

FILE NO. 260271

Petitions and Communications received from March 5, 2026, through March 12, 2026, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on March 17, 2026.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making (re)appointments to the following bodies. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- Appointment pursuant to Charter, Section 4.111, to the Human Services Commission:
 - o Tina M. Yun - term ending January 15, 2028
- Appointment pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 57.2, to the Film Commission:
 - o Shadi Kaileh - term ending March 19, 2027
- (Re)appointment pursuant to Charter, Section 7.102, to the Juvenile Probation Commission:
 - o Elijah Mercer - term ending January 15, 2030
 - o Allison Magee - term ending January 15, 2030
 - o La Vonda Baldwin - term ending January 15, 2030
 - o Cedrick Jackson - term ending January 15, 2030

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) agenda for the March 12, 2026, ISCOTT meeting. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the City Administrator, pursuant to Administrative Code, Chapter 14B, submitting the Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") Participation Annual Report for July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025 (FY 2024-2025). Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the Controller, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Controller, submitting Fiscal Year 2025-2026 Sheriff's Office Overtime Spending and Projections report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the California Department of Parks and Recreation Office of Historic Preservation, pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c), submitting notification regarding

the nomination of the Raymond Hotel Apartments to the national Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From various organizations, regarding the proposed Ordinance approving the Police Surveillance Technology Policy for electronic location tracking devices and making required findings. File No. 250979. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance repealing the 2022 Code; Adopting 2025 Code]. File No. 251247. 29 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution supporting and recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and encouraging the San Francisco Unified School District to continue to provide high school students the opportunity to participate and ensure the program is preserved as currently constituted. File No. 260223. 13 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From HealthRIGHT 360, regarding the Resolution urging the Governor and State Legislature to protect Medi-Cal Dental funding for California children; and reject proposed cuts and to fully restore funding in the 2026 state budget. File No. 260224 Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From members of the public and various organizations, regarding the Motion calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026 and a Hearing to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and requesting the City Administrator's Office to report. File Nos. 260225, 260147. 12 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution urging the California State Legislature and the Governor of California to allow local communities the right to vote on whether autonomous vehicles can operate in their counties. File No. 251117. 16 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Bridget Bertrand, regarding the Resolution condemning ICE for Loss of Life, Urging Accountability Measures and Corrective Action to Prevent Further Loss of Life and Reaffirming Commitment to Sanctuary City. File No. 260042. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Public Works, Administrative, Planning Codes - Street Trees. File No. 251211. 13 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code - Real Property Transfer Tax Rates and Penalties. File No. 260178. 127 Letters. (15)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - Establishing the Pacific Islander Cultural District. File No. 260179. 2 Letters. (16)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed housing development at 15 Marina Boulevard. 7 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Mark Baker, regarding The Bay Lights 360. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From members of the public, regarding the Balboa Skate Park. 151 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Travis Carpenter, regarding the Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the E-Bike Incentive Fund to support implementation of an electric bicycle (or "e-bike") incentive program administered by the Department of the Environment. File No. 240967. Ordinance No. 268-24. (20)

From Justin Truong, regarding a San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) quick-build project on Sloat Boulevard. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution for Real Property Lease - Double Wood Investment, Inc. - 111 Pine Street - Initial Base Rent \$2,089,208 - Up to \$4,748,200 in Tenant Improvements. File No. 260017. 18 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco budget. 104 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Elizabeth Stahl, regarding ballot measures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Alondra Esquivel Garcia, regarding a Hearing to discuss the conditions for women in custody at local jails, including personal safety, programming, services and resources available; examine the oversight of hiring and assignment process for jail staffing, complaint process for women in custody, and disciplinary policies and procedures for Sheriff's deputies who commit misconduct; and requesting the Sheriff's Department, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Human Rights Commission, Mayor's Office of Victim's Rights, Department of Police Accountability, and Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention to report. File No. 251197. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Alliance for a Better District 6, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code and Health Code - Mechanical Street Sweeping Performance; Refuse Receptacles. File No. 251254. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From James Decker, regarding the Twin Peaks Trails Improvement and Promenade Project. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From various organizations, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - Expanding Drug-Free Permanent Supportive Housing. File No. 251003. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Alliance for A Better District 6, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Health Code - Spaying, Neutering, and Sterilizing of Dogs]. File No. 251162. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Ed Parillon, regarding a Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the de facto denial of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 303 and 317, for a proposed project at 524-526 Vallejo Street and 4-4A San Antonio Place (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0132, Lot No. 009) identified in Planning Case No. 2024-011561CUA, to legalize the merger of three dwelling units on second and third floors into one dwelling unit and to reinstate one dwelling unit on the ground floor within an existing four-unit residential building located within RM-1 (Residential Mixed, Low Density) Zoning District, Telegraph Hill-North Beach Residential SUD (Special Use District), Priority Equity Geographies SUD, and 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 3) (Appellants: Katelin Holloway and Ben Ramirez) (Filed January 5, 2026). File No. 260021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From David Bogachyk, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From Arieann Harrison, regarding homeless services in the Bayview. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33)

From Latrice McNealy, regarding public safety issues. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Julien DeFrance, regarding various subjects. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: March 10, 2026
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From:  Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral (Re)appointments - Human Services Commission, Film Commission, Juvenile Probation Commission, Civil Service Commission

The Office of the Mayor submitted the following (re)appointments pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). These appointments are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days.

Appointment to the Human Services Commission pursuant to Charter, Section 4.111 (30 day deadline expires March 29, 2026):

- Tina M. Yun - term ending January 15, 2028

Appointment to the Film Commission pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 57.2 (30 day deadline expires April 1, 2026)

- Shadi Kaileh - term ending March 19, 2027

(Re)appointment to the Juvenile Probation Commission pursuant to Charter, Section 7.102. (30 day deadline expires April 1, 2026)

- Elijah Mercer - term ending January 15, 2030 (reappointment)
- Allison Magee - term ending January 15, 2030 (reappointment)
- LaVonda Baldwin - term ending January 15, 2030 (appointment)
- Cedrick Jackson - term ending January 15, 2030 (appointment)

Appointment to the Civil Service Commission pursuant to Charter, Section 10.100 (30 day deadline expires April 2, 2026):

- Theresa M. Lee - term ending June 30, 2031

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on Mayoral appointments by timely notifying the Clerk in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment(s) to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment(s) and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you wish to hold a hearing on any of these (re)appointments, please let me know in writing by Wednesday, March 18, 2026.

c: Supervisor Shamann Walton - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Brad Russi - Deputy City Attorney
Adam Thongsavat - Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Andre Adeyemi - Director of Appointments



Notice of Appointment

February 27, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Sections 3.100(18) and 4.111 of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Tina M. Yun to the Human Services Commission for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending January 15, 2028 (formerly held by Darshan Singh – resigned).

I am confident that Tina will serve our community with distinction. Attached are her qualifications, which reflect her strong alignment with the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

All my best,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D. Lurie", written over a horizontal line.

Daniel Lurie
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



DANIEL LURIE
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

February 27th, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18) and Administrative Code Section 57.2, of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby appoint:

Appointment of Shadi Kaileh to the Film Commission for a term ending March 19, 2027, replacing Villy Wang (resigned).

I am confident that he will serve our community with distinction. Attached are his qualifications, which demonstrate how his appointment reflects the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions regarding this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

All my best,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Lurie", with a stylized flourish extending from the end.

Daniel Lurie
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco



Notice of Appointment

March 2, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby appoint:

Reappointment of Elijah Mercer to the Juvenile Probation Commission (Charter Section 7.102) for a term ending January 15, 2030.

Reappointment of Allison Magee to the Juvenile Probation Commission (Charter Section 7.102) for a term ending January 15, 2030.

Appointment of LaVonda Baldwin to the Juvenile Probation Commission (Charter Section 7.102) for a term ending January 15, 2030, replacing Linda Martley-Jordan (term expired).

Appointment of Cedrick Jackson to the Juvenile Probation Commission (Charter Section 7.102) for a term ending January 15, 2030, replacing Timothy Toye Moses (term expired).

I am confident that they will serve our community with distinction. Attached are their qualifications, which demonstrate how their appointment reflects the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions regarding this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

All my best,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D. Lurie", written over a horizontal line.

Daniel Lurie
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 12 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 11:24:00 AM
Attachments: [ISCOTT_1611_Agenda.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the SFMTA, submitting an agenda for the 3/12/26 ISCOTT hearing.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2026 2:10 PM
To: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Subject: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 12 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests

Good morning –

Attached is the agenda for the upcoming ISCOTT hearing on Thursday, March 12.

If you have any questions, please email us.

Nick Chapman
Manager, [ISCOTT](#) and [SFMTA Special Events](#)
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness Ave, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
Pronouns: he/him, they/them

Please consider the environment before using AI to respond to this email



ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of March 12, 2026 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1611th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
[SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing](https://sfmta.com/ISCOTTHearing)

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation

Please dial +1 415-523-2709,,397937701# [Find a local number](#)
Phone conference ID: 397 937 701#
Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 26, 2026. MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A.** Forest Knolls Drive between Oak Park Drive and southern terminus
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 11 am to 5 pm
Block Party – Forest Knolls
- B.** 28th Street between Castro and Diamond streets
Sunday, April 5, 2026, 10 am to 3 pm
Block Party – 28th St
- C.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Saturday, May 2, 2026, 11 am to 5 pm
 and
Saturday, June 20, 2026, 11 am to 5 pm
 and
Saturday, August 15, 2026, 11 am to 5 pm
Sundance in the Castro
- D.** Mariposa Street between Carolina and Arkansas streets
Wednesday, June 3, 2026, 7 am to 3 pm
Live Oak Graduation
- E.** Waverly Place between Sacramento and Clay streets
Sunday, September 20, 2026, 9 am to 5 pm
FCBC Harvest Festival

REGULAR CALENDAR



- F.** Bartlett Street between 21st and 22nd streets
Saturday, May 30, 2026, 9 am to 7 pm
SF Porchfest
- G.** Page Street between Steiner and Pierce streets
Saturday, April 4, 2026, 9 am to 3 pm
Slow Street Party
- H.** Battery Street between Greenwich and Union streets
Saturday, April 25, 2026, 6 am to 4 pm
 and
Sunday, April 26, 2026, 6 am to 4 pm
PurpleStride and Walk MS San Francisco
- I.** Cole Street between Haight and Page streets
Wednesday April 15, 2026, 9 am to 6 pm
Huckleberry 3rd Annual Wellness Block Party
- J.** Fairfax Avenue between Newhall and Mendell streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 7 am to 7 pm
Safer Together's Car Show x Block Party
- K.** Michigan Street between Marin and Cesar Chavez streets
Tuesday, May 5, 2026, 12:01 am to
Thursday, May 7, 2026, 11:59 pm
 and
Monday, May 11, 2026, 12:01 am to
Thursday, May 14, 2026, 11:59 am
 and
Sunday, May 17, 2026, 12:01 am to
Tuesday, May, 19, 2026, 11:59 pm
 and
Monday, June 1, 2026, 12:01 am to
Thursday, June 4, 2026, 11:59 pm
The Midway - Q1/Q2 Corporate Events
- L.** Green Street between Stockton and Powell streets
Saturday, April 18, 2026, 10 am to 10 pm
World Circus Day
- M.** Sacramento Street between Locust and Laurel streets
Saturday, April 18, 2026, 7:30 am to 4:30 pm
Car Show on Sacramento Street



- N.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets
Friday, May 8, 2026, 4 am to 7 pm
48th Annual Penny Pitch
- O.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 6:30 am to 3:30 pm
Alfa Romeo Car Show and Luncheon
- P.** Broadway between Webster and Buchanan streets
Wednesday, June 3, 2026, 5 am to 11:59 pm
Italian National Day
- Q.** Clement Street between 22nd and 25th avenues
(Intersections to remain open)
Saturday, May 16, 2026, 11 am to 9 pm
and
Saturday, June 20, 2026, 11 am to 9 pm
and
Saturday, July 18, 2026, 11 am to 9 pm
and
Saturday, August 15, 2026, 11 am to 9 pm
Heart of the Richmond District Night Market
- R.** Carroll Avenue between Mendell (westerly terminus) and 3rd streets;
Carroll Avenue between 3rd and Keith streets
Friday, April 24, 2026, 9 am to
Saturday, April 25, 2026, 9 pm
46th Black Cuisine Festival
- S.** 20 Norton/Mission Lot between Mission Street and Alemany Boulevard
Saturday, May 2, 2026, 7 am to 7 pm
and
Saturday, June 6, 2026, 7 am to 7 pm
and
Saturday, August 8, 2026, 7 am to 7 pm
Saturday Community Market



- T.** 19th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets
Saturday, April 11, 2026, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez Parade Staging
- 24th Street between Folsom and Bryant streets; Treat Avenue between 23rd and 25th streets; Harrison Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Alabama Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Florida Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Lucky Street between 24th and 25th streets; Balmy Street between 24th and 25th streets
Intersections closed: 24th Street at Lucky, Treat, Balmy, Harrison, Alabama, and Florida streets
Saturday, April 11, 2026, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez & Dolores Huerta Holiday Parade/Festival
- U.** Front Street between California and Sacramento streets; Halleck Alley between Battery and Front streets
Friday, May 1, 2026, 12 pm
Saturday, May 2, 2026, 1:30 am
Cinco De Mayo on Front Street
- V.** O'Farrell Street between Scott and Pierce streets
Tuesday, June 16, 2026, 8 am to 11 pm
Outstanding in the Field in the Fillmore
- W.** Cortland Avenue between Folsom and Bocana Streets; Moultrie Street between Eugenia and Cortland streets; Ellsworth Street between Eugenia and Cortland streets
Intersections closed: Cortland Avenue at Gates, Ellsworth, Anderson, Moultrie, Andover, Wool and Bennington streets
(Local access only on Gates, Ellsworth, Anderson, Moultrie, Andover, Wool and Bennington Streets from Eugenia or Jarboe/Ellis Streets)
Sunday, September 20, 2026, 6 am to 7 pm
Fiesta on the Hill 2026
- X.** 20th Street between Illinois and Georgia streets
Sunday, March 22, 2026, 5 am to 10 pm
and
Tuesday, March 24, 2026, 5 am to 10 pm
Winter '26 Demo Day



- Y.** Vallejo Street between Front and Battery streets
Wednesday, April 15, 2026, 12 pm to 9 pm
and
Friday, October 9, 2026, 12 pm to 9 pm
Grumpy's Block Party 2026
- Z.** Golden Gate Avenue between Larkin and Jones streets
(Intersections of Golden Gate Avenue at Hyde and at Leavenworth streets to remain open.)
Saturday, March 28, 2026, 7 am to 10 pm
EID al-Fitr Tenderloin Street Fair 2026
- AA.** Minna Street (southern travel lane) between 4th and 5th streets; Howard Street (northernmost travel lanes only) between 4th and 5th streets; 4th Street (most westerly travel lane) between Minna and Howard streets;
(at least two travel lanes on 4th Street to remain open at all times)
Thursday, April 9, 2026 10:30 pm to
Friday, April 10, 2026, 4 am
and
Thursday, April 16, 2026, 10:30 pm to
Friday, April 17, 2026, 4 am
Salesforce TDX 2026

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

NONE

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- BB.** Larkin Street between Eddy and O'Farrell streets
 Intersections closed: Larkin at Willow and Olive streets
 Saturday, April 11, 2026, through
 Saturday, December 12, 2026
 8 am to 8 pm, ***second Saturday of each month***
 (except August date will be Saturday, August 1, 2026)
 Tenderloin – Shared Space

- CC.** Harlan Place between Grant Avenue and Mark Lane
 Monday, May 25, 2026, through
 Tuesday, May 25, 2027
 11 am to 11 pm, ***every Sunday and Monday***
 11 am to 1:30 am, ***every Tuesday through Saturday***
 Harlan Records – Shared Space

- DD.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
 Sunday, May 3, 2026, through
 Sunday, May 2, 2027
 11:30 am to 9:30 pm, ***first Sunday of each month***
 ArtyHood – Shared Space

- EE.** Claude Lane between Bush and Sutter streets
 Tuesday, April 7, 2026, through
 Wednesday, April 7, 2027
 10 am to 2 am, ***daily***
 Corgi Café – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT specialevents@sfmta.com. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CMD Participation Report FY 24-25
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:30:49 PM
Attachments: [CMD FY 24-25 Annual LBE Participation Report.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from the Office of the City Administrator, pursuant to Administrative Code, Chapter 14B, submitting the Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") Participation Annual Report for July 1, 2024-June 30, 2025 (FY 24-25).

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Chan, Regina (ADM) <regina.chan@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 4:47 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hayward, Sophie (ADM) <sophie.hayward@sfgov.org>; Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>; Po, Vivian (ADM) <vivian.po@sfgov.org>; Liu, Vivian (ADM) <vivian.y.liu@sfgov.org>; Tang, Stephanie (ADM) <Stephanie.Tang@sfgov.org>; Chok, Liam (ADM) <Liam.Chok@sfgov.org>

Subject: CMD Participation Report FY 24-25

Dear Madam Clerk of the Board and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Chapter 14B of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Contract Monitoring Division submits the Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") Participation Annual Report for July 1, 2024-June 30, 2025 (FY 24-25).

Please let me know if you require additional information or if there are any questions. I can be reached at Regina.Chan@sfgov.org or 415-554-0651.

Sincerely,

Regina Chan
Director, Contract Monitoring Division

City & County of San Francisco
Daniel Lurie, Mayor



Office of the City Administrator
Carmen Chu, City Administrator
Contract Monitoring Division
Regina Chan, Director

March 10, 2026

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Madam Clerk of the Board and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Contract Monitoring Division of the Office of the City Administrator ("CMD"), I am pleased to submit the Annual Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") Participation Report for July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025, Fiscal Year 2024-2025.

During FY 24-25, the City paid approximately \$558 million to LBEs serving as prime contractors, joint venture constituents, or subcontractors. This represents 18% of total dollars paid on Chapter 14B eligible contracts and reflects the City's continued commitment to the local economy through inclusion of local businesses.

CMD advanced several operational initiatives to strengthen program outcomes. Through the Contractor Accelerated Payment Program ("CAPP"), we expanded access to working capital for LBEs. CMD also reinstated construction site visits to reinforce compliance, strengthen oversight, and support equitable utilization of LBEs across active projects.

Internally, CMD launched the 14B intake system in ServiceNow, centralizing communication and documentation and significantly reducing administrative burden for City departments and CMD staff. This investment in modernizing our process supports more responsive service delivery and improves the quality and consistency of program implementation.

These accomplishments demonstrate CMD's ongoing efforts to remove barriers, streamline processes, and increase meaningful opportunities for local businesses. We remain committed to building on this progress. Thank you for your continued leadership and support.

Respectfully,

Regina Chan

Regina Chan

Director
Contract Monitoring Division



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR

FY 2024-2025

ANNUAL LBE PARTICIPATION REPORT



PREPARED BY

Contract Monitoring Division
Office of the City Administrator
City and County of San Francisco

MESSAGE FROM THE CONTRACT MONITORING DIVISION (CMD)

The Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) advances economic justice through the Local Business Enterprise (LBE) program and non-discrimination enforcement.

CMD respectfully submits this report to the Board of Supervisors, satisfying the reporting requirements of Chapter 14B of the San Francisco Administrative Code and summarizing CMD's outcomes for July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025 (FY 2024-2025).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1: Introduction

About CMD & Chapter 14B	5
CMD Through The Years	6

Section 2: Overview

Report Highlights	8
Key Projects: FY 2024-2025	9
The LBE Community	10

Section 3: LBE Participation in City Contracting

Prime-Level LBE Participation	13
LBE Participation Across the Project Team	15
LBE Participation on Construction and Construction-Related Professional Services Contracts	17
Micro LBE Set-Aside Data and Analysis	19
MOCHD Small Business Inclusion Program	20

Section 4: Appendices

Appendix 1: Glossary of Terms	22
Appendix 2: Department Narratives	25
Appendix 3: Data Sources and Decisions	36

List of Figures

Figure 1: San Francisco LBEs by Zip Code	11
Figure 2: LBEs by Primary Work Category & MBE/WBE/OBE Designation	12
Figure 3: Number of Prime Contracts Awarded by LBE Status & Size Certification	14
Figure 4: Contracts by Prime Award Amount and Size	14
Figure 5: Citywide LBE Awards by Size	15
Figure 6: Citywide Awards by Supplier Type and LBE Status	16
Figure 7: LBE Awards by Zip Code	16
Figure 8: LBE Awards per LBE by Zip Code	16
Figure 9: LBE Awards by Department	17
Figure 10: LBE Payments as % of Total by Department	18

List of Tables

Table 1: LBEs by Economic Threshold Size	10
Table 2: LBEs By Status: MBE, WBE, OBE	10
Table 3: LBEs by Type of Certification	11
Table 4: LBEs by Work Category	12
Table 5: Chapter 6 Awards to LBEs by Work Cert Category	18
Table 6: Micro Set-Asides by Chapter 6 Depts	19

ABOUT CMD & CHAPTER 14B

BACKGROUND

The Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) of the Office of the City Administrator oversees the City's contracting process with a lens to advance economic justice through the Local Business Enterprise (LBE) program and non-discrimination enforcement. The program is authorized in the San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 14B.

The LBE program seeks to support local businesses in government contracting. Local businesses contribute to the financial health of the San Francisco economy and form the backbone of neighborhoods. San Francisco's local businesses inject hundreds of millions of dollars annually into the city's economy through wages, rent, purchasing, and taxes.

LBE CERTIFICATION

LBE certification gives local businesses the ability to certify their status as a local business. On contracts subject to Chapter 14B, only certified LBEs may compete for set-aside contracts or receive bid discounts and rating bonuses when bidding as a prime contractors. Additionally, only work performed by certified LBEs may count towards LBE subcontracting requirements.

LBE CONTRACT MONITORING


CMD enforces Chapter 14B's requirement that LBEs receive bid discounts or rating bonuses when bidding as prime contractors. CMD also monitors ongoing contracts to ensure compliance with LBE subcontracting requirements.


CMD also supports the LBE community through outreach and the Mentor-Protégé program, the Bonding and Financial Assistance Program, and the Contractor Accelerated Payment Program.



CMD

Through The Years





REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

*Fiscal Year 2024-2025:
July 1st, 2024 – June 30th, 2025*

\$466
million

awarded to Local Business Enterprises (LBEs)
as either primes or subcontractors (20% of
total)

\$558
million

paid to LBEs as prime contractors, joint
venture constituents, or subcontractors (18%
of total)

115
contracts

awarded to LBEs as primes (24% of total)

83
contracts

awarded to Micro LBE primes (17% of total)

983
LBEs

certified by CMD – including 863 as Micro
LBEs and 603 as woman- or minority-owned
businesses

\$21.2
billion

worth of direct city contracts monitored by
CMD on 2,050 contracts

The dollar amounts and contract counts referenced on this page reflect only 14B-eligible contracts.



KEY PROJECTS: FY 2024-2025

01

Elevating Oversight through On the Ground Site Visits

Revitalized on-site visits as a cornerstone compliance practice, bringing oversight and engagement directly to construction sites to ensure public funds directly benefit the LBEs they are intended to support. This renewed field presence reinforces accountability, transparency, and equitable participation on City projects.

02

Strengthened the Contractor Accelerated Payment Program

Secured reauthorization of the Contractor Accelerated Payment Program through 2033 and added \$500,000, expanding capital access for LBEs.

03

Launched a Centralized Digital Platform for LBE Subcontracting Requirements

A single, streamlined intake system now unifies project data across departments, captures all LBE subcontracting requirements, and centralizes communication and documentation. This unified platform improves consistency, accelerates review timelines, and enhances compliance oversight.

THE LBE COMMUNITY



LBEs may be certified in three different size categories (Micro, Small, and SBA) and receive one size certification for each category of work for which they are certified based on their five-year average gross receipts.

However, because Chapter 14B creates maximum gross receipt thresholds for each category of work for the purposes of designating LBEs as Micro, Small, or SBA, LBEs may receive more than one size certification if they are certified in more than one category of work.

LBE certification criteria and requirements are outlined in the San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 14B.3. This section contains statistics on the LBE community as of June 30th, 2025.

Table 1: LBEs By Economic Threshold Size²

Micro	863
Small	100
SBA	20
Grand Total	983

Table 2: LBEs By Status: MBE, WBE, OBE³

MBE	373	38%
WBE	230	23%
OBE	380	39%
Grand Total	983	


[2] Table 1 counts all firms with more than one distinct size designation in the smallest size designation for which they are certified.

[3] MBE and WBE designations are optional and are based on the majority business owners' self-identification. LBEs must select a single designation and cannot be designated as both an MBE and WBE.

Table 3: LBEs By Type of Certification

LBE	919	93%
NPE-LBE	13	1%
PUC-LBE	51	5%
Grand Total	983	

Figure 1: San Francisco LBEs per Zip Code



The geographic distribution of San Francisco LBEs across the city varied by Zip Code.

Figure 2: LBEs by Primary Work Category Certification and MBE/WBE/OBE Designation

The chart below illustrates how the concentration of MBEs, WBEs, and OBEs are concentrated differently across the various industries in which CMD certifies LBEs. As an example, see below the high concentration of MBE firms in the general service provider category:




Table 4: LBEs By Work Category

	#	% of all LBEs
Class A and B General Contractors	188	19%
Specialty Construction Contractors	152	15%
Architects and Engineers	224	23%
Trucking and Hauling	40	4%
Professional Services	220	22%
General Service Providers	113	11%
Goods, Materials, and Equipment Suppliers	46	5%
Grand Total	983	

LBE PARTICIPATION IN CITY CONTRACTING

Prime-Level LBE Participation



CMD participates in the competitive bidding process to ensure LBEs can participate in the award of contracts at the prime and subcontractor levels.

This section outlines the success of LBEs at winning **prime** contracts awarded in the reporting period that were subject to the LBE program. This includes construction, commodity, professional services, and general services contracts.

Prime Awards by Number of Contracts⁵



24%

of total contracts were awarded to LBE Primes (115 of 480 total contracts subject to Chapter 14B citywide)



17%

of total contracts were awarded to Micro LBE Primes (83 of 480 total 14B subject-contracts)

[5] For this report, a contract's "award date" is considered to be the contract term start date for the prime contract. CMD monitors this information through the CMD Attachments 1-7 and the Citywide Financial and Procurement system.

Figure 3: Number of Prime Contracts Awarded by LBE Status & Size Certification





Figure 4: Number of Contracts by Prime Award Amount and Prime Contractor LBE Size



LBE Participation Across the Project Team

This section outlines the dollar value of contracts subject to 14B that were both awarded and paid out during the reporting period.

Unless otherwise specified, all figures include contract value at the prime, joint venture constituent, and subcontractor level.

This data includes construction, commodity, professional services, and general services contracts.

20%

of total **awarded**
contract value citywide
subject to 14B was
awarded to LBEs

\$466.1M

of \$2.4 Billion

18%

of total **paid** contract
value citywide subject
to 14B was paid to
LBEs

\$558.1M

of \$3.2 Billion

Figure 5: Citywide LBE Awards by LBE Size





Figure 6: Citywide Awards by Supplier Type and LBE Status



LBE Award Totals by Zip Code

Figure 7: LBE Awards by Zip Code





Figure 8: LBE Awards Per LBE in each Zip Code



LBE Participation on Construction and Construction-Related Professional Services Contracts



Chapter 14B.15 requires that CMD report on the bidding environment in the various industries in which the city procures goods and services.

This section describes data on LBE awards and payments on construction and construction-related professional services contracts, those procured under Chapter 6, by department and LBE Work Certification Category.

Figure 9: LBE Awards by Department




Figure 10: LBE Payments as a % of Total Payments by Department ⁶




Table 5: Chapter 6 Awards to LBEs by Work Certification Category

	<i>Award Total</i>	<i>% of Total</i>
Class A and B General Contractors	\$282,724,717	69%
Specialty Construction Contractors	\$23,880,813	6%
Architects and Engineers	\$61,336,498	15%
Trucking and Hauling	\$6,252,687	2%
Professional Services	\$35,517,036	9%
General Service Providers	\$184,000	<1%
Goods, Materials, and Equipment Suppliers	\$841,675	<1%
Grand Total	\$410,737,426	

[6] The data from this section comes from validated payments in the City-wide Financials and Procurement System. This system went online in 2017 and CMD and the Controller Systems team have collaborated on stabilizing functionality to meet CMD needs. At this time, adoption of the FSP system for CMD purposes is still in process. For historical contracts areas such as joint venture data may not be accurate.

Micro LBE Set-Aside

DATA AND ANALYSIS

Micro LBEs are the smallest LBEs by economic threshold. In support of these local businesses, the CMD program includes provisions to drive Micro LBE inclusion. Chapter 14B states that departments should set-aside contracts where LBEs are the only eligible bidders. The departments should reserve 50% of eligible construction and public works contracts and 25% of service and commodity contracts, where eligible contracts are those where the total value is less than or equal to the minimum competitive amount (for service and commodity contracts) or the threshold amount (for construction contracts).⁷

Table 6: Micro Set-Asides Made and Required by Chapter 6 Departments

	<i>Set-Asides Awarded</i>	<i>Set-Asides Required</i>
Recreation & Parks	12	15
Public Works	10	1
Public Utilities Commission	3	0
Port	1	0
Airport	5	2
Chap. 6 Dept Total	31	18

[7] Eligible service and commodity contracts must be less than or equal to the minimum competitive amount (currently \$230,000) and eligible construction contracts must have a contract service order value less than or equal to the threshold amount (currently \$1,170,000).

MOHCD Small Business Inclusion Program

DATA AND ANALYSIS

Because of the high levels of financial capital involved in housing, community facilities, and infrastructure development projects, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) recognizes that the many projects it invests in are not just about erecting or renovating physical buildings – they are also a pathway to building community wealth through contracting with small businesses.

As part of their funding agreements with MOHCD, Project Sponsors, Grantees, and Prime Contractors must work with CMD to design their procurements in order to maximize LBE inclusion. This includes using strategies like intentional outreach to LBEs, bid discounts/rating bonuses, LBE subcontracting goals, and unbundling contracts to right-size project opportunities for LBEs.

CMD is the primary City entity responsible for the ongoing administration and monitoring of the Program.



\$61M

*of total **active project value** assigned to Micro LBEs (5% of total)*



\$111.8M

*of total **active project value** assigned to all LBEs (13% of total)*

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1

Glossary of Terms

Term		Definition
14B Waiver	Departments may request waivers, subject to CMD's review and approval, of their bid discount/rating bonus and subcontracting participation requirements under 14B. Waivers may be approved for contracts with 1) a lack of subcontracting opportunities or 2) insufficient LBEs to perform the given scope of work.	
Contracting Methods Other Than Low Bid	As-Needed Contract	Agreement for services without a pre-defined scope of work done on a contract service order basis.
	Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC) Contract	A contract bid out to a construction manager/general contractor who then bids out trade packages to pre-qualified subcontractors.
	Design-Build Contract	A contract whereby a single entity, known as the "Design-Builder," is retained to provide both professional design services and general contractor services for a public works project.
	Job-Order Contract (JOC)	An indefinite quantity contract with a predefined set of bid items that are assigned on a periodic or task order basis for the performance of maintenance, repair, and smaller construction projects.
Bid Discount	A downward adjustment in bid price authorized by Section 14B.7 used solely in the context of bid price comparisons.	
Chapter 6 Contract	A contract for construction services or construction-related professional services, procured under Chapter 6 of the San Francisco Administrative Code	
Chapter 21 Contract	A contract for services or commodities, procured under Chapter 21 of the San Francisco Administrative Code	
Citywide Financial and Procurement System (FSP)	The City and County of San Francisco's city-wide electronic system of record for procurements and payments. Also referred as "PeopleSoft."	
Commodity Contract	An contract to purchase products, including materials, equipment, and supplies, procured under Chapter 21 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.	
General Engineering (A) and Building (B) Contractors	A general engineering contractor is a contractor who builds fixed works requiring specialized engineering knowledge and skill. A general building contractor is a contractor who builds structures for the support, shelter, or enclosure of people, animals, or movable property of any kind, requiring in its construction the use of at least two unrelated building trades or crafts.	

General Services Contract	An agreement for those services that are not professional services. Examples of "general services" include, but are not limited to, janitorial, security guard, pest control, and landscaping services.
Joint Venture	An association of two or more professional services or architect/engineering businesses in which each Joint Venture partner combines property, capital, efforts, skill, or knowledge and shares in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture. A rating bonus may be applied if at least one partner is an LBE.
LBE Certification Category	Commercially useful functions eligible for LBE certification. Certification categories are grouped according to the type of services firms supply to the city: General Contractors, Specialty Construction Contractors, Truckers and Haulers, Goods Materials and Equipment Suppliers, General Service Providers, Architects/Engineers, and Professional Service Providers. Firms seeking LBE certification must submit proof of applicable professional licenses and/or training, education, and work experience for the function for which they are seeking certification.
LBE Size	CMD certifies businesses as (in increasing size order) Micro-, Small-, or SBA-LBEs. Firms must fall below the revenue threshold established in 14B.3(B) in the certification category for which they are seeking certification to qualify for certification in a given size category.
Mentor-Protégé Program (MPP)	A program to foster partnerships between established, successful contractors and emerging LBEs to provide training, networking, and mentoring opportunities with the goal to improve LBE MPP participants' ability to compete effectively for City contracts. Mentor firms are exempt from good faith outreach requirements.
MBE	Minority Business Enterprise: defined under Chapter 14B as a business certified as an LBE and owned and controlled by a member of one or more of the following ethnic groups: African Americans, Arab Americans, Asian Americans, Iranian Americans, Latino Americans, and Native Americans.
NPE-LBE	An LBE registered as a nonprofit public benefit corporations under California law and a 501(c)(3) organization under the Internal Revenue Code.
OBE	Other Business Enterprise: an LBE that is neither an MBE nor a WBE or cannot demonstrate that it is owned and controlled by one or more women or members of an ethnic group that would qualify that business for MBE status.
Prime Award Amount	The total bid amount made by a prime contractor on a winning bid or proposal, inclusive of all portions of the contract the prime contractor intends to subcontract to other firms.
PUC-LBE	Small businesses located within the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Hetch Hetchy water system service area but outside of the jurisdictional boundaries of San Francisco that may enjoy all the benefits of LBEs on PUC regional contracts only. Additional restrictions on benefits afforded to PUC-LBEs are defined in Chapter 14B.5.
Rating Bonus	An upwards adjustment to a bidder's score authorized by Section 14B.7.
WBE	Women-owned Business Enterprise: an LBE owned and controlled by one or more women.

APPENDIX 2

Departmental Narratives



The SFPUC is committed to maximizing LBE participation on our capital projects. We deploy multiple strategies to achieve this, including contractor outreach and engagement, providing technical support services and trainings at our Contractors Assistance Center as well as novel contracting strategies to maximize LBE participation. Below, we provide a summary of some of the major categories and initiatives we undertake to maximize LBE participation as well as examples of specific strategies we have delivered that illustrate our commitment to LBE utilization.

Contractor Outreach and Engagement

SFPUC conducts and delivers many workshops, convenes large gatherings of contractors, and develops strategic outreach programs to inform LBEs of upcoming work and to connect LBEs with prime contractors who bid SFPUC capital projects.

Project Outreach: SFPUC undertakes an extensive and robust contractor outreach and engagement strategy to make sure LBEs are aware of SFPUC's upcoming contracts. Staff across divisions and enterprises collaborate to ensure that targeted outreach to LBEs and larger contractors occurs that is specific to each contract. Furthermore, staff conducts post-bid analyses of failed bids to identify and better understand the barriers that contractors face when bidding SFPUC contracts, with specific attention and analyses to identifying challenges faced by LBEs.

Annual Contractors Breakfast: For almost two decades, SFPUC has provided an annual free contractors' breakfast to bring together local contractors, SFPUC's executive management, project managers, construction managers, and prime contractors. The Contractors Breakfast provides an overview of SFPUC's upcoming work, identifies specific opportunities for LBEs to participate in, and facilitates coordination between LBEs and prime contractors.

Regional SFPUC LBE Program: SFPUC's Regional LBE Program provides eligible construction and construction-related firms located

Daniel Lurie
Mayor

Joshua Arce
President

Stephen E. Leveroni
Vice President

Avni Jamdar
Commissioner

Kate H. Stacy
Commissioner

Meghan Thurlow
Commissioner

Dennis J. Herrera
General Manager



within SFPUC's water service territory (from Daly City to Hetch Hetchy), with opportunities to be a certified LBE and compete for contract opportunities on SFPUC projects outside of San Francisco. SFPUC staff deliver workshops, outreach events, and dedicated contractor engagement throughout our water service territory to encourage and support SFPUC-LBEs to pursue contracts. There are currently 50 firms certified as SFPUC-LBEs.

Contractors Assistance Center

For over a decade, the SFPUC's Contractors Assistance Center (Center), located in Bayview Hunters Point has supported the LBE community to access, compete for, and participate on SFPUC's contract opportunities. All the Center's services and resources are available at no cost to our contracting community.

Center's Services: The Center provides LBEs with the tools and support needed to compete for City-funded contracts. The Center offers technical assistance, classroom trainings, and one-on-one counseling. The services and support provided by the Center are tailored to the specific needs of the small business owner and project, and in accordance with the City's contract requirements. Additionally, the Center provides access to plans and specifications for City projects, networking events, computer workstations, and a meeting space for our local contractors. By learning generally applicable skills and utilizing the Center's free resources, LBEs can better compete for projects at the SFPUC and navigate the City's contracting requirements, while also building skills that can be utilized on projects throughout the City and beyond.

Business Trainings: The Center created a 12-week 'Getting Down to Business Program' that was designed to provide local, small construction businesses with technical training to ensure access to contracting opportunities, create strategies to compete for contracts, and support program participants to perform business operations more effectively. The Center built the program to provide the training participants first-hand experiences and feedback, as well as one-on-one mentorship. The program completed its fifth cohort at the end of 2024 and plans to seek participants for its sixth cohort in the first half 2026.

Novel Contracting Strategies: SFPUC is committed to supporting small contractors in public contracting. To that end, the SFPUC has pursued specific funding that compliments existing small business contracting requirements. Two of those funding sources are the Federal Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act, and the Clean Water State Revolving Funds or the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds. The SFPUC has utilized these funding sources on approximately 24 contracts including

some of the largest active contracts at the agency. The SFPUC has worked strategically to include federal and state contracting requirements in a coordinated way to establish clear subcontracting conditions to support small businesses.

Project Specific Efforts on Collaborative Delivery Contracts: As the SFPUC expands its use of collaborative delivery strategies for its construction contracts, the opportunity exists to evolve the way that it asks prime contractors to think about their engagement with LBEs over the course of a project's procurement. As a result, the SFPUC has instituted contractual requirements that ask prime contractors to: (1) state the size, scale and timeline of the work on the project; then (2) assess the capacity and availability of LBEs eligible to perform that work; (3) perform an analysis of the work and LBEs' capacity and availability to determine where there is opportunity or misalignment; and, finally, (4) develop a plan to resolve any misalignment, that might exist, and encourage maximum LBE utilization on the project.

Results on Wastewater Enterprise Capital Improvement Program

Wastewater Enterprise Capital Improvement Plan (WWE-CIP) is currently SFPUC's largest capital program. To quantify some of the positive impacts of the above strategies and initiatives on LBEs working on WWE-CIP alone, SFPUC has awarded 321 LBEs a combined 1,014 contracts as both prime and subcontractors on professional service and construction contracts. Through June 30, 2025, those LBE contractors have earned more than \$760 million.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC WORKS

CMD LBE Annual Report

2024-2025

San Francisco Public Works (“Public Works”) is one of the largest and most complex municipal operations in the City. Founded on January 8, 1900, Public Works has a workforce of nearly 1,800 and a \$428.5 million annual operating budget. The department’s active capital project portfolio exceeds \$3 billion. As one of San Francisco’s oldest City departments, it is also one of the most forward-thinking.



As a 24/7 operation with a diverse set of responsibilities, Public Works touches every neighborhood in San Francisco. The staff designs and manages the construction of civic buildings and streets, cleans and greens the right of way, maintains civic buildings, provides career training, clears the rights-of-way free from hazards, paves the streets, repairs bridges and public stairways, expands accessibility and works at the forefront addressing some of San Francisco's biggest challenges, including homelessness. The department also plays an instrumental role in advancing the City's post-pandemic economic recovery by working to ensure clean, safe and welcoming neighborhoods, including downtown.

The department is divided into five divisions – Support Services, Project Design and Development; Project Delivery; Financial Management and Administration; and Operations. In addition, the Director's Office includes Policy and Communications; Emergency Management; Housing Coordination; and Public Records. The Public Works director reports to the mayor.

Public Works relies on a large roster of partnerships to help deliver programs and projects. Nonprofits, contractors, sister government agencies, community organizations and volunteers encompass Public Works' main cohorts. We seek to have racial equity tenets be the grounding in all our work. The core values of safety, respect, responsiveness, teamwork and integrity strengthen the department's foundation.

Public Works consistently encourages qualified LBEs to participate in contracts, helping to exceed LBE participation requirements. An example of this is street improvement projects, which comprise a significant percentage of Public Works projects. Street Improvement projects start with a benchmark LBE subcontracting requirement of 25%.

To encourage LBE participation, Public Works often advertises on San Francisco City Partner website. Public Works also sends advertising notifications for construction & professional services projects to Minority Business Development Agencies, Builders' Exchanges, and plan rooms.

Public Works, in conjunction with the City's Contract Monitoring Division, works to ensure accurate advertising and procurement in accordance with updates to Chapter 14B, such as the Mentor-Protégé program, additional neighborhood discounts and a pilot LBE discount program. The main goal is to advance viability and success for LBEs, while maintaining balanced competition.

Public Works also has a large portfolio of upcoming projects that include LBE bid discounts and LBE subcontracting requirements. Please check out www.sfpublishworks.org for opportunities currently posted.

Some notable projects during Fiscal Year 24-25 include:

Various Locations Pavement Renovation No. 69 & Sewer Replacement: Awarded May 2025 LBE Participation Requirement 25%



Bill Graham Civic Auditorium Phase 2 Roofing: Awarded April 2025 LBE Participation Requirement 20%



ZSFG Building 5 Inpatient Adolescent Behavioral Health Services - Early Demo: Awarded January 2025 LBE Participation Requirement 20%



Construction Manager/General Contractor Services for San Francisco Fire Department Division of Training Project: Awarded March 2025 LBE Participation Requirement Micro-LBE 10% and Small LBE 10%





MEMORANDUM

February 2, 2026

SUBJECT: Report on the Port of San Francisco's Achievements regarding the LBE Program and Key Strategies FY 24-25

Dear Ms. Chan,

The Port of San Francisco ("Port") is pleased to submit to the Contract Monitoring Division ("CMD") our metrics for success in awarding contracts to LBE contractors by leveling the playing field and removing barriers to success.

The Port is proud to have awarded 3 out of 10 (30%) to an LBE prime contractor, or a joint venture comprised of at least one LBE. This exceeds the Port Commission's informal policy to award a minimum of 20% of contracts to LBE primes.

Included in this 10 are agreements where LBE participation is extremely limited or do not exist such as hazardous materials abatement over water, banking services, and over water construction work.

For the first time, the Port invited hundreds of LBE suppliers to our Contractor's Open House and hosted a panel dedicated to selling commodities to the Port. We invited LBEs to find out more about how to sell to the Port and benefits available to LBE suppliers.

The Port utilized pre-qualified pools to award contracts directly to LBE contractors, in addition to breaking up contracts to increase the likelihood of an LBE Prime awardee.

The Port continues to seek out opportunities for LBEs and works closely with CMD to identify avenues for LBE participation on Port projects.

Regards,

Alysabeth Alexander-Tut (she/her/hers)
Contracts and Procurement Manager
Port of San Francisco



The Recreation and Park Department (RPD) manages over 225 sites and facilities including parks, recreation centers, pools, golf courses and major tourist destinations including the Golden Gate Park and the SF Marina. We also operate a robust recreation program for both children and adults. Department staffing ranges up to 1,000 employees during peak summer season.

The Recreation and Park Department has two pathways for contracting. The large recreation and park renovation capital projects (both design and construction) are administered and managed through Public Works. Operations and Maintenance related projects are administered and managed through the RPD Purchasing and Contracts Division.

RPD Project Breakdown

Construction-Related Work

During FY24-25-H2 RPD awarded

- (12) Micro-Set-Aside Solicitations and Contracts were awarded.

Project highlights included tree removal contracts, children's playground renovations, paving, fencing and security camera installation.

Cooperative Purchasing

RPD also issued (3) Cooperative Purchasing Construction Contracts. Although these contracts were not awarded to LBEs directly, they allowed for subcontracting opportunities on the task orders and related projects.

1. Synthetic Turf Cooperative – Fieldturf - Kimbell Playground
CMD Subcontractor - Minerva Construction – 37% Requirement
2. Playground Equipment Cooperative – Kompan – Various Sites
CMD Subcontractor – Treaty Construction – 20% Requirement
3. Pre-Fabricated Restroom Cooperative – Public Restroom Company - Precita
CMD Micro-Set Aside for Site Improvements

Future Opportunities

The Recreation and Park Contracting Division along with the Operations Project Management team is committed to utilizing the Micro-Set Aside program as much as possible for our Operations Divisions programs. Projects include park maintenance and pathways, court resurfacing and renovation, park site furniture installation, tree maintenance (pruning and hazard abatement and restroom and small building remodels. We have established a solid working relationship with the SF LBE community, and always look to strengthen our partnership.



The San Francisco International Airport (SFO or Airport) continues to serve as a vital gateway for millions of international and domestic travelers, connecting passengers to the vibrant and diverse San Francisco Bay Area. This year marks a period of strong growth and transformation as SFO advances its strategic direction and experiences rising passenger volumes, further strengthening its role as a key regional hub.

SFO's work is grounded in the belief that strong relationships are essential to sustained success. Our mission prioritizes people and the planet, supported by a systems approach and inclusive practices to airport operations. The Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) plays a crucial role in helping achieve this strategic vision by expanding participation of local businesses, including micro and small enterprises, with its Local Business Enterprise (LBE) Program. Our collaboration with City partners is guided by the core values of teamwork, equity, and excellence.

Aligned with SFO's five-year strategic plan, the airport remains dedicated to increasing participation from historically underrepresented businesses in airport projects. SFO formally signed the Equity in Infrastructure Project (EIP) Pledge on January 13, 2025, demonstrating the Airport's steadfast commitment to advancing equity in infrastructure contracting. The EIP is a national initiative focused on increasing opportunities for Historically Underutilized Businesses to participate as prime contractors, joint-venture partners, and equity partners. This pledge was significant as it reinforced SFO's commitment to its strategic plan, which aims to expand opportunities for underrepresented firms and foster sustainable economic advancement within the communities it serves.

In recognition of these efforts, SFO received the Associated General Contractors (AGC) of California Award in 2025, honoring the airport's leadership in fostering innovation, transparency, and strong partnerships. Through initiatives such as Delivering Exceptional Projects, SFO supports contractors and local business partners in delivering high-quality work while advancing sustainability and accountability.

SFO also earned national recognition from Airports Council International–North America (ACI-NA), receiving the 2025 Large Hub Inclusion Champion Award for outstanding engagement with small and disadvantaged businesses. This distinction underscores SFO's continued leadership in promoting equity in procurement and expanding access to contracting opportunities across all airport divisions.

A key example of this commitment is SFO's pop-up concession program. Launched in 2014, the program reduces start-up barriers for small businesses by offering move-in-ready retail spaces. Thirteen small businesses have participated since its inception, and several businesses, including four in 2024, have successfully transitioned into long-term leases. Current pop-up tenants include two small, local San Francisco businesses that have benefitted from increased visibility at the airport. The success of the pop-ups demonstrates how the program supports local makers and expands economic opportunities.

SFO's 2025 Resource Fair 2.0 also further advanced the Airport's commitment to increased participation. Held on April 16 at the San Mateo County Event Center, the fair connected 600 attendees with 89 exhibitors providing information on contracting opportunities, business certifications, job openings, and workforce resources. The event provided access to information and economic resources across the region.

Additionally, in 2025, SFO focused on delivering contract-readiness trainings, for example, "How to Do Business at the Airport: A Virtual Workshop for Veteran-Owned Businesses" and "Opening Up Shop at SFO: Demystifying the Pathway to Small Business Success," to prepare small firms for concession and construction opportunities. These sessions brought together federal and local experts to offer guidance on financing, technical assistance, and navigating SFO's procurement, complemented by insights from entrepreneurs who succeeded through SFO's pop-up and small business programs.

The Airport plans to continue its outreach and technical support to local and small businesses while expanding participation through its 10-year, \$11 billion Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). The CIP consists of two major programs: The Ascent Program – Phase 1.5, a fixed \$8 billion program, which includes all projects approved in the CIP. As of June 2025, LBE firms earned \$456 million—16% of the \$2.2 billion spent to date. The Infrastructure Projects Plan (IPP) is a flexible, biannually updated \$3 billion program designed to address emerging needs. As of June 2025, LBE firms earned \$33 million—38% of the \$85 million spent under the IPP. Together, these efforts demonstrate the Airport's ongoing commitment to expanding access, increasing participation, and supporting the growth of local and small businesses.

Some noteworthy project accomplishments for this fiscal year include:

The Terminal 3 West Modernization Project

continues with design and construction. Production pile installation for the West Addition structural foundation was completed in June 2025. Pile cap placement continues, and structural steel erection commenced. Phase 1 curbside demolition at the exterior of F Plaza to enable the construction of the new pedestrian covered walkway was completed in June 2025. Soft demolition of the F3 Checkpoint and construction of the full height weather wall commenced in June 2025. Reevaluation of the project schedule is in progress, and alignment is expected at the end of July 2025.



The Courtyard 3 Connector Project

continues with construction. Construction activities continue at all levels of the Office Tower. All Level 1 equipment has been delivered, and terminations and cutovers are in progress. Level 2 fireproofing and fire alarm infrastructure installation is in progress. Level 3 server room is nearly complete and will be energized in July 2025. Level 4 ceiling installation in the Airport Integrated Operations Center (AIOC) is complete. AIOC desks have been installed, and cable installation is complete on the AIOC floor, with ongoing work in 911Dispatch and Security Operations. Levels 5/6 door commissioning and final paint are ongoing. Migration plans for AIOC, 911 Call Center and the Security Operations Center have been reviewed and accepted by stakeholders.

The International Terminal Building Phase 2 Project continues with Arrivals construction. The G-Side Meet and Greet automated exit lanes opened for public use on June 10, 2025. A construction wall has been installed in order to begin construction of the A-Side Meet and Greet automated exit lanes. Baggage Claim 12 construction is ongoing, and completion is anticipated in August 2025. Phased demolition of the Customs and Border Protection Offices on the Arrivals Level commenced in June 2025 to prepare for full renovation.



APPENDIX 3

Data Sources and Decisions

Data Sources

Awards: Financials and Procurement (FSP) Reports and Analytics, Contracts dashboard, Contracts by Project Team report

Payments: FSP Reports and Analytics, LBEs – 14B Contract List dashboard, Payment Affidavit Tracking report type

Waivers: ServiceNow CMD14B Post-Solicitation Waivers

Micro LBE Set-Aside Data: Required set-asides calculated from awards data; awarded set-asides sourced manually via departmental interviews

Data Processing Decisions

CMD removed DBE and SBE contracts as well as contracts with 14B waivers from award and payment datasets.

Grant and non-purchasing contracts are exempt from 14B and were therefore removed as well.

CMD considers contracts with the following purchasing authority descriptions (as listed in FSP) to have been subject to 14B:

- Admin Code 21.04(A)(6)-Direct Purchase by General Manager Of PUC
- Airport Commission Authority - Document Bid
- Airport Commission Authority - Document Not Bid
- Amer Recovery Reinvest Act 2009 - Document Bid
- Awarded Contractor Failure To Deliver; Temporarily Purchase From Any Source
- Books Magazines Pamphlets And Periodicals
- California Secretary Of State Election Code Section 13001(A)
- Competitive Solicitation
- Construction Services
- CPUC Tariff Rule 20B – Undergrounding
- Contracting With Developers To Install Electric Facilities At New Developments
- Direct Departmental Purchase Of Individual Contract Or Classes Of Contract As Approved By The Purchaser
- For Purchasing Works Of Art Or Artifacts For Museums Or Display In Public; Special Art Restoration; Insuring; Transport; Storage; Curation; Conservation
- Insurance Purchased By Risk Manager
- It-No Bid (Oca Use Only)
- Medical Surgical & Dental Equipment; Instruments; Prosthetic Devices; Special Supplies.
- Medicines & Drugs
- No Valid Offers Received; Multiple Low Offers
- No Purchasing Authority In Legacy System
- Open Market Purchases
- Pilot Project (Term Not To Exceed 2 Years)
- Pilot Project. See Rules & Regs
- Property Management Agreements For Rec & Park
- Purchase Based On Prior Award At Same Or Lower Price Within One Year
- Perishable Foods
- Public Power In New City Developments
- Redevelopment - Document Bid
- Release Against A City Or Departmental Blank Purchase Order

- Short-Term Contract Extensions (Chapter 21)
- Specialized Equipment, Materials And Supplies For Instructional Purposes. Specialized Educational Tests And Testing Services.
- Term Contract Profserv-Bid/Environmental Consulting
- Term Contract

CMD removed contracts with these purchasing authority descriptions, as they are not subject to 14B subcontractor participation requirements:

- Airport Commission Authority - Sole Source
- Amer Recovery Reinvest Act 2009 - No Other Source
- Authority To Be Used In NP BPO/PO For Rent
- Authorized By Grant - Not Purchasing Authority
- Board Of Supervisors Resolution #398-03
- Chapter 21 Emergency Procurement
- Chapter 6 Emergency Procurement
- Charter Sec 5.101 Authority - Charitable Trust Dept (AAM,FAM,WAR)
- Fine Arts; Music; Plays; Works Of Art; Films; Audio And Video Cassettes; Etc.
- For Professional Services Contracts That Have Not Been Competitively Bid And Where A Sole Source Waiver Has Been Approved
- For Professional Services Contracts Under 10K
- Grant From Homeland Security
- Grants Only - Competitive Bid
- Grants Only - No Bid
- Grants Only - No Bid - Emergency Procurement
- Grant Competitive Solicitation
- Grant No Bid – Compliance With Law/Contract/Funding Source
- Grant No Bid – Government Entity
- Grant No Bid – Private Property/Neighborhood Improvement
- Grant Sole Source – City-Owned Cultural Center
- Grant Sole Source – Recurring Grant
- Grant Sole Source – Solicitation Infeasible Or Impracticable (Including Emergency Grants)/Public Purpose
- Grant Sole Source – Solicitation Infeasible Or Impracticable/Public Purpose
- Grant Sole Source – Solicitation Infeasible Or Impracticable: Emergency
- Leasing Of Equipment From Non-Profit Corps. Without Competitive Bid
- Municipal Transporation Agency Authority - Document Bid
- Municipal Transporation Agency Authority - Document Not Bid
- Municipal Transporation Agency Authority - Sole Source
- No Other Source
- Non-Purchasing
- Professional Svc Contract Btwn Ccsf & S.F. Conservation Corp
- Prop Q Authority Not Bid
- Prop Q Authority With Bid
- Projects Addressing Homelessness
- Real Estate - Document Bid - Using Ordinance 309-08
- Real Estate - Document Not Bid - Using Ordinance 309-08
- Real Estate - No Other Source Under Ordinance 309-08
- Reciprocal & Other Agreements With Public Agencies
- Repairs For Equip Incl Svc And Parts When Repairs Must Be Done By Mfgr Or Installer Or When Repairs By Other Would Void Warranty

- Short-Term Grant Extensions
- Streamline Contracting For Homeless Shelters
- Supplier Contract Used To Track MOU Agreement
- Treasure Island Agency Authority - Document Bid
- Treasure Island Agency Authority - Document Not Bid
- Treasure Island Agency Authority - Sole Source
- UCSF Master Agreement
- Univ Healthsystems Consortium Services - Health Dept Use Only
- Univ Healthsystems Consortium Services - Health Dept Use Only - Doc Bid
- Univ Healthsystems Consortium Services - Health Dept Use Only - Doc Not Bid
- Univ Healthsystems Consortium Services - Health Dept Use Only - Sole Source



Thank you.

CONTACT **CMD**



Phone
415-581-2310



Email
cmd.info@sfgov.org



Website
**[https://www.sf.gov/departments/
contract-monitoring-division](https://www.sf.gov/departments/contract-monitoring-division)**



Office Address
**1455 Market St., Suite 16A
San Francisco, CA 94103**

Item 4

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CMD12B0004790 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert)
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:00:56 PM
Attachments: [image](#)
[CMD12B0004790.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached for a 12B request form.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: CCSF IT Service Desk <ccsfdt@service-now.com>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CMD12B0004790 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert)

Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0004790 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

Summary of Request

Requester: Lisseth Salazar Lopez

Department: CON

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000024951

Requested total cost: \$18,466.25

Short Description: Adult Physical Materials - Selector conducted research which broadened the range of selections and resulted in amendment to the current PO

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS6508475_2dKJVS0vUyi8Cvxh4mq9

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2026-03-12 11:53:15 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0004790	Request Status:	Cancelled
Requested for:	Lisbeth Salazar Lopez	State:	Cancelled
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michael Lambert	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2026-03-06 15:24:24	12B Waiver Type:	Limited (Under 250K)
		Requesting Department:	CON
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	Requester
		Awaiting Info reason:	Hi Lisbeth, Is this supplier providing books, or are they supplying seals and sealing wax? What unique need are they fulfilling? Based on the description, "rare and vintage books that meet readers' needs," who are the readers, and how are their needs being met? Please attach a copy of the prior waiver that was approved.
		Opened by:	Lisbeth Salazar Lopez
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Adult Physical Materials - Selector conducted research which broadened the range of selections and resulted in amendment to the current PO

Supplier ID:	0000024951	Requested Amount:	\$0.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	Modification – Prior Waiver Approved in ServiceNow	Increase Amount:	\$8,690.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:	CMD12B0004550	Previously Approved Amount:	\$9,776.25
		Total Requested Amount:	\$18,466.25
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000968598
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2026-03-06
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2026-06-30
Advertising:	false		
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true		
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false		
On Premise Software and Support:	false		

Online Content, Reports, Periodicals
and Journals: false

Professional and General Services: false

Software as a Service (SaaS) and
Cloud Software Applications: false

Vehicles and Trailers: false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

Atelier Gargoyle specializes in unique needs. It offers a selection of rare and vintage books that meet readers' needs. The items we acquired are hard-to-find items that are not carried by national vendors.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

I have emailed the vendor encouraging them to be 12B compliant and attached the 12B Compliance Process to vendor

Cancel Notes:

Canceling as requested by Lisseth Salazar 3/12/26 (vendor became compliant)

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst: Ruth Santana

CMD Analyst Decision:

CMD Analyst Comments:

CMD Director:

Select the reason for this request:

CMD Director

CMD Director:

CMD Director Decision:

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Sole Source – Non Property Contract
Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water:	false
Bulk Power:	false
Bulk Gas:	false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

These are part of the special collection that the public expects from the Book Arts & Special Collections. Not being able to provide these materials to our patrons is a disservice to them.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

These are hard-to-find and specialized items. We have tried conducting a search through the web and attending professional conferences.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

It does not conflict. Vendor is still working on 12B certification (please pending status)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0004790
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michael Lambert	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	2026-03-06 16:57:23		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2026-03-09 08:42:10	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2026-03-09 08:42:05	2026-03-12 09:08:27	3 Days 26 Minutes	true
2026-03-12 09:08:30	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Cancelled	2026-03-12 09:08:27			false
2026-03-06 16:17:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Draft	2026-03-06 16:17:54	2026-03-06 16:57:24	39 Minutes	true
2026-03-06 16:57:25	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Dept. Head approval	2026-03-06 16:57:24	2026-03-06 16:57:24	0 Seconds	true
2026-03-06 16:57:25	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Draft	2026-03-06 16:57:24	2026-03-09 08:42:05	2 Days 14 Hours 44 Minutes	true
2026-03-09 08:42:10	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2026-03-09 08:42:05	2026-03-12 09:08:27	3 Days 26 Minutes	true
2026-03-12 09:08:30	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Cancelled	2026-03-12 09:08:27			false
2026-03-06 16:17:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Draft	2026-03-06 16:17:54	2026-03-06 16:57:24	39 Minutes	true
2026-03-06 16:57:25	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Dept. Head approval	2026-03-06 16:57:24	2026-03-06 16:57:24	0 Seconds	true
2026-03-06 16:57:25	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004790	Draft	2026-03-06 16:57:24	2026-03-09 08:42:05	2 Days 14 Hours 44 Minutes	true

Item 5

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FY 2025-2026 Sheriff's Office Overtime Memo
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 12:14:00 PM
Attachments: [FY 2025-26 Sheriff's Office Overtime Memo 3.2.26.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached memo from the Office of the Controller.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Greg Wagner
Controller

ChiaYu Ma
Deputy Controller

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Daniel Lurie
President Rafael Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Greg Wagner, Controller

CC: Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Director
Angela Cavillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Dan Goncher, Board's Budget & Legislative Analyst
Brad Russi, Deputy City Attorney
Paul Miyamoto, Sheriff

DATE: March 2, 2026

SUBJECT: **Fiscal Year 2025-26 Sheriff's Office Overtime Spending and Projections**

The Controller's Office prepared a report dated October 20, 2025 on select departments' overtime spending through the first quarter of fiscal year 2025-26. As a follow-up to that report, I write today to notify you that the Sheriff's Office (Department) has nearly depleted its annual General Fund operating budget for overtime.

As shown in Table 1, through the pay period ending February 13, 2026, the most recent data available, the Department has expended \$30.3 million of its \$31.7 million General Fund overtime budget. Including accounts supported by work-orders from other departments, the Department has spent \$36.4 million of its \$41.2 million budget. Based on a straight-line projection, which does not account for the impact of either new hires or seasonal demands on overtime usage, the Department is on pace to spend approximately \$60.2 million in overtime by the end of the fiscal year, or approximately \$19.0 million above budget.

Significant and immediate operational changes would be required to reduce overtime expenditures to remain within budget. In the absence of these, policymakers will need to increase appropriations to authorize additional spending, as required by Administrative Code Sec 3.17. We have communicated to the Department that there exists no authority under our budgetary control laws to authorize overtime above budgeted levels absent action by the Mayor and Board to increase appropriations.

However, under federal law, our office has no legal authority to withhold payment should work be authorized by the department head.

As of today, the Department has not confirmed an introduction date for a supplemental appropriation ordinance to increase overtime budgets. The Department projects permanent salary savings, due to staff vacancies, as well as draws on the MOU Reserve for eligible one-time costs, to offset a portion of the overtime deficit. As these savings will be insufficient to keep the Department within its budget, the Department will require use of the General Reserve of approximately \$8.5 million. The Controller will work with the Department and Mayor's Office to identify non-salary spending that will be halted or reduced if the rate of expenditures continues to exceed the budget, such as spending on equipment, capital projects, and facilities maintenance. These potential savings are not sufficient to fund the shortfall and could materially impact operations.

The Department, Mayor's Office, and Board of Supervisors will need to increase appropriations, reduce expected service levels, or a combination of both in the upcoming annual budget process to bring expected expenditures in line with appropriations in the budget years.

Table 1: FY 2025-26 Sheriff's Office Overtime Expenditure Projections (\$ in Millions)

Department (\$ in Millions)	FY 2025-26					
	Overtime Budget	Expended through 2/13/2026	% of Budget Expended	Year-end Expenditure Projection	Year-end % of Budget Projection	Year-end Surplus/ (Deficit) Projection
Sheriff						
General Fund	31.7	30.3	96%	50.4	159%	(18.7)
General Fund Work Order	9.5	6.1	64%	9.8	103%	(0.3)
Sheriff's Office Annual Operating Funds	\$41.2	\$36.4	88%	\$60.2	146%	(\$19.0)

Source: Analysis of overtime projections based on payroll data through pay period ending February 13, 2026



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000
Info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

Armando Quintero, Director

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Adam Siro, Chair
Lee Adams III
Bryan K. Brandes
Janet Hansen
Alan Hess
Neal Payton, FAIA
René Vellanoweth, PhD

MEETING NOTICE

Pursuant to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, Government Code Section 11120 et seq., members of a state body may participate in public meetings in-person or remotely. Also in accordance with the Bagley-Keene Opening Meeting Act, the public may observe and provide public comment during the public comment periods, either in-person or remotely.

FOR: State Historical Resources Commission Quarterly Meeting

DATE: Thursday, May 7, 2026

TIME: 1:00 P.M.

PLACE: Riverside Convention Center
3637 5th Street, Room MR6
Riverside, CA 92501

The Commission Meeting will also occur through teleconference, available via Zoom through CAL*SPAN. Dial-in access will also be available.

Information on how to log in or phone in to this meeting, including web address and passcodes, will be posted no later than April 24, 2026, at <http://ohp.parks.ca.gov>.

If you need special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call Executive Secretary, Monica Newman, at (916) 445-7000. Questions regarding the meeting should be directed to the Registration Unit at (916) 445-7000. In accordance with the *Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act* an agenda for this meeting will be published on the Office of Historic Preservation website no later than April 24, 2026.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2026 MAR 05 PM03:42

JA 505-11



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

Armando Quintero, Director

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

Telephone: (916) 445-7000

FAX: (916) 445-7053

calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov

www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

February 25, 2026

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
ATTN: Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689

RE: National Register of Historic Places Nomination for Raymond Hotel Apartments

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c) I am notifying you that the State Historical Resources Commission (SHRC) at its next meeting intends to consider and take action on the nomination of the above-named property to the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Details of that meeting are on the enclosed notice. The National Register is the federal government's official list of historic buildings and other cultural resources worthy of preservation. Listing in the National Register provides recognition and assists in preserving California's cultural heritage. If the item is removed from the scheduled agenda, you will be notified by mail.

Local government comments regarding the National Register eligibility of this property are welcomed. Written comments regarding the nomination may be submitted to California State Parks, Attn: Office of Historic Preservation, Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer, P.O. Box 94296, Sacramento, California 94296-0001 OR via email to calshpo.shrc@parks.ca.gov. To allow the SHRC adequate time to consider the comments, it is requested that written comments be received by the Office of Historic Preservation seven (7) days in advance of the SHRC's meeting. Written comments received within seven days of the meeting will still be included in the nomination file as sent to the Keeper of the National Register. Interested parties are encouraged to attend the SHRC meeting and present oral testimony.

As of January 1, 1993, all National Register properties are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

The federal requirements covering the National Register program are to be found in the National Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and in Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60. State law regarding the California Register is in the Public Resources Code, Section 5024. Should you have questions regarding this nomination, or would like a copy of the nomination, please contact the Registration Unit at calshpo.shrc@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure: Meeting Notice

Item 7

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: GPS Trackers - Surveillance Ordinance - File No. 250979
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:07:14 PM
Attachments: [GPS Tracker Letter 12-10-25.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from various organizations, regarding:

File No. 250979 - Ordinance approving the Police Surveillance Technology Policy for electronic location tracking devices and making required findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Brian Hofer <brian@secure-justice.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 12:29 PM
To: BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: GPS Trackers - Surveillance Ordinance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hon. Supervisors,

Please see the attached community coalition letter (submitted when this item was first scheduled) regarding the proposed GPS Trackers, Item 8 on tomorrow's agenda.

Brian Hofer

Executive Director



Cell: (510) 303-2871

X personal: @b_haddy

X org: @securejustice

Bluesky personal: brianhofer.bsky.social

Bluesky org: @securejustice.bsky.social

Tik Tok org: @secure.justice

San Francisco Bay Area, CA

secure-justice.org

[Hofer bio](#)

[Donate](#) to Secure Justice



San Francisco Board of Supervisors
 San Francisco City Hall
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
 City Hall
 San Francisco, CA 94102

December 10, 2025

Submitted via Electronic Mail

Re: Vote No on Ordinance 250979

Dear Supervisors:

On behalf of over 20 civil rights and community organizations, I write to express concern with Ordinance 250979 and urge you to vote no. The ordinance unnecessarily expands the scope of SFPD's surveillance capability, is expensive, and will undoubtedly invade protected privacy interests.

The Ordinance Unjustifiably Expands the Police Surveillance State

Attaching and monitoring a GPS tracking device on a vehicle is a search under the Fourth Amendment and requires a warrant¹ or an exception to a warrant.² Concurring in that result, Justice Sotomayor further explained why:

“GPS monitoring generates a precise, comprehensive record of a person’s public movements that reflects a wealth of detail about [their] familial, political, professional, religious, and sexual associations.”³

“Awareness that the Government may be watching chills associational and expressive freedoms. And the Government’s unrestrained power to assemble data that reveal private aspects of identity is susceptible to abuse. The net result is that GPS monitoring—by making available at a relatively low cost such a substantial quantum of intimate information about any person whom the Government, in its unfettered discretion, chooses to track—may “alter the relationship between citizen and government in a way that is inimical to democratic society.”⁴

California recognizes this risk and accordingly criminalizes civilian GPS tracker use.⁵ This deeply skeptical framework must govern SFPD’s request.

SFPD Has Provided No Empirical Basis Demonstrating That GPS Trackers Are Necessary or Effective

It is therefore deeply troubling that the proposed ordinance and SFPD’s technology policy are conspicuously silent on why GPS trackers are needed other than vague statements at “reducing crime.” Both baselessly assume that any tool provided to SFPD will reduce crime.

SFPD has presented no data to date to support the use of GPS trackers or the expansion of their pilot program. That makes sense: an independent evaluation of GPS trackers did not establish a reduction in pursuit-related crashes or injuries.⁶ Moreover, no peer-reviewed evidence supports the notion that these devices make communities safer.

¹ See *United States v. Jones* (2012) 565 U.S. 400.

² See Cal Pen. Code § 637.7.

³ *Jones* (2012) 565 U.S. at 415 (Sotomayor, J., concurring).

⁴ *Id.* at 416 (2012) (Sotomayor, J., concurring) (quoting *United States v. Cuevas-Perez*, 640 F.3d 272, 285 (7th Cir. 2011) (Flaum, J., concurring)).

⁵ Cal Pen. Code § 637.7.

⁶ National Institute of Justice, *Case Study of a GPS Tracking Tool Designed to Aid in Police Vehicle Pursuits*, NCJ 250941 (July 2017), <https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/case-study-gps-tracking-tool-designed-aid-police-vehicle-pursuits>.

Experience Shows That “Pursuit Mitigation” Programs Quickly Expand Into Generalized Surveillance Regimes

Gifting SFPD expensive GPS trackers for the stated uses makes mission creep all but inevitable. Police agencies frequently pilot programs using GPS trackers under the banner of “pursuit mitigation,” but written policies adopted thereafter permit broad uses: monitoring movements, finding evidence, and tagging stolen vehicles or vehicles tied to enumerated crimes, often without prior court authorization at the moment of launch.⁷

Once infrastructure and training costs are sunk, the incentive to justify continual use grows, transforming a narrow tool into a general tracking system. And given SFPD’s long history of racial disparities in traffic stops and enforcement, providing the Department with another tool will further drive the racial disparities endemic to the criminal legal system.

⁷ See, e.g., New York City Police Department, *Impact and Use Policy: Global Positioning System (GPS) Tracking Devices* (Sept. 13, 2024), https://www.nyc.gov/assets/nypd/downloads/pdf/public_information/post-final/global-positioning-system-gps-tracking-devices-nypd-impact-and-use-policy_9.13.24.pdf; Culver City Police Department, *General Order No. 2024-13: StarChase Policy* (2024), <https://www.culvercitypd.gov/files/assets/police/v/1/documents/general-orders/general-order-2024-13-star-chase-policy.pdf>.

Evidence from Other Jurisdictions Demonstrates Unreliability and Liability Risks

Other jurisdictions have rightfully been skeptical of adopting the technology. Oakland terminated its StarChase contract in 2024 after limited use and reliability issues.⁸ Seattle's debate underscores the same risks of unchecked expansion of police surveillance tools.⁹ Connecticut police agencies abandoned the project after constitutional objections from civil-liberties advocates.¹⁰ This cautious approach is justified since GPS trackers frequently fail to attach, detach mid-pursuit, or damage property even when deployed correctly.¹¹ Each misfire creates potential liability San Franciscans will pay for and does not mitigate danger but adds new ones.

SFPD Already Possesses Expansive Surveillance Tools

SFPD already has access to automatic license-plate readers—which have come under scrutiny recently¹²—drone surveillance technologies, body-worn cameras, and support of other police agencies such as CHP and the FBI. GPS trackers add little operational capacity yet magnify risks to privacy.

San Francisco voters have repeatedly favored restraint and transparency in surveillance technology. Approving SFPD's continued use of GPS trackers undermines the City's commitment to limiting surveillance and sets a precedent for back-door expansion of tracking technologies.

Uphold San Francisco's Commitment to Transparency and Oversight

This body has rejected previous ill-supported requests to expand SFPD's toolkit. It should follow that approach and reject this request now. For the foregoing reasons, we urge you to

⁸ Azucena Rasilla, "Oakland Ends StarChase Contract After Years of Limited Use", The Oaklandside (July 22, 2024).

⁹ Doug Trumm, "Seattle Police Want StarChase Car Tracking", The Urbanist (May 16, 2025).

¹⁰ Lisa Backus, "CT Police Are Launching Dart-Like GPS Trackers at Fleeing Cars. Some Question Their Legality", CTPost (Jan. 12, 2025).

¹¹ See National Institute of Justice & Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory, Pursuit Technology Impact Assessment: Version 1.1 33–34 (Jan. 2017), NCJ 250549,

<https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/pursuit-technology-impact-assessment-version-11>; National Institute of Justice, Case Study of a GPS Tracking Tool Designed to Aid in Police Vehicle Pursuits (July 11, 2017), NCJ 250941,

<https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/case-study-gps-tracking-tool-designed-aid-police-vehicle-pursuits>; Stephen Firth, Redmond Police Aim to Limit Dangerous Car Chases with GPS Darts, The Urbanist (May 16, 2025),

<https://www.theurbanist.org/2025/05/16/redmond-police-aim-to-limit-dangerous-car-chases-with-gps-darts/>; New York City Department of Investigation, An Assessment of NYPD's Compliance with the POST Act 41 (May 30, 2024),

<https://www.nyc.gov/assets/doi/reports/pdf/2024/May/POST-ACT-REVIEW-REPORT-05302024.pdf>.

¹² Tomoki Chien, SFPD let Georgia, Texas cops illegally search city surveillance data on behalf of ICE, The San Francisco Standard (Sept. 8, 2025),

<https://www.sfstandard.com/2025/09/08/sfpd-flock-alpr-ice-data-sharing/>.

vote no on this ordinance and to reaffirm San Francisco's commitment to independent oversight, open government, and public trust.

Sincerely,

3RD Street Youth Center & Clinic
ACLU NorCal
Anti Police-Terror Project
California Coalition for Women's Prisoners
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Coalition on Homelessness, San Francisco
Council on American-Islamic Relations SF Bay Area
Critical Resistance
Harvey Milk LGBTQ Democratic Club
HIV Advocacy Network
Homeless Youth Alliance
Indivisible San Francisco
Lawyer's Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area
League of Women Voters San Francisco
Legal Services for Prisoners with Children
Mission Action
National Harm Reduction Coalition
San Francisco Public Defender's Office
Secure Justice
Showing Up for Racial Justice San Francisco
Young Women's Freedom Center

Item 8

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 251247 - Fire Sprinklers - 13 Letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 1:58:37 PM
Attachments: [251247 13 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 13 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 251247 - Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 5:37:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

J Linestra

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:07:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas Shimizu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:12:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Nancy Lim

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:15:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Steve Gallagher

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:18:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Thomas Galicia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:20:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Josefina Brooks

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:28:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Gary Saydah

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:05:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Ken Blalock

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 4:45:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

lorraine meier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:42:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Roy Fuerte

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:25:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Anthony Lo Buglio

From: [Sally Fay](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:15:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Sally Fay

Email calgal75@aol.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Sally Fay

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:27:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Erin Govoni

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 251247 - Fire Sprinklers - 16 Letters
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:13:44 PM
Attachments: [251247 16 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 16 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 251247 - Ordinance repealing the existing San Francisco Fire Code in its entirety and enacting a new San Francisco Fire Code consisting of the 2025 California Fire Code and portions of the 2024 International Fire Code, together with amendments specific to San Francisco, including provisions for fees for permits, inspections, and various City services, with an operative date of January 1, 2026; adopting findings of local conditions pursuant to California Health and Safety Code, Section 17958.7; directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward San Francisco's amendments to the California Building Standards Commission and State Fire Marshal; and making environmental findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 2:21:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Colleen McFerrin

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 4:52:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Kimberly Ross

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 11:17:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Caara Shayne

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 9:35:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Jonah Ghezai

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:13:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Email noguera@changes.world

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Hatun Noguera

From: [richard.brandi](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:13:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent richard.brandi

Email rbrandi@earthlink.net

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

richard brandi

From: [Beverly Sutton](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:42:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Beverly Sutton

Email bev_sutton@yahoo.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Beverly Sutton

From: [Esfir Shrayber](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:47:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Esfir Shrayber

Email to_fira@yahoo.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Esfir Shrayber

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Elizabeth Avecilla

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:41:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Raymond Avecilla

From: [Ammala Block](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 4:30:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Ammala Block

Email ammala@pm1sf.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Ammala Block

From: [Francine Lofrano](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:37:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Francine Lofrano

Email ftblote@sbcglobal.net

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Francine Lofrano

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 7:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Alex Salinas

From: [Susan Ford](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 7:49:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Susan Ford

Email susan.ford103@gmail.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Susan Ford

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Smart, Measured Reforms to the Sprinkler Retrofit Mandate
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 11:42:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to adopt a clear and measured approach to San Francisco's high-rise sprinkler retrofit requirement for buildings.

While we support effective, reasonable fire-safety measures, this one-size-fits-all mandate fails to account for critical differences among buildings and has unintended consequences that threaten housing stability and affordability for thousands of San Franciscans. The high financial costs (as high as \$300K per condo) and construction work needed to implement the sprinkler retrofit could un-necessarily displace thousands of residents.

We respectfully request that you:

- Legislatively establish an official Technical Advisory Committee consisting of subject matter experts such as structural engineers, architects and others to examine the feasibility of the sprinkler retrofit mandate on impacted buildings.
- Delay implementation and enforcement of the sprinkler retrofit mandate until the Technical Advisory Committee officially releases its findings.

Fire safety and housing affordability must advance together. Without reforms, the sprinkler retrofit mandate risks displacing thousands of residents while imposing costs that far exceed any realistic possibility for many buildings.

Signed

Christina P Bui

From: [Brian Key](#)
To: [ChenStaff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Revise (or Better, Reverse) the Prohibitive Sprinkler Mandate, Re: Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:29:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Supervisors

From your constituent Brian Key

Email Brian@BrianKey.com

Message: Re: "Fire Sprinkler Retrofit Requirement File 251247"

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Lurie,

Everyone cares about fire safety, but the sprinkler retrofit requirement is not a thoughtful safety measure. It is nonsense legislation with devastating financial consequences for thousands of San Francisco residents who can't afford its financial and physical effects.

No affected homeowners or building owners were consulted when this legislation was passed and they are now faced with hundreds of thousands of dollars in costs and dislocation from their homes during installation due to asbestos abatement and construction noise and debris that will continue for many months. Where will these people go and how will they afford it?

Already, the effect of this mandate is having a dramatic effect on homeowner property values, which will translate into lower property taxes for the City.

If the Board of Supervisors believes that this mandate is absolutely necessary, then the City should come up with funds to pay for this rather than force its middle-class residents living on fixed incomes to pay for it.

In the absence of funding from the City, we ask that the Board of Supervisors amend the proposed new fire code to limit the requirement until a building undergoes a major renovation, extend the compliance deadlines, and create an unbiased committee to determine practical fire safety

alternatives to the installation of fire sprinklers in these buildings.

Thank you.

Brian Key

Item 9

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260223 JROTC - 4 Letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:12:26 PM
Attachments: [260223 4 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 4 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 260223 -Resolution supporting and recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and encouraging the San Francisco Unified School District to continue to provide high school students the opportunity to participate and ensure the program is preserved as currently constituted.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Liao, Min Ru](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JRTOC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:18:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. I wish for future generations to be able to experience this amazing program.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Mandy Liao
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Wu, Aiden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC Funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:20:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I write this email to The Board of Supervisors to urge support for the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program. I would very much appreciate this and on behalf of all the current and upcoming students for the continued availability in SFUSD high schools. This program has very useful resources and opportunities that prepare students for the future and the "real world." This program has been here for decades, and would be devastating to all the hundreds of students to know, so I would like to please encourage the Board of Supervisors to continue support for this program.

Sincerely
Aiden Wu
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Choi, Lucian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:48:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Lucian Choi

Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Rong, Yifeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Email to support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:55:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am emailing to show my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and promoting the continuance of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools. Thousands of students have done JROTC throughout its existence in the San Francisco school system. For me and many others, JROTC provides opportunities for leadership, self-improvement, teamwork, discipline, and community.
I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Yifeng Rong
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260223 JROTC - 59 Letters
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:36:38 PM
Attachments: [260223 59 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 59 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. Resolution supporting and recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and encouraging the San Francisco Unified School District to continue to provide high school students the opportunity to participate and ensure the program is preserved as currently constituted.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Latyshev, Illia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:38:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Hong, Zoe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Supervisor Wong's legislation preserving JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:00:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools. JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service for many students creating an important sense of community. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution. Sincerely, Zoe Hong Abraham Lincoln high school student District 4 resident JROTC Cadet

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Sutardja, Jeffrey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:21:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors, I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools. For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution. Sincerely, Jeffrey Sutardja Abraham Lincoln High School / Sunset District / JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Tran, Noel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:15:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is Noel Tran, a sophomore at Abraham Lincoln High School, I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Noel Tran

10th Grade Student
Abraham Lincoln High School
San Francisco, California

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Howe, Sawyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: arthur.koo@sfgov.org; [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In support of Supervisor Alan Wong's JROTC resolution.
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:24:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Sawyer Howe

Lowell HS, JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Torres Giron, Allison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to preserve JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:26:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Allison, Torres Giron
Lowell High School Student

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Montesano, Arlo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Writing in my Support of Jrotc
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:10:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I, a Lowell High School student and JROTC cadet, am writing in support of Alan Wong's resolution about this very important class. I am asking for continued support of the Junior Reserves Officer Training Corps (JROTC) as it plays a crucial role in many students' lives and I wish for it to remain as such.

Being a part of JROTC since my Freshman year I never knew what to expect. I never thought I would enjoy JROTC but I was proven wrong as it is a very welcoming community and resource that helps grow young students. JROTC teaches many useful courses that aren't taught otherwise like financial security, and first aid. I believe if we don't continue to help this organization then we are not just hurting the program but also the knowledge and community that students wouldn't find elsewhere.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Arlo Montesano

[Lowell Highschool/ Lake Merced/ JROTC]

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Wells, Tallulah](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:34:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Tallulah Wells
Lowell High

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Pan, Warren](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Email to support supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:38:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Warren Pan
Lowell High school

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Fortun, Haley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:03:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Haley Fortun

Lowell Highschool, Outer sunset, Lowell JROTC

Haley Fortun

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Duong-Gordley, Soren](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation preserving JROTC.
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:05:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution which recognizes the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encourages the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

JROTC has provided valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service for many of its students. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. Being a part of this program is an enjoyable experience I hope other students will be able to have.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Soren Duong-Gordley
Lowell / Sunset / JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Jew, Addison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In support of Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to protect the JROTC program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:20:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. I have benefited greatly from this program and I know many of my peers can agree. JROTC is a student led program and community that offers leadership opportunities for high school students no matter their grade level. Without this program I never would have realized until much later my potential as a leader. This program teaches student leaders responsibility. Currently, as Bravo Company Commander I teach a class block of JROTC. This program teaches interpersonal skills like conflict management and decision making, and life skills: financial literacy, first aid, and orienteering (map reading). I have a sense of belonging in this program and I want this to be passed on to future generations of SFUSD students. I cannot imagine my high school years without having been a part of this program.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Addison Jew
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Pak, Peyton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:25:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Peyton Pak
[Lowell High School/ Sunset District/ JROTC]

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Fang, Yu Hong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:36:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Yu Hong Fang

Lowell High School/SFUSD

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Aung, Kaelyn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for JROTC Program in SFUSD
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:38:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city. I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Kaelyn Aung
Lowell High School, SFUSD

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Rodriguez, Lando](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE I NEED THIS
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:46:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Lando Rodriguez
Lowell high school

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [sydney.raulins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

Personally, without JROTC, I can confidently say I would've never had the opportunity to expand my leadership experience as ALHS's 2025 Battalion Commander, or had to opportunity to attend a school like Yale for undergrad. The community and mentorship that JROTC provided me was something unlike any other extracurricular or program offered at my school. JROTC every year sends talented students to schools like UCLA, Berkeley, Ivies, and more. Talk to any JROTC student and almost all of them will say they want the program to stay. Cutting the program would be a disservice to both the students who've passed on the programs legacy each year, and who have poured hours of time into our staff positions, our special teams, and fellow friends and cadets. The only times I have ever come back to ALHS to visit is because I still have close relationships to the instructors and fellow students I've mentored my junior and senior year. One of SFUSDs greatest programs lies in our JROTCs. Only for JROTC would I truly defend like this, and JROTC was the only program that encouraged me to voice my opinions and fight for what matters.

I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Respectfully,

Sydney K. Raulins
Yale University Undergraduate
San Franciscan Resident, ALHS JROTC Alum

From: [Zhang, Zongxi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Supervisor Alan Wong
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:37:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Zongxi Zhang
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Ma, Daniel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Daniel Ma
Lowell H.S/JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Tang, Zoey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:53:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Zoey Tang

Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Li, Zhaojun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:54:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Zhaojun Li
Lowell HS/Crocker Amazon/Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Nagata, Tiago](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 7:59:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Tiago Nagata
Lowell High School, Lakeside

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Dea, Isabella](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Legislation Regarding JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:08:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Isabella Dea

Lowell High School JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Tom, Luca](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Supervisor Alan Wong's Legislation to Preserve JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:13:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Luca Tom

Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Dai, Youyou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Continue JROTC For Students
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:14:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Youyou Dai

Lowell High School, Sunset District, JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Xu, Audrey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Alan Wong's Resolution Regarding JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:22:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Audrey Xu

Lowell HS / Outer Sunset / Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Relosa, Matthew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:29:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Matthew Relosa
Lowell High School, Mission District, JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Matthews, Evan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support email
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:29:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Evan Matthews

Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Jernelius, Lila](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); Khoo@sfgov.org; [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:43:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Lila Jernelius

Lowell, Inner Sunset.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Huynh, Nhi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Supervisor Alan Wong's JROTC Resolution
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:01:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides opportunities to develop leadership, teamwork, discipline, and a commitment to community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for many years and continues to support hundreds of students across the city. It helps students build confidence, responsibility, and skills that will benefit them in school and in their future.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and continue supporting programs that help students grow and succeed.

Sincerely,
Nhi Huynh
JROTC Cadet, Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Aidan Yu](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:20:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Aidan Yu
Abraham Lincoln High School / Parkside / JROTC

From: [Huertas, Maya](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The JROTC Program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:24:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Maya Huertas
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Anthony Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:41:58 PM
Attachments: [Abraham Lincoln High School SFUSD](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Anthony Yu

From: [Wei, Albert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:49:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Albert Wei
Abraham Lincoln High School / Sunset District / JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Zhao, Sammi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC Resolution Support
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:52:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Sammi Zhao
Lowell High School JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Tseng, Alvin](#)
To: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Alan Wong's Resolution
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:54:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Alvin Tseng
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Chan, Caden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); Aurthur.Khoo@sfgov.org
Subject: Supporting Legislation
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:56:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Caden Chan
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Chen, Celine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support Supervisor Alan Wong's Legislation
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:13:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the significance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Celine Chen

Lowell Highschool/ Portola/ JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Chak, Megan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC Program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:33:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and hope that it continues to be available in the San Francisco Unified School District high schools. For many students, JROTC provides opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has helped me understand how to teach others that may not be in the same grade level or they are different from me. I learn to take responsibility for not only myself but for the class and the future that I am teaching. JROTC allowed a place for many students to grow and to seek their true potential. The program has been a part of San Francisco for decades and I hope it continues to serve the future students across the city.

Sincerely,
Megan Chak
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Xie, Jeffrey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:33:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Xie
Abraham Lincoln High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Brigade S-6](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:40:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Respectfully,

Leo Le

Brigade S-6, Communications and Technology Officer

Cadet Major, Army JROTC

San Francisco Unified School District

From: [Situ, Ronald](#)
To: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for JROTC
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:41:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing this to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution of understanding the importance of the Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (JROTC) program and keep the program available to schools in the SFUSD.

For many students, JROTC is a place of community, leadership, teamwork, and selfless service. The program has been a vital part of San Francisco high schools for decades and is still as vital for the newer generation of students.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this decision.

Sincerely,

Ronald
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Gee, Myron](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:03:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm writing to express my opinions about supervisor Alan Wong's stance about the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program (JROTC). JROTC teaches its students about discipline, teamwork, along with the ability to lead a group. Lectures and opportunities provided by the program such as the various community service, leadership positions, and various other roles that require a responsible mind and body allow students to have hands-on experience in different situations and scenarios that could never be replicated without the program itself. I sincerely support and encourage the board of supervisors to support Alan Wong's resolution about the program.

Best regards,

Myron Gee
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Yan, Jasmine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supporting Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:05:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to address the continuation and Importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program (JROTC) in San Francisco Unified School District's (SFUSD) High schools and my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's acknowledgement about the importance of the program.

JROTC is a program that encourages engagement in the community and promotes teamwork, discipline, and leadership. It provides students with opportunities to gain new skills and see different perspectives with events such as community service, parades, and bonding. This allows students to build connections with more people and gain more empathy towards others, which is something that the world currently lacks. The program teaches students new skills to help build better communities and has served thousands of students in the past years that it has been available throughout SFUSD.

I hope that the Board of Supervisors supports Alan Wong's Legislation.

Sincerely,

Jasmine Yan
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Kashiwabara, Harrison](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Preserving the SFJROTC Community - Lowell High School
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:12:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is Harrison Kashiwabara and I am a member of the Lowell JROTC Battalion. I am writing to express my deep support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution in recognizing the importance of the JROTC community and its continued availability within SFUSD.

As a senior that's been in the program for 4 years, I can say that JROTC has provided me with skills no other class can provide. It has taught me that leadership is more than telling others what to do, but rather guiding individuals to become better citizens in society. From JROTC, I have enriched my communication, teamwork, and critical thinking skills by holding leadership positions and participating in afterschool activities like the squad drill team and even the archery team. The program has been a part of SFUSD for decades and I hope the future generations can enjoy this program as much as I did.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Harrison Kashiwabara
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Huang, Ha Lui](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:24:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Ha Lui Huang
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Kenneth](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keeping JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:40:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members and Clerks of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I hope you are all doing well. I would like to thank you for your time. This letter regards my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's backing of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC). I would first like to talk about the many benefits of JROTC. JROTC is a high school physical education alternative rather than the traditional physical education course. JROTC's curriculum teaches military courtesies depending on the branch of military it is based on. San Francisco Unified School District's (SFUSD) JROTC is an Army JROTC. However, JROTC is not just a military program. It teaches hard skills like financial literacy, first aid, orienteering, the reading of maps, and study skills. JROTC has also taught soft skills such as leadership, discipline, communication, community building, accountability, responsibility, time management, and so much more. Not only that, but JROTC drives people to become better. They hold us to higher standards, and it's truly an incredible program that has benefitted countless people across generations.

Next, I would like to talk about my personal experience. Be advised that my story delves into sensitive topics regarding suicide. If you do not wish to read this, I kindly advise you to skip to the next paragraph. JROTC has been the most incredible experience of my life. It has truly been the best thing in my life to date. I had come from a horrible middle school environment. I had no sense of community; I had only one true friend with whom I shared JROTC as a class. For a long time, I was truly alone. I had no one. I sat alone on the basketball courts, eating lunch and being miserable. It was truly a struggle living like this. High school was frightening and unfamiliar; not only that, but I had no support system whatsoever. So much so that the stress had gotten to me. It was hard to go on with each day being as cold as the last. Numbly repeating the vast isolation I felt. I have had mental issues in the past, so much so that I was hospitalized and held at a Crisis Stabilization Unit (CSU). I had been at one of the lowest points of my life once more. My problems persisted for long periods of time, so it only seemed logical that suicide was a way to relieve that suffering. However, JROTC had helped me out of that pit of despair. JROTC allowed me to connect with so many incredible people. Seniors, freshmen, juniors, sophomores, and even graduate students alike. I felt alive again. I had a support system and guidance for problems and questions I had about high school. I wasn't alone anymore, and that's because of JROTC.

To conclude, I ask that you all consider keeping JROTC. It brings me great sorrow that someone just like me, struggling with the burdens of life, needed a program just like

JROTC, but never had the chance to get the help they needed. It is truly so incredibly beneficial to everyone. I ask you all from the bottom of my heart, please do not take such an amazing opportunity from a struggling student. Please, let that student and every future JROTC student become the best versions of themselves. With that being said, I hope my words aid you in your decision. I hope my words are taken into consideration. I thank you for your time and consideration, and hope you continue well.

Best regards,
Kenneth Yuen

From: [Zhou, Michael](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support For Alan Wong Letter
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:20:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to support Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the necessity of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For me and many of my peers in San Francisco, JROTC allows us to be able to practice and better leadership skills, discipline, and service to those in San Francisco. Without it we would be lacking in a vital part of leadership experience on a scale that cannot be gained elsewhere. JROTC has allowed many of us to pursue goals which other programs cannot provide especially regarding the armed services to our nation. JROTC has a long history within SFUSD and has been helping hundreds of students for decades. As a member of JROTC I wish this program to be able to continue its mission to motivate young people to become better citizens.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Michael Zhou
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Fang, Belena](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Legislation Regarding JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:34:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing this email to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools. For me and many other students, JROTC provides multiple opportunities for leadership, communication, expression, development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students throughout the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Belena Fang
Lowell High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Park, Abigail](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:45:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

As a current 4th year JROTC member and Lincoln Battalion Commander, I only became confident through the stepping stone opportunities this program provided me to set me up for success, but also now guide my underclassmen to reach their full potential. When I was a freshman, the Lincoln program was barely 100 cadets. It grew thus far to 235. As Battalion Commander, I lead 16 staff members and their many assistants through event operations and planning and classroom curriculum with my Executive Officer, and train 14 class leaders with my Command Sergeant Major and 6 Company staff in daily lunch meetings.

JROTC is more than a leadership development program and extends beyond the classroom— it is a second family to many with caring, compassionate, and hardworking instructors that facilitate our 13+ student-led after school extracurricular activities for city-wide and national competitions.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Abigail Park

Abraham Lincoln High School Senior and JROTC Battalion Commander,
District 4 Member

From: [Situ, Wen Jie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:05:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Martin Situ
Abraham Lincoln High School/Sunset District/JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Ho, Yen-Wen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Highschool JROTC program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:05:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors

I am writing to recognize the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program. I deeply wish for the continued availability of this program in all San Francisco Unified School District high schools for the years to come.

JROTC is a program that helps students achieve leadership development, teamwork, and discipline. It provides opportunities for students to give back to society with community service and develop lifelong skills that can help students navigate their time here throughout highschool as well as for the rest of their lives.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution to continue to support the JROTC program in SFUSD highschools.

Sincerely,

Jasmine Ho

Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Chavarria Rumaldo, Nubia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for the Junior Reserve Officers" Training Corps (JROTC) Program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:09:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This email is intended for the Clerk of the Board and members of the board supervisor,

I am writing to express my gratitude to Supervisor Alan Wong for recognizing the importance of the ***Junior Reserve Officers Training Corps (JROTC)*** program and for advocating for its continued availability within our educational system. For several years, the JROTC program has provided indispensable opportunities for high-school students to develop essential leadership skills, fostering personal growth and responsibility. It's important to acknowledge the significant role this program has played within the San Francisco Unified School District and to consider the potential negative impact the discontinuation could have on the hundreds of students who benefit from it.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution, as it would not only uphold a program that supports future leaders but also reinforces the community's commitment to student development and empowerment.

Sincerely,

Nubia Rumaldo
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Lu, Hansen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Losing JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:19:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For me, JROTC provides opportunities to develop leadership, learn about the real world, teaches discipline through community services, and teaches me how to protect myself through first aid or precautions. This program has helped me make more friends within the JROTC community and has made my high school experience more memorable.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Hansen Lu
Lowell, JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Chommanard, Samie](#)
To: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for Supervisor Alan Wong's JROTC Resolution
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:45:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is samie chommanard,

I am writing because I want to support Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution about keeping JROTC in San Francisco high schools. I am only in 5th grade, but JROTC has already taught me a lot. It helps students learn how to be leaders, how to work together, and how to help our communities. It gives kids a chance to grow and feel proud of themselves. JROTC also gives us a place where we feel safe, supported, and part of a team. It's like having a second family at school. JROTC has been part of San Francisco schools for a very long time, and it is an important part of our city's high school community. Many students depend on it, and it helps them become better people. I respectfully ask the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution and help keep JROTC available for future students.

Sincerely, samie chommanard-Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Hou, Jaime](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation to recognize the importance of JROTC and preserve the program
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:01:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,

Jaime Hou
Abraham Lincoln High School

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Herrera, Kira](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution for Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC)
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:50:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am reaching out as a cadet in the *Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps* (JROTC) to support Supervisor Alan Wong's proposition for keeping the availability of the JROTC program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

Many, including myself, greatly value this program as it has offered opportunities for leadership, teamwork, discipline, and many more skills. as well as the welcoming community, useful and fun lessons about life skills that aren't usually offered to highschool students. This program has been offered for decades providing the same services, helping build confidence in students and much more to hundreds of students a year.

i support Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution for the JROTC program and respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this as well

Sincerely,

Kira Mei Herrera

Pronouns: She|Her|Hers

Why are pronouns important? Find out more by clicking --> [HERE](#) !

"To tell the truth is to become beautiful, to begin to love yourself, value yourself. And that's political, in its most profound way." - June Jordan

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Murad, Alina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: A Support to Supervisor Alan Wong's Legislation about JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:08:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution recognizing the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and encouraging the continued availability of the program in San Francisco Unified School District high schools.

For many students, JROTC provides valuable opportunities for leadership development, teamwork, discipline, and community service. The program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and continues to serve hundreds of students across the city.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution, and keep the JROTC program in our school district.

Sincerely,

Lina M. Murad

Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Cai, Mandy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Khoo, Arthur \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Supervisor Alan Wong's legislation about JROTC
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:14:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk of the Board and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you in support of Supervisor Alan Wong's resolution to recognize the importance of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) program and continue the availability of the program in the SFUSD high schools.

For many students, JROTC gives valueable opportunites of leadership development, discipline, teamwork, and community service. This program has been part of San Francisco schools for decades and serves hundreds of students across the city today.

I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to support this resolution.

Sincerely,
Mandy Cai
Lowell JROTC

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

Item 10

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: File #260224 – Urging Protection of Medi-Cal Dental Funding for California Children – SUPPORT
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:03:16 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-1lfibkmh.png](#)
[2026.03.09 - Urging Protection of Medi-Cal Dental Funding for California Children - HealthRIGHT 360 - SUPPORT.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from HealthRIGHT 360, regarding:

File No. 260224 - Resolution urging the Governor and State Legislature to protect Medi-Cal Dental funding for California children; and reject proposed cuts and to fully restore funding in the 2026 state budget.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Wesley Saver <wsaver@healthright360.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:59 PM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Tsai, Daniel (DPH) <daniel.tsai@sfdph.org>; Linda Kuo <lkuo@healthright360.org>
Subject: File #260224 – Urging Protection of Medi-Cal Dental Funding for California Children –

SUPPORT

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of HealthRIGHT 360, please find attached our letter in support of the resolution urging Governor Gavin Newsom and the California Legislature to protect and fully restore Medi-Cal Dental funding for California children in the 2026 state budget.

We appreciate your leadership in safeguarding children's health and ensuring access to essential dental care for San Francisco's most vulnerable children.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Wes

--

Wesley Saver (he/him)

Managing Director of Policy & Public Affairs



Get Better. Do Better. Be Better.

986 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-2543

Office: (415) 728-1056

wsaver@healthright360.org | www.healthright360.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. This communication may contain material protected by HIPAA legislation (45 CFR, Parts 160 & 164) or by 42 CFR Part 2. Federal rules prohibit you from making any further disclosures of this information. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. 42 CFR Part 2 prohibits unauthorized disclosure of this record.

March 9, 2026

The Honorable Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org



Get Better. Do Better. Be Better.

Submitted via Electronic Mail

Re: File #260224 – Urging Protection of Medi-Cal Dental Funding for California Children – SUPPORT

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

HealthRIGHT 360 strongly supports the resolution urging Governor Gavin Newsom and the California Legislature to protect and fully restore Medi-Cal Dental funding for California children in the 2026 state budget. Access to dental care is essential to safeguarding children's health, educational outcomes, and long-term well-being in San Francisco and across California.

HealthRIGHT 360's San Francisco dental program provides comprehensive adult and pediatric services to vulnerable populations, including individuals experiencing homelessness, poverty, and complex health needs. Our services include preventive care, emergency walk-ins, cleanings, fluoride varnish, extractions, fillings, crowns, root canals, and specialized pediatric procedures. Our experience demonstrates that timely dental care prevents pain, infection, avoidable emergency room visits, and school absences while supporting both health equity and educational attainment.

Medi-Cal Dental provides critical access to care for millions of low-income children statewide. The proposed \$144 million reduction in the 2026 budget, which would also forfeit approximately \$182 million in federal matching funds, represents a fraction of the state budget yet would have significant and lasting consequences for children's health. Reducing funding undermines prevention, shifts costs to emergency and acute care settings, and risks reversing the modest infrastructure and access gains achieved in recent years.

Local data further illustrate the fragility of the dental safety-net. A 2025 access study found that one-third of Medi-Cal dental providers in San Francisco were unavailable to new patients, with disparities in appointment access for Spanish- and Cantonese-speaking families.¹ Kindergarten screenings show that approximately one-third of children have experienced cavities and one-fourth have untreated decay, with rates exceeding 40 percent in neighborhoods such as Bayview-Hunters Point.² Protecting Medi-Cal Dental funding is directly aligned with the priorities outlined in the *San Francisco*

¹ Gutierrez, I., Govind, A., & Demirel, S. (2025). Comprehensive Dentistry Appointment Availability for Northern California Adults with Medicaid. *Journal of the California Dental Association*, 53(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19424396.2025.2525125>

² CavityFree SF. Community-based Children's Oral Health Task Forces. <https://www.cavityfreesf.org/taskforces/>

Children's Oral Health Strategic Plan 2026–2030, which calls for strengthening preventive care systems and expanding access for children most at risk of untreated dental disease.³

We respectfully urge the Board to adopt this resolution and advocate for full restoration and protection of Medi-Cal Dental funding for children. Doing so safeguards access to care, advances health equity, and promotes the well-being of San Francisco's most vulnerable children.

Thank you for your leadership and commitment to children's health. For further information, please contact me at wsaver@healthright360.org

Sincerely,



Wesley Saver
Managing Director of Policy & Public Affairs
HealthRIGHT 360
wsaver@healthright360.org

Cc: San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org
Dr. Daniel Tsai, Director, San Francisco Department of Public Health, Daniel.Tsai@sfdph.org
Linda Kuo, Dental Director, HealthRIGHT 360, LKuo@healthright360.org

³ CavityFree SF. San Francisco Children's Oral Health Strategic Plan 2026–2030. February 19, 2026.
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/PRINT_Cavity_Free_SF_Strategic_Plan.cleaned.pdf

Item 11

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Board Files #260225 and #260147 Commission Streamlining Task Force
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:04:49 PM
Attachments: [9 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 9 letters, from a member of the public and various organizations, regarding:

File No. 260225 - Motion calling from the Rules Committee, pursuant to Board Rule 3.38, the Hearing (File No. 260147) to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and scheduling the Board of Supervisors to sit as a Committee of the Whole at the meeting on March 17, 2026, at 3:00 p.m. to hold a public hearing.

File No. 260147 - Hearing to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and requesting the City Administrator's Office to report.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163
richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to*

the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Elizabeth Lanyon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Andary, Sophia \(HRC\)](#)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:08:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lanyon

San Francisco District 8 Resident

Community Advocate and Organizer

--

Elizabeth Lanyon (she, her)

e.m.lanyon@gmail.com

From: [Mia Mouawad](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Andary, Sophia \(HRC\)](#)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:53:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, I am reaching out today to ask for your critical support in defending a vital voice for equity in our city. I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Mouawad

Mission Neighborhood Resident

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:21:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

John Stokes

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:28:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Scott Jacques

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:31:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Daniel Lam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:32:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Judy Lau

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:32:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Judy Lau

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:35:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Nick Rojas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:50:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

William Lee

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Board file 260225 — opposition to recommendations on Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:25:06 PM
Attachments: [SPJNorCal-letter-SOTF.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from the Society of Professional Journalists, Northern California Chapter, regarding:

File No. 260225 - Motion calling from the Rules Committee, pursuant to Board Rule 3.38, the Hearing (File No. 260147) to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and scheduling the Board of Supervisors to sit as a Committee of the Whole at the meeting on March 17, 2026, at 3:00 p.m. to hold a public hearing.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SPJ NorCal Freedom of Information Committee <spjnorcalfoi@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:00 AM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Board file 260225 — opposition to recommendations on Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

Attached please find a letter from the Society of Professional Journalists, Northern California Chapter, opposing certain recommendations of the Commission Streamlining Task Force that would weaken the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

Regards,

SPJ NorCal Board and Freedom of Information Committee

**Society of Professional Journalists
Northern California Chapter**

44 Page St. Suite 504
San Francisco, CA 94102
www.spjnorcal.org



To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Via Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Re: Opposing streamlining recommendations that weaken transparency

Dear Supervisors:

Of the five city and state entities empowered to act on violations of local and state open-meeting and public-records (“sunshine”) laws, only one – the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force – has been consistently willing to do so. The Task Force provides an avenue for members of the public and journalists to exercise their First Amendment rights and demand the government transparency that is enshrined in local, state and federal law. The Task Force provides this service free of charge, saving citizens from having to sue for remedy of such violations by city agencies or personnel, and in turn saving the city potentially millions of dollars a year in legal expense.

A set of streamlining proposals awaiting your consideration threaten to weaken this institution.

The Commission Streamlining Task Force, created by Proposition E that voters approved in November 2024, has published recommendations to include in a city Charter amendment possibly to be on the municipal ballot in November 2026. These include (1) that qualifications to serve on the SOTF as set forth in Article IV, Section 67.30(a), of the Sunshine Ordinance (Administrative Code Chapter 67) be reduced from mandatory to merely “desirable,” and be made body-level rather than seat-specific; and (2) that the authority of the Society of Professional Journalists, Northern California Chapter (SPJ NorCal) and the League of Women Voters to nominate members to specific Task Force seats be ended.

Those recommendations would, if followed, remove assurance that at least four of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force’s eleven voting members are knowledgeable on local and state sunshine laws and favor government-transparency principles, thereby reducing the ability and willingness of the Task Force to hold sunshine scofflaws accountable. This would in turn significantly increase certain costs to city government by forcing members of the public to sue for remedy of sunshine-law violations. Worse, it would weaken or remove

disincentives to city agencies and officials to make backroom deals, eroding trust in government and undermining the public's right to know.

SPJ NorCal, for whose members this transparency mechanism is essential to thorough and accurate reporting, strongly urges rejection of the Commission Streamlining Task Force's recommendations enumerated above. Further, inclusion of either or both of those recommendations in said Charter amendment proposal will constitute sufficient reason for SPJ NorCal to publicly oppose said Charter amendment.

Sincerely,

Joe Fitzgerald Rodriguez, Ida Mojada, Victor Patton, and Laura Wenus
SPJ NorCal executive board

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Board Files #260225 and #260147
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:27:45 PM
Attachments: [Letter to Board of Supervisors Opposing Pulling Streamlining Reform From Rules Committee.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached, from a member of the public, regarding:

File No. 260225 - Motion calling from the Rules Committee, pursuant to Board Rule 3.38, the Hearing (File No. 260147) to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and scheduling the Board of Supervisors to sit as a Committee of the Whole at the meeting on March 17, 2026, at 3:00 p.m. to hold a public hearing.

File No. 260147 - Hearing to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and requesting the City Administrator's Office to report.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public*

documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: David Cherry <davenjake@icloud.com>

Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:32 PM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Board Files #260225 and #260147

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The easiest way to rob a bank is to own one

Patrick Monette-Shaw

975 Sutter Street, Apt. 6
San Francisco, CA 94109
Phone: (415) 292-6969 • e-mail: pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net

March 6, 2026

Board of Supervisors

The Honorable Raphael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Connie Chan, Supervisor, District 1
The Honorable Stephen Sherrill, Supervisor, District 2
The Honorable Danny Sauter, Supervisor, District 3
The Honorable Alan Wong, Supervisor, District 4
The Honorable Bilal Mahmood, District 5
The Honorable Matt Dorsey, Supervisor, District 6
The Honorable Myrna Melgar, District 7
The Honorable Jackie Fielder, Supervisor, District 9
The Honorable Shamann Walton, Supervisor, District 10
The Honorable Chyanne Chen, District 11

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Testimony on File #260225 — Motion: Committee of the Whole Hearing Prop. E Streamlining Task Force Final Report Recommendations and Legislation**

Dear President Mandelman and Board of Supervisors,

Regarding File #260225 *Calling from Committee - Committee of the Whole - Hearing - Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force Recommendations, Final Report, and Charter Amendment - March 17, 2026.*

I urge each District Supervisor to vote “No” on Supervisor Mandelman’s proposed Motion as sponsor of this misguided and premature calling of this matter from the Rules Committee.

Mandelman’s Motion invokes the Board of Supervisors “Rules of Order” **Rule §3.38** to permit the full Board of Supervisors to pull an item from a Committee it was assigned to.

Mandelman is invoking the Board of Supervisors “Rules of Order” §3.38, which permits the full Board of Supervisors to pull an item from a Committee it was assigned to, if a Motion to do so passes with a six-vote majority during a full Board meeting. Rule §3.38 is typically used to pull an item that has languished in a Committee for some length of time, or had been placed to the *Call of the Chair* in Committee.

But in this case, the matter had barely been assigned to the Rules Committee for just two days, and no hearings have been held on the subject matter, before Mandelman raced to pull it from the 30-day waiting period and hold a hearing on it by the full Board.

San Francisco's Board of Supervisors “30-Day Rule” in **Rule §3.22** is designed to ensure major policy legislation is not rushed, allowing time for public review, committee scrutiny, and analysis before a hearing. It prevents immediate action on significant Ordinances — usually introduced by a Supervisor or Mayor — by mandating a 30-day waiting period.

Specifically, **Rule §3.22** states that measures introduced to the Board of Supervisors that would create or *revise major City policy*, the committee to which the measure is assigned **shall not consider the measure until at least 30 days after the day of introduction of the legislation**. In addition, Board Rule §3.33 requires that a proposed amendment to the Municipal Code or Administrative Code be available to the public for seven days prior to receiving a recommendation by a Board committee.

Clearly, the Streamlining Task Force’s recommendations to drastically alter San Francisco’s board and commissions functions and responsibilities involves a “major policy” matter for both the Board of Supervisors, and all San Franciscans, writ large.

March 6, 2026

Testimony on File #260225 — Motion: Committee of the Whole Hearing Prop. E Streamlining Task Force Final Report Recommendations and Legislation

Page 2

On Thursday March 5, the Board of Supervisors meeting agenda for Tuesday March 10 was published on line, showing at agenda item 31 in Board File #260225 that Supervisor Mandelman has suddenly introduced a motion to pull the Commission Streamlining Task Force's findings and recommendations from the Rules Committee back to the full Board of Supervisors for a "*Committee of the Whole Hearing*" on the report and legislation seven days later at the Board's meeting on St. Patrick's Day, March 17.

According to Mandelman's timeline, San Franciscans would be reduced to a 7-day to 10-day window before the Board of Supervisors hears the matter on March 17 and adopts the recommended Charter Amendment and Ordinance language, all of which would become a done deal.

The materials include the Task Force's 134-page "*Final Report*" containing recommendations on streamlining reform of San Francisco's boards, commissions, and policy advisory bodies, and two pieces of related legislation — the proposed 166-page Charter Amendment language for the November 2026 election, and an initial 308-page City Ordinance that would go into effect 90 days later, if it is passed rapidly on March 17.

One problem is, the legislation was quietly "*Assigned*" to the Rules Committee in Board File #260147 only on February 10 in Version 1, containing only the "*Final Report*" transmitted to the Board of Supervisors on January 27. Version 2 of the Assignment was "*Substituted and Assigned*" to the Rules Committee on Tuesday March 3, after the Board of Supervisors received the two pieces of legislation transmitted to it a month later on February 27.

Another problem is, there has been no mention of, or any notice to the public, on any of the Board of Supervisors or the Rules Committee meeting agendas that the matter had even been assigned to Rules. The Rules Committee has held no hearings on the matter, and it hasn't been listed in the "*Legislation Under the 30-Day Rule*" pending section of the Rules Committee's agendas.

Then on March 5, Supervisor Mandelman's Motion to pull the matter from the Rules Committee to the full board magically appeared, just four working days *after* the Board of Supervisors received the two pieces of legislation transmitted on February 27.

This leaves no time for meaningful public participation on a thoughtful, advanced basis.

This smacks of the actions under President Trump and Elon Musk to do so-called government reform and efficiency measures under the failed DOGE *scam*. San Franciscans want "San Francisco Values" upheld, and subverting our City's participatory governance system of board and commission checks-and-balances deserves better than the subterfuge of bypassing Rules Committee review of major policy decisions protected by Board Rule §3.22.

Vote "**No**"! Reject Mandelman's sole-sponsor Motion in File #260225 to pull this item from the Rules Committee without proper 30-day review of a major policy decision! Allow the Rules Committee to conduct thoughtful review of the 800-plus recommendations in the Streamlining Task Force's 134-page "*Final Report*", and the 475 pages of its proposed legislation. Conducting review of 608 pages of recommendations from the Streamlining Task Force during a single "*Committee of the Whole*" hearing does not do justice to massively overhauling participatory democratic governance of our City.

Vote "No"! Allow the Rules Committee review process to play out.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Patrick Monette-Shaw

Columnist/Reporter

Westside Observer Newspaper

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director, Clerk of the Board's Office

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Feedback: Charter Reform File No. 260225, 260147
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:14:23 PM
Attachments: [commissions-support SMC.pdf](#)
[commissions-support SGAC.pdf](#)
[HOCcommissionsupport.pdf](#)
[commissions-support OCOH.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from the Coalition on Homelessness regarding:

File No. 260225 - Motion calling from the Rules Committee, pursuant to Board Rule 3.38, the Hearing (File No. 260147) to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and scheduling the Board of Supervisors to sit as a Committee of the Whole at the meeting on March 17, 2026, at 3:00 p.m. to hold a public hearing.

File No. 260147 - Hearing to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Proposition E Commission Streamlining Task Force's (Task Force) Final Report, and the draft Charter Amendment, entitled "Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies," which proposes to implement the Task Force's recommendations, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.100.1(e); and requesting the City Administrator's Office to report.

From: Jennifer Friedenbach <jfriedenbach@cohhsf.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2026 3:29 PM
To: CON, Charter Reform (CON) <CharterReform@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Feedback: Charter Reform

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Supervisors,

Attached are letters we sent to the commission streamlining task force outlining our concerns with recommendations to remove real community oversight over homeless oversight.

There are certainly commissions and advisory boards that are inactive, but the recommendations go much farther by dismantling participatory democracy and removing key oversight and subject expertise.

In addition, we are concerned with some of the recommendations from the charter reform working groups.

We are happy that the baseline discussion seems to be dropped. Baselines are direct democracy and do not threaten taking over the entire budget. They ensure spending on people's priorities as opposed to political whims.

We are also concerned with centralizing and increasing executive power. This has been a huge problem at the federal level, and these recommendations seem to be following suit. Government should never be run like a corporation. No Kings federally. No Kings locally. If charter reform increases executive control over the budget while weakening voter protections, it moves us away from participatory government and toward consolidation. The problem with that is that leads to poor decision making and incentivizing "governance by press release". At every level of government, democracy operates effectively with a system of checks and balances. The legislative body in particular is a direct representation of neighborhoods citywide. Same with citizen commissions, which represent specific constituencies with subject matter expertise.

We are also concerned about the proposal to raise Signature Thresholds as this Tilts Democracy Toward Wealth. Doubling the number of signatures required to qualify for the ballot would make citizen-led reform harder and wealth-driven reform easier. Wealthy interests can hire signature gatherers. Community coalitions rely on volunteers and modest resources. Particularly now, when recent reports have uncovered strategic investments of hundreds of millions of dollars into influencing local San Francisco electoral politics, it's absolutely incumbent that we preserve an avenue for grassroots community voice without the influence of dark money. If qualification thresholds rise, future community-driven measures like Children's Fund or Dignity Fund renewals may become financially out of reach. If we make it harder for communities to qualify initiatives, we make it easier for only the wealthy to shape the ballot.

The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers are organizing once again to undo community-led tax initiatives adopted by a 50+1 vote threshold, and this is directly feeding into their agenda. Many of the resources the Mayor is able to successfully deploy now are because community members have been able to have legal standing to push dedicated taxes like Proposition C without the same constraints as government. This is a direct attack on civic engagement and popular representation by making community initiatives harder to get on ballot, whether it's funding housing, arts or education.

We are also very concerned about raising the threshold for Board approval of contracts. \$10m is already too high. This is dangerous and contracts need to be reviewed in the light of day.

Our initiative, Prop C has led to over 5,000 being housed and thousands more receiving prevention, treatment and shelter services. The homeless population today in San Francisco would likely be 30-50% higher if it wasn't for this initiative. The initiative is wildly successful - but only because there has been high level of participatory democracy and the elevating of the voices of those who are homeless and working on the front lines. Many of these initiatives threaten and curtail this potential.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jennifer Friedenbach (she,her)

jfriedenbach@cohsf.org

Coalition on Homelessness

280 Turk Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415)346-3740

https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___http://www.cohsf.org/___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiNDk3MjVlZmQ4OWI2MjczMWJiMTQxMDdjODAzOTkzYzo3Ojl0ODM6MDc1ZWY4YTFkODZjMWVhNmQwOTkwZjU3NDViMDEwZGRiMWVhYWQ3NGM0ZTI1ZDdmN2lwYWY2MTg0MzJjNmM3Zjp0OIQ6Tg

Twitter @TheCoalitionSF

Instagram coalitiononhomelessness

[Facebook](#)

[Donate now](#) to the Coalition on Homelessness!

"Love is the only force capable of transforming an enemy into a friend."

Martin Luther King, Jr.



COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS

October 4, 2025

Commission Streamlining Taskforce
Ed Harrington, Chair
Andrea Bruss
Sophie Hayward
Natasha Mihal
Sophia Kittler

Dear Commission Streamlining Task Force,

The Shelter Monitoring Committee was created to ensure that shelters followed the standards of care established via legislation by then Supervisor Tom Ammianno. Shelters are large facilities that house vulnerable people and unlike any other institutions in the state, have no licensing requirements. At the time the legislation was passed, many shelters did not have toilet paper, no soap to wash hands, and mats were piled right next to each other. Sleeping mats, mattresses and pillows had no coverings to protect against skin born infections. From a communicable disease perspective, this was a major problem. In addition, many shelter residents didn't have drinking water, or blankets. All of this changed with the implementation of the shelter monitoring committee, indicating the clear necessity of having a body independent of the Executive Branch, to help the Executive Branch meet its goals.

There are 32 shelter standards today for 50 shelters, and without monitoring, many shelters would not be following the standards. The body does announced and unannounced visits to shelters, takes and investigates complaints and ensures that the standards are followed. Some complaints are more serious such as sexual exploitation of clients by staff, while others are minor but important such as not stocking toilet paper. But all the standards are incredibly important to the people living in shelter.

Shelters are not easy places to live, but at the very least the Shelter Monitoring Committee is taking a look and making sure basic standards are intact.

It is completely unreasonable that the HOC conduct unannounced and unannounced visits, take and investigate complaints, and focus in on this important work. They simply do not have the capacity to do all this work. We recommend the continuation of this critical advisory body- an excellent example of participatory democracy and one of many innovations that encapsulate the soul of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JFR', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Jennifer Friedenbach
Executive Director



COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS

October 4, 2025

To Commission Streamlining Task Force,

Commission Streamlining Taskforce
Ed Harrington, Chair
Andrea Bruss
Sophie Hayward
Natasha Mihal
Sophia Kittler

This letter is in regard to the Shelter Grievance Advisory Committee. This body meets only quarterly but provides a very important and focused role. It oversees due process in the city's shelter system. The very specialized knowledge the volunteers bring to the table is a great example of why participatory democracy is so important, adding substantive benefit to the Executive Branch's Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing – far more than is spent on administrative support.

The shelter system in San Francisco serves our most vulnerable residents. Residents who when kicked out of shelter find themselves often in a serious safety risk. For women and elderly people especially, life on the streets can turn tragic quickly. Shelter residents are at the mercy of the programs that serve them.

The process creates an excellent management tool as well as the procedure ensures that shelters are following their own rules. However, there are over 20 shelters, and each is operated independently, so it is very easy for things to slide sideways without oversight. Staff are often underpaid and undertrained. Sometimes, new shelters fail to follow the procedure, or sometimes old shelters fall off. The oversight body ensure that there are clear transparency and tracking mechanisms in place. Looking at the data they can see if a shelter is disproportionately kicking more people out than normal, and that indicates a red flag for corrective action.

The body is balanced with providers, consumers, advocates and volunteer arbitrator. Robust conversations take place among people with far greater insight and knowledge than can be expected of city administrators. They are volunteers taking the time to ensure that shelters themselves remain safe, while practices are fair to unhoused people.

HOC has such a huge workload reviewing contracts, preventing corruption and overseeing the entire system, a laser focused look at shelter due process simply would not occur and the risk of losing that is high. Lives are at stake. We recommend this advisory body stays.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JFR', with a large, sweeping loop at the end.

Jennifer Friedenbach
Executive Director



COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS

October 4, 2025

Commission Streamlining Taskforce
Ed Harrington, Chair
Andrea Bruss
Sophie Hayward
Natasha Mihal
Sophia Kittler

Dear Commission Streamlining Task Force,

The Homeless Oversight Commission was recently established by voter approval in 2022. It was supported unanimously by the Board of Supervisors and 67% of voters. Voters were frustrated with the status quo on homelessness, even with a swelling budget thanks to the release of multiple years of Prop C Our City Our Home funds. Before this was passed, HSH was the largest department without independent oversight, and the commission was specifically designed to create checks and balances.

This body is critical for several reasons. The Department was suffering with large numbers of vacancies in supportive housing. Some of the housing under the department had miserable conditions. After the commission was formed, transparency created pressure to address the vacant units. There was now a place for public input directly to the executive branch. Unhoused people frequently visit the commission to air issues. Before the creation of the commission there was no place to talk directly to the management of the department. This is helpful for the management – they often don't hear from folks in shelter, front line staff and folks who are living this day to day. Quality services and performance do not come from armchairs; they come out of participation from those who are impacted.

Previously, getting information from the Department was challenging, often policies would change without public airing. Now, information is gathered and shared. Outcomes of subpopulations, disparities in receipt of services, overall number of people housed as compared to the total need, and deep dives into data that is receiving plenty of sunshine is enjoyed by the people of San Francisco. All of these are good government basics. The commission is essential to the continued improvement of Departmental performance and most importantly to transforming the lives and experiences of unhoused people.

The goal of having this and any other commission is participatory democracy. Any changes should be conceived within that framework. The Homeless Oversight Commission is an excellent example of achieving just that. It is a split commission which creates checks and balances in a city that has the strongest mayoral structure in the country short of New York City. It is independent, which is critical.

Our homeless system in SF has been disfigured dramatically because homeless people themselves are consistently used as political wedges. As a result, decisions at the top are frequently made based on political value and not based on data driven best practices. It is independent because it is a split commission. In addition, if the mayor can remove any commissioner for any reason, not just cause, and is making all the appointments, obviously the value of the commission disappears. It is a rubber stamp and participatory democracy disappears.

In reviewing your materials, there is no explanation about what problems are being solved by changing supervisors' appointments to mayoral appointments and changing "for cause" removal to "at will". It is clear, however, that these changes create a politicization of commissioners and commissions that is antithetical to the purpose of these commissions. HOC is made up of people who bring their expertise and community experience to their roles on these bodies rather than being beholden to a politician's agenda.

If we want a functioning democracy, we need the HOC to continue as designed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JFR', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Jennifer Friedenbach
Executive Director



COALITION ON HOMELESSNESS

September 25, 2025

Commission Streamlining Taskforce
Ed Harrington, Chair
Andrea Bruss
Sophie Hayward
Natasha Mihal
Sophia Kittler

Dear Commission Streamlining Task Force,

The Our City Our Home oversight body is an advisory body responsible for overseeing the homeless gross receipts tax and develops an annual investment plan. It also is required to publish an annual report. The budget recommendations from OCOH go through the normal budget process. Given the large amount of funds and the necessary subject expertise to ensure a successful investment plan, the Our City Our Home Oversight body plays a critical role. The idea that this work could be taken by HOC, is just not reasonable, as the HOC agenda is so jam packed they rarely have time to finish their own work at hand, and certainly don't have the capacity to do such intensive deep dives.

The body is extremely active, members conduct research, hold listening sessions, gather information from stakeholders and are exceptionally committed to ending homelessness for as many people as possible. This means the city gets free time from citizens who - how wonderful is this - are able to ensure the city effectively address the most pressing issue of our city.

As the organization who led this voter initiative at the November 2018 ballot, we made over 70,000 calls to voters and knocked on over 35,000 doors. What we heard repeatedly is that voters liked the idea but were worried that the money

would be misspent or wasted. We explained that the fund would have an oversight body appointed by the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and Controller's Office. Voters who were skeptical were reassured and were persuaded to support the measure. Changing that now would erode trust among voters.

San Francisco has a long history of participatory democracy. The processes of the Our City Our Home is incredibly collaborative and only through collaboration can innovation arise. The Our City Our Home oversight body has ensured funds are spent wisely – for example, recommending that the safe sleep sites were not cost effective and should be phased out. The city was spending \$120,000 per tent, and residents had poor conditions, including being negatively impacted by inclement weather, and faced rodent infestations. The oversight body also recommended data driven aggressive spending on OCOH funds, especially communities that were seeing increased homelessness such as families, Bayview residents and youth. The oversight body also saw to a diversification of the shelter system, adding new components such as tiny homes, semi and non-congregate beds. The behavioral health system also saw new innovations in approaches to mental health and substance use issues such as the successful SOMA rise program and a new crisis stabilization unit.

Overall, the oversight body has ensured by working with city and county staff that over 5,000 people have been successfully housed including 1,700 children, over 15,000 people receiving behavioral health services including the addition of 400 more beds, almost 4,000 households sheltered, and over 22,000 households receiving prevention services

Going forward, the body will help city staff, the board and mayor make tough decisions to address the operating budget deficit given the Mayor's very critical but difficult decision to use of one-time funds to pay for new programs with ongoing operating costs, an approximate \$40 million operating deficit in Behavioral Health and \$30 million deficit in shelter. These recommendations will come out of a deep dive into data looking at success rates, cost benefit analysis and input from front line workers and unhoused people themselves. Doing this work outside of a political context is incredibly important to ensure success on this issue. It also takes far more elbow grease than the incredibly busy homeless department staff has time for.

Thank you for consideration and support. Please keep the highly effective Our City Our Home oversight body as designed. If it isn't broke – don't fix it.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'JFR', with a large, stylized loop at the end.

Jennifer Friedenbach
Executive Director

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: SF Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:29:00 AM
Attachments: [Resolution Re SF Sunshine Ordinance TaskForce.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from Pacific Media Workers Guild, NewsGuild-CWA Local 39521, regarding the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Michael Applegate <michael@mediaworkers.org>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Annie Sciacca <aesciacca@gmail.com>; Richard Knee <rak0408@sonic.net>
Subject: SF Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The attached resolution is sent on behalf of the Pacific Media Workers Guild, NewsGuild-CWA Local 39521 and local president, Annie Sciacca.

We welcome opportunities to further discuss our concerns.

--

Michael Applegate / Executive Officer
michael@mediaworkers.org / 417-349-1138

Pacific Media Workers Guild
[433 Natoma, Suite 250](#), San Francisco, CA 94103

mediaworkers.org



Pacific Media Workers Guild

The NewsGuild - Communications Workers of America Local 39521

433 Natoma Street, San Francisco, California 94103, 415.421.6833, Fax 415.421.3751

A RESOLUTION CALLING FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO EFFECT KEEPING AS MANDATORY AND SEAT-SPECIFIC THE QUALIFICATIONS TO SERVE ON THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE (“SOTF”) AS SET FORTH IN ARTICLE IV, SECTION 67.30(a), OF THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE; AND RETENTION OF THE MEMBER-NOMINATING AUTHORITY OF CERTAIN NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS AS SPECIFIED IN SAID SECTION.

1. WHEREAS of the five city and state entities empowered to act on city agencies’ and officials’ violations of local and state open-meeting and public-records (“sunshine”) laws, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force is documentably the only such entity consistently willing to do so and provides this service free of charge to members of the public;
2. WHEREAS San Francisco voters have made clear that they favor laws and an enforcement system effecting maximum public oversight of city government actions and policies and the bases thereof;
3. WHEREAS an ad hoc, advisory panel called the Commission Streamlining Task Force (“CSTF”) has published recommendations to include in a city Charter amendment possibly to be on the municipal ballot in November, 2026, (1) that qualifications to serve on the SOTF as set forth in Article IV, Section 67.30(a), of the Sunshine Ordinance (Administrative Code Chapter 67) be reduced to “desirable” from mandatory, and to be changed to body-level from seat-specific; and (2) that the authority of certain non-governmental organizations designated in said sections to nominate members to specific SOTF seats be ended;
4. WHEREAS said recommendations would, if followed, remove assurance that at least four (4) of the SOTF’s eleven (11) voting members are knowledgeable on local and state sunshine laws and favor government-transparency principles, thereby reducing the ability and willingness of the SOTF to hold sunshine scofflaws accountable;
5. WHEREAS this would significantly increase certain costs to city government by (1) forcing members of the public to sue for remedy of sunshine-law violations and (2) weakening or removing discouragement to city agencies and officials to make backroom deals,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Pacific Media Workers Guild (The NewsGuild-Communications Workers of America Local 39521; “the Guild”), for whose

journalist members sunshine is essential to thorough and accurate reporting, calls upon the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to reject both recommendations described in the foregoing Paragraph 3.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that inclusion of either or both of said recommendations in said Charter amendment proposal will constitute sufficient reason for the Guild to publicly oppose said Charter amendment.

ALL OF THE FOREGOING APPROVED BY THE PACIFIC MEDIA WORKERS GUILD
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON MARCH 6, 2026.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Annie Sciacca". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Annie Sciacca
President

Pacific Media Workers Guild, NewsGuild-CWA Local 39521
aesciacca@gmail.com / 925-389-7223

Item 12

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 251117 - Autonomous Vehicles - 16 letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:29:13 PM
Attachments: [251117 16 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 16 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 251117 - Resolution urging the California State Legislature and the Governor of California to allow local communities the right to vote on whether autonomous vehicles can operate in their counties.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Arulselvan Madhavan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 4:11:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Arulselvan Madhavan
arulselvan1234@gmail.com
545 Kirkham street
San Francisco, California 94122-3610

From: [Claire Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 6:04:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Claire Thomas
cet.samoht@proton.me

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Fanilla Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 9:05:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I am a D2 constituent. I am writing because in the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. D9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, D3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and D2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Fanilla Cheng
fanilla.c@gmail.com
1090 Eddy St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Matthew Pancia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 10:27:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Matthew Pancia
dsa.san francisco@gmail.com
1916 McAllister St
San Francisco, California 94115-4323

From: [Rachel Reynolds](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos and all AVs
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:25:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Rachel Reynolds
stereopear@gmail.com
901 Broderick St apt 1
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Simone Baggetto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 9:16:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Simone Baggetto
sbaggetto@gmail.com
2674 McAllister St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Karla Gallardo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 11:18:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Karla Gallardo
karlajaygallardo@gmail.com
744 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [TJ Holsman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 1:36:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

TJ Holsman
tjholmsman@gmail.com
3140 21st St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Rishi Simha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:50:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Rishi Simha
simha.rishi@gmail.com
228 Clipper St
San Francisco, California 94114-3819

From: [Jennifer Raviv](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:04:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Jennifer Raviv
jenniferraviv@gmail.com
1606 Church Street, #4
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [edna kozikaro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:22:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

edna kozikaro
ednakozikaro@gmail.com
115 gough st
san francisco, California 94102-5955

From: [Piper Alldredge](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:26:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Piper Alldredge
piper.alldredge@gmail.com
165 Duboce Ave Apt 202
San Francisco, California 94103-1148

From: [Sarah Merry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:55:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Sarah Merry
scmerry@gmail.com

,

From: [Claire Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 3:36:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Claire Thomas
cet.samoht@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Jason Kruta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:24:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Jason Kruta
jpkruta@gmail.com
712 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118-4013

From: [Rebecca Sand](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Let us decide on Waymos
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:14:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

In the wake of the December power-outage in which Waymos gridlocked traffic citywide, the Alphabet Inc. subsidiary continues to refuse transparency.

With traffic lights down, the confused robotaxis blocked roadways across SF, causing long lines of drivers and impeding emergency vehicles. Yet, Waymo still will not release even the most basic details, such as the size of their deployed fleet and the locations of remote-control call centers, to local and federal agencies.

In 2024, California state lawmakers put forward legislation that would have let cities regulate autonomous vehicles, but the bill was pulled when the Assembly Transportation committee attempted to alter the bill. District 9 Supervisor Jackie Fielder has urged the state to revive the bill and put it before the people to vote on; however, District 3 Supervisor Daniel Sauter and District 2 Supervisor Stephen Sherrill, her fellow Government Audit & Oversight Committee members, are resisting this push.

Please support Supervisor Fielder's resolution calling on state legislators to allow our city to have more control over our streets.

Rebecca Sand
rebeccapsand@gmail.com
54 Guy Place
San Francisco, California 94105

Item 13

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment: How many abductions are permissible?
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:28:00 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Lea McGeever regarding File No. 260042, Resolution No. 25-26.

File No. 260042: Resolution condemning Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) for actions that have led to loss of life; urging state and federal partners to call for a third-party investigation on all deaths that have occurred as a result of actions taken by ICE officers; calling for a moratorium on ICE detention until a third-party investigation be conducted and corrective action be implemented; and reaffirming San Francisco's commitment to upholding Sanctuary City policies. (Chen, Walton, Fielder, Mahmood, Chan, Melgar, Sauter, Mandelman, Sherrill)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Lea McGeever <lea.mcgeeever@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; dorseyintern@gmail.com; WaltonStaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; WongStaff (BOS) <WongStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>; MYR - Scheduling <scheduling@sfgov.org>; MYR-Appointments <mayor.appointments@sfgov.org>; commendations (MYR) <commendations@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; DPH CDTA Contractor Communications <cdaunit@sfdph.org>; CON, Controller (CON) <controller.con@sfgov.org>; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Assessor, SF

(ASR) <assessor@sfgov.org>; DPH-Press <DPH.Press@sfdph.org>; Segal, Ned (MYR) <ned.segal@sfgov.org>; Slaughter, Staci (MYR) <staci.slaughter@sfgov.org>; Goudeau, Matthew (MYR) <matthew.goudeau@sfgov.org>; Garcia, Moises (MYR) <moises.garcia@sfgov.org>; Zou, Han (MYR) <han.zou@sfgov.org>; Bonde, Aly (MYR) <aly.bonde@sfgov.org>; Gabillet, Annie (MYR) <annie.gabillet@sfgov.org>; Black, Haakon (MYR) <haakon.black@sfgov.org>; Thongsavat, Adam (MYR) <adam.thongsavat@sfgov.org>; Mariano, Eileen (MYR) <eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org>; Modi, Kunal (MYR) <kunal.modi@sfgov.org>; Negusse, Nina (MYR) <Nina.Negusse@sfgov.org>; Adeyemi, Andre (MYR) <andre.adeyemi@sfgov.org>; Ethics Commission, (ETH) <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>; Lutvak, Charles (MYR) <charles.lutvak@sfgov.org>; alicia.johnbaptiste@sfgov.org

Subject: Public Comment: How many abductions are permissible?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to get clarification regarding how many people ICE is allowed to abduct from our city?

