

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Eric D. Shaw, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Christina Varner, Acting Executive Director, Rent Board
Joaquín Torres, Assessor Recorder, Office of the Assessor Recorder

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: November 22, 2021

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Mar on November 22, 2021:

File No. 211202

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Lots in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per lot, exclusive of accessory dwelling units and subject to maximum rental rates and sales prices determined to be affordable at 100% of area median income; amending the Administrative Code to limit initial rental rates and rental rate increases for specified units; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

File No. 211207

Resolution urging the Planning Department in partnership with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development or other City agencies to create a Housing Development Incentive Program for Homeowners that supports San Francisco residents to build new housing.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: erica.major@sfgov.org.

Board of Supervisors
Land Use and Transportation Committee
Referral
Page 2

cc: Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Lydia Ely, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Brian Cheu, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Maria Benjamin, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
Kurt Fuchs, Office of the Assessor Recorder
Holly Lung, Office of the Assessor Recorder

1 [Planning, Administrative Codes - Dwelling Unit Density Exception in Residential Districts and
2 Rent Control of Bonus Dwelling Units]

3 **Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Lots in**
4 **RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per lot,**
5 **exclusive of accessory dwelling units and subject to maximum rental rates and sales**
6 **prices determined to be affordable at 100% of area median income; amending the**
7 **Administrative Code to limit initial rental rates and rental rate increases for specified**
8 **units; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California**
9 **Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan,**
10 **and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public**
11 **necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.**

12 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
13 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
14 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
15 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
16 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
17 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
18 subsections or parts of tables.

19 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

20 Section 1. CEQA and Land Use Findings.

21 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
22 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
23 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
24 Supervisors in File No. ____ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this
25 determination.

1 (b) On _____, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. _____,
2 adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
3 with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
4 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
5 the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____, and is incorporated herein by reference.

6 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code
7 amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set
8 forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____, and the Board adopts such
9 reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
10 Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference.

11
12 Section 2. Background and Findings.

13 (a) According to the Planning Department's 2020 Housing Inventory, housing costs in
14 San Francisco have increased dramatically since the Great Recession of 2008-2009, with the
15 median sale price for a two-bedroom house more than tripling from 2011 to 2021, from
16 \$493,000 to \$1,580,000. This increase in housing costs includes 9% growth from 2019 to
17 2020 alone, even in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic.

18 (b) From 2011 to 2019, the median rental rate for a two-bedroom apartment similarly
19 increased, nearly doubling from \$2,570 to \$4,500 per month. Despite the COVID-19 pandemic
20 and a temporarily dampened housing market, the median rental rate in 2020 was \$3,570. The
21 2019 and 2020 median rental rate far exceed the \$2,664 rental rate of a two-bedroom
22 apartment priced to be affordable for a household at 100% of San Francisco's Area Median
23 Income ("AMI") in 2021, according to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
24 Development.

1 (c) According to the Planning Department’s 2020 Housing Inventory, while San
2 Francisco met 148% of its 2015-2022 Above Moderate (greater than 120% of AMI) housing
3 goal as of 2020, it met only 39% of its Low Income (less than 80% of AMI) and Very Low
4 Income (less than 50% of AMI) housing goals, and only 27% of its Moderate Income (80-
5 120% of AMI) housing goal, as of 2020.

6 (d) In the near future, San Francisco is likely to face steeper challenges to meet its
7 Moderate Income housing goal in light of an anticipated 250% increase in the Regional
8 Housing Needs Allocation for Moderate Income households in San Francisco in the upcoming
9 2023-2031 Housing Element cycle, according to the State of California's revised population
10 growth projections.

11 (e) According to the Planning Department’s Housing Balance Report No. 12, many
12 Supervisorial Districts are experiencing a Negative Cumulative Housing Balance, meaning
13 that the number of units removed from protected status (for example, by means of Ellis Act
14 evictions, Owner Move-In evictions, or housing demolition) exceeds the number of new
15 affordable housing units added to that district in a given period. The following San Francisco
16 Supervisorial Districts, all of which have a large number of single-family homes, had Negative
17 Cumulative Housing Balances between 2011-2020: District 4 (-73.9%), District 1 (-46.8%),
18 District 11 (-18.8%), District 8 (-13.8%), and District 2 (-10.6%).

