authorized Signature) | DATE EXECUTED February 19, 2009 |
| PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSONS ONING Jared Blumenfeld | TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (415) 831-2701 |
| TITLE | |
| General Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | |
| CONTRACTOR/BIDDER FIRM'S MAILING ADDRESS | |
| 501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117-1898 | |

The contractor or grant recipient named above hereby certifies compliance with Government Code Section 8355 in matters relating to providing a drug-free workplace. The above named contractor or grant recipient will:

- Publish a statement notifying employees that unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited and specifying actions to be taken against employees for violations, as required by Government Code Section 8355(a).
- 2. Establish a Drug-Free Awareness Program as required by Government Code Section 8355(b), to inform employees about all of the following:
 - (a) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace,
 - (b) The person's or organization's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace,
 - (c) Any available counseling, rehabilitation and employee assistance programs, and
 - (d) Penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations.
- Provide as required by Government Code Section 8355(c), that every employee who works on the proposed contract or grant;
 - (a) Will receive a copy of the company's drug-free workplace policy statement, and
 - (b) Will agree to abide by the terms of the company's statement as a condition of employment on the contract or grant.

RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION City and County of San Francisco Resolution No. 0902-011

FUNDING FROM THE URBAN FORESTRY GRANT PROGRAM ENTITLED, "Urban Forestry Education Grant", AS PROVIDED THROUGH PROPOSITIONS 40 and 84.

WHEREAS, the Governor of the State of California in cooperation with the California State Legislature has enacted Propositions 40 and 84, which provide funds to the State of California and its political subdivisions for urban forestry programs; and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of the program within the State, setting up necessary procedures governing application by local agencies and non-profit organizations under the program, and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of application before submission of said application to the State; and

WHEREAS, the applicant will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out an urban forestry project; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the Recreation and Park Commission:

- 1. Approved the filing of an application for "Propositions 40 and 84" grant program funds; and
- 2. Certifies that said applicant has or will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the project; and,
- 3. Certifies that funds under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Commission are available to begin the project, and
- 4. Certifies that said applicant will expend grant funds prior to March 30, 2011, and
- 5. Appoints the Recreation and Park Department as agent of the Recreation and Park Commission to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, payment requests, etc., which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes 7
Noes 0
Absent 0

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted at the Regular Meeting of the Recreation and Park Commission held on February 19, 2009.

Margaret A. McArthur, Commission Liaison

To Whom It May Concern,

The Recreation and Parks Commission of the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department is the authority body over the Department's activities. The Commission's signed resolution is enclosed.

We have also requested a San Francisco Board of Supervisor's Resolution which is a long and complicated process. We have requested that the resolution be expedited. We anticipate this resolution to be passed by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor the week of March 9th. The final, signed resolution will be forwarded at that time. I have attached a draft of that resolution.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Mauney-Brodek

Planner

San Francisco Recreation & Park Department

Karen.mauneybrodek@gmail.com

415-831-2789

RESOLUTION NO.

FILE NO.

| 1 | [Apply, accept-expend State Grant Funds available under the from the California State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Urban Forestry Public Education Grant in the |
|-----|---|
| 2 · | amount of \$65,000 for the Urban Forestry Project] |
| 3 | |
| 4 ' | Resolution authorizing the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to apply, |
| 5. | accept and expend a Urban Forestry Public Education administered by the California |
| 6 | Department of Forestry and Fire Protection in the amount of \$65,000 for the Urban |
| 7 | Forestry Project. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | WHEREAS, The Governor of the State of California in cooperation with the California |
| 10 | State Legislature has enacted Propositions 40 and 84, which provide funds to the State of |
| 11 | California and its political subdivisions for urban forestry programs; and, |
| 12 | WHEREAS, The State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection has been delegated |
| 13 | the responsibility for the administration of the program within the State, setting up necessary |
| 14 | procedures governing application by local agencies and non-profit organizations under the |
| 15 | program; and, |
| 16 | WHEREAS, Said procedures established by the State Department of Forestry and Fire |
| 17 | Protection require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of application before |
| 18 | submission of said application to the State; and, |
| 19 | WHEREAS, The applicant will enter into an agreement with the State of California to |
| 20 | carry out an urban forestry project; and, |
| 21 | WHEREAS, The Department proposes to maximize use of available grant funds on |
| 22 | program expenditures by not including indirect cost in the grant budget; now, therefore, be it |
| 23 | RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors approved the filing of an application for |
| 24 | "Propositions 40 and 84" grant program funds; and, be it |
| 25 | |
| • | ullet |

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors approves the acceptance and expenditure of a Urban Forestry Outreach grant in the amount of \$65,000 for the Urban Forestry Project;

and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby waivers inclusion of indirect costs in the grant budget; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors certifies that Grantee has or will have available prior to commencement of any work on the project included in this application, sufficient funds to operate and maintain the project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors certifies that said applicant will expend grant funds prior to March 30, 2011; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors appoints the Recreation and Park Department as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors certifies that Grantee has reviewed, understands and agrees to the General Provisions contained in the Contract shown in the Procedural Guide.

Approved and Adopted on this_____ day of _____ 2009

Recommended:

General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

| . 1 | Approved: | | | | | |
|------|---------------|---|------------|---|---|--|
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | , | | | • | | |
| . 2 | | | | • | | |
| 6 | Mayor | | Controller | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | • | | | | |
| 9 | CERTIFICATION | | | | · | |
| 10 | • | | | | • | |
| 11 | | | | • | | |
| 12 | | | , | | | |
| . 13 | | | • | | | |
| 14 | • | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | • | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | • | • | W | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | , | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | • | | | | | |
| 24 | | • | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |

5. Task and Budget Information

A. Budget

Provide an estimate of costs and budget information for the project.

| Project Work Task | Task Description | Matched Funds | Amount Requested | Task Total Cost |
|-------------------|--|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Task 1 | Service Provider - Educ./Outreach Servic | | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000.00 |
| Task 2 | RPD Staff To Manager Provider, Assistan | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$20,000.00 |
| Task 3 | Webpage Creation and Maintenance | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| Task 4 | Written Materials Repro and Distrubu | \$5,000.00 | | \$5,000.00 |
| Additional Tasks | | | | |
| Admin / Overhead | Overhead is built into the tasks above | | | |
| TOTALS | | \$35,000.00 | \$65,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |

B. Explanation of Budget and Costs

In the space provided, describe the basis for the budget amounts listed above.

Task 1 – This is based on a estimate of the cost of funding a non-profit partner or consultant (service provider) to provide program content, develop materials and contact a public education program. This is based on an estimate of 3 – 7 public meetings combined with targeted stakeholder engagement. Final details of the exact program would be finalized with the consulting organization, based on their education and outreach proposal.

Task 2 – This budget is based on an estimate of staff time needed to be spent by Planning, Forestry, Capital and Operations staff to review the materials and educational program developed by service provider, provide feedback, direction and assist as need in the implementation of the educational program.

Task 3 -This budget is based on the estimate of staff time needed to create and support the online materials for this educational program.

Task 4 – This budget is based on an estimate of the costs for the reproduction of written material, included distribution for this educational program.

C. Other Funding Sources

List other funding sources, grants or applications that are considered matching funds for this proposal.

Description Source Requested Amount Funded?

| SF City General Obligation Bond | 4,000,000 | YES |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| SF City Budget | \$35,000.00 | YES |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

(·

.

INTRODUCTION FORM

By a member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time Stamp or Meeting Date

| I hereby submit the following item for introduction: |
|---|
| _x1. For reference to Committee: An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment. 2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee |
| 3. Request for Committee hearing on a subject matter. |
| 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires". |
| 5. City Attorney request. |
| 6. Call file from Committee. |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion). |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File Nos. |
| 9. Request for Closed Session |
| 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole |
| To Sound to Sie as II Committee of the Whole |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: |
| |
| ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ 🕹 🖒 👺 🗍 ☐ |
| |
| (70:3) |
| □ Building Inspection Commission □ □ Selection Commission □ Selection Commission □ □ Selection Commission □ Selection □ Selection Commission □ Selection □ Select |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a different form.] |
| Sponsor(s): Supervisor Eric Mar |
| SUBJECT: Apply-accept-expend State Grant Funds in the amount of \$65,000 from the California State Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Urban Forestry Education Program for the Urban Forestry Project |
| The text is listed below or attached: |
| Resolution authorizing the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to apply for, accept and expend an urban Forestry Education Grant administered by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection in the amount of \$65,000 for the Urban Forestry Project. |
| |
| |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: |
| For Clerk's Use Only: |
| |

Common/Supervisors Form

Revised 4/2/09



November 6, 2009

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Attached please find an original and four copies of proposed resolution for the Board of Supervisors approval which will authorize the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department to apply for, accept and expend an Urban Forestry Education Grant from the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection.

The following is a list of accompanying documents (five sets):

- o Grant Information Form
- Copy of Intent of Fund from Funding Agency
- Copy of Grant Application
- Copy of Grant Budget

A certified copy of the adopted resolution is required for submittal to California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. Please send the certified copy of the resolution to the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, Planning Unit, Attention: Karen Mauney-Brodek, 501 Stanyan Street, San Francisco, CA 94117-1898.

The following person may be contacted regarding this matter: Toni Moran, (415) 581-2555.

Philip Al Ginsburg

General Manager, San Francisco Recreation and Park Department