According to [Mission Local](#), they have "documented over 120 arrests downtown at these locations." Beyond SF, they state "The [Deportation Data Project](#) notes that ICE has [arrested some 2,123 people](#) in the "San Francisco area of responsibility" from Jan. 20 to June 26 [2025]." I assume this to mean these abductions are being processed at 630 Sansome, San Francisco. This number of abductions is also very outdated.

Most recently, we have ICE trying out new tactics of abducting San Franciscans.

Reported February 14: [Judge calls ICE detention of Russian Diamond Heights nanny 'inexplicable'](#) "

"We got a phone call from the employer around 9:15 who called our office frantically saying, 'Our nanny was just arrested outside of our home. **Masked people pulled up in an unmarked car and took her,**'" Shamieh said.

Shamieh says despite their requests to see the detainee who spent hours at the Sansome Street processing ICE center, **they were denied access.**"

Reported March 5: [Bay Area mom arrested by ICE with her two young kids. Her attorneys can't find them](#)



"A Colombian asylum-seeker, Gutierrez was with her two children, ages 5 and 7, when all three were detained, De Bremaeker said. **The 7-year-old boy is deaf and did not have his hearing aids with him.**"

Reported February 27, we also have another [Russian immigrant who is going to be evicted from their housing](#) in D6 because they are being imprisoned by ICE and won't be able to make their court hearing with their landlord. **Is it too much to ask the D6 supervisor, or ANY supervisor, to speak with the landlord of [Trinity Place](#) at 33 Eighth St. to try to save this poor immigrant man from eviction? What kind of moral courage would that take? Do any of you have it?** But maybe that's all too late now and he's lost his housing, who knows?

Empathetic souls who volunteer and do court accompaniment for immigrants are also saying there will be three judges from outside courts coming to 100 Montgomery the week of March 16th to carry out master hearings all day for most of the week. This is unusual activity and so people are concerned some more abductions may happen. ICE never gives people a head's up when they come for you, so we really do not know if this will happen.

Can I get a number on exactly how many human beings ICE will be allowed to abduct and opaquely imprison in a concentration camp where they will very potentially die from severe neglect, emotional terror, and potentially suffer physical and sexual assaults? While also being forced to labor for dollars, potentially, so we Americans can continue consuming cheap goods?

Can you please share with me this number?

What is the cap on human sacrifices in San Francisco?

Please don't repeat what Mayor Lurie told me on the street across from 630 Sansome when I asked about helping immigrants: He's in touch with the Rapid Response Network. **If you also happen to know how many times he's talked to RRN vs Kristi Noem, I'd appreciate this information too.**

If any of the CC'ed people can get answers to these questions so that we, the San Francisco

public, can know, that would be great! You, the SF government, aren't being very transparent on these concerning topics.

Parting words:

"We live in a time when politics has to be conducted on a moral plane, as a matter of sacrifice and responsibility, which is why it touches our emotions. For four years, we judged not in the name of the written law but in the name of the law we carry in our hearts. The law of the law books was of use to no one but the executioners." --Albert Camus, French resistance fighter, philosopher and writer, Sept 27, 1944, France.

Thank you for your time,
Lea McGeever
D6 resident

Item 14

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 251211 - Street Trees - 13 Letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:36:56 PM
Attachments: [251211 13 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 13 letters from members of the public and various organizations regarding:

File No. 251211 - Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to allow development projects to satisfy street tree planting requirements through payment of an in lieu fee or providing alternative landscaping; exempt accessory dwelling units from street tree planting requirements; eliminate appeals to the Board of Appeals for tree removals undertaken by City departments and commissions; and update in lieu fee reporting requirements; amending the Administrative Code to create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund to receive in lieu fees for street tree requirements; amending the Planning Code to update street tree applicability requirements; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte

Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163

richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Central City Democrats](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 6:48:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council,

The Central City Democrats are writing to strongly oppose the proposed street tree legislation in Board File 251211, introduced by Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Wong. This ordinance would amend the Public Works Code, Administrative Code, and Planning Code to permit developers to meet mandatory street tree planting requirements for new projects by paying an in-lieu fee—currently set at \$2,590 per tree—instead of planting and maintaining the trees on-site or in the public right-of-way. It would also exempt accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and junior ADUs from these requirements, create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund for these fees (with updated reporting but no enforceable geographic or spending mandates), and—most alarmingly—eliminate the public's right to appeal "hazard" tree removals initiated by City departments and commissions to the Board of Appeals, without establishing clear, objective criteria for what qualifies as a hazard.

As Democrats committed to inclusive, transparent, and participatory government, we are deeply troubled by how this legislation undermines core democratic principles: the right of residents to express their views, to be heard through fair and open processes, and to hold government accountable. San Francisco's progressive tradition relies on robust public participation, especially in decisions affecting our shared environment and neighborhoods. The current system allows community members to appeal City-initiated tree removals—providing a vital check against arbitrary or poorly justified actions. By removing this appeal right to the Board of Appeals, the proposal silences residents, reduces transparency, and limits meaningful oversight. This is not streamlining; it is restricting the democratic process that allows everyday San Franciscans to voice concerns about their streets, their air quality, and their community's green spaces.

The legislation also fails to deliver on its claimed equity goals. While proponents argue that in-lieu fees will support tree planting in "low-canopy areas," the ordinance text deposits these funds into the Adopt-A-Tree Fund without statutory requirements to prioritize underserved or high environmental justice burden communities. Without binding geographic restrictions or clear definitions (e.g., tied to canopy cover data or CalEnviroScreen metrics), there is no guarantee the funds will address inequities—potentially turning them into an unaccountable resource rather than a tool for environmental justice.

Furthermore, the Department of Public Works (DPW) has shown it struggles to

manage existing tree-related funds and obligations. In February 2023, DPW collected about \$242,000 from UCSF for 105 replacement trees—yet none have been planted years later. In December 2025, DPW canceled over 900 active 311 requests for tree replacements in empty sidewalk basins, without public input or adequate follow-through. Expanding in-lieu fees under these circumstances risks further unfulfilled promises and diminished urban canopy.

Developers already face legitimate hurdles in complying with street tree rules, but the solution lies in reforming and streamlining the permitting process—not in letting them opt out by paying a fee far below the true lifetime cost of a mature street tree (including planting, watering, maintenance, and risk management). This approach prioritizes developer convenience over public benefits like shade, stormwater absorption, air purification, and neighborhood livability.

For these reasons, we urge the City to reject Board File 251211 outright. Democratic governance demands that residents retain the ability to participate meaningfully in decisions that shape our city. Eliminating appeal rights for City-initiated tree removals erodes that participation without advancing housing or efficiency goals—appeals of such removals represent a tiny fraction of the Board of Appeals' caseload and have no direct tie to private development timelines.

If the proposal moves forward, any amendments must at minimum:

- Preserve and strengthen the public's right to appeal tree removals—including hazard designations—to the Board of Appeals, with transparent, evidence-based criteria, public notice, and documentation required for any hazard classification. This ensures residents can be heard in a fair, open process.
- Raise the in-lieu fee substantially to reflect the actual cost of a tree over its lifetime, making on-site planting the default choice.
- Impose strict, enforceable requirements on fee use, mandating prioritization in low-canopy and high environmental justice burden areas using data-driven definitions.
- Require comprehensive public transparency, including annual reports on collections, expenditures, planting locations, and progress on replacement backlogs.

San Francisco's urban forest is a public good that belongs to all residents. Policies must expand and protect it through inclusive, accountable processes—not diminish community voice or green infrastructure. We call on you to uphold democratic values by rejecting or fundamentally amending this proposal.

Sincerely,

Denise Dorey
Co-President
Central City Democrats

Central City Democrats

P.O. Box 420846

San Francisco, CA 94142-0846

415-339-VOTE (8683) v/Fax

centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com

Bringing downtown merchants and residents together since 2006.

<http://centralcitydemocrats.blogspot.com/>

From: [TAC](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 6:35:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council,

The Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco and its affiliates are writing to strongly oppose the proposed street tree legislation in Board File 251211. This ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Wong, would allow developers to satisfy mandatory street tree planting requirements for development projects by paying an in-lieu fee—currently set at \$2,590 per tree—instead of actually planting trees in the public right-of-way. It would also exempt accessory dwelling units (ADUs) from these requirements, eliminate the public's right to appeal certain tree removals by City departments and commissions, and create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund for these fees without strict geographic or spending mandates.

San Francisco residents, particularly tenants in dense urban neighborhoods, already rely heavily on new developments to incorporate sufficient greening measures to make their homes truly habitable. In a city plagued by heat islands, poor air quality, and limited tree canopy—one of the lowest among major U.S. cities—street trees are essential for providing shade, reducing urban heat, improving air quality, mitigating stormwater runoff, and enhancing overall livability. Elected officials have a responsibility to protect residents by ensuring that developers deliver real, on-site green infrastructure that directly benefits surrounding communities and future tenants, rather than allowing opt-outs that prioritize profit over public health and environmental equity.

Permitting developers to pay a modest \$2,590 fee in lieu of planting a tree amounts to little more than a giveaway to the real estate industry. Developers will almost certainly choose this low-cost option over navigating the City's often complex planting requirements, site constraints, utility conflicts, and long-term maintenance obligations. This will result in fewer street trees being planted overall, exacerbating the city's already inadequate canopy coverage. The City should instead focus its efforts on meaningfully streamlining the permitting process for legitimate tree planting—such as reducing bureaucratic delays, improving coordination across departments, and providing clearer guidance—rather than creating financial loopholes that enable developers to buy their way out of providing essential green infrastructure.

This legislation is being promoted under a misleading equity narrative. The legislative digest and proponents claim that pooled in-lieu fees will benefit "low-canopy areas" or underserved neighborhoods, often framed as Environmental Justice communities. However, the actual text of the ordinance deposits these fees into the Adopt-A-Tree

Fund (with a new separate account proposed) but includes no geographic spending restrictions, statutory requirements, or enforceable mechanisms to direct funds specifically to designated high-need or low-canopy areas. Without clear definitions and mandates—such as prioritizing "high environmental justice burden" zones or low-canopy census tracts—this creates an unregulated pool of funds that lacks transparency and accountability.

Compounding these concerns is the Department of Public Works' poor track record in managing existing in-lieu fees and tree-related obligations. For example, in February 2023, Public Works collected \$242,000 from UCSF as an in-lieu payment to plant 105 replacement trees as mitigation for removals tied to a project. As of later reports, zero of those trees had been planted, despite the funds being received years earlier. This demonstrates a clear inability or unwillingness to deploy collected fees effectively for their intended purpose.

Furthermore, in December 2025, the Department administratively canceled over 900 active 311 service requests for tree replacements in empty sidewalk basins across the city. These cancellations abandoned opportunities to fill vacant tree wells and expand the canopy in neighborhoods that desperately need it, further eroding public trust in the Department's capacity to steward tree funds and programs responsibly.

The proposal also eliminates the public's right to appeal "hazard" tree removals by City departments without providing a clear, statutory definition of what constitutes a true hazard (currently defined narrowly as a tree posing an "imminent hazard to person or property"). This change risks allowing the City to broadly label any inconvenient or redevelopment-obstructing tree as "hazardous," fast-tracking removals without meaningful public oversight, notice, or recourse.

For these reasons, the Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco urges the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council to reject Board File 251211 in its entirety. If the proposal advances, any amendments must at minimum:

- Substantially increase the in-lieu fee to reflect the true, long-term cost of planting, establishing, and maintaining a mature street tree (far exceeding \$2,590);
- Include strict, statutory requirements dictating that funds be spent exclusively in designated low-canopy and high environmental justice burden areas, with clear definitions and annual transparent reporting;
- Preserve and strengthen the public's right to appeal tree removals, including hazard designations, with robust notice, hearing, and oversight processes; and
- Mandate full transparency and accountability measures for all hazard designations and fee expenditures.

San Francisco cannot afford to weaken protections for its urban forest at a time when climate resilience, equity, and tenant livability demand stronger commitments to green infrastructure.

Sincerely,

Susan Bryan
Co-Founder
Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco and Affiliates

Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco

P.O. Box 420846;
San Francisco, CA 94142-0846
415-339-8327 Voice / 415-820-1565 Fax
<http://15thanniversarytac.blogspot.com/>
<http://tenantassociationscoalition.blogspot.com/>
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TenantAssociationsCoalition/>
Serving San Francisco since September, 1997

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:59:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding File No. 251211.

File No. 251211 - Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to allow development projects to satisfy street tree planting requirements through payment of an in lieu fee or providing alternative landscaping; exempt accessory dwelling units from street tree planting requirements; eliminate appeals to the Board of Appeals for tree removals undertaken by City departments and commissions; and update in lieu fee reporting requirements; amending the Administrative Code to create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund to receive in lieu fees for street tree requirements; amending the Planning Code to update street tree applicability requirements; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Michael Nulty <sf_district6@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2026 4:16 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council:

The Alliance for a Better District 6 is writing to strongly oppose the proposed street tree legislation in Board File 251211, introduced by the Mayor and Supervisor Wong. This ordinance would amend the Public Works Code, Administrative Code, and Planning Code to allow developers to satisfy mandatory street tree planting requirements for new development projects by paying an in-lieu fee—currently set at \$2,590 per tree—rather than actually planting and maintaining the required trees on-site or in the public right-of-way. It would also exempt accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and junior ADUs from these planting requirements entirely, eliminate the public's right to appeal tree removals classified as "hazardous" by City departments and commissions to the Board of Appeals, and create a separate account within the existing Adopt-A-Tree Fund to receive these in-lieu fees (with updated reporting requirements but no binding geographic or programmatic restrictions on spending).

This proposal represents a substantial giveaway to the real estate development industry at the expense of San Francisco's urban forest, environmental health, and equitable access to green infrastructure. Developers, facing the complexities of navigating the City's existing street tree planting requirements—including site assessments, species selection, infrastructure conflicts, and long-term maintenance obligations—will overwhelmingly opt to pay the relatively modest in-lieu fee instead of fulfilling their responsibility to plant trees. Rather than addressing legitimate barriers through meaningful reforms, such as streamlining the permitting process, improving coordination between departments, or providing clearer guidance and technical assistance to developers, this legislation simply allows them to pay to avoid compliance altogether. The result will be fewer on-site street trees in new developments, reduced canopy in growing neighborhoods, and diminished public benefits such as shade, air quality improvement, stormwater management, and biodiversity.

The legislation is being promoted under a misleading equity narrative. The legislative digest and public justifications suggest that pooled in-lieu fees will be directed toward "low-canopy areas" or communities with high environmental justice burdens. However, the actual text of the ordinance deposits these funds into the Adopt-A-Tree Fund (with a new separate account for street tree in-lieu fees) without any statutory mandates, geographic restrictions, or enforceable requirements to prioritize spending in underserved or low-canopy neighborhoods. Without clear definitions—such

as those tied to established Environmental Justice screening tools or low-canopy thresholds—and binding allocation priorities, this creates the risk of an unregulated pool of funds that could be spent anywhere in the City, undermining claims of equity-focused outcomes.

Compounding these concerns is the Department of Public Works' (DPW) demonstrated inability to effectively manage and deploy existing in-lieu fees and tree replacement funds. For example, in February 2023, DPW's Bureau of Urban Forestry collected approximately \$242,000 from UCSF as an in-lieu payment for 105 replacement trees related to a project mitigation requirement. As of recent records, **none** of those replacement trees have been planted, leaving the funds unspent and the mitigation unfulfilled years later. This pattern of underperformance raises serious doubts about DPW's capacity to responsibly administer an expanded in-lieu fee program.

Even more troubling, in December 2025, DPW administratively canceled over 900 active 311 service requests specifically for tree replacements in empty sidewalk tree basins across the City. These cancellations occurred without public notice, community input, or meaningful replacement efforts, further eroding trust in the department's commitment to maintaining and expanding the urban canopy. Additionally, the proposal eliminates the public's current right to appeal "hazard" tree removals to the Board of Appeals when such removals are initiated by City departments or commissions. It does so without providing a clear, objective definition or transparent criteria for what qualifies as a "hazard" tree (beyond the existing vague reference to "imminent hazard to person or property"). This change risks enabling arbitrary or expedited removals of healthy or inconvenient trees under the "hazard" label, bypassing public oversight and accountability.

For these reasons, we urge the City to reject Board File 251211 in its current form. If the proposal is not withdrawn entirely, any acceptable amendments must include the following critical changes:

- Substantially increase the in-lieu fee to reflect the full, true cost of planting, establishing, and maintaining a mature street tree over its lifetime (including labor, materials, watering, pruning, risk management, and replacement if needed), ensuring the fee is high enough to make on-site planting the clear preference for developers.
- Establish strict, enforceable requirements on the geographic and programmatic use of in-lieu fees, such as mandating that a significant portion (or all) be spent in designated low-canopy areas and/or high environmental justice burden communities, using clear, data-driven

definitions (e.g., based on tree canopy cover maps, CalEnviroScreen scores, or similar metrics).

- Preserve and strengthen the public's right to appeal tree removals—including hazard designations—to the Board of Appeals, while requiring transparent, evidence-based criteria, documentation, and public notice for any hazard classification.
- Mandate full transparency in reporting, including annual public disclosures of in-lieu fee collections, expenditures, locations of plantings funded by the fees, and progress on backlog replacement projects.

San Francisco's urban forest is a vital public asset that requires protection and expansion, not policies that facilitate its diminishment. We ask that you prioritize genuine solutions that increase tree canopy equitably and hold City departments accountable for existing obligations.

Sincerely,

Michael Nulty Executive Director

Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782

San Francisco, CA 94142-0782

(415) 339-8327 - Direct

(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6

(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats

(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association

<http://abd6.cfsites.org/>

From: [Tenderloin Treecampaign](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, SFDC](#)
Cc: [Councilman John McElroy](#), [John Nulty \(PDS\)](#)
Subject: Urgent Opposition to Board File 251211 - Don't Let Developers Destroy San Francisco's Urban Forest!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 9:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commission Members, Land Use and Transportation Committee, and Urban Forestry Council,

As a dedicated resident of Civic Center, I am outraged by Board File 251211 and demand that you reject this dangerous proposal immediately. This isn't just a minor tweak—it's a blatant assault on our city's vital urban forest, handed on a silver platter to greedy developers at the expense of our communities and environment.

Imagine our streets stripped bare: developers dodging their responsibility to plant street trees by simply paying a paltry \$2,590 fee per tree. This "pay-to-skip" scheme is nothing short of a massive giveaway to the real estate industry, ensuring they'll always take the easy way out—leaving new developments with lifeless, concrete sidewalks that worsen heat islands and diminish our quality of life. We can't afford to let this happen when San Francisco desperately needs more greenery to combat climate change, clean our air, and foster vibrant neighborhoods.

The City's hollow promises that these fees will magically plant trees in "low-canopy areas" are exposed as fiction—the bill's text includes no enforceable requirements whatsoever. Funds vanish into a vague sub-account of the Adopt-A-Tree Fund, left to the whims of Public Works, whose track record is abysmal. Just look at the \$242,000 from UCSF in 2023, meant for 105 replacement trees: three years later, not a single one has been planted! This is not oversight; it's incompetence that we refuse to tolerate any longer.

Worse still, the ordinance rips away our fundamental rights by eliminating appeals to the Board of Appeals for city-initiated tree removals, including those labeled "hazardous" under conveniently loose definitions. Without strict safeguards, Public Works could arbitrarily declare any tree an "inconvenience" to developers and axe it without a shred of public oversight. This isn't progress—it's a recipe for unchecked destruction that erodes democracy and accountability.

Our trees are irreplaceable lifelines: they shade our homes, purify our air, boost mental health, and build resilient communities. In neighborhoods, these trees have transformed scorching summers into bearable ones and created safe havens for families. Sacrificing them for developer profits would be a betrayal of everything that makes San Francisco special.

I implore you—do not betray our city. Reject Board File 251211 outright or overhaul it to mandate on-site planting, lock fees into non-disposal commitments for low-canopy areas with strict deadlines, and restore full appeal rights. Stand with residents, not special interests, for a truly greener, fairer, and more beautiful San Francisco. The future of our urban forest—and our city—depends on your action now.

Thank you for heeding this urgent call.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Nulty co-chair
Tenderloin Tree Campaign
P.O. Box 421949
San Francisco, CA 94142-1949
https://url.avanan.click/v2n01j___http://tenderlointreecampaign.blogspot.com/___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozMjhjZjQ4NDhmMTI1MTZyYWY2MjdlNDExODVzOmFjNDc0Ojg3ODg6MTg1MGQ4YTFlYjIjInkzOWUyMTc4NTJmYzA4ODVlNDJmYzIwZDZAYNGNlMjc0OGFiyQ2ZnRhOWZjOWQ3ZDYlMDp0OIQ6Tg

From: northofmarketplanningcoalition@yahoo.com
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Legislation (File No. 251211) – Elimination of Appeals for Street Tree Removals by City Departments and Commissions
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 3:22:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council,

The former board members of the North of Market Planning Coalition are writing to express our firm opposition to the proposed ordinance in Board File 251211. This legislation, introduced by Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Alan Wong, would amend the Public Works Code to allow development projects to satisfy mandatory street tree planting requirements through payment of an in-lieu fee (currently set at \$2,590 per tree) or by providing alternative landscaping; exempt accessory dwelling units (ADUs) from these requirements; eliminate the public's right to appeal tree removals initiated by City departments and commissions; and update in-lieu fee reporting requirements. It would further amend the Administrative Code to create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund specifically for these in-lieu fees, and amend the Planning Code to align street tree applicability with these changes.

San Francisco already has one of the lowest urban tree canopy coverages among major U.S. cities—approximately 13-14%—far below the national average and well short of what is needed for climate resilience, heat mitigation, air quality improvement, stormwater management, and overall quality of life. This sparse canopy is unevenly distributed, with low-canopy areas disproportionately affecting Environmental Justice communities in neighborhoods like South of Market, the Tenderloin, Bayview, and the Mission, where canopy coverage can dip as low as 4-7%. These areas face heightened risks from urban heat islands, poor air quality, and related health disparities.

As former leaders of a coalition long dedicated to thoughtful planning and community well-being in the North of Market area and beyond, we strongly oppose any weakening of existing street tree protections. Allowing developers and property owners to opt out of on-site planting via a relatively low in-lieu fee or vague "alternative landscaping" will predictably result in fewer trees being planted in the public right-of-way near new developments—precisely where they provide the most direct benefits to residents, pedestrians, and the immediate neighborhood. Developers will opt for the fee to avoid site-specific challenges, long-term maintenance responsibilities, and permitting hurdles, turning what should be a mandatory contribution to the urban forest into an optional, underfunded substitute.

Exempting ADUs from requirements further erodes potential canopy gains in residential areas, while eliminating public appeals for City-initiated tree removals

removes critical oversight and accountability. Without clear definitions of "hazard" trees and preserved appeal rights, this risks enabling unchecked removals that prioritize expediency over preservation.

Rather than diluting protections, the City should pursue policies that actively increase the urban tree canopy citywide to address equity gaps and build climate resilience. We urge rejection of Board File 251211 in its entirety and instead support the following stronger measures:

- **Mandate and incentivize on-site planting** wherever feasible, with significantly higher in-lieu fees (well above \$2,590, reflecting true costs of planting, establishment, irrigation, and 10+ years of maintenance for a mature tree) to discourage opt-outs and generate substantial revenue for proactive planting.
- **Prioritize fee expenditures** strictly in low-canopy, high Environmental Justice burden neighborhoods through statutory requirements, clear definitions (e.g., based on census tract data for canopy coverage below 10% and heat/pollution vulnerability), and annual transparent reporting on fund allocation and tree planting outcomes.
- **Streamline legitimate tree planting processes** by improving inter-departmental coordination, reducing bureaucratic delays for permits, providing technical assistance and pre-approved species/site guidance, and expanding partnerships with nonprofits like Friends of the Urban Forest to accelerate community-driven plantings.
- **Expand proactive citywide initiatives**, such as ambitious targets to fill vacant sidewalk tree basins (thousands exist citywide), launch targeted planting programs in underserved areas funded by general fund allocations or grants, and integrate tree canopy goals into all major planning documents and development approvals.
- **Strengthen public oversight**, including preserving and enhancing appeal rights for all tree removals, requiring robust notice and justification for hazard designations, and reinvigorating advisory roles for bodies like the Urban Forestry Council to guide equitable canopy expansion.

San Francisco's residents deserve a greener, healthier, more equitable city. Weakening tree requirements under the guise of permitting reform will only set back progress toward a more livable urban environment. We call on you to reject this proposal and champion measures that truly grow our tree canopy for all San Franciscans.

Sincerely,

Former Board Members,
North of Market Planning Coalition

From: [Robert Hall](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment: Planning Code Amendment – Ordinance, sponsored by Mayor Lurie to remove street trees
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:13:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners, Supervisors and Land Use and Transportation Committee Members,

Please reject Mayor Lurie's proposal to allow developers to forego their street tree responsibilities while paying a scant penalty. The public is growing weary of "Billionaires and Developers First" laws that cheapen our neighborhoods, diminish quality of life and air we breath and take away San Franciscans rights to weigh-in on matters. We don't want to be walled in by towers and concrete barriers. This may seem like a small issue but is a glaring blinking light indicating how far the city leaders are going to remake the city for the wealthy few who stand to profit by dismantling neighborhoods.

Now is not the time to pass laws to reduce our street tree canopy. The mayor is already defunding the Environment Department, much like his billionaire co-hort, Donald Trump, is doing on the federal level. We know the mayor is crime obsessed. Here's something that may appeal to him: *Studies have found that neighborhoods with greater tree canopy often experience **lower crime rates**. One analysis linked a 10% increase in canopy to a roughly 12% reduction in crime. Researchers believe greenery signals that a place is cared for and encourages more people to spend time outdoors, increasing informal neighborhood oversight.*

Bob Hall
94117

From: [Jean Barish](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SF Planning Commission Meeting, March 12, 2026 – Agenda Item 9 – Board File #251211
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:40:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

I am a Richmond District resident and President, Planning Association for the Richmond. I am writing on my own behalf regarding Agenda Item 9 on the March 12, 2026, Planning Commission Meeting. This Ordinance will impact San Francisco's tree canopy by allowing developers to pay an *in lieu* fee instead of planting trees in front of new developments. It will also alter the appeals process for tree removal. For the reasons set forth below, I oppose the proposed Ordinance as currently drafted.

Developers Should Not be Gifted with the Opportunity to Opt Out of Planting Trees

There are several reasons why I oppose this section of the Ordinance:

- The *in lieu* fee of \$2590 is unrealistically low and gives a developer an incentive to pay it instead of planting trees and maintaining them for a few years. It is likely, therefore, that this option will result in fewer trees being planted in San Francisco.
- Payment of an *in lieu* fee does not assure a new tree will be planted somewhere else in the city, especially since there is no mechanism to ensure that a healthy new tree will be planted elsewhere. The City claims these fees will fund tree planting in "low-canopy areas." The actual text of the legislation contains no such requirement. The money goes into a general fund. We know Public Works fails to manage these funds. In 2023, they collected \$242,000 from UCSF to plant 105 replacement trees. Three years later, those trees remain unplanted. This *in lieu* fee loophole is a gift to developers that will likely result in fewer trees in the City.
- Developers often see trees as an inconvenience. This provision will likely incentivize developers to pay the fee in order to avoid planting much needed trees, especially in a City that already has an unusually small tree canopy.

There Should be More Conditions Attached to the Removal of a Hazardous Tree Without Appeal

While it is important that hazardous trees should be removed, the designation of "hazard" needs more oversight and transparency. This section of the ordinance should not be approved unless and until it includes a commitment that the designation process has proper oversight, is transparent, and includes a commitment to expedited replanting when a tree is removed due to being "hazardous".

Compressing Appeal Times for All Other City-Initiated Tree Removals is

Unacceptable

Compressing the time for appeals is problematic and should not be approved. There is no evidence that appeals will increase construction costs, which is what the Mayor's office claims. While most City projects are years in the making, the average appeal time is only 75 days.

Further, a compressed time period would make it more difficult for an appellant to mount a meaningful appeal, since seeking public records takes longer than the 30 days allowed in this proposed appeal schedule. Shortening the appeal time, therefore, will unfairly deny appellants their due process rights, and should be rejected.

In conclusion, now is not the time to pass an ordinance that reduces our tree canopy, the oversight of tree removal and planting, and the public's right to stand up for the few trees we have. San Francisco needs sound ordinances and policies that will enhance our tree canopy, not diminish it. For the sake of our City and for the sake of our environment, please do not pass this Ordinance as currently written.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Jean B Barish

Jean B Barish, Esq., MS, MA
jeanbbarish@hotmail.com

From: [judith zimrin](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: trees and public input
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 4:10:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As the Land Use Transportation Committee considers the Mayor's full proposed amendments to our street tree legislation: (1) give developers the choice to plant or not; (2) eliminate the public's right to appeal trees that are designated as "hazards"; (3) and allow the public to appeal City-initiated tree removals but only on a compressed time schedule that could, in essence, curtail the public's ability to mount an effective defense of our trees, I would like to speak out against these proposals.

As a resident of North Beach we have been through these battles before. It is important to keep our city as green as possible and always allow time and space for neighbors input.

In short, now is not the time to be passing laws that reduce our tree canopy, its oversight, and the public's right to stand up for the few trees we have. San Francisco has the smallest urban forest of any major city in the United States. And, since 2017, *we have net lost hundreds of street trees*. Further, we are thousands of trees behind what is called for in our City's own Climate Action Plan - a plan created in response to the 2019 Declaration that we are in a Climate Emergency. **It is astounding that, as our climate rapidly deteriorates, we are playing games with this critical aspect of our City's climate resilience rather than doubling down on protecting and planting more trees.**
Thank you,
Judith Zimrin

From: [NorthofMarket BusinessAssociation](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:31:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council,

The North of Market Business Association is writing to express our firm opposition to the proposed ordinance in Board File 251211. This legislation, introduced by Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Alan Wong, would amend the Public Works Code to allow development projects to satisfy mandatory street tree planting requirements through payment of an in-lieu fee (currently set at \$2,590 per tree) or by providing alternative landscaping; exempt accessory dwelling units (ADUs) from these requirements; eliminate the public's right to appeal tree removals initiated by City departments and commissions; and update in-lieu fee reporting requirements. It would further amend the Administrative Code to create a separate account within the Adopt-A-Tree Fund specifically for these in-lieu fees, and amend the Planning Code to align street tree applicability with these changes.

San Francisco's urban tree canopy remains critically low—approximately 13-14% citywide, with some neighborhoods like South of Market, the Tenderloin, and other Environmental Justice areas experiencing coverage as low as 4-7%. This deficiency exacerbates urban heat islands, poor air quality, and health disparities in dense commercial and mixed-use districts, including North of Market and adjacent areas where businesses and residents alike suffer from limited shade and greening.

As an association representing businesses in the North of Market area, we know firsthand the vital role that street trees play in creating vibrant, safe, and economically thriving commercial corridors. Beautification through mature street trees in front of all commercial spaces—especially those associated with new development projects—is essential. Trees provide essential shade that makes sidewalks more comfortable for pedestrians during San Francisco's warmer days, fostering a neighborly, friendly atmosphere that encourages lingering, social interaction, and foot traffic. Research consistently shows that tree-lined streets attract more customers: businesses on streets with healthy canopy coverage report higher income streams (often 12% or more), as shoppers prefer shaded, inviting environments that promote relaxation and reduce stress. The calming effects of trees—known to lower aggression, improve mental health, and enhance overall perceptions of safety—help deter crime by increasing "eyes on the street" through greater pedestrian presence and informal surveillance. Studies across U.S. cities, including Baltimore and Portland, demonstrate that higher tree canopy correlates with reduced rates of violent and property crimes, as well as lower stress and increased community cohesion. These benefits add tangible value to future businesses by boosting property appeal,

increasing customer draw, and supporting long-term economic vitality in commercial districts.

Allowing developers to default to a modest in-lieu fee or vague alternative landscaping will inevitably lead to fewer on-site street trees planted directly in front of new commercial and mixed-use developments—precisely where they deliver the most immediate benefits to businesses, employees, customers, and the surrounding community. Developers will opt for the low-cost fee to sidestep site constraints, maintenance responsibilities, and permitting complexities, resulting in lost opportunities for greening that could enhance safety, customer attraction, and neighborhood appeal. Exempting ADUs further limits potential canopy gains in residential-adjacent areas, while removing public appeal rights for City-initiated tree removals reduces accountability and risks unchecked eliminations that undermine existing beautification efforts.

Rather than weakening these requirements, the City must prioritize policies that aggressively expand the urban tree canopy, particularly in commercial and underserved areas, to support business success, public safety, and climate resilience. We urge the rejection of Board File 251211 in its entirety. If the proposal advances, it must be substantially amended so that:

1. In-lieu payments are limited to truly infeasible sites (where planting is impossible, impracticable, or unsafe), rather than serving as a default option for any project.
2. The fee reflects the full cost of planting, establishment, irrigation, and long-term maintenance (far exceeding the current \$2,590 bargain-rate substitute) to discourage routine opt-outs and ensure adequate revenue for meaningful tree planting.
3. Spending is statutorily targeted to defined low-canopy and high Environmental Justice burden communities, with published criteria, maps (based on census tract data for canopy below 10% and vulnerability indices), and enforceable timelines for deployment and annual transparent reporting.
4. The ordinance preserves appeal rights for all tree removals and requires written, publicly posted findings and evidence for any “hazard” designation to maintain public oversight and prevent abuse.
5. The City fully complies with California’s Mitigation Fee Act requirements (Government Code § 66000 et seq.), including establishing a clear nexus between the fee and development impacts, segregating and accounting for revenues, providing regular public reporting, and ensuring lawful use of funds for their intended purpose.

San Francisco's businesses and residents deserve stronger protections for our urban forest, not loopholes that prioritize short-term development ease over long-term livability, safety, and economic health. Rejecting or meaningfully amending this proposal is essential to growing a greener, more welcoming, and prosperous city for

all.

Sincerely,

Karim Rantisi
Co-Founding member
North of Market Business Association

From: [Theatre District Neighbors](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Subject: Please Amend File 251211: Stop the Unregulated In-Lieu Fee Expansion
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:48:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, Urban Forestry Council, and elected officials:

Theatre District Neighbors is writing to strongly oppose the provision in Board File 251211 that eliminates the public's right to appeal City-initiated tree removals. Removing due process is not "streamlining"; it is a dangerous erosion of critical oversight that has historically protected mature trees from unnecessary destruction. This change risks allowing City departments and commissions to label and remove trees as "hazardous" without clear justification, public input, or recourse—undermining decades of community advocacy for preserving our urban forest in dense, low-canopy areas like the Tenderloin, Civic Center, and surrounding Theatre District neighborhoods.

San Francisco desperately needs more trees to make our city an even better place to live, work, and visit. Our urban canopy remains among the lowest in major U.S. cities at approximately 13-14% overall, with neighborhoods in the Theatre District and adjacent areas often faring worse due to dense development, concrete-heavy streetscapes, and historical underinvestment in greening. Expanding tree coverage here would provide essential shade to combat urban heat, improve air quality amid traffic and pollution, reduce stormwater runoff, enhance mental health and community well-being through calming green spaces, and create more inviting public realms for residents, theatergoers, workers, and visitors.

Furthermore, we request that the legislation be amended to strictly define "low tree canopy" neighborhoods using a transparent, data-driven methodology. This should incorporate current canopy cover percentages (e.g., from Planning Department or DataSF analyses showing coverage below 10% in many underserved tracts), environmental burden scores (such as those from CalEnviroScreen or local Environmental Justice mapping tools), heat vulnerability indices, pollution exposure, and socioeconomic factors. Without such clear, statutory definitions—accompanied by published criteria, maps, and enforceable spending requirements—the in-lieu fee mechanism risks becoming an unregulated slush fund. Collected fees could fail to reach the neighborhoods with the highest environmental burdens and greatest need for equitable greening, instead being dispersed without accountability or measurable impact.

We urge you to **continue** this item until these essential democratic and equitable protections are restored and strengthened. Specifically, please reject Board File

251211 or amend it so that:

1. **In-lieu payments are limited to truly infeasible sites (where planting is impossible, impracticable, or unsafe), rather than serving as a default option for any project.**
2. **The fee reflects the full cost of planting, establishment, irrigation, and long-term maintenance—not a bargain-rate substitute.**
3. **Spending is statutorily targeted to defined low-canopy and high Environmental Justice burden communities, with published criteria, maps (based on census tract data for canopy below 10% and vulnerability indices), and enforceable timelines for deployment and annual transparent reporting.**
4. **The ordinance preserves appeal rights for all tree removals and requires written, publicly posted findings and evidence for any “hazard” designation to maintain public oversight and prevent abuse.**
5. **The City fully complies with California’s Mitigation Fee Act requirements (Government Code § 66000 et seq.), including establishing a clear nexus between the fee and development impacts, segregating and accounting for revenues, providing regular public reporting, and ensuring lawful use of funds for their intended purpose.**

San Francisco's future depends on bold, equitable investments in our urban forest—not loopholes that weaken protections and delay meaningful canopy growth. Theatre District Neighbors stands ready to support policies that truly prioritize more trees, stronger oversight, and environmental justice for all residents.

Sincerely,

Theatre District Neighbors

--

Theatre District Neighbors

P.O. Box 420846

San Francisco, CA 94142-0846

theatredistrictneighbors@gmail.com

(415) 339-8779

<https://atasf7.wixsite.com/tdneighbors>

From: [dweller SF](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:09:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council,

As a resident of ZIP code 94105 in the Rincon Hill, South Beach, and South of Market (SoMa) area—one of San Francisco's fastest-growing neighborhoods dominated by high-rises and with critically low tree canopy coverage of approximately 4-5% (far below the citywide average of 13.7%), including areas like South Beach and parts of SoMa with very little tree cover—I and my family are vehemently opposed to the proposed amendments in Board File 251211. Our concrete-heavy streets already create severe urban heat islands, poor air quality, and extreme vulnerability to wildfire smoke and heat waves. These changes would exacerbate environmental inequities by letting developers skip on-site tree planting in the very places experiencing intense new development.

We need more trees to make SF an even better city. San Francisco's urban canopy remains one of the lowest among major U.S. cities, and neighborhoods like ours suffer disproportionately, with street tree canopy in South of Market and Civic Center at just 4.1%. This scarcity intensifies heat (temperatures 10–20°F higher than greener areas), worsens air pollution from nearby freeways and traffic, and heightens risks during California's wildfires and droughts—we endured weeks of hazardous orange skies and smoke in 2020. Residents here face elevated threats of heat-related illness, respiratory problems, and other climate impacts. More trees would provide essential shade, cleaner air, stormwater mitigation, and a healthier, more livable environment for families, workers, and visitors in our high-density district.

This opposition is widespread: An online petition has garnered over 800 signatures, and a broad coalition—including business associations, labor unions, tenant groups, neighborhood associations, citizens planners, property owners, taxpayers, and many others—is firmly opposing the legislation as written. And found in public comments section letter from many community groups highlighting how these changes weaken protections and fail to deliver equitable greening.

Key Reasons for Opposition:

Devastating Blow to Greening in High-Density, Low-Canopy Areas Like 94105
Rincon Hill, South Beach, and SoMa have some of the lowest street tree canopy in the city—around 4.1% in parts of SoMa—with vast stretches of pavement and towering buildings offering little shade. These areas are priority zones for the \$12 million federal Inflation Reduction Act grant awarded to San Francisco Public Works in 2023, targeting low-canopy, heat-vulnerable neighborhoods including SoMa to

plant 3,500 new street trees and create green jobs. Allowing developers to pay in-lieu fees instead of planting on-site—or exempting accessory dwelling units (ADUs)—would undermine this critical effort, leaving new luxury high-rises without greenery and denying residents immediate cooling and cleaner air amid booming development.

Undermining Our Primary Source of New Trees Amid Severe Budget Crises

With the City's historic budget deficits—closing an \$800+ million shortfall in FY 2025-26 and facing projections up to \$1 billion or more in coming years—Public Works' Urban Forestry programs are chronically underfunded, relying heavily on grants and external sources. Mandatory tree planting from new development has become the main reliable way San Francisco adds street trees. Expanding in-lieu fees and exemptions would sever this vital pipeline, stalling greening in fast-developing areas like mine where every tree is essential.

Exacerbating Life-Threatening Health and Climate Risks

Low tree canopy in 94105 leads to temperatures 10–20°F higher than greener neighborhoods, worsened air quality from traffic and freeways, and severe impacts from wildfires and droughts. Eliminating the public's right to appeal City-initiated tree removals erodes critical oversight—removing due process is not "streamlining"; it is a removal of accountability that has historically saved mature trees from unnecessary destruction. This risks unchecked labeling of trees as "hazardous" without clear definitions, public input, or recourse, while online-only notices exclude many residents.

Betraying City Promises and Contradicting Protective Policies Elsewhere

These developer-friendly changes prioritize short-term efficiency over accountability, unlike robust appeal processes in cities such as Beverly Hills, Pacifica, Los Altos, Mountain View, Santa Cruz, Saratoga, San Luis Obispo, Santa Monica, and Pasadena. They undermine the Urban Forest Plan, federal Justice40 commitments, and environmental goals—while areas like 94105 suffer from unchecked concrete expansion.

Furthermore, we request that the legislation be amended to strictly define "low tree canopy" neighborhoods with a data-driven methodology that includes canopy cover percentages (e.g., below 10% from DataSF or lidar analyses), environmental burden scores (from CalEnviroScreen or local tools), heat vulnerability indices, pollution exposure, and socioeconomic factors. Without this definition—accompanied by published criteria, maps, and enforceable spending requirements—the in-lieu fee risks becoming a slush fund that fails to serve the neighborhoods with the highest environmental burden.

We urge you to **continue** this item until these essential democratic and equitable protections are restored and strengthened. Please reject Board File 251211 entirely or amend it so that:

1. In-lieu payments are limited to truly infeasible sites (where planting is impossible, impracticable, or unsafe), rather than serving as a default option for

any project.

2. The fee reflects the full cost of planting, establishment, irrigation, and long-term maintenance—not a bargain-rate substitute.
3. Spending is statutorily targeted to defined low-canopy and high Environmental Justice burden communities, with published criteria, maps (based on census tract data for canopy below 10% and vulnerability indices), and enforceable timelines for deployment and annual transparent reporting.
4. The ordinance preserves appeal rights for all tree removals and requires written, publicly posted findings and evidence for any “hazard” designation to maintain public oversight and prevent abuse.
5. The City fully complies with California’s Mitigation Fee Act requirements (Government Code § 66000 et seq.), including establishing a clear nexus between the fee and development impacts, segregating and accounting for revenues, providing regular public reporting, and ensuring lawful use of funds for their intended purpose.

We cannot afford more barren streets in our skyline-dominated neighborhood. My family demands you reject File No. 251211 entirely and strengthen mandates for on-site planting and public appeals to deliver real trees where new development is transforming our community.

Regards,

The Fong Family
94105 Resident & Native San Franciscan
Rincon Hill / South Beach / SoMa, San Francisco, CA

From: [Glenn Rogers](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: TREE CANOPY APPEAL PROCESS
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:26:17 AM
Attachments: [APPEAL PROCESS FOR TREE REDUCTION.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please, provide this letter in the records for the reduction of the time involved in the Appeal process for tree removal.

Thank you,

Glenn Rogers, RLA
Landscape Architect
License 3223
email: glennmandu@mac.com
website: alderlandscapearchitecture.com
cell: 415 875 0412



**TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION, THE LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

March 12, 2026

The desire to provide developers \$2,590 for in lieu fees for not planting trees is a gift to developers. This is because the City has no mechanism to plant trees anywhere else after giving the developers this in lieu fee. Additionally, this proposal does not streamline the developing process, it only makes it easier to remove unwanted trees.

Those that oppose the reduction of the Appeal process are not against removing dangerous trees that can injure the public. Those that oppose a shorter Appeal process are afraid it will be misused to remove trees to satisfy developer's goals and wishes.

"In short, now is not the time to be passing laws that reduce our tree canopy, its oversight, and the public's right to stand up for the few trees we have. San Francisco has the smallest urban forest of any major city in the United States. And, since 2017, *we have net lost hundreds of street trees*. Further, we are thousands of trees behind what is called for in our City's own Climate Action Plan - a plan created in response to the 2019 Declaration that we are in a Climate Emergency. It is astounding that, as our climate rapidly deteriorates, we are playing games with this critical aspect of our City's climate resilience rather than doubling down on protecting and planting more trees.," says Joshua Klipp, Esq..

Today, the average Appeal time for tree review is 75 days. Since the normal review process in the development of a project takes years to complete, this Appeal process is not a burden to developers.

We ask the Planning Commission and the Land Use and Transportation Committee to not attack our meager tree canopy in the City and allow the Appeal process for tree removal to remain as is, please?

Thank you,

Glenn Rogers, RLA
Landscape Architect
License 3223
Chair CSFN Open Space Committee

From: [Michael Nulty](#)
To: [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org
Subject: Board File 251211 (Street Tree Ordinance) Talking points & Media article
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:25:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Speaker: Michael Nulty, Citizen Planner, Tenderloin Resident & San Francisco Native

Opening

Good [morning/afternoon], Chair and Members of the Land Use Committee, Planning Commission, and Urban Forestry Council.

My name is Michael Nulty. I'm a citizen planner and lifelong San Francisco native, now a proud resident of the Tenderloin—one of our city's densest, most underserved, and environmentally burdened neighborhoods. Through my work in community planning, I witness daily how development decisions directly impact our streets, health, and quality of life. Today, I speak with deep conviction to strongly oppose Board File 251211 as currently drafted.

Core Message

San Francisco urgently needs *more* trees to become a healthier, cooler, and truly equitable city—not new loopholes that let developers skip planting them, especially in low-canopy priority areas like the Tenderloin, Civic Center, and South of Market, where major projects are advancing right now.

Key Reasons for Opposition

Catastrophic Impact on the Tenderloin and Similar High-Burden Neighborhoods

The Tenderloin has one of the city's lowest street tree canopies—often under 3–4% in many blocks, alongside SoMa and Chinatown—far below the citywide average of 13.7%. Our concrete-heavy streets worsen urban heat islands, trap traffic pollution, and leave residents exposed to extreme heat, poor air quality, and wildfire smoke. As an Environmental Justice community, we already face stark disparities: just 0.2 acres of open space per 1,000 people compared to 5 acres citywide. Allowing low in-lieu fees of only \$2,590 per tree, vague "alternative landscaping," or ADU exemptions will leave new developments treeless precisely where greening is most critical for public health and equity.

Threatens Federal Equity Investments and the Development Pipeline

The Tenderloin is a top target for the \$12 million federal Inflation Reduction Act grant awarded to plant thousands of street trees in low-canopy, heat-vulnerable areas like ours. Right now, numerous affordable housing, mixed-use, and community projects are in the pipeline across the Tenderloin, Civic Center, and nearby districts, seeking financing and approvals. Weakening mandatory on-site planting risks undermining this federal funding, derailing equitable greening tied directly to new builds, and squandering the chance to integrate trees into developments that could transform our streets for the residents who need them most.

Severs Reliable Tree Addition Amid Chronic Underfunding

With massive budget deficits—closing over \$800 million in FY 2025-26 and projections exceeding \$1 billion—Public Works' Urban Forestry division depends heavily on grants and developer mandates to add new street trees. Expanding in-lieu fees shrinks this vital pipeline at the worst possible time, just as many projects could deliver on-site trees if requirements stay strong.

Destroys Democratic Oversight and Accountability

Eliminating public appeals for City-initiated tree removals isn't streamlining—it's removing essential safeguards that protect mature trees from unnecessary loss. Without clear "hazard" definitions, written and public findings, and preserved appeal rights, this opens the door to unchecked removals. Shifting to online-only notices further excludes vulnerable residents in communities like mine who rely on accessible, in-person engagement.

Broad Coalition Opposition

This proposal faces widespread opposition: over 800 petition signatures, plus business associations, labor unions, tenant groups, neighborhood organizations like Theatre District Neighbors and North of Market Business Association, fellow citizen planners, property owners, and taxpayers. It undermines equity commitments, Justice40 principles, and the goals

of our Urban Forest Plan.

What We Demand – Strong Call to Action

I urge you to reject Board File 251211 outright or continue the item until strong protections are restored. At minimum, amend it to:

- Limit in-lieu payments to truly infeasible sites only (impossible, impracticable, or unsafe)—not as a routine developer option.
- Set fees high enough to cover full planting, establishment, irrigation, and long-term maintenance costs—not a bargain substitute.
- Statutorily target spending to low-canopy, high-burden communities like the Tenderloin, using clear, data-driven criteria (canopy <10%, CalEnviroScreen scores, heat vulnerability maps), with enforceable timelines and annual public reporting.
- Preserve appeal rights for all removals and require detailed, publicly posted written findings and evidence for any "hazard" designation.
- Ensure full compliance with California's Mitigation Fee Act, including nexus requirements, fund segregation, and transparent reporting.


Closing

As a citizen planner and Tenderloin resident, I see the wave of upcoming developments not as a burden, but as our best opportunity for meaningful greening. We cannot afford more heat-trapping, barren streets while families and vulnerable neighbors suffer the consequences. Please reject this ordinance or strengthen it substantially to deliver the trees, equity, and oversight our city needs most.

Thank you—I welcome any questions.

Recent news article

[Attempt to save three trees shows limits of public's power](#)



Attempt to save three TL trees shows the limits of the public's power

The trees that inspired an appeal are gone. The ability to make that type of appeal could be next.



[LAURA WENUS](#)

Attempt to save three TL trees shows the limits of the public's power



At U.N. Plaza, several trees like the ones pictured were deemed hazardous and removed. Photo by Noah Arroyo.

March 10 2026 10:59 AM 6 MIN READ SHARE

Let's say a city department plans to remove a tree on your street. Should you, a member of the public, be able to intervene? Should your objection trigger hearings that force officials to show up, listen, and consider changing course?

City decisionmakers are weighing these questions as they consider a package of tree-related reforms put forward by San Francisco Mayor Daniel Lurie, intended to cut administrative red tape. The proposed legislation would limit the public's ability to appeal tree removals done by the city. It would also let private developers pay a fee instead of planting the trees that would otherwise be required on their real estate projects.

The Planning Commission will consider the in-lieu fee aspect of the legislation [this Thursday](#), and a Board of Supervisors committee is expected to take up the full package later in the month. Many residents [have written](#) to the city calling this an anti-transparency move that reduces government accountability and threatens the city's already sparse urban canopy.

But the proposal prunes back a public right that is already difficult to exercise effectively. Under the status quo, there's no guarantee the government won't ignore an appeal in the first place. That's what happened at U.N. Plaza in early 2025, when the city broke its own rules to remove a handful of trees, then sought and abandoned an effort to fine itself for the infraction. The case would have flown under the radar were it not for a local advocacy group called the Tenderloin Tree Campaign. In fact, that incident rankled oversight commissioners so much they actually called for the public's right to appeal to be strengthened.

"A department head, somebody, made the decision: Screw the citizenry, screw the protocol," said Board of Appeals Commissioner Rick Swig at an October hearing on the matter. "At the end of the day, where's the accountability?"

Here's what happened.

The trees slated to be taken out — deemed "hazardous" but not in need of emergency removal — were supposed to stay in the ground for 15 days, long enough to give members of the public a chance to object. The Tenderloin Tree Campaign did so by filing an appeal. But the Recreation and Parks Department removed the trees before the appeal window had elapsed.

This process led to a hearing before the Board of Appeals, where commissioners rebuked the parks department and deliberated over what power they had to make city officials follow these laws.

Dan Mauer, a project manager with the parks department, told the commissioners that officials had been under pressure to take the trees out in time for an event celebrating the completion of the new skate park at U.N. Plaza.

This did not sit well with the commissioners, who grilled Mauer on who had made the decision (the implied answer was then-director Phil Ginsburg) and whether the department's oversight body had been consulted (it had not). Commissioner Swig said the decision to remove the trees so quickly disrespected Tenderloin Tree Campaign leader John Nulty and his brother Michael, and their appeal. Swig encouraged the department to formally apologize to the Nultys (Mauer said he had done so) and recognize them for their decades of advocacy work.

"Trees were something that was secondary to me 10 years ago. These guys have really brought me

around, personally, and I thank them for that,” Swig said.

But mostly, the commissioners seemed frustrated by the shortcomings of the appeal mechanism itself. If the mandatory waiting period couldn’t keep an antsy city department from going ahead with a project, then what would?

One possible answer: the threat of a fine. The city could punish itself (one department could fine another) for violating the appeal procedure. The Department of Public Works, which has jurisdiction over U.N. Plaza, had in fact moved to fine the parks department \$30,000.

The parks department objected. At the hearing, representatives laid out their reasoning: They were already spending more than \$30,000 on *new* trees. Not only were they replacing those they had illegally removed, they were adding many more, to replace trees that Public Works had taken out. And they were also covering the high cost of irrigating those trees.

In the end, Public Works would agree, and choose not to impose the penalty.

“While a fine is punitive, the remedy of planting 23 new trees — getting them in the ground and, as importantly, doing irrigation for them — is what we wanted to see happen,” Public Works spokesperson Rachel Gordon later told me. “So there’s a greater benefit than just having the fine.”

Here’s the thing, though: That decision wasn’t reached until *after* the Appeals commissioners had their discussion. And, not knowing whether a fine would be imposed, commissioners were very unhappy with the prospect that this was the best compliance tool available. For one thing, the Board of Appeals had virtually no power here. It wasn’t up to them to decide on the fine or what the parks department should do to make up for its actions. They could decide only whether the removal permit had been properly issued. Beyond that, all they could do was make recommendations. Second, they were exasperated with the idea that taxpayer money would be used to correct a department’s scofflaw behavior.

“It’s the city paying the city,” said Board President John Trasviña. “So how does that discourage this conduct?”

Mauer, from the parks department, responded with an apparently nervous laugh that the deterrent was “coming and getting yelled at in front of the Board of Appeals.”

“I don’t have a long-term response on how others will act down the line,” he said. “This is something that we don’t take lightly and it wasn’t a decision that was made flippantly.”



The smaller, younger trees on the right were planted to replace mature trees that had been deemed dangerous and removed. Photo by Laura Wenus.

The commissioners felt strongly that the public right to appeal deserved to be strengthened. Weeks after the hearing, they [sent a letter](#) to the Board of Supervisors and the mayor, explaining the procedural breach, how little could have been done to prevent it, and that better enforcement mechanisms were needed.

But that letter may have fallen on deaf ears at the Mayor's Office, which at the time was putting forward the [proposed legislation](#) I mentioned at the beginning. That proposal would eliminate the appeals process altogether for cases when city departments remove hazardous trees. It would also take other tree removal cases out of the hands of the Board of Appeals, an entity without skin in that game. Instead, the Director of Public Works would decide on the appeal.

It's not clear if what happened at U.N. Plaza inspired the legislation. The Mayor's Office did not

return a request for comment. The proposal was originally presented as an effort to streamline the city's permitting and regulatory processes.

Josh Klipp, a self-described "recovering" attorney who helped the Nultys file the U.N. Plaza tree appeal, was deeply dismayed by the proposal. Klipp co-founded a program that came to be known as "[Mission Verde](#)," through which the city and Mission District community members collaborate to care for street trees. That effort, Klipp said, began when the city moved to take out trees along the 24th Street corridor, and members of the public appealed. If the mayor's proposed legislation had been in place then, the city would have removed the trees without fanfare and the discussions and negotiations that led to the creation of Mission Verde would never have happened.

The appeals in the Mission were "more than just about trees," Klipp said. "This is about how this community felt. How they were being treated without their input, without their involvement."

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6

Item 15

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260178 - 127 Letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 3:59:08 PM
Attachments: [127 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 127 letters from members of the public and various organizations regarding :

File No. 260178 - Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to, for transfers occurring on or after July 1, 2026: halve the real property transfer tax rate from 5.5% to 2.75% when the consideration or value of the property conveyed equals or exceeds \$10,000,000 but is less than \$25,000,000, and from 6% to 3% when the consideration or value equals or exceeds \$25,000,000; exempt from these reductions the transfer of single-family residences; and reduce the penalties for delinquent real property transfer taxes; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards.

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Sabeena Shah](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 3:02:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sabeena Shah
shah.sabeena@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOA\)](#); [Mandelman, Swift \(BOA\)](#)
Cc: [David Schumm \(res\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOA\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:12:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/y2f01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3aCnNmZfH2yOnE6bzumMxVINDYmZrVnMDqsMDEfZM5NfjODNkYVWwNzBiNm3OnMIOWI6ZTc1YVWQwZWfIMWISyG5NTksNWQ2MTA\(NT1\)ZDE0MDM0ZmMzNjd0mYZY2YigODY2YQ4OTdos0Wf3ZDczNzG2NjpwOkY6TG](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Dan Schumm
135 Edgewood Ave
dan135@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MFG\); Mahmood, Bilal \(BO\); MahmoodSant](#)
Cc: [timlucas154@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/s/2d0/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAaCnNmZlH2yOmE6bzgGMlBMjllZDY0GGRNTElNWlM3NmI2MGzMGyOINTLU5GT03QZ6MTQ5ZDRBmNTFlYTaYzMTwMzQ0MlY3Y2ZMjgMmFYWlU5OWVhZGZwQ2YTMlYTE4MTg0YjFAMmZyZDUzMGZlNDNDNGY2YTwOKYGTQ](#))

demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Lucas Briggs
625 Ashbury St, San Francisco, CA 94117
timlucas154@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [RafaelMandelman@sf.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 5:45:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://sf.sanctuaryclicks2101/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—YXArQaNewZHQzOmE@aoeNGU-MDx5MTAaNs@BYTeYU2MDMxYzE3YWFE3MGUNjz3OMxYzGymL5ZT85ZDlMzA1MWwM2NTAzZlJlMmNlNTZzZmEzZmhoNTBlOTElOTQzNGVhN2ZlYzFmOGJlYUJlMmNlYzY0NTpsOjY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Elaine Michaud
206 Downey Street
studfms@pacbell.net
District 8 resident

From: frankbuffum@sbcglobal.net
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 6:45:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. Working San Franciscans' future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

frankbuffum@sbcglobal.net

,

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MTC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman/Staff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [info@socialhousing.org](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 7:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2f0/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YX4aCnSmZlHqOmEfbao3MDc40TT3ZDc4NGNiYp4YTTUINDQZ7TMpGcMG5N2NJNzo3OmIz7Di6MT4nNT12YJE3MGf3MDQpNaM1NGf5MplmYwMDOTYmN7Y4Y4YaMTU2NWVnYzqgZDg1ZDI7NjMsMGE4ycQ2M4ChNp4OkY6T4g) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
stetske tjallingii
179 Dolores St
info@missi.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Linda Chavez Wines](#), [Mandelman, Rafael \(RCS\)](#), [MandelmanRafael \(RCS\)](#)
Cc: [hanab7@hotmail.com](#), [Board of Supervisors \(RCS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 8:50:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.lavoice.chicago2019/> — <https://www.sfhcc-sfhhousing.org/about> — [YXCAjCmNmZFRpChwFgus8NTA1YVksOTU0OGF2MGEZzGE4NCEjMhY0YyYVpEOTU3Oml1YjI6ODhNTA1MGEFmNTYyZGZyNWNmM2RMGNjRwY5OGI4MhYsODYyYjM4NWJhMjY0ODFmMDQpZWNSMhY2NGM2NDMyZTpwOjk1STg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Brenda Peterson
2200 Market Street
hanab76@hotmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DNTS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BGS\)](#); [Mahmood@sfpl.org](#)
Cc: [hereherehere@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:08:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Bilal Mahmood,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.yanan.click/y2f01/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAeQsNmZHQyOmE6zouNDM2ODY3ZGE2NTgzM7B8MndJwMTN0ZmU4NmImY4U2MT03QikaYze6NTNzZGEwMGJNjdlNzQyYTRlNTRlYWZlYmB3Mac3M2E0ZGM5ZmQzODg5NmU3ODAzYUlsMGExNmY2MjBmNTc2ZDpsOkY6TQ) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Justin Laundré
22 Belvedere St
hereherehere@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Lisa King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 9:37:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lisa King
lisakingsf@yahoo.com
656 Sweeny St.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [San Franciscans for Social Housing](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/FC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOE\)](#); [Mandelman@staff \(BOE\)](#)
Cc: [ebenekeim@gmail.com: Board of Supervisors \(BOE\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2020 9:37:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.evenue.click/s/2301L>... <https://www.sfbosillhousing.org/about...YXA4OwN5pZHDyOmE6bzpkYjEiNWY5MjI0OTIyZTQ5NjhYzJlN2RmNTFhNTc3Njw3OjdhMDA6YjUwNGY1NGM0ZDlyZm1sMzA1MGhlcYyYwMwNjODEyY2RlMDdIMWJlNmMjE2MTU1MzAwYWQ1MGQ0NiA3ZjA4MzpwOkY6TGp>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Emanuel Keim
3471 21st St Unit 6
ebenekeim@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Kimberly Vasquez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 11:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Vasquez
kimv.2013@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/>
<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/YYXaOmZm3HQYQmE5bzpKNTg4OGUJZMwZDVJMW3M2ODBBMGEwNZU2ZTE2NkYzT3Qm3M2Y6NzRlZmZmNjRlNjRlTYyNTRlZWVhYmMwOTU3ZG8NDFNGMwYjhmZWU3MDQ6N2M3VjYWE2Yjk3YjE5MGM4MDYwNzpwOkY6Tg>

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Scott Peterson
2200 Market St, Unit 206
redmyst@mac.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Amy Andrew](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MFG\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [amy.andrew@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 7:59:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://act.avaman.click/s/2d01/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — Y3AeCuNopZfR2yOmE6uplYzZlM/ZYzMcYmNM2Q4ZmMuC7WImZDL4NcNmMTkyNTc3QFMTM60Tt4Z7M5MjM0MThmMjMGMWJlOGQpODNlNWQYcmNocMc4yMDgwMzRlOTdkMTYxOTRlOYzhhNzI5ZDRQbnZQOzM2lUYzpwOkYGTg)
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Amy Andrew
1585 Waller St
amy.andrew@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Theresa Smith](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/15\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(B/D\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [cal4gals@yahoo.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 8:21:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.click/y2f01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXA4DnNmZHFyOmE6bqphZmQyYmVIMGRiNmVlOGUONOW1sYjllNldlcNzcwNccNjc3QjBmYnE5ZjZkMGU5Mm1sMzlmZjA0MmM2MmMmNGE2ZTZkZWBNcDpMcGNhODlkZTBhZjg5MDMzMDFkZWVhNmBhOGQzMzE5YTpwOkY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Theresa Smith
2027 Mission Street Apt 409
cal4gals@yahoo.com
District 9 resident

From: [Mark Hood](#)
To: [Lucia Caputo \(NYC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [markhood@fogdragon.net](#); [Rafael of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:48:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://act.avanara.clicks/2/591/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX4cOnNmZH0yOmE6bmzN2U4NmE5ODE5YiNlOT80Dg1NzA5OTU1NmEzNWZmNmN030llNFESNGFmMzVlMDAsNWQzMmYsNiZlNGMxYjB7ZDUzZGYwZGI8OTJkZjZmOWZlZjZlMTFEMTNiZmVlNWw5MDRlbnY3Y2w5OkY6Tg](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Mark Hood
132 Henry
markhood@fogdragon.net
District 8 resident

From: [Jacob Marin](#)
To: [Luis Daniel \(DRE\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [bacobhamela@gmail.com](#); [Staff of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 12:47:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://act.sfdirect.com/clicks/20811...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXJhcnNpZHIyQmE6b3pzMWQ5Yig5NGFmZmlyYygzZGVhMGE1Zig5NDU1Ym1xZTQzO3h0YyYyZU6ODM2bnRlYzA0MTMxODh0MTY4YzFBN2YyZTQ0ODIYJAswYWJkNncwMjksNDdlMmU1YzVhYyZzZmUyMjUxODp0ckY6TGp>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jacob Marin
819 Noriega St
bacobhamela@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Rio Anderson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R/PS\)](#); [MandelmanGailF \(R/PS\)](#)
Cc: [riomezey@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R/PS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 1:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avantclicks.com/2020/...https://www.sforocialhousing.org/about...YXJ4d0N0NjZHOyOmE6bzpMTUkMGF3ZjZjMDg1OTIzMGEzZjkiMDY5NDYyYiY0ZDc3OjpcY2M6MTQ3ODI6McVjNzU1NTM4YTVjNW8wZGV5SNGQzYzZlMmJmZThmYTUyYTZzNmU0MmRlYVY5YTY6NTU0OTQ5Y2I0MTpwOjkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rio Anderson
221 Douglas St
riomezey@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Rod Laughridge](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman, Swift \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [contra@sanbox.com](#); [Balet, Al \(Supervisor \(BOG\)\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 2:14:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanan.click/s/2d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXA4CnNpZHUzOmEibhqueYWNhYWU4MjZlbnYzLzZDczZG1uNWQ5YTY4ZGE4YTc3Qjk1MTc6MDh0YTUyYjQ5ZjQ3aMwZlODQzMWVhMDM2NDg5NWQyYWEINTA1YjgOGVhNTQzNGZlYTZlYTlM2EINTMzYzQ2YzpsOkY6TG](#))
demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Rod Laughridge
1424 Cole Street
contra@sanbox.com
District 8 resident

From: [Lindsey Hight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:59:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hight
lindseydhs@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Franco Zuzunaga](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/12\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOG\)](#); [SauterShel](#)
Cc: [FrancoZuzunaga@comcast.net](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 4:17:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.lavonnechick.com/2020/11/11/https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>——YXAdhNw7HfCzOmE6hna0GYsN2BfCGH0Tt1N3v3bNGU3MFI-5M-BAYTcyNpJNp3CqB4Y2G6Ncf0ThjODAS3MjBmOW10YtjJZDFJNWNbY2FsNDJhNDJkZTkzMzc1MzY3MUY1YzhbN2QzMDEyYTFEZWZlZDZlMDpmOjY6TGp) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Franco Zuzunaga
1930 Hyde street
zuzunagafranco@gmail.com
District 3 resident

From: [Dylan Jaff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 5:14:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Dylan Jaff
dylan.jaff12@gmail.com
1310 Fulton st
San Francisco, California 94117

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Ryan Cicak](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D115\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(D92\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [thinknigh23@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 5:21:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanara.clicks/2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3uChNwZHDyOmE6hepZGEsYzg5OWM5OWMsMkxNGGzMTkxYzZlZTkxMWYzTzQ3QjBINzMGOTY1MDIiNzAAMGNiYTRkYzEzZTkxZGNhNzQ3MjZAMTEzZDQ3MmQ3ODRkYzMsZmZlYTlBMzZlOGM3MmYyZTVjMmpeOkY6TG](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

LESS COPS, MORE SOCIAL SERVICES.

Sincerely,
Ryan Cicak
18a Dorland St
thinknigh23@gmail.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't put Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

District 9 resident

From: [Jessica Richards](#)
To: [Leticia Daniel \(NYC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [Melgar/Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [jessrichards2017@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 6:09:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avanara.click/2/591/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX4aOnNmZH0yOmE6bm3Yz3ZDlmNWFBMGVhNTFwZTcyZmUzWEIzGVjNzU5OTc0MzYOG6VieoYjBkYTA4N2E5OGFhMWM5MzgzZmEmMGN3ZWRmMDc0OGI0MTZlMTIwNzA3MDcyYjIjYU5NwQ2MTc5MzhOTw0kY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jessica Richards
1770 9th Ave., Apt 11
jessrichards2017@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [William Dao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:20:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing. Stop letting those who are profiting off this city to get away with not paying their fair share!

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

William Dao
willdao1@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Rowen Leigh](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R/CS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(R/CS\)](#)
Cc: [rowen.leigh@icloud.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R/CS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 8:36:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://act.avanade.com/clicks/2/501/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—[VXAcQcNmZHCyOmE6gphYTgwMwM4NDBmN8jZD6NwUzZGJZZDE5ZTRINzQ3OTc3OjYj6MPCyZD6kVYZjNDImYTcsOWVINDA5MWExMm8NDg2M7hY2M3ZjhtOTYzNGEwYVYjZWVlOWI1Mm8NGN8NDg4OTpwOkY6Tg](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rowen Leigh
3750 16th St
rowen.leigh@icloud.com
District 8 resident

From: [Gregory Sykes](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DFTS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [gsgovcomm@sonic.net](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Friday, March 6, 2020 10:21:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avanadeckky2u011...https://www.sfhousing.org/about...YXA4CnNvZHFzOmE6b29wQWQ0MmcyVTJlbnV4OTNMwEiYjZhOTFSYTA2NiM4Njc3OmZyYjU5YzY4ODZmZTE0NjMjZjZjZDpsMTZzOTMwMDA4NzAzYjNiVjVlYjA4YTA5MzRlMGE0YzQ2NGY5ZmY5NGY1ZjgwCkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Gregory Sykes
54 Dolores Ter
gsgovcomm@sonic.net
District 8 resident

From: [Matt Gates](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRC\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOG\)](#); [Mahmood@staff](#)
Cc: [mahmood@staff](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(DRC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 3:53:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.sfdph.org/dph/eh/prevent/prop1/petition>) (<https://www.sfhousing.org/about>). [YXk4cGhNbnZHE2QmE6bnVp0N2E3Mw14MmE6YTY14MEwN2Q1ZjZlY2NiZDI1NTc3OjE2Y2c0N2Q3N2M5N2B0ZGZlYjQ2OTI3MDg4YVYwE3NjZmQ1ZmZlMTc3MjM1ODk4MG11Njc2ZDA3M4RjMmZ3MDk4Mw12NDp0CkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Matt Gates
670 Eddy St
mattgates1@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Joshua Lloyd](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DHS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(DGS\)](#); [Dorsey/Greif, \(DGS\)](#)
Cc: [bern_juay2m@icloud.com](#); [Daniel at Supervisors \(DGS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 6:31:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanara.click/y2t0U/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—YX3sCnNmZHQzCmE6bzpmOGMIYJQzOGExODMhNY2NwNTZlAZyjdYmNkMWE3Njc3QjhmNzU5ODRmNDg2ZGE3ZDk1NGVhNmQyZGEMiYzM2VAYmQ2ZDhMTg4ZGM0ZTE3YmM1ZmZlZDFlYjE1YjQ3MG51YTMzZDpsOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Joshua Lloyd
1401 mission st apt 1001
bern_juay2m@icloud.com
District 6 resident

From: [Kevin Zhang](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \[NTS\]](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \[RSC\]](#); [Mandelman-Greif \[RSC\]](#)
Cc: [kuzhang12@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \[RSC\]](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 9:41:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avanara.click/y2/01/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—YX3zCnNmZHDzCmE6zo3NJE0ZU3NzZbZDRIN2FNTYcyZuQ00TBmNunQzNGMcNjp3OmY3MzG6NDNMTEwNcYyNDZlODRkYzUxNzJlOTY5YjYyMTgwNzQ3MUMzZjQ3OWU5MTU0NjpsMTA1MTQ0YmM2ZmluZTVlMTpsOkY6TG) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Kevin Zhang
550 Church St
kuzhang12@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [\[redacted\]](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MFR\); Sauter, Danny \(BOG\); Sauter-Stall](#)
Cc: [daniel@44.org](#); [Sauter-Stall](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I – protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 10:59:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Danny Sauter and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.upvote.clicks/21071...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/show...YYAxcNbnZfHqCqOnE6hrgjODYsMWExYsFYz6McNmGMwZTA1YTO3VTNhYs1Zjw3Oml4Nzg6ODU5Yzcc2NTkzMWJhZmZlOTVlYjdlM2U4NDRLNDJlZjUyYjdlMDVjODdlMmJmZTZlODI5OWJlYzBlYjdlNDpsOkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
n
poh 422791, sf, ca 94142
parrott371@juno.com
District 3 resident

From: Mary Harmon
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(NYTS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanGael \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [ellie@ellieharmon.com](#); [Council of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 11:00:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.clicks201/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—YX4uOnmZHQOmE6bzgmYjdYzzYjdYTBmMgBmMgEOD84YTAwYH1NaM1Mio3Om1xY2Y6Z8swMTDswMeE0MT0xZjNINAVhZiGzODISYmE3MDQsMDY3ZDYjMDlmM2M3NT03NjdY2lmYzA7ZUzNjd0MjM5MDZwOkyGTg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Mary Harmon
120 Landers St Apt D
ellie@ellieharmon.com
District 8 resident

From: [Beatrix Jones](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 11:18:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Beatrix Jones
beanotbeah@gmail.com
701 Fell St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Isaac Mays-Smith](#)
To: [Lucia Caputo \(NYC\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\)](#); [FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [isaac.maysmith@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 11:56:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOaNmZHCyOnf6hzwGDBnZmY4ZCMYZDd7m1eNDY2GwZIZmVnYn1HMzQ2Nzo3OjYyTQ6ZjU0YzRjZml4OWRlMjkYjQlOTl0YjhkNGUxZjYyZlU0Nm1MDMwMWUxNDM3MTJlYzM4MmNjImZlcwZGlnNDpwOkY6TG](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Isaac Mays-Smith
363 guerrero street
isaac.maysmith@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Jaclyn Gatin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/10\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(B/02\)](#); [EvidentStaff](#)
Cc: [jackygatin@hotmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(B/02\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 11:58:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.leavanan.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeCmNmZHQzCmE6bzghOTZbZTBhNjEhNDZlZGQ1YTdhZGZlNDI1NE0yTjJMT0l0QjQyYyY6OTRlMkYyMmVhYy5NW0xM2EzOWVhOTZlZDp5ZmY5ZDhlOGQ4MmM2N2U0OTQyODkwM2E1MzRlOWYyY3NmYTUyZDcwYjpwOkYGTg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jaclyn Gatin
91 Hill St
jackygatin@hotmail.com
District 9 resident

From: Sarah Monroy
To: Lurie, Daniel (DTS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: sarmonr@yahoo.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 12:19:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.eyanm.click/y2v01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YX3a73n7H2yQmE6apMDMhMGY0N2N1YjE2MmQ2YmZlMTEzOTJlMTQ3OjYwMTQ6YmYyYzZlZDZlMWE3N2U5YzE5NTFmNzUyYzU5Mjc3MzFmMGIzYU1lZmUzMThlYyRlNzY4MTVmMjpsOkY6TGp demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Sarah Monroy
47 Clifford Ter.
sarmonr@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed our petition (<https://url.avanan.click/y2r/0U/> - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - YXx8OnMzHQZQmE6bzpkMDMzZTliYWQzMDI4ZGYzODZhYWYzNWU0ODAsNTRhYz03QjYjMWUzZj0NzY0Zjc5ZjEmOGYzZjEwMDIjNDI3ZTYwYjE5NTUjYTA3Njc1MWYjMDQyYzQ4WmY2MjUjMzIjMmUjZTA2MjpwOkY6YjI0) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions, Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Miles Benson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BCS\)](#); [Mandelman,Steff \(BCS\)](#)
Cc: [MilesBenson@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 1:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avaman.click/s/2491/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3vCshNpZtFCzQmEhooaNTt4MDIwNGU1MDU1NTBmZGUzMDMyYjhhMWVjYNDJlMio3OmYhYzQ5YTYyM0wEINz4E5MAQ3NW5nmM4EOOGVhNmNmYzE4ZDksY2E0ODhMjBhMzgzZTVkMDM0NjNmNzUyYzcxZTAyNWJZZTpsOkY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Miles Benson
3600 21st Street
MilesBenson@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Mark A Paisley](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/TS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOG\)](#); [Dorsey/Gentl \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [miller@sfpanel.org](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2025 2:31:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.evansan.click/y2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3y47uNwZIH2yQmE6sqmMzNYmlYyMmE1YjheZzc2Y3BwMDE1MTRIM2Y4M2FmNzwoQmMzNjE6NGZlNWM3MGMwMWRBRnJlU1NTczNGZlNzQ2M2EwNjZlZjM0MDZlZlY2Y4MmQwYzQ0YTllZWYwMTdlbnYk1ZjM2MjpsQkY6TG0](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Mark A Paisley
474 Nanoma St
marka05@gmail.com
District 6 resident

From: [Elizabeth](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman/Saif \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [receptionismovement@riseup.net](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 3:17:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.clicks/2/01/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YX3uOnZHQzOmE6bzgmMINjMrA4Nj4YnVhNJM4NINOTNM4Y3N3M3NWMM3Mn3OmY3MTE6YvF3MGI0YTHbYTAwYTa0ZWjzODkYjF3NGN8z3ksMDQ3YjB0TkMIA1YjZkNzA0NWY1YjM0N2Q0YjksZjQzOTIwOKY6TjQ) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth
3460 16th St, San Francisco CA 94114
receptionismovement@riseup.net
District 8 resident

From: [Gloria Alonzo](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(NYTS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Daniel](#)
Cc: [msalonzog@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 5:05:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://sf.avaaz.com/clicks/2/01/> - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about---YXA4deNuzZHQyOmE6hzo1NTI2Yk4ZDgrNjA5ZjU3ZDBhNjcyZTU5OTJlNDFlYTQ3QjEzZjU5MmE1MzE2YTFBYjZlMTgzZDQjZWUuNDMzYmlyMDNlODM2MTQ3YmIjZjM5M2JlZjE5NWEmOGQ4NjIjM2RjMjE2YTpsOkY6Tg>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Gloria Alonzo
876 York St
msalonzog@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Hailey Rounds](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRE\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(R26\)](#); [MandelmanGail \(R26\)](#)
Cc: [haileyrounds@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(R26\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2020 6:05:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://ud.ayman.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAaOnNmZHQpOmE8bzpZTEJZdhYmE9OTVIMTl3MTg4NDc2ZTl4YzZiN2Y2NTQ3QjU3MTM6NzA3NDliYmQ3M2U4MGY3M2RlZjA2MTgsMw00MWRlZjgsMTI3ZjU0MmZlYyVhN2kwYVQwNGNiZGNlYTZlNGRlOTp0KkY6TGp](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Hailey Rounds
3955 17th st, Apt 12
haileyrounds@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Test](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MVS\); Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\); FielderStaff](#)
Cc: [fielder@sf.gov](#); [Council of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 10:13:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avanon.click/s/2/9/1>)... <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> ...YXAvbYbnZfH2yOmE6hzo2YzJlM/ZhYIAwNDAwMlweOWZiYzE5MwESN2EjMGFIYio3QzZjZDU8MGEFYzVmZlRmYjJkZWZlMDE3YWWEjNjlmMDc1YyJlZGJBZDMGYyY0M2NjOGZlZGlmZjJlYzBjNDc4MDdlNDJlMmpeOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Test
tesa@test.com
District 9 resident

From: [Tim Alberdingk Thijm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:33:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Tim Alberdingk Thijm
tim.thijm@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jacqueline Martin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/CS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOB\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOB\)](#)
Cc: [jacquie.martin15@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 11:29:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(https://url.ayman.click/v2/01/_https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about__YX4uOnNmZHQyOmE6bzorYjNmSGVlZjA2OTI2ZwY4Y2U5ZmMyMWU5ODcyNGZlMDo3QjUzZjE6ZDhmNWJkOTIyJjU2mlwZGQzMzE3MjllMwQlNDhNYjZlNjZkOGFscYTBlZDRmZTBhOGZlYjU4N2N2NkYjU5YjwEjNtmeOkY6Ttq) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Martin
108 Caselli Ave
jacque.martin15@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: Donna K D Moore
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(NYC\)](mailto:Lurie_Daniel@NYC.gov); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOE\)](mailto:Mandelman, Rafael (BOE) | Mandelman@staff.sfgov.org); Mandelman@staff.sfgov.org
Cc: upperbum@gmail.com; Benjamin@sfhousing.org
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 1:01:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZHQmE6hzo4M2EvYIM4NIY1NfG3ZjhkNGQsOTU2MicyNzcsNDVhYTo3Q9dmYzU6Nsk2MDY1OTJlNkZ2ZmQ0Y2QwNWY4M2U2NjFmM2JmZTFsZjZlc1NlYzNlU2NDYzNllyMmYyNWVGZThmMWE4M2NmMDp0kY61g](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Donna K D Moore
215 San Jose Avenue, APT 7
upperbum@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Nicole Samrao](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \[at city\]](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \[SDS\]](#); [MandelmanSteff \[SDS\]](#)
Cc: [mandelman@sfmail.com](#); [Staff of Supervisor \[SDS\]](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1--protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 1:03:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.yaman.click/y2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAeCmNmZHQzOmE8uzpOWQ1Ym3ZwY1Y+G3OG4N2MzNGE1NTE4WFIY2Z1Yw3OjMzZDE6NTAwMTZAMTVhNTg7ZmZlNzQzZDY1YmVhYjEYJA1QWFSOTZAMTczZWFEwNlhmMTA5MGI55ZDNlY2BjOjc4ZCQ1MTpwOkYGTg) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Nicole Samrao
nicolesamrao@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [David Ryan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRIE\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood@sfai](#)
Cc: [the davidryan@gmail.com](#); ["Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)"](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 1:35:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url-avanam.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAe3nNnZHCyOnE6bzgmYWlyYnmM1NWf3ZTVAMseE3NcVjODgdZGMzNTbNjhiMzo3QjAyNzc4NjRlMmVjOGU5MDhmZmMZY2ZjN2LnGt1MmcsNjYxOjwMjY1TjZlYyYjA1ZG8hZmRlOTkxNnE4NDE2N2Y0MWE1NDpwOkYGTg](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
David Ryan
723 Haight St
thedavidryan@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Lucas Spangher](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(NYT\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman,Staff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Lucas Spangher \(lspangher@gmail.com\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 2:11:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avaman.click/v2/91/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3vCsh8mZtFCzQmEibpailQWQwNDt4GUG5NDJfZj82CTt8mYmV9CDEfY4zGGE8a03mM5NTM6N4UCOTdssNthYy0JzZmG3MDA4ZTVhM4UzZGgyaA6lU2MwZ3hMD6hM2M3YmY1ZTNmMYxOW8mZTYyMTIsMTkyZDRhMDp6OkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lucas Spangher
605 Castro Street
LucasJ.spangher@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Theodore Hexter](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(PS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(PS\)](#)
Cc: [Ted.Hexter@proton.me](#); [Board of Supervisors \(PS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 2:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Matt Dorsey and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 59.2% of District 6 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url-avanan.clicks/2401/> — <https://www.shsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeChNnZHCyOnE8Izo0NjNlCjVMxZGjYVMwZlYsGjWjYTI1NmVjNWYzMtTGNo3QjFYTU5Mc+zDQ3MwczJMcODlwNjlmMDIwYjVlNmNlYzq4MDg3MksOGQNGlwZWY0MA3MTG3McdU1N2N0MTkxYmY1MzpsOkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Theodore Hexter
1670 Market Street, Apt 68
Ted.Hexter@proton.me
District 6 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Kyle Layser](#)
To: [Lauri Daniel \(D/RE\)](#); [Mahmoud Bilal \(D/SS\)](#); [MahmoudGulf](#)
Cc: [MahmoudGulf@gmail.com](#); [David of San Francisco \(D/SS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2020 8:39:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://ud.ayman.clicky201/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAaOnNmZHCyOmE8bzpNWJiZDLyNjksNDIGMazNjUjODY0YzU4NTcyYmM3QDc3QjMzQDA6NzZlOjcwM1MDhYazlYTZlMTp2NmVlYzhOTFyMGYlNjZlMDVhOTgINDQxOGUINDcwYzUyMjwEzNjE0TExNZYyMjwGkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Kyle Layser
652 Stanyan st Apt 302
kaylayser@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Destiny Santanna](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:22:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Destiny Santanna

Destiny Santanna
destinyjsantanna@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Gus Henry](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support New Housing: Reform San Francisco's Transfer Tax!
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board Angela Calvillo,

Dear Supervisor,

I'm writing to urge you to support meaningful transfer tax reform as a critical next step to ensure that approved housing projects in San Francisco can actually get built.

With the Family Zoning Plan now approved, the focus must shift from zoning alone to the policies that determine whether housing projects are financially feasible. City analysis has made clear that zoning reform by itself is not enough to unlock new housing production. While the City cannot control broader economic conditions, it can address locally imposed costs that directly affect whether projects move forward.

The transfer tax is one of the most significant of those local barriers. Applied at the completion of construction, it penalizes new housing at the moment it is delivered, undermining feasibility for projects that already face high interest rates, rising construction costs, and financing constraints. As a result, many approved housing projects are stalled or abandoned, despite being aligned with the City's housing goals.

Reforming the transfer tax is a practical, high-impact way to ensure that San Francisco's housing policies work as intended. Lowering this burden on new housing would help restore financial feasibility, support construction jobs, and convert approved projects into real homes for families, workers, and seniors.

Importantly, transfer tax reform is not about abandoning the City's values or long-term fiscal health. It is about aligning our tax policy with housing production so that San Francisco can generate more homes, more economic activity, and more stable long-term revenue rather than allowing projects to remain stuck in the pipeline.

Leadership means addressing policies that are clearly preventing progress. I urge you to support transfer tax reform that removes unnecessary barriers to housing construction and helps San Francisco meet the scale of its housing challenge.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gus Henry
gus.henry@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Tiara Napolitano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:03:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Tiara Napolitano
tiarajsampson@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Colin Williams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:05:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

I teach special ed students in San Francisco.

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Colin Williams
quixoticquest@gmail.com
384 Vallejo St.

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94133

From: [Alexa Bruce](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/25\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FielderCliff](#)
Cc: [traveller@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1--protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 7:42:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

Having lived in the Bay Area most of my life and San Francisco for the past 20+ years, I've seen many friends leave due to the cost of housing. Please help people of all incomes have places to live. It strikes me when I go other places and people with many different jobs can all be neighbors. This feels like community - people with different perspectives living side by side.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://airavaman.clicky2.com/> — <https://www.sforalhousing.org/about> — [YXAofhNmZHBzQnEfbowwMTENtkYwEzNTYyOTRucMfzMTBhZTFHbWVzYXNlOTczQng5MGI5NmEjOTg5NzJhNDE4ZjJhZjdmMDQjODQ6SDBhNWM3NzNlYyYzNDY2NzRjZjEwNjBhNDM2MTcsZGh3NDE0NGFwZDpoc0kYGTk4](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Alexa Bruce
Alabama Street
traveller@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Jackson Oberlink](#)
To: [Leticia Capriel \(D/25\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [jacksonoberlink@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:05:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avanara.click/2/591/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX4aOnNmZH0yOmE6hzwzODaxNZZIMTlJZTVjYTNINTEIM28iN2G5MTUxYVY1MDs3OmE1M2USYzUyZDh1ZTl3ZWl5ZTQyYzNlNmUsMTYyZmE1NjUzZDh1ZDRlMDRlYVFBMjWlNDkyYU03N2U1ZWYyNTI3NTIwOky6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jackson Oberlink
1515 18th Ave, Unit B
jacksonoberlink@gmail.com
District 7 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Rafi and mayor lurie.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters myself included, passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://url.avanan.cldp/cv2d0/> https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about_VXkXzOmNmZHQYOmE6bzphMmMxNzU0ZTA0YjRlMTYyNzU1NTYyZmE0MzBmYjQ3U0UzNDY6NDU5MTI2NmQ4OWY1ZGVhY2YyMjYsYzhkMWw1MDkxNjA4MjhihGUyMzlkMjQ2NGMyNGQ3ZTU2MwYyYzG0NThkYjpwOkY6Yt9 demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [O Role](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MPS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder@sf](#)
Cc: [collected@minko.fastmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 8:08:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.yaman.clicks/2u01/> - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - [YXAfCeNopZHQyOmE@znmZTcsZTM5ZWNIkMzVhYyShYslwZGRhNDU1VWESYTF5Yj03QMcZDz6ZGZYZGZlY2F2TsdNm3Y2Y4YTV1VjE0NDQyeZj00YjA5MDhY2MzODc2YzBkMmhmNTE5MjNINIDpZmlZZTZiNjowOkY6Tg](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
O Role
collected@minko.fastmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Rachel Hon](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DRC\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jack](#)
Cc: [waffles-zamnest.08@icloud.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1--protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 10:33:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZHpOmE6hwoyQDBkZjF3MDhMWlU3MTNjNzRjODU4ZjEwMTM2YjRhNjc3OmYjOGI6NGU0YzMyY2NiMTM2NTVjNTI0NzA3OGRIbWUyYmRlNjZlMDhBNzYyOGM3ZjY1Y2Y2MzI0YTJlM2M4d4NiNiNzhpOGY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Rachel Hon
28 Linda St
waffles-zamnest.08@icloud.com
District 9 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto this petition (<https://url.ayaman.clyd/2t01/>, <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - YXAAoBaNmZHCyOmE6bzowYjgZyUu0YyWYNTBJZGFmN2Q2M2QzMmU4M2EsOTU5Yz03JgZDM6MmIsNDNjYWFlNGQwMGJlYTM3NmU1NDQ0ZmUzNmU4ZTZhNWU3MDVjYjg2YWEnWYyYjFINDg4NzhmZVJmYmYwZjpwOkY6TGt) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition <https://www.change.org/p/san-francisco-social-housing-act-2020> demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Dan
danfolds@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Carl R. Patterson](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar/Stahl \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [carl.patterson@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 12:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://carl.patterson.clicky2011/> - <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - [YX4uO8NwZHHQzOmE6hzgmZjYkNmRmNjY4NzA0YjY2MDY2MjhlNDU5YzA4ZjI15Mj03QjdhVTM6OTg0OGFkYmFmWEYjUjOTFkNkVlZmQzZjI3NjA5ZjIyZW9lZmE3ZGF0ZjI3NjNlMjUzZjM2MTc0QW44MjQzZDpwOjkY6Tg](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Carl R. Patterson
50 Shradler St
carl.patterson@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Marianna Ilagan](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(SOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(SOS\)](#)
Cc: [marianna.ilagan@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(SOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Monday, March 9, 2020 3:38:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

It is imperative that you take this seriously!!!! I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.click/y2j01/> / <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — YXAsOnSmZIHQOnEfbapIN2NbzGfAYWtGSNWlMT3CDDQqMwI0MvIwNDk2NWMI1Mps3QjBtNWMBMGQz7TtT7tB0TTQzMzZkNzYVImFYjdhNTAyYmMzZWUuSTgqMDEfZWExZTlmMDOxNmYyOGQzM2BkNDMyYjRlMjpsOkY6Ug) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Marianna Ilagan
1421 10th Avenue, SF 94122
marianna.ilagan@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Kundan](#)
To: [Laurie, Daniel \(HHS\); Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\); MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [Mandelman, Rafael \(HHS\); Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:12:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.click/v2/01/...https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about...YXAQnNzHfQzOmE6bzoxODVlZGZlZTYTNmYTQlYzdkMDVlZDEzZjFjZGZmOWlsMDo3OjlsNTI6ZDRlYzhzG4ZTVlMTF2MIA4YWZlYyY2M2JhMmFjM2QzNmZlMjhmMmNiNjIzOTFyNWUzOGU3ZmE1ZjZlbnNzY2YTYmOkYGTg>) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Kundan
805A Shrader St
kundan_ny@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

From: [Noah Loiacono](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:03:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Noah!!