19 (f) As set forth in Board of Supervisors Resolution No. _____, on file in File No.
20 _____, the Board of Supervisors has expressed its commitment to support low- and
21 moderate-income homeowners as an essential component of addressing the housing
22 affordability and housing stability crisis, and the Board of Supervisors has urged the Planning
23 Department and other City agencies to create a Housing Development Incentive Program for
24 Homeowners (“Program”) that supports San Francisco residents to build new housing, which
25 would include technical assistance (e.g., for predevelopment, construction, and long-term

1 property management) and financial assistance (e.g., grants, no- or low- interest loans), and
2 streamlined permitting through pre-approved plans, with the goal to increase the number of
3 housing units developed by homeowners and local small property owners. The Program
4 would target low- and moderate- income homeowners in RH (Residential, House) Districts
5 and in Sensitive Communities, as defined by the UC Berkeley Urban Displacement Project,
6 and the Program would include financial incentives for homeowners who rent or sell the new
7 units to low- and moderate-income households at an affordable level.

8
9 Section 3. Articles 1, 2, and 4 of the Planning Code are hereby amended by revising
10 Sections 102 (with a new definition placed in alphabetical sequence), 207, and 209.1, and
11 adding Section 436, to read as follows:

12 **SEC. 102. DEFINITIONS.**

13 * * * *

14 *Dwelling Unit, Bonus.* A Bonus Dwelling Unit is any Dwelling Unit that is not an Accessory
15 *Dwelling Unit and which is permitted to be constructed in an RH District, contingent upon compliance*
16 *with Section 207(c)(8), in excess of the number of units otherwise permitted by right in the applicable*
17 *RH District as set forth in Table 209.1. For example, a property owner with a lot in an RH-1 District is*
18 *permitted to construct one unit per lot, according to Table 209.1. If the property owner elects to*
19 *comply with Section 207(c)(8) and is thereby authorized to construct four units, the three units*
20 *authorized under Section 207(c)(8) in excess of the one unit permitted under the RH-1 column of Table*
21 *209.1 shall be deemed Bonus Dwelling Units. Each Bonus Dwelling Unit shall have a minimum of two*
22 *bedrooms and shall be subject to the requirements of Planning Code Section 436 and Administrative*
23 *Code Section 37.3(h). In addition, the property owner shall enter into a regulatory agreement with the*
24 *City, as a condition of approval of the Bonus Density Units authorized under Section 207(c)(8)*
25 *(“Regulatory Agreement”). The Regulatory Agreement shall contain the following: (a) a statement*

1 that the Bonus Density Units are not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil
2 Code Section 1954.50) because, under Section 1954.52(b), the property owner has entered into this
3 agreement with the City in consideration for a waiver of residential density up to four dwelling units or
4 other direct financial contribution or other form of assistance specified in California Government Code
5 Sections 65915 et seq.; (b) a description of the waiver of residential density or other direct financial
6 contribution or form of assistance provided to the property owner; and (c) a description of the
7 remedies for breach of the agreement and other provisions to ensure implementation and compliance
8 with the agreement. The property owner and the Planning Director (or the Director's designee), on
9 behalf of the City, will execute the Regulatory Agreement, which shall be reviewed and approved by the
10 City Attorney's Office. The Regulatory Agreement shall be executed prior to the City's issuance of the
11 First Construction Document for the project, as defined in Section 107A.13.1 of the San Francisco
12 Building Code. Following execution of the Regulatory Agreement by all parties and approval by the
13 City Attorney, the Regulatory Agreement or a memorandum thereof shall be recorded to the title
14 records in the Office of the Assessor-Recorder for the real property containing the Bonus Dwelling
15 Unit and shall be binding on all future owners and successors in interest.

16 * * * *

17 **SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMITS.**

18 * * * *

19 **(c) Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits.** An exception to the calculations
20 under this Section 207 shall be made in the following circumstances:

21 * * * *

22 (8) Residential Density in RH Districts. For projects located in RH Districts that are not
23 seeking or receiving a density bonus under Planning Code Sections 206.5 or 206.6, or California
24 Government Code Sections 65915 et seq., and have executed an agreement to comply with the
25 requirements of Planning Code Section 436 and Administrative Code Section 37.3(h), residential

density limits shall be waived for up to four dwelling units, not inclusive of any Accessory Dwelling Units as permitted under this Section 207. Projects using the density exception of this subsection (c)(8) shall be subject to the Height and Bulk Limits, Rear Yard, and Side Yard standards applicable to the RH-3 zoning district as set forth in Section 209.1.

