

Somera, Alisa (BOS)

From: Sue Hestor <hestor@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 2:03 PM
Subject: Further on Resolution allowing remote hearings

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include in the file - although I do not believe the Supes read them.

Mostly want at least one Supe introduces request for hearing at Rules so that it is BEFORE Christmas break or in first week of January. So opportunity to **inform** 1/11 BOS meeting.

There REALLY needs to be a PUBLIC discussion of how City Hall will (ever) open to the public. Information that is solid provided re issues such as how spaces will be cleaned, access to City Hall itself, what are best practices City wants public to follow.

Air circulation, seating in Room 400, Board chambers, committee rooms, etc. Use of bathrooms etc

Thank you.

Sue Hestor

From: Sue Hestor <hestor@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 1:17 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Resolution allowing remote hearings - November 30?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Is the BOS slated to have on its **November 30 agenda a motion allowing teleconferenced meetings for the next 30 days?** Teleconferenced, with NO ability for public to participate live?

Assuming that on November 30, the Board votes to allow teleconferenced meetings for another 30 days, will the BOS consider this again on **December 14**, then again on **January 11**?

When will members of the Board be notified that virtual meetings item will be calendared for November 30? So that Supervisors have a meaningful chance to have the matter heard as calendared item, with input by the public AND DISCUSSED in committee.

At **11/2/21** BOS meeting, allowing virtual Board meetings for another 30 days, was agenda **item 30** under **For Adoption without Committee Reference**, which states:

A *unanimous* vote is required for adoption of these resolutions today. Any Supervisor may require any resolution to go to committee.

AND

(PUBLIC COMMENT for Items 29 and 30 will be taken during Item 28 - General Public Comment.)

November 2 General Public Comment was about a dozen persons commenting on taxi medallions, one person on affordable housing. *No one* commented on Item 30 regarding teleconferenced meetings.

When items 29 and 30 were called up as the last item on agenda, the ENTIRE time span from calling up the items, to voting on 29 and 30 was approximately 20 seconds. There was ZERO discussion by Supervisors or anyone else.

Whether the Board conducts virtual hearings would appropriately be considered by the **Rules** Committee on November 29, or a Monday in December. If a supervisor wishes to allow **the public** to have a realistic opportunity to speak, the supervisor must have time to request this issue first be considered in committee.

I request that members of the public have a REAL opportunity to discuss further continuance of remote meetings at a NOTICED public meeting where this is calendared item.

Thank you.

Sue Hestor

Current BOS agendas include following language -

On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors (Board) authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and will allow remote public comment via teleconference. Effective June 29, 2021, the Board and staff began to reconvene for in-person Board proceedings. Committee meetings will continue to convene remotely until further notice. Visit the SFGovTV website at (<https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=www.sfgovtv.org&g=NDQxOTEzYjcyNTQ2ZWl2Yg==&h=MDFiNDg0N2l5YjQ0YmEwMjdlZTA0OTgxMjgwNjJjY2Q4MGYyYmU5NzY5ZjUwOTg3YTRhMWEzMjFkYjc1YjRhNw==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmF2YW5hbGpvOmJkMDg5MWE4NW NINTE5OTk5ZjQxYTg5Nzg3Yjk3N2lwOnYxOnQ=>) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand.