

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

# **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| Project Address   |   | Block/Lot(s)     |
|---|---|------------------|
| 3641 CALIFORNIA STREET  |   | 1036038          |
| Case No.  |   | Permit No.       |
| 2018-007764ENV  |   | 201809109596     |
| Addition/ Alteration  | Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building) | New Construction |
| Project description for Planning Department approval.  The approximately 5,360-square-foot project site is located on the south side of California Street, between Parker Avenue to the west and Spruce Street to the east, in the Presidio Heights neighborhood. The site is currently developed with a two-story, 3,270-square-foot institutional building (currently used as medical offices) and surface parking lot, with an approximately 18-foot-wide curb cut along California Street.  The proposal consists of the demolition of the existing uses and the construction of a four-story, 40-foot-tall, 16,522-square-foot building with 9 dwelling units, 940 square feet of ground floor retail, no off-street parking spaces, 9 Class 1 bicycle spaces, and 2 Class 2 bicycle spaces. The building would contain a mix of one-, two-, and three-bedroom units. The existing curb cut would be removed.  The proposed demolition and construction would last approximately 12 months, and require up to 200 cubic yards of excavation up to a depth of 1.5 feet. |   |                  |
|   |   |                  |

#### STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

| 015   | OTEL 1. EXEMIT HOW GEAGG   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The project has been determined to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). |  |  |  |
|   | Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.   |  |  |
|   | Class 3 - New Construction. Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.  |  |  |
|   | Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below:  (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.  (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.  (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species.  (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.  (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.  FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY |  |  |
|   | Class  |  |  |

#### **STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS**

## TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

|   | Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone)  |  |
|---|--|--|
| •   | Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential?  Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer). |  |
|   | <b>Transportation:</b> Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?   |  |
|   | Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeo review is requried (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)   |  |
|   | <b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography). If yes, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.   |  |
|   | Slope = or > 25%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.   |  |
|   | Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones)  If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.   |  |
|   | Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.   |  |
| Comments and Planner Signature (optional): Megan Calpin |  |  |
| PLEA  | ASE SEE ATTACHED   |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE

| тов   | TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map) |  |  |  |
|   | Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.   |  |  |
|   | Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.  |  |  |
|   | Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.   |  |  |
| STE   | P 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST   |  |  |
|   | E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER   |  |  |
| Check   | all that apply to the project.   |  |  |
|   | 1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.   |  |  |
|   | 2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.   |  |  |
|   | 3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.   |  |  |
|   | 4. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.  |  |  |
|   | 5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.  |  |  |
|   | 6. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.   |  |  |
|   | 7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .  |  |  |
|   | 8. <b>Addition(s)</b> that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. |  |  |
| Note:   | Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.  |  |  |
|   | Project is not listed. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>  |  |  |
|   | Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.  |  |  |
|   | Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.   |  |  |
|   | Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.   |  |  |
|   | STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER   |  |  |
| Chec  | k all that apply to the project.   |  |  |
|   | 1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.   |  |  |
|   | 2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.   |  |  |
|   | 3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.  |  |  |
|   | 4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.  |  |  |
|   | 5. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.  |  |  |
|   | 6. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.   |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |

7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way

and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

|           | 8. <b>Other work consistent</b> with the Secretary of the Interior St Properties (specify or add comments):   | andards for the Treatment of Historic             |  |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| $  \Box $ | Troperties (speeny or add comments).  |   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
|           | Other work that would not materially impair a historic distri   | ct (specify or add comments):                     |  |
|           |   | ,   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
|           | (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation  | ion Coordinator)                                  |  |
|           | 10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approval Planner/Preservation  | by Senior Preservation                            |  |
|           | Reclassify to Category A  | Reclassify to Category C                          |  |
|           | a. Per HRER or PTR dated 08/08/2018   | (attach HRER or PTR)                              |  |
|           | b. Other (specify):   |   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
|           | Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Pro  |   |  |
|           | Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption.   |   |  |
| Comm      | nents (optional):   |   |  |
|           |   |   |  |
| Droos     | rvation Planner Signature: Jorgen Cleemann  |   |  |
| Presei    | rvation Planner Signature: Jorgen Cleemann  |   |  |
|           | EP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATIO  | N   |  |
| 101       | BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  No further environmental review is required. The project is  | categorically exempt under CEQA.                  |  |
|           | There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a   | <del>-</del>                                      |  |
|           | effect. Project Approval Action:  | Signature   |  |
|           | Building Permit   | Signature: Megan Calpin                           |  |
|           | If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.   | 09/03/2020  |  |
|           | Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical   | exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter |  |
|           | 31of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be  |   |  |
| I         | filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.  Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals. |   |  |