SEC. 209.1. RH (RESIDENTIAL, HOUSE) DISTRICTS.

These Districts are intended to recognize, protect, conserve, and enhance areas characterized by dwellings in the form of houses, usually with one, two, or three units with separate entrances, and limited scale in terms of building width and height. Such areas tend to have similarity of building styles and predominantly contain large units suitable for family occupancy, considerable open space, and limited nonresidential uses. The RH Districts are composed of five separate classes of districts, as follows:

* * * *

**Table 209.1
ZONING CONTROL TABLE FOR RH DISTRICTS**

| Zoning Category | § References | RH-1(D) | RH-1 | RH-1(S) | RH-2 | RH-3 |
|-----------------------------|--|---|------|---|------|--|
| BUILDING STANDARDS | | | | | | |
| Massing and Setbacks | | | | | | |
| Height and Bulk Limits (10) | §§ 102, 105, 106, 250-252, 253, 260, 261, 261.1, 270, 271. See also Height and Bulk District Maps. | No portion of a Dwelling may be taller than 35 feet. Structures with uses other than Dwellings may be constructed to the prescribed height limit, which is generally 40 feet. Per § 261 the height limit may be decreased or increased based on the slope of the lot. | | No portion of a Dwelling may be taller than 40 feet. Structures with uses other than Dwellings may be | | Varies, but generally 40 feet. Height sculpting on Alleys per § 261.1. |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | constructed to the prescribed height limit. Per § 261 the height limit may be decreased based on the slope of the lot. | | |
| * * * * | | | | | | |
| Rear Yard (10) | §§ 130, 134 | 30% of lot depth, but in no case less than 15 feet. | 45% of lot depth or average of adjacent neighbors. If averaged, no less than 25% or 15 feet, whichever is greater. | | | |
| Side Yard (10) | §§ 130, 133 | Required for lots 28 feet and wider. Width of side setback depends on width of lot. | Not Required | | | |
| * * * * | | | | | | |
| RESIDENTIAL STANDARDS AND USES | | | | | | |
| * * * * | | | | | | |
| Residential Uses | | | | | | |
| Residential Density, Dwelling Units (6)(11) | §§ 102, 207 | One unit per lot. | P up to one unit per lot. C up to one unit per 3,000 square feet of lot area, | P up to two units per lot, if the second unit is 600 sq. ft. or less. C up to one | P up to two units per lot. C up to one unit per 1,500 square feet of lot area. | P up to three units per lot. C up to one unit per 1,000 square feet of lot area. |

| | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | with no more than three units per lot. | unit per 3,000 square feet of lot area, with no more than three units per lot. | | |
| * * * * | | | | | | |

* * * *

(10) Lots that include two or more Bonus Dwelling Units in the RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-1(S), and RH-2 zoning districts shall be subject to the Height and Bulk Limits, Rear Yard, and Side Yard standards applicable in the RH-3 zoning district.

(11) P for up to four dwelling units pursuant to Section 207(c)(8).

SEC. 436. SALES OF BONUS DWELLING UNITS. For any building containing a Bonus Dwelling Unit, each Bonus Dwelling Unit shall be offered for sale with a maximum sales price determined by the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development (“MOHCD”) to be affordable for a buyer at 100% of the median income for San Francisco as published annually by MOHCD and derived in part from income limits and area median income published by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for the County of San Francisco (“AMI”). The maximum sales price would be determined using an affordable sales price methodology established and approved by MOHCD. MOHCD may adjust the AMI and maximum sales price based on a household size appropriate for the Bonus Dwelling Unit and number of bedrooms. The owner of the Bonus Dwelling Unit shall obtain MOHCD’s approval of the maximum sales price prior to any marketing or sale of a Bonus Dwelling Unit. These requirements and limitations shall be memorialized in a notice or declaration of special restrictions that is recorded to the title records in the Office of the Assessor-

1 Recorder for the real property containing the Bonus Dwelling Unit. The requirements and limitations
2 in this Section 436 shall apply for the time during which the building remains in existence in or upon
3 the subject property in its present state or as modified, except such requirements and limitations shall
4 not apply to a dwelling or a unit that does not constitute a Bonus Dwelling Unit.