Noah Loiacono
noah.loiacono@icloud.com
579 Valencia St
San Francisco, California 94110-1162

From: [Jennifer Raviv](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:05:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Raviv
jenniferraviv@gmail.com
1606 Church Street, #4
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Alice Freire](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \[MTC\]](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \[DCS\]](#); [Mahmood@staff](#)
Cc: [afreire@freire.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \[DCS\]](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:06:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition ([https://act.lawson.clicky2.org/1...https://www.sfhousing.org/about...X2A3uNw7H03yOnE8buplMGQ3NTV2YWF3Y3Y2NCS3FvN3lM3w3dFvY3cGDPr3M3u3MTG3D3m3u3NTG3ZFG3N3F3Z3Y3w3ZDY3M3B3Y3c3M3A3w3J3z3m3M3OT34N3G3p3MTE3GWZ3CD11N3M3Z3D3Y3T3Z3Y3Y3Z3m3M3M3d3M3B3N3p3c3K3Y6T3g](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Alice Freire
1630 page 44
afreire@freire.com
District 5 resident

From: [Fanilla Cheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Do Not Repeal Prop I!
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:08:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, please honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That is what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Fanilla

Fanilla Cheng
fanilla.c@gmail.com
1090 Eddy St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Sarah Bloch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sarah Bloch
sarahrbloch95@gmail.com

From: [Arulselvan Madhavan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:11:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Arulselvan Madhavan
arulselvan1234@gmail.com
545 Kirkham street
San Francisco, California 94122-3610

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

thousands of my fellow San Franciscoans for Social Housing have already signed our petition (<https://url.avanica.click/y2r2f0/> - https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about..._YXAaOnSmZHQpOE6hz0dNQ0Y2HNA1NDEHYmLrYjM2nBjMDA1ZGExNj1ZDc3OjdlZWw6NTYyMzMuMzUthbWVYxNzI5ZDEyYTJlNWZhNzJmMzNmMjc4MjY4NDM5MTM4ZTMwNDhhMmZhNGM5Yjc5NjRlMDBlODpwOKY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
ANTONIO JEREZ
743 Polk Street, 207
rockstarr666@gmail.com
District 5 resident

From: [Eric Peterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:12:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Eric Peterson
epeterson@cirseiu.org

From: [Joseph Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Preserve Prop I, and Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:18:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Joseph Wang
joew10@yahoo.com
1087 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Asra Ziauddin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Asra Ziauddin
asra.ziauddin@gmail.com

,

From: [Piper Alldredge](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Piper Alldredge
piper.alldredge@gmail.com
165 Duboce Ave Apt 202
San Francisco, California 94103-1148

From: [mary.scheib](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:28:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social no housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

mary.scheib
maryscheib17@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Lisa King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:29:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lisa King
lisakingsf@yahoo.com
656 Sweeny St.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Diana Revimjan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:32:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

The city will lose its best people if you continue to make it harder and harder to live here. San Francisco is a California jewel specifically because of its diversity and opportunity available to all regardless of wealth. Only you can cement its status as one of the greatest cities in the country.

Sincerely,

Diana Reyimjan

Diana Reyimjan

diana.reyimjan@gmail.com

590 Minnesota St

San Francisco, California 94107-3025

From: [Charles Lin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:35:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Charles Lin

Charles Lin
kingcharleslin@gmail.com
2550 Golden Gate Ave Apt 5

San Francisco, California 94118-4328

From: [Aaron Peverill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:36:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Aaron Peverill

Aaron Peverill
aaronpeverill4@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Inder Grewal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:47:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

As a real estate developer, I urge you to oppose the BUILD Act (File #260178) and preserve Proposition I, the voter-approved 2020 measure that has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing the largest real estate transactions in San Francisco.

Although Proposition I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as voters intended, it has already helped deliver more than \$200 million in housing support as of 2024. That includes \$42 million for the strongest COVID rent relief program in the country, which helped prevent more than 20,000 San Franciscans from being evicted; \$40 million for land banking that has supported the development of more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more could be accomplished if the City committed future Proposition I revenues to social housing, as voters intended.

Supporters of the BUILD Act claim it will help build housing, but most transactions receiving a tax cut under this proposal would be sales of office buildings and large commercial assets—not new residential construction. Cutting taxes for speculators and billionaires has never been an effective housing policy. San Francisco already tested this theory. After the City significantly reduced affordable housing requirements in 2023, we did not see a wave of new construction. In fact, 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade.

As someone who works in development, I can tell you plainly: projects are not stalled because of a transfer tax. They are stalled because developers and investors are waiting for higher profit margins. Developers build when the returns are there. Cutting taxes does not change that basic reality. That is a market cycle, not a policy failure. And even when the market-rate housing industry does build, those homes are priced for the top of the market and remain far out of reach for working households like mine.

There is a better way forward. When 57% of San Francisco voters approved Proposition I in 2020, they supported a vision to build social housing. Social housing is permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and designed to serve San Franciscans of all income levels—especially those who need it most.

Instead of repealing Proposition I, the City should honor the voters' intent and dedicate its revenues to building social housing at scale. That is how San Francisco can actually deliver housing for working people.

I urge you to reject the BUILD Act and preserve Proposition I.

Sincerely,
Inder Grewal

Inder Grewal
igrewal8@gmail.com

New York, California 94133

From: [Hazle Nova Thunes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:47:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Hazle Thunes
SF Mission District Resident

Hazle Nova Thunes
hazlethunes@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jeff May](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:53:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jeff May
jeff.n.may@proton.me
263 Arch St
San Francisco, California 94132-3042

From: [Margaret Hodges](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:09:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hodges
mmhodes22@gmail.com
615A Precita Ave
San Francisco, California 94110-4819

From: [Lori Milburn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:32:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lori Milburn
lbzmilburn@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Sophia Corona](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: This is wrong! Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:33:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Sophia Corona
coronasrc@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [M.O](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:51:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Please step up and do what's right, instead of enabling developers and Hedge Fund by-ups, thereby killing any chance of decently priced rentals.

Sincerely,

Meg Oldman

M O

gagameg@icloud.com

,

From: [Jac](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:19:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Jac
jsvdhout@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Stephen Cosenza Jr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:22:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Stephen Cosenza Jr
stephen_cosenza@yahoo.com
1216 Kirkham Street
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Mike Pincus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:25:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Why are we giving breaks to billionaires when public goods -education, healthcare and transit in particular - are so egregiously underfunded?

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Mike Pincus
mapincus@gmail.com

508 Andover St
San Francisco, California 94110-6014

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

demanded you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Erica Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:36:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Erica Wang
erica@ericawang.com
1087 Capitol Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Council of Community Housing Organizations](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [stephen.sherill@sfgov.org](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The BUILD Act and SF's 57% Affordable Housing Obligation
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 12:47:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board President Mandelman and the Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to share the Council of Community Housing Organization's (CCHO) most recent [Substack article](#) regarding the BUILD Act. We are particularly concerned around the loss of dedicated funding without a guaranteed replacement, and the broader need to focus squarely on how the City will meet its 57% affordable RHNA obligation.

We are also concerned that the current framing disproportionately centers market-rate production without equal attention to how the City will meet its legally required affordable housing obligations. If we are serious about meeting our housing goals, the affordable housing strategy must be as concrete and resourced as the market-rate strategy. Otherwise, we risk facilitating additional market-rate development while the affordable side remains structurally underfunded.

If we are serious about meeting our legally required housing goals, the affordable housing strategy must be as robust as the market-rate strategy. Otherwise, the City risks facilitating luxury development while the affordable side remains structurally underfunded. This concern is further detailed in our latest analysis: [You Can't Build Affordable Housing by Cutting Its Funding](#).

To date, our member Executive Directors — the nonprofit leaders responsible for producing and preserving permanently affordable housing in San Francisco — have not been involved in shaping these discussions. That is a significant gap. Our members are navigating very real financial constraints: rising construction costs, strained operating budgets, and limited subsidy. The funding realities are not theoretical for us. If we don't fund it, we can't build it.

We would welcome the opportunity to sit down with you to discuss the realities facing the affordable housing sector and how we can collaborate on durable funding solutions. We share the Mayor's goal of building more housing, and we believe that requires a clear, well-resourced pathway for affordable production and preservation.

You Can't Build Affordable Housing by Cutting its Funding
<https://quintinmecke.substack.com/p/you-cant-build-affordable-housing>

Thank you,

Council of Community Housing Organizations
CCHO Action

Celebrating 40 years as the voice of San Francisco's affordable housing movement
325 Clementina Street, San Francisco 94103

415-882-0901 office

www.sfccho.org

www.sfcchoaction.org

Follow us on [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#)!

From: [Neelam Kumar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:58:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Neelam

Neelam Kumar
neelk05@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Nick DeRenzi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:59:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Nick D, D1 resident

Nick DeRenzi
nick@criticalresistance.org

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lindsey Hight](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:03:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hight
lindseydhs@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Shanti Singh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: The BUILD Act is fiscally irresponsible and out of step with state housing innovations
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing speculative property sales well above the price range of homeowners or "mom and pops" let alone tenants. In my day job, I am a state policy advocate working on these solutions and I can say without question that San Francisco is not leading in tackling the affordability crisis -- it is lagging. The BUILD Act as currently written would be yet another enormous step backward.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings or multifamily rental properties that are frequent targets of speculative flipping, and have absolutely nothing to do with new residential construction. Some of these large buildings are even older than many of the recipients of this email. To do this in a manner that indiscriminately cuts taxes for older construction at a time of budget cuts and city worker layoffs is fiscally suicidal.

Claims that the BUILD Act's cuts would be revenue-neutral with a foreclosure tax are dubious to even a casual observer as these are completely separate forms of market activity. Transfer taxes generate the most revenue during boom cycles, foreclosures tend to spike during busts. Supervisors should demand a year-over-year comparison in projected revenues from a trusted, politically independent analyst at the very least before even beginning to entertain such a claim.

By the end of 2026, the California Department of Housing and Community Development will have published its recommendations pursuant to SB 555 (Wahab), the Stable Affordable Housing Act of 2023, which will lay out a panoply of state AND local land, financing, institutional, and governance strategies for social housing models in California. (As an aside, I will note that a BUILD Act co-author has claimed that social housing is not possible in San Francisco without a state-level development authority. That is categorically untrue and would certainly be news to the many affordable housing providers and state policy experts working on social housing policy in California).

Additionally, AB 670 (Quirk-Silva), signed into law by Governor Newsom, now allows for acquisition and preservation of low-income housing to count up to 25% of low-income RHNA goals. So far there has been no acknowledgment or reflection of this in local policy in San Francisco.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest COVID-19 rent relief program in the country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from homelessness; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market.

There's a better way to build and preserve housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build and buy social housing in San Francisco.

After the passage of Mayor Lurie's Family Zoning Plan, a number of Supervisors acknowledged that public investments were needed if we had even a glimmer of a hope to reach our city's state-mandated goals. Cities like Chicago, Atlanta, and Seattle are innovating in the social housing and public investment space while San Francisco continues to gamble hopelessly on a bear market and chuck tax break after tax break at the problem, even if it means hurting tenants and union workers facing layoffs. (I do not see how the BUILD Act is "pro-union" if it contributes to laying off union workers, by the by).

Instead of repealing Prop I, honor the voters' intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to public investment in land and social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Shanti Singh (in my personal capacity as a San Francisco tenant and longtime D3 constituent)

Shanti Singh

shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com

1441 Clay St.

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Kate Olmstead](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 2:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Katherine Olmstead

Kate Olmstead
ke.lynch77@gmail.com

From: [Claire Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 3:35:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Claire Thomas
cet.samoht@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: Kelly Holz
To: Lurie, Daniel (D/PS) · Mandelman, Rafael (BOG) · MandelmanStaff (BOG)
Cc: kelly@danield.lurie.org · Board of Supervisors (BOG)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 4:02:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.evansan.click/y/2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3y43uNmZIH2yQmE6boxGCVhYjcyNaMwZDY4NTg0THZGM4ZjllsOGFhZjEINDs3OjA1NT15cmE3MjRlMmJlNmEwMmRlYVYyYzJlOGVlG0TlIGVlVAMDEYjJgZDGNNGNlMjQ0OWEjNDRAImwM4YmEzMTYMTAaOTpwQlY6Tg](https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Kelly Holz
3818 17th St
holz.kelly@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Rebecca Benjamin](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(NYC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOG\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [Benjamin@does.usfca.edu](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 4:58:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://petr.usfca.edu/sign/clicks/21071>)... <https://www.doforsocialhousing.org/about>... YX4x7cNwZHQzOmE6bupMEFNshhOWEjMTLzNDMGNDezNGVjZDp0OTwYThMTz30mM5Mz46NjlsNpA2NjB0Tz2ZDY5ODUyN2lwMTc1NTg4ZTRBNiYwZm5QbWUzOTR0ZTNlYyYwYTVmZjA4MTRlMDUzZDEyYjpsOkY6Tg)

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Benjamin
rbenjamin@does.usfca.edu
District 7 resident

From: [Leah Worthington](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 5:32:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Leah Worthington
worthingtonleah@gmail.com
52 Landers Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Jeanette Yue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 6:24:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Jeanette

Jeanette Yue
Jeanette126@gmail.com
909A Florida St
San francisco, California 94110-2819

From: [Francesca Manning](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Francesca
TEACHER, MOTHER, SF BORN AND BRED

Francesca Manning
ftcmanning37@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Lutz Netto](#)
To: [Lutz Netto \(M/F\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [www.muni.net/assessor/ Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2020 7:40:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.avanam.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHCyOnlf6hzoIMDA5YzMyZWMeNTBhNTpwMTd4OTI2MTc2Njc3N2ZlZjps3OmZlYTh6GcYxM6MwMcfmNDh1YlYkYWNhZlE5OWl3M2MeQWRjZG10NZZlMDh4N2E0TEhZDMwYzkiZjhsNlY4YmZlZmFsMjpsOklYU1g](#))
demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Lutz Netto
assis_netto@yahoo.com
District 8 resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed one a petition (<https://dml.nytimes.com/2017/01/17/sf-social-housing-program/about>) <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/abou...> XAAQaDnMZHQYQmE6bz0MmQ2ZDE3YTkWODEwZDYlMWQ1MTJmJmDlOWFzYiBkZT03OmNlYtq6ZTE3ZmYwNTE4ZGMlZDhlYWYyY2RlYyQ3NWY2NzYwM2JmMQYmRhZmRhZDgzNzI5ZjhjNmRhZDZlZic0NTpwOkY6TGt

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Ishaan Sangwan
sgtraiko@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Chris Carlsson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:08:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Chris Carlsson
carlsson.chris@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110-4014

From: [Diana Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,

Diana Rodriguez
dianarodfsu@gmail.com
864 Treat Ave Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94110-2742

From: [josh bea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely, Josh Bea

josh bea
flatplasticsound@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Audrey Dixon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:27:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future and the futures of the families I teach in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Best regards,
Audrey

Audrey Dixon
audrey2dixon@gmail.com
2660 3rd st Apt 513
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [alicia brassil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:15:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Alicia Brassil

alicia brassil
brassilalicia@yahoo.com

,

From: [Faithy Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Please save affordable housing funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:35:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Dear City Leader,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, the collective working class's future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest COVID rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all economic levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Faithy Leong

Faithy Leong
funktastyk@yahoo.com

1320 Scott St Apt A
San Francisco, California 94115-4013

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 65.8% of District 5 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url-avanan.click/v2/d9/>, <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> - YXAcOnNmZHOYOmF6bz0IQGQ4MDRIYfEOZTqNml4OWE-MjQO3ZDUzZWQwMQDQ4Nj0JlBMtP6SZdmMdglOWMzMmM3MmlxNmM4ZThmNjYwMTdlNzgNZTE4ZTZgcZTVhZjZlNTI0ZjA4MWNhMThcyYmfENThmOGY5YmwOkY6TGk) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't get Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

From: [Sasha Perigo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Don't Repeal Prop I: Save Affordable Housing Funds
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:27:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Please oppose the BUILD Act (file #260178) and preserve 2020 Proposition I, which has raised hundreds of millions of dollars for affordable housing by taxing rich real estate investors. As a working San Franciscan, my future in this city would be put in peril by this massive cut to affordable housing.

Although Prop I revenue has never been fully dedicated to social housing as intended, it has funded over \$200 million to help San Franciscans afford housing as of 2024, including \$42 million for the strongest Covid rent relief program in country, which helped save more than 20,000 San Franciscans from eviction; \$40 million for land banking, which has been used to build more than 550 affordable homes; and \$64 million for housing acquisition that has taken hundreds of homes off the private speculative market. Even more can be accomplished if the City devotes future Prop I revenues to social housing as voters intended.

Sponsors of the BUILD Act claim it would help build housing, but most transactions that would receive a tax cut are sales of office buildings, and have nothing to do with new residential construction. Giving tax breaks to speculators and billionaires has failed to build homes before. After San Francisco slashed affordable housing requirements in 2023, more new housing wasn't built – 2024 saw the fewest housing permits filed in more than a decade. And even if these tax cuts got market-rate housing built, it would be far too expensive for working households like mine.

There's a better way to build housing. When 57% of voters approved Prop I in 2020, they were supporting a vision to build social housing in San Francisco. Social housing is housing that's permanently affordable, democratically governed by residents, sustainable and accessible, and serves San Franciscans of all income levels, but especially those who need it most. Instead of repealing Prop I, honor this intent and dedicate Prop I revenue to social housing. That's what will get cranes back on the skyline and San Franciscans housed.

Sincerely,
Sasha Perigo

Sasha Perigo
sasha.perigo@gmail.com
716 Dolores St Apt 6
San Francisco, California 94110-2273

From: [Jamie Pearlstein](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman,Self \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [jpearlstein@hotmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 5:48:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition

(<https://url.ayman.clicks/2/01/>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>—[YXAcOnNpZHQyOmE6hzozMfE1ZdMGEyZDdhNmVjODAvM2BjZTViY2ZlM0hMio3OfQsNzJ6SszZANZBOWjODNHYzVlZmIzNz4ZlY5OWRlY2ZlMDp5NTIzZDE3ZDQsZWZmNjc3YmU2MDNneMAYmN2JlMzNlYm9kY6Tg](#))

demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Jamie Pearlstein
jpearlstein@hotmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [Caitlin Andrews](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOG\)](#); [Mandelman/Staff \(BOG\)](#)
Cc: [andrews.caitlin@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 6:53:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.ayman.click/s/2/d1/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX3a4Zu8mZHF2yOmE6zo1Mm8AMjRkODh47wEYyJfIMYIMtE5Yac3MGVmbMTFISDa3QjBmGGE5Yztl1MZZZnqjib5WY3MDVjODjGOGj0Nyc4NzNMD8z7ZcsZYtU1NnM3ZWlsMGVlMVWMyOWEm3mEAYzZmQDjzMTz3MjpsOkY6Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Andrews
Acadia St. San Francisco, CA 94131
andrews.caitlin@gmail.com
District 8 resident

From: [BJ](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/2\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(D/3\)](#); [Sherrill\(Salt\)](#)
Cc: [arperpsyko3@gmail.com: Board of Supervisors \(D/2\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 7:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Stephen Sherrill and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://act.avanara.click/2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YX4aOnNmZH0yOmE6bmSNDJjZTc0MGVM3ZGMzZTl5YTcwODhkYdiNzRkNFhNT03QjU2YW6NzBjMGUyNkY3YW57ZmM0MTVINjciNkYzDQsMzAsNjdmMoc1OTZzMmM2MzZmNGH0GEjNGRIMGUyTmI1ZGQ1ODpwOkY6TG9](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
An
arperpsyko3@gmail.com
District 2 resident

From: [Abigail Kahn](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/PS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOG\)](#); [Fielder-Staff](#)
Cc: [AbigailKahn@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I - protect social housing funding
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 8:26:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Jackie Fielder and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.1% of District 9 voted for Prop I. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.aviasan.clicks/2/01/>—<https://www.afsocialhousing.org/about>—YXAaChsNyoZHCyOmE6bw5NTA3OTQ4MrdZOWjZTM0YTBmZTHVTDkNTNhZTl3MTG3Olg3MTg5YmYwSVWFswZjlkZjQ4OTRlNzcwOWVlZGYzM4ZzZGcyZjBhOGMzMjY0Y2QzMjU5NmE5QWZlMzRlYjBjOTdkYjBjZjpwOkY6Tg) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Abigail Kahn
abigailkahn@gmail.com
District 9 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(DPS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar-Draft \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Alicia.english@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I--protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:42:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avamcm.click/y2/d01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeQsNmZHFqOmEfbzpkZTVkYnQ0Mjc5ZjUYZjMDg0MTY2NWYyOTNkYyYwNjQ3QjA6MjA6MjY2NDQ1N2NjZGZmQWm5MDQ4YmFjOGFkY2YwZDRhZDhINDQ0MzAwNDkOTZmM2QzNjQ0MDRlY2YyYmRINDpsOkY6Uk](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave. C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Laurie DePaul \(D/RE\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [alicia.english@gmail.com](#); [Staff of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:43:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Prop I have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.avamta.clicks/2101L...https://www.socfousing.org/about...YXA4OnSpZHZyOmE6bzpAMGE4NZy1ZDA3NzE4ZmR3MWMjMGU0NzIyZGMwNW5MTG90MzYMGESNz9hYTkyMGQyMjkzM2RlbnJzDFEjImZDh4MzA3MjUwMGFhYkYyYjY3ODQwYTBiODc1NjUyZTR3ZjlsOGZlYjpwOkY6TG9>) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave. C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Alicia English](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(D/12\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(D/03\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(D/03\)](#)
Cc: [alicia.english@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(D/03\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop I—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 7:43:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Myrna Melgar and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition I and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop I, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop I revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop I. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop I's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicks/2j01U/>—<https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about>—[YXA4c3h5NmZlZDZjQmE6bm5OGMcMGjN2MxYzMeMwI3Mng3MjdINWU1ZDcwYVZlc3Q0bmY2Q5Ym9lIGDM5OTUsMmRlNTUzOTQ5NWw6ZDNoOTc3ZTNlMTQyZjM1YjRlZWYyYjA4NWBRNGM3ZGIINm93NTc0YWZlNjpwOkY6TGQ](#)) demanding you spend Prop I revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop I.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop I is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop I.

Sincerely,
Alicia English
198, Ridgewood ave. C
alicia.english@gmail.com
District 7 resident

From: [Olivia Gee](#)
To: [Luisa Capriel \(NYC\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOE\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOE\)](#)
Cc: [lvmgee@gmail.com](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOE\)](#)
Subject: Do not gut Prop 1—protect social housing funding
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:58:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Rafael Mandelman and Mayor Daniel Lurie,

I am writing to ask you to protect Proposition 1 and its funding for the Social Housing Program.

In 2020, San Francisco voters passed Prop 1, which levied a transfer tax on properties worth over \$10 million. 64.7% of District 8 voted for Prop 1. The Board of Supervisors unanimously passed a resolution assuring voters that Prop 1 revenue would fund a Social Housing Program—permanently affordable, publicly-owned housing for a wide mix of incomes.

Do not gut Prop 1. Oppose the BUILD Act. Spend Prop 1's revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Oppose any attempt to remove or reduce the property transfer tax until equal or greater substitute funding for social housing is secured and passed into law.

Hundreds of my fellow San Franciscans for Social Housing have already signed onto a petition (<https://url.ayman.clicky2/01/> — <https://www.sfsocialhousing.org/about> — [YXAeOnNmZHcyCmf6bzo5NjAyNzI3YmQ0NjhjNjAxMDExNWw1NjhmYTdhOT03OmVlNDM6ZjA4NWZmM2VlZWwNMTk3MTI3YWVlZTg2MjIjZjg2ZmZlNGQxODAwYzZlNDZmM3ZmM3ZmM3MTA5NWY0NTY0OTAxODQwOjY0Tg](#)) demanding you spend Prop 1 revenue on the Social Housing Program as voters intended. Please don't take away our city's only tool to fund social housing. Please don't gut Prop 1.

San Franciscans need real housing solutions. Prop 1 is one of the few tools we have to fund them. Do not undermine a law voters approved only a few years ago. Fulfill the promise of Prop 1.

Sincerely,
Olivia Gee
244 Fair Oaks Street
lvmgee@gmail.com
District 8 resident

Item 16

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260179 Pacific Islander Cultural District - 2 letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:06:44 PM
Attachments: [260179 2 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 2 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 260179 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the Pacific Islander Cultural District in and around the Visitacion Valley and Sunnydale neighborhoods; to require the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to submit written reports and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor describing the cultural attributes of the District and proposing strategies to acknowledge and preserve the cultural legacy of the District; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Anna Abeyta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Commission, Recpark \(REC\)](#); [Summers, Ashley \(REC\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260179 Ordinance Establishing the Pacific Island Cultural District
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:49:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I would like to convey my concerns regarding the geographic extent of the new Pacific Islander Cultural District (PICD). The language in the subject ordinance includes about half of McLaren Park, including Crocker Amazon (D11), Gleneagles Golf Course (D10), and other open spaces in my neighborhood. I've asked dozens of my neighbors, community members, and stakeholders about how the PICD map was created. Most had no idea what the PICD was. None had any idea how the map was created.

As I researched this, I found the following definition of a Cultural District:

"...Cultural District shall mean a **geographic area** or location within the City and County of San Francisco that embodies a unique cultural heritage because it contains **a concentration** of cultural and historic assets and culturally significant enterprise, arts, services, or businesses, and because a **significant portion** of its residents or people who spend time in the area or location are members of a specific cultural or ethnic group that historically has been discriminated against, displaced, and oppressed." Administrative Code Chapter 107

I do not understand how significant portions of the open spaces and recreational facilities in my neighborhood would qualify for inclusion into the PICD. There has to be a broad community engagement process before things like this are forever codified, correct?

I include myself as just one of the hundreds of regular users of the open spaces and park amenities that would be within the PICD. Yet during my daily walks and talks, I've found no one that was included in this process. I could find no evidence that this happened. This can't be right.

Was the Recreation and Parks Commission or SFRPD consulted before the boundaries of the PICD was overlayed upon their open spaces and facilities? Did anyone determine the ethnicities of the numerous McLaren Park users before this ordinance was drafted?

Please understand that I am not opposed to the concept of the PICD. I believe Cultural Districts can add to the colorful fabric of San Francisco. And the purpose and goals of the Cultural District Program are commendable. **But I have to convey that I am disappointed in the process that created the boundaries of the PICD because there was NO broad community engagement or dialogue. This is NOT how we do things in San Francisco.**

I urge you to not move forward with this at this time.

This item should be continued until a later date, only coming back for your consideration **after** broad community outreach has been done and the voices of stakeholders considered. The future

map might not change, but if it does that means there was an inclusive process that led to a consensus. Can we strive towards this?

Please reach out to me if you have any questions or need more information.

Sincerely,

Anna Abeyta

amabeyta@sbcglobal.net

D11 Resident

McLaren Park neighbor, user, volunteer, and advocate

From: [TRILCE & CHARLES](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260179 Ordinance Establishing the Pacific Island Cultural District
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:36:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as the representative for Help McLaren Park group. A group with over 500 members currently advocating for over 15 years for child and family friendly activities and refurbishment to help make McLaren Park a safe and family friendly destination.

It has come to my attention there are efforts to make parts of McLaren Park into a Pacific Island Cultural District without neighborhood or community vetting. I am opposed to the idea especially knowing that McLaren's history has nothing to do with the Pacific Islanders but more of Mexican origins. The area was once a Rancho and it was donated to the city in 1840 by the then Mexican governor.

Knowing this and knowing so many different races of people enjoy McLaren Park, especially of latin descent, it doesn't make any sense to say only one specific type of people have set foot in it and now it deserves a name all to themselves as if they own it. That would be a foolish thing to claim of such an incredible resource shared by so many colorful people from all walks of life over the years, not just Pacific Island people.

Thank you for your time,

Chuck Farrugia

--

Help McLaren Park
Facebook - Help McLaren Park
<https://www.helpmclarenpark.org>
<https://www.facebook.com/JohnMcLarenPark>
www.helpmlpark.weebly.com

[Petition · Bring Horses Back to McLaren Park - Change.org](#)



Item 17

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 15 Marina Blvd proposed project - 7 letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:11:36 PM
Attachments: [15 Marina 7 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 7 letters from members of the public regarding a proposed housing development at 15 Marina Boulevard.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:21:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email noguera@changes.world

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Hatun Noguera

From: [Esfir Shrayber](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:53:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email to_fira@yahoo.com

From your constituent Esfir Shrayber

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Esfir Shrayber

From: [Laura Robertson](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 3:01:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email rubykuma@duck.com

From your constituent Laura Robertson

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Laura Robertson

From: [Francine Lofrano](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:34:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email ftblote@sbcglobal.net

From your constituent Francine Lofrano

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd mainly because it's just plain 100% ridiculous!! Seriously, this project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate,

independent seismic and geotechnical audit to determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic and UNNEEDED development.

Thank you.

Francine Lofrano

From: [Susan Ford](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 7:55:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email susan.ford103@gmail.com

From your constituent Susan Ford

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Susan Ford

From: [Julie Paul](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 5:33:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email juliepaul164@gmail.com

From your constituent Julie Paul

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Julie Paul

From: [Julie Dearborn](#)
To: [So, Lydia \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Campbell, Amy \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [McGarry, Sean \(CPC\)](#); [Williams, Gilbert A \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose the 25-Story Proposal
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 12:47:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and Planning Commission

Email juliedearborn@comcast.net

From your constituent Julie Dearborn

Subject Oppose the 25-Story Proposal

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and the Planning Commission:

I urge you to exercise your full authority to reject the proposed 25-story tower at 15 Marina Blvd. This project is not merely an "outlier"; it is a direct assault on the integrity of San Francisco's legislative process and the safety of its residents.

The following reasons provide City Hall to strike down this proposal:

1. A Breach of Public Trust and Legislative Intent
The developer recently stated they have been "working on this project for years." This admission confirms a deliberate attempt to circumvent the public process. While our community and this Board worked in good faith to attempt compromises within the Family Zoning Plan, the developer waited in the wings to subvert those 40-foot height limits just hours after the Board of Supervisors voted as a whole to pass the plan.
2. Unresolved Public Safety Risks - The Marina district is a high-hazard liquefaction zone. Proposing a structure of this unprecedented scale on unstable landfill is a matter of public safety, not just "neighborhood character." We request an immediate, independent seismic and geotechnical audit to

determine if this "beast" meets the strict Objective Safety Standards required by the state, which cannot be waived by SB 330. A 25-story scale creates a risk that no amount of engineering can fix, as it would interfere with emergency evacuation routes for the entire district during a disaster.

3. Defense of Local Democracy - By allowing this project to move forward, the City is effectively rendering the Board's recent vote on the Family Zoning Plan meaningless. We ask that the City Attorney review the vesting status of this application to ensure the developer is not illegally bypassing the local laws that were active at the time of their filing.

We stand with Mayor Lurie and Supervisor Sherrill in their initial pushback. We now ask for that pushback to become a formal, legal stand. Do not let "streamlining" become a mandate for dangerous, undemocratic development.

Thank you.

Julie Dearborn

Item 18

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Bay Lights 360 - 6 letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:18:52 PM
Attachments: [Bay Lights 360 6 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 6 letters from Mark Baker regarding Bay Lights 360.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: [Ben Davis](#); joe.crookham@musco.com
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; Flint_Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; [Higuera, Amy R.](#); [El-Tawansy, Dina@DOT](#); Scharff_Greg@BCDC; [Kathleen Kane](#); [Bacal-Graves, Sam D.](#); [Shari Posner](#); Nq_Michael@BCDC; Alix_Bockelman; [Andrew Fremier](#); Goldzband_Larry@BCDC; MTC-ABAG_Info; info@bcdca.gov; Ramos_Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zylkia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Bay Lights 360 - Musco and DarkSky International
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:31:37 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Joe Crookahm, CEO, Musco Lighting, and Ruskin Hartley, President, Dark Sky International,

According to Musco's website, Joe Crookham serves on the National Parks Foundation Board of Directors which are "aligned with our longstanding priorities of conservation and youth development." In addition, Musco claims to be a leading partner of DarkSky International.

This statement on Musco's website is completely incongruent with the Bay Lights 360 project, which is pure blue-rich light pollution and completely disconnected from any concept of protection of the natural night or anything in the ecosystem.

I am the President of the Soft Lights Foundation, and have been requesting CEQA compliance of the Bay Lights 360 project for three years. A year ago, I began filing lawsuits as a private individual and filing public records requests. I have discovered that the entire Bay Lights is based on fraud, conspiracy, and even perjury. The Project was issued a CEQA NOE and NEPA CE by government officials rather than preparing the required EIR and EIS, and Ben Davis signed the permit application under penalty of perjury as an Authorized Agent for BATA, when in fact Mr. Davis has no such authorization.

The Project requires local public agency sponsorship and public funding, and yet the entire project is sponsored by Illuminate, a private entity. Here is an opinion article in the Westside Observer that discloses many of the permit-laundering actions for this project. (<https://westsideobserver.com/26/3-bay-lights-360-whistleblower-fraud-perjury-regulatory-collusion.php>).

How do Musco Lighting and Dark Sky International justify their relationship when Musco Lighting is designing and providing hardware for an \$11,000,000 project that has no legal basis and which will cause significant harm to humans, wildlife, and the dark sky?

Mark Baker
President
Soft Lights Foundation



From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: [Ben Davis](#); joe.crookham@musco.com
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; Flint.Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; [Higuera, Amy R.](#); [El-Tawansy, Dina@DOT](#); Scharff.Greg@BCDC; [Kathleen Kane](#); [Bacal-Graves, Sam D.](#); [Shari Posner](#); [Ng, Michael@BCDC](#); [Alix Bockelman](#); [Andrew Fremier](#); [Goldzband, Larry@BCDC](#); info@bayareametro.gov; info@bcdcc.ca.gov; Ramos.Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zylkia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); ruskin@darksky.org; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Bay Lights 360 - Ownership of the Lighting Hardware
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 12:41:56 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Davis, Illuminate, and Mr. Crookahm, Musco Lighting,

This is my understanding of how the Bay Lights 360 fraud was perpetrated. I request that you correct me if I am wrong.

Ben Davis of Illuminate conceived of idea of the Bay Lights 360 project and approached wealthy individuals to donate \$11,000,000 for the lighting hardware. The money was donated to the 501(c)(3) non-profit Illuminate, which then entered into a contract with Musco Lighting to design and provide the hardware for the project.

Mr. Davis then signed an encroachment permit application to Caltrans, under penalty of perjury, claiming that he is an Authorized Agent for the Bay Area Toll Authority. (BATA has confirmed that Mr. Davis has not been granted authority to act as an Authorized Agent of BATA).

Caltrans Director Dina El-Tawansy issued an encroachment permit for the Project to "BATA c/o Illuminate", indicating that Caltrans had authorized Illuminate, and by extension Musco, to commandeer the Bay Bridge for their own personal lighting project.

Illuminate has never "gifted" the lighting hardware to Caltrans, nor has the California Department of Finance authorized the \$11,000,000 gift. As such, the entire project is private, but using public infrastructure to implement this private project.

Mr. Davis enlisted numerous government officials to enable the permit laundering fraud, including Dina El-Tawansy, Director of Caltrans, Andrew Fremier, Executive Director of BATA, Alix Bockelman, Deputy Executive Director of BATA, and Larry Goldzband, Executive Director of BCDC. In addition, many other individuals participated by filing a fraudulent CEQA NOE, fraudulently issuing a NEPA CE, assisting Mr. Davis with filing a fraudulent encroachment permit to Caltrans, and guiding the BATA Oversight Committee away from investigation of the fraud.

The end result is perhaps the world's largest light pollution project that has been installed on a public bridge by private parties without any government oversight, putting public health and safety at risk, and causing grave harm to the environment.

What I have described is my understanding based on three years of investigation and the review of public records. If I have not properly described the situation or have made any material error, I request your response identifying any errors in my description.

Mark Baker
California / Federal Taxpayer



From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: kasia.pawlowska@sfgate.com; rswan@sfnchronicle.com; Rob Nesbitt; forum@kqed.org; heather.knight@nytimes.com; joe@baycitynews.com; info@peninsula360press.com; aaleksey@clintreillycommunications.com; tchien@sfnstandard.com
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; Flint, Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; Higuera, Amy R.; El-Tawansy, Dina@DOT; Scharff, Greg@BCDC; Kathleen Kane; Bacal-Graves, Sam D.; Shari Posner; Ng, Michael@BCDC; Alix Bockelman; Andrew Fremier; Goldzband, Larry@BCDC; info@bayareametro.gov; info@bcdcc.ca.gov; Ramos, Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zykia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ruskin@darksky.org; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Ben Davis; joe.crookham@musco.com; nathan.barankin@gov.ca.gov
Subject: Bay Lights 360 - March 20, 2026 Night of Reckoning
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:35:44 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Reporters,

Your organization may have previously written about the Bay Lights 360 project. According to Ben Davis and Illuminate, the Bay Lights 360 is scheduled for a grand lighting ceremony on March 20, 2026. (<https://illuminate.org/2026/02/19/the-bay-lights-to-return-friday-march-20-2026/>).

Reporters will need to determine how to cover this event, as either one of excitement and joy, or one of dishonesty and government corruption.

I have been investigating the Bay Lights 360 project for three years. Based on the results of my public records requests, I wrote an opinion article for the Westside Observer which makes the case for fraud, conspiracy and perjury. (<https://westsideobserver.com/26/3-bay-lights-360-whistleblower-fraud-perjury-regulatory-collusion.php>). Below is a summary of how I understand the project to have unfolded.

Ben Davis of Illuminate conceived of idea of the Bay Lights 360 project and approached wealthy individuals to donate \$11,000,000 for the lighting hardware. The money was donated to the 501(c)(3) non-profit Illuminate, which then entered into a contract with Musco Lighting to design and provide the hardware for the project.

Mr. Davis then signed an encroachment permit application to Caltrans, under penalty of perjury, claiming that he is an Authorized Agent for the Bay Area Toll Authority. (BATA has confirmed that Mr. Davis has not been granted authority to act as an Authorized Agent of BATA).

Caltrans Director Dina El-Tawansy then issued an encroachment permit for the Project to "BATA c/o Illuminate", indicating that Caltrans had authorized Illuminate, and by extension Musco, to commandeer the Bay Bridge for their own personal lighting project.

Illuminate has never "gifted" the lighting hardware to Caltrans, nor has the California Department of Finance authorized the \$11,000,000 gift. As such, the entire project is private, but using public infrastructure to implement this private project.

Mr. Davis enlisted numerous government officials to enable a permit laundering fraud, including Dina El-Tawansy, Director of Caltrans, Andrew Fremier, Executive Director of BATA, Alix Bockelman, Deputy Executive Director of BATA, and Larry Goldzband, Executive Director of BCDC. In addition, many other individuals participated by filing a fraudulent CEQA NOE, fraudulently issuing a NEPA CE, assisting Mr. Davis with filing a fraudulent encroachment permit to Caltrans, and guiding the BATA Oversight Committee away from investigation of the fraud.

The end result is perhaps the world's largest light pollution project that has been installed on a public bridge by private parties without any government oversight, putting public health and safety at risk, and causing grave harm to the environment.

I have also documented much of this on my personal website.
(<https://moonlightadvocacy.org/bay-lights-360/>).

I hope that your coverage of the Bay Lights 360 grand lighting ceremony on March 20, 2026 makes clear that this project does not "belong to San Francisco" as Mr. Davis asserts, but is instead an abuse of public infrastructure, taxpayer funds, and public trust.

Sincerely,

Mark Baker
California Taxpayer



From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: jim.hansen@musco.com
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; Flint.Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; [Higuera, Amy R.](#); [El-Tawansy, Dina@DOT](#); Scharff.Greg@BCDC; [Kathleen Kane](#); [Bacal-Graves, Sam D.](#); [Shari Posner](#); [Ng, Michael@BCDC](#); [Alix Bockelman](#); [Andrew Fremier](#); [Goldzband, Larry@BCDC](#); info@bayareametro.gov; info@bcdcc.ca.gov; Ramos.Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zylkia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); ruskin@darksky.org; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Ben Davis](#); joe.crookham@musco.com; nathan.barankin@gov.ca.gov
Subject: Illuminate Post on Instagram
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 1:21:39 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Hansen,

Illuminate the Arts posted the below message on Instagram on February 19, 2026. I want to also share with you the encroachment permit application to Caltrans that was signed by Ben Davis. Caltrans has confirmed that there was no attached Letter of Authorization, despite Mr. Davis claiming under penalty of perjury that he is an Authorized Agent for BATA.

29. NAME OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (A "Letter of Authorization" is required if different from #28) Ben Davis (Illuminate)		IS A LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION ATTACHED? ☒ YES ☐ NO
ADDRESS OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (Include City, State and Zip Code) 228 Laidley St. San Francisco, CA 94131		
E-MAIL ADDRESS ben@illuminate.org	PHONE NUMBER 415-786-4332	FAX NUMBER
30. NAME OF BILLING CONTACT (Same as #28 ☐ Same as #29 ☒		
BILLING ADDRESS WHERE INVOICE(S) IS / ARE TO BE MAILED (Include City, State and Zip Code)		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER
* I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information in this application and any document submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that copies of any documents submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct copies of unaltered original documents. I further understand that if I have provided information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading I may be charged with a crime and subjected to fine or imprisonment, or both fine and imprisonment. (Penal Code Section 72)		
31. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AUTHORIZED AGENT* BEN DAVIS Digitally signed by BEN DAVIS Date: 2024.09.13 16:32:03 -07'00'	32. PRINT OR TYPE NAME Ben Davis	

The Bay Lights 360 project requires a CEQA EIR and a NEPA EIS. Instead, due to Mr. Davis' alleged fraudulent actions and the conspiracy among government officials and Musco Lighting, the Bay Lights 360 project has moved forward with a fraudulently filed CEQA NOE and fraudulently issued NEPA CE.

Mr. Davis' Instagram posts demonstrates clearly that the Project is:

- 1) "Completely Rebuilt". This Project is not an extension of previous projects, as claimed by BCDC Executive Director Larry Goldzband. This project is NEW, as stated by Mr. Davis himself.
- 2) "1300+ donors" This Project is not "publicly funded" as required by Caltrans. This project

is privately funded.

3) "Public art free" This Project is not "public art". The public had no say in this project. This project is the collaboration of Musco Lighting and Ben Davis, an entirely private project with no public involvement whatsoever.

It seems likely to me that the 1300+ donors were defrauded and that they will need to have their donations refunded and Musco Lighting will need to reimburse the \$11,000,000 it received for this project. However, that is for later. The immediate need is for Musco Lighting to disable the project and to halt the March 20, 2026 grand lighting ceremony. Acknowledgement of Musco's oversight failures for the Bay Lights 360 project is a critical accountability step which will impact all of Musco's government contracts.

Mark Baker
Pro Se

On March 20, The Bay Lights return full time to the western span of the San Francisco–Oakland Bay Bridge with a Grand Lighting celebration.

Installing a massive public artwork on the Bay Bridge was the vision of Ben Davis who invited renowned light artist Leo Villareal to create The Bay Lights. What began as a bold, temporary, two-year exhibition didn't just transform the skyline, it sparked a movement.

The lights were initially installed in 2013 and an improved version went live in January of 2016. From that first shimmer across the Bay, a nonprofit was born, dedicated to keeping public art free, ambitious, and accessible to all.

Now, in 2026, The Bay Lights 360 installation has been completely rebuilt with a custom-engineered LED system designed to shine beautifully for years to come.

What began as a decade-long love affair returns this year because of you.

Our deepest gratitude goes out to San Francisco, to the 1,300+ donors, and to every believer who helped make this possible.

Thank you for your generosity, your patience, and your support.

On March 20 the skyline glows again, because this city chose awe.

Learn more at illuminate.org and be there when the city lights up.



illuminatethearts and 2 others



illuminatethearts Edited • 2w

On March 20, The Bay Lights return full time to the western span of the San Francisco–Oakland Bay Bridge with a Grand Lighting celebration.

Installing a massive public artwork on the Bay Bridge was the vision of Ben Davis who invited renowned light artist Leo Villareal to create The Bay Lights. What began as a bold, temporary, two-year exhibition didn't just transform the skyline, it sparked a movement.

The lights were initially installed in 2013 and an improved version went live in January of 2016. From that first shimmer across the Bay, a nonprofit was born, dedicated to keeping public art free, ambitious, and accessible to all.

Now, in 2026, The Bay Lights 360 installation has been completely rebuilt



1.8K



69



February 19

[Log in](#) to like or comment.

From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: support@villarealstudio.com
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; jim.hansen@musco.com; Flint_Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; [Higuera, Amy R.](#); El-Tawansy_Dina@DOT; Scharff_Greg@BCDC; [Kathleen Kane](#); [Bacal-Graves, Sam D.](#); [Shari Posner](#); Ng_Michael@BCDC; [Alix Bockelman](#); [Andrew Fremier](#); Goldzband_Larry@BCDC; info@bayareametro.gov; info@bcdc.ca.gov; Ramos_Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zylkia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); ruskin@darksky.org; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Ben Davis](#); joe.crookham@musco.com; nathan.barankin@gov.ca.gov
Subject: Re: Illuminate Post on Instagram
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:55:39 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image.png](#)
[image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Leo Villareal,

On your website, you write, "In every artwork that I create, I consider energy consumption and environmental impact." (<https://villareal.net/sustainability>). As you know, the Bay Lights 360 project is a massive light pollution project which will have a devastating impact on human health and the environment. The Bay Lights 360 has had no environmental review, either under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) or the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). For CEQA, the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) issued a fraudulent Notice of Exemption (NOE). For NEPA, Caltrans issued a fraudulent Categorical Exclusion (CE).

The photos below, along with many other posts on Instagram, clearly show that you are the single person in control of the Bay Lights 360 and it is your decision as to when to turn the lights on or off and as to what patterns the lights display. You are the ultimate decision maker for the Bay Lights 360 project. You have the keys.


