1	[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Rising Sea LevelsAt Our Doorstep]
2	
3	Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings
4	and recommendations contained in the 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled
5	"Rising Sea LevelsAt Our Doorstep;" and urging the Mayor to cause the
6	implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her
7	department heads and through the development of the annual budget.
8	
9	WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11	Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and
12	WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding of
13	recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14	county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15	and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16	response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17	which it has some decision making authority; and
18	WHEREAS, The 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Rising Sea LevelsAt
19	Our Doorstep" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 140940, which is
20	hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and
21	WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
22	to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 2a, 2b
23	3, 5, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 12a, and 12b contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and
24	WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "The City does not have a citywide comprehensive
25	plan that addresses the rising sea level issue;" and

1	WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "The City's Planning Codehas no provisions
2	addressing the impacts associated with rising sea levels. Without appropriate provisions
3	within the City's Planning Code, there are no effective means to insure sustainable
4	development on land vulnerable to rising sea levels;" and
5	WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's Building
6	Code have no provisions addressing the impacts associated with rising sea levels. Without
7	appropriate provisions within the city's Building Code and the Port's Building Code, there are
8	no effective means to control construction methods that would insure a project's resistance to
9	the impacts of rising sea levels;" and
10	WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "A comprehensive risk assessment of Ocean Beach
11	with mitigation recommendations made to the City regarding rising sea levels, was completed
12	by SPUR, with City, State of California and U.S Corps of Engineers involvement, resulting in
13	the Ocean Beach Master Plan, dated May, 2012;" and
14	WHEREAS, Finding No. 11 states: "The City has not set aside funds for the cost of
15	adaptation to sea level rise;" and
16	WHEREAS, Finding No. 12 states: "Rising sea levels is a regional problem. What one
17	community does to protect its shorelines may have a negative impact on a neighboring
18	community. This has been successfully accomplished by four counties on the east coast of
19	Florida, as an example;" and
20	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1a states: "The City should prepare and adopt a
21	risk assessment in preparation for developing its comprehensive plan regarding the rising sea
22	level issue;" and
23	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1b states: "The City should adopt a citywide
24	comprehensive plan for adaptation to rising sea levels, especially along its shores and its

floodplains. Said plan should include the provision that construction projects' approval should

25

take into account the anticipated lifespan of each project and the risks faced as outlined in said plan. Special consideration should be given to those anticipated to survive for more than 3 years. Said plan should include a provision that the plan be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1c states: "The City should build infrastructure systems that are resilient and adaptable to rising sea levels. That the City, through its planning and building departments, require that any construction project vulnerable to future shoreline or floodplain flooding be designed to be resilient to sea level rise at the 2050 projection, e.g., 16 inches if the construction is not expected to last longer than 2050. For construction intended to last longer than 2050, that the City require that the project be designed to address sea level rise projections for the longer term;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1d states: "That City departments that would necessarily be involved in adaptation to rising sea levels, such as Department of Public Works, Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, the Port, coordinate their projects with each other and with utility companies, such as PG&E, Comcast, and AT&T, to minimize inconvenience to the public, and to businesses, and to further avoid repetition of efforts and inefficient use of funds, labor, and time;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2a states: "The Planning Code should be amended to include maps showing the areas in the City that are most at risk from the impacts of sea level rise. The Planning Code should be amended to prohibit development in said at-risk areas unless there is compliance with the provisions of the City's Building Code and the Port's Building Code (if applicable to the project) outlined in Recommendations 3a and 3b. The Planning Code should include a provision that the amended sections of the Code regarding the impact of rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years;" and

1	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2b states: "The Planning Code should be amended
2	to discourage permanent development in at risk areas where public safety cannot be
3	protected;" and
4	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "The City's Building Code and the Port's
5	Building Code should be amended to include: (1) provisions addressing the impacts
6	associated with sea level rise, especially when combined with storm surges and king tides; (2)
7	construction methods that would ensure a project's resistance to and protection from the
8	impacts of rising sea levels, especially when combined with sudden storm surges and king
9	tides; (3) amendments written to protect the most vulnerable systems, including but not
10	necessarily limited to, electrical, telecommunications, and fire protection systems; (4)
11	provisions relating to rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every five years;" and
12	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 5 states: "The City should consider implementation
13	of recommendations that are most pertinent to the City, as set forth in the Ocean Beach
14	Master Plan of May 2012;" and
15	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11a states: "The City should start a reserve fund for
16	adaptation for rising sea levels, a portion of which could be obtained from a surcharge on
17	development planned for areas vulnerable to said eventuality;" and
18	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11b states: "The City should assess costs of both
19	implementation of adaptation strategies and potential losses from failing to do so;" and
20	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11c states: "The City should explore applying for
21	grants offered by Congress' Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program. Receipt of grants is based upon
22	risk assessments that indicate that potential savings exceed the cost of implementation. The
23	City should explore available matching funds from the Army Corps of Engineers and other
24	federal sources;" and

25

1	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 11d states: "The City should request an insurance	
2	premium estimate from FEMA and then compare that estimate with the funding it could	
3	acquire from FEMA for mitigation and adaptation against future flooding;" and	
4	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12a states: "The City, through its Mayor and Board	
5	of Supervisors, should coordinate its efforts with other cities and organizations in the bay area	
6	by establishing a regional working group to address the impact of rising sea levels;" and	
7	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 12b states: "The City should create a local working	
8	group of community citizens and stakeholders to feed into the regional group;" and	
9	WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of	
10	Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior	
11	Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, and 12, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d,	
12	2a, 2b, 3, 5, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 12a, and 12b contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report	
13	now, therefore, be it	
14	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the	
15	Superior Court that with Finding No. 1 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
16	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
17	Finding No. 2 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
18	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
19	Finding No. 3 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
20	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
21	Finding No. 5 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
22	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
23	Finding No. 11 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
24	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
25	Finding No. 12 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
2	Recommendation No. 1a for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
3	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
4	Recommendation No. 1b for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
5	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
6	Recommendation No. 1c for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
7	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
8	Recommendation No. 1d for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
9	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
10	Recommendation No. 2a for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
11	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
12	Recommendation No. 2b for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
13	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
14	Recommendation No. 3 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
15	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
16	Recommendation No. 5 for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
17	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
18	Recommendation No. 11a for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
19	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
20	Recommendation No. 11b for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
21	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
22	Recommendation No. 11c for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
23	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that	with
24	Recommendation No. 11d for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
25		

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports hat with	
2	Recommendation No. 12a for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
3	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that with	
4	Recommendation No. 12b for reasons as follows:; and, be it	
5	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause to	the
6	implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department	nt
7	heads and through the development of the annual budget.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		