5
6 Section 4. Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising
7 Sections 37.2 and 37.3, to read as follows:

8
9 **SEC. 37.2. DEFINITIONS.**

10 * * * *

11 (r) **Rental Units.** All residential dwelling units in the City and County of San Francisco
12 together with the land and appurtenant buildings thereto, and all housing services, privileges,
13 furnishings, and facilities supplied in connection with the use or occupancy thereof, including
14 garage and parking facilities.

15 * * * *

16 The term "rental units" shall not include:

17 * * * *

18 (4) Except as provided in subsections (A)-(DE), dwelling units whose rents are
19 controlled or regulated by any government unit, agency, or authority, excepting those
20 unsubsidized and/or unassisted units which are insured by the United States Department of
21 Housing and Urban Development; provided, however, that units in unreinforced masonry
22 buildings which have undergone seismic strengthening in accordance with Building Code
23 Chapters 16B and 16C shall remain subject to the Rent Ordinances to the extent that the
24 ordinance is not in conflict with the seismic strengthening bond program or with the program's
25 loan agreements or with any regulations promulgated thereunder;

1 * * * *

2 (E) The term “rental units” shall include Bonus Dwelling Units constructed
3 pursuant to Section 207(c)(8) of the Planning Code.

4
5 **SEC. 37.3. RENT LIMITATIONS.**

6 (a) Rent Increase Limitations for Tenants in Occupancy. Landlords may impose rent
7 increases upon tenants in occupancy only as provided below and as provided by subsections
8 37.3(d), ~~and~~ 37.3(g), and 37.3(h):

9 * * * *

10 (g) New Construction and Substantial Rehabilitation.

11 (1) An owner of a residential dwelling or unit which is newly constructed and
12 first received a certificate of occupancy after the effective date of Ordinance No. 276-79 (June
13 13, 1979), or which the Rent Board has certified has undergone a substantial rehabilitation,
14 may establish the initial and all subsequent rental rates for that dwelling or unit, except:

15 (A) where rent restrictions apply to the dwelling or unit under
16 Sections 37.3(d) or 37.3(f);

17 (B) where the dwelling or unit is a replacement unit under
18 Section 37.9A(b);

19 (C) as provided for certain categories of Accessory Dwelling Units under
20 Section 37.2(r)(4)(D); ~~and~~

21 (D) as provided in a development agreement entered into by the City
22 under Administrative Code Chapter 56-; and

23 (E) as provided for Bonus Dwelling Units under Section 37.3(h).

24 (h) Limitations on Rental Rates and Rental Rate Increases of Bonus Dwelling Units.

1 For any building containing a Bonus Dwelling Unit, each Bonus Dwelling Unit shall be offered
2 for rent at the rate determined by the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development
3 (“MOHCD”) for rent for households earning 100% of Area Median Income (“AMI”), as set forth in
4 the table titled “Maximum Monthly Rent By Unit Type,” as filed with MOHCD annually for the
5 Inclusionary Housing Program and available for viewing on the MOHCD website, and as
6 memorialized in a notice or declaration of special restrictions that is recorded to the title records in the
7 Office of the Assessor-Recorder for the real property containing the Bonus Dwelling Unit. The
8 requirements and limitations in this subsection 37.3(h) shall apply for the time during which the
9 building remains in existence in or upon the subject property in its present state or as modified, except
10 such requirements and limitations shall not apply to a dwelling or a unit that does not constitute a
11 Bonus Dwelling Unit.

12 (1) Rental Rates at Commencement of Tenancy. At or prior to the commencement of
13 any tenancy in a Bonus Dwelling Unit, the owner of the Bonus Dwelling Unit shall offer the Bonus
14 Dwelling Unit for rent at the rate set forth by MOHCD for rent by households earning 100% of AMI, as
15 set forth in the table titled “Maximum Monthly Rent By Unit Type,” as filed with MOHCD annually,
16 and as memorialized in a notice or declaration of special restrictions that is recorded to the title
17 records in the Office of the Assessor-Recorder for the real property containing the Bonus Dwelling
18 Unit.