In this email, I have included a screen capture of the invalid encroachment permit that would have allowed you to legally operate the lights. As you can see, Ben Davis signed the permit application as the Authorized Agent for BATA, despite having been given no such authority by BATA. The result is that the entire Bay Lights 360 project is unlawful. If you enable the lights, you are commandeering public property with no permission from any government agency to do so.

Your Instagram posts show that you are planning on enabling and operating the Bay Lights 360 on March 20, 2026 as a grand lighting ceremony. If you carry out this effort, you would be doing so in violation of the law and you will be placing public health, safety, and civil rights at risk. Your operation of privately owned lighting hardware on the publicly owned San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge may result in civil or criminal penalties.

With this information, will you agree to refrain from enabling the Bay Lights and to keep the bridge dark until all legal matters have been resolved and until the project has received legal environmental clearances? Will you agree to abandon the March 20, 2026 grand lighting ceremony?

Mark Baker
California Resident





Meet the Man Who Controls the Lights at 270 Park Avenue



nymag and 3 others
Original audio



nymag Edited • 11w

@leo_villareal's latest artwork is so big that, in order to step back from the metaphorical easel and take it all in, he needs to be ten blocks away and 42 stories up. Atop a tower near Bryant Park, reachable by two service-elevator rides and down a concrete hallway, his temporary workspace is pretty artless. It's just a computer and monitor on a plastic folding table and a couple of office chairs. A steel door, propped open, leads to the building's gravel-surfaced roof. From this chilly vantage point, Villareal can see his monitor and also the top half of 270 Park Avenue, the new JPMorgan Chase tower. At dusk, he takes the controls.

Villareal works principally in light installations, and for its new building, JPMorgan Chase commissioned an immense one. Celestial Passage is a

18.1K 205

December 23, 2025

Log in to like or comment.

29. NAME OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (A "Letter of Authorization" is required if different from #28) Ben Davis (Illuminate)		IS A LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION ATTACHED? ☒ YES ☐ NO
ADDRESS OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (Include City, State and Zip Code) 228 Laidley St. San Francisco, CA 94131		
E-MAIL ADDRESS ben@illuminate.org	PHONE NUMBER 415-786-4332	FAX NUMBER
30. NAME OF BILLING CONTACT (Same as #28 ☐ Same as #29 ☒		
BILLING ADDRESS WHERE INVOICE(S) IS / ARE TO BE MAILED (Include City, State and Zip Code)		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER
* I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information in this application and any document submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that copies of any documents submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct copies of unaltered original documents. I further understand that if I have provided information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading I may be charged with a crime and subjected to fine or imprisonment, or both fine and imprisonment. (Penal Code Section 72)		
31. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AUTHORIZED AGENT* BEN DAVIS Digitally signed by BEN DAVIS Date: 2024.09.13 16:32:03 -07'00'	32. PRINT OR TYPE NAME Ben Davis	

From: [Mark Baker](#)
To: nathan.barankin@gov.ca.gov
Cc: damaris.santiago@dot.gov; erin.holbrook@dot.ca.gov; jim.hansen@musco.com; support@villarealstudio.com; Flint.Jennifer@DOT; doug.hecox@dot.gov; [Higuera, Amy R.](#); El-Tawansy.Dina@DOT; Scharff.Greg@BCDC; [Kathleen Kane](#); [Bacal-Graves, Sam D.](#); [Shari Posner](#); Ng.Michael@BCDC; Alix.Bockelman; [Andrew Fremier](#); Goldzband.Larry@BCDC; info@bayareametro.gov; info@bcdcc.ca.gov; Ramos.Steve@CHP; richard.fong@dot.ca.gov; Sheryl.m.garcia@dot.ca.gov; craig.jung@dot.ca.gov; andrew.bianchi@dot.gov; zylkia.martin-yambo@dot.gov; steve.pyburn@dot.gov; matt.schmitz@dot.gov; elissa.konove@dot.gov; janice.benton@dot.ca.gov; david.ambuehl@dot.ca.gov; antonio.johnson@dot.gov; jeff.rogers@musco.com; adam.dejong@musco.com; musco.contracts@musco.com; chris.hailey@musco.com; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); ruskin@darksky.org; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Ben Davis](#); joe.crookham@musco.com
Subject: Public Safety Request - Bay Lights 360
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:54:40 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Nathan Barankin, Chief of Staff, California Governor Newsom,

The encroachment permit for the Bay Lights 360 project on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge was signed by a private individual, Ben Davis, under penalty of perjury, claiming that Mr. Davis is an Authorized Agent of the Bay Area Toll Authority. BATA has confirmed that Mr. Davis has been granted no such authority. The result is that the permit application for the Bay Lights 360 project is void.

Despite this, Ben Davis, Leo Villareal, and Musco Lighting have committed to a grand lighting ceremony for this illegitimate, private project on March 20, 2026. This massive, unlawful, private light pollution project on the publicly-owned Bay Bridge will place public health and safety at risk, and therefore I am requesting intervention by Governor Newsom.

Under the Public Trust Doctrine, will Governor Newsom agree to direct Caltrans Director Dina El-Tawansy to immediately disable the electricity to the Bay Lights 360 project until all legal issues have been resolved and until the Project has received full CEQA and NEPA environmental clearances?

Mark Baker
California Taxpayer

29. NAME OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (A "Letter of Authorization" is required if different from #28) Ben Davis (Illuminate)		IS A LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION ATTACHED? ☒ YES ☐ NO
ADDRESS OF AUTHORIZED AGENT / ENGINEER (Include City, State and Zip Code) 228 Laidley St. San Francisco, CA 94131		
E-MAIL ADDRESS ben@illuminate.org	PHONE NUMBER 415-786-4332	FAX NUMBER
30. NAME OF BILLING CONTACT (Same as #28 ☐ Same as #29 ☒)		
BILLING ADDRESS WHERE INVOICE(S) IS / ARE TO BE MAILED (Include City, State and Zip Code)		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER
* I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information in this application and any document submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that copies of any documents submitted with or in support of this application are true and correct copies of unaltered original documents. I further understand that if I have provided information that is false, intentionally incomplete, or misleading I may be charged with a crime and subjected to fine or imprisonment, or both fine and imprisonment. (Penal Code Section 72)		
31. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR AUTHORIZED AGENT* BEN DAVIS Digitally signed by BEN DAVIS Date: 2024.09.13 16:32:03 -07'00'	32. PRINT OR TYPE NAME Ben Davis	

Item 19

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Balboa Park Skate Park 151 letters
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:23:26 PM
Attachments: [151 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 151 letters from members of the public regarding the Balboa Park Skate Park.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Isabella Roberts](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:00:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Isabella Roberts
icroberts411@yahoo.com

Fremont, California 94538

From: [Bradshaw Richardson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Bradshaw Richardson
bradshaw.richardson2003@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Jasmine Ibarra](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:27:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jasmine Ibarra
Jasmineibarra88@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Kevin Hicks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Kevin Hicks of Youth First
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:17:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Hello! My name is Kevin Hicks, I'm the skateboarding instructor at Youth First in LakeView. Getting this done for the district and/or area of the city would be a huge deal. We love packing our boards and helmets up and taking the kids to a local skatepark for class but Balboa has been pretty beat up for a while now. It needs a facelift and who doesn't like looking at a crispy new skatepark, it's a thing of beauty!

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kevin Hicks

Kev.hicks123@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Jason Potts](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 7:16:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jason Potts
wheelspin68@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Brady Nangle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 7:51:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Brady Nangle
patricksf41511@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [elsu martin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 8:02:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

elsu martin
elsumartin@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Larenz Willais](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 8:06:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

My name is Larenz Williams and I'm a skateboarder in San Francisco. I think Balboa Park could be way better than what it is in its current state, sometimes it feels like Bal is unskatable, but I want Balboa to be better. So I completely support the reconstruction of it so it can be a better park for not only me but every and all skaters around.

Larenz Willais
williamslarenz13@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Abigail Nguy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 10:57:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Abigail Nguy
aflores24@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Gino Bagadiong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 11:02:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gino Bagadiong
gobagadiong1@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Gail Sheerin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 11:15:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gail Sheerin
gailsheerin@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Isabela Acosta](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 12:01:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local rollerskating community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

There are a few skate parks in San Francisco that offer the space and equipment accessible for rollerskating. Balboa Park is one of the few parks that offers bowls accessible to all wheels and skill levels. However, the wood planks have been warped by weather and age and are no longer safe for beginner skaters.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Isabela Acosta
dollylollyluna@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Samuel Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 12:48:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Samuel Thomas
samuelthomas174@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Gonzo Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:34:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gonzo Rodriguez
gonzo.rodriguez313@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [joshua frost](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 10:09:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

joshua frost
frost415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Ross Hammond](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 12:15:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ross Hammond
rhammondsf@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112-1807

From: [Garrick Kaan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 2:32:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Garrick Kaan
garrick454@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94108

From: [angelica samano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 2:32:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

angelica samano
angelicasamano95@gmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Donnacha Keating](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 5:20:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Donnacha Keating
donnachakeating@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Daiva Rittenberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 7:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Daiva Rittenberg
daiva.siliunas@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Harley Pearlman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 10:58:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Harley Pearlman
harley@prooflab.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Paul Overstrom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 11:33:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Paul Overstrom
paul.overstrom@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Chris Albert](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 11:35:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Chris Albert
creasetoph@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Matthias Wagner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 11:39:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Matthias Wagner
matthias.chills@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Alfie Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 12:24:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Alfie Lee
alfiejnlee@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Julia Yankelowitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 2:19:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Julia Yankelowitz
julia.yank25@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jeremiah Nares](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 2:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jeremiah Nares
naresjeremiah@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Amber Cobbett](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 8:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Amber Cobbett
ambern timer@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Bela Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Sunday, March 8, 2026 8:40:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Bela Garcia
xbeevax@hotmail.com

San Jose, California 95112

From: [Patricia Ramos](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:14:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Patricia Ramos
tricia0ramos@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94609

From: [Tim Mitchell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:30:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Tim Mitchell
timlmitchelljr@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94611

From: [Marisol Jimenez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:50:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Marisol Jimenez
joseymarisol2005@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Rigoberto Rojas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 1:03:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Rigoberto Rojas
castilloandsanchezroofing@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Sidharth Sahoo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:37:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Sidharth Sahoo
sidharthsahoo@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112-3643

From: [Ingrid Pankonin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark!!!!!!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:05:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ingrid Pankonin
ibpank@hotmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Elliot Zapol](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:20:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Elliot Zapol
elliottzapol@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [James Boor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

James Boor
24jboor@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Travis McCowan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:51:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Travis McCowan
travis.mccowan93@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Brody Wahl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 6:38:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Brody Wahl
brodywahl@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [boris bacery](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:54:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

boris bacery
boris@hotmail.co.uk

Palo Alto, California 94306

From: [Isabel Rayas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:54:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Isabel Rayas
poohbear206@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Arindam Bose](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:55:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Arindam Bose
arindam.1993@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Juwon Drake](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:56:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Juwon Drake
JuwonDrake@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94158

From: adit.bhumbla@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:57:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Aditya Bhumbala

adit.bhumbla@gmail.com

,

From: [Izzi Cooper](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:58:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Izzi Cooper
izzisophia@gmail.com

Kirkland, Washington 98033

From: [Michael Maga](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:01:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Michael Maga
mikethemaga@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94611

From: [Amelia Di Stefano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:43:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Amelia Di Stefano
adistefano1@babson.edu

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Ben Knisely](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:50:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ben Knisely
19bknisely2001@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Murari Bhimavarapu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:50:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Murari Bhimavarapu
muraribhimavarapu@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [JUSTIN Andrew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:51:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

JUSTIN Andrew
justin.w.andrew@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [John Hofbauer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Send them to the moon!

John Hofbauer
johnhofbauer2@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Izy Well](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:51:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Izy Well
imwell@dons.usfca.edu

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Brody Nelson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Brody Nelson
hydrazeph5@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Gabriel Asher Beal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:54:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gabriel Asher Beal
ashergothi@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Kelley Prebil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:54:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kelley Prebil
an@peadlsgidl.com

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Jeffrey Sheahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:55:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jeffrey Sheahan
jdshady6@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Daniela Perret](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:58:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Daniela Perret
danielaperret16@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Sarah Smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:58:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Sarah Smith
sfentonsmith21@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Colleen Jenkins](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 8:59:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Colleen Jenkins
colleenmjenkins@gmail.com

Mountain View, California 94041

From: [Kate Dillon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:02:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kate Dillon
katesdillon7@gmail.com

Long Beach, California 90808

From: [Ian Randman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:20:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ian Randman
ianrandman@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Anjali Kumar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:24:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Anjali Kumar
kumar.anja@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Matt Kenny](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Matt Kenny
matthew.kenny11@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Dinah B](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:27:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Dinah B
dinHbenaka@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Andy Heyveld](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:28:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Andy Heyveld
leaheyveld@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [curran clark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:35:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

curran clark
curranclark8@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Stirling Seitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 9:55:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Stirling Seitz
Stirling.seitz@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Jeremiah Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:09:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jeremiah Rodriguez
jarx23.jr@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: fannypackz77@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:10:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

fannypackz77@gmail.com

From: [Alexei Nikolaeff-Svensson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:43:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am writing to support the renovation of the skatepark by Balboa Park. Skateparks are an amazing community resource, and should be a top priority for the city! They have an incredible impact for the minimal amount of space they occupy, and promote both athleticism and social bonding.

I write this as a lifelong resident of the Richmond District, which currently lacks a good skatepark -- but I look forward to changing that.

Alexei

Alexei Nikolaeff-Svensson
com@exppii.net

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ansley P](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:17:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ansley P
perrierappa@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Aidan Mahony](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:48:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Aidan Mahony
aidanmahony20@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [vladimir sanchez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:14:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

vladimir sanchez
vladsanchezsf@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Ramona Sharples](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:15:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ramona Sharples
ramona.sharples@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Paulo Ortega](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:46:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Paulo Ortega
portegaemail@gmail.com

San Mateo, California 94402

From: [Kelly Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:19:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kelly Tang
joshjoshy@duck.com

Daly City, California 94015

From: [Gavin Alsop](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gavin Alsop
gavinalsop@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Nolan Rutter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Nolan Rutter
nolanrutter@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: max@cayimby.org
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

max@cayimby.org

From: [Benjamin Blazel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:35:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Benjamin Blazel
bablazel22@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Joshua Marrald`](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Joshua Marrald`
jmarrald@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [rydell manahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:42:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

rydell manahan
rjmanahan1@gmail.com

Union City, California 94587

From: [Mika Burstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:44:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Mika Burstein
bursteinmika@gmail.com

Vallejo, California 94591

From: [Reyhan Farmis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:50:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Reyhan Farmis
reyhanfarmis10@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Anna Shea](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:55:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Anna Shea
yeahannashea@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94602

From: [Kai Sorensen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:59:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kai Sorensen
kaisorensen96@yahoo.com

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Camilo Arana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:03:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Camilo Arana
camilo.arana94@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [tanner koutz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:05:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

tanner koutz
tannerkootz@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [kaylee weeks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

kaylee weeks
weekskaylee@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Mark McCawley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:28:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Mark McCawley
markmccawleyjr@gmail.com

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Durz McLeland](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:33:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Durz McLeland
andersmcleland@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Mikaela Beltran](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:44:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Mikaela Beltran
beltranm@sfusd.edu

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [AJ Frick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:45:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

AJ Frick
ajfrick1@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Cheyenne Reese](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:51:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Cheyenne Reese
cheyennesreese@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Adyson Ek](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:00:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Adyson Ek
bapenoape@gmail.com

Pinole, California 94564

From: [Madison Simons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:02:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Madison Simons
madisonfsimons@gmail.com

Fort Collins, Colorado 80521

From: [Abel Haile](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:18:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Abel Haile
abelhaile91@gmail.com

San Jose, California 95135

From: [Arthur Lockhart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:38:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Thank you

Arthur Lockhart
al@arthurlockhart.com

Orinda, California 94563

From: [Ryan DiBiase](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:42:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ryan DiBiase
ryandib5@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Oliver Lorenz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Oliver Lorenz
oliverlor@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Michael Cordova](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:46:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Michael Cordova
riverplate415@yahoo.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Russell Yoshida](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:46:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Russell Yoshida
russelly415@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wesley Bell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Wesley Bell
wesbell80@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Dylan beach](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:49:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Dylan beach
dbeach787@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Griffin Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:26:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Griffin Chan
griffilc@uci.edu

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Claire Bough](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:47:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Claire Bough
clairebough@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Mishari Aleisa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Mishari Aleisa
misharialeisa@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94609

From: [Liana Shinbein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:57:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Liana Shinbein
liana.shinbein@gmail.com

Denver, Colorado 80238

From: [Gary Moskowitz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:58:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Gary Moskowitz
blogowitz@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Ian Ho-Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 4:35:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ian Ho-Wong
mystic_pyre@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Erick Rosas perez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:25:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come. My name is Erick and I've grown up skating this park and it shaped who I am everyday after school all I could ever think about is this park when I was younger seeing it no makes my inner child weep cuz I see no one there a change of the park would bring life back into it to make

memories for generations

Erick Rosas perez
rosas.erick31@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Claudia Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:36:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Claudia Ng
claudiajmng@gmail.com

San Bruno, California 94066

From: [eason ngu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:47:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

eason ngu
Ngueason5@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Luciano Gomez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:57:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Luciano Gomez
nunisskates@icloud.com

San Jose, California 95113

From: [Alex Diaz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:08:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Alex Diaz
elyxdiaz@yahoo.com

North Hollywood, California 91605

From: [Patrick Calonia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:09:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

I support a renovated skatepark at Balboa park, I've been multiple times and it needs this!

-Patrick Calonia

Patrick Calonia
caloniapatrik@gmail.com

Vacaville, California 95687

From: [Logan Largusa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:14:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Logan Largusa
largusalogan@gmail.com

Newark, California 94560

From: [Christopher Ray](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Balboa Skatepark Renovation
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:34:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

To further what other advocates have written, the Balboa Skatepark is a perfect opportunity to offer a more well-rounded, safe, and beginner friendly riding experience to the community. I am a skatepark designer and planner and have now worked on numerous renovations and expansions, and they offer a much more economical solution to meeting the needs of the community with far fewer barriers to development compared to a new park. It definitely needs extensive repairs, and the best way to avoid ongoing maintenance costs is to rebuild it properly with concrete. Thanks!

Christopher Ray
thechrisray@gmail.com

, 95228

From: [eason ngu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:34:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

eason ngu
Ngueason5@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Aaron Thanh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Aaron Thanh
aaronthanh1233@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Donovan Lopez Adame](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:48:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Donovan Lopez Adame
dolopezadame@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Salvador Villanueva](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:07:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Salvador Villanueva
salvadorvillanueva2@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Natalia Ionova](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:18:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Natalia Ionova
natalia.ionova99@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [April Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:43:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

April Fong
april.fongortega@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Ash Habr](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:52:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ash Habr
ashhreem@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Shannon Jett](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:25:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Shannon Jett
shannon.m.jett@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Sam Von Bargaen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:33:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Sam Von Bargaen
samcvb@icloud.com

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [William Swain](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:35:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

William Swain
wille274@icloud.com

San Rafael, California 94903

From: [Melvin Dzul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:44:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Melvin Dzul
dzulmelvin02@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Kyle Martin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:13:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kyle Martin
kylemartin.sjsu@yahoo.com

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Rizzame Manalo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:15:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Rizzame Manalo
rizzame_87@yahoo.com

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Eric Gorman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:42:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Eric Gorman
EricGorman88@gmail.com

Nevada City, California 95959

From: [vj m](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:01:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

vj m
vjamariano@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Brandon Murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Brandon Murphy
blmurphy1993@gmail.com

Auburn, California 95603

From: [Kai W](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:19:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kai W
kaiderhazard@gmail.com

Stockton, California 95206

From: [Kian Ramezani](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:25:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Kian Ramezani
kramezani25@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Peter John Gonzalez Soto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

I'm a skateboarder for many years, I used to bring my kids to this skatepark and they learned how to ride bike and skateboard here. The community needs a new park that the kids can enjoy and feel safe.

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Peter John Gonzalez Soto
peterjgonzalez94@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Chris Kraft](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:34:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Chris Kraft
Christoferkraft@hotmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Troy Cobucci](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:36:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Troy Cobucci
troy.cobucci@gmail.com

Emeryville, California 94608

From: [Ian Ondrejka](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark!
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:48:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ian Ondrejka
iondrejka@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Dominic Frugoli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:24:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Dominic Frugoli
ayeInever@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94606

From: [Jeremy US](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:26:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jeremy US
jeremyambers@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Isabel Franco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:47:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Isabel Franco
ifranco626@gmail.com

Oakland, California 94610

From: [Sean DiNicola](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Concerns About Unsafe Conditions at Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:14:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Dear Sir or Madam,

My name is Sean DiNicola. I am a 22 year old student who goes to UCSC, but grew up in San Francisco, specifically, in Glen Park and Sunnyside area. Balboa skatepark is my local skatepark and it is unfortunate to see the state it has been in for the last 10 years or so.

At this point, the park is not just neglected, but unsafe. There have been many instances where I, and others I know, have been seriously injured because of the park's deteriorating wooden structures and exposed nail heads. About six years ago, I personally fell on one of these nails and split my knee open. My family wrote a letter at the time describing what happened, but unfortunately my experience was never acknowledged.

That is why I am writing now. I do not want anyone else to go through a similar injury, or to have to skate there with the fear that it could happen to them. This park should have been renovated years ago, but it is still not too late to address these dangerous conditions.

I hope this email can be passed along to the appropriate people. Balboa Park itself is such a nice and valued part of the neighborhood, which makes the condition of the skatepark especially disappointing. As someone who has watched it deteriorate over time, I sincerely hope something can finally be done.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Sincerely,

Sean DiNicola

Sean DiNicola
sdinicola8@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Angel Mendiola](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:17:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Angel Mendiola
angelangelsf@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ariana Espinal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:36:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Ariana Espinal
arianae528@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Asher Welch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 2:36:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

I don't know if anyone in the sf board understands how much foot traffic skateboarding brings to the city every time a new skatepark is built or renovated it is talked about for months to years and is posted by most of the biggest skate accounts. This park is outdated and needs a remodeled and will bring the balboa area new foot traffic it hasn't had in years, not to consider this would straight up be dumb.

Asher Welch
asherradley12@gmail.com

Gardnerville, Nevada 89410

From: [Jessica Metzinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:41:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Jessica Metzinger
jessicametzinger@gmail.com

Walnut Creek, California 94596

From: [Diego Ortiz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:17:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Diego Ortiz
dsp0201001@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Adrian Flautero](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 7:29:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

Adrian Flautero
adrianflautero92@gmail.com

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33326

From: [daniel.schaaf](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Support the Renovation of Balboa Skatepark
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:50:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Alongside the local skateboarding community, I am asking the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Parks Department to support the renovation and revitalization of Balboa Skatepark by 2027.

Once a cornerstone of San Francisco's skatepark network and a welcoming entry point for beginners, the park was originally constructed as a wooden structure on a concrete slab. After 14 years of active use, it no longer meets safety or usability standards for all skill levels and presents a growing maintenance burden. Despite these conditions, the skatepark continues to serve wheeled users of all ages and backgrounds and hosts community programming, including skateboard lessons that rely on a safe, functional environment. Located just one block from the Balboa Park transit hub, with direct BART and Muni access, the skatepark draws users from both nearby neighborhoods and across the Bay Area, making it one of the region's most accessible facilities and a strong candidate for reinvestment.

Investing in the Balboa Park Skatepark is an investment in long-term safety, durability, and community benefit. The proposed improvements prioritize a thoughtful, inclusive design process shaped by community input and delivered through high-quality concrete construction that meets modern industry standards. By working with a qualified skatepark designer and specialty contractor, the project will result in a facility that reduces long-term maintenance costs, supports a wide range of users, and becomes a safe, welcoming, and inclusive public asset within Balboa Park for decades to come.

Through three public outreach meetings and ongoing coordination with the Recreation and Parks Department, the Friends of Balboa Skatepark have received approval for the skatepark renovation project. The City and community have expressed clear alignment on the need for a modern, durable, and inclusive skatepark. A renovated Balboa can preserve the character of the original park while improving flow, safety, and the overall experience for all users and spectators.

Skateboarding has long been an integral part of San Francisco's cultural and community life, and I ask for your support in ensuring Balboa Park Skatepark continues to serve the community as a safe, inclusive, and long-lasting public space for generations to come.

daniel.schaaf
dj_schaaf@yahoo.com

San Francisco, California 94131

Item 20

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calafati, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [McWhorter, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Silvia \(BOS\)](#); [De Aze, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please fund the e-bike incentive program to help families, working people, and all San Franciscans shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increasing public transit use.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2020 at 2:46:41 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Travis Carpenter regarding

File No. 240967, Ordinance No. 268-24: Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the E-Bike Incentive Fund to support implementation of an electric bicycle (or "e-bike") incentive program administered by the Department of the Environment.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
rls@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Travis Carpenter <noreply@advactionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 8:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please fund the e-bike incentive program to help families, working people, and all San Franciscans shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increasing public...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to fund the E-Bike Incentive Program, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips from cars to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, while reducing car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, roadway construction and maintenance costs, and roadway crashes, fatalities, and injuries. Please work with the advocates behind the original program proposal to secure funding for the program from City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal, and other funding sources (e.g. philanthropic foundations, wealthy individuals).

The e-bike incentive program – inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia – will reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the original program proposal and related grassroots campaign at <https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/> <https://eBike5F.org> <https://www.XYAXzOnNmZHQvOmE6bz02OthIMD3tNDjZTRkOWUj0NTE5NDfhNGNiNDJkODmZD30jBINDE6MzJIZYvNzcNTE4OWM4ZWVjOWU0NDlmNjZmY3JmMGY2ZmQ2Y2RiNzdlZTMxMzAzYVlvd0k0MzRlNGU0OGY5NDp0OIQ6Tg>

In order for this program to be created and have its full potential impact, we need you to secure funding for the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to secure as much funding as possible as soon as possible?

Thank you.

Travis Carpenter
traviscarp@gmail.com
250 Vienna Street
San Francisco, California 94112

Item 21

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Urgent: Suspend Work on Sloat, Re-evaluate and Modify "Quick Build"
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:29:44 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Justin Truong regarding a San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) quick-build project on Sloat Boulevard.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Justin Truong <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 11:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent: Suspend Work on Sloat, Re-evaluate and Modify "Quick Build"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,
Mayor, and SFMTA

From your constituent

Justin Truong

Email

justintruong56@gmail.com

Subject

Urgent: Suspend Work on Sloat, Re-evaluate and Modify "Quick Build"

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors and SFMTA Board,

It has become oppressive to live, work, visit the Sunset District - bad idea after bad idea is being "done TO our community, NOT WITH our community."

This letter was a petition that has been signed by over 549 Residents, Businesses, and Community Members of the Sunset District:

I join them in collectively requesting an immediate and comprehensive Traffic Impact Assessment of the Sloat Boulevard Quick-Build Project, which recently began construction along Sloat Boulevard from the Great Highway to Skyline Boulevard.

Why?

The project proceeded without any meaningful outreach to the residents or businesses that rely on this corridor daily. Many community members were unaware of the scope, timeline, or impacts before construction began. Whether outreach was insufficient, unclear, or missed entirely, the outcome is the same: the Sunset District did not receive a transparent or accessible process.

The changes made so far—including a two-way bikeway, significant parking removal, and reconfigured loading and access zones—were presented as safety improvements. However, the actual consequences have been profoundly detrimental:

Community Impacts

- Loss of approximately 50 parking spaces, reducing access for families, seniors, workers, and local visitors.
- Significant hardship to local businesses, including Java Beach at the Zoo (at 2650 Sloat), a community-serving café operating since 2008.

- Reduced access for older adults and mobility-limited residents visiting the United Irish Cultural Center (UICC), a 501c3 nonprofit, the first Irish social center in the United States built entirely by volunteer labor and community contributions, promoting and preserving Irish culture in the City since 1971.
- Low observed bicycle usage along this segment raises questions about whether the design matches actual travel patterns.
- A lack of balance between safety goals and the essential functioning of neighborhood-serving establishments.
- Additionally, the rerouting of vehicles to Sunset Boulevard and the loss of the southbound road to Skyline, has significantly decreased the amount of exposure to an important local business: Java Beach Cafe.

What We Are Asking For

We support safe streets. We support multimodal transportation. But these goals must be achieved in a way that respects the needs of the community that lives and works here and future generations. We therefore request that the City:

1. Reevaluate the current Sloat Boulevard design, including exploring a Fell-Street-style configuration that protects cyclists while restoring needed access and parking.
2. Conduct an evaluation study, using real usage and access data to determine whether modifications are required.
3. Add Green Zones (short-term parking/loading) in front of businesses like Java Beach at the Zoo to restore basic customer access.
4. Engage in direct, substantive community outreach with Sunset District residents, businesses, and the UICC before further changes are made.
5. Implement substantial support or mitigation strategies for businesses and institutions that face significant and measurable negative impacts as a result of the project.

Our Position

We ask the City to work collaboratively with the community to ensure that transportation improvements do not come at the expense of accessibility, cultural continuity, or neighborhood

vitality.

NOTE: SFMTA claims that no parking is eliminated due to repaving of a parking lot at Sloat & Skyline - but that lot already exists - this is NOT new parking - and this lot is used for Christmas trees and a pumpkin patch ... so this is a significant net loss of parking.

Thank you,

Item 22

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 260017 - SFERS to 111 Pine
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 4:34:16 PM
Attachments: [260017 18 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see that attached 18 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 260017 - Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS), to execute a lease agreement with Double Wood Investment, Inc., a California Corporation, as landlord, for use of a portion of 111 Pine Street, consisting of 47,482 rentable square feet for an initial term of 10 years with two five-year extension options to renew and 12 months of rent credit with an annual base rent of \$2,089,208 with 3% annual increases; SFERS to contribute up to \$4,748,200 on tenant improvement; effective upon approval of the Resolution by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor and upon execution of the lease by the Director of Property; and authorizing the Director of Property to execute any amendments, make certain modifications and take certain actions that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities to the City, do not materially decrease the benefits to the City and are necessary or advisable to effectuate the purposes of the lease agreement or this Resolution.

Regards.

Richard Lagunte

Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163

richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Margaret Keane

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 9:53:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Samuel Carstensen

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 12:11:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Nithin Tellapuri

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 9:15:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Helene Sautou

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 9:37:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Tracy Everwine

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 9:41:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Marlo Sandler

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:31:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Todd Davis

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:04:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Han Myoung Park

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:07:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Phillip Sabbagh

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 12:47:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Jennifer Risser

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 1:32:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Neil Howard

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:07:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Kim Jackson

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:58:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Kyle Olivo

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:10:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Clifton Coleman

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 4:53:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Han Park

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 5:48:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Jane Weil

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:04:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Tony Fox

From: [San Francisco Resident](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: DON'T LET CITY GOVERNMENT ABANDON MID-MARKET AND CIVIC CENTER!
Date: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 10:24:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm standing with Supervisor Matt Dorsey to strongly oppose a plan by the city employees' pension fund to decamp from Mid-Market to a luxury high-rise in the Financial District.

It's a slap in the face to neighborhood residents. It's less convenient to the city workers it serves. It makes no sense financially. It's inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan. And it sets a worrisome precedent in diminishing Civic Center foot traffic and economic activity that other city departments could soon seek to follow.

CIVIC CENTER AND MID-MARKET RESIDENTS DESERVE BETTER FROM THEIR CITY!

During the COVID pandemic, it was city government policies — and city government policies alone! —that left Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhood corridors an empty shell of what they once were.

- Our city's Shelter-In-Place Hotel program turned that neighborhood's largest hotels into massive drug-permissive shelters — forcing taxpayers to pay more than \$40 million in damages to just three Mid-Market hotels alone. (Including the 459-room Whitcomb, which remains shuttered.)
- Our city tolerated 24-hour-a-day drug dealing on our major thoroughfares for nearly two years, with sanctioned and unsanctioned encampments throughout the Civic Center and Mid-Market neighborhoods that residents still haven't fully recovered from.
- Our city's so-called "Linkage Center" at U.N. Plaza was a magnet for rampant drug scenes, drug markets, and drug-driven lawlessness — hurting countless neighborhood businesses and causing the closure of a long-anticipated Whole Foods supermarket, which remains closed to this day.

City government caused these neighborhood problems. And city government has a moral obligation now to help revitalize the Civic Center and Mid-Market area — not abandon it.

I urge SFERS to drop its planned move — and I urge City Hall to support legislation Supervisor Dorsey is authoring to require city agencies to honor the Civic Center Area Plan and be full partners in helping reinvigorate our Mid-Market and Civic Center neighborhoods.

Sean Millis

Item 23

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Budget - 104 Letters
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 8:37:29 AM
Attachments: [Budget 104 Letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 104 letters regarding the San Francisco budget.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Jacqueline Proctor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:51:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jacqueline Proctor
Email jacquieproctor@gmail.com

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste. Eliminate the Dept. of Environment and the SFRPD Natural Areas Program. Hold the line on fees and fines. Please do not charge for parking in Golden Gate Park. SF is already too expensive for residents!

Sincerely,

From: [Esfir Shrayber](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 2:51:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Esfir Shrayber
Email to_fira@yahoo.com

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste.

Sincerely,

From: [Francine Lofrano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 6:31:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Francine Lofrano

Email ftblote@sbcglobal.net

Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down and also cutting your staff and aides. Live within your means like we have to.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste and all the so-called Non-profits and NGOs!!

Sincerely,

From: [Alice Williams](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 9:40:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Alice Williams

Email alicefw@gmail.com

Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste.

Sincerely,

From: [Jennifer Walsh](#)
To: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DPH-marie](#); [Jamie Goddard](#)
Subject: Employment Funding Letter Attached
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 11:29:53 AM
Attachments: [Board of Supervisor Employment Letter.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In my attached letter, I address the urgent need to preserve funding for seniors and people with disabilities. Drawing on my experience as someone with severe cerebral palsy and as an advocate for inclusion, I highlight the challenges people with disabilities face in securing and maintaining employment, particularly when essential support programs are at risk due to budget cuts. I urge city leaders to allocate reserve funds to protect these vital services and respectfully request confirmation of receipt of my letter. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Walsh



From: [Hope Molina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 1:56:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Hope Molina
Email missionbayresidentparking1@gmail.com

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste.

Sincerely,

From: [Brian Key](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Subject: Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Brian Key

Email Brian@BrianKey.com

Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.

Reduce SF Spending, get the Budget in Order.

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

As we enter the budget cycle I thank you for instructing departments to cut \$500M from their budgets. I support deeper cuts and urge you to go deeper this year. Overspending compounds yearly.

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support the Mayor right-sizing the San Francisco budget and urge you to do what is best for San Franciscans by holding budgets down.

We need real budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

Every dime of the \$1.5BILLION going to non-profits should be justified. There is likely \$1B in savings right there.

Protect public safety, get rid of the waste.

Sincerely,

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:07:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Clifford Flores

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:09:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Josh Brown

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:09:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Brian Brown

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:19:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

James hirsch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:20:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Thomas McCarthy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:25:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Eisler

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:27:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jim Bowlby

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:28:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Fisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:28:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Janet Monfredini

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:29:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Hunter Sumner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:31:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Sherial Heller

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:31:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Statmore

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:33:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Wallace

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:34:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Chalz Mayer

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:35:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kit Collins

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:35:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Weininger

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:39:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Rutkowski

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:43:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Breda O'Keeffe

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:46:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott Fairgrieve

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:48:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Adraktas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:54:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Soward

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:59:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Paul Campbell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:11:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Brad McMillan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:12:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Selig

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:14:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Daniel Lam

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:14:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:14:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Judy Lau

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:17:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

John Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:17:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Julien DeFrance

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:18:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Riley Tight

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:19:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Shane Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ocelia Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:21:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Devlin Stanley

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:25:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Garret Tom

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:36:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Price

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:37:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Hebel

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:38:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Smith

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Carmen Woo

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:56:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott Kepner

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:57:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Bruno

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:59:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Marsiano

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:59:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Matthew Bejanian

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:01:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark MCKENZIE

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Juan Sanchez

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:09:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jay Aurora

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:11:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Addi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:38:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

John Owl

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:43:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Gregory Fontenot

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:48:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott Jacques

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Karla Devine

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:51:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Gabrielle Perelmuter

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:52:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephen Laminack

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:53:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Gail Rutherford

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 1:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Deborah Cardenas

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:00:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jason LaMacchia

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:02:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Irina Klay

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:02:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Maya Hovey

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:32:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Shapiro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:36:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Libby Dodd

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:36:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:37:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Janet Eyre

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 2:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Charles Bush

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:21:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Sofa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:22:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Pauline Soffa

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:38:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Martha Jo Policastro

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:51:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kian McCarthy

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:52:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Peter Diaz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 3:54:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

L Huang

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 4:53:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Rosa Annuzzi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:05:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Wolk

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:31:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Annie Phung

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:38:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dexter Lowry

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:41:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

David Price

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 5:53:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Barker

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:03:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

James Cox

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:08:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark McInerney

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 7:02:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Laurel Myers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:45:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Marianne Rothe

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:48:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

AV Allen

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:49:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY NIGH

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 8:51:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Goldman

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:01:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Marianne Schier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Eric Schier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:06:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

William Schier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:07:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Bacigalupi

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:09:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Schier

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 9:52:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Roberto Cantu

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:40:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Edward Fisch

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:49:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Schwartz

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 11:59:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicolette A

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 5:55:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mary OConnell

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 6:37:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicole Flowers

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:36:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

John Carver

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:42:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Matthew Faust

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:01:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Morse

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:10:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ulises Bello Ulises Bello

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Kittler, Sophia \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Fix the City Budget the right way - no short term fixes.
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:11:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Mayor and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge the City to confront San Francisco's long-term structural budget deficit, which could grow to over \$1 billion by 2030, with a clear-eyed, responsible approach that restores fiscal stability and public trust.

As the City's own financial projections make clear, San Francisco is facing a persistent spending problem. Our City is simply spending money it does not have. The deficit is a serious structural problem that if not solved, will harm our quality of life and lead to cuts to services that we cherish such as our libraries and parks.

Critically, this deficit cannot be solved by relying on strategies that merely delay hard decisions. San Francisco must address its budget gap while following the below principles:

- Do not use reserves, which exist to protect the City during true emergencies and economic shocks and not to paper over recurring imbalances.
- Do not use one-time fixes and shortcuts, which provide temporary relief while worsening the long-term outlook.
- Do not increase taxes, which would further burden residents and businesses already struggling with affordability and economic uncertainty.

Using reserves, one-time fixes, or new taxes may appear expedient in the short run, but each approach ultimately weakens the City's fiscal foundation and compounds the problem in future budget cycles.

Instead, the City should focus on sustainable solutions that align recurring spending with recurring revenues. That means taking a hard look at baseline costs, staffing levels, and program effectiveness including roughly \$1.6 billion in annual nonprofit spending, where repeated audits and scandals have revealed weak oversight, misuse of funds, and poor accountability for results.

It also means confronting the City's own irresponsible spending practices. San Francisco has poured billions into homelessness programs with little improvement in outcomes and even raided a dedicated healthcare fund to paper over short-term budget gaps. These practices reflect a broader failure to track spending, measure performance, and enforce accountability.

San Franciscans expect and deserve a budget strategy that is honest about the scale of the challenge and disciplined in how it is addressed. Tackling the structural deficit

responsibly is essential not only to the City's financial health, but to its credibility, economic recovery, and ability to deliver on its commitments to residents.

I respectfully urge you to prioritize long-term fiscal sustainability and to commit to solutions that solve the problem at its root rather than passing it forward to future leaders, future taxpayers, and future budgets.

Thank you for your leadership and consideration.

Sincerely,

Louis Saroni

Item 24

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF Ballot Initiatives!- signatures!
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 10:24:42 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below, from Elizabeth Stahl, regarding ballot measures.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Elizabeth <estahl444@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 6, 2026 10:21 AM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>
Cc: jd.morris@sfchronicle.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Ballot Initiatives!- signatures!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a voter I fully support raising the threshold of signatures to qualify for

the San Francisco ballot! Voters must now be experts in each policy, charter amendment, or issue for which we are voting. And many of the ballots contradict each other and have detailed written text which most voters have no ability or knowledge to decipher! We have elected politicians for this job! So why do we also have to do their jobs for them?

Let's instead vote on really significant issues --- not on every item that people want to bring up! What a waste of time, energy and signature gathering!

Elizabeth Stahl
San Francisco

Item 25

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment for BoS Rules Committee Hearing of March 17, 2026
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:07:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[VAC_Ltr_BoS_CommStreamliningTaskForce_March17_2026.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from the Veterans Affairs Commission regarding actions of the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Murphy, Mary (HSA) <Mary.C.Murphy@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 8:21 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for BoS Rules Committee Hearing of March 17, 2026

Please find attached a letter dated March 11, 2026, from the Veterans Affairs Commission, submitted as written public comment for the Board of Supervisors Rules

Committee hearing on March 17, 2026.

The letter outlines the Commission's concern regarding the Streamlining Task Force recommendation to change the name from "Veterans Affairs Commission" to "Veterans Affairs Council."

Thank you,

Mary Murphy

Commission Secretary
Veterans Affairs Commission

Office Mailing Address

2 Gough Street, 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103

C: (415) 509-9691

www.SFHSA.org



SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY





March 11, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Follow-up Letter re Veterans Affairs Commission's Concern with Proposed Name Change

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board,

The Veterans Affairs Commission appreciates the work recently completed by the Commission Streamlining Task Force. However, as we noted in our February 11, 2026 letter, we are concerned about the recommendation to rename the Commission as the "Veterans Affairs Council." With the Board of Supervisors now scheduled to review the Task Force's final recommendations at a Rules Committee hearing on Tuesday, March 17, we are submitting this follow-up letter to restate our concern and provide additional context for the Board's consideration.

The Veterans Affairs Commission was created by ordinance after a vote of the Board of Supervisors in 1994. That vote matters. It represents a legislative decision by the City to create a permanent advisory body for veterans in San Francisco and to elevate veteran issues to a level that receives consistent visibility and accountability within City government.

Renaming the Commission to a council would reverse that legislative decision and weaken the standing that the Board intentionally established three decades ago. A commission carries a recognized role in the City's governance structure. A council is often viewed as informal and easier to deprioritize.

Veteran issues also do not fit neatly into the template used by the Commission Streamlining Task Force to evaluate advisory bodies. Veteran needs are not temporary. Housing stability, healthcare access, benefits navigation, and justice-involved veteran services are long-term responsibilities that continue across generations.

For this reason, the structure created in 1994 remains relevant today. Preserving the Veterans Affairs Commission maintains the formal channel through which veterans' concerns are elevated to City leadership.

Veterans Affairs Commission Letter to Board of Supervisors
Re Name Change Recommendation by Streamlining Task Force
March 11, 2026
Page 2 of 2

San Francisco made a deliberate legislative decision to establish a Veterans Affairs Commission so that veterans would have a permanent voice within City government. When institutions turn away from veterans, cities must remain steadfast in their commitments.

We respectfully ask that the Board of Supervisors uphold the legislation it passed and preserve the Commission that was created to represent those who served.

We hope this additional context is helpful as the Board considers the Task Force's recommendations. Should further information about the Commission's history, structure, or operations be useful for the March 17 hearing, we remain available to provide it.

Respectfully,

William Barnickel
President
San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission
On behalf of the full Commission

Attachment: February 11, 2026 Letter from the Veterans Affairs Commission



February 11, 2026

President Rafael Mandelman and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Preserve the Name "San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission"

Dear President Mandelman and Members of the Board,

The Veterans Affairs Commission appreciates the work accomplished by the Streamlining Task Force on behalf of San Francisco voters. We are grateful for its recommendation to retain our body as a policy advisory group and for most of the other recommendations put forth for our commission. However, we respectfully express concern regarding the recommendation to remove "Commission" from our name and urge the Board to reject this change.

Since 1982, the name "San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission" has represented continuity, accountability, and respect for veterans' service. Renaming the body would sever that legacy and create confusion for veterans and families who rely on clear signals of advocacy and support. The term "Veterans Affairs Commission" is widely recognized, and it also aligns with other regional veterans commissions such as those in San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Alameda counties, reinforcing consistency across the Bay Area.

San Francisco values institutions that honor service and uphold public accountability. Preserving the name "San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission" is a simple, meaningful way to maintain that trust and respect. We ask the Board to maintain the official name and affirm its historical continuity dating to 1982.

For any questions about this matter, Commission Vice-President Hanley Chan is available to further explain our concerns regarding the proposed name change and its implications for preserving the commission's legacy.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

William Barnickel
President
San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: COSW Commission Streamlining Task Force - 4 letters
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 9:23:00 AM
Attachments: [COSW Streamlining Task Force 4 letters.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 4 letters regarding the Commission on the Status of Women and actions of the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: betty@womensbuilding.org on behalf of [Tania Estrada](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Andary, Sophia \(HRC\)](#)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 12:17:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Tania Estrada

Executive Director

The Women's Building

Logo



Tania Estrada

Executive Director

[She/Her/Ella](#)

phone: + 415 431 1180 / Cellphone: 415 651 3214

email: Tania@womensbuilding.org

3543 18th St #8

San Francisco, CA 94110



We're looking for Board Members! If interested, ***[apply with us!](#)***

We are launching [Powering Our Future](#), a **\$176,000 capital campaign** to replace our roof and install solar panels. If you are interested in supporting or know someone who would, please let me know!

Read our [Impact report FYE25](#) and be impressed of our team and impact!