#### **CEQA Impacts**

Geology and Soils: A preliminary geotechnical report was prepared by H. Allen Gruen. The average slope of the site is less than 25 percent. The project's structural drawings would be reviewed by the building department, where it would be determined if further geotechnical review and technical reports are required.

Archeological Resources: The department's staff archeologist conducted preliminary archeological review on 07/25/2018 and determined that no CEQA-significant archeological resources are expected within project-affected soils.

Hazardous Materials: The project is subject to the Maher Ordinance (Article 22A of the Health Code), which is administered by the Department of Public Health. The project sponsor received a letter of Article22A Compliance under Case No. SMED: 1734 on 11/02/2018, as part of the Maher Program.

Traffic: The department's transportation staff reviewed the proposed project on 06/10/2019 and determined that additional transportation review is not required.

Noise: The project would use typical construction equipment that would be regulated by Article 29 of the Police Code (section 2907, Construction Equipment). No impact pile driving or nighttime construction is required. Construction vibration would not be anticipated to affect adjacent buildings. The proposed project would not generate sufficient vehicle trips to noticeably increase ambient noise levels, and the project's fixed noise sources, such as heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, would be subject to noise limits in Article 29 of the Police Code (section 2909, Noise Limits).

Air Quality: The proposed project's construction would be subject to the Dust Control Ordinance (Article 22B of the Health Code). The proposed land uses are below the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's construction and operational screening levels for requiring further quantitative criteria air pollutant analysis. The project site is located within an air pollutant exposure zone, therefore a toxic air contaminant air quality analysis was completed on 08/26/2020. The analysis showed that demolition and construction would result in a less-than-significant impact with respect to toxic air contaminants. Therefore, the project would result in less-than-significant air quality impacts.

Water Quality: The project's construction activities are required to comply with the Construction Site Runoff Ordinance (Public Works Code, article 2.4, section 146). Since the project would disturb less than 5,000 square feet, the project sponsor would be required to implement best management practices to prevent construction site runoff discharges into the combined sewer system. Stormwater and wastewater discharged from the project site during operations would flow to the City's combined sewer system and be treated to the standards in the City's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit.

Natural Habitat: The project site is paved and within a developed urban area. The project site has no significant riparian corridors, estuaries, marshes, wetlands, or any other potential wildlife habitat that might contain endangered, rare or threatened species. Thus, the project site has no value as habitat for rare, threatened, or endangered species.

Public Notice: A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on April 29, 2019 to adjacent occupants and owners of buildings within 300 feet of the project site and the Presidio Heights neighborhood group list.

#### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### **MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

| Modified Project Description:  |  |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| DET  | TERMINATION IF PROJECT (   | CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION        |  |  |
| Com  | pared to the approved project, w   | ould the modified project:                  |  |  |
|  | Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;   |   |  |  |
|  | Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;  |   |  |  |
|  | Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?   |   |  |  |
|  | Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption? |   |  |  |
| If at I  | If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.   |   |  |  |
| DET  | ERMINATION OF NO SUBSTAI   | NTIAL MODIFICATION                          |  |  |
|  | The proposed modification wo   | uld not result in any of the above changes. |  |  |
| If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed within 10 days of posting of this determination. |  |   |  |  |
| Planı  | ner Name:  | Date:                                       |  |  |