19 (2) Rent Increases. The owner of a Bonus Dwelling Unit may impose rent increases
20 upon a tenant in occupancy only as provided in Administrative Code subsections 37.3(a) and 37.3(d).

21
22 Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
23 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
24 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
25 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

1 Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
2 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
3 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
4 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
5 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under
6 the official title of the ordinance.

7

8 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
9 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

10 By: /s/ Christopher T. Tom
11 CHRISTOPHER TOM
12 Deputy City Attorney

12

13 n:\legana\as2021\2100467\01565840.docx

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning, Administrative Codes - Dwelling Unit Density Exception in Residential Districts and Rent Control of Bonus Dwelling Units]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide a density limit exception for Lots in RH (Residential, House) zoning districts, to permit up to four dwelling units per lot, exclusive of accessory dwelling units and subject to maximum rental rates and sales prices determined to be affordable at 100% of area median income; amending the Administrative Code to limit initial rental rates and rental rate increases for specified units; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

Existing Law

The Planning Code sets forth different zoning districts throughout the City, where different uses are permitted, conditional or prohibited, and where various controls (such as height, bulk, setbacks, etc.) apply. Residential, House (RH) districts are “intended to recognize, protect, conserve and enhance areas characterized by dwellings in the form of houses, usually with one, two or three units with separate entrances, and limited scale in terms of building width and height. Such areas tend to have similarity of building styles and predominantly contain large units suitable for family occupancy, considerable open space, and limited nonresidential uses.” (Section 209.1). The RH districts are composed of five separate classes of districts, depending on the number of units permitted in each:

- RH-1(D) Districts: One-Family (Detached Dwellings); RH-1 Districts: One-Family; and RH-1(S) Districts: One-Family with Minor Second Unit, which are generally characterized by single-family houses;
- RH-2 Districts: Two-Family, which generally consist of one-family and two-family houses;
- RH-3 Districts: Three-Family, in which structures with three units are common in addition to one-family and two-family houses.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would create a density limit exception to permit up to four units per lot in all RH districts, for property owners that are not seeking or receiving a density bonus. Each unit permitted under this ordinance in excess of the number of units otherwise permitted by right in the applicable RH District (as set forth Planning Code Table 209.1) would constitute a “Bonus

Dwelling Unit.” Bonus Dwelling Units would be permitted in addition to any Accessory Dwelling Units authorized under the Planning Code. Under this ordinance, Bonus Dwelling Units would be subject to the following limitations and requirements:

- Lots that include two or more Bonus Dwelling units in the RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-1(S), and RH-2 zoning districts would be subject to the Height and Bulk Limits, Rear Yard, and Side Yard standards applicable to the RH-3 zoning district.
- Sales of Bonus Dwelling Units would be subject to a maximum sales price determined by the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development (“MOHCD”) to be affordable for a buyer at 100% of the median income for San Francisco as published annually by MOHCD and derived in part from income limits and area median income published by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for the County of San Francisco. The maximum sales price would be determined using an affordable sales price methodology established and approved by MOHCD.
- At the commencement of a tenancy, Bonus Dwelling Units would be offered for rent at a rate determined by MOHCD for rent for households earning 100% of Area Median Income.
- Subsequent rent increases for Bonus Dwelling Units would be subject to the limitations in Administrative Code subsections 37.3(a) and 37.3(d).
- Property owners in RH zoning districts would not be required to meet any minimum or maximum income criteria in order for their property to be eligible for Bonus Dwelling Units.

Background Information

The ordinance contains findings emphasizing the need to build more housing in San Francisco, particularly for moderate income households, and the fact that five Supervisorial Districts in San Francisco experienced a “Negative Cumulative Housing Balance” between 2011 through 2020, meaning that the number of units removed from protected status exceeds the number of new affordable housing units added to such districts during the subject time period.

This ordinance was introduced alongside a resolution urging the Planning Department, in partnership with the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development and other City agencies, to create a “Housing Development Incentive Program for Homeowners” that supports San Francisco residents’ efforts to build new housing.