From: [Lusero Arias](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Andary, Sophia \(HRC\)](#)
Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 4:33:42 PM
Attachments: [Celebrating 50 years of La Casa de las Madres - Donate Here.png](#)
[COSW LOS 03.11.26.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of La Casa de las Madres, I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes put forward by the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

For 50 years, the Commission on the Status of Women has played a vital role in advancing gender equity in San Francisco. Established in 1975, following years of community advocacy and action by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission remains the only city body specifically charged with addressing the needs, rights, and safety of women, girls, and gender-expansive people. Its role in reviewing city policies, budgets, and programs provides critical oversight and accountability across city government.

La Casa de las Madres, San Francisco's largest and oldest domestic violence organization, works every day to support survivors through emergency shelter, counseling, advocacy, and prevention programs. Our work is deeply connected to the broader systems that promote safety, equity, and opportunity for women and families. The Commission on the Status of Women has long served as an essential partner in elevating issues affecting survivors and ensuring that gender equity remains a priority in city policy and funding decisions.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can share their experiences, raise concerns, and participate in decisions that impact their lives. Despite its size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing valuable lived experience and community expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would undermine its ability to provide meaningful oversight. This includes preserving the Commission's role in key governance matters, such as decisions related to the hiring and evaluation of the department head and oversight of the department's budget, as well as maintaining protections that prevent the removal of Commissioners without cause.

At a time when many communities are facing increasing threats to safety and equity, San Francisco should be strengthening, not weakening, the institutions that ensure women, girls, and gender-expansive people are represented in government decision-making.

We respectfully urge you to reject the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full

authority intact.

Thank you for your leadership and for protecting accountability and equity in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Lusero Arias, J.D.
Executive Director



50 Years of Courage. A Legacy of Safety.
Click Here to Give to Our Legacy of Safety Fund Today.

La Casa de las Madres
Direct: 415-407-6020 | Office: 415-503-0500 x.305
1269 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

24/7 Crisis Line: 1-877-503-1850 | W: lacasa.org
Follow us on [Instagram](#) | Follow us on [LinkedIn](#)

=====

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission and any related attachments are privileged and confidential information and are for the exclusive use of the intended recipient. If you have received this notification in error, please immediately contact the sender and delete the material. Retention, interception, review, disclosure, distribution, or other use is strictly prohibited and may violate applicable laws.

March 11, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org



**A Refuge.
An Advocate.
A Strong Voice
Against Domestic
Violence.**

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of La Casa de las Madres, I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote **NO** on the proposed changes put forward by the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

For 50 years, the Commission on the Status of Women has played a vital role in advancing gender equity in San Francisco. Established in 1975 following years of community advocacy and action by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission remains the only city body specifically charged with addressing the needs, rights, and safety of women, girls, and gender-expansive people. Its role in reviewing city policies, budgets, and programs provides critical oversight and accountability across city government.

La Casa de las Madres
1269 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Tel: 415.503.0500
Fax: 415.503.0301

Crisis Line: 877.503.1850
www.lacasa.org

La Casa de las Madres, San Francisco's largest and oldest domestic violence organization, works every day to support survivors through emergency shelter, counseling, advocacy, and prevention programs. Our work is deeply connected to the broader systems that promote safety, equity, and opportunity for women and families. The Commission on the Status of Women has long served as an essential partner in elevating issues affecting survivors and ensuring that gender equity remains a priority in city policy and funding decisions.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can share their experiences, raise concerns, and participate in decisions that impact their lives. Despite its size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing valuable lived experience and community expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would undermine its ability to provide meaningful oversight. This includes preserving the Commission's role in key governance matters, such as decisions related to the hiring and evaluation of the department head and oversight of the department's budget, as well as maintaining protections that prevent the removal of Commissioners without cause.

At a time when many communities are facing increasing threats to safety and equity, San Francisco should be strengthening, not weakening, the institutions that ensure women, girls, and gender-expansive people are represented in government decision-making.

We respectfully urge you to reject the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full authority intact.

Thank you for your leadership and for protecting accountability and equity in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Arias".

Lusero Arias, J.D.
Executive Director

From: [Jamie Pearlstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [DOSW, DOSW \(WOM\)](#); [Andary, Sophia \(HRC\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: MAIL Subject: Support for the Commission on the Status of Women
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:46:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
I am writing to urge you to protect the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women and vote NO on the proposed changes from the Commission Streamlining Task Force.

Established in 1975 after years of community advocacy and a vote by the Board of Supervisors, the Commission on the Status of Women remains the only city body specifically tasked with advancing gender equity in San Francisco. It plays a critical role in reviewing city policies and budgets and holding government accountable.

The Commission also provides an important public forum where community members can speak, be heard, and participate in decisions that affect their lives. Despite its small size, it is one of the most diverse bodies in city government, bringing essential lived experience and expertise to its work.

Removing the Commission's chartered independence or weakening its governance authority would compromise its ability to provide meaningful oversight and accountability. This includes ensuring the Commissioner has a role in decisions related to hiring and firing the department head and in matters concerning the department's budget, as well as protecting the Commissioner from removal by the Mayor without cause.

I respectfully urge you to vote down the proposed changes and maintain the Commission on the Status of Women as a chartered, independent body with its full powers and responsibilities intact.

San Francisco needs strong, independent institutions that ensure women, girls, and nonbinary people are represented and heard in city decision-making.

Thank you for your time and for protecting accountability in our local government.

Sincerely,

Jamie Pearlstein

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [daniel paul foster](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep the Commission on the Status of Women and Similar Bodies in the Charter
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 10:42:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California, practicing in the City and County of San Francisco. I must convey to you my shock and dismay at the determination of the Streamlining Task Force, *ultra vires* its mandate, to move the Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) from the Charter to the Administrative Code.

Clearly, on this issue, Chairman Harrington got it right when he voted against it.

COSW was formed in the 1970s by ordinance. It did yeoman service. It no doubt saved the City tons of money through the avoidance of sexual harassment lawsuits alone. It trained personnel throughout the departments of City government. It protected battered women and lessened their mortality rate. But it wasn't easy. It had to be placed in the Charter, it had to be given subpoena power. The Board of Supervisors and the Mayor saw to it that it was done, and the initiative was tremendously popular with the voters and paid great dividends, improving the lives of a great many while increasing the reputation of the City and the popularity of its officials.

This short history was ironically lost on the female members of the Task Force who, according to Mr. Harrington, voted to remove the COSW from the Charter for reasons of "flexibility" not cost, because it cost less than nothing and qualitatively improved life for women and girls in San Francisco. ("Flexibility" of course is a weasel word meaning giving the Mayor the power to destroy COSW because the protection of women and girls is no longer popular with the national leadership of the Republican Party.) But it is still popular with the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

When the COSW was placed in the Charter, it was with the mandate of 70% of the voters in 1994 by voter initiative of which it alone was the subject. COSW was also approved by a supermajority of multiple Boards of Supervisors, under the signatures of multiple Mayors (I've lost count: Alioto, Feinstein, Agnos, Brown...). And now that it is slated to be weakened to the point of tokenism and irrelevance (absent your prompt and decisive action) that deed is set to be accomplished by a single paragraph in a book-length voter document that no one will read or understand, and I think that is fundamentally unfair and unjust. It is not being de-commissioned in the same manner it was commissioned. This is an illicit and illegal attempt to do an end-run around the will of the voters.

It should not be forgotten that under Proposition D in 2024, the COSW was to have been eliminated completely. D was decisively rejected by the voters.

I beg you to place COSW back in the Charter, along with the other commissions that deal with the marginalized, the disabled, the isolated; in short, all those in need of special protection who have no wealthy friends and cannot weather the political storms caused by the mushrooming debt of the United States of America; so that the process of streamlining can otherwise move forward in a rational way. The COSW is a creation of the Board of Supervisors and it should be the Board that acts to save it. I hope you give this the serious consideration it deserves. The COSW is worth saving as a Chartered entity. It needs and deserves your continued protection.

Thank you.

From: [Blueprint SF](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Put Smart Commission Reform on the November 2026 Ballot.
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 1:48:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot so San Francisco voters can decide on long-overdue government reforms.

San Francisco's commission system is bloated, confusing, and outdated. Public input is currently spread across 152 City commissions that often overlap, fail to coordinate, or do not meet at all. Nearly a quarter of commissions are inactive, yet remain on the books. At the same time, dozens of commissions are locked into the City Charter, making even modest updates costly and difficult.

This fragmented structure dilutes public participation and obscures accountability. Voters reasonably expect the Mayor to be responsible for managing City departments, yet many of those decisions are made by commissions most residents have never heard of and do not understand how to engage with.

In November 2024, voters recognized these problems and approved Proposition E, creating the Commission Streamlining Task Force to recommend solutions. After an extensive public process, including 21 public meetings and hundreds of public comments, the Task Force delivered a thoughtful, common-sense reform package.

These recommendations would streamline commissions into a smaller, more effective system; remove inactive and ineffective bodies; clarify roles and responsibilities; improve transparency; and make commissions easier for residents to understand and participate in while preserving appropriate oversight.

Residents deserve the opportunity to vote on these reforms.

I respectfully urge you to honor the will of voters and place the Commission Streamlining Task Force's Charter Amendment on the November 2026 ballot. Doing so will help ensure San Francisco's government is more accountable, transparent, and effective.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gretchen Koch

Item 26

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Written Public Comment - March 12 BOS Hearing File No. 251197
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 9:34:58 AM
Attachments: [Urgent Call for Accountability and Action Regarding Mass Strip Searches in County Jail.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors

Please see below and attached, from Alondra Esquivel Garcia, regarding:

File No. 251197 - Hearing to discuss the conditions for women in custody at local jails, including personal safety, programming, services and resources available; examine the oversight of hiring and assignment process for jail staffing, complaint process for women in custody, and disciplinary policies and procedures for Sheriff's deputies who commit misconduct; and requesting the Sheriff's Department, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Human Rights Commission, Mayor's Office of Victim's Rights, Department of Police Accountability, and Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention to report.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Alondra Esquivel <esquivelalondra1999@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2026 6:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Written Public Comment - March 12 BOS Hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

Please accept my attached written public comment for 3/12.

In community,

Alondra Esquivel Garcia (she/her/hers)

Advisor, San Francisco Women's Political Committee

Board Member, Community Forward SF

Parliamentarian, California Young Democrats

Vice Chair, Young Democrats of America Hispanic and Latinx Caucus



[4086796762](tel:4086796762)



esquivelalondra1999@gmail.com



Subject: Urgent Call for Accountability and Action Regarding Mass Strip Searches in County Jail

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a concerned San Francisco resident to express deep concern about the reports of mass strip searches of women that occurred in May 2025 at the County Jail. According to reports, at least 20 women in B-Pod were subjected to, or forced to witness, humiliating and invasive strip searches, including being laughed at and filmed. These allegations are extremely troubling and demand immediate accountability and transparency.

San Francisco has clear policies governing how strip searches must be conducted. They are required to take place in private, with the least amount of intrusion possible, and they must never be recorded. When those policies are violated, it harms the individuals involved and erodes public trust in our justice system. Incidents like this undermine years of work toward accountability and reform.

If these reports are accurate, the actions described are unacceptable. Any deputies and supervisors involved in these abuses against women and trans people should be suspended and removed from duties while a thorough investigation takes place. The people in our custody deserve dignity and protection, not humiliation or retaliation.

I am also concerned by reports that women who have spoken up about abuse or mistreatment may face intimidation or harassment. People in custody must be able to report wrongdoing without fear of retaliation. Grievance systems and investigative processes must be transparent, independent, and effective so that complaints are taken seriously and addressed appropriately.

For this reason, I urge the City to conduct a **fully independent, community-informed investigation** into the mass strip searches and any ongoing harassment of detained women. An internal review alone is not sufficient. The public deserves transparency about the findings and about what steps will be taken to prevent this from happening again.

I also encourage the Sheriff's Department to review and adopt policy changes recommended by organizations such as **Legal Services for Prisoners with Children**, which have proposed revisions to strip search policies after legal visits in order to prevent further harm.

The women who were subjected to these searches are reporting serious trauma. They should have immediate access to **independent, community-based counseling** so they can receive support from providers outside of the Sheriff's Department.

Beyond this incident, the reports about jail conditions for women raise serious concerns. I understand that some women have been held for years without meaningful access to the

outdoors, only seeing sunlight when they are transported to the hospital. No one should be held for prolonged periods of time without regular access to fresh air and sunlight, as this has well-documented negative health impacts.

The conditions inside the jail should also be treated as a **public health issue**. Women in the County Jail should have guaranteed access to hot water in showers and sinks, immediate access to basic cleaning supplies such as disinfectant spray, towels, and gloves, and regular access to sunlight and fresh air. Women and trans people in custody should also have increased access to in-person programming and the ability to earn milestone credits through that programming.

I also urge the **San Francisco District Attorney's Office** to consider supporting pre-trial release for impacted women where possible and to consider the trauma resulting from these strip searches as a mitigating factor in their cases. Non-jail alternatives should be prioritized whenever possible.

To ensure accountability and prevent future harm, I respectfully urge the Board of Supervisors to consider the following actions:

- Suspend and remove deputies and supervisors involved in abuses against women and trans people while investigations are ongoing
- Conduct a **fully independent, community-informed investigation** and ensure transparency around the results
- Take steps to stop retaliation and harassment against women in custody who report abuse
- Limit strip searches to circumstances involving **individualized reasonable suspicion only**
- Provide independent, community-based counseling for survivors
- Support the **pretrial release of impacted women** where appropriate
- Protect and secure all **body camera footage** related to the strip searches
- Fully fund the **San Francisco Sheriff's Department Oversight Board** and hire an Independent Inspector General
- Withhold new Sheriff funding until community concerns and safety issues are addressed

- Invest in **non-jail alternatives and community-based safety solutions**

While lawsuits may ultimately cost the City money, the greater cost is the loss of public trust when institutions fail to protect people in their care. San Francisco should lead by ensuring transparency, accountability, and humane conditions in our local jails.

Thank you for holding this hearing and for your attention to this important issue.

In Community,

Alondra Esquivel Garcia

Alondra Esquivel Garcia, a very concerned San Francisco resident

Item 27

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: 251254 – Ordinance Amending the Administrative Code (Mechanical Street Sweeping Performance Evaluations and Reporting) and the Health Code (Refuse Receptacles and Administrative Fines)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:12:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding File No. 251254.

File No. 251254 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require the Department of Public Works to perform evaluations and report periodically on mechanical street sweeping operations, including submittal of written performance reports to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor; amending the Health Code to revise and clarify outdated terms concerning refuse receptacle requirements and authorize a process for the imposition of administrative fines; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Michael Nulty <sf_district6@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2026 2:46 PM
To: Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Abd6membership <abd6membership@yahoo.com>
Subject: 251254 – Ordinance Amending the Administrative Code (Mechanical Street Sweeping Performance Evaluations and Reporting) and the Health Code (Refuse Receptacles and Administrative Fines)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Alliance for a Better District 6

San Francisco, California

March 7, 2026

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

City Hall, Room 244

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Letter of Support for File No. 251254 – Ordinance Amending the Administrative Code (Mechanical Street Sweeping Performance Evaluations and Reporting) and the Health Code (Refuse Receptacles and Administrative Fines)

Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

The Alliance for a Better District 6 writes in strong support of File No. 251254, the ordinance that requires the Department of Public Works to conduct periodic evaluations and submit written performance reports on mechanical street sweeping operations, while also updating outdated Health Code provisions on refuse receptacles and authorizing administrative fines. This legislation is a critical step forward because the City must evaluate its performance in many ongoing tasks—particularly in the areas of Administrative and Health Codes concerning Mechanical Street Sweeping Performance and Refuse Receptacles. Public Works currently provides mechanical street sweeping services to approximately 90 percent of San Francisco’s streets, removing litter from residential neighborhoods and shopping corridors throughout Districts 3, 5, & 6. Yet, as the Budget and Legislative Analyst’s Office Performance Audit of October 29, 2025, clearly documented, the absence of comprehensive, regular evaluations has allowed outdated service patterns to persist, creating inefficiencies in cleanliness, cost, route scheduling, frequency, and labor allocation. By mandating that the Public Works Director (or designee) submit a written report to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor at least every ten years—with the first report due no later than January 31, 2027—along with findings and actionable recommendations for improvement, and by providing the Controller’s City Services Auditor an opportunity to review and comment, this ordinance directly implements key recommendations from the 2025 audit. It brings long-overdue accountability and data-driven decision-making to an essential public service that directly affects the quality of life in Districts 3, 5, & 6.

The ordinance also modernizes the Health Code by clarifying requirements

for the storage and placement of refuse receptacles and establishing a fair, efficient process for imposing administrative fines on violations. These updates will reduce confusion, improve compliance, and strengthen enforcement without unnecessary burden on residents or businesses. The Alliance for a Better District 6 membership believes that requiring regular performance evaluations and clearer, enforceable standards should become standard practice across City departments. We urge the Board of Supervisors to pass File No. 251254 promptly so that San Francisco can deliver cleaner, more efficiently managed streets and more effective refuse management for all our neighborhoods. Thank you for your leadership and for considering this important measure.

Sincerely, Michael Nulty

President

Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782

San Francisco, CA 94142-0782

(415) 339-8327 - Direct

(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6

(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats

(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association

<http://abd6.cfsites.org/>

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Twin Peaks Promenade Project
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:31:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from James Decker regarding the Twin Peaks Trails Improvement and Promenade Project.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: decker@resipiscent.com <decker@resipiscent.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 8, 2026 9:32 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Twin Peaks Promenade Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

attn: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

As a homeowner in the Lower Haight, I walk to the top of Twin Peaks 3-4 times a week as my preferred exercise. I am happy to voice my support for improving the area as a permanent and primarily car-free pedestrian park.

Abuses I've seen over the past several years:

1. Food vendors spilling cooking grease on the ground along

with all the predictable food, paper, and plastic trash that comes with it.

2. Banana peels, pistachio shells and paper trash right at the very top of the peaks, just wilfull assholery.
3. Motorcycles and motorized scooters driving in the pedestrian-only areas.
4. People traipsing on the fragile narrow grassy ridge just south of Noe Peak and leaving picnic trash.
5. Dogs off leash and dog excrement left undisposed.
6. Creeps and onanists parked up nearest the small-antennae and North Summit buildings.
7. Graffiti wars have begun, with tags being crossed out etc. A murals project may be necessary or else reduced surface areas by using wood and wire rather than concrete medians.
8. And ever since the car turn-out was marked No Parking at the northern gate, cars instead block the gate itself where the sign has been defaced.

Signage becomes a target for defacement and usually only states what is patently obvious. I would urge that signage be kept to a minimum and appear only on trash cans and bathroom facilities, or maybe on the approach to the peak before people feel emboldened by the views, believing themselves to be some sort of idiotic conquerors.

While recent trail and stair improvements have been welcome, more trail development is needed connecting to Sutro Hill as well as the Pemberton Steps.

On the approach, the sidewalk on the east side of Twin Peaks Blvd between Raccoon Dr. and Clarendon Ave should be wider by 2-3 feet. And the dirt shoulder from Portola Drive can become unduly muddy, eroded with tripping hazards.

Those are my suggestions, thanks for considering them.

James Decker
738 Haight St

SF, CA 94117

Item 29

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Request for Amendments to Expanding Drug-Free Housing Ordinance - File No. 251003
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:18:03 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[AHRH Coalition Letter to Board of Supervisors.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached, from various organizations, regarding:

File No. 251003 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to state that it is City policy to expand the availability of Site-Based Permanent Supportive Housing (“PSH”) for people experiencing homelessness that supports abstinence by prohibiting illegal drug use on-site or emphasizing abstinence (“Drug-Free and Recovery-Oriented Supportive Housing”); prohibiting the City from funding Site-Based PSH for people experiencing homelessness that bars evictions on the basis of drug use alone (“Drug-Tolerant Housing”) except where operation of the funded housing as Drug-Free and Recovery-Oriented Supportive Housing would conflict with standards imposed by law or as a condition of other funding; and requiring the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to survey residents of Site-Based PSH to assess their interest in living in Drug-Free and Recovery-Oriented Supportive Housing, and submitting a report to the Board of Supervisors summarizing the summary findings.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk’s Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk’s Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to*

the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Cody Keene <codykeene@dishsf.org>

Sent: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:01 AM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Request for Amendments to Expanding Drug-Free Housing Ordinance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

On behalf of the Supportive Housing Provider Network (SHPN), the Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association (HESPA), and the Coalition on Homelessness, we are writing to share the attached letter regarding the Expanding Drug-Free Housing ordinance.

We appreciate the Board of Supervisors' continued commitment to addressing homelessness and expanding a range of housing options that support stability and recovery for San Franciscans. As the City considers this ordinance, we respectfully request several amendments to ensure recovery-oriented housing can be expanded without unintended impacts on permanent supportive housing or local funding flexibility, particularly in light of current federal funding uncertainty.

The attached letter outlines our perspective on recent federal Continuum of Care funding changes and proposes amendments that we believe will allow San Francisco to move recovery-oriented housing forward while preserving the strength and stability of the City's existing housing system.

We appreciate your consideration and would welcome the opportunity to discuss these recommendations further.

Thank you for your leadership and your continued work to address homelessness in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Supportive Housing Provider Network (SHPN)
Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association (HESPA)
Coalition on Homelessness

Cody Keene

Public Policy Manager

(He/Him/His)

Delivering Innovation in Supportive Housing (DISH)

T: 415.535.4664

codykeene@dishsf.org



March 12th, 2026

City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Opportunity to move recovery housing forward with pending federal funding priorities

Dear Supervisors,

We appreciate the City and of San Francisco's continued focus on addressing homelessness and expanding housing options that meet the diverse needs of San Franciscans. We share the goal of ensuring people have access to housing models that support recovery, stability, and long-term well-being. We support the expansion of recovery housing and agree that a continuum of housing options, including recovery-oriented environments, are essential. At the same time, we want to ensure that one housing type is not moved forward at the expense of another housing type. Additionally, we want to avoid any unintended impacts on permanent supportive housing (PSH) as recovery-oriented housing is expanded during a period of federal funding uncertainty.

Congress recently passed legislation directing the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to release Continuum of Care (CoC) funding and establishing a minimum Tier 1 funding threshold of 60% in the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). While this directive provides important clarity, it represents a significant reduction from the prior Tier 1 threshold of approximately 87% nationally. For San Francisco, this change could result in a loss of approximately \$22 million in permanent supportive housing funding. This potential reduction introduces substantial financial uncertainty and underscores the importance of preserving local flexibility to sustain and protect San Francisco's permanent supportive housing portfolio.

These drastic funding changes have been seen across the federal government. Most recently, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) abruptly ended \$2 billion for behavioral health programs and services. While that funding was reinstated, the reality for local governments is that haphazard and significant federal policy and budget changes create too much uncertainty to hamstring local dollars. We also cannot only depend on legal remedies for these issues as such can be a luck of the draw. Accordingly, we request changes in the Expanding Drug-Free Housing legislation to ensure San

Francisco can use its resources effectively while moving recovery-oriented housing forward. We request these changes as amendments, which are described below.

1. Retitle drug-tolerant housing to low-barrier housing, drug-free housing to recovery-focused supportive housing, and recovery housing to recovery-focused transitional housing
2. Remove the total funding ban of low-barrier housing to allow local funding;
3. Expand recovery-oriented housing by implementing two pilots for recovery-focused supportive housing and recovery-focused transitional housing;
4. Ensure that evictions are for behavioral reasons versus relapse of substance use

We sincerely appreciate your consideration of these requests for the Expanding Drug-Free Housing ordinance. We believe that these provisions will allow San Francisco to better mitigate federal policy and funding shifts while locally advancing diverse housing options including recovery-oriented housing. Together, we can continue increasing and improving our housing system where recovery-housing is expanded in San Francisco.

In community,

Supportive Housing Provider Network (SHPN)

Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association (HESPA)

Coalition on Homelessness

Item 30

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: 251162 Health Code - Spaying, Neutering, and Sterilizing of Dogs
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 10:17:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the communication regarding File No. 251162.

File No. 251162 - Ordinance amending the Health Code to require that every dog in San Francisco, with certain exceptions, be spayed, neutered, or chemically sterilized, rather than requiring such procedures only for pit bulls; to establish a process and fee for applying for an unaltered dog permit, and a process for granting, denying, or revoking such permit, with a hearing following a denial or revocation; to regulate the transfer, sale, and breeding of unaltered dogs; and to establish penalties and conditions of impoundment for violations of the mandatory spay, neuter, or chemical sterilization requirements.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Michael Nulty <sf_district6@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 7, 2026 2:20 PM
To: Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong@sfgov.org>
Cc: Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Abd6membership <abd6membership@yahoo.com>
Subject: 251162 Health Code - Spaying, Neutering, and Sterilizing of Dogs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Honorable Members of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee,

The Alliance for a Better District 6 strongly supports the proposed mandatory spay/neuter legislation introduced by San Francisco Animal Care and Control (SF ACC). This breed-neutral policy would require all dogs one year of age or older to be spayed or neutered, with clearly defined exceptions, while eliminating the current breed-specific requirement for pit bulls. We believe this change promotes equity, animal welfare, and effective management of our city's animal homelessness crisis.

As highlighted in the San Francisco Animal Commission's recent letter of support to City Administrator Chu, San Francisco faces ongoing post-pandemic challenges with elevated dog intakes and placements at SF ACC. Intake rose over 20% in recent years, with puppy intake nearly doubling in some periods, straining resources and increasing risks of prolonged shelter stays or euthanasia. This legislation addresses root causes by extending preventative measures beyond shelter adoptions—where spay/neuter is already mandated under California state law—to the broader pet population through a complaint-based enforcement approach that remains reasonable and targeted.

The proposal draws inspiration from Los Angeles County's successful 2006 mandatory spay/neuter ordinance, which significantly reduced annual dog intake from around 90,000 to approximately 34,000. Several other California jurisdictions have adopted similar mandatory spay/neuter policies for dogs (and often cats), demonstrating a broader trend toward proactive, breed-neutral approaches to curb overpopulation. These include:

- Long Beach (requiring dogs over 6 months to be spayed/neutered, with exemptions for medical, show, service, and certain grandfathered animals)
- Lake Elsinore (mandating spay/neuter for dogs and cats over 4 months, paired with microchipping)
- Menifee (requiring spay/neuter or an unaltered dog license/permit for dogs)
- Bakersfield (implementing mandatory spay/neuter programs with certification options)
- Moreno Valley (along with nearby cities like Riverside and San Bernardino, enforcing mandatory ordinances)

Many cities in Los Angeles County have also aligned with the county's ordinance for dogs and cats over 4 months. Santa Cruz County has maintained a longstanding mandatory policy since 1995 for animals over 6 months (with unaltered certificates available). These examples illustrate that mandatory, equitable spay/neuter requirements—when paired with exemptions, education, and accessible services—can yield positive outcomes in reducing shelter burdens and euthanasia rates.

The Alliance for a Better District 6 urges the Committee to advance this legislation. By adopting a proven, fair, and comprehensive policy, San Francisco can lead in preventing animal homelessness, improving community safety and neighborhood well-being, and upholding our shared responsibility to protect vulnerable animals.

Sincerely,

Michael NultyExecutive Director

Alliance for a Better District 6

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782

San Francisco, CA 94142-0782

(415) 339-8327 - Direct

(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6

(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats

(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association

(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative

<http://abd6.cfsites.org/>

Item 31

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Writing on matter regarding 524-526 Vallejo Street
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:35:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Ed Parillon regarding File No. 260021:

File No. 260024: Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the de facto denial of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code, Sections 303 and 317, for a proposed project at 524-526 Vallejo Street and 4-4A San Antonio Place (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0132, Lot No. 009) identified in Planning Case No. 2024-011561CUA, to legalize the merger of three dwelling units on second and third floors into one dwelling unit and to reinstate one dwelling unit on the ground floor within an existing four-unit residential building located within RM-1 (Residential Mixed, Low Density) Zoning District, Telegraph Hill-North Beach Residential SUD (Special Use District), Priority Equity Geographies SUD, and 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 3) (Appellants: Katelin Holloway and Ben Ramirez) (Filed January 5, 2026)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Ed Parillon <eparillon@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 11:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>
Subject: Writing on matter regarding 524-526 Vallejo Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a father and a resident of District 9, and I am writing to urge all members of the

Board of Supervisors to uphold the decision of the Planning Commission to de facto deny the Conditional Use Authorization for the property at 524-526 Vallejo Street. There is simply no grounds for appeal here, beyond "we are wealthy, and we should be able to follow our own rules". Furthermore, allowing this CUA would remove housing from the city in the midst of a housing crisis and establish the precedent that turning 4 (or more) homes into a single mansion is de facto legal for the wealthy. It's hard to imagine a more anti-housing action! Let's review the facts here:

1. The owners of the property endeavoured to speak to the press for this article: <https://www.sfchronicle.com/sf/article/north-beach-housing-fight-21955921.php>, wherein it is made very clear that they had full knowledge of the property's non-compliance with code. They were not scammed or defrauded. The relevant information was disclosed, and they chose to ignore it.
2. This is not a situation where a family is trying to stay in San Francisco by adding an unwarranted in-law studio to a 2 bedroom. I think most San Franciscans have friends and colleagues who did this, and as a parent I am sympathetic. But that's not what we are talking about here. Here, attainable apartments for 4 families have been illegally turned into a mansion. The owner purchased this mansion for \$5 million -- at that price point, there are many, many legal options that do not drive displacement. The appellant rejected these options, choosing instead to lock in the illegal displacement.
3. The illegal mansion is in one of the densest parts of San Francisco - the kind of neighborhood where housing is desperately needed immediately adjacent to the city's primary job center. This is surely one of the worst places in San Francisco to remove housing.
4. As you all already know, San Francisco needs to add over 80,000 homes, a majority of them affordable to low income families, before the end of this RHNA cycle. As you are also aware, policy decisions and Board votes play a critical role in demonstrating to the state that the City is making progress towards this goal. How will the State HCD view a vote that would set a precedent that families can be illegally displaced to merge units and dramatically *reduce* housing? These are the kinds of votes that make it impossible for San Francisco to show housing element compliance, and thereby jeopardize critical housing and transportation funding.

It is unfortunate for the owners of 524 Vallejo that their "dream home" is a disappointment, but the recourse here is to their broker, or the seller, or ultimately to themselves. The solution is not to make it legal to turn apartment buildings housing

dozens of San Franciscans into mansions for a privileged few. I am urging all of you to reject this baseless appeal, and affirm the Planning Department's findings that the property must return to housing 4 families instead of just one.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ed Parillon, D9 father and voter.

Item 32

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment: Elimination of MOHCD Community Based Services area (March 10 meeting)
Date: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:11:00 PM
Attachments: [MOHCD-BudgetArgument.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from David Bogachyk regarding various subjects.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: David Bogachyk <david@urcsf.org>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 3:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment: Elimination of MOHCD Community Based Services area (March 10 meeting)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please find the attached summary regarding the critical role of Community-Based Services in maintaining San Francisco's essential infrastructure, following the advocacy actions of March 4th. I request this be provided to the Supervisors ahead of tomorrow's meeting.

Thank you,

David Bogachyk

Executive Director @ Ukrainian Service & Cultrual Center Chervona Ruta

urcsf.org

My name is David Bogachyk, I am executive director at the Ukrainian Service & Cultural Center “Chervona Ruta,” serving Ukrainian war refugees and other immigrant communities who otherwise have no access to essential services in the City.

10 years ago, I moved from Ukraine to San Francisco. For my first six months, riding the Muni, I was constantly missing stops. In Ukraine, buses stop by default. Here, you pull the cord. I watched it but couldn’t believe you have to do it to get off. So I sat, I watched, and kept missing my stops.

Until one day and one muni driver. He noticed my confusion.

He stopped the bus. Walked into the saloon. Pointed to the cord.

I nodded as if I understood.

I could easily confess about my trauma from Maidan, our Revolution of Dignity, when dozens were killed around me.

I could not confess though that after I moved, I felt like a toddler lost in time & space. I am a social psychologist, and all that.

But that Muni driver knew. He didn’t buy my nod. He took my hand and pulled that cord with me. Since then, I stopped missing stops.

Today, my clients may miss doctor’s appointments or deadline for USCIS documents risking to become undocumented. Not ‘cause they aren’t smart but because they are riding the same bus. They may have a PhD or top management experience but feel lost in new society. They need scaffolding, the Muni driver.

I tried to imagine why Community Based Services are set for elimination. I think, **it’s a lazy and incompetent choice**. Because case management, the core of the community based services area sounds “soft” to someone who has never ridden a bus on another planet, or held a social science degree. I have done both, so I’ll explain what case management does.

First: Scaffolding. Not only immigrants, but every person reimagining their life needs it. Without it, housing or economic programs are mere transactions with no transformative power.

Second: Accountability as effectiveness of city funded programs like in economic development. The budget team may pull statistics of drop-offs for clients who decided to start enterprise with no resources and no support to pursue their goals.

Third: Systemic troubleshooting. We solve problems at its source. For instance, coordinating with SF Human Services Agency eligibility criteria issues to prevent wrongful denials and costly administrative and adjudication expanses (hearings, appeals, interpreters).

Fourth: Prevention over crisis management. For instance, conflict resolution with landlord before it became a case. Or preventing a client from becoming an “undocumented” immigrant which costs city additional funding.

Fifth: Access. For small communities like Ukrainian war refugees, we are the only gateway, providing access to complex orientation through workshops and informational services.

San Francisco could build and innovative ecosystem for integration that the World’d envy. Instead, it cuts the only lifeline for our community – 2,500 Ukrainian war refugees, 80% women, children, youth, seniors, people with disabilities; and neglects long-term communities. Accountability has been achieved by modernizing, bridging system gaps, eliminating layers of bureaucracy that hinder human progress. Case managers are best partners in that, because they see exactly where the system fails the human.

TO: Board of Supervisors / Mayor's Office

FROM: David Bogachyk, Executive Director@ Ukrainian Service & Cultural Center; david@urcsf.org urcsf.org

Systemic Efficiency Secures Accountability and City Budget Efficiency

Following my speech on the March 4th City Hall rally (see overleaf), I am submitting:

Summary or Argument

The core part of the Community Based Services area are case management and coordination. Choosing this area for elimination is a lazy and incompetent move that contradicts the idea of accountability and services efficiency. Case Management is an infrastructure for other services like housing, economic or workforce development, small business.

That's why:

(1) **It provides necessary scaffolding** for members of vulnerable communities pursuing new career or business goals. Without such support provided in case management, people with insufficient resources often drop off which means money is wasted with no outcome. This statement is supported by:

- (a) local leaders of economic development programs;
- (b) worldwide experiments such as business incubators for refugees and marginalized communities.

(2) **Case management and coordination provide accountability** as they assess effectiveness of city funded program for each client and prevent duplication of services.

(3) **It determines systemic gaps and solves the problems on its source** thus saving public funds.

Examples from our practice:

- (a) Ukrainian Humanitarian Parolees (UHPs) have been often denied public benefits in error triggering a costly cycle involving City-funded attorneys, translators, administrative hearings. We aggregate data and resolve the issues with SF HSA to prevent such errors at the source.
- (b) We alerted MOHCD to the absence of free legal for UHPs. This gap risks turning legal residents into undocumented immigrants, triggering much more costly crisis programs. Our tailored solutions are far more cost-effective than crisis intervention funded by additional multimillion funding.

(4) **Community based services is the only gateway for small communities** that provide access to essential services and thus overcome language and other barriers.

Modernization, not Elimination

As a social psychologist, I have long advocated for a departure from obsolete service models which are the main reason of inefficient spending. I am calling for a discussion of innovative models capable of transforming social services area into integrated, high-performance ecosystem the City could be proud of, instead of being ashamed of cutting a lifeline for 2,500 of refugees who arrived in San Francisco after full-scale invasion in Ukraine.

Item 33

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Respect the Bayview: Request for Town Hall & Moratorium on Activity
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 11:35:33 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below, from Arieann Harrison, regarding homeless services in the Bayview.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: ARIEANN Harrison <noreply@jotform.com>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:21 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Respect the Bayview: Request for Town Hall & Moratorium on Activity

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent ARIEANN Harrison

Email a.harrison@sfmhcf.org

I live in District

Would you like to join in this Town Hall when scheduled? Yes

Respect the Bayview: Request for Town Hall & Moratorium on Activity

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie,

District 10 hosts a disproportionate 17.6% of the city's homeless population.

In addition to the three homeless service facilities currently located and operating in the Bayview (1925 Evans, 500/598 25th Street, 125 Bayshore) the City is now opening a new facility, "Jerrold Commons", at 2177 Jerrold Avenue.

This site was originally slated for 60 "tiny cabins" and 20 RV parking spaces. Without notice or input from our community the city now plans to alter the plan to add an additional 80 shelter beds, which will create more congestion and bring more people to the facility.

As a residents in the Bayview community, we strenuously object to this expansion and the lack of notice.

We the Bayview Residents, hereby respectfully request:

1) a Town Hall with the Mayor in the next 30 days.
Agenda: to discuss long-term strategies for solutions that provide dignified permanent housing and support services for those currently unhoused or vehicularly housed in the Bayview.

Participants: Mayor, Mayor's Office, HSH, DPH, DAS, SFPD, and any other necessary City offices.

Please contact Claudia Anderson to schedule the Town Hall.

2) a moratorium on the creation of any new, or the expansion of existing shelters, triage centers, or similar facilities until such time as a formal long-term plan is established.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Bayview Residents
cc: Board of Supervisors

Item 34

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Urgent Public Safety Concern Taylor Street between Turk & Eddy
Date: Thursday, March 12, 2026 12:24:32 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below, from Latrice McNealy, regarding public safety issues.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: latrice mcnealy <latricemcnealy@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2026 9:36 PM
To: mayorlurie@sfgov.org
Cc: Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Mayor, MYR (MYR) <mayor@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent Public Safety Concern Taylor Street between Turk & Eddy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mahmood and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a long-time resident of the Tenderloin who has lived on Taylor Street for 15 years to report a serious and ongoing public safety concern on Taylor Street between Turk and Eddy.

I raised my eldest child here who is now age 25 and currently live here with two minor children, ages 5 and 8. Like many families in this neighborhood, we are simply trying to live safely in our home and community.

Early Saturday (March, 7 2026) at approximately 4:57 a.m., two people were shot near Turk Street. Prior to the shooting, I had already called the police three separate times earlier in the morning because there were approximately 100 people gathered on the block, many openly using drugs and some appearing to sell drugs. The situation felt extremely unsafe for residents.

Before the shooting occurred, I was also physically confronted and assaulted while walking my dog. I had my phone in my hand because I was looking for something to watch while walking, and an individual approached me and attempted to slap the phone out of my hand. I called the non-emergency police line to report the assault, but there was no response.

Only after gunshots were fired did there appear to be a meaningful police response. Later that morning around 5:30am, an officer called about my assault and indicated that staffing in this sector is extremely limited, and that they are seeing a significant increase in drug activity and arrests in the area. The officer also explained that many of the same individuals who are arrested for drug-related activity are often seen again on the streets within days or weeks, sometimes due to missed court appearances and warrants that lead to repeated arrests. Needless to say the only thing that came out of me being assaulted was an apology.

Residents are increasingly concerned that enforcement activity in other parts of the city — particularly along Market Street and areas surrounding major events — may be displacing activity into residential blocks like ours, where families live.

As a working parent raising my children in this neighborhood, it is difficult to reconcile how residents who are trying to protect themselves and their families can face severe consequences, while the same individuals engaged in drug activity repeatedly return to the same block.

This is not an isolated incident. Over the past several months, I have repeatedly called about large gatherings, open drug use, and drug sales occurring on this block. Conditions have been steadily worsening.

Residents have also observed that the area can be quickly cleared or managed when there are major city events or theater performances nearby, such as shows at the Golden Gate Theatre or the Warfield Theatre. However, once those events conclude, the enforcement presence disappears and residents are left dealing with the same unsafe conditions overnight.

Families live here. Children live here. Residents should not have to repeatedly call for help, report assaults, and feel that nothing happens until someone is seriously injured.

I respectfully ask that your office review the ongoing safety conditions on Taylor Street between Turk and Eddy and advise what steps the City plans to take to ensure consistent safety and response for residents.

If possible, I would appreciate a response from your office identifying what department or staff member is responsible for addressing these conditions, and whether there are any planned interventions for this block.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Regards,
Latrice McNealy

Item 35

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [McCormick, Patrick \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station, \(POL\)](#); [Info@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com](#); [Cschulman@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Re: Mayor Lurie's car purportedly attacked in Tenderloin, SFPD bodyguard bleeding
Date: Thursday, March 5, 2026 8:02:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Well well well... If the Mayor's very own car and very own armed bodyguard gets assaulted in the TL, in this city... are we even safe here?

<https://missionlocal.org/2026/03/sf-daniel-lurie-car-bodyguard-attacked-tenderloin/>

Guess how much at risk, regular people, law abiding citizens, who somehow here don't have the right to their most basic 2nd amendment's right to carry a gun and defend themselves against those crackhead or drug cartel member parasites must feel?

Time to step it up.

We need 24/7 police presence, beat and car patrols, all over D3 and D5. NOW!

Where is the damn police when we need help and get ourselves in those situations? Nowhere to be found.

Drugs addicts and other criminals at every damn corner.

<https://missionlocal.org/2026/03/sf-daniel-lurie-car-bodyguard-attacked-tenderloin/>

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: ec@lowernobhill.org; [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [McCormick, Patrick \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station, \(POL\)](#); Info@lowerpolkcbd.org; Lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com; Cschulman@lowerpolkcbd.org; [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Press Office, Mayor \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Chilling unprovoked stabbing caught on camera in San Francisco's Chinatown
Date: Friday, March 6, 2026 4:43:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Members of the Board,

Between yesterday's attack on the mayor's car and security detail, and now this... WHAT IS WRONG WITH THOSE PEOPLE?

Why is San Francisco so damn unsafe?

<https://nypost.com/2026/03/06/us-news/unprovoked-stabbing-in-san-franciscos-chinatown-caught-on-camera/>

Can you finally get us the 24/7 SFPD beat and car patrols we deserve across Chinatown, Tenderloin, Little Saigon, Lower Polk, Lower Nob Hill, Western Addition, 6th/Market, Civic Center, SOMA and other at risk neighborhoods?

Can you finally make arrests?

Can you finally give us the clean and safe, drug-free, crackhead-free, encampment-free, cartel-free, crime-free streets we deserve? Are we not paying enough taxes for this to be our most basic expectation?

<https://nypost.com/2026/03/06/us-news/unprovoked-stabbing-in-san-franciscos-chinatown-caught-on-camera/>

All of that parasitic scum belongs in jail. Or even better, 6 ft under. Don't you think? Why was that moron freely roaming in Chinatown and stabbing people?

You all elected officials need to understand the severity of the incident. We need more than just another press conference, another minute of silence, another BS task force, or just words.

Things need to change. Dramatically. URGENTLY.

Will you be that change, or do we need to start voting RED, to truly get tough on crime over here?

GET TO WORK.

NOW.

THANK YOU.

<https://nypost.com/2026/03/06/us-news/unprovoked-stabbing-in-san-franciscos-chinatown-caught-on-camera/>

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [McCormick, Patrick \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station, \(POL\)](#); [info@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com](#); [Cschulman@lowerpolkcbd.org](#)
Subject: Re: Mayor Lurie's car purportedly attacked in Tenderloin, SFPD bodyguard bleeding
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 8:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As of last night, this location (Polk/Cedar) is still a mess. Same for so many others across D3 and D5.

Why haven't the encampments, the crackheads, all of the trash, filth, stolen bikes been cleared?

No-one's safe around here.

Does there need to be yet another assault for this administration to finally do something about it?

Where are the 24/7 SFPD car and beat patrols we've all heard so much during the campaign?

Time to raise the bar.

Thank you.

On Mar 5, 2026, at 20:02, Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

Well well well... If the Mayor's very own car and very own armed bodyguard gets assaulted in the TL, in this city... are we even safe here?

<https://missionlocal.org/2026/03/sf-daniel-lurie-car-bodyguard-attacked-tenderloin/>

Guess how much at risk, regular people, law abiding citizens, who somehow here don't have the right to their most basic 2nd amendment's right to carry a gun and defend themselves against those crackhead or drug cartel member parasites must feel?

Time to step it up.

We need 24/7 police presence, beat and car patrols, all over D3 and D5. NOW!

Where is the damn police when we need help and get ourselves in those situations? Nowhere to be found.

Drugs addicts and other criminals at every damn corner.

<https://missionlocal.org/2026/03/sf-daniel-lurie-car-bodyguard-attacked-tenderloin/>

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [DPW. \(DPW\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Alan \(BOS\)](#); [WongStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [FelderStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [McCormick, Patrick \(POL\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station. \(POL\)](#); [Info@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lowerpolkneighbors@gmail.com](#); [Cschulman@lowerpolkcbd.org](#); [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Press Office, Mayor \(MYR\)](#); [ec@lowernobhill.org](#)
Subject: Two men shot in the SF Tenderloin Saturday morning
Date: Saturday, March 7, 2026 10:48:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Another day, another shooting... "It's a beautiful day in San Francisco..."

Dear Mayor,
Dear Supervisors Sauter and Mahmood,
Dear Other Members of the Board,

Haven't we, haven't you had enough?

<https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/two-men-shot-in-the-sf-tenderloin-saturday-morning/amp/>

YOU ALL NEED TO GET THIS MESS UNDER IMMEDIATE CONTROL:

- REMOVE ALL ENCAMPMENTS AND DPW CLEAN UP THE AREAS BY EOB TODAY
- SEIZE ALL TENTS, STOLEN BIKES
- PROVIDE CLEAR INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO EVER COME BACK AGAIN
- MAKE ARRESTS OF ALL INDIVIDUAL SUSPECTED OF THEFT, PUBLIC DRUG USE, AND OTHER OFFENSES
- HAVE 24/7 SFPD BEAT & CAR PATROLS
- REPEAT

Hello? Is that too much for freaking ask for?

NOW GET TO WORK!

Thank you.