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning : "Supervisor inquiries"
- 5. City Attorney Request.
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

The text is listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

1 [Housing Development Incentive Program for Homeowners]

2

3 **Resolution urging the Planning Department in partnership with the Mayor’s Office of**
4 **Housing and Community Development or other City agencies to create a Housing**
5 **Development Incentive Program for Homeowners that supports San Francisco**
6 **residents to build new housing.**

7

8 WHEREAS, The housing production deficit has been especially acute for units
9 affordable to moderate-income households, producing only 27% of its Regional Housing
10 Needs Allocation goal for moderate income housing (80-120% AMI), according to the San
11 Francisco Planning Department’s 2020 Housing Inventory Report; and

12 WHEREAS, In 2016, San Francisco expanded its Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU)
13 Program to allow ADUs in all zoning districts, and in 2020, Assembly Bill 68 (Ting) passed,
14 allowing the construction of a junior ADU in addition to a standard ADU in single family
15 homes; and

16 WHEREAS, State housing policy such as Senate Bill 9 (Atkins) has created new
17 opportunities to increase housing density in single-family home neighborhoods; and

18 WHEREAS, Stabilizing homeowners and expanding affordable rental opportunities
19 strengthens neighborhood communities and supports community asset building; and

20 WHEREAS, Expanding single family homes by adding ADUs and additional units can
21 meet the needs of multigenerational families, tenants, seniors, and the broader community if
22 they are affordable; and

23 WHEREAS, Homeowners face multiple barriers to expand their homes beyond land
24 use controls, including the need for technical assistance, financial assistance, and overall
25 support navigating a building project; and

1 WHEREAS, This is especially the case for low-income, immigrant, and non-English
2 speaking homeowners; and homeowners who are house rich and cash poor, at risk of
3 economic displacement, or otherwise facing housing instability; and

4 WHEREAS, Many of San Francisco’s single family home neighborhoods such as the
5 Sunset District, Oceanview Merced Ingleside and Bayview Hunter’s Point have historically
6 provided affordable housing and homeownership opportunities for working and middle class
7 families, generations of immigrants, and communities of color; and

8 WHEREAS, Newly constructed, market-rate housing in San Francisco’s single family
9 home neighborhoods is often unaffordable to moderate-income households and can
10 contribute to gentrification and displacement; and

11 WHEREAS, Smaller lot sizes in typical single family home neighborhoods typically
12 result in smaller-scale developments that do not trigger inclusionary requirements and
13 therefore do not add to the housing affordability stock; and

14 WHEREAS, Deeper incentives and new policies are required to increase the number of
15 affordable units produced in typical single family home neighborhoods; and

16 WHEREAS, In 2021, the San Francisco Planning Department, in partnership with the
17 office of Supervisor Gordon Mar, launched the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Incentives Pilot
18 Program in District 4 to provide technical assistance to District 4 property owners interested in
19 adding and ADU, which may be a good model for an expanded program to support
20 homeowners citywide to build multiplexes in Residential Housing (RH) neighborhoods; and

21 WHEREAS, In its 2022-2023 Budget, the Board of Supervisors appropriated \$10m for
22 a Housing Innovation Fund to incubate new ideas for housing production and new housing
23 models; now, therefore, be it

24
25

1 RESOLVED, That the City of San Francisco is committed to supporting low and
2 moderate-income homeowners as an essential component of addressing the housing
3 affordability and housing stability crisis; and, be it

4 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisor urges the Planning Department
5 in partnership with the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development or other City
6 agencies to create a Housing Development Incentive Program for Homeowners (Program)
7 that supports San Francisco residents to expand their homes to build new housing, which
8 would include technical assistance (e.g. for predevelopment, construction, and property
9 management), financial assistance (e.g. grants, no or low interest loans), and streamlined
10 permitting through pre-approved plans, with the goal to increase the number of housing units
11 developed by homeowners and local small property owners; and, be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Program should target low- and moderate- income
13 homeowners in Residential Housing (RH) zoned neighborhoods and in Sensitive
14 Communities as defined by the UC Berkeley Urban Displacement Project; and, be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED, That, to promote the creation of stable and affordable housing
16 units, the Program should also include greater financial incentives for homeowners who rent
17 or sell the new units to low- and moderate-income households at an affordable level; and, be
18 it

19 FURTHER RESOLVED, That this program be created and operationalized by
20 September 1, 2022.

21
22
23
24
25

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning : "Supervisor inquiries"
- 5. City Attorney Request.
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

The text is